

1. Case No 7955/2020 M/s MKC Infrastructure Ltd, Shivnagar, Anjar, Dist. Kachh, Gujarat - 370110 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.90 ha. (4,00,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 416/1, 477, 478/1/min-2), Village - Jodma, Tehsil - Garoth, Dist. Mandsaur (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 416/1, 477, 478/1/min-2), Village - Jodma, Tehsil - Garoth, Dist. Mandsaur (MP) 4.90 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 3559 dated: 07/10/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant PP stated that this lease was obtained under temporary permit (TP). During presentation it was observed that the lease area is already excavated. PP submitted that it is very old pit and they have got the lease in such condition and this pit has shown on surface map in the Mining Plan and a pucca roads are in the south and east side at a distance of 400 meter. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Revised plantation scheme as suggested by committee.
- Revised EMP as suggested by committee.
- Commitment that transportation shall be carried out by 40 ton dumpers.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 18.12.2020, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 4,00,000 cum/year.

2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 15.17 Lakh as capital and Rs 06.65 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

This case was discussed in 652nd SEIAA meeting dated 30/12/2020 and it was recorded that.....

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 18/12/2020, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The EMP and other submission made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at Annexure-A

PP/consultant made a presentation on all aspects of the project and all concerned issues were discussed in detail. After detailed discussion and perusal of recommendations of 470th SEAC meeting dated 18/12/2020, presentation made by the PP in SEIAA, it was observed from the google earth that minerals has been excavated from the lease area, Hence it was decided to send the technical file as SEAC for reappraisal.

The case was again scheduled for presentation, wherein neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 479th SEAC meeting dated 11/02/2021. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings giving last chance to present their case.

The case was scheduled for presentation, but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the SEAC 479th SEAC meeting dated 11/02/2021 & SEAC & 486th meeting dated 26/02/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was presented by PP and their consultant, wherein PP submitted that they have not carried out any mining activity on the allotted lease. The lease was allotted to them on 7/10/20 as Temporary Permit (TP) and got the mine plan approved from competent authority on dated 03/10/20. PP further submitted that between 2019-20, local farmers have removed the soil (0.50 meter to 1.00 meter) from allotted lease and same can be verified from previous google images. The excavated soil is used by farmers in nearby fields for crop and they have also obtained the same in writing from them which is submitted for record. PP also submitted that now they have started the installation of crusher and site office on the lease after obtaining the permission (consent to establish-CTE) from M. P. Pollution Control Board, (MPPCB) Ujjain. (Copy enclosed). PP requested that it's a TP linked with road construction and as per OM of MoEF&CC, removal of soil is exempted from EC though it has not been removed by them. PP submitted that they have not carry out any mining on the site which can be verified by the submitted photographs and in the absence of EC work is suffering which is time targeted.

Committee referred the available google images and back google image of given coordinated to verify the statement given by PP. Committee observed that as per previous google image of Feb, 2019, the lease area is intact while as per the image of October, 2020, area is mined out and top layer is removed. Committee after hearing PP's submission with photographs, Copy of CTE obtained from MPPCB and available google images discuss that as per documents available it can be inferred that lease was sanctioned to PP in the month of Oct, 2020, wherein as per Google image lease was also mined out in the October, 2020 and within a month it is possible to carryout mining in the area. Thus committee after deliberations and in concurrence with the PP's submission recommends that submissions made by PP seem to be justified as lease was allotted to PP in October, 2020 and mining was carryout between Feb, 2019 and Oct, 2020 wherein only soil seems to be removed from the lease area and thus case can be considered for grant of EC as per the recommendations made in the 470th SEAC meeting dated 18/12/20.

2. Case No 8190/2021 Shri Ashok Kumar Mittal S/o Shri Samarthmal Mittal, 109, Dashrath Nagar, Dist. Mandsaur, MP – 458001 Prior

Environment Clearance for White Shale Stone Mine in an area of 0.59 ha. (2200 MT per annum) (Khasra No. 1374, 1375, 1376), Village - Kangheti, Tehsil - Malhargarh, Dist. Mandsaur (MP)

This is case of White Shale Stone Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 1374, 1375, 1376), Village - Kangheti, Tehsil - Malhargarh, Dist. Mandsaur (MP) 0.59 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 162 dated: 15/01/2021 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 25.49 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein PP submitted that it's a case of white shale stone mining, using for slate pencil industry and no blasting is proposed. Further, PP quitted MO letter vide no. 162 dated: 15/01/2021 that 01 mine is existed within 500 meter, which is area of 24.90 ha. , which is non – operative since the year 2016. Hence ,this are shall be counted in the light OM S.O. 2269 (E) dated 01st July 2016 . After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Mining Officer Statement that the lease is not in operation since the year 2016.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 26.02.2021, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2200 MT per annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 4.16 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.59 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

3. Case No 8193/2021 Shri Dhiraj Dixit, B-7, Apexchankyapuri, Dist. Dhar, MP - 454001 Prior Environment Clearance for Murrum Quarry in an area of 2.0 ha. (16,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 52), Village - Diglay, Tehsil - Dhar, Dist. Dhar (MP)

This is case of Murrum Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 52), Village - Diglay, Tehsil - Dhar, Dist. Dhar (MP) 2.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 1547 dated 07/8/2020 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine total area of 4.0 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed by the committee that it's a case of Murrum thus no blasting is proposed. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Revised plantation scheme @ 1500 trees/ha as suggested by committee.
- Revised water balance scheme.
- Revised EMP as suggested by committee.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 01.03.2021, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Murrum Quarry as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 16,000 cum per annum
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 4.43 Lakh as capital and Rs 2.98 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

4. Case No 8240/2021 M/s Kaira Construction, Patner Shri Ashutosh Agrawal, Agrawal Mils, Aurangpura Square, Dist. Khargone, MP – 451001 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (20,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 812/1, 812/2, 812/3), Village - Akawalya, Tehsil - Khargone, Dist. Khargone (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 812/1, 812/2, 812/3), Village - Akawalya, Tehsil - Khargone, Dist. Khargone (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 512 dated 25/1/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein during appraisal it was submitted by PP that it's a case of stone mining. After presentation PP was asked to submit following details:

1. Readable copy of Khasra panchshala.
2. Space available at pit bottom.
3. Management plan of Murrum as 01 meter Murrum is available.
4. Location of crusher on surface map.
5. Revised plantation @ 1500 plants/ha and plantation species.
6. Revised water balance as suggested by committee.
7. Revised EMP as suggested by committee.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 01.03.2021, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone Quarry as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 20,000 cum per annum.

2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 4.43 Lakh as capital and Rs 3.13 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

5. **Case No 7810/2020 Shri Lal Singh Chauhan S/o Shri Ramlal Chauhan, Village - Shivkothi, Tehsil - Punasa, Dist. Khandwa, MP - 450554 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 3.80 ha. (15000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 25, 26, 27/2, 28, 29, 34, 35), Village - Deshgaon, Tehsil - Khandwa, Dist. Khandwa (MP)**

This is case of for Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 25, 26, 27/2, 28, 29, 34, 35), Village - Deshgaon, Tehsil - Khandwa, Dist. Khandwa (MP) 3.80 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 34 dated: 22/02/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

Case relist by SEIAA vide letter no. 5714 dated 19/1/21 “ *PP could not present the case in SEAC due to some unavoidable circumstances hence PP has submitted a request letter vide dated 22/12/2020 received in SEIAA Office 22/12/2020 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case.*

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed by the committee that it's a case of stone also some trees (approx.

04) are in existence, surface drain at 60 meters in the south side. Further in the Tehsildar letter no. 1075 dated 06.07.20 it is mentioned that L&T's underground irrigation pipeline is passing in 0.0 meter distance. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Justification with surface map w.r.t. L&T's underground irrigation pipeline is passing in 0.0 meter distance and if the same is passing through lease area its protection plan.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 04.03.2021, which was placed before the committee wherein committee observed that the distance of NTPC pipeline from the lease is 230 meters and the same found satisfactory. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone Quarry as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 15,000 cum per annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 7.35 Lakh as capital and Rs 1.87 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

6. Case No 7924/2020 M/s N.K.C. Project Pvt. Ltd, Managar, Shri Praveer Singh, R/o Pink Avenue, Babya Road, Dist. Hoshangabad, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 2.808 ha. (Expansion 20580 to 200002 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 32/8), Village - Khandabad, Tehsil - Budhni, Dist. Sehore (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 32/8), Village - Khandabad, Tehsil - Budhni, Dist. Sehore (MP) 2.808 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 319 dated: 20/5/19 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 4.616 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant during appraisal of document it was observed that it is a case of expansion of Stone Quarry from 20,580 to 2,00,002 cum per annum. The committee asked PP to submit following details for further consideration of the project:

- EC compliance report issued by MoEF&CC.
- Copy of last 06 monthly compliance report submitted by PP.
- Space available at pit bottom and ultimate depth of mine pit.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 04.03.2021, which was placed before the committee wherein committee observed that PP has obtained compliance report from the MoEF&CC issued vide letter dated 26/02/21 and the compliance reported by competent authority is satisfactory as no non compliance is reported. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP earlier were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone Quarry as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2,00,002 cum per annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 10.31 Lakh as capital and Rs 04.18 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

7. **Case No 7925/2020 M/s N.K.C. - C.D.S. (JV), C/o Shri Pradeep Kumar Bhattacharya, R/o Pink Avenue, Babya Road, Dist. Hoshangabad, MP – 466445 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 1.808 ha. (Expansion 20,000 to 100000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 32/8), Village - Khandabad, Tehsil - Budhni, Dist. Sehore (MP)**

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 32/8), Village - Khandabad, Tehsil - Budhni, Dist. Sehore (MP) 1.808 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 318 dated: 20/5/19 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or

proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 4.616 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant during appraisal of document it was observed that it is a case of expansion of Stone Quarry from 20,000 to 1,00,000 cum per annum cum per annum . The committee asked PP to submit following details for further consideration of the project:

- EC compliance report issued by MoEF&CC.
- Copy of last 06 monthly compliance report submitted by PP.
- Space available at pit bottom and ultimate depth of mine pit.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 04.03.2021, which was placed before the committee wherein committee observed that PP has obtained compliance report from the MoEF&CC issued vide letter dated 26/02/21 and the compliance reported by competent authority is satisfactory as no non compliance is reported. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP earlier were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone Quarry as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,00,000 cum per annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 09.22 Lakh as capital and Rs 03.53 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

8. Case No 7487/2020 M/s Shreeji Infrastructure India Pvt. Ltd, Shri Pranav Pratap Singh, 1180, University Road, Pachpedi, South Civil Lines, Dist. Jabalpur, MP – 482001 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 3.250 ha. (107692 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 307/3/KA), Village - Lilauri, Tehsil - Raghurajnagar, Dist. Satna (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 307/3/KA), Village - Lilauri, Tehsil - Raghurajnagar, Dist. Satna (MP) 3.250 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 1583 dated: 14/08/2020 has reported that there are 02 more mines (01 mine of Lime Stone- area: 13.354 ha. & 01 mine of Stone - area: 1.213 ha. Total area (if considering only Homogeneous Mineral than total area shall be = $3.250 + 1.213 = 4.463$ hac.) operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 4.463 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the SEAC 454th SEAC meeting dated 29-08-20 wherein PP stated that this is a case of Stone Quarry lease was obtained under temporary permit (TP) with two years validity, applied for expansion from 35,861 cum per annum to 1,07,692 cum per annum. During presentation it was also observed that M.O. vide letter dated 14.08.2020 has mentioned that within 500 meters 02 more mines (01 mine of Limestone- area: 13.354 ha. & 01 mine of Stone - area: 1.213 ha. Total area=4.463 hac (if considering only Homogeneous Mineral, than total area shall be = $3.250 + 1.213$) Hence, as per MoEF&CC S.O. 2269 (E), dated 01.07.2016 only homogeneous minerals shall be counted under cluster definition. Hence, area of Limestone mine shall not be counted which is of 13.354 ha. and only Homogeneous Mineral shall be considered, then total area shall be = $3.250 + 1.213$ this mine is considered which < 5.0 ha. and not came in cluster definition. After presentation, the committee asked to submit following details for further consideration of the project:

- Compliance report of earlier EC issued from competent authority.

Delist recommended in 464th SEAC meeting dated 03/10/2020. PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please. The case was discussed in 655th SEIAA meeting dtd. 29/01/2021 and it was recorded that "As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above cases mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the

condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will than the relisted for appraisal”.

PP has submitted required documents vide L.No. NIL dated 20/01/2021 received in SEIAA Office on 20/01/2021 to relist the case as PP as now ready to present their case in SEAC considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in 485th SEAC meeting dated 25/02/21 wherein it was observed by the committee that PP has submitted the compliance of earlier EC conditions issued by MoEF&CC vide letter no. 046 dated 19/01/21. During discussion it was observed by the committee that MoEF&CC has reported that out of 63 conditions 07 are not complied (NC) by the PP. Committee after deliberations asked PP to submit comprehensive reply for NC's reported by MoEF&CC and proposed action plan for their implementation.

PP vide letter dated 04/03/21 submitted the reply which was placed before the committee. During discussion consultant and PP were also present. PP submitted that the mine is not in operation since last five months and that's why some non compliance was reported. However, now they will comply all the EC condition as mine becomes operational and apologize for the NC's reported by competent authority. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone Quarry as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,07,692 cum per annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 12.83 Lakh as capital and Rs 10.31 Lakh/year as recurring cost are proposed by PP.

9. Case No 7335/2020 M/s Jay Ambey Mines, Village - Prakash Bamhauri, Tehsil - Gaurihar, Dist. Chhatarpur, MP – 471516 Prior Environment Clearance for Expansion of Stone Quarry in an area of 3.30 ha. (68800 to 150000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 2276) at Village-Prakash Bamhauri, Tehsil- Gaurihar, District- Chhatarpur (MP)

This is case of Expansion of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 2276) at Village- Prakash Bamhauri, Tehsil- Gaurihar, District- Chhatarpur (MP) 3.30 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 890 dated 03/6/2020 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine total area of 4.3 ha., including this mine.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, within the lease 500 meters following sensitive features were observed:

Sensitive Features	Approximate aerial distance from the lease area in meters	Direction	Remarks
Kachha Road	Adjacent to lease.	West	Minimum 10 meters set back shall be left.
Trees	02 within lease	--	Plantation scheme. If proposed to be uprooted, 20 additional plantations shall be added in the scheme.
Pond	280	NE	Protection plan with Garland drains and settling tanks shall be provided.

PP further submits that method of mining will be opencast semi-mechanized and ultimate depth of mining will be 36m. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Being case of expansion compliance report of earlier EC conditions duly verified by the competent authority shall be submitted.
- Protection plan for nearby nallah.
- 02 trees in the lease area thus their management plan shall be submitted.

The case was discussed in 655th SEIAA meeting dtd 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that.....As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above cases mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will then be relisted for appraisal.

PP has submitted required documents vide L.no. NIL dated NIL received in SEIAA office 22/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC, Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for appraisal.

The case was scheduled for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings.

The case was scheduled in 482rd meeting dated 17/02/2021 for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

The case was scheduled for presentation, but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the SEAC 482rd meeting dated 17/02/2021 & SEAC & 484th meeting dated 24/02/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.