

State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority-Karnataka

(Constituted by MoEF, Government of India, under section 3(3) of E(P) Act, 1986)

Proceedings of the 225th SEIAA Meeting held on 11th October 2022 at 10:30 AM at Room No. 709, 7th Floor, Gate IV, M.S Building, Bangalore-560001.

Members present: -

1. Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha -

Chairman, SEIAA

2. Shri. K. N. Shivalinge Gowda -

Member, SEIAA

3. Shri. Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS -

Member Secretary, SEIAA

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The subjects discussed and the decisions made on each of the agenda points are as follows:

225.1. Fresh Projects (Recommended for EC):

Construction Projects:

225.1.1. IT & ITES office Buildings Project at Sy. Nos. 28/1, 28/2, 28/3C, 28/4, 28/6, 3/1A, 3/1B, 3/2, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4, 6/5, 4/1, 4/2, 4/3, 4/4, 26/1, 26/2, 2/3A, 28/3C, 28/3D, 3/2P, 28/3A, 28/3B, 28/P, 28/4P, 6/8, 6/9, 92, 93, 28/5, 29, 10(P), 11 (Plot No. 45 & 46), 5(P) - Plot No. 44 & 97 A(P), 5(P) - (Plot No. 97B, 97C, 97D, 97E, 97G), 11 & 15, 26/3, 26/4, 26/5, 26/6, 2/3A, 2/3B, 2/3C, 7(P), 1/14, 28/5, 29, 27, Site No. 113, 123, 23D, 190 of Konappana Agrahara and Sy. No. 44 of Doddathoguru Village, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. Infosys Limited - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/72665/2022 (SEIAA 33 CON 2022)

M/s. Infosys Limited have proposed for construction of IT & ITES Office Buildings Project on a plot area of 3,49,387.627 Sqm. The total built up area is 4,20,398.834 sq m. The proposed project consists of Project consists of 50 nos. of software development buildings sprawled across Building No. 1: GF+2UF+ Mezzanine Floor, Building No. 2: GF+2UF, Building Nos. 3,4,5 - GF+5UF(It is addition of 3 Upper Floors on top of the existing G+2 Floors), Building No. 6 &7: GF+2UF, Building No. 8: GF+1UF, Building No. 9 & 10: GF+2UF, Building No. 11: BF+GF+2UF, Building No. 12: GF+2UF, Building Nos. 14,15,16 & 17: GF+2UF, Building No. 18: BF+GF, Building Nos. 19,20,21 & 22: GF+2UF, Building Nos. 23,24,25 & 26: BF+GF+2UF, Building No. 27: BF+GF, Building No. 28 & 29:BF+GF+2UF, BuildingNos:30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 & 41: GF+3UF, Building No. 42 & 43: GF+4UF, Building No. 44 & 45: BF+GF+6UF, Building No. 46 & 47: BF+GF+1UF, Building No. 48: GF+5UF & Building No. 49 & 50: BF+GF+4UF, MLVP, Helipad & HP site. Total water consumption is 2502 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 1500 KLD. The

1

Room No. 706, 7th Floor, 4th Gate, M.S. Building, Bangalore - 560 001 Phone : 080-22032497 Fax : 080-22254377 Website : http://environmentclearance.nic.in http://seiaa.karnataka.gov.in e-mail : msseiaakarnataka@gmail.com

project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 2500 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 228 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
No1.	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Guruprakash Sastry, Regional Head- Infrastructure M/s. Infosys Limited, 44, Infosys Avenue, Electronics City, Hosur Road, Bengaluru – 560 100.
2.	Name & Location of the Project	IT & ITES Office Buildings Survey Nos. 28/1, 28/2, 28/3C, 28/4, 28/6, 3/1A, 3/1B, 3/2, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4, 6/5, 4/1, 4/2, 4/3, 4/4, 26/1, 26/2, 2/3A, 28/3C, 28/3D, 3/2P, 28/3A, 28/3B, 28/P, 28/4P, 6/8, 6/9, 92, 93, 28/5, 29, 10(P), 11 (Plot No. 45 & 46), 5(P) - Plot No. 44 & 97 A(P), 5(P) - (Plot No. 97B, 97C, 97D, 97E, 97G), 11 & 15, 26/3, 26/4, 26/5, 26/6, 2/3A, 2/3B, 2/3C, 7(P), 1/14, 28/5, 29, 27, 8P, 9P, Site No. 113, 123, 23D, 190, Konappana Agrahara and Sy. No. 44, Doddathoguru Village, Begur Hobli, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru.
3.	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	IT & ITES Office Buildings Category 8(b) as per EIA Notification, 2006.
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4.	New/-Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion.
5.	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Since the land is allotted from KIADB, the roads and external storm water drain has been formed.
6.	Plot Area (Sqm)	3,49,387.627
7.	Built Up area (Sqm)	4,20,398.834
8.	FAR Permissible Proposed	3.25 1.057

Drafted by ke___

		Project consists of 50 nos. of software
	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	development buildings sprawled across Building No. 1: GF+2UF+ Mezzanine Floor, Building No. 2: GF+2UF, Building Nos. 3,4,5 - GF+5UF(It is addition of 3 Upper Floors on top of the existing G+2 Floors), Building No. 6 &7: GF+2UF, Building No. 8: GF+1UF, Building No. 9 & 10:
9,		GF+2UF, Building No. 11: BF+GF+2UF, Building No. 12: GF+2UF, Building Nos. 14,15,16 & 17: GF+2UF, Building No. 18: BF+GF, Building Nos. 19,20,21 & 22: GF+2UF, Building Nos. 23,24,25 & 26: BF+GF+2UF, Building No. 27: BF+GF, Building No. 28 & 29:BF+GF+2UF, Building Nos:30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 & 41: GF+3UF, Building No. 42 & 43: GF+4UF, Building No. 44 & 45: BF+GF+6UF, Building No. 46 & 47: BF+GF+1UF, Building No. 48: GF+5UF & Building No. 49 & 50: BF+GF+4UF, MLVP, Helipad & HP site.
10.	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	NA NA
11.	Height Clearance	As per CCZM, the permissible height is 93 m AMSL and the maximum height achieved is 29.40 m.
12.	Project Expansion Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 228Crores
13.	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	The project does not involve cutting, filling, reclamation work, since it is a vertical expansion of Building No. 3, 4 & 5.
14.	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	94,680.66Sq.mt
b.	Kharab Land	-
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	1,20,166.20 Sq.mt
d.	Internal Roads	1,34,540.767 Sq.mt
e.	Paved area]
f.	Others Specify	-
g.	Parks and Open space in case	

	of Residential Township/				
]	Area Development Projects				
h.	Total	3,49,387.627Sq.mt			
15.	WATER				
I.	Construction Phase				
a.	Source of water	The domestic water requirement will be met from external water suppliers and water requirement for construction purpose will be sourced from existing STP tertiary treated water.			
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD				
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	7.5 KLD			
d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	6.7 KLD			
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water				
II.	Operational Phase				
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Total2502 KLD			
b.	Source of water	BWSSB			
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD				
d.	STP capacity	Existing STP Capacity - 2500KLD			
e.	Technology employed for Treatment				
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any				
16.	Infrastructure for Rain water h	arvesting			
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	RWH sump - 175 cum and Ponds total capacity - 3083 cum			
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	55 Nos.			
17.	Storm water management plan	Internal garland drains will be provided within the site in order to carry out the storm water into the recharge pits and will be managed within the site, excess runoff will be routed in to the external storm water drain on northern, eastern and southernside of project site.			
18.	WASTE MANAGEMENT				
I.	Construction Phase	<u> </u>			

	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Labour Colonies are proposed outside the campus within the short distance from Campus. Hence, there will be less generation of domestic solid waste, organic waste will be treated in existing Biogas Plant within the site & inorganic waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers. Construction debris - 3587 m ³ This will be reused within the site for road and pavement formation.	
	II.	Operational Phase		
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1656 kg/day This will be segregated and will be processed in existing biogas plant of 2TPD capacity within the site.	
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	2485 kg/day Recyclable wastes will be handed over to authorized waste recyclers	
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste oil from DG sets, oil soaked cotton waste, oil filters - Paint cans/containers & chemical containers of total quantity - 2,209 kgs/annum which to be stored and handed over to KSPCB authorized vendors for further recycling /reprocessing /incineration/ reuse.	
:	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes such as UPS, cartridges, CD drives of total quantity 1,32,160 kgs/annum to be collected separately & it to be handed over to KSPCB authorized E-waste vendors for further processing.	
	19.	POWER		
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	13,692.83 kVA	
	b. Capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply Details of Fuel used for DG Set		1250 kVA 2 Nos. 2000 KVA 8 Nos. 2270 KVA 2 Nos. & 2750 KVA 2 Nos .	
			5980 1/hr	
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total Energy savings is around 56 %	

20.	PARKING					
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Company buses- 144 Nos. Existing car parking- 1475 Nos. Proposed additional car parking – 491 Nos.				
		Road	Towards	Existin g traffic	Changed scenario after namma metro	
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Infosys Avenue	Neeladri Road	0.44 LOS C	0.29 LOS B	
		Road	Hosur Road	0.42 LOS C	0.28 LOS B	
		Hosur Rd	Bangalor e	0.46 LOS C	0.30 LOS B	
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	NH44- 41.2 mts				
21.	CER Activities	BMRCL which includes developing the Electronics City Metro Station –18000.00 Lakhs			· ·	
Construction Phase Capital Inves		onstruction –18022.00 Lakhs nvestment –1412.42 Lakhs n Investment –498.47 Lakhs / Annum				

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (Premakumar@mail.com) on 10th August 2022 for the present proposal and the committee at the time of appraisal sought point wise clarification for the complaint received from the project Proponent and Consultant. Upon obtaining clarification for the same, the committee after discussion accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proponent informed the Committee that, existing 50nos of buildings is with BUA of 3,95,837.114Sqm in a plot area of 3,49,388 Sqm and the of buildings was constructed by obtaining plan sanction from KIADB and ELCITA and were constructed prior to EIA Notification 2006 and hence was exempted from provision of EC and had valid CFO from KSPCB for the existing units and has currently proposed for vertical expansion by addition of three upper floors in building no. 3,4 and 5 with BUA 24,561.72Sqm and with overall BUA of 4,20,399Sqm and with no change in plot area. SEIAA had issued ToR on 23.05.2022.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area and details of waste handling considering the proposed expansion. The proponent informed the committee that for harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 175cumcapacity of sump for runoff from

Drafted by

1

rooftop and pond of 3083cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 55nos recharge pits has been proposed. For handling of waste in the proposed expansion, the for the proposed expansion, proponent informed that bio degradable waste of125kg/day willbe processed in existing 2TPD capacity biogas plant within site area and inorganic waste of 186kg/day will be handled over to the KSPCB Authorized vendors.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow and maintain 5474 trees in the project area and to charge electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to have site visit to know about the existing green building concepts, existing provisions for rain water harvesting and various energy conservation methods followed in existing campus.

The committee in 283rd SEAC Meeting had deferred the appraisal to have site visit. Accordingly the sub-committee had visited M/s. Infosys Limited campus on 17.08.2022 and the observations were recorded in the 284th SEAC meeting.

The proponent in the present meeting replied for the observations of the sub-committee,

1) Rain water Harvesting: The total runoff from the site is collected in sump of capacity 175 cum. There are 3 Nos. water ponds of capacity 3083 cum and 55 Nos. of recharge pits. They have made provision for aeration ponds.

The proponent agreed.

2) Water is sourced from BWSSB

The proponent agreed.

3) Planted more than 5474 trees all over, the campus.

The proponent agreed.

4) STP of 3 MLD Capacity with MBR Technology, presently receiving 1.5 MLD of Sewage.

Drafted by

The proponent agreed.

5) In the whole campus the internal roads is pedestrian free roads and no entry to the vehicles and there are five food courts in the campus.

The proponent agreed.

6) Biogas plant was not in operation at the time of inspection since employees are not attending the work place due to Covid pandemic.

The proponent informed the committee that the Biogas plant is in operation, albeit with reduced capacity due to decrease in employee presence in the campus. The operational biogas plant and the log book was also shown to the sub-committee and submitted the details of the log book.

7) Bio-methonisation plant is not in good use. Hence requires an undertaking from the project proponent stating it will be effectively used on daily basis. They have to maintain methane gas production log book.

The proponent informed the committee that the Biogas plant is in regular usage and Methane gas production is regularly logged.

8) In biomethanisation plant only intake Cooked Food waste, left over wet waste like Bones, Vegetables waste and other waste are carrying to Sarjapur Infosys Campus, as per MSW 2016 rules Bulk generator need to process wet waste in in-house.

The proponent informed the committee that, due to decrease in usage of biogas in the cafeteria currently, optimum food waste is used in the biogas plant and some quantity is being sent to the OWC for effective composting along with garden waste. OWC is also situated in the Infosys campus in Sarjapur.

9) They store their e-waste in a designated room. The total e-waste generated from the campus is handed over to Cerebra Integrated Technologies. They have to submit EPR arrangement between the user and producer.

The proponent informed that the Infosys Limited comes under Bulk consumers and EPR authorization is not required as per clarification issued by Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) waste management division, dated 06.06.2019.

Drafted by

10) Cars and bus parking areas has to be provided with roofing so that solar system has to be installed on the roof of the building.

The proponent informed the committee that, Infosys Bengaluru Campus already gets more than 85% of its electricity from renewable sources. This includes in house generation as well as green power purchase.

The committee informed the proponent to increase the solar energy harvesting in the campus, for which the proponent agreed.

11) While carrying out skinning out of existing structure and handling C& D waste, quantify and fallow C & D 20016 Rules

The proponent informed that there will be minimum generation of construction and demolition waste and will be used for ancillary road works and backfilling in the proposed structures.

Further, the committee informed the proponent to undertake lake development and to adopt a village for development under CER activities, for which the proponent agreed. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. STP designed shall be incorporated with facility for removing oil and grease from the sewage before it is treated in the biological system.
- 2. The project proponent shall submit revised rainwater harvesting and recharge pit calculations by realistically considering the intensity of rainfall.
- 3. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 4. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 5. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the

Drafted by ki

- proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 6. The PP shall submit detailed revised CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 7. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 100% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

225.1.2. Multi storied Residential Towers, Shopping complex at Donimalai Township of NMDC at Narasingapur Village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari District by M/s. NMDC Limited Donimalai - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/259580/2022 (SEIAA 44 CON 2022)

M/s. NMDC Limited have proposed for construction of Multi storied Residential Towers, shopping complex Project on a plot area of 1,61,850 Sq.m. The total built up area is 1,41,884.96 Sq m. The proposed project consists of 677 numbers of Flats Type III – 560 units: 14 Towers of 10 Floors with 4 Units in each floor. Type IV – 96 Units: 2 Towers of 8 Floors with 06 Units in each floor Type V – 21 Units: 1 Tower of 7 Floors with 03 units in each floor Shopping Complex – G+ 1- building. Total water consumption is 730 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 646 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 3 MLD. The project cost is Rs. 395 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr Sanjeev Sahi, Chief General Manager, M/s. NMDC Limited, Donimalai, Ballari District.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Multi storied Residential Towers, shopping complex in survey no: 72/1 and 75 in Donimalai Township of NMDC at Narasingapura village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari District

Drafted by

Sl.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION		
No				
3	Type of Development			
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Residential Towers with Shopping Complex. Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006		
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	•		
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New Proposal		
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Tertiary nala in northern side of the project site.		
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	1,61,850 Sq.m		
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,41,884.96 Sq.m		
8	FAR Permissible Proposed	2.50.63		
Number of Blocks / Towers / Units in each floor Basements and Upper Floors] Type V - 25 each floor		Type III – 560 units: 14 Towers of 10 Floors with 4 Units in each floor. Type IV – 96 Units: 2 Towers of 8 Floors with 06 Units in each floor Type V – 21 Units: 1 Tower of 7 Floors with 03 units in each floor Shopping Complex – G+ 1- building		
10 Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township / Area Development Projects		Total No of Flats: 677		
11	Height Clearance	Not Applicable		
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	395 Crores		
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	Excavated earth material will be around 1,60,000 Cu.m which will be used within the project site for earth filling and levelling the low-lying area and excess quantity if any in acquired land of NMDC in Donimalai.		
14	Details of Land Use (Sq.m)			
a.	Ground Coverage Area	14,175.30 Sq.m		
b.	Kharab Land			

<u>Jl</u>

No c.	Total Green belt on Mother			
c.	Total Green belt on Mother			
		34,013.31 Sq.m v	within the proposed residential towers	
	Earth for projects under 8(a) of	complex.		
	the schedule of the EIA	59,532.52 Sq.m	left for future expansion will also be	
	notification, 2006		een belt till the time of area is utilised	
		for expansion of	residential towers)	
d.	Internal Roads	31,600.69 Sq.m which also includes parking area.		
e.	Paved area	22,528.18 Sq.m (Pedestrian & Service Yard)	
f.	Others Specify			
g.	Parks and Open space in case of			
	Residential Township/ Area	Provided (detail	s as per 14(c) above)	
╽┕	Development Projects			
h.	Total	1,61,850 sq.m		
15	WATER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
I.	Construction Phase			
a.	Source of water	Narihalla Dam	-	
b.	Quantity of water for	50KLD		
	Construction in KLD			
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic	45 KLD		
	Purpose in KLD			
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	40 KLD		
e.	Treatment facility proposed and	In existing STP of	capacity of 3 MLD (SBR Technology)	
	scheme of disposal of treated	and treated water reuse in Green Belt area.		
	water			
II.	Operational Phase			
a.	Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	560 KLD	
	KLD	Recycled	170 KLD	
		Total	730 KLD	
b.	Source of water	Narihalla dam		
C.	Waste water generation in KLD	646 KLD		
d.	STP capacity	3 MLD (existing	unit)	
e.	Technology employed for	Sequential Batch		
	Treatment		` ′	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	No treated water will be let out, total quantum of		
	treated water if any	treated water will be used within NMDC Premises.		
16	Infrastructure for Rain water har	vesting	<u> </u>	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store	The roof top ra	in water will be connected to the re-	
	Roof run off	·	n underground water tanks of 398.82	
		cu.m capacity and also u/g water tanks of 75.24 cu.m		
	<u> </u>	capacity for gard		

Drafted by king



Sl.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION		
No				
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	18 No.s of Rain water harvesting pits (Type-III: 14 no.s each of size: 2m x 2m x 2.5m, Type-IV: 2 no.s of each of size 2.5m x 3.7m x 2.5m, Type-V: 1 no of size 2.5m x 2.5m x 2.5m and shopping complex: 1 no of size 2.5m x 4m x 2.5m) proposed which will recharge ground water to extent of 220.93 cu.m.		
17	Storm water management plan	Excess run off will be routed and connected to existing natural drainage network which is connected to Narihalla dam.		
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT			
I.	Construction Phase			
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Excavated earth material will be around 1,60,000 Cu.m which will be used within the project site for earth filling and levelling the low lying area and excess quantity if any will be dumped in acquired land of NMDC in Donimalai.		
II.	Operational Phase			
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	741 Kg/Day will be treated in Bio-methanation / digester as per Solid Waste management Rules, 2016.		
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1111 Kg/Day will be disposed through KSPCB authorized vendors / recyclers as per Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016		
C.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Around 1.25 TPA quantity of Hazardous waste such as Used Oil generated from DG Sets to be disposed through KSPCB approved vendor.		
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Around 1.5 - 2 TPA quantity of E-waste will be disposed through KSPCB approved vendor.		
19	POWER			
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	5000 kVA		
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2 No.s X 275 kVA 1 No X 400 kVA & 2 No.s X 500 kVA		
C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD		

1	Sl. No	PA	RTICUL	ARS	INFOR	INFORMATION			
Percentage of plan for utilizenergy as per 20 PARKING		rcentage an for util ergy as po	servation plan and of savings includin lization of solar er ECBC 2007	 the project. Usage of poly carbonate roof sheeting to the central portion of the tower. Usage of LED lighting (168.61 KW (Approximate)) Installation of Roof top solar panel (1,76 /day) Total Energy Conservation will be approximately 		roof sheeting to illumina tower. 58.61 KW (Approx)) blar panel (1,769.49 kWH	te I		
	a.	a. Parking Requirement as per norms			1_	T			
			S.No	Specification	Type - III	Type -	Type - V	Shopping Complex	
			1	Stilt Parking	224 Nos	56 Nos	12 Nos	Nil	
			2	en Parking	392 Nos	72 Nos	23 Nos	21 Nos	
			Total		616 Nos	128 Nos	35 Nos	21 Nos	
	ъ.	coı	nnecting l	vice (LOS) of the Roads as per the y Report	Modifie	ed LOS "C"			
	c.	+	_	ad width (RoW)	W) 7.5 mts – carriage way and 1.20 m foot path on both sides of carriage way.				oth
2		CE	R Activit	ties				for Sandur Town	〓
2	2	EN •	EMP Construction phase Rs 2295.11 Lakhs Rs 179.50 Lakhs						

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment project in zoning limits of Vijayanagar Area Development Authority (VADA), proponent informed that proposed activity is permitted in the proposed zoning limits of VADA.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for details of nala as per village map, details of existing quarters, green building concepts, provisions for biomethanation plant, high tension line passing inside the plot area and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent informed the committee that

Drafted by ka

a tertiary drain is passing in northern side of the plot area for which a buffer of 15 mtrs from center to be proposed at the time of expansion, as presently it is in the area reserved for future expansion and presently no construction activities is proposed in the area reserved for future expansion. Proponent informed that existing campus is built prior to EIA Notification 2006 and there will be no demolition of existing buildings and for proposed residential tower to be constructed as per green building concepts with provisions for installing bio-digester for handling bio degradable waste and with regards to high tension line, proponent informed that HT lines are away from building line with suitable buffer. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed two ponds of 1000 cum capacity for runoff from rooftop, landscape and paved areas in addition to 18 nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the committee informed the proponent to increase the water harvesting capacity so as to be self sustainable (water positive) and to decrease dependency on fresh water from (Narihalla) reservoir and also to develop green belt in the area reserved for future development and the proponent agreed. Rain water harvesting to be adopted at their existing housing housing colony to utilize water for non drinking purpose.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow total of 2024 trees in the proposed project area and would provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. STP should be redesigned for Biological Nitrogen Removal. / BNR and oil and grease separation system unit along with design calculation and revised budgetary allocation for the same should be submitted.
- 2. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.

Drafted by

1 - 1 -

- 3. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 4. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 5. The PP shall submit detailed revised CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 6. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 7. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 225.1.3. Non-Residential (Education) Development project at Vasudevapura Village, Govindapura Village & Kenchanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. Manipal Academy of Higher Education Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/81617/2022 (SEIAA 19 CON 2022)

M/s. Manipal Academy of Higher Education Trust have proposed for construction of Non-Residential (Education) Development project on a plot area of 3,26,806.22 sqm. The total built up area is 3,33,133.90 Sqm. The proposed project consists of following

EXISTING BUILDINGS

S1 No.	Description/ Building	Number Of Floors
1	Academic Building-3	Ground+5 Floors
2	Academic Building-4	Ground+6 Floors
3	Hostel Block-01	Ground+5 Floors

Drafted by

4	Hostel Block-02	Ground+5 Floors
5	Security Block-01	Ground +1 Floor
	Central Utility	Under Ground+
6	Block 01	Ground

PROPOSED BUILDINGS

Sl	DESCRIPTION/	NUMBER OF
No.	BUILDING	FLOORS
1	Academic Building-01	Ground+5 Floors
2	Academic Building-02	Ground+5 Floors
3	Academic Building-05	Ground+5 Floors
4	Hostel Block-03	Ground+5 Floors
5	Hostel Block-04	Ground+12 Floors
6	Sports Complex	Ground+3 Floors
7	Auditorium /Food Court/Student Facility Center	Ground+3 Floors
8	Security Block -02	Ground
9	Security Block -03	Ground
10	Security Block -04	Ground+ 1 Floor
11	Mlcp	Ground+12 Floors
12	Service Yard	Ground
13	University Administration And Library Building	1basement +Ground+ 5 Floors
14	Academic Building-6	1basement +Ground+ 5 Floors
15	Center For Research And Development Block	Ground+5 Floors
16	Central Utility Block 02	Ground
17	STP	Under Ground+ ground

Total water consumption is 1629 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 1470 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 1500 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 1,672 Crores

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

1	l. Io	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Manipal Academy of Higher Education Trust, Manipal,15th Floor, JW Marriott, 24/1, Vittal Mallya Road, Bangalore- 560001	
Non-Reproject at Sy. I 10(P), 3 17/3(P) 21(P) of 4(P), 5 Village, Kencha		Name & Location of the Project	Non-Residential (Education) Development	
3		Type of Development		
/ Row Houses / Vertical Categoral a. Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital		/ Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/	Educational Institution Category 8(b) as per EIA Notification 2006	
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA	
4		New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion.	
5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Primary drain in western side	
6		Plot Area (Sqm)	Total site area: 3,26,806.22 sqm Kharab area: 2,630.43 Sqmt Net site area: 3,24,175.79 Sqmt	
7	7 Built Up area (Sqm)		3,33,133.90 sqm	
8		FAR • Permissible	Permissibl e F.A.R. 3.0	
0		Proposed	Proposed F.A.R. 0.7716	

Drafted by

W.

EXIST	EXISTING BUILDINGS				
Sl No.	Description/ Building	Number Floors	Of		
1	Academic Building-3	Ground+5 Floors			
2	Academic Building-4	Ground+6 Floors			
3	Hostel Block-01	Ground+5 Floors			
4	Hostel Block-02	Ground+5 Floors			
5	Security Block-01	Ground Floor	+1		
6	Central Utility Block 01	Under Ground+ Ground			

Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]

PROPOSED BUILDINGS

Sl No	DESCRIPTION/ BUILDING	NUMBER OF FLOORS
1	Academic Building- 01	Ground+5 Floors
2	Academic Building- 02	Ground+5 Floors
3	Academic Building- 05	Ground+5 Floors
4	Hostel Block-03	Ground+5 Floors
5	Hostel Block-04	Ground+12 Floor
6	Sports Complex	Ground+3 Floors
7	Auditorium /Food Court/Student Facility Center	Ground+3 Floors
8	Security Block -02	Ground
9	Security Block -03	Ground
10	Security Block -04	Ground+ 1 Floor
11	Mlcp	Ground+12 Floor
12	Service Yard	Ground

Drafted by Le

9

_		<u> </u>				_
				University	1basement	
			13	Administration And	+Ground+	5
			Ш.	Library Building	Floors	
					1basement	Γ
			14	Academic Building-6	+Ground+	5
					Floors	
			15	Center For Research		Ī
			15	And Development Block	Ground+5 Floors	
			16	Central Utility Block 02	Ground	
			17	STP	Under Ground ground	+
		Number of units/plots in case	NA			Γ
1	Λ	of Construction/Residential				
1	U	Township / Area Development				
		Projects				
			NoC	from IAF Dated: 13.03	3.2020 and as per	1
1	1 :	Height Clearance		CCZM Bangalore permissible top elevation		
*	•	Tiergitt Cicarance	is 1065m AMSL and proposed top elevation			
			_	4,40m AMSL.		
1	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		,672 Crores		
				e is no demolition wast		
	_	Disposal of Demolition waste		ntity of Excavated earth		
13	3	and or Excavated earth	For back filling = 207,000 cum			
			l	andscape=100,000 cum		
1	4	Date to a title (C.)	For I	nternal Road making =	3000 cum	-
1		Details of Land Use (Sqm)	47 00	4.40.5		
	a.	Ground Coverage Area		1.10 Sqm (14.61%)	·	
	b.	Kharab Land		0.43 Sqmt	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
		Total Green belt on Mother	91,66	0.14 Sqm (28.27%)		
	c.	Earth for projects under 8(a) of			•	
		the schedule of the EIA				
	.1	notification, 2006				
	d.	Internal Roads Paved area	1, 85,	.494.55 Sqm (57.22%)		
	e.				. .	ŀ
	f.	Others Specify	NA			-
	ا ہ	Parks and Open space in case of	NA			
	g.	Residential Township/ Area				
	h	Development Projects	2.26	206.22 Comt		ł
h. Total 3,26,806.22 Sqmt			ı			
1		WATER	0,20,	SOULZE EMILL		1

Drafted by kein

	I.	Construction Phase		
	a.	Source of water	BWSSB STP treated water	
-	а.	Quantity of water for	20 KLD	cutcu water
	b.	Construction in KLD	ZO RED	
		Quantity of water for Domestic	5 KLD	
	c.	Purpose in KLD	O RED	
╽┟	d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	4 KLD	
	<u></u>	Treatment facility proposed and		e treated in existing STP
	e.	scheme of disposal of treated		
	-	water		
	II.	Operational Phase		
			Fresh	979KLD
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in	Recycled	650 KLD
		KLD	Total	1629 KLD
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB	
	c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	1470 KLD	
	d.	STP capacity	1500 KLD	
		Technology employed for	SBR	
	e.	Treatment		
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Treated water to be completely utilized	
	1.	treated water if any	water if any within the site area.	
10	6	Infrastructure for Rain water har		
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store	2 1200 CUM	
	u.	Roof run off		
	Ъ.	No's of Ground water recharge	28 Nos.	
Ш		pits		
	_		Storm water to be harvested in pond of	
1	7	Storm water management plan	capacity 8000cum and excess to be used for	
-		WAR COURT NAME OF THE VET	narvesting in	28nos of recharge pits.
	8	WASTE MANAGEMENT	<u> </u>	
	I.	Construction Phase	Oby manageta	to be handed over to BBMP
		Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of		I to be handed over to bowle
	a.	8	aumonues	
	77	Disposal as per norms		
	II.	Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable	1803 kg/d	ay converted in to organic
		waste generation and mode of		ay converted in to organic sed for garden
	a.	Disposal as per norms	Limite and C	ioca for garden
		Quantity of Non- Biodegradable	2705 kg/day	given to authorized recycler
	Ъ.	waste generation and mode of		0
	"	Disposal as per norms		
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	250-4001 give	n to PCB authorized recycler
	<u> </u>	American or supportant the transfer	1 B-1 -	

Drafted by Kei

		generation and mode of		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•		Disposal as per norms		
		Quantity of E waste generation	500 kg/year to PC	B authorized recyclers
	d.	and mode of Disposal as per		-
		norms		
1	9	POWER	ü.	
	_	Total Power Requirement -	2452 kW	
	a.	Operational Phase		
H		Numbers of DG set and capacity	625 KVA x 6 Nos.	
	b. ;	in KVA for Standby Power		
		Supply		
1 [c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric die	sel
		Energy conservation plan and	Total savings of 54	%
	đ.	Percentage of savings including		
	u.	plan for utilization of solar		
		energy as per ECBC 2007		
20	0	PARKING	<u> </u>	
	а.	Parking Requirement as per	2071 ECS	
	а.	norms		
		Level of Service (LOS) of the	B&C	
	b.	connecting Roads as per the		
		Traffic Study Report		
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0 mts	
2	1		Nearby Lake	Rejuvenation and
		CER Activities		lrains. To provide health
			care unit for the loc	al people.
22	2		Capital	35.0 Lakhs
			investment	
		EMP	During	141.0 Lakhs/annum
		 Construction phase 	Construction	
		 Operation Phase 	Capital	809.0 lakhs
ĺ		:	investment	
L_			During operation	97.0 lakhs/annum

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion of educational institution building, for which the proponent informed that education institutional buildings with BUA upto 1,50,000 sqm is exempted from EC as per EIA Notification, 2006 and informed that existing buildings is with total BUA of 78,985.28 Sqm and certified the same from architect. Now proposal

Drafted by ke

M

is for a BUA of 3,33,133.90 Sqm in a plot area of 3,26,806.22 Sqm. The proponent informed the committee that they have implemented sustainable environment management plan for existing buildings and had obtained plan sanction from BBMP and CFO from KSPCB dated 19.04.2022 for existing buildings. SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.05.2022 for the proposed expansion.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for drains, foot kharab as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA, adjacent railway line, provisions for bio gas plant and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The proponent informed the committee that the primary drain in west is out of the buffer zone for the proposed project area and the tertiary drains in west and center a buffer of 15 mtr on either sides from center is proposed. Proponent had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA for the proposed areas on 22.09.2012 for area of 13A 22G. For railway line in west the proponent informed that a buffer more than 30 mtr is left from the railway line to the building line. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed four tanks of 1200 cum total capacity for runoff from rooftop and a pond of capacity 8000 cum for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 28 nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the committee informed the proponent to manage excess drainage water within the site area, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow total of 4085 trees in the proposed project area and to provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to obtain necessary permission to construct bridge/culvers for crossing drains and to leave free public access in kharab area.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. The project Proponent shall submit revised STP flow chart along with design details to achieve desired quality of treated water.

Drafted by

<u>ll</u>

- 2. The project proponent shall provide details of builtup area of existing and proposed buildings along with cumulative areas.
- 3. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 4. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 5. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 6. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 7. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall leave the kharab area for free access to public.

225.1.4. Residential Building Project at Begur Village, Begur Hobli, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/287770/2022 (SEIAA 113 CON 2022)

M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 6,171.39 Sqm. The total built up area is 25,534.59 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 255 units in 2BF+ G+14UF+TF. Total water consumption is 173 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 138.4 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 150 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 41.2 Crores.

Drafted by Ke

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the	M. R. ShivashankarChikkeri
	Project Proponent	Authorized Signatory
	·	M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd.,
		#1854, 17th main, 30th 'B' Cross, HBR Layout,
		1st stage, 5th Block, Bengaluru-560043
2		Construction of Residential Apartment
	Project	Located at Khatha No: 29 Sy No: 134/3,
		Begur Village, Begur Hobli, Bengaluru
		South Taluk, Bengaluru District
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment /	Construction of Residential Apartment
	Villas / Row Houses /	Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
	Vertical Development /	
	Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/	
	Hotel/ Hospital / other	NTot Applicable
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not Applicable
4	New/ Expansion/	New
4	Modification / Renewal	I JAGAA
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the	NA
	vicinity of project site	
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	6,171.39 Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	25,534,59 Sqm.
8	FAR	
	Permissible	2.25
	Proposed	2.247
: 9	Building Configuration [
	Number of Blocks / Towers	2BF+ G+14UF+TF
	/ Wings etc., with Numbers	·
	of Basements and Upper	
	Floors	
10	Number of units/plots in	
	case of	255 units
	Construction/Residential	
	Township /Area	
	Development Projects	D. C.
11	Height Clearance	Project site elevation – 898 m
		Building Height - 43.95 m
1		Maximum building height -941.95 m
		Maximum height as per CCZM 1010 m

Drafted by kai

12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	41.2 Crores.	
13	Disposal of Demolition waste	NA	
and or Excavated earth			
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	<u>-,-</u>	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	1,148.28Sqm	
b.	Kharab Land		
c.	Total Green belt on Mother	2,036.56Sqm	
	Earth for projects under 8(a)	•	
	of the schedule of the EIA		
	notification, 2006	<u>-</u> .	
d.	Paved area	1,962.74Sqm	
e.	Others Specify	Civic ameniti	es - 1,023.81Sqm
f.	Parks and Open space in case		
	of Residential Township/		
	Area Development Projects		
g.	Total	6,171.39sqm	
15	WATER		
I.	Construction Phase	CTTD / 1	
a.	Source of water	STP treated water for construction purpose & Tanker water for domestic	
b.	Quantity of water for	-	er for domestic
-	Construction in KLD		
c.		5 KLD	
	Domestic Purpose in KLD		
d.	Wastewater generation in	4 KLD	
	KLD		
e.	Treatment facility proposed	Will be treate	ed in septic tank followed by
	and scheme of disposal of	soak pit.	•
	treated water		
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water	Fresh	115 KLD
	in KLD	Recycled	58 KLD
<u> </u>		Total	173 KLD
b.	Source of water	BWSSB	
C.	Wastewater generation in KLD	138.4 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	150 KLD	
e.	Technology employed for		ch Reactor (SBR) Technology
<u> </u>	Treatment	1	(222) 10000069
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Available trea	ited water - 131.48 KLD (95%
	treated water if any	of sewage wa	•
		For flushing -	58 KLD

Drafted by kein

	For landscape gardening -12 KLD
	For vertical gardening –12 KLD
·	For car washing-14 KLD
	For floor washing-38 KLD
Infractivistics for Painwater be	
store Roof run off	
No's of Ground water recharge pits	10 no's
Storm water management plan	Storm water to be harvested in pond of capacity 150cum and excess to be rerouted to 10nos of recharge pits.
-	
Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	, ,
Operational Phase	
 -	Quantity -229.6 kg/day
waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Organic wastes will be segregated & collected separately and processed in organic waste converter Sludge generated from STP of capacity 7.5 kg/day will be reused as manure for greenery development purposes.
Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Recyclable waste will be given to the waste
Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	proof barrels and handed over to the authorized waste oil recyclers.
Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	
POWER	<u> </u>
Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	BESCOM - 800 kW
Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby	
	No's of Ground water recharge pits Storm water management plan WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms POWER Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase Numbers of DG set and

	Power Supply	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 2.8%
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	200ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	,
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	Approach road width – 15 m (W) Internal road width – 8 m
21	CER Activities	Lake rejuvenation activity for Begur lake and strengthening of drain.
22	Construction phase Operation Phase	Construction phase – 9.75 lakh Operational Phase – 114.24 lakh

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment building in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for natural drain and foot kharab as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent informed the committee that there is a drain in southern side is out of the buffer zone to the project boundary and there is an existing public road in the foot kharab area. For sensitive zone proponent informed that the proposed project area does not fall in the sensitive zone area as per RMP of BDA. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed 70cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop and a ponds of capacity 150cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 10nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the committee informed the proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and manage excess drainage water within the site area, for which the proponent agreed

Drafted by ke

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow a total of 78 trees in the proposed project area and would provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. STP should be redesigned for Biological Nitrogen Removal. / BNR and oil and grease separation system unit along with design calculation and revised budgetary allocation for the same should be submitted.
- 2. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 3. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 4. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 5. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 6. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 7. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Drafted by ke

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 225.1.5. Residential Apartment Building Project at Konadasapura Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Bangalore Development Authority - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/281954/2022 (SEIAA 97 CON 2022)

M/s. Bangalore Development Authority have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 56,670.22 Sq. mt. The total built up area is 1,48,450.17 Sq.mt. The proposed project consists of 896 No's of units in Tower 1 to Tower -8: B+G+13UF. Total water consumption is 799 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 640 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 675 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 195 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Bangalore Development Authority (BDA) T. Chowdaiah, Kumara Park West, Bangalore - 560 020
2	Name & Location of the Project	Proposed Residential Apartment, at Sy No 20, 21 and 22 of Konadasapura Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New

Drafted by ke

5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Yele Mallappa Shetty Lake- 3.0 km (SW) Chinnagenahalli Lake- 1.0 km (SW) Sannathamanahalli lake-1.0 km (NE) Tertiary Nala(NW)	
6		Plot Area (Sqm)	56,670.22 Sq. mt	
7		Built Up area (Sqm)	1,48,450.17.Sq.mt	
8		FAR • Permissible • Proposed	2.5 2.46	
9		Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Tower 1 to Tower -8: B+G+13UF	
10)	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	896nos	
11	1	Height Clearance	CCZM plan : permissible 1035m AMSL and proposed 928.50m AMSL	
12	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	195 crore	
13	3	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	96,750cum The earth excavated generated from the project site will be utilized within the project premises for back filling, gardening road and walk way and construction of compound wall.	
14	4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
T	a.	Ground Coverage Area	8606.24 Sq.mts	
	b.	Kharab Land	7164.64 Sq.mts (Road)	
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	24543.53 Sq.mt	
	d.	Internal Roads	100/E 91 Fa mil	
-	e.	Paved area	13865.81 Sq.mt	
	f.	Others Specify	2490 Sq.mts (Civic amenities)	
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-	
	h.	Total	56,670.22Sqm	
1	<u>5</u>	WATER		
	I.	Construction Phase		

a.	Source of water	Tertiary treated water from STP		
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD			
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	2.4KLD		
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	2.16KLD		
		The total domestic wastewater generated		
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	during construction phase will be treated in		
II.	II. Operational Phase		ed to develop die landscape.	
		Fresh	533KLD	
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled	266 KLD	
	ALD	Total	799 KLD	
b	Source of water	Gramapanchay	rath	
C.	Waste water generation in KLD	640KLD		
d.	STP capacity	675 KLD		
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR		
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	266 KLD will be recycled/ reused for toilet flushing, 245KLD for landscaping, 38KLD for Floor & common area washing, 41KLD for internal & Pavement area maintenance and 18KLD for car washing within the project site.		
16	Infrastructure for Rain water har	vesting	world with the project site.	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	250 cum roof top water collection sump		
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	21		
17	Storm water management plan		be collected in sump of 100 cum cess to be rerouted to rainwater	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	<u> </u>		
I.	Construction Phase			
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Total solid waste generation will be 6 kg/day; which will be disposed by contractor		
II.	Operational Phase			
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1773.90 kg /day Composting by (OWC) converte landscaping.	using organic waste Convertered as manure &used for	
	<u> </u>			



:	Quantity of Non-	1182.60 kg/day; which will	be handed over to	
b.	Biodegradable waste generation	the authorized vendor.		
	and mode of Disposal as per			
	norms		-1 1 3	
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Waste oil to be hand over to authorized		
C.	generation and mode of	vendors		
	Disposal as per norms	The state of the s	-theriand wondows	
	Quantity of E waste generation	E wasteto be hand over to authorized vendors		
d.	and mode of Disposal as per			
	norms	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
19	POWER	AFOOTOTA		
a.	Total Power Requirement -	1500KVA		
	Operational Phase	320KVA X 3 no		
\	Numbers of DG set and	32UK V A A 3 NO		
b .	capacity in KVA for Standby			
	Power Supply	255 liters/hr of HSD		
C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Total energy savings will b	o 21.87 %	
	Energy conservation plan and	Total energy savings will b	£ 21.07 %.	
d.	Percentage of savings including			
	plan for utilization of solar			
<u></u> _	energy as per ECBC 2007	<u> </u>		
_20	PARKING	1107ECS	<u> </u>	
a.	Parking Requirement as per	1107ECS		
-	norms	Old Madras Road:LOS C		
1.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the	Old Madras Roam 200		
b .				
	Traffic Study Report	Internal driveway within	the project site: 8 n	
	Internal Road width (RoW)	wide	. ,	
C.	internal Road width (Rovi)	Approach road width:24m wide road C		
21	CER Activities Proposed	Drain Strengthening works.		
21	CER Activities Proposed	Galvanized iron		
22	1	barricade sheet all-	18.70 Lakhs	
		round the site		
		Purchase of tanker water		
	E) (D	for Construction	21.75 Lakhs	
	EMP	Plantations of saplings		
	Construction phase in	around the periphery	8.30 Lakhs	
	Lakhs	and maintenance.	10.00	
		Environmental		
		Monitoring - Air, Water,	14.65 Lakhs	

Drafted by ke

_		EMP Cell	8.00 Lakhs	
	:	Waste water treatment during construction phase	7.85 Lakhs	
		Waste Management	2.25 Lakhs	
		Capital investment in Lak	Capital investment in Lakhs	
	Operation Phase	Sewage Treatment Plant	87.00 Lakhs	
		Rainwater harvesting facilities	19.75 Lakhs	
		Landscape development	11.20 Lakhs	
		Acoustic & Stacks for DG sets	7.35 Lakhs	
i		Organic Waste Converter	18.65Lakhs	
		Operation Investment Lakhs/ Annum		
		STP Maintenance	9.00 Lakhs	
		Landscape Maintenance	5.00 Lakhs	
		Organic waste Maintenance	1.00 Lakhs	
		EMP Cell	3.00 Lakhs	
		Environmental Monitoring-Air, Water, Noise	8.00 Lakhs	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment building by Bangalore Development Authority.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain as per village map, provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area and HT line. The proponent informed the committee that there is a tertiary drain passing along north western side for which a buffer of 25mtr from center is provided. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed 250cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 100cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 21nos recharge pits within the project area and informed that a buffer of 9mtr is proposed for the HT line. Further the committee informed the proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to construct silt trap to prevent runoff water reaching natural drain during construction phase and also to manage excess drainage water within the site area and the proponent agreed.

Drafted by te

J.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow a total of 620 trees in the proposed project area and would provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. STP should be redesigned for Biological Nitrogen Removal. / BNR and oil and grease separation system unit along with design calculation and revised budgetary allocation for the same should be submitted.
- 2. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 3. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 4. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 5. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 6. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 7. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Drafted by

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall leave the kharab area for free access to public.

225.1.6. Residential Building (Villas) Project at Sarjapura Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. Buildiko Ventures LLP - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/290493/2022 (SEIAA 115 CON 2022).

M/s Buildiko Ventures LLP have proposed for construction of Residential Building (Villas) Project on a plot area of 27,113.96 sq.m. The total built up area is 27,991.39 sq.m. The proposed project consists of Construction of Residential Building (Villas) project comprising of 103 Villas, each villa having Ground Floor + 2 Upper Floors. Total water consumption is 71.84 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 68.25 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 80 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 57.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. M Chinnapa Reddy Partner M/s Buildiko Ventures LLP Having its registered Office at No. 190, 17th Main, 23rd Cross, Sector - 3, H.S.R Layout, Bangalore - 560102
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Building (Villas) by M/s. Buildiko Ventures LLP at Khatha No. 150200102300125543, Sy No. 328/1, 328/2A, 328/2B & 329/2 of Sarjapura Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/	Proposed Residential Building (Villas)

Drafted by _____

1

		ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital				
	Ь.	/other Residential Township/ Area	No			
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Development Projects	New			
4	<u>.</u>	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New			
 		-1	Sarjapura Lake - 0.34 kms (SE	3		
ا 5	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the	Tertiary Nala towards SW			
		vicinity of project site	away from thesite boundary	1		
ϵ	,	Plot Area (Sqm)	27,113.96 sq.m.			
7	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	27,991.39 sq.m.			
		FAR				
8	3	Permissible	0.965			
		Proposed	2.00	D 11 12 (3.7211 A		
		Building Configuration [Construction of Residential I project comprising of 103 V			
و	`	Number of Blocks / Towers /				
3	7	Wings etc., with Numbers of	having Ground Floor + 2 Upper Floors with total 103 units. The total site area is 27,113.96			
		Basements and Upper Floors]	sq.m. The Gross BUA is 27,991.39 sq.m			
		Number of units/plots in case	103 Units			
] 7	0	of Construction/Residential				
1	U	Township / Area Development				
		Projects	C'A EL ALACT DOE			
1			Site Elevation in AMSL: 885 Permissible top elevation as per CCZM:			
,	1	Height Clearance	1035m AMSL	er CCZIVI.		
1	. 1	Height Clearance	Difference in meters: 150			
			Height proposed : 9.45 m			
1	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 57.0Cr			
			Excavated Earth			
			Details	Quantity in		
				m³		
			Quantity of excavated soil	37,707.89		
			Excavated earth disposal details			
١,	13	Disposal of Demolition waster	Back filling for footings	18,853.94		
'	IJ	and or Excavated earth	Site filling required	7,294.85		
			Back filling for retaining	291.26		
			wall			
			Top soil for Landscaping	5,175.91		
			Filling for internal roads	6,091.93		
			Total	37,707.89		

Drafted by te

14	4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)				
	a.	Ground Coverage Area				
	b.	Kharab Land	Nil			
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA	8,497.76 sq.m			
L		notification, 2006				
	d.	Internal Roads	6,091.93 sq.m			
<u> </u>	e.	Paved area	-			
	f.	Others Specify	1,363.16Sqm			
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA			
	h.	Total	27,113.96 sq.m.	<u> </u>		
15	5	WATER				
	I.	Construction Phase				
	a,	Source of water	From Nearby tr	eated water suppliers		
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD	1.		
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD			
<u> </u>	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD			
		Treatment facility proposed and	The sewage generated during the			
	e.	scheme of disposal of treated		ase will be treated in the		
<u> </u>		water	Mobile STP			
	II.	Operational Phase				
		Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	31.40 KLD		
	а.	KLD	Recycled	17.27 + 23.18 KLD		
			Total	71.84KLD		
' <u> </u> 1	b.	Source of water	Gram Panchaya	t		
	c,	Waste water generation in KLD	68.25KLD			
<u> </u>	đ.	STP capacity	80KLD			
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology	,		
<u> </u>	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	No Disposal. The treated water will be reused for toilet flushing, landscaping in the project site, avenue plantation and Reuse after treating with ultra filtration and reverse osmosis			
16		Infrastructure for Rain water harv	vesting			
á	ā.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	292 cu.m.			



ъ.	pits			
17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected in tank of 292 cum capacity and excess to be harvested in rainwater recharge pits.		
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT			
I.	Construction Phase			
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	No of labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste will be converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.		
II.	Operational Phase			
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	123.60 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor.		
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	82.40 kg/day. Non-Biodegradable waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.		
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Nil		
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-waste generation will be very less		
19	POWER			
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	500 kVA		
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1 X 500 kVA		
c.	THE STATE OF THE S	HSD		
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	 Energy saved by using Solar water Heater: 50,000kWH/ Year(a) Solar Power Generation: In non-monsoon season 100kWH x 30 x 8Months = 24,000kWH In monsoon season 50kWH x 30 x 4 Months = 6,000 kWH 		

Drafted by

		 Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 0.30 LkWH / Annum(b) Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy savingusing solar heater and solar PV) in a year = (a)+(b)=0.50+0.30 L KWH = 0.80 L / Annum(c) Total energy savings = 27.39% 	
	PARKING		
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	277ECS	
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	NH648 road -LOS - C	
<u>c.</u>	Internal Road width (RoW)	6.00 m	
21	CER Activities	Year Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) 1st Lake protection works and nala strengthening works. 2nd Avenue planation and RWH in to GHPS at Sarjapura 3rd Solar Panels Provision in nearby to GHPS at Sarjapura 4th Drinking water and Sanitation facility supply in nearby community places 5th Health camp in to GHPS at Sarjapura	
22	EMPConstruction phaseOperation Phase	EMP (Construction & Operation) Operation Phase Recurring Cost Per Annum = 52.2 Annum = 61.86 lakhs lakhs Capital Cost = 15.75 Capital Cost = 220.0 lakhs	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential villas in an area earmarked for industrial use as per BMRDA regulations, for which the proponent informed that they had obtained conversion of land to residential purpose from D.C.

Drafted by kair

h_

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for natural drain as per village map, and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent informed the committee that there is a drain in north west and south western side is out of the buffer zone to the project boundary. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed 603 cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop and an additional ponds of capacity 292 cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 25 nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the committee informed the proponent to incorporate new technologies for conservation of water and manage excess drainage water within the site area, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow a total of 321 trees in the proposed project area and would provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. STP should be redesigned for Biological Nitrogen Removal. / BNR and oil and grease separation system unit along with design calculation and revised budgetary allocation for the same should be submitted.
- 2. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 3. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 4. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the

Drafted by Lea

1, -

- proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 5. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 6. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 7. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.
- 8. The PP shall explore the possibility of Insitu Composting instead of Organic Waste Converter.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 100% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 225.1.7. Expansion of Yenepoya Medical, Dental Oncology Hospital & College Project at Sy.Nos. 315/1B2P2, 315/1B2P46, 315/1B2P86, 315/1B2P11, 315/1B2P85, 315/1B2P11, 315/1B2P1.22, 315/1B2P of Kotekar Village, Deralakatte, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District by M/s. Yenepoya University (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY) Online Proposal No. SEIAA 06 CON 2021 (SIA/KA/MIS/194276/2021).

M/s. Yenepoya University (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY) have proposed for Expansions of Yenepoya Medical, Dental, Oncology Hospital & College Project on a plot area of 1,09,143.00 sq.m.. The total built up area is 1,41,470.35 sq.m.. The proposed project consists of following.

S. NO	PROPOSED BUILDING	FLOOR
1	Multy Storage Car Parking	8 FLOOR
2	Cancer Hospital	+6 FLOOR
3	M.R.D Building	8 FLOOR
4	Staff Quatures 1	4 FLOOR
5	Staff Quatures 2	4 FLOOR
6	Canteen Extention	7 FLOOR
7	Boys Hostel Annex	+2 FLOOR

Drafted by kein

h

8	Girls Hostel Annex	12 FLOOR
9	Mosqui	2 FLOOR
	TOTAL Proposed	
10	Building (B)	
		C = A + B

Total water consumption is 2156.4 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 2048.58 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct 3 STP's capacity of 1 of 500 KLD & another with 900 KLD & another with 700 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 240.34 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION		
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Dr. GangadharaSomayji K.S Registrar, Yenepoya (Deemed to University) Deralakatte, Mangalore -575002		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansions of Yenepoya Medical, Dental, Oncology Hospital & College at Sy. nos 315/1B2P2, 315/1B2P46, 315/1B2P86, 315/1B2P11, 315/1B2P85, 315/1B2P1.22, 315/1B2P, at Kotekar Village For Islamic Academy Of Education, Yenepoya University, Deralakatte Mangalore.		
3	Type of Development			
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Expansion of Yenepoya Medical, Dental, Oncology Hospital & College Project		
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No		
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion		
		Netravathi river - 2.40 kms (NW) Arabian sea - 4.60 kms (W)		
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	1,09,143.00 sq.m.		
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,41,470.35 sq.m.		
8	FAR • Permissible	1.5		

Drafted by

(3)

	Proposed	1.1	19					
			Existing Building configuration as per EC and a built (corrigendum)					
		5. N O		FLOOR	AREA IN SQMT			
			DENTAL COLLEGE BUILDING		12472 12			
		2	E.M.D BUILDING		12473.12			
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	115	TEACHING HOSPITAL		9259.46			
					21500			
			ADMINISTRIVE BLOCK		1162,56			
		5	MEDICAL COLLEGE					
					11255.3			
		6 1	LAIBRARY HALL					
		<u> </u>			3859.42			

Drafted by ka

W

Proceedings of 225th SEIAA Meeting	dated 11th Oct	tober 2022
	7 LECTURE HALL	1100 64
		1403.64
	8 33/KVA	
		88.25
	9 DRIVER SHED	
		116.12
	10 GIRLS HOSTEL	
		5675.64
	11 ANNEXTURE	
\$		2945.26
	12 SPOTS COMPLEX	
		3455.36
	13 BOYS HOSTEL	
		5127.04
Drafted by Ke	1.	1 5
		- \ L

Proceedings of 225th SEIAA Meeting	dated 11th October 2022		
	14 STAFF QUTRASS		
		761.6	
	15 CENTRAL KITCHEN		
		4782.51	
	16 CANTEEN		
		1270.05	
	Buildings which were built after the 30.01.2021 but which was already EC	proposed in ea	
	17 Nursing college 18 Maintenance room 5 floor	4624.1	
	Oncology Hospital	636.44	
	19 Phase 1 5 floor	3257.82	
	20 Annex 2 boys Hostel 5 floor	3569.85	
	TOTAL	97223.54	
	Proposed Building configuration (refloors and area which was built at application on 30.01.2021)	fter the EC	
}	S. PROPOSED NO BUILDING FLOOR	AREA	
	Multy Storage 8	IN SQMT	
	1 Car Parking FLOOR	10692.56	
	2 Cancer Hospital +6 FLOOR	16769.59	
	3 M.R.D Building FLOOR	1460.24	
	4 Staff Quatures 1 FLOOR	746.24	

Drafted by

W

				4	746.74		
		5	Staff Quatures 2	FLOOR_	746.24	\dashv	
E.			Conton Fotostiss	/ FLOOR	3221.06		
		6		+2	5221.00	\dashv	
		7		FLOOR	1301.8		
		8	Girls Hostel Annex	12 FLOOR	8409.08		
ļ				2 FLOOR	900		
		9_	Mosqui		700		
		10	TOTAL Proposed		44246.81		
ļ		10	Building (B)	C = A + B	141470.35	_	
				C = A+D	141470.55		
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-					
11	Height Clearance in meters above sea level	15 l	km from nearest Mangalor	re Airport			
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.	240.34Cr				
		E	cavated Earth			Ì	
		Details		Quantit	y in m ³	Ì	
		Quantity of excavated soil 83,362.50					
1		Excavated earth disposal details					
		Ba	ack filling for footings	10,120.82			
			te filling required	17,607.17			
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Back filling for retaining wall		7,005.18			
		 		37,450.17			
		Top soil for Landscaping		11,179.16		1	
		Filling for internal roads		33,362.50		1	
			No demolition is involved.				
11	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	1 1 16	A MODITORION TO MIT OF THE			7	
14	Ground Coverage Area	22,188.03(20.33%) sq.m					
<u>a.</u> b.		Nil er 36,017.19 sq.m (33.00 %)					
\	Total Green belt on Mother						
	Hearth for projects under of a vi						
c.	Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA					ł	
c.),937.78 sq.m (46.67 %)				

_	Τ-	D 1			
	e.	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		
	f.		-		
		Parks and Open space in case of		-	
	g.				
	<u> </u>	Development Projects			
<u>_</u>	h.		1,09,143.00	sq.m.	
	15	WATER			
	I.	Construction Phase			
	a.		From Nearl	by treated water suppliers	
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD		
ĺ	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic	10 KLD		
		Purpose in KLD			
	<u>d.</u>	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD		
1		Treatment facility proposed and	The sewage	generated during the construction	
1	e.	scheme of disposal of treated	phase will b	e treated in the Mobile STP	
	_	water	The state of the Mobile 31F		
	II.	Operational Phase			
		Total Requirement of Materia	Fresh	602.23	
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled	813.78+740.39	
		KLD	Total	2156.4	
	<u>b.</u>	Source of water	Gram Panch		
	_c.	Waste water generation in KLD	2048.58KLD		
	d.	STP capacity		city of 1 of 500 KLD & another with	
-	ч.	511 capacity	900 KLD & a	unother with 700 KLD	
ļ	e.	Technology employed for		ogv	
		Treatment		-8/	
			No Disposal	. The treated water will be reused for	
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	toilet flushin	g, landscaping in the project site,	
- [treated water if any	avenue plan	tation and Reuse after treating with	
			ultrafiltrationand reverse osmosis		
1	16_	Infrastructure for Rain water har	vesting		
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	1198cu.m.		
Ī		No's of Ground water recharge	61 Nos.		
	b.	pits	01 1403.		
			The storm	aton from the site will to	
1	7	Storm water management plan	tainwater be	ater from the site will be collected by	
	ļ		rainwater harvesting system and will be used for recharging the ground water		
1	8	WASTE MANAGEMENT	recruights m	ic ground water	
	Ī.	Construction Phase			
1	a.	0	Per capita of	turacta garante d = (FRO 13 / 1	
		77 00.762	Ter capita of	waste generated = $6,729.4 \text{ kg/day}$	

	generation and mode of	Separate collection bins will be used for organic
	Disposal as per norms	and inorganic waste. Organic waste will be
	Disposar as per norms	converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid
\		waste will be handled over to authorized
		recyclers.
-, 	On anational Phone	recyclers.
II.	Operational Phase	1,273.56 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be
	Quantity of Biodegradable	converted in organic convertor.
a.	waste generation and mode of	Converted in organic convertor.
	Disposal as per norms	849.04 kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste will
	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	be handed over to authorized recyclers
b.	waste generation and mode of	Move the Adam Rio modical waste
	Disposal as per norms	4606.8 kg/day. Bio medical waste
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Nil
c.	generation and mode of	
	Disposal as per norms	d
[Quantity of E waste generation	E-waste generation will be very less
d.	and mode of Disposal as per	
	norms	
19	POWER	
	Total Power Requirement -	4540 kVA
a.	Operational Phase	The second of th
	Numbers of DG set and	1 X 2475 kVA +1 X 2065 kVA
b.	capacity in KVA for Standby	<u> </u>
	Power Supply	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
[Energy saved by using Solar water Heater
		: 200,000kWH/ Year(a)
		• Solar Power Generation :
1		• In non-monsoon season 350kWH x 30 x
-		Months = 84,000 kWH
1	Energy conservation plan and	• In monsoon season 150kWH x 30 x 4 Months
1	Percentage of savings including	18,000 kWH
d.	plan for utilization of solar	• Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 1.02
	energy as per ECBC 2007	kWH / Annum(b)
		Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy
		saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year
	1	= (a)+(b)= 2.0+1.02 L KWH = 3.02 L
	1	Annum(c)
]		• Total energy savings = 22.78%
		
20	PARKING	
20	PARKING Parking Requirement as per	Car parking Required as Per Z.R= 872 Nos Car Parking provided= 1600Nos

49)

Ì		Open parking = 728 Nos			
		Covered parking = 872 Nos			
		Total Parking Provided is 1600 Ecs which is			
		as Per NBC and MoEF Norms			
	Level of Service (LOS) of the	Kuttar - Deralakatte Road - 30m wide road is in			
b.		front of the site which connects to Kuttar -			
	Traffic Study Report	deralakatte road towards East.			
<u>c.</u>	Internal Road width (RoW)	7.50 m			
21					
		Yea Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)			
		1st Rain Water Harvesting in Government Lower Primary School Kotekar Village			
	CER Activities	2nd Avenue planation and planation in Government Lower Primary School Kotekar			
		3rd Solar Panels Provision in Government Lower Primary School Kotekar			
İ					
		4th Health camp in Government Lower 5th Primary School Kotekar			
22		EMP (Construction & Operation)			
1		Operation Phase Construction Phase			
1	EMP	Recurring Cost Per Recurring Cost Per			
}	 Construction phase 	Annum = 234.2 Annum = 15.75 lakhs			
	 Operation Phase 	lakhs			
		Capital Cost = Capital Cost = 103.9 lakhs			
		700.0 Id. 115			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 11th & 12th August 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (Premakumar@mail.com) on 11th August 2022 for the present proposal and the committee at the time of appraisal sought clarification for the complaint received from the project Proponent and Consultant. Upon obtaining clarification for the same, the committee after discussion accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal was initially considered in 261st SEAC meeting and the committee had deferred the appraisal of the project for want of Certified Compliance Report from MoEF&CC for the earlier EC. In the present meeting the proponent has submitted the CCR from MoEF&CC dated 13.07.2022 rated as satisfactory for the EC issued by SEIAA on

Drafted by ______

W-

05.10.2012 and extension of validity and corrigendum to BUA (97,223.54Sqm) was issued by SEIAA on 24.08.2020.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification with reference to EC issued, for the proposed expansion in BUA and present stage of construction, provisions made for harvesting rain water. The proponent informed the committee that, initially EC was issued for BUA of 92,422Sqm on 05.10.2012, subsequently on 24.08.2020, they had obtained validity extension of EC and corrigendum to EC for BUA of 97,223.54Sqm from SEIAA. The proponent informed the committee that during the submission for expansion (i.e as on 21.01.2021), BUA of 85,135.33sqm was constructed and hence had submitted a proposal for expansion for BUA of 56,335.02Sqm, with an overall BUA of 1,41,470.35 Sqm. However based on amendment to EC, 97,223.54Sqm of BUA has been constructed and submitted a certificate from Architect and informed the committee that presently BUA of 44,246.81Sqm is proposed for expansion instead of 56,335.02Sqm, making the overall BUA of 1,41,470.3 5Sqm.

For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed 1198 cum capacity tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of capacity 2445 cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 108 nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the committee informed the proponent to comply with the observations of MoEF&CC in CCR and manage excess drainage water within the site area, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow total of 1365 trees in the proposed project area and to provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to comply with the observations of MoEF&CC CCR.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority received e-mail (Premakumar@mail.com) on 3rd September 2022 for the proposal and after perusal noted that the complainant in his mail informed that,

Drafted by kein

in the reply submitted by the project proponent to SEAC is confusing with regards to number of floors and BUA, which appears to be more than 1,50,000Sqm.

Therefore, the Authority after discussion decided to send copy of the mail to SEAC and refer the file back to SEAC for reappraisal with respect to mail received. The Authority also decided to serve a copy of the mail received to the project proponent and consultant.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 283rd SEAC Meeting and the committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC. SEIAA in its 223rd meeting had referred back the proposal to SEAC, for reappraisal in the light of the mail received,

"The Authority received e-mail (Premakumar@mail.com) on 3rd September 2022 for the proposal and after perusal noted that the complainant in his mail informed that, in the reply submitted by the project proponent to SEAC is confusing with regards to number of floors and BUA, which appears to be more than 1,50,000 Sqm.

Therefore, the Authority after discussion decided to send copy of the mail to SEAC and refer the file back to SEAC for reappraisal with respect to mail received. The Authority also decided to serve a copy of the mail received to the project proponent and consultant."

The proponent submitted the attachments and point wise clarification to the observations in the mail as below,

1. Reply submitted by the Project proponent vide reference no (4) appears to be confusing with regard to the floor number and built up area, the comparative statement has been prepared and attached along with this letter. Please refer this comparative statement prepared by us. If you thoroughly review the comparative statement and drawing submitted by the proponent shows that simply the project proponent submitted the information just avoid the preparation of EIA report.

The Proponent informed that they had initially obtained an E.C. for 92,422 Sq.mt. on 05.10.2012 and then constructed 85,135.33 Sq.mt of BUA.

Subsequently they had applied and obtained extension of validity for E.C. & corrigendum for a BUA of 97,223.54 Sq.mt from SEIAA on 24.08.2020.

Further, they had applied on 21.01.2021 for an expansion of 56,335.02 Sq.mt, considering their already constructed BUA of 85,135.33 Sq.mt, thus totaling to a BUA of 1,41,470.35 Sq.mt.

Drafted by ke-

h-

In the meanwhile, they had constructed up to 97,223.54 Sq.mt. based on the corrigendum obtained, as there was a delay in obtaining CCR.

Thus at the time of appraisal, the already constructed BUA is 97,223.54 Sq.mt. and proposal for expansion is for 44,246.81 Sq.mt, thus totaling to a BUA of 1,41,470.35 Sq.mt.

Since, the total BUA after expansion would be less then 1,50,000 Sq.mt, the proponent informed that there was no need for an EIA report.

2. Oncology Area details also appears to be confusing. i.e 25,287.95sqm (as per the drawing) - 3,257.82sqm (+5 floor, as per the reply submitted by the PP, date not indicated)+16,769.59sqm, Proposed building configuration: 6 floor as per the reply submitted by the PP) difference of 5,260.54sqm (remaining built up area, nowhere indicated by the PP).

The proponent informed that, as the application submitted for expansion was more one and half year, the construction of Oncology Hospital Phase-I was completed as per the EC corrigendum and hence, the existing building configuration has been changed in the presentation for proposed expansion and the total of all the buildings BUA which amounts to 1,41,470.35 sq.m.

3. M.R.D building, as per the drawing submitted BUP 2049.32sqm, as per area statement submitted 1460.24sqm. But remaining build up area 589.08sqm has not been indicated anywhere at appears project proponent purposefully suppressing the facts.

The proponent informed that the MRD building BUA mentioned in the drawing uploaded is 1,460.24 sq.m and there is no 2,049.32 sq.m anywhere and the mail is misleading in this regard.

4. Project proponent mentioned the nursing college in his reply letter only, but in the drawing & Area Statement produced by project proponent has not indicated the nursing college building configuration.

The proponent informed that the Nursing college was mentioned in the drawing just below the lecture hall and the mail is incorrect in this regard.

5. We observed that the different area details produced by the pp indicates that he is trying to escape from preparation of EIA report.

The proponent informed that, if they were hiding anything, then there will be discrepancy during the CFE and Sanctioning of plan from Local Authorities, who will again go through the details as per EC and if the BUA was exceeding 1,50,000 Sqm then, we would have applied in B1 category one and a half years back, as there is no public

Drafted by Le-

hearing involved in the construction projects. Hence, as per our requirement, as the proposed BUA is less than 1,50,000 Sqm, application was submitted under B2 category for EC and there is no hiding of facts, all are as per actuals.

The committee noted the clarifications given by proponent to the observations made in the mail received by (Premakumar@mail.com) on 3rd September 2022 and the committee after discussion and deliberation decided to reiterate its decision taken in 283rd SEAC meeting and recommend the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 2. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 4. The proponent shall establish a separate Biomedical Liquid waste treatment plant and the treated effluent shall be free from pathogens and disposed off as per Bio-Medical Waste (Management & Handling) Rules, 1998. This shall be monitored and ensured."

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

Drafted by kann

Mining Projects:

225.1.8. Sand Mining Block Project in Block No.Bly-Osb-13 at Karichedu Village, Bellary Taluk & District (25-00 Acres) by M/s. Maruthi Infrastructure & Developers- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/269174/2022 (SEIAA 798 MIN 2019)

M/s. Maruthi Infrastructure & Developers have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Sand Mining Block Project in Block No.Bly-Osb-13 at Sy.No.191 of Karichedu Village, Bellary Taluk & District.

Details of the project are as follows:

Drafted by Ke

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	I	NFORMATION		
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s. Maruthi Infrastructure & Developers,			
•	Proponent	Plot No. 311, Raga Anandam Apartment,			
	Toponent	Near Church, vic	dya Nagar, Cont	onment Bellary_	
	Name & Location of the Project	Sand Mining Blo	ck Project in Blo	ck No.Bly-Osb-	
2	Tyanic & Location of Life 1 19	13 at Sy.No.191	of Karichedu	Village, Bellary	
	:	Taluk & District			
		GPS READI	NG OF CORN	ER PILLARS	
		PILLAR	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
	1	1	M15'19'33.9"	E77"03'39.2"	
		2	N15'19'32.7"	E77"03'42.6"	
İ		3	N15*19*27.6*	E77"03'41.7"	
!		4	N15'19'27.5"	E77"03'43.2"	
		5	M15'19'24.8"	E77"03'42.1"	
		6	N15"19"23.2"	E77"03'45.0"	
i		7	N15*19*25.3*	E77'03'45.9"	
ļ		*	N15"19"27.3"	E77"03"45.9"	
		9	N15"19"32.6"	E77"G3'42.9"	
		10	M15"19'30.5"	E77"03'45.6"	
		11	N15"19"20.8"	E77"03'47.2"	
		12	N15"19"21.2"	E77"03"46.3"	
		13	N15"19"17.7"	E77"03"45.6"	
		14	N15"19"20.7"	£77°03'42.9"	
		15	M15'19'20.9"	E77"03'38.2"	
		16	N15*19'17.3*	E77"03'37.4"	
		3.7	N15'19'17.6"	E77*03*35.4"	
		MAP DATUM - WGS 84			
3	Type Of Mineral	Sand Mining B	lock		
4	New / Expansion /	New			
-	Modification / Renewal				
L			4	//	

5				Government			
	Govern	ment Revenue,	Gomal,				
	Private / Patta, Other		•				
_ 6	Area in	Acres		25-00 Acres			
7	Annua	l Production (M	etric Ton	99,000 Tons/ Annum (including waste)			
) Per Annum		, (
8		Cost (Rs. In Cro		Rs. 1.61 Crores (Rs. 161 Lakhs)			
9		Quantity of mi	ne/	1,01,020.4 Tons (including waste)			
<u> </u>		- Cu.m / Ton					
10		ted Quantity Per	r Annum	99,000 Tons/ Annum (including waste)			
	- Cu.m		<u></u>				
11	CER Activities:						
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)					
] 51	Providing solar p	iding solar power panels to the GLPS school at Karichedu Village				
	2 nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GLPS school at Karichedu Village					
	3rd	Avenue plantatio	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With				
	4 th		t and awaren	arc to look form			
				ess to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder			
1	5th	Health camp in GLPS school at Karichedu Village					
			_	4			
12	EMP Bu		Rs. 11.28Lakhs (Capital Cost) &2.90 Lakhs (Recurring cost).				
13	Quarry plan 11.11.2019			Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z =			
14	Cluster certificate 16.11.2019						
15	DTF	2	23.08.2019				
16	LOI	16	16.09.2019				

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (<u>premakumar@mail.com</u>) on 14th September 2022 for the present proposal and the committee at the time of appraisal sought point wise clarification for the following observations from the project Proponent and Consultant,

As per the TOR issued; the study area will comprise of 10 km zone around the mine lease from lease periphery, but this EIA been prepared for taking into the consideration of 5km radius only.

The proponent informed that the Baseline data collected for preparation of EIA is for 10km study area. Also, in the wind rose diagram, as the wind speed is very less in

Drafted by

1

the project site and is more towards western direction, they have considered locations as per the CPCB norms towards west and within 10 kms radius, as the wind speed is not significant enough in the project site. In the Figure 1.1 Satellite imagery of the project site is shown with 10 kms study area, Table 1.1 Site Location details is shown considering 10 kms study area with distance from SH 132 having 6.3 kms and other details, Chapter 3.2 Land environment is considered with 10 km study area with land use map from Sentinal 2A and 2B data with 10 kms buffer land use map, Figure 3.1 DEM map is considered with 10 km study area, Chapter No 3.5.4 Site Specific Ground water table scenario is considered with 10 km study area, Figure 3.8 Topomap is considered with 10 km study area, Table 3.18 floral species diversity in the buffer zone is considered with 10 km study area, Table 3.19 Faunal Species diversity in the core and buffer zone is considered with 10 km study area, Under Socio Economic studies Table 3.28 "comparative Demographic Status" is considered with all the villages in 10 km study zone, Under Socio Economic studies Table 3.31 "Economical Structure of Villages in 10 km radius area" is considered with all the villages in 10 km study zone, Under Socio Economic studies Table 3.32 "Status of Amenities of villages in 10 km radial area" is considered with all the villages in 10 km study zone, Chapter 4.3.2 "RESULTS OF AIR QUALITY MODELING" is for 10 km and Spatial Distribution of Predicted GLCS of PM10, PM2.5, SO2 and NOx is also for 10 kms.

As per the terms of reference issued to the proponent, the land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. But, EIA report submitted by the proponent has not indicated anything as per the TOR issued. In the name of Land use, it is only extraction of toposheet nothing else in the EIA report.

The proponent informed that, the land use maps mentioned in the Figure 3.3 are obtained from Sentinel 2A and Sentinel 2B Satellite images of 10.12.2020 and not from Toposheet, as latest land use is not available in Toposheet. They have extracted False Colour Composite image and then we got the Land use map. Salient features of the adopted methodology are given below:

- a. Acquisition of satellite data
- b. Preparation of base map from Survey of India topo sheets
- c. Data analysis using visual interpretation techniques
- d. Ground truth studies or field checks using GPS
- e. Finalization of the map
- f. Digitization using head up vectorisation method
- g. Topology construction in GIS
- h. Area calculation for statistics generation
- i. Masking

Drafted by

Ju-

1. As per the TOR issued, all corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a high-resolution imagery, topographic sheet, geomorphology and geology of the area should be provided, imagery of the proposed area should clearly show that the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone). But the EIA report contains only google image, other issues are missing

The proponent informed that the High-resolution imagery of the site is shown in Figure 1.1, Topographic sheet with 10 km radius is shown in Figure 1.2. Details of Geomorphology & Geology of the area is shown in Chapter 2.5.

2. As per the terms of reference, the location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area (i.e 10km radius zone) and justified keeping in view the predominant downwind direction. The locations in Toposheet submitted indicated that air quality monitoring stations selected for 5.00km radius zone only, Air quality monitoring stations did not indicate the criteria adopted, i.e whether stations are sensitive locations, latitude and longitude are also not indicated. CPCB norms has not adopted while selecting air quality monitoring stations

The proponent informed that, in wind rose diagram the wind speed is very less in the project site and is more towards western direction and hence, they have considered locations as per the CPCB norms towards west and within 10 kms radius, as the wind speed is not significant enough.

3. Location stations indicated in Figure 3.6 (Details of Air quality monitoring locations) in EIA report page No. 69 is showing study is 5 km radius zone only. As per the TOR the study area should be 10km radius zone

The proponent informed that the clarification given in first point holds good for the above observation.

4. Location stations indicated in Figure 3.7 (Details of noise quality monitoring locations) in EIA report page No. 73 is showing study is 5 km radius zone only. As per the TOR the study area should be 10km radius zone.

The proponent informed that the clarification given in first point holds good for the above observation.

5. As per the TOR, there should be at least one monitoring station within 500m of the mine lease in the pre-dominant downwind direction, but there is no mention in the EIA report that this issue has been considered or not. It is very clear that the EIA report has not addressed the same.

The proponent informed that they have considered first location of Air and Noise monitoring near project site which is within 500 m.

6. Water, sampling locations: Toposheet indicating the water monitoring stations for 5.00 km radius only.

Drafted by

The proponent informed that the clarification given in first point holds good for the above observation.

7. As per the TOR, public hearing points raised and commitment of the project proponent on the same along with time bound action plan with budgetary provisions, to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA /EMP report of the project. In the public hearing, the majority of the public have raised the issues against the project, but the same has not been addressed in the final EIA report with time bound action plan with budgetary provisions to implement the action plans.

The proponent informed that they have submitted response to those issues raised in the public hearing with action plan and budget as annexure 6. There are few villagers who have expressed their support towards the project. Some issues regarding dust generation and Ground water utilization were raised for which they have proposed asphalting the approach roads and Rain water harvesting pits with budget of Rs. 64,000/- and Rs. 4,00,000/- respectively.

8.As per the TOR, in the EIA report the proponent shall enclose all the analysis/ testing reports of water, air, soil, noise etc, using the MOEF/NABL accredited laboratory. But in this EIA report the laboratory reports are missing.

The proponent informed that they have submitted Hard copies of all the Analysis reports which was issued from MoEF&CC recognized and NABL accredited SLN Testing laboratories to SEIAA and SEAC. Few laboratory reports could not be uploaded due to size limitations in the PARIVESH portal.

9. As per the additional ToR issued, The details of dumping area and approach road may be submitted along with the MOU with land owners if private lands are involved. Reply submitted by the proponent in the final EIA report: MoU with the land owners will be submitted once we get Environmental Clearance.

The proponent informed that, during the time of submission they could not submit the MoUs due to financial constraints, presently they have made lease agreement with land owners of Sy. Nos. 6/1, 6/2, 6D/2 & 6E/2 for using their land for Stock Yard and other purposes.

10. Addition TOR Point 2: Strengthening of River Banks may be detailed and submitted.

Reply: River Banks will be strengthened by planting Khus Grass and other suitable plant species and also pebbles will be spread over the river banks.

The proponent informed that, As they have left 10% of the river width as buffer from the banks of the river there will be no soil erosion or mass wasting (mass movement/ movement of rock or soil down slopes under the

Drafted by

Ju

59

force of gravity). They will provide slope of 28 degrees all along the lease boundary with depth of 0.66 mtr. Also as per the photographs enclosed the area is fully covered by River sand till the river bank. However, as an additional safety measure, proposed to strengthen the river bank by planting Khus Grass and pebbles.

11. As per the above observations it is accepted that the EIA report has been prepared for 5km radius zone only. The EIA report failed to address the TOR points properly.

The proponent informed that the clarification given in first point holds good for the above observation.

The committee, upon obtaining above clarification for the observations made in mail, the committee after discussion accepted the clarifications and appraised the project.

The proposal was earlier considered in 282nd SEAC meeting and the committee had deferred the appraisal for want of clarification for the observations made. The proponent submitted following compliance for the observations made,

12. As per JIR submitted, the depth of sand recommended for mineral concession is 2.5mtrs but as per Endorsement given by Senior Geologist is 3mtrs.

The proponent informed that as per JIR, 2.5 m is the geological reserve and out of that 0.66 m is the depth of mining proposed every year, which complies with the $1/3^{\rm rd}$ of the deposit as per sustainable sand mining guidelines and also as per DMG total depth of sand deposit available is more than 3mtr.

13. Replenishment studies was not approved by DMG

The proponent submitted replenishment studies approved by DMG.

14. Details of reserve calculations by leaving suitable buffers

The proponent informed that as per MoEF&CC sand mining guidelines they have left 10% of the width on either side of the river bed as buffer and area after leaving 10% of the river width is 88,989.08 sqm which is as per the mineable reserves calculation.

15. Details of rare birds (schedule 4) to be affected by the proposed activity as mentioned by public during Public Hearing and mitigative measures for the same.

The proponent informed that mitigative measures would be carried out,

a. Plantation (mainly Fruit bearing trees) on river bank and approach roads.

Drafted by

W

60

S

- b. Periodic maintenance of all mining machinery and transport vehicles.
- c. Provision of effective silencers to all machinery and regular noise monitoring.

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project.

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining as per D.C auction on 22.12.2016. SEIAA on 14.05.2020 had issued ToR and Public hearing was conducted on 10.01.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 370 meters connecting the lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for sand mining and also not to carry out instream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,01,020.40 TPA (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,01,020.40 Tons/ Annum (including waste) for 5 years, after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the

Drafted by Lean

proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
- 4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.

225.1.9. Shahabad Stone (Cherty Limestone) Quarry Project at Polakpalli Village, Chincholi Taluk & Kalaburagi District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Bhaskar Reddy P-Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/264285/2022 (SEIAA 183 MIN 2022)

Sri Bhaskar Reddy P have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Shahabad Stone (Cherty Limestone) Project at Sy.No. 80/*/8 of Polakpalli Village, Chincholi Taluk & Kalaburagi District (1-00 Acre)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Sri Bhaskar Reddy P				
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the Project	Shahabad Stone (Cherty Limestone) Quarry Project at Sy.No. 80/*/8 of Polakpalli Village Chincholi Taluk & Kalaburagi District (1-00 Acre)				
		P. No.	Latitude	Longitude		
		A	N 17°25′42.0″	E 77°27′02.5″		
		В	N 17°25′42.6″	E 77°27′03.9″		
		C	N 17°25′39.5″	E 77°27′04,3"		
		D	N 17°25′39.1″	E 77°27′04.3″		
_ 3	Type Of Mineral	Shahabad Stone (Cherty Limestone) Quarry				
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New				

Drafted by

W___

5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal,		Patta	
	Private / Patta, Othe	<u>rı</u>	1-00 Acre	
6_	Area in Acres	O fatria Tan	52,500 Sq.mt/ Annum (including waste)	
7	Annual Production / Cum) Per Annum	(Metric Ton		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of Quarry- Cu.m / Tor	mine/	6,12,500 Sq.mt. (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum	31,500 Sq.mt/ Annum (Excluding Waste)	
11	CER Activities: Propose to takeup additional plantation of 200 No. locally suitable trees, on both sides of the approach road from quarry location to Polakpalli and near public places.			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 8.85 Lak cost).	hs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.09 Lakhs (Recurring	
13	Quarry plan	07.03.2022		
14	Cluster certificate	15.03.2022		
15	Notification	18.02.2022		
16	Forest NoC	06.01.2022		
17	Revenue	02.12.2021		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 282nd SEAC Meeting and the committee had deferred the project appraisal as proponent remained absent.

In the present meeting, as per the cluster sketch there are 04 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 6-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Drafted by

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 6,12,500 Sqm (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 year. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 52,500Sqm/Annum (Including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

<u>Additional Conditions:</u>

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.10. Pink Granite Quarry Project at Bommanahal Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Raichur District (4-00 Acres) by Sri Mahantesh S Muttur - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/277748/2022 (SEIAA 284 MIN 2022)

Sri Mahantesh S Muttur have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.5/2, 5/6 & 4/7 of Bommanahal Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Raichur District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1 1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Mahantesh S Muttur
	Proponent	

Drafted by ke

1

. 64

2	Name & Location of					
			•	ommanahal Villa		
				r District (4-00 Acre		
				Co-ordinates of Quarry Lease Boundary		
		1	SL NO	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	of principal and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and	E76'20'12.92827"	
					E36,50.14°20484.	
]			C		£76°20 17.62792°	
			District Control of the Control of t		E76"20"17,67449"	
			E		E76" 20" 19. 25433"	
ļ			F		£76'2018.4224E'	
			6		£76'20'16.94178'	
			Н	2740	£76'20'13.90757'	
	•		1	N16"04"44.19695"	£76"20"12.84790"	
			·•		<u> </u>	
3	Type Of Mineral		Pink Granite	Quarry Project		
4	New / Expansion /		New			
	Modification / Rene	wal			<u></u>	
5	Type of Land [Forest		Patta			
	Government Revenu					
	Private / Patta, Othe	r]				
6	Area in Acres		4-00 Acres	المسالم والأسوال والمسالم والم والمسالم	- rungto)	
7	Annual Production		4,000 Cum /	Annum (including	g waste)	
	/ Cum) Per Annum		D 010 C	(D- 10 Lakha)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In			es (Rs. 10 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of		2,47,550 Cun	n(including waste)		
	Quarry-Cu.m / Tor		1 200 Cum /	Annum (Excludin	σ Waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum	1,200 Cum /	Allifolii (Excitorii	g // uote/	
	- Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: Pro	mana to talea:	<u>l</u> ın additional r	plantation of 800 N	o. locally suitable	
11	trees, on both sides	of the annu	nach road from	n quarry location	to Bommanahalli	
	village and near p	ublic places	and to provid	le rainwater harv	esting systems ir	
	Bommanahalli villa	oe	and to provin			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 2.80 Lak	ths (Capital Co	ost) & Rs. 1.45 Lakl	ns (Recurring	
12	Livii Dauget	cost).	\1	,	<u>-</u>	
13	Quarry plan	21.05.2022				
$\frac{13}{14}$	Cluster certificate	21.05.2022				
15	DTF	28.03.2022				
16	Forest NoC	17.12.2020				
17	Revenue	09.09.2021				
└	JSR	06.11.2021	<u> </u>			
18	<u> </u>	1 00.11.2021 N		1	$\overline{}$	

Drafted by

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 282nd SEAC Meeting and the committee had deferred the project appraisal as proponent remained absent.

In the present meeting, as per the cluster sketch there are 02 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 8-27 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 970 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The proponent informed the committee that the waste to disposed to crusher after getting necessary approvals from competent authority. Further the committee informed the proponent to make additional provisions by constructing check dams and gully plugs, so as to prevent waste water reaching near by water body.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,47,550 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,200 Cum/Annum (Recovery) and 2,800 cum/Annum (waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

Drafted by Ke

W_

- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.11. Building Stone Quarry Project at Alhal Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadgir District (5-20 Acres) by Sri Shantagouda - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/283362/2022 (SEIAA 326 MIN 2022)

Sri Shantagouda have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 45/*/4 of Alhal Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadgir District (5-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Shantagouda			
2	Proponent Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 45 of Alhal Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadgir Di (5-20 Acres)			
		B. P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	
		A	N 164 37 50.2"	E 76° 27' 02.4"	
		2	N 16° 37' 50.3"	E 76° 26′ 59.9°	
		3	N 16º 37' 41.4"	£ 76° 26′ 58.0*	
		4	N 16º 37' 40.7"	E 76° 27' 00.6"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building 9	Stone Quarry Proj	ect	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta			
6	Area in Acres	5-20 Acres			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	2,05,140 7	Tons/Annum (inc	luding waste)	

Drafted by Lan

8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.50 Crores (Rs. 50 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of	f mine/	13,09,740 Tons(including waste)
	Quarry- Cu.m / To	n	,
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum	2,01,037 Tons/Annum (Excluding Waste)
	- Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities: Pr	opose take up	500 No. of additional plantation on either side of
ļ	the approach road	from quarry	location to Alhal Village Road and to provide
	infrastructure facili	ities to nearby	Govt. School.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 22.55 Lal	khs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 16.40 Lakhs (Recurring
ļ		cost).	
13	Quarry plan	04.07.2022	-
14	Cluster certificate	04.07.2022	
15	Notification	15.06.2022	
16	Forest NoC	30.08.2021	
17	Revenue	21.08.2021	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 283rd SEAC Meeting and the committee had deferred the project appraisal as proponent remained absent.

In the present meeting, as per the cluster sketch there are 06 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 02 leases are exempted from cluster as the EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the 02 leases including the present lease is 12-08 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Notified area of 02 leases with lease area 2-10 A and 14-17 A respectively, should be applied under B1 category when applied for EC.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 200 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 13,09,740ton (including waste) and estimated the life of mine as 7 years. The committee after discussion decided to

Drafted by ten

recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,05,140 tonnes/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority have verified the documents and it was observed that file No. SEIAA 239 MIN 2021 (2-10 Acres) which was already recommended during the 223rd SEIAA meeting held on 6th September 2022 and EC was issued on 26.09.2022.

The Authority therefore decided to refer the file back to SEAC to clarify in view of the above observation.

225.1.12. Sand Mining Project - Block No.1 - Tungabhadra River Bed - Kakkargola Village, Karatagi Taluk, Koppala District (60-00 Acres) by M/s. The Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/286561/2021 (SEIAA 344 MIN 2021)

M/s. The Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Sand Mining Project - Block No.1 - Tungabhadra River Bed - adjacent to Sy.Nos.1, 2, 217, 216, 209, 208, 204, 203, 202, 201 & 174 of Kakkargola Village, Karatagi Taluk, Koppala District

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. The Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd. Hutti, Raichur, Karnataka - 584115.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Sand Mining Project - Block No.1 - Tungabhadra River Bed - adjacent to Sy.Nos.1, 2, 217, 216, 209, 208, 204, 203, 202, 201 & 174 of Kakkargola Village, Karatagi Taluk, Koppala District (60-00 Acres)

Drafted by kein

ll_

		POINTS	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE		
		A	15°31'09.1"	76°48'28.1"		
		В	15°31'10.5"	76/48/31.9"		
		C	15°31'03.8"	76°48'34.6"		
		D	15530'54.6"	76"48'35.0"		
		E	15°30'37.4"	76°48'17.2"		
		F	15°30'17.8"	76°47'49.0"		
		G	15°30'20.4"	76°47'47.0"		
		H	15°30'39.3"	76~48114.3"		
			15 30 56.4"	······································		
]	15°31'02.3"			
		OI	15°31'03.6"	Andrew Control of the		
		02	15°30'39,4"	76°48'06.5"		
3	Type Of Mineral	Sand Mining P	roject			
4	New / Expansion /	New	ioject			
<u> </u>	Modification / Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Government				
	Government Revenue,					
	Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres	60-00 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric		Amount (1 - 1 - 1)	. — — —		
ļ 	Ton / Cum) Per Annum	0,22,414 101(5)	Annum (includi	ing waste)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 4.15 Crores	(Rs. 415 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	3,22,414 Tons (i	ncluding waste)			
10	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	<u> </u>				
10	Permitted Quantity Per	2,90,173 Tons/	Annum (Exclud	ing Waste)		
	Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities:	<u> </u>				
**	CLICACITYTIES:					
	Sr.	Activ	ity			
	No.	7.404.4	·- <i>y</i>	[
	1. Scholarships for fi	nancially bar				
	Kakkargola village					
	2. Construction of toi	lets along with o	verhead water	tank & borewei		
	3. Drinking water fa	non nearby scho	eol -			
	SCHOOLS at Nakkargi	ola vijlage		nk to the GLPS		
	4. Support local charit	table organizatio	n			
	pure represent or pu	5. Development of public transaportation				

h___

12	EMP Budget	Rs. 44.44 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 27.88 Lakhs (Recurring cost).
13	Quarry plan	07.02.2022
14	Cluster certificate	26.07.2021
15	Notification	19.08.2020
16	Forest NoC	27.10.2021
17	DTF	19.08.2020
18	LOI	24.08.2020

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 13.10.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 13.05.2022. There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1840 meters connecting the lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for sand mining and also not to carry out instream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply for the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 3,22,414 Tonnes per annum (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,22,414 Tons/ Annum (including waste) for 5 years, after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020.

Drafted by ke

<u>ll</u>

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.

3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.

4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.

225.1.13. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 60/*/* of Jekinakatti Village, Savanur Taluk, Haveri District (3-00 Acres) by Sri. Ashok Ramesh Teggihalli - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/284886/2022 (SEIAA 362 MIN 2022)

Sri Ashok Ramesh Teggihalli have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 60/*/*, Jekinakatti Village, Savanur Taluk, Haveri District (3-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Ashok Ramesh TeggihalliS/o Ramesh
	Teggihalli Vilage, Bommanahalli Post, Hangal Taluk, Haveri District
Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 60/*/*, Jekinakatti Village, Savanur Taluk, Haveri
	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent

Drafted by

U

				District (3-00 A	Acres)	
				Corner Point No	Lotitude	Longitude
				A	N 15" 3" 43.22"	E 75° 16' 49.53"
				8	N 15° 3' 41.06"	E 75° 16' 51.89"
				C	N 15° 3' 38.03"	E 75° 16' 47.94"
1				D	N 15" 3" 42.69"	E 75° 16' 47.34"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone	Quarry		
4		Expansion /		New		
	Modific	ation / Rene	wal			
5	Type of	Land [Forest,	,	Patta		
	Governi	ment Revenue	e, Gomal,			
į	Private	/ Patta, Other	ן י			
6	Area in			3-00 Acres		
7	Annual	Production (Metric Ton	84,211 Tons/	Annum (includi	ng waste)
		Per Annum				<u> </u>
8	Project	Cost (Rs. In C	Crores)	Rs. 1.29 Crores (Rs. 129 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/		9,00,835 Tons (including waste)			
1	Quarry	- Cu.m / Ton	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
10	Permit	ted Quantity	Per Annum	80,000 Tons/ Annum (Excluding Waste)		
	- Cu.m	/ <u>Ton</u>				
11	CER A	ctivities:		,		
1	Year	Corporate Environ	mental Responsibil	lity (CER)		
}	15t	Providing solar po	wer panels to com	mon public places to t	he GHPS school at Jekin	akatti village.
		**************************************	and mustaness to	local farmers to increa	se yield of crop and foo	der
	2nd	2 2 Septime 200 bost	and awareness to	Total Tarriers to mer to	,··	
	3rd	Rain water harves	ting pits to the GHI	PS school at Jekinakatt	i village.	
	4th			at Jekinakatti village.		
	5th	Health camp in G	IPS school at Jekin			<u> </u>
12	EMP E	Budget	Rs. 28.69 La	khs (Capital C	ost) & Rs. 7.68 L	akhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan 06.07.2022					<u></u> <u></u>
14	Cluster certificate 05.08.2022				<u></u>	
15	Notification 14.06.2022					
16	Forest NoC 12.04.2022					
17	Reven		05.03.2022			
18	JIR		21.05.2022			

As per the cluster sketch there are 02leases, including the present lease within 500 meter radius from the present lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 6-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Drafted by

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 360 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 9,00,835 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as11 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 84,211 Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.14. Building Stone Quarry Project at Jekinakatti Village, Savanur Taluk, Haveri District (3-00 Acres) by Sri Ashok Ramesh Teggihalli - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/284976/2022 (SEIAA 363 MIN 2022)

Sri Ashok Ramesh Teggihalli have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy No. 60/*/*, Jekinakatti Village, Savanur Taluk, Haveri District (3-00 Acres)

Drafted by ke

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULAR	RS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of th	e Projects	Sri Ashok Ramesh TeggihalliS/o Ramesh				
-	Proponent		Teggihalli Villa	ge, Bommanah	alli Post, Hang	gal	
			Taluk, Haveri I		. <u></u>		
	Name & Location of the	ne Project	Building Stone	Quarry Project	t at Sy No. 60/	/*/*,	
	THE CONTROL OF THE		Jekinakatti Vi	llage, Savanu	r Taluk, Ha	veri	
			District (3-00 A	_			
l			Corner Point No	Latinude	Longstude	1	
		ļ	A	N 15° 3' 42.64"	E 75° 16' 42.74"]	
			6	N 15° 3' 42.10"	E 75° 6' 47.01"	4	
			C	N 13° 3' 38.06"	E 75° 16' 47.64"	-{	
		<u>.</u>	D	N 15° 3' 40.70"	E 75° 16' 42.74"		
3	Type Of Mineral	<u></u>	Building Stone	Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /		New				
	Modification / Renev	zal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Patta				
	Government Revenue	, Gomal,					
	Private / Patta, Other						
6	Area in Acres		3-00 Acres				
7	Annual Production (1	Metric Ton	84,211 Tons/ A	Annum (includi	ing waste)		
	/ Cum) Per Annum						
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 1.22 Crores (Rs. 122 Lakhs)				
9	Proved Quantity of n		9,22,200 Tons (including waste)				
ļ	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton						
10	Permitted Quantity F	er Annum	80,000 Tons/ A	Annum (Exclud	ling Waste)		
	- Cu.m / Ton						
11	CER Activities:		·				
ļ	Year Corporate Enviror	mental Respons	sibility (CER)				
	1st Providing solar po	wer panels to c	ommon public places	to the GHPS school a	t Jekinakatti village.	.	
1							
1	2nd Scientific support	and awareness	to local farmers to inc	crease yield of crop a	nd fodder	1	
			<u>,</u>				
			GHPS school at Jekina		<u></u>		
			gns at Jekinakatti villa	ge.			
]	5th Health camp in G	HPS school at Je	kinakatti Village				
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 32.261	akhs (Capital Co	ost) & Rs. 7.66 l	akhs (Recurrii	ıg	
12	PMI parker	cost)		,	•		
12	Quarry plan	06.07.2022	<u> </u>				
13	Cluster certificate	05.07.2022		<u> </u>			
14	Cluster certificate 65.07.2022						

Drafted by kin

/ - 75 \

15	Notification	14.06.2022	-
16	Forest NoC	12.04.2022	
17	Revenue	05.05.2022	
18	JIR	21.05.2022	

As per the cluster sketch there are 02 leases, including the present lease within 500 meter radius from the present lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 6-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 360 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 9,22,200 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as11 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 84,211 Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

Drafted by Kein

le le

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.15. Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 53/10 and 53/12 of Anthartana Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (4-00 Acres) by M/s Shri Satyam Granite World - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/288208/2022 (SEIAA 364 MIN 2022)

M/s. Shri Satyam Granite World have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No. 53/10 and 53/12 of Anthartana Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s. Shri Satyam Granite World,			
1		(Partner: Sri. Venkatesh Bandi),			
	Proponent	# 4445, Flat No 19, Alampur Peth, Ward No 07,			
		Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District-587125.			
		Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No. 53/10			
2	Name & Location of the Project	Tank Granite Quarry Project at by. No. 507 15			
\		and 53/12 of Anthartana Village, Kushtagi			
Į	1	Taluk, Koppal District (4-00 Acres)			
		Corner Point Latitude Longitude			
		A N 15° 59' 11.0" E 76° 01' 25.4"			
		B N 15° 59' 09.1" E 76° 01' 25.2"			
ļ	1	C N 15° 59' 11.1" E 76° 01' 17.4" D N 15° 59' 13.6" E 76° 01' 17.7"			
l		D N 15° 59'13.6" E 76' U1 17.7			
<u>-3</u>	Type Of Mineral	Pink Granite Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /	New			
-	Modification / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta			
\	Government Revenue, Gomal,				
	Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres	4-00 Acres			
6					
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	8,007 Cum/ Annum (including waste)			
ļ.,	/ Cum) Per Annum	(D. 1701 11-)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.59 Crores (Rs. 159 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	5,24,248 Cum(including waste)			
	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton				
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum	2,600 Cum/ Annum (Excluding Waste)			
	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				

Drafted by Kan

Ju-

11	CER A	ctivities:				
	Year	Corporate F	nvironmental Responsibility (CER)			
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Anthartana Village.				
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to Anthartana Village.				
	3rd Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repal of road With drainages					
	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Anthartana Village.				
	5th		in GHPS at Anthartana Village.			
12	EMP Bu		Rs. 43.83 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 12.02 lakhs (Recurcost)			
13	Quarry	plan	15.07.2022			
14	Cluster	certificate 01.08.2022				
15	Forest NoC 24.01.2022					
16	Revenu	e	29.04.2022			
17	DTF		27.01.2022			

As per the cluster sketch there are 17 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 13 leases are exempted from cluster as the EC's was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining 03 leases including the present lease is 10-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 710 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The proponent informed the committee that the waste to disposed to crusher after getting necessary approvals from competent authority.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 5,24,248 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after

Drafted by

discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 8,667Cum/Annum (Including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.16. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kellode Bore Kaval village Holenarasinapura Taluk, Hassan District (2-30 Acres) by M/s S.P.G. Stone & M-Sand Crusher - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/288329/2022 (SEIAA 365 MIN 2022)

M/s S.P.G. Stone & M-Sand Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 66/1 & 66/2 of Kellode Bore Kaval Village, Holenarasinapura Taluk, Hassan District (2-30 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s S.P.G. Stone & M-Sand Crusher	
2	Proponent Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 66/1 & 66/2 of Kellode Bore Kaval Village, Holenarasinapura Taluk, Hassan District (2-30 Acres)	

Drafted by kan-

			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude
			A	N 12° 41′ 46.5″	E 76° 15′ 33.6″
			В	N 12° 41′ 49.1″	E 76° 15′ 37.8″
			C	N 12* 41′ 47.3″	E 76° 15′ 39.9″
<u> </u>			D	N 12° 41′ 45.3″	E 76° 15′ 35.8″
3_	Type Of Mineral		Building	Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion ,		New		
	Modification / Ren			<u></u> _	
5	Type of Land [Fore:		Patta		
	Government Reven				
<u> </u>	Private / Patta, Oth	er]			
6	Area in Acres		2-30 Acre	s	
7	Annual Production		54,875 To	ns/ Annum (including	z waste)
 _	/ Cum) Per Annun			` '	,
8	Project Cost (Rs. In		Rs. 0.30 C	rores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of		2,77,202 Tons (including waste)		
	Quarry-Cu.m / To				
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum	52,131 To	ns/ Annum (Excludin	g Waste)
	- Cu.m / Ton				-
11	CER Activities:To	provide infras	tructure an	d furniture facilities to	near by Govt
	School.				
	Propose take up	300 No6-			
12			<u> </u>	olantation on either s cellode Bore Kaval V	illege Boad
	LEMI Duuget	KS. 10.45 La	ıkhs (Capit	al Cost) & 4.25 Lakhs	(Recurring cost).
13_	Quarry plan	30.07.2022			<u> </u>
14	Cluster certificate	30.07.2022			
15	Notification	22.07.2022			
16	Forest NoC	19.03.2022			
17	Revenue	23.05.2022			

As per the cluster sketch there are 02 leases, including the present lease within 500 meter radius from the present lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 5-36 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 850 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all

Drafted by

W

along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,77,202 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 5 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 54,875 Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.17. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Kannal village and Sanganal Village, Kushtagi Taluk & Koppal District (8-39 Acres) by Sri Iranna Basappa Hosamani - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/288357/2022 (SEIAA 366 MIN 2022)

Sri Iranna Basappa Hosamani have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at 72/*/1 & 72/*/2 of Kannal village and Sy. No. 7/*/1, 7/*/2, 6/*/1 & 6/*/3 at Sanganal Village, Kushtagi Taluk & Koppal District (8-39 Acres)

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION	J		
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Iranna Ba	sappa Hosamani,	•		
	Proponent	Behind Veterinary Hospital, Yadiyur				
				agar, Gadag- Betigeri-		
		582102.	mara rugur, Cada	5 Beageir		
2	Name & Location of the Project	 	nd Quarry Projec	rt at 72/*/1 &		
-	Table & Established the 110ject		annal village and			
			1 & 6/*/3 at Sa			
			uk & Koppal Distri	~ ~		
		Corner Point No	Latitude	Longitude		
		A	N 15°41 45,28919°	E 76° 25′57.14405″		
		В	N 15°41 41.47667"	E 76°25'58,21479"		
i		<u> </u>	N 15°41 41.71530"	E 76 ³ 25'59.62284"		
		0	N 15°41 46.37481"	F 76° 25′ 58.46212°		
:		E	N 15°41 47.35410"	E 766 25' 59.47528"		
j		F	N 15°41 42.00987"	E 76° 26'00.85983"		
		6	N 15"41 42.26839"	E 76°26'02.13832"		
		Н	N 15*41 4838994"	E 76° 26'00.57019"		
			N 15°41 49.12413°	E 76° 26'01,38485"		
		1	N 15°41 51.43947"	E 76126'04.42121"		
		K	N 15°41 S2.80486"	E 76°26'07.04254"		
		<u> </u>	N 15°41 53.42746°	E 76°26'06.77062"		
		М	N 15°41 53.24534"	E 76° 26'05.12619"		
l i		N	N 15*41 52:28741"	E 76° 26'01.55076"		
1		0	N 15°41 50.52304"	E 76°25'58.99776"		
		Р	N 15°41 48.88839"	E 76° 25' 57 46889"		
		Q Q	N 15°41 47.06321"	E 76°25′56.52020″		
3	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary San	d Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /	New				
	Modification / Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta				
	Government Revenue, Gomal,					
	Private / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres	8-39 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	50,000 Tons/	Annum for 2 years	s & 22,367 Tons		
	/ Cum) Per Annum	for 3 years.	= ,			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		s (Rs. 139 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/		(including waste)			
[Quarry-Cu.m / Ton					
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum	50,000 Tons/	Annum for 2 years	& 22,367 Tons		
	- Cu.m / Ton	50,000 Tons/ Annum for 2 years & 22,367 Tons for 3 years.				

Drafted by

h___

11	CER Activities: Year Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)					
1	lst	Providing solar	power panels to the GHPS school at Kannal & Sanganal Village.			
	2nd	Rain water har	vesting pits to Kannal & Sanganal Village.			
	3rd	Avenue planta drainages	tion either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With			
	4th	Conducting E-	waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Kannal & Sanganal Village.			
	5th Health camp in GHPS at Kannal & Sanganal Village.					
12	EMP B	Budget	Rs. 27.54 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.48 Lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Quarry	y plan	04.08.2022			
14	Cluste	r certificate	10.08.2022			
15	DTF	OTF 26.04.2022				
16	Forest	NoC	04.04.2022			
17	Reven	ue	25.04.2022			
18	JSR		04.06.2022			

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 8-39 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Proponent submitted clarification from DMG dated 10.08.2022, informing that there is no river bed sand mining in a radius of 5km from the proposed site area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road to the quarry as per standard norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and also to grow trees all along the approach road and in the buffer zone during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 1,67,101 Tons (including waste), estimated

Drafted by

life of quarry as 5 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 50,000 Tons/ Annum (for 2 years) & 22,367 Tons / annum (for 3 years).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1 If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2 Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3 A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4 The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1 Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2 The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 225.1.18. Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Ainapur Village, Vijaypur Taluk, Vijaypur District (4-00 Acres) by Sri Chandrakant Nagargoji Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/249517/2022 (SEIAA 369 MIN 2022)

Sri Chandrakant Nagargoji have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Sy.No.139/6(P) of Ainapur Village, Vijaypur Taluk, Vijaypur District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Chandrakant Nagargoji
	Proponent	0 0 /

Drafted by kein

M

	Name & Landing of the	ha Duoisea	Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at		
2	Name & Location of the	ne Project	Sy.No.139/6(P) of Ainapur Village, Vijaypur		
			Taluk, Vijaypur District (4-00 Acres)		
			Point Latitude Longitude		
			No.		
!			A N16°50'59.96" E075°46'06.54" B N16°51'01.89" E075°46'08.46"		
			C N16°50'55.28" E075°46'11.00"		
}			D N16°50′57.03" E075°46′12.91"		
			MAP DATAUM: GPS Readings (WGS 84)		
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /		New		
L	Modification / Renev	<u>val</u>			
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Patta		
	Government Revenue				
Ĺ	Private / Patta, Other	<u> </u>			
6	Area in Acres		4-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (I	Metric Ton	1,00,282 Tons/ Annum for 1st year & 1,07,198		
	/ Cum) Per Annum	·· ·	Tons for 4 years(including waste)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C		Rs. 1.00 Crores (Rs. 100 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of n	nine/	7,62,489 Tons (including waste)		
	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton		95,268 Tons/ Annum for 1st year & 1,01,838		
10	Permitted Quantity F	er Annum	Tons/ Annum for 4 years		
	- Cu.m / Ton		Tons/ Administrative		
11	CER Activities:	atawa faciliti	ies to nearby Govt. School and to construct check		
}		ctine raciiin	les to hearty down outlook will be transfer as		
-	dams/gully traps.	n No. of Ad	ditional plantation on either side of the approach		
	road from quarry loc	ration to Air	napur Village road.		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 22 10 I	akhs (Capital Cost) & 14.20 Lakhs (Recurring		
12	EMI Ducker	cost).			
13	Quarry plan	28.07.2022			
14	Cluster certificate	29.07.2022			
15	Notification	17.07.2022			
16	Forest NoC	02.11.2021			
17	Revenue 05.10.2021				
					

As per the cluster sketch there are 03leases, including the present lease within 500 meter radius from the present lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 11-01Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Drafted by ke

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 950 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 7,62,489 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 8 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,00,282 Tons/ Annum (including waste) for 1st year & 1,07,198 Tons/annum (including waste) for 4 years.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.19. Building Stone Quarry Project Tavaragera Village, Kalaburgi Taluk, Kalaburgi District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Sathish S/o Pundalikrao Chincholi - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/284565/2022 (SEIAA 338 MIN 2022)

Sri Sathish S/o Pundalikrao Chincholi have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 66/*/3 of Tavaragera Village, Kalaburgi Taluk, Kalaburgi District (2-00 Acres).

Drafted by kein

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULA	RS		INFORMATIO!	N
1	Name & Address of the	ne Projects	Sri Sathi	sh S/o Pundalikrao Ch	incholi
	Proponent	ĺ			
2	Name & Location of t	he Project	Building	Stone Quarry Project a	at Sy. No. 66/*/3
		,		- ,	alaburgi Taluk,
			Kalabur	gi District (2-00 Acres)	
			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude
			Α	N17*25′11.5"	E 76°55′04.1″
			В	N17*25′11.8″	E 76°55′06.7″
			С	N17"25'08.5"	E 76*55'07.1"
			D	N17*25'08.1"	E 76°55′04.5″
3	Type Of Mineral		Building	Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion /	·	New		
1	Modification / Renew	val			
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Patta		
	Government Revenue	, Gomal,	 		
	Private / Patta, Other]		<u></u>		
6	Area in Acres		2-00 Acr		
7	Annual Production (N	Metric Ton	51,020 T	ons/ Annum (includin	g waste)
	/ Cum) Per Annum				
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)		Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)	<u></u>
9	Proved Quantity of m	ine/	3,24,279	Tons (including waste)
	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton				
10	Permitted Quantity P	er Annum	50,000 T	ons/ Annum (Excludii	ng Waste)
	- Cu.m / Ton				<u></u>
11	CER Activities: To ta	ke up 200 N	Io. of add	itional plantation on ei	ther side of the
	approach road from	quarry locat	ion to Tav	varagera Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 13.15 L	akhs (Caj	oital Cost) & 3.27 Lakhs	s (Recurring cost).
13	Quarry plan	10.06.2022			
14	Cluster certificate	01.06.2022			
15	Notification	30.03.2022			
16	Forest NoC	04.09.2020			
17	Revenue	19.09.2020		-	
	The state of the s	<u> </u>	CE AC	nasting hold on 15th	16th and 17th

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 04 leases, including the present lease within 500 meter radius from the present lease, out of which 02 leases with total extent of 10-0Acres are notified leases and the total area of remaining02 leases to be including the present lease is 4-00Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Drafted by Kein

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 270 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 3,24,279Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 7 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 51,020 Tons/ Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.20. Building Stone Quarry Project at Alkoppara Village in Muddebihal Taluk, Vijayapura District (1.1938Ha) by M/s. Nandini Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/271202/2022 (SEIAA 222 MIN 2022)

M/s. Nandini Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 12/1 of Alkoppara Village in Muddebihal Taluk, Vijayapura District (1.1938Ha)

Drafted by

W_

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULA	RS		INFORMATION	1
1	Name & Address of the	ne Projects	M/s. Nandini Stone Crusher		
	Proponent	,			
2	Name & Location of t	he Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 12/1 of		
_	• 1,	' \	Alkoppa		debihal Taluk,
				ıra District (1.1938Ha)	
 			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude
			Α	N16°28′02.47″	E 76°03′02.94″
			В	N16°28′04.02″	E 76°03′03.33″
			С	N16°28′02.31″	E 76°03′06.93″
			D	N16°27′59.76″	E 76°03′11.98″
			E	N16°27′58.74″	E 76°03′11.26″
3	Type Of Mineral		Building	Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion /		New		
	Modification / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Patta		
	Government Revenue, Gomal,				
	Private / Patta, Other	1			
6	Area in Acres			res (1.1938 Ha)	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	49,608	Cons/ Annum (including	g waste)
	/ Cum) Per Annum		Ì		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of n		6,84,029	Tons (including waste)	
1	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	•			
10	Permitted Quantity I	Per Annum	44,647	Tons/ Annum (Excluding	ng Waste)
10	- Cum / Ton				
11	CER Activities: To g	row 355 No	of addit	ional plantation on eithe	er side of the
11	approach road from	quarry local	ion to Ta	varagera Village Road a	and also to
	provide drinking wa	ter facilities	to near h	v Govt. School.	
12	EMP Budget	Rs 17 70 I	akhs (Ca	pital Cost) & 4.50 Lakhs	(Recurring cost).
13	Quarry plan	03.04.2021	, <u> </u>		
	Cluster certificate	25.07.2022			
14	Notification	15.04.2021			
15	Forest NoC	19.02.2021			
16		26.02.2021			
17	Revenue	20.02.2021			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 04 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 02 leases are exempted from cluster as the

Drafted by kein

JU ___

EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the 02 leases including the present lease is 8-38 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 6,84,029 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as14 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 49,608 Tons/ Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.21. Black Granite Quarry project at Venkatageri Village, Gangavathi Taluk, Koppal District (3-00 Acres) by Sri Shaik Imam - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/281937/2022 (SEIAA 321 MIN 2022)

Sri Shaik Imam have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Black Granite Quarry project at Sy. No. 77 of Venkatageri Village, Gangavathi Taluk, Koppal District (3-00 Acres)

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULAR	5	-	INFORMATIO	ON
1	Name & Address of the	Projects	Sri Shaik I	mam	
	Proponent	, ,			
2	Name & Location of th	e Project	Black Gran	nite Quarry projec	t at Sy. No. 77 of
\ 	in				athi Taluk, Koppal
		Į	District (3-		
			DGPS CO	ORDINATES OF BO	DUNDARY POINTS
				MAP DATUM W	GS 84
			POINT ID	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE
			BP.A	N15°27'24.19994"	E76°26'45.70000"
			BP.B	N15°27'25.10019"	E76°26'49.89989"
1			BP.C	N15°27'25.99987"	E76°26'49.70014"
		l.	BP.D	N15°27'26.60014"	
		ļ	BP.E	N15°27'24.30000"	
			BP.F	N15°27'22.60004"	E76°26'46.09988"
3	Type Of Mineral		Black Gran	nite Quarry	
4	New / Expansion /		New		
\	Modification / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Governme	ent	
	Government Revenue,	Gomal,			
	Private / Patta, Other]				
6	Area in Acres		3-00 Acres	S / A (Implied	ing waste) for first
7	Annual Production (M	letric Ton	1,665 Cui	n/Annum (Includ	ing waste) for first n (including waste)
	/ Cum) Per Annum		for four y		ii (iiicidanig waste)
<u> </u>	D : 4 C1/D- In Ca			rores (Rs. 26 Lakhs	<u> </u>
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr			Cum(including was	
9	Proved Quantity of m	ше/	1,01,017	Zamilinianini Mas	/
10	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Permitted	er Annum	5.00 Cum	/Annum for first y	ear and 2,000
10	- Cu.m / Ton	or a markett	cum/ann	um for four years.	(Excluding Waste)
11	CER Activities:				
''	Plantation of 1,000 say	oling of loc	al variety a	ll along the approa	ch road and in
	safety barrier / green	belt from	quarry loca	tion to Venkatageri	Village Road
12	EMP Budget				ns (Recurring cost).
13	Quarry plan	02.07.2022			
13	Cluster certificate	02.07.2022			
15	C & I Notification	20.06.2022			
	Forest NoC	02.09.2022			
16	Revenue		& 15.10.20	20	
17	Kevenue	20.07.2020			$\overline{}$

Drafted by Kenny

18 JSR 29.04.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 05 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 04 leases are exempted from cluster as the leases were executed prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the present lease is 3-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and two tier plantation towards village side during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The proponent informed the committee that the waste to disposed to crusher after getting necessary approvals from competent authority.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 1,01,519 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life to be 16 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,665 Cum/Annum (Including waste) for first year and 6,667 cum/annum (including waste) for four years.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

Drafted by

M

. 92

- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.22. Building Stone Quarry Project at Dasanur Village, Kalaghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District (QL.No-879) (1-00 Acre) by Sri Chethan G Patil - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/263726/2022 (SEIAA 145 MIN 2022): Expansion

Sri Chethan G Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy No-34/A3/2, Dasanur Village, Kalaghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District (QL.No-879) (1-00 Acre)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		<u>INFORMATION</u>	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sapatpur, Dhart	Michigan Compou wad District	
2	Name & Location of the Project	34/A3/2, Dasa	· Quarry Project nur Village, Kala ct (QL.No-879) (1-0	ghatgi Taluk,
		Corner Point No	Latitude	Longitude
		A	N 15° 20' 10.85"	E 75° 01'9.68"
	1	8	N 15° 20' 11.00"	E 75° 01' 12.30"
	\ \ \	C	N 15° 20' 9.43"	E 75° 01′ 12.52"
	į.	D	N 15" 20' 9.25"	E 75° 01'9.85"
	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone	Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion (QL	NO 879)	-
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta		
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		nnum (including v	vaste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.17 Crores	(Rs. 117 Lakhs)	

Drafted by Kein

9	Proved C	Quantity of mine	/ 5,45,566 Tons (including waste)
	Quarry-	Cu.m / Ton	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
10	Permitted	d Quantity Per A	Annum 40,000 Tons/ Annum (Excluding Waste)
	- Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Act	ivities:	
· .	Year	Corporate Envi	ronmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar	power panels to the GLPS school at Devalingikoppa village
	2nd		vaste drive campaigns in Devalingikoppa village
3rd The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at GLPS Devalingikoppa Village & Strengthening of approach road			
	ort and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and		
	ion either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of lages		
12	EMP Bud	lget Rs.	17.39lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs.6.14 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry p	lan 07.0	03.2022
14	Cluster ce	03.2022	
15	CCR from	KSPCB 18.0	08.2022

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 16.11.2015and the lease was granted on 26.07.2018 (w.e.f 21.03.2016) and obtained transfer of EC from SEIAA to applied proponent. The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 18.08.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 150 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher in coordination with other lease owners as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the

Drafted by

M.

proposal for proved mineable reserve of 5,45,566 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 13 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 42,105 tones/Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.23. Building Stone Quarry Project at Dasanur Village, Kalaghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District (QL.No-878) (3-20 Acres) by Sri Chethan G Patil - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/263846/2022 (SEIAA 146 MIN 2022) :Expansion

Sri Chethan G Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy No-34/A3/2, Dasanur Village, Kalaghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District (QL.No-878) (3-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION	·.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sapatpur, Dhar	Michigan Compour wad District	·
2	Name & Location of the Project	34/A3/2, Dasa	e Quarry Project anur Village, Kalag ict (QL.No-878) (3-20	hatgi Taluk,
		Corner Point No	Lotitude	Longitude
	1	A	N 15° 20' 11.97"	E 75° 01'0.04"
	Į.	В	N 15° 20' 17.22"	E 75° 01'0.90"
		C	N 15° 20' 15.42"	E 75° 01'3.06"
		D	N 15° 20' 10.54"	E 75° 01' 1.92"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone	Quarry	
				95

Drafted by king

4	New /	Expansion /	 ··	Expansion (QL NO 878)	
	<u> Modif</u>	ication / Renew	al	,	
5		of Land [Forest,		Patta	
1		nment Revenue,	Gomal,		
		/ Patta, Other]			
6		n Acres		3-20 Acres	
7		al Production (M	letric Ton	2,73,684 Tons/ Annum (including waste)	
<u></u>		n) Per Annum	<u>_</u>		
8		t Cost (Rs. In Cr		Rs. 1.38 Crores (Rs. 138 Lakhs)	
9		d Quantity of mi	ine/	15,27,858 Tons (including waste)	
		Quarry- Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum		r Annum	2,60,000 Tons/ Annum (Excluding Waste)	
14	- Cu.m / Ton				
11		CER Activities:			
	Year	Corporate Enviro	nmental Resp	ponsibility (CER)	
	1 st	Providing solar po	wer panels t	o the GLPS school at Devalingikoppa village	
	2 nd	Rain water harve:	sting pits to [Devalingikoppa village	
	3 rd	Avenue plantatio With drainages	n either side	of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road	
	4 th	Scientific support	and awaren	ess to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	
	5 th	Conducting E-was	te drive cam	paigns in GLPS at Devalingikoppa village.	
12	ЕМР В	udget R		khs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 14.03 Lakhs (Recurring	
13	Quarry		8.03.2022		
14	Cluster certificate 16.03.2022				
15	CCR from KSPCB 18.08.2022				
16	Forest NoC 19.07.2014				
17	Revenu	ie 2	8.02.2014		
18	Audit F	Report 2	021-2022		

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 19.11.2015and the lease was granted on 26.07.2018(w.e.f 21.03.2016) and obtained transfer of EC from SEIAA to applied proponent. The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 18.08.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed

Drafted by

L.

expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher in coordination with other lease owners as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 15,27,858 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,73,684 tones/Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.24. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sooda Village, KarkalaTaluk, Udupi District (2-00 Acres) (QL No. 257/2010-11) by Sri C. M. Joy - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/277158/2022 (SEIAA 263 MIN 2022)

Sri. C. M. Joy S/o. C. J. Maichel have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 31/P2 of Sooda Village, KarkalaTaluk, Udupi District (2-00 Acres) (QL No. 257/2010-11)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri. C. M. JoyS/o. C. J. Maichel, Kiran Nivas, Mala Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District

Drafted by

2	Name &	Location of the Proje	of Sooda V	tone Quarry Project Village, KarkalaTalu	k, Udupi District	
			(2-00 Acres	s) (QL No. 257/2010	-11)	
			Corner Point No	Latitude	Longitude	
			A	N 13°12' 28.2"	E 74° 52' 55.5"	
			В	N 13°12' 33.9"	E 74° 52' 53.3"	
			C	N 13°12′34.0"	E 74° 52' 51.3"	
]		D	N 13°12' 32.1"	E 74° 52' 51.9"	
İ			E	N 13°12' 32.1"	E 74° 52' 53,3"	
-	T O(1.4:	<i>f</i>	N 13°12' 28.6"	E 74° 52' 53.4"	
3	Type Of			tone Quarry		
4		xpansion /	Renewal			
<u></u>		tion / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Governmen	nt		
	Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres					
6			2-00 Acres	<u>-</u>		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton			1,68,421 Tons/ Annum (including waste)		
	/ Cum) Per Annum		-,00,12110	-107 THUILITH (HICHIG)	ng waste)	
8_	Project C	ost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.19 Cro	Rs. 1.19 Crores (Rs. 119 Lakhs)		
9		Quantity of mine/		8,46,291 Tons (including waste)		
	Quarry-	Cu.m / Ton	0,10,2,110	1,68,421 Tons/ Annum (including waste)		
10		Quantity Per Annui	n 168 421 To			
	- Cu.m /		1,00,421 10	ris) winimii (micinat	ng waste)	
71	CER Acti					
	Year	Corporate Environment	al Responsibility (CE			
	1st	Providing solar power p		•		
	2nd			_		
	2110	approach road	s to distribute nurse	ry plants at Padibettu Villag	e & Strengthening of	
	3rd	Rain water harvesting pi	ts in GHPS school at I	Padihettu Viliage		
	4th Avenue plantation either si				Consideration of the second	
			. or the appropriate	CH TOOU HEST QUAITY SILE &	repair of road With	
	5th	Health camp in GHPS sci	nool at Padibettu Villa	age.	 	
12				Cost) & Rs. 8.31 Lak	he (Rocurring	
	cost)		(F	was 0.01 Lar	ans (recentities	
13	Forest No		6			
14	Revenue	21.06.201	<u>_</u>			
15	Audit Rep	ort 16.06.202	_			

Drafted by

M

The proposal is for renewal and earlier lease was granted on 21.07.2010with Q.L. No. 257. The proponent had submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG.

The committee initially sought clarification as per Forest NoC with respect to Deemed Forest area. The proponent informed the committee that as per letter DFO letter dated 29.08.2022, that the proposed quarry in sy.no. 31 if Sooda village of Karkala Taluk, Udupi District, is not mentioned in the list of deemed forest areas as per G.O dated 05.05.2022. Hence requested the committee to consider the proposal for grant of EC. The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 417 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 8,46,291 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the mine as 5 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,68,421 Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Drafted by kein

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.25. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Urtala Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District (9-26 Acres) by Sri Srirama Reddy - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/290711/2022 (SEIAA 82 MIN 2021)

Sri Srirama Reddy S/o. H. Thimmappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy.No.46 of Urtala Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District (9-26 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No	PARTICULARS		· 		
			<u>NFORMATIO</u>		
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Srirama Reddy S/o. H. Thimmappa,			
	Proponent	House No - 20	1. Ward No.	- 01, J B Halli,	
		lahagirhuddonal	alli Ramous	- OI, J D Hall,	
	1	Janagirbuduellar	ыш, катриг	a, Chitradurga,	
${2}$	ht cr	Karnataka - 5775		_	
-	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand	Quarry Project	at Sy.No.46 of	
		Urtala Villag	e, Molakal	muru Taluk,	
ł		Chitradurga Dist	rict (9-26 Acres	e)	
		Latitude & Longitude of	T	Reading .	
		all corner boundary	Map Date	um .WGS-84	
		point/ pillar Fixed reference point (FRP)	Latitude	Longitude	
		BP-A	N 14° 50' 19,0"	E 76° 50′ 26.9°	
1		BP-B	N 14° 50′ 18,9°	E 76° 50′ 27.3°	
		BP-C	N 14° 50° 22.0°	£ 76° 50′ 33.1°	
		BP-D	N 14° 50′ 30.1″	E 76° 50' 41.1"	
		BP-E	N 14° 50′ 31.6″	E 76° 50° 43.4°	
		BP-F BP-G	N 14° 50' 33.1"	F 76° 50° 45.3°	
		BI'-11	N 14° 50′ 34.3″	F 76° 50′ 46.8″	
	*	BI7-1	N 14" 50" 35,9"	E 76° 50′ 46.7°	
ł	•	81:-1	N 14° 50° 35.6"	£ 76° 50° 49.2°	
		BP-K	N 14° 50′ 35.4°	F. 76° 50° 49.2"	
- 1		BP-L	N 14° 50′ 29.9° N 14° 50′ 20.8°	E 76° 50′ 41.6″ E 76° 50′ 34.3″	
	•	BF-M	N 14° 50′ 14.1″	E 76° 50′ 27.5°	
3	Tymo Of Minarel			C.70 30 17.5	
	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sand Q	uarry	7	
4	New / Expansion /	New			
	Modification / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta	<u>-</u> -		
	Government Revenue, Gomal,	- 			
		•			
	Private / Patta, Other]			1	
6	Area in Acres	9-26 Acres			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	68,166 Tons/Ann	um (inaludina		
	/ Cum) Per Annum	" TOTAL MILL	and finicialiting i	waste)	
	/ Cumij i et Attituti				

Drafted by

L

8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores) Rs. 1.57 Crores (Rs. 157 Lakhs)				
9	Proved Quantity of r	nine/ 2,04,500 Tons (including waste)				
	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton					
10	Permitted Quantity I	Per Annum 68,166 Tons/Annum				
	- Cu.m / Ton					
11	CER Activities:To ta	ke up additional plantation by growing 1000 trees.				
	Year Corporate I	invironmental Responsibility (CER)				
	1st Providing s	olar power panels to the GHPS of Urtal village				
		* * * * *				
i	2 nd Health camp in GHPS of Urtal village					
	3 rd Rain water	harvesting pits to the GHPS of Venkatapuravillage				
1						
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 76.04 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 9.45 Lakhs (Recurring				
		cost)				
13	Forest NoC	21.04.2017				
14	App. Mining Plan 01.01.2021					
15	Notification 29.12.2020					
16	07.04.2017					
17	100010001					
18	Revenue 26.04.2017					
19	Public Hearing	12.08.2022				
	I tiblic I tenting					

The proposal is for sand quarry project in patta land and SEIAA had issued ToR on 07.08.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 12.08.2022. Proponent submitted clarification from DMG dated 18.08.2022, informing that there is no river bed sand mining in a radius of 5km from the proposed site area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1140meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and also to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation operation and to comply with the request/reviews of public opined during public hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures

Drafted by

will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,04,500 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 3 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 68,166 Tons/ Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 225.1.26. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Machenahalli Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District (8-36 Acres) by Sri H.T. Nagareddy Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/290670/2022 (SEIAA 83 MIN 2021)

Sri H.T. Nagareddy S/o Hosakote Thimmappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.44/1, 44/2, 44/3, 44/4, 44/5 & 44/18 of Machenahalli Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District (8-36 Acres)

Drafted by ______

Details of the project are as follows:

.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri H.T. Nagaredd	ly S/o Hosako	te Thimmappa		
_	Proponent	J.B. Halli, Molakalmur Taluk, Chitradurga				
Ì	Troposition	District				
	NT 0 T tinn of the Ducinet	Ordinary Sand Q	narry Project	at Sv.Nos.44/1		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Orumany Sanu Q	44 /E % 44 /10	of Machanahalli		
		44/2, 44/3, 44/4,	44/3 & 44/10			
I				, Chitradurga		
		District (8-36 Acre	es)			
Ì		Latitude & Longitude of all	GPS F	leading m:WQS-84		
ļ		corner boundary point/ pillar Fixed reference point (FRP)	Latitude	Longitude		
		. i	No and a state of the state of	E 76" 49" 43.2"		
ì		BF-A	N 14° 50′ 09.8″ N 14° 50′ 10.9″	£ 76° 49° 47.5°		
		BP-B BP-C	N 14° 50′ 11.8″	E76" 49' 524"		
		8P-D	N 14° 50° 11.2°	E76' 49' 56.2"		
		BP-E	N 14° 50′ 11.8°	E 76" 49" 59.5"		
		BP-F	N 14° 50′ 09.1"	E 76° 50° 00.3°		
		BP-G	N 14° 50′ 09.1″	F 76° 49′ 57.8″		
		8P-11	N 14° 50′ 08.0″	E 76° 49′ 57.9°		
		BIN	N 14"50" (7.9"	E 76: 49' 55.5"		
		BP-	N 14° 50′ 09.0°	E 76° 49° 55.7° E 76° 49° 53.1°		
		BP-K BP-1.	N 14° 50′ 08.8° N 14° 50′ 08.6°	E 76- 49' 53.2"		
		BP-M	N 14° 50' 08.4"	£76° 49′ 52.1°		
		BF-N	N 14: 50' 08.6"	E 76" 49" 49.5"		
		BP-O	N 14° 50′ 08.9″	E 76° 49′ 49.5″		
3	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sand Q	uarry			
	New / Expansion /	New				
4	New / Expansion /					
	Modification / Renewal	D. U.				
5.	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta				
	Government Revenue, Gomal,					
	Private / Patta, Other]			:		
6	Area in Acres	8-36 Acres		· 		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	79,205 Tons/Anı	num (including	g waste)		
•						
	/ Cum) Per Annum	Rs. 1.49 Crores (1	Rs. 149 Lakhs)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	2,37,617 Tons (in	cluding waste	<u> </u>		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	2,37,017 TOHS (III	CIUCILIS Waste	,		
	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	<u></u>				
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum	79,205 Tons/An	num (includin	g waste)		
10	- Cu.m / Ton					
	CER Activities: To take up add	itional plantation h	v growing 100	0 trees		
11	CER Activities: 10 take up add	monai piamanon b	7 6.0 mm 6 100			

Drafted by

	Year	Corporate	orporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)				
	Ist	Providing communit	solar power panels and Health camp in nearby y places to the GHPS of Manchenahalli village				
	2 nd	Health can	p in GHPS of Manchenahalli village				
	3rd	Rain water	harvesting pits to the GHPS of Manchenahalli village				
12	EMP Bu	ıdget	Rs. 83.11 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 9.85 Lakhs (Recurrin cost)				
13	Forest N	VoC	25.04.2017				
14	App. M	ining Plan	31.12.2020				
15	C&IN	otification	17.02.2020				
16	DTF	<u> </u>	27.04.2017				
17	Cluster	certificate	18.01.2021				
18	Revenu	 e	26.04.2017				
19	Public F	learing	12.08.2022				

The proposal is for sand quarry project in patta land and SEIAA had issued ToR on 07.08.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 12.08.2022. Proponent submitted clarification from DMG 18.08.2022, informing that there is no river bed sand mining in a radius of 5 km from the proposed site area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 850 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and also to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the request/reviews of public opined during public hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,37,617 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 3 years. The committee after discussion decided to

Drafted by Lew

Ju ____

recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 79,205 Tons/ Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 225.1.27. Building Stone Quarry project at Kapnoor Village Kalaburagi Taluk & District (1-15 Acres) by Sri Ramkishan Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/266646/2022 (SEIAA 375 MIN 2022)

Sri Ramkishan have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry project at Sy. No. 23/*/9 of Kapnoor Village, Kalaburagi Taluk & District (1-15 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Ramkishan
	Proponent	

Drafted by kan

J

2	Name & Location o	f the Project	Building Stone Quarry project at Sy. No. 23/*, of Kapnoor Village, Kalaburagi Taluk & District (1-15 Acres)			
			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	
			A	N17°24′24.8″	E 76°51′48.5″	
			В	N17°24'21.5"	E 76°51'46.1"	
			С	N17°24′20.8″	E 76°51′47.4″	
	<u> </u>		D.	N17°24'24.2"	E 76°51'49.9"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building S	Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /		New			
<u> </u>	Modification / Rene					
5	Type of Land [Forest		Patta			
	Government Revenu Private / Patta, Other		·			
6	Area in Acres	1-15 Acres				
7	Annual Production	Metric Ton	35,505 TPA (including waste)			
	/ Cum) Per Annum	(11111111111111111111111111111111111111	00,000 117	(micruality waste)		
_8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of		2,05,929 Tons (including waste)			
10	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	m / Ton				
10	Permitted Quantity i - Cu.m / Ton	Per Annum	34,795 TPA	(Excluding Waste)		
11	CER Activities:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	Propose take up 150 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Kapnoor Village Road					
12	EMP Budget Rs. 13.75 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.23 Lakhs (Recurring					
		cost)	(-upita	LOSY OF NS. 3.23 Lai	MIS (Recurring	
13	Forest NoC	05.07.2016				
14	App. Mining Plan	03.03.2022				
15	Revenue	11.07.2016		<u> </u>		
16	Notification	29.01.2022				
<u>17</u>	Cluster certificate	27.04.2022	<u> </u>			

As per the cluster sketch there are 02 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 4-28 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Drafted by

M____

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1090 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,05,929 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 6 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 35,505 Tons/ Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.28. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Alaburu Village, Kotturu Taluk, Vijayanagara District (6.45 Acres) by Sri Imamasab M Morabad - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/290230/2022 (SEIAA 376 MIN 2022)

Sri Imamasab M Morabad S/o. Maktumasab have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.

Drafted by kein

1

305/A, 305/B, 305/1, 305/C, 312/C & 186/D of Alaburu Village, Kotturu Taluk, Vijayanagara District (6-45 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION		
1	Name & Address of the Projects			
	Proponent	Sri Imamasab M Morabad S/o. Maktumasab, #360, Rajivgandhi Nagar, Masuti Road, Gadag,		
		Karnataka - 582101.	suu koaa, Gadag,	
	Name & Location of the Project			
_	rune & Location of the Project	, 100.		
		305/A, 305/B, 305/1, 305/C,	312/C & 186/D of	
		Alaburu Village, Kotturu Ta	aluk, Vijayanagara	
		District (6-45 Acres)		
		Corner Point No Lamude	Langitude	
		I N 14' 54'47.474"	E 76° 08' 44.054"	
		2 N 14° 54′ 48.723″	E 76" 08" 44.853"	
		3 N 14" 54 46.539"	E 76° 08' 49 125"	
		4 N 14' 54' 44 194"	E 76" 08" 49.150"	
		5 N 14° 54' 42 353"	E 76° 08' 49.996"	
		6 N /4" 54" 38.59/" 7 N /4" 54" 38.759"	E 76" 08" 49.523"	
		8 N 14° 54° 39.929"	£ 76° 06' 48.630"	
		9 N 14" SA" 43.234"	£ 76° 08' 18.277" £ 76° 08' 16.166"	
		R-I N 14" 34" 44.400"	£ 76° 08' 43 100"	
		#-2 · N /4" 54"49.900"	£ 76° 08' 44.900"	
3	Tymo Of Min and	R-3 N 14° 54' 38.000"	E 76° 00' 51.400"	
4	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sand Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /	New	<u></u> _	
	Modification / Renewal			
	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta		
	Government Revenue, Gomal,		6	
	Private / Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres	6.45 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	69,344 TPA (including waste)	:	
	/ Cum) Per Annum	(====ining (vasie)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.20 Crores (Rs. 120 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	2,12,279.30 Tons (including waste)		
	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		sie)	
	Permitted Quantity Per Annum	67,958 TPA (Excluding Waste)		
	- Cu.m / Ton	or, 700 IT A (Excluding waste)		
	CER Activities:			
1				
	·			

Drafted by te

1

	Year	Corporate E	nvironmental Responsibility (CER)	
	Ist	Providing sol	ling solar power panels to GHPS school at Alaburu village ucting E-waste drive campaigns in the Alaburu village	
	2nd	Conducting l		
	3rd	Health camp	in GHPS at Alaburu Village.	
12	ЕМР В	udget	Rs. 49.61 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.89 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest	NoC	21.03.2022	
14	App. N	Aining Plan	28.06,2022	
15	Revenue		21.06.2021	
16	DTF		30.05.2022	
17	Cluste	r certificate	02.08.2022	

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 6.45 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Proponent submitted clarification from DMG dated 06.08.2022, informing that there is no river bed sand mining in a radius of 5 km from the proposed site area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1480meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per standard norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and also to grow trees all along the approach road and in the buffer zone during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,12,279.30 Tons (including waste), estimated life of quarry as 3 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 69,344Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

1

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 225.1.29. Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Kalya Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (1.67 Acres) by Sri Narayana Prabhu Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/290424/2022 (SEIAA 377 MIN 2022)

Sri Narayana Prabhu have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Sy. No. 160/1 of Kalya Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (1.67 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Narayana Prabhu

Drafted by ______

2	Name & Location of the	e Project	Building No. 160,	Stone (M-sand) Q /1 of Kalya Villa	uarry Project at Sy. ge, Karkala Taluk,	
		Udupi District (1.67 Acres)				
		P. No.	Latitude	Longitude		
!			A	N 13' 12' 31.50"	E 74* 54' 36.70"	
			В	N 13" 12" 32.60"	E 74° 54′ 31.40″	
			C	N 13* 12' 33.00*	E 74° 54′ 31.60″	
		ľ	D	N 13° 12′ 34.50″	E 74" 54" 35.00"	
			E	N 13' 12' 33.30"	E 74* 54′ 35.40″	
			F	N 13' 12' 32.20"	E 74° 54′ 36.40″	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building	Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /		New			
- 1	Modification / Renew	al				
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Patta			
	Government Revenue					
	Private / Patta, Other]		1 (17 A			
_6	Area in Acres		1.67 Acr		-o)	
7	Annual Production (N	Metric Ion	45,916 1	PA (including wast	(*)	
	/ Cum) Per Annum	rores)	Rs 0.30	Crores (Rs. 30 Lakt	ns)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Co Proved Quantity of m		3.20.794	Tons (including w	aste)	
9	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	шц		(<u> </u>	
10	Permitted Quantity P	er Annum	45,000 TPA (Excluding Waste)			
10	- Cu.m / Ton					
11	CED A chivities	<u> </u>				
	Propose take up 300	No. of add	litional p	lantation on either	side of the approach	
	road from quarry loc	ation to Kal	ya Villag	e Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 16.25 L	akhs (Ca	pital Cost) & Rs. 4.2	21 Lakhs (Recurring	
12	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	cost)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13	Forest NoC	24.02.2022		<u> </u>		
14	App. Mining Plan	08.08.2022	<u> </u>			
15	Revenue	28.04.2021				
16	Notification	05.07.2022				
17	Cluster certificate	10.08.2022	2			

Drafted by

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 1.67 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 250 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 3,20,794 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 7 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 45,918 Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by Kein

ll-

225.1.30. Building Stone Quarry Project at Gavirangapura Village, Kasaba Hobli, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District (3-09 Acres) (CTA - 579) by Sri M Jayanna - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/290817/2022 (SEIAA 383 MIN 2022)

Sri M JayannaS/o. (Late) Mudlappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 48/P1 of Gavirangapura Village, Kasaba Hobli, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District (3-09 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

il.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri M JayannaS/o. (Late) Mudlappa Sri Manjunatha Borewells & Service Station,				
	Proponent	Sri Manju	natha Borewells & S	service Station,		
	_	Huliyar Road, Hiriyuru Taluk, Chitradurga -				
		<u>5775</u> 98.		O N. 40/B1 -		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building S	Stone Quarry Projec	t at Sy. No. 48/P1 o		
		Gavirang	apura Village, Kasa	ba Hobli, Hosadurg		
			hitradurga District	(3-09 Acres) (CTA		
		579)	*	Longitude		
		P. No.	Latitude	E 74° 54′ 36.70″		
		Λ	N 13° 12′ 31.50″	E 74° 54′ 31.40″		
		B	N 13* 12' 32.60"	E 74* 54* 31.60"		
		C	N 13* 12' 33.00"	E 74* 54' 35.00"		
	1	D	N 13" 12" 34.50"	E 74 54 35.40"		
		E	N 13* 12' 33.30"	E 74° 54′ 36.40″		
		F	N 13* 12′ 32.20″	E /4 J4 J0/40		
3	Type Of Mineral		Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /	Expansion	on (QL. No. CTA 579	?)		
	Modification / Renewal	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Governn	nent			
-	Government Revenue, Gomal,		· ·			
	Private / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres	3-09 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	1,57,895	1,57,895 TPA (including waste)			
·	/ Cum) Per Annum					
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.30	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)			
- 9	Proved Quantity of mine/	11,40,904 Tons (including waste)				
-	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		<u> </u>			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum	1,50,000	TPA (Excluding Wa	aste)		
	- Cu.m / Ton					

Drafted by Kein

11	CER Activities: To construct compound wall and an additional room to GHPS at Gavirangapura village.					
	Year	Corporate	Environmental Responsibility (CER)			
	1st		solar power panels to the GHPS school at Gavirangapura			
	2 nd	Rainwater	harvesting pits to Gavirangapura village			
	3rd	antation either side of the approach road near quarry site & bad with drainages				
	4 th	Conducting	g E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Gavirangapura village			
	5 th	Health camp in GHPS at Gavirangapura village				
_12	EMP B	udget	Rs.40,28 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs.8.77 Lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest l		25.08.2015			
14	App. M	lining Plan	18.07.2022			
15	CCR fro	CCR from KSPCB 22.11.2017				
16	Audit Report 04.06.2022					
17	Cluster certificate 15.06.2022					
18	C&IN	otification	22.08.2022			

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 3-09Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 730 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 11,40,904Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 8 years. The committee after discussion decided to

Drafted by kein

recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,57,895 Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.31. Grey Granite Quarry Project at Kuknoor Village, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (4-28 Acres) by Smt. Vimala A. Huralikoppi - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/290937/2022 (SEIAA 384 MIN 2022)

Smt. Vimala A. HuralikoppiW/o. Ashok Bangarshettar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 87/2/7 & 87/2/8 of Kuknoor Village, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (4-28 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
	Name & Address of the Projects	Smt. Vimala A. HuralikoppiW/o. Ashok Bangarshettar, Gurukrupa, Lingaraj Nagara (North), Near Samudhaya Bhavan, Hubli- 580031

Drafted by ken

2	Nam	e & Location	of the Project	Grey Granit	te Ouarry Project	at Sy. Nos. 87/2/7
	1 1112		or the Project		e Quarry 110ject	ge, Kuknoor Taluk,
				Koppal Dist	rict (4-28 Acres)	ge, Kuknoor Taluk,
				Corner Point No	Latitude	la a a a francis
				A	N 15* 29' 43.1"	Longitude E 76° 00' 38.4"
				8	N 15° 29' 45.7"	E 76° 00' 38.7"
1				<u> </u>	N 15° 29' 48.3"	£ 76° 00' 38.8"
				D -	N 15° 29' 47.4"	E 76" 00" 34.2"
				E	N 15° 29' 42.9"	E 76" 00'34.9"
3	Туре	Of Mineral		Grey Granit		£ 70 W 34.4
4	New	/ Expansion	7	New		
İ		fication / Rer		1		
5	Type	of Land [Fore	st,	Patta		_
	Gover	nment Reven	ue, Gomal.			
	Privat	e / Patta, Oth	ier]	,		
6	Area	in Acres		4-28 Acres		
7	Annu	al Production	(Metric Ton		Annum for fir	et voer (including
1	/ Cur	n) Per Annun	n	6,666 Cum/Annum for first year (including waste), 8,333 Cum/Annum for second year (including waste) and 10,000 Cum/Annum for		
	1					
				three years (including waste).	Cum/Annum for
8	Projec	t Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 1.50 Cror	es (Rs. 150 Lakhs	,
9		d Quantity of		3.40.884 cum	(including waste)	}
		<u>y- Cu.m /</u> To			(mendanig waste	,
10	Permi	tted Quantity	Per Annum	2.000 Cum	Annum for	Great Trans. O FOO
	- Cu.n	n / Ton		Cum/Annun	n for second	first year, 2,500
		·		Cum/Annum	n for three y	
	ļ			Waste)	it for three y	ears. (Excluding
				(Vaste)		
11	CER A	ctivities: To	construct one	additional roo	om to GHPS at Kı	1 .11
1 2			construct offe	additional 100	in to GHPS at Kt	ikanoor village
	Year	Compresso E		.	·	
	1st	Providing So	lar Power Pan	Responsibility	(CER) ool at Kukanoor Vi	<u> </u>
	2nd	Rain water h	arvecting nite	to the GUPS and	ooi at Kukanoor Vi hool at Kukanoor v	illage.
	3rd	Health camp	at GHPS scho	ol at Kukanoor	Mile	/illage.
	4th	Avenue plan	tation either si	de of the sace	village. Dach road near Qu	
		Repair of roa	d With draina	aec or the appli	pacii road near Qu	iarry site &
]	5th				farmers to increas	
L.	}	and fodder	- Port and awa	reness to local	iarmers to increas	e yield of crop
12	ЕМР В		Rs, 39.12 Lat	khs (Canital C	ost) & Rs. 13.14 L	alcha (D
	<u> </u>	•	cost)	(Supilar Ci	osij oc NS. 13.14 L.	akns (kecurring
13	Forest :	NoC	16.04.2022			
14	App. M	lining Plan	03.08.2022			
			Λ			
			Λ		I	1 1

Drafted by _______

ll_

15	Revenue	18.04.2022
16	DTF	14.06.2022
17	Cluster certificate	26.08.2022

As per the cluster sketch there are 04 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 02 leases are exempted from cluster as the leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining 02 leases including the present lease is 9-38 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 630 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The proponent informed the committee that the waste to disposed to crusher after getting necessary approvals from competent authority.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 3,40,884 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 6,666 Cum/Annum for first year (including waste), 8,333 Cum/Annum for second year (including waste) and 10,000 Cum/Annum for three years (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the

Drafted by Ke

proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.32. Building Stone Quarry Project at Hunsikote Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (0-20 Acres) by Sri Venkatesh - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/291259/2022 (SEIAA 389 MIN 2022)

Sri Venkatesh S/o Thimmana have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.29(P) of Hunsikote Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (0-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Venkatesh S/o Thimmana, Kondashettihalli Post, Tekal Hobli, Malur Taluk, Kolar District.				
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone	Quarry Project	at Sy.No.29(P) o uk, Kolar Distric		
j		Corner Point No	Lotitude	Longitude		
J		A	N 13° 0'29.36"	E 78° 04' 38.30"		
		В	N 13° 0′29.36″	E 78° 04' 39.29"		
		<u>C</u>	N 13° 0'31.52"	E 78° 04' 38.31"		
-		D	N 13° 0'31.52"	E 78° 04' 39.32"		
	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone (Quarry	<u> </u>		
	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal,	Government				

Drafted by

	<u> </u>				
	Private /	Patta, Other]			
6	Area in A	Acres		0-20 Acres	
7	Annual 1	Production (M	letric Ton	12,251 TPA (including waste)	
	/ Cum) 1	Per Annum			
8	Project C	ost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 0.95 Crores (Rs. 95 Lakhs)	
9	Proved (Quantity of m	ine/	1,30,340 Tons (including waste)	
		Cu.m / Ton			
10		d Quantity Po	er Annum	12,251 TPA (including waste)	
	- Cum	/ Ton			
11	CER Act	tivities: To co	nstruct one	additional room to GHPS at nearby Govt school.	
	Year			Responsibility (CER)	
	1=			nels to common public places	
ı	2 _{nd}	Rain water h	arvesting pit	s to GHPS at Hunasikote Village	
1	310	Cleaning out	and deepen	ing of Chambe Pond	
l 	4th	4th Conducting E-waste driv		e campaigns in the nearby localities	
	5:11	Health camp	in nearby co	ommunity places	
12	EMP Bu	ıdget	Rs. 19.15 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.48 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest N	NoC	24.08.2022		
14	App. M	App. Mining Plan 10.08.2012			
15	Revenu	ie	02.11.2010		
16	Audit F	lit Report 02.06.2022			
17		certificate	10.06.2022	2	

The present lease was granted on 21.12.2010. As the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013, the lease is exempted from the cluster and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent submitted audit report dated 2.06.2022till 2021-22 certified by DMG.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 460 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

Drafted by

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 1,30,340 Cum (including waste) for 11 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 12,251 TPA (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.33. Pink porphyry granite Quarry Project at Thimmanayakanahalli Village, Urdigere Hobli, Tumkur Taluk, Tumkur District (3-32 Acres) by Sri P Venugopal - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/291285/2022 (SEIAA 391 MIN 2022)

Sri P VenugopalS/o. Perumal K have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Pink porphyry granite Quarry Project at Sy. No.137 (old Sy. No.04) of Thimmanayakanahalli Village, Urdigere Hobli, Tumkur Taluk, Tumkur District (3-32 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
•	Proponent Projects	Sri P VenugopalS/o. Perumal K. Near Indian Overseas Bank, Belagumba Post,
L		Tumkur District, Karnataka-572104.

Drafted by ke____

2	Name & Location o	of the Project	No.137 (old S Village, Urd	y. No.04) of Thim	ry Project at Sy. manayakanahalli Tumkur Taluk,	
			Corner Point No	Latitude	Longitude	
			884	N 13° 23' 50.2256"	E 77° 12'22.9287°	
			89-8	N 13° 23' 49.7058"	E 77° 12'25.6085"	
			8P-C	N 13° 23' 43.8228°	E 77° 12'25.7534"	
			8P-D	N 13° 23' 43.8390"	E 77° 12'23.0454"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Pink Porphyr	y Granite Quarry		
4	New / Expansion	/	New			
!	Modification / Rer	iewal				
5	Type of Land [Fore		Government			
	Government Reven				1	
	Private / Patta, Oth	ier]	2 22 4			
6	Area in Acres	0.4	3-32 Acres	in aludina	Turacto)	
7	Annual Production	•	17,143 Cum/	annum(including	, waste)	
	/ Cum) Per Annur		Ps. 1.62 Crore	ne /Re 163 Lakhs)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In		Rs. 1.63 Crores (Rs. 163 Lakhs) 6,01,452Cum (including waste)			
9	Proved Quantity o Quarry- Cu.m / To		0,01,452Cuiii	(HICHARIES WASSE)	′	
10	Permitted Quantit		6.000 Cum/a	nnum (Excluding	(Waste)	
10	- Cu.m / Ton	, 1 01 1 11 11 11 11 11	-,,	` `		
11	CER Activities:		<u></u>	-		
-				······································		
	Year Corpo	rete Environment	al Responsibility (CER)			
			panels to common			
	2nd The pr	oponent proposes	s to distribute nur	sery plants at Thimm	anayakananam	
}	3rd Condu	etine F-waste dr	g of approach road	the nearby localities		
	4th Scient fodder	ific support and	awareness to loca	l farmers to increase	yield of crop and	
		camp in nearby	community places			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 27.84 l	akhs (Capital C	Cost) & Rs. 15.23 l	akhs (Recurring	
		cost)				
13	Forest NoC	12.11.2014				
14	App. Mining Plan	22.08.2022	2			
15	Revenue					
16	C & I Notification	09.06.2022	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
17	Cluster certificate	22.08.2022	2			

Drafted by ken

1

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 3-32 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 260 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The proponent informed the committee that the waste to disposed to crusher after getting necessary approvals from competent authority.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 6,01,452 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 17,143 Cum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Drafted by

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.34. Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Thipparasanal Village Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (4-19 Acres) by Sri Rahul Naik - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/291383/2022 (SEIAA 394 MIN 2022)

Sri Rahul Naik have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Sy. No. 58/1 of Thipparasanal Village Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (4-19 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Rahul Naik				
- '	Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the Project	Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Sy. No. 58/1 of Thipparasanal Village Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (4-19 Acres)				
		C. P	Latitude	Longitude		
		A	N 15°30'05.91"	E 76°02′02.11″		
		В	N 15°30'04.80"	E 76°02'04.65°		
	<u> </u>	С	N 15°30'04.14"	E 76º02'07.35"		
		D	N 15 ⁰ 30'00.66"	E 76°02'06.14"		
		ŧ	N 15º30'02.60"	E 76°02'00.43"		
3	Type Of Mineral	Granite (Gre	y Granite) Quarry	,		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New				
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta				
6	Area in Acres	4-19 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	4,001 Cum/annum(including waste) for 1st year, 5,000 Cum/annum(including waste)for 2nd year & 6,668 Cum/annum(including waste) for three years.				
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.50 Cro	ores (Rs. 50 Lakhs)			

Drafted by ke

9	Proved Quantity of Quarry-Cu.m / To		2,78,035 Cum (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity - Cu.m / Ton		1,200 Cum/annum for 1st year, 1,500 Cum/annum for 2nd year & 2,000 Cum/annum for three years. (Excluding Waste)
11	CER Activities:		L
L	Propose take up 60 road from quarry lo	0 No. of add cation to Thir	itional plantation on either side of the approach
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.50 La	akhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.50 Lakhs (Recurring
13	Forest NoC	08.11.2021	
14	App. Mining Plan	18.07.2022	
15	Revenue	19.04.2021	
16	DTF	20.04.2021	
17	Cluster certificate	18.08.2022	
18	C&I	07.06.2022	

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 4-19 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 600 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The proponent informed the committee that the waste to disposed to crusher after getting necessary approvals from competent authority and the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,78,035 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production 4,001 Cum/annum(including waste) for 1st year,

Drafted by ke

ll _____

 $5{,}000~\rm{Cum/annum}$ (including waste) for $2^{\rm nd}$ year & $6{,}668~\rm{Cum/annum}$ (including waste) for three years.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.35. River Sand Quarry Project at Hirebandadi Village, Puttur Taluk & Dakshina Kannada District (5.189 Acres) by Sri Ramanna Gowda - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/289506/2022 (SEIAA 374 MIN 2022)

Sri Ramanna Gowda have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of River Sand Quarry Project at Sy. No. 220/1 of Hirebandadi Village, Puttur Taluk & Dakshina Kannada District (5.189 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Ramanna Gowda
L	Proponent	

Drafted by

2	Name & Location of	of the Project	Hireband	nd Quarry Project adi Village, Puttu District (5.189 Acre	at Sy. No. 220/1 of r Taluk & Dakshina es)
			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude
			A	N 12* 48' 55.74"	E 75° 14' 47.06"
			В	N 12° 48′ 44.03°	E 75" 14" 41.64"
			С	N 12* 48' 44.58"	E 75° 14' 39.95"
			D	N 12° 48′ 56.35″	E 75° 14' 45.53°
3	Type Of Mineral		River San	d Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Ren	ewal	New		
5	Type of Land [Fores	t,	Governme	ent	
	Government Revenue Private / Patta, Other	ie, Gomal, er]			
6_	Area in Acres		5.189 Acres		
7	Annual Production / Cum) Per Annum		35,776 Tor	ns/Annum (includ	ing waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.60 Cr	ores (Rs. 60 Lakhs	<u> </u>
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/	35,776 Tor	s (including waste	·)
10	Quarry-Cu.m / Tor Permitted Quantity - Cu.m / Ton	Per Annum	33,987 To	ns/Annum (Exclud	ling Waste)
11	CER Activities:		<u> </u>		
	Propose take up 778	No. of add	itional plan	tation on either sid	de of the approach
10	Toda Hom quarry 10	cation to Hire	ebandadi Vi	llage Road	
12 	EMP Budget	Rs. 18.80 La cost)	ikhs (Capita	al Cost) & Rs. 5.68 I	Lakhs (Recurring
13	Forest NoC	19.05.2022			
14	App. Mining Plan	18.07.2022			
15	Notification	29.11.2018			
16	District sand monitoring	29.11.2021			
	committee				
17	Cluster certificate	18.07.2022			
18	JIR	3mts			

Drafted by

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining, allotted through e-auction as per Gazette Notification dated 29.11.2018. As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 5.189 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 600 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and banks of river during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The committee informed the proponent to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for sand mining and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all the above. Proponent informed the committee that loading to vehicles to be done manually during the quarry operation.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 35,776 Tons (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 35,776 Tons (including waste) for 5 years, after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

Drafted by

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
- 4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.
- 225.1.36. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nandanagenahalli & Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (2-30 Acres) (QL No.795) by M/s. P R S Stone Crusher Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/272051/2022 (SEIAA 227 MIN 2022)

M/s. P R S Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 94 & 43 of Nandanagenahalli & Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (2-30 Acres) (QL No.795)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s. P R S Stone Crusher,
	Proponent	Managing Partner,
		Sri Naveen Kumar Thejasvi,M R,
		Sy.No.450/2 of Yalagalahalli Village,
		Peresandra Post, Chikkaballapura Taluk,
		Chikkaballapura District.

Drafted by Kan

2	Name &	: Location of t	he Project	43 of Nandar Village, Chikkaballapur No.795)	Quarry Project a nagenahalli & Chikkaballapur ra District (2-30	Chikkanagavalli a Taluk,) Acres) (QL
				Corner Point No		Longitude
			ļ	A	N 13° 36' 25.1°	E 77° 46′ 15.5° E 77° 46′ 20.7°
			8	N 13° 36' 25.5'		
			C	N 13° 36' 24.4"	E 77° 46' 15.5'	
				D	N 13" 36" 24.3"	E 77° 46' 14.8'
				Ε	N 13° 36° 23.0°	E 77° 46' 14.9"
				JF	N 13° 36' 23.3"	E 77° 46' 20.9°
3	Type Of	Mineral		Building Stone	Quarry	
4		Expansion / ation / Renev	wal	Expansion. (QI	L no. 795)	
5		Land [Forest,		Govt. land		
5				GOVE MILE		
	Government Revenue, Gomal,		ļ			
	Private / Patta, Other]		0.00 1			
6	Area in			2-30 Acres 1,22,449 Tons/Annum (including waste)		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		1,22,449 Tons/	Annum (includir	ng waste)	
8	Project	Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 1.21 Crores (Rs. 121 Lakhs)		
9	Proved	Quantity of n		6,29,096 Tons(including waste)		
		- Cu.m / Ton		1.00.000 Toma/	Annum (Excludi	ng Wasto\
10	1	ed Quantity I	er Annum	1,20,000 Tons/	Amtum (Exciudi	ing waste)
	- Cu.m		<u> </u>			
11	CER A	ctivities:				
	Year	Corporate En	vironmental R	esponsibility (CER)	
1	ist	Providing sola	r power panel	s to the GHPS sch	ool at Nandanagena	halli village
	2nd	The proponer	nt proposes to	distribute nursery	plants at Nandana	genahalli Village &
		Strengthening	of approach r	road	·····	
	3rd	Conducting E	-waste drive c	ampaigns in the Na	andanagenahalli villa	<u>e</u>
	4th		port and awa	areness to local fa	rmers to increase	yield of crop and
		fodder		4	L - N=	
	5th	Health camp	in GHPS school	ol at Nandanagena	uwiii Ains&e	<u> </u>
					<u> </u>	
12	EMP B	udget	Rs. 37.70 la	akhs (Capital Co	ost) & Rs. 9.78 lak	hs (Recurring
13	Ann N	lining Plan	29.03.2022		<u> </u>	
14		om KSPCB	26.08.2022			
15		Report	27.07.2022			
16		r certificate	08.04.2022			
10	Ciusie	Commen	100.04.2022	<u> </u>		

Drafted by

1-

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 09.05.2013 The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 26.08.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1888meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher in coordination with other lease owners as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 6,29,096 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,22,449 tones/Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by ke

225.1.37. Grey Granite Quarry Project at Kukanoor Village, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (3-19 Acres) by Sri Ravi S Naik - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/287979/2022 (SEIAA 359 MIN 2022)

Sri Ravi S Naik S/o. Somanath Naik have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Grey Granite Quarry Project at n Sy. No. 343/2 of KukanoorVillage, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (3-19 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION		
1	Name & Address of the Projects		laik S/o. Somanath l		
	Proponent		K H B Colony, Arvin		
		Dharwad District, Karnataka-580024			
2	Name & Location of the Project		te Quarry Project at		
		of KukanoorVillage, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppa			
		District (3-1	9 Acres)		
		Corner Point No	Latitude	Longitude	
		A	N 15° 28' 57.43"	E 75° 59 '14.96"	
		B	N 15° 28' 58.92"	E 75° 59 '15.72"	
		C	N 15° 28' 57.18°	E 75° 59 '07.18"	
 		٥	N 15" 28" 57.31"	E 75° 59 '06.35"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Grey Grani	te Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /	New			
ļ	Modification / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta		•	
	Government Revenue, Gomal,				
	Private / Patta, Other]				
6	Area in Acres	3-19 Acres		<u> </u>	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton		n/annum (Grey G		
	/ Cum) Per Annum	Cum/ann	ım (building stone).		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		ores (Rs. 149 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	2,44,471Cu	2,44,471Cum (including waste)		
	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton				
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum		n/annum (Grey C		
ħ.	-Cu.m / Ton	Cum/ann	um (building stone).		
11	CER Activities:				

Drafted by Kain

July 1

	Year	Corpo	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)			
	15t	Provid	ding Solar Power Panels in GHPS school at Kukanoor Village.			
	2nd	1	water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kukanoor village.			
	3rd	Healt	h camp at GHPS school at Kukanoor Village.			
	4th		Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages			
	5th	1	ntific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop fodder			
12	EMP Budge	et	Rs. 38.35 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.87 lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NoC		26.12.2019			
14	App. Mining Plan		02.03.2022			
15	Revenue		17.06.2020			
16	DTF		27.11.2022			
17	Cluster cert	ificate	05.08.2022			

As per the cluster sketch there are 04 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 01 lease is exempted from cluster as the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining 03 leases including the present lease is 9-18 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 630 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed. The proponent informed the committee that the waste to be used as building stone and the same is approved in quarry plan.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,44,471 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production 2,000 Cum/annum (Grey Granite)&4,667 Cum/annum(building stone).

Drafted by

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.38. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sonakanahalli Village, Indi Taluk & Vijayapur District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Ashok Basappa Bandi - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/281016/2022 (SEIAA 370 MIN 2022)

Sri Ashok Basappa Bandi have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 133/1 (P) of Sonakanahalli Village, Indi Taluk & Vijayapur District (2-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Ashok Basappa Bandi
	Proponent	

Drafted by

W___

2	Name & Location of	the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 133/1 (P) of Sonakanahalli Village, Indi Taluk & Vijayapur District (2-00 Acres)			
ì			Latitude Longitude N17*09.18.63" E75*45' 46.17" N17*09' 17.93" E75*45'48.87" N17*09' 14.94" E75*45 '47.28" N17*09'15.80" E75*45' 44.69"			
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /	 	New			
	Modification / Rene	wal				
5	Type of Land [Forest		Patta			
	Government Revenu					
<u></u>	Private / Patta, Othe	r]				
6	Area in Acres		2-00 Acres			
7	Annual Production	Metric Ton	19,401 Tons/Annum (including waste)			
-	/ Cum) Per Annum					
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C		Rs. 0.50 Crores (Rs. 50 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of		7,01,619 Tons (including waste)			
10	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton					
	Permitted Quantity I - Cu.m / Ton	er Annum	17,461 Tons/Annum (Excluding Waste)			
11	CER Activities:		<u> </u>			
	Propose take up 200	No. of add	litional Plantation, maintainance & Watering on			
	poth sides of kere d	uring plan i	period plantation on either side of the approach			
1	road from quarry lo	cation to Son	nakanahalli Village Road and to construct check			
	dams/gully traps.		<u></u>			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.30 La cost)	akhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.25 Lakhs (Recurring			
13	Forest NoC	11.08.2020	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
14	App. Mining Plan	04.12.2020				
15	Notification	17.02.2021				
16	Cluster certificate	28.07.2022				
17	Revenue NoC	22.07.2020				
	<u> </u>					

As per the cluster sketch there are 03 leases, including the present lease within 500 meter radius from the present lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 7-00Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Drafted by Ki

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 400 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 7,01,619 Tons (including waste) estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 19,401 Tons/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

225.1.39. Building Stone Quarry Project at Janalakunte Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (3-20 Acres) (vide QL No. 100) by M/s. Sree Shirdi sai Aggregates - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/279552/2022 (SEIAA 290 MIN 2022):Expansion

M/s. Sree Shirdi sai Aggregates have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 11 of Janalakunte Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (3-20 Acres) (vide QL No. 100)

Drafted by kein

1

Details of the project are as follows:

1 Name & Address of the Projects Proponent 2 Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 11 of	Sl.No	PARTICULA	ARS	Γ	INFORMATI	ON	
Proponent Name & Location of the Project Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 11 of Janalakunte Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (3-20 Acres) (vide QL No. 100) P. No. Latitude Longitude A N13'36'365' E7745'43.6' C N13'36'56.3' E7745'43.6' C N13'36'56.3' E7745'43.6' D N13'36'56.3' E7745'43.1' Expansion Government Government Government Government Fooret Cost (Rs. In Crores) 3-20 Acres 2,55,102 Tons/ Annum (including waste) 2,55,102 Tons/ Annum (including waste) 2,55,102 Tons/ Annum (including waste) 2,00,000 Tons/ Annum (Excluding Waste) 13,17,380 Tons (including waste) 2,00,000 Tons/ Annum (Excluding Waste) 14 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022		+		M/s. Sree			
Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 11 of Janalakunte Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (3-20 Acres) (vide QL No. 100) R. No.			,	'	88 8		
Janalakunte Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (3-20 Acres) (vide QL No. 100) P. No.	2		the Project	Building	Stone Quarry Proje	ect at Sy. No. 11 of	
No. 100) R.No. Lalitude Longitude				Janalaku	Janalakunte Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk,		
P. No. Latitude Longitude A N13'36'59.5' E 77'45'39.0' B N13'36'55.4' E 77'45'39.5' C N13'36'55.4' E 77'45'31.1' D N13'36'56.3' E 77'45'38.5' 3 Type Of Mineral Building Stone Quarry Expansion New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal 5 Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] 6 Area in Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 16.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 18 17.95 Location of the side of the species of the cost) Provided Control of the cost of the cos				Chikkaba	allapura District (3-2	20 Acres) (vide QL	
A N13'36'39.5' E17'45'39.0" B N13'36'58.6' E17'45'43.6' C N13'36'58.4' E17'45'43.6' C N13'36'58.4' E17'45'43.1' D N13'36'58.3' E77'45'43.5' 3 Type Of Mineral Building Stone Quarry 4 New / Expansion / Expansion Modification / Renewal 5 Type of Land [Forest, Government Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] 6 Area in Acres 3-20 Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry-Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum -Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022				No. 100)			
A N13'36'39.5' E17'45'39.0" B N13'36'58.6' E17'45'43.6' C N13'36'58.4' E17'45'43.6' C N13'36'58.4' E17'45'43.1' D N13'36'58.3' E77'45'43.5' 3 Type Of Mineral Building Stone Quarry 4 New / Expansion / Expansion Modification / Renewal 5 Type of Land [Forest, Government Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] 6 Area in Acres 3-20 Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry-Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum -Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022							
B N13'36'58.6' E17'45'43.6' C N13'36'56.3' E77'45'43.1" D N13'36'56.3' E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" D N13'36'56.3' E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" D N13'36'56.3' E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" E77'45'43.1" Expansion Scovernment Scovernment Covernment Covernment Covernment Covernment Covernment Scovernment Covernment Scovernment Covernment						<u> </u>	
C N13'36'54' E7745'43.1' D N13'36'53' E7745'31.5' 3 Type Of Mineral Building Stone Quarry Expansion Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry-Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022							
Type Of Mineral D				L		E 77°45′43.6°	
Type Of Mineral New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres 3-20 Acres				<u></u> ,	············		
4 New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal 5 Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] 6 Area in Acres 3-20 Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022				D	N 13*36′56.3"	E 77°45'38.5"	
Modification / Renewal 5	⊢		<u>.</u>				
5 Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] 6 Area in Acres 3-20 Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022	4	· •	_	Expansio:	n	_	
Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] 6 Area in Acres 3-20 Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022		 					
Private / Patta, Other] 6 Area in Acres 3-20 Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022	5			Government			
6 Area in Acres 3-20 Acres 7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine/Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022							
7 Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) 9 Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022			<u> </u>	0.00.4	<u> </u>		
/ Cum) Per Annum 8 Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) 9 Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022			Matria Tarr			1.	
Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs) Proved Quantity of mine / Quarry- Cu.m / Ton 13,17,380 Tons (including waste) Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 2,00,000 Tons / Annum (Excluding Waste) CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022 17 18.06.2019 18.06.2019 19.07.2022 19.07.2	1		Metric 10n	2,55,102 1	ons/ Annum (inclu	ding waste)	
9 Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum -Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022	8		'rores)	Ps 0.40 C	Proves (Pa. 40 Lakha)		
Quarry-Cu.m / Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum -Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022							
10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum -Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022				10,17,000	Tota (Including Was	sie)	
-Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022	10			2.00.000 T	ons/ Annum (Exclu	rding Waste)	
Propose take up 350 No. of additional Plantation, Maintainance & Watering on both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022				_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	via) i ilitain (Excit	iumig (tuste)	
both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022	11	CER Activities:		}			
both sides of kere during plan period plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022		Propose take up 350	No. of add	litional Pla	ntation, Maintainar	nce & Watering on	
road from quarry location to Janalakunte Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 17.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.71 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022		both sides of kere d	uring plan p	period plar	ntation on either sid	de of the approach	
cost		road from quarry loc	ation to Jana	lakunte Vi	llage Road		
13 Forest NoC 18.06.2019 14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022	12	EMP Budget		akhs (Capit	tal Cost) & Rs. 4.71 I	akhs (Recurring	
14 App. Mining Plan 07.05.2022 15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022	10	T .33 5					
15 Audit Report 16.05.2022 16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022				<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	_ <u></u>	
16 CCR from KSPCB 09.07.2022			07.05.2022				
			16.05.2022				
17 Cluster certificate 31.05.2022			09.07.2022				
	17	Cluster certificate	31.05.2022				

Drafted by

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 21.05.2019 and the lease was granted on 28.11.2019. The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 09.07.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 150 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher in coordination with other lease owners as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 13,17,380 tonns (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,55,102 tonns/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by Kenny

h_/_

225.1.40. Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Karadi Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (11-36 Acres) by M/s. Shri Banashankari and Manikanta Minerals ~ Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/290319/2022 (SEIAA 379 MIN 2022)

M/s. Shri Banashankari and Manikanta Minerals have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Sy. Nos. 22/1, 22/2, 22/3, 22/4, 22/5, 24/1A&1B, 24/2, 24/3A, 24/3B & 24/5 of Karadi Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (11-36 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATIO	N	
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s. Shri Banashankari and Manikanta			
	Proponent	Minerals.			
		Prop: Sri. V	ijay Basavaraj Sada	rivavar.	
		SS Shirasi T	ippunagar, 2nd "Cre	oss". Badami.	
		Near Karad	lagimata Hospital, I	Bađami Taluk	
		Bagalkot Ta	ıluk.		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary S	and Mining Project	at Sv. Nos. 22/1.	
		22/2, 22/3	3, 22/4, 22/5, 2	4/1A&1B. 24/2	
		24/3A, 24/	3B & 24/5 of Kar	adi Village, Ilkal	
		Taluk, Baga	lkot District (11-36	Acres)	
			`	,	
		Corner Point No	Latitude	Longitude	
		A	N 16° 05′53.5"	E 76° 11' 45.2"	
		<u>B</u>	N 16° 05′53.7″	E 76° 11'46.7"	
	·	C D	N 16° 05' 58.6"	E 76° 11' 55.0"	
		E	N 16° 05′ 52.2"	E 76° 11'56.5"	
		 	N 16° 05' 52.0" N 16° 05' 51.1"	E 76° 11' 52,7"	
		1 6	N 16° 05' 50.7"	E 76° 11' 49.9" E 76° 11' 48.2"	
		 	N 16° 05' 50.3"	E 76° 11' 48.2"	
		1	N 16° 05'49.5"	E 76° 11'44.4"	
_3	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sa	nd Mining Project		
4	New / Expansion /	New			
	Modification / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta			
	Government Revenue, Gomal,				
	Private / Patta, Other]				
_6	Area in Acres	11-36 Acres			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton	50,000 Tons	for 1st year, 83,355	Tons for 2nd year	
	/ Cum) Per Annum	50,000 Tons for 1st year, 83,355 Tons for 2nd year & 83,355 Tons for 3rd year (including waste)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.54 Crores (Rs. 154 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	2,16,710 Tons (including waste)			
ļ	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	-,20, 10 101	o (microanig waste)		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Drafted by ka____

10	Permitte - Cu.m	ed Quantity I / Ton		50,000 Tons for 1st year, 83,355 Tons for 2nd year & 83,355 Tons for 3rd year (all inclusive of waste)			
11	CER Activities:						
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)					
	1 1 1	Providing solar power panels and Health camp in nearby community places to the GHPS of Karadi village					
	2 nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Karadi village					
	3 rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS of Karadi village					
12	EMP Bu	EMP Budget Rs. 45.39 La cost)		khs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.25 Lakhs (Recurring			
13			30.04.2021				
14	App. Mining Plan		17.08.2022				
15	Revenue		06.05.2021	06.05.2021			
16	DTF 25.		25.08.2021)21			
17	Cluster certificate 08.08.2022						

As per the cluster sketch there are 02 leases in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the total extent of the leases is 23-21Acres. In the cluster sketch issued by DMG, for the lease of Sri Shivaputra B Sajjanara (11-25Acres), its mentioned that, Lease Surrendered to DMG, File is under process, for which the proponent informed the committee that for the lease of Sri. Shivaputra B Sajjanara (11-25 Acres and file no. SEIAA 08 MIN 2019), SEIAA had issued EC on 21.05.2019 for three years and presently the validity of lease is expired and the DMG has returned back the fixed deposit/BG to the lessee on 18.01.2022 and requested the committee to consider the proposal under B2 category as the other lease is no longer in existance. The committee accepted the clarification and is categorized the present proposal as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 640 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per standard norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and also to grow trees all along the approach road and in the buffer zone during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

Drafted by Kein

J.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,16,710 Tons (including waste), estimated life of quarry as 3 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 50,000 Tons for 1st year, 83,355 Tons for 2nd year & 83,355 Tons for 3rd year (all inclusive of waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.

225.1.41. Jiginahalli Manganese Mine Project at Jiginahalli Village, Sandur Taluk, Ballary District (22.45 Ha) by M/s. Marwa Mining Company- Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/267542/2022 (SEIAA 655 MIN 2021)

M/s. Marwa Mining Company have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for mining of Jiginahalli Manganese Mine" at Jiginahalli Village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari District.

Drafted by ke

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.NO	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION					
1	NT 0 4 1 1 Cd 73 1 4	M/s. Marwa Mining Company					
	Name & Address of the Project	Jiginahalli village, Sandur Taluk,					
	Proponent	Bellary District, Karnataka.					
2		"Jiginahalli Manganese Mine" of					
	AT AT A CALL Desired	M/s. Marwa Mining company at					
	Name & Location of the Project	Jiginahalli Village, Sandur Taluk,					
		Ballari District,					
3	C. France	N 15º08'21.89" to N 15º08'52.88"					
	Co-ordinates	E 76°27′12.47" to E 76°27′11.08"					
4	Type of Mineral	Jiginahalli Manganese Mine					
5	New /expansion/modification	Existing Mining lease / Expansion					
ļ	/renewal	of production (ML. No. 2482)					
6	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Government Revenue land					
	Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]						
7	Area in Ha	22.45 Ha					
8	Annual production (metric ton /Cum)	0.2688 MTPA					
	per annum						
9	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	476 lakhs					
10	Proved quantity of mine/quarry-	2.485 Millions Tons					
ļ	Cu.m/Tons						
11	Permitted quantity per annum-	0.2688 MTPA					
	Cu.m/Ton						
12	Approach Road	9 Kms from mining lease to Main					
	Approach Road	Road SH 40					
13		Area - 3.39 Ha (Area Under					
	Five years plan period	Mining)					
	Tire years pain person	Top RL- 824 mRL					
<u> </u>	<u></u>	Bottom RL - 755 mRL					
14	·	Area - 2.95 Ha (Area Under					
	Conceptual stage	Mining)					
	Construction of the constr	Top RL 690mRL					
<u> </u>		Bottom RL 630mRL					
15	CER Activities:						
]	Water Tanker for providing Drinking Water & Dust control in nearby						
-	villages Nursery Development to protect the native medicinal plants:						
	Infrastructura maintanance & canaci	ity building of the local farmers					
	Infrastructure, maintenance & capacity building of the local farmers > Use of Solar Wifi trolley within the mine for renewable energy > Occupational Health & Safety Measures						
	A Occupational Fleature Safety Measure						

Drafted by kein

	 ➢ Forest fire prevention works ➢ Providing solar street lights in JiginahalliVillage EMP Budget (including CER Activities) is 172 Lakhs 								
,	S1. No.	Activity	Proposed Quantity	Unit Price (in Rs.)	Capital Cost (Rs.)	Recurring Cost/Yr.(Rs)			
	1	Afforestation / Green belt development	5500 Saplings/yr	1000/sapling	-	55,00,000-00			
	2	Barbed wire fencing 1. Barbed wire fence	378*5 m=1,890	250/m	28,50,000- 00	-			
		2. Poles (for every 2m distance)	945	250/pole	2,85,000-00				
			945x 0.1 m3 = 95	9000/m3	10,26,000- 00				
	3	Drains	140	1500/m	33,57,000- 00	-			
	4	Water for Drinking, Dust suppression, & Plantation	12.60 KLD	200/ m3	- -	21,39,000-00			
	5	Periodic Medical Checkup & PPE supplies	Frequency: Quarterly	50,000/quarter	-	15,00,000-00			
		Environmental Monitoring	Frequency: Quarterly	12,500/quarter	-	15,00,000-00			
	7	Fire protection	Annual	50,000/annum	-	15,00,000-00			

Drafted by Ke

	8	CSR (Corporate social responsibility) CER (Corporate Environment responsibility)	Annual (2% of the average net profit)	1,40,000	0-00		39,00,000-00
	9	Gully Plugs	1 No.	1,00,000 each		10,00,000- 00	1,00,000-00
	10	Dust screens	378 x 10 m 100/sq.m		22,80,000- 00	1,00,000-00	
	11	Miscellaneous costs	Annual	50,000,	/annum	-	10,00,000-00
	Total					1,07,98,000- 00	1,72,39,000- 00
17				As per Forest Dept. G.O date 24.06.2022, FC is co terminus with lease			
18.	IBM Approval Date			12.04.2022			

The proposal is for EC for Manganese Mine of Marwa Mining Company (ML 2482) in an area of 22.45 Ha of Forest land, ToR was issued by SEIAA on 05.01.2022 for production of 0.2688 MTPA.

The proponent with respect to ESZ of Gudekote WLS, informed the committee that as per Hon'ble S.C Directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, Hon'ble Supreme Court has directed that,

"(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which the proposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in the order passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall be implemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

The Forest Dept. GoK, has submitted revised draft notification to MoEF&CC on 13.09.2022 for declaration of Eco-Sensitive Zone area around "Gudekote Sloth Bear

Drafted by kair

Sanctuary" and "Bhimagad Wildlife Sanctuary", as per which minimum width of ESZ area around Gudekote WLS is 1.00 km and maximum length of ESZ area is 5.70 km and informed that the proposed project is at a distance of 6.30 km from the boundary of ESZ as per Draft Notification dated 13.09.2022. As the draft proposal for declaration of ESZ around Gudekote has been sent to MoEF&CC, the proponent requested the committee to consider the proposal as per Hon'ble S.C directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, for grant of EC. The committee noted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project.

Further the proponent informed that no mining operation has been carried out from 2011-12 till date and as per Forest Dept. GO dated 24.06.2022, the validity of Forest Clearance is co-terminus with the lease period

Public hearing was conducted on 29.03.22. The committee reviewed 19 statements recorded by the people who attended the public hearing, for which the proponent made a presentation submitting point wise compliance to all these issues/requirements raised by the public during public hearing. The proponent informed that they would strengthen the approach road leading to the forest area as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & also to grow trees all along the approach road for which the proponent agreed. The proponent also submitted undertaking to implement with Reclamation and Rehabilitation (R&R) Plan before commencement of mining activity.

The proponent has collected baseline data for air, water, soil and noise and all parameters are found to be within permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken up to ensure that the parameters are maintained within permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 2.485 MT as per the approved Mining plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine to be 10 years and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for annual production of 0.2688 MTPA with conditions to implement R&R plan before commencement of mining operation and also to adhere to the compliance given to issues raised in the public hearing and subject to the final notification of the ESZ of Gudekote WLS.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. A letter from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) regarding the distance of the area in respect to the proposed Eco-Sensitive Zone of Gudekote WLS

2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

Drafted by

- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall Comply with R&R Plan and also to adhere to the compliance given to issues raised in the public hearing
- 225.1.42. Pink Granite Quarry Project at Hanamanahal S. T. Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (4-00 Acres) by Sri Venkatesh Gudagunti Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/282058/2022 (SEIAA 32 MIN 2022)

Sri Venkatesh Gudagunti have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Pink Granite Project at Sy. No. 2/4 of Hanamanahal S. T. Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

		<u> </u>		- -		
Sl.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
No		<u> </u>				
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Venkatesh (Gudagunti			
	Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the Project	Pink Granite C	Quarry Project at	Sy. No. 2/4 of		
	_	Hanamanahal S. T. Village, Ilkal Taluk,				
:		Bagalkot Distri	ict (4-00 Acres)			
!		BP-A	N15"56'14.5"	€76*07'59.0*		
		gp-8	N15"56'14.7"	£76*07'56.3*		
		вр-с	N15*56'17.3"	E76'07'56.1"		
l		BP-D	N15"56"23.1"	E76"07"55.0"		
		8P-E	N15"56'22.6"	E76*07'56.9"		
3	Type Of Mineral	Pink Granite C	Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /	New				
	Modification / Renewal	<u> </u>				
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta				
	Government Revenue, Gomal,					

Drafted by Lein

1

	Private / Patta	, Other]	T	
6	Area in Acres	- <u>-</u>	4-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		15,000 Cum/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (R	s. In Crores)	Rs. 1.61 Crores (Rs. 161 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/		4,18,956 Cum (including waste)	
	Quarry- Cu,m		Jespes Sam (Mendaning Waste)	
10		ntity Per Annum	4500 Cum/ Annum	
ĺ	- Cu.m / Ton	y 1 cl / lintuin	1000 Curry Autum	
11	CER Activities			
	Year	Corporate Environmenta	il Responsibility (CSP)	
			- responsible (cent)	
	1st	Providing solar power pa	anels to common public places	
	2nd	The proponent proposes of approach road	to distribute nursery plants at Hanamanal Village & Strengthening	
	3rd		wareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	
	4th	Avenue plantation either	r side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With	
	Sth	arainages		
		Health camp in nearby co	ommunity places	
	6 th : To constr village.	uct school room a	nd compound wall for Govt. School for near by	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 31.80 Lakhs	(Capital Cost) &14.64 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	30/09/2019	the state of the s	
14	Quarry plan	05.05.2021		
15	Cluster	15.06.2021		
	certificate			
16	Revenue NOC	11.07.2019		
17	DTF	16.04.2021		
18	C&I	28.03.2022		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for new Pink Granite Quarry. As per the cluster the project was categorized as B1 and ToR was issued by SEIAA on 08.04.2022. Public Hearing was conducted on 17.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 250 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to increase plantation towards the sides facing Highway for dust mitigation and also

Drafted by kair

informed the proponent to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 4,18,956 Cum (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 28 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 15,000 Cum/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 11.08.2022.

Further, the Authority perused the complaint received from Sri. Prema Kumar, through email on 3rd August 2022 where in complainant raised the below mentioned points.

- 1. As per the EIA notification 2006 commencement of preparation of an Environmental Impact Assessment report after successful online submission and registration of the application. For this project the online application was submitted on 28.01.2022, the TOR letter communication letter dated 08.04.2022 also shows that proponent has submitted online TOR application on 28.01.2022, but some of report shows that base line data started from 01.03.2021 and also Base line environmental Data collection started from November 2021 to February 2022. The Project proponent should not be started preparation of EIA report and also collection of base line data before submission of the application.
- 2. As per the monitoring test report inserted in the EIA report also shows that date of monitoring was stated from 01.03.2021 to 28.05.2021. This monitoring report shows that the Project Proponent prepared through EIA Consultant is fake/false.

Therefore, complainant requested to declare the EIA report prepared by project proponent is fake/false. Further take action to reject the fake/false EIA report.

In this regard the M.S SEAC circulated copy of the complaint to the Project authority / consulant and sought clarification from the Project Authorities / Consultant through email. Replies of the Consultant is replicated in verbatim as under:

"With reference to the complaint received to the file SEIAA 32 MIN 2022, we have collected baseline studies within 10kms from the project area as per EIA notification 2006. We have been collecting baseline data as we had received work order from the

Drafted by

- / 1

project proponent for preparation of Quarry plan and EIA report from the project proponents in the area.

The data is been used based on MOEF &CC OM dated 22nd August 2014 and it is said that re-use of old baseline data (provided it is not more than 3 years old) can be used for fresh EIA and EMP reports. Based on this we have used data which was collected during the period of 01/03/2021-28/05/2021 and during 15/11/2021 to 12/02/2022. This gap is due to Monsoon season in between.

The question of baseline data being fake doesn't arise as the monitoring was carried out by SLN Testing Laboratory a NABL accredited, and MOEF&CC recognized laboratory (and it is in line with OM date 22nd August 2014. We have used baseline data from file number SEIAA 491 MIN 2021 and SEIAA 668 MIN 2021 which is at 655meters and 361 meters respectively from the location for which ToR was issued as all these leases were falling in the same extended cluster.

Baseline data collected for those projects are also applicable for this project. Due to the expiry of proponent Mohan D Hosmani file number SEIAA 491 MIN 2021, we couldn't process the file further and the file public hearing has been done and proceedings is awaited. We, Horizon Ventures are the consultants for file number SEIAA 491 MIN 2021 and the baseline data collection was done by SLN Testing Laboratory under our EIA coordinator and FAE's supervision.

As per Appendix XI of EIA notification S.O. 3977 (E) dated 14.08.2018 it is said that "The mining of minor minerals is mostly in clusters. The Environment Impact Assessment or Environment Management Plan are required to be prepared for the entire cluster in order to capture all the possible externalities. These reports shall capture carrying capacity of the cluster, transportation and related issues, replenishment and recharge issues, geo-hydrological study of the cluster area. The Environment Impact Assessment or Environment Management Plan shall be prepared by the State or State nominated Agency or group of project proponents in the Cluster or the project proponent in the cluster."

It also says that "Environmental clearance shall be applied for and issued to the individual project proponent. The individual lease holders in cluster can use the same Environment Impact Assessment or Environment Management Plan for application for environmental clearance. The cluster Environment Impact Assessment or Environment Management Plan shall be updated as per need keeping in view any significant change."

Also as per para 6(i.) of OM dated 8th June 2022 "Baseline data used for preparation of EIA/EMP reports may be collected at any stage of EC process or even before the grant of ToR".

Drafted by kein

Ju-

This complaint is done with malafide intention as you can see difference in the name itself in the complaint letter where in one place they have written Prema Kumar and in another Prema Kumari and also the mail id created is not a verified mail ID which are usually used for maleficious works.

So, keeping in view of the above details we have truly made our efforts to prepare an Environmental Impact Assessment report which has considered all the aspects collected in this cluster".

Authority also perused that replies of the Project Authorities and Consultant and also circulated to the complainant and to the Chairman & Members of SEAC. The reply from the complainant for the clarifications submitted by the project proponent and consultant is yet to be received. Further the observations of Chairman and Members of the SEAC for the circulatory notes issued by SEIAA, Member secretary is awaied.

Therefore, authority decided to keep the decision in abeyance till receipt of opinion / circulatory note from SEAC / Member Secretary, SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to reconsider the proposal after receipt of opinion / circulatory note from SEAC / Member Secretary, SEAC.

As per the proceedings of the 282nd SEAC meeting held on 28th & 29th July 2022 was read and the Committee initiated the discussion about the complaint received through complainant email <u>Premakumar@mail.com</u>, on August 3rd 2022, for agenda no. 282.03 with file no. bearing SEIAA 32 MIN 2022 of Sri. Venkatesh T Gudagunti and the reply from the Consultant regarding clarification given to the complaint via email dated 6th August 2022.

The committee after discussion opined that the clarification given by the consultant is justifiable in respect of the proposal and in accordance with OM issued by MoEF&CC, on 8th June 2022, the committee accepted the reply submitted by the Consultant and confirmed the proceedings of the 282nd SEAC Meeting.

The Authority received a e-mail (Premakumar@mail.com) on 3rd September 2022 with respect to this proposal. The copy of the e-mail was served on 5th September 2022 to the project Proponent and Consultant, for which the proponent and Consultant on 5th September 2022, submitted Pointwise replies to the complaint received, and the Authority perused the reply submitted by the project proponent and decided to send back the proposal along with the reply submitted by PP for reappraisal.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 282nd SEAC Meeting and the committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issued of EC. SEIAA in its 223rd meeting had

Drafted by kein

referred back the proposal to SEAC informing that, for reappraisal with regard to the mail received,

"The Authority received a e-mail (Premakumar@mail.com) on 3rd September 2022 with respect to this proposal. The copy of the e-mail was served on 5th September 2022 to the project Proponent and Consultant, for which the proponent and Consultant on 5th September 2022, submitted Point wise replies to the complaint received and the Authority perused the reply submitted by the project proponent and decided to send back the proposal along with the reply submitted by PP for reappraisal."

The proponent submitted the attachments and clarified point wise to the observations of mail as below,

1. In the case of Mr. Venkatesh T Gudagunti, Proposal No. 282058, File No. SEIAA 32 MIN 2022, building Stone Quarry project at Sy.No. 2/4, Hanamanal S.T village, Ilkal Taluk, 8agalkot District, the EIA report submitted by project proponent had prepared on the basis of base line data collected before submission of application for TOR.

But Terms of Reference issued by SEIAA for the project proponent for the preparation of EIA report has not indicated the recommendation by SEAC in their due diligence as per the OM dated 29.8.2017. Further, EIA Report prepared has not indicated that the report prepared taking in to consideration of earlier conducted base line data.

The proponent has to prepare the EIA report based on the terms of reference issued to him for preparation of EIA report not on their own. The laboratory reports submitted have lot of discrepancies i.e date of report preparation etc. and the topo sheet incorporated in there port indicated that the baseline data collected for preparation of EIA for study area of 5 km only not for 10 km area.

Proponent has submitted that "We have prepared EIA report based on the Baseline data which we had been collecting in the area as part of EIA report for other projects. This matter of using the baseline data collected before the application of ToR is already permitted by the SEAC in their last 283rd SEAC meeting held on 11th and 12th of August 2022 which itself is the due diligence and the Chairman and the Member Secretary of SEAC including the other members of the SEAC has agreed the baseline data and the Hon'ble SEAC does not has any objection regarding this matter then as the appraisal committee appointed by the MoEF&CC has the powers to approve or disapprove any EIA report and it is the decision of the Hon'ble SEAC. Twice the Hon'ble SEAC has approved the reports and still this compliant is being raised by some unknown person who has no powers in this matter.

Also as per the OM dated 29th August 2017 it is stated that the baseline data used for preparation of EIA/EMP reports may be collected at any stage, irrespective of the request for ToR or the issue thereof. However, such a baseline data and the public

Drafted by Kein

l

consultation should not be older than 3 years, at the time of submission of the proposal, for grant of Environmental Clearance, as per ToRs prescribed which clearly allows us to use Baseline data which is not more than 3 years old."

- 2. As per the terms of reference, the location of the monitoring stations should be such a store present whole of the study area (i.e10 km radius zone) and justified keeping in view the predominant down wind direction. The locations in Toposheet submitted indicated that air quality monitoring stations selected for 5.00 km radius zone only, Air quality monitoring stations did not indicated the criteria adopted, i.e whether stations are sensitive locations, latitude and longitude are also not indicated. CPCB norms has not adopted while selecting air quality monitoring stations.
 - The proponent informed that, the Baseline data collected for preparation of EIA is for 10km area. Also, as per wind rose diagram the wind speed is very less in the project site and are more towards western direction and hence, they have considered locations as per the CPCB norms towards west and within 10 kms radius as the wind speed is not significant enough. In EIA report they have shown the chapters in which it can be clearly concluded that the EIA prepared is comprised of 10 km study area.
- 3. Location stations indicated in Table 3.7 (Details of Air quality monitoring locations) and Table 3.8 (summary of Ambient Air Quality results) are different.

 The proponent informed that, the locations shown in the Table 3.8 is correct and requested to consider the Table No. 3.8.
- Location stations indicated in Table 3.10 (Noise Sampling locations in the study area) and Table 3.11 (Ambient Noise Level Monitoring Results) are different.
 The proponent informed that, the locations shown in the Table 3.11 is correct. and requested to consider the Table No. 3.11
- 5. As per the TOR, there should be at least one monitoring station within 500 m of the mine lease in the predominant downwind direction, but there is no mention in the EIA report that this issue has been considered or not.

 The proponent informed that, they have shown project site itself as first baseline data collection location and in the ToR they have mentioned to collect data within 500 m from the site. As the nearest location of villages is more than 500m they did not find any purpose to consider an location which is 500m from the site as the area is completely barren land.
- 6. Water sampling locations: Fig 3. 10 and Table 3.14 distance indicated are different the both the cases. Toposheet indicating the water monitoring stations for 5.00km radius only. The proponent informed that, in Table No. 3.14 is the correct and the distance mentioned in the Toposheet of water monitoring location stations is within 10 kms.

Drafted by

15/1

- 7. As per the above observations it is accepted that the EIA report has been prepared for 5km radius zone only. As per the TOR the study area should be 10 km radius zone.

 The proponent informed that, they have collected samples of air water noise and water only within 10 kms as per the recommendation of SEAC.
- 8. The Project proponent has not requested the SEIAA to issue corrigendum for amend the terms of reference for permitting to use baseline data collected earlier to submission of application. There are no proceedings of SEIAA meetings in this regard. This is statutory requirement as per OM No. J- 11013/4 1/2006-IA-II(I) (PART) dated 29.08.2017. In some of the cases SEIAA has issued the letter to the project proponent for amendment of Terms of Reference for permitting to use earlier collected baseline data.

The proponent informed that, as this was appraised twice i.e. in 282nd SEAC meeting held on 28.07.2022 and 283rd SEAC meeting held on 11th August 2022 meeting and it had been decided to approve the EIA report as it was in line with the OM No. J-11013/4 1 / 2006-IA-II(I) (PART) dated 29.08.2017.

The committee noted the clarification given by the proponent to the observations made in the mail received by (Premakumar@mail.com) on 3rd September 2022 and the committee after discussion and deliberation decided to reiterate its decision taken in 282nd SEAC meeting to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by Kein

Industry Projects:

225.1.43. Common Effluent Treatment Plant (CETP) of 600 KLD Project at Third Phase, KIADB Industrial Area, Malur by M/s. Innova Agri Bio Park Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/72161/2022 (SEIAA 13 IND 2022)

M/s. Innova Agri Bio Park Pvt. Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for establishment of Common Effluent Treatment Plant (CETP) of 600 KLD Project at Plot No. 308, Third Phase, KIADB Industrial Area, Malur on a plot area of 2000 Sqm.

Details of the project are as follows:

<u>51.</u> T	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION		
No.		CONTROL FOR WITH A		
1	Name & Address of the Project	Common Effluent Treatment Plant (CETP) of 600 KLD at		
_	Proponent	Plot No. 308, Third Phase, KIADB Industrial Area, Malur.		
1		Karnataka Proponent- M's. InnovaAgri Bio Park Private		
		Limited (K.S Ravi) Address-Plot No. 308, Third Phase,		
j		KIADBIndustrial Area, Malur.		
2	Type of Project	Activity of (h), namely Common Effluent Treatment		
-	1,92 01110,000	Plants", as per EIA Notification, 2006		
	New expansion modification	New		
	Location	Plot No. 308, Third Phase, KIADB Industrial Area, Malue -		
_	100000	570 0016, Karnataka		
4	Cost of Project	520 Lakhs		
₹	Proposed plant capacity	600 KLD CETP		
		2000 SQM		
6	Total Plot Area			
7	Built up area	Total fresh water demand for proposed unit will be 0.8 KLD.		
8	Water requirement	out of which 0.7 KLD water will be required for domestic and		
		0.1 KLD for chemical dosing purposes.		
		Tankers		
9	Source of water	0.56 KLD		
10	Wastewater	Regular = 10 persons.		
11	Man Power	Contract = 5 persons		
12	Electricity/ Power	165 kVA		
	Requirement	<u></u>		
13	Effluent details			
	PH	<8.0		
	TDS	<1400 mg1		
	S.S	<400 mgl		
	COD	<2600 mg/l		
	BOD	<800 mg·l		
14	Hazardous waste details	Used spent oil- 1.0 TPA		
	ETP Sludge	Parson Advanced Oxidation process is "No Sludge Process"		
		The process does not generate any sludge on its own &		
		whatever suspended tolids present in the raw emilion is		
	į.	cospulated flocculated & settled as sludge. (Approx		
	1	Aftilize day sludge will be generated)		
	DG Operation	100% back up will be provided (165 kVA)		
15		To grow additional trees all around the project site area, to		
13	CER ANDVINOS	neovide Education Health and medical facilities and		
		Water resource improvements in nearby villages.		
16	EMP			
1 40		SI LAKHS		
-	Construction Phase	17 LAKHS		

Drafted by kei

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for establishment of CETP in KIADB Industrial Area, Malur. SEIAA issued TOR on 18.04.2022. The proponent informed the committee that land was allotted to proponent in 01.10.2005 and the proposal was exempted from public hearing as the industrial area was established prior to EIA Notification 2006 as per MoEF&CC O.M dated 27.04.2018.

The proponent informed that they had proposed to develop the 600 KLD CETP to serve the various industries located in Food Park at KIADB Industrial Area Malur, to treat trade effluents by PARAOX ADVANCED OXIDATION method and informed that this is a no sludge process and all suspended solid present in the raw effluent is coagulated, flocculated and settled as sludge and these sludge and salts generated at different stage of operation shall be sent to TSDF.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for present mode of treatment and disposal system for existing industries, mode of effluent collection from member units, handling of treated effluent, the proponent informed the committee that few existing industries have individual ETP to treat effluent and others having primary treatment system for treating effluents before discharging to CETP and the proposed CETP effluent shall be collected through closed pipeline and has made MoU between member units for collection of effluents and the treated water from CETP to be reused for utilities to industries and green belt development within the industrial area

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to plant 38 trees in the project area. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to plant trees all around the project boundary to mitigate odour. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. Resubmission of design configuration of the proposed CETP.

2. Project Proponent shall explore alternative mechanism for sludge handling as against proposed sludge drying beds.

Drafted by

225.1.44. Establishment of "Rerolled Steel" Products Project at Industrial Complex in various survey nos. of Gokak Taluk, Belagavi District by M/s. Satish Sugars Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/IND/76909/2021 (SEIAA 37 IND 2021)

M/s. Satish Sugars Limited, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Establishment of "Rerolled Steel" Products Project at Industrial Complex in various survey nos. of Gokak Taluk, Belagavi District on a plot area of 8 Acres 34 Guntas.

Details of the project are as follows:

S1. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Shri. Pradeep Kumar M Indi Chairman & CFO M/s. Satish Sugars Limited, Sangankeri Yadwad Road, Hunshyal P.G., Gokak Taluk, Belagavi District- 591 224
2	Name & Location of the Project	M/s. Satish Sugars Limited located at Survey Nos. 366, 367, 368, 369, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 385, 389, 390, 391 & parts thereof of Beerangaddi Village and 85, 86/ 1+3/A, 86/ 1+3/B, 86/ 1+3/K, 86/2+4/A, 86/2+4/ B, 86/2+4/K, 86/2+4/D, 88/1/ABK/ 2AB, 90/ 1A, 90/ 1B, 90/ 1K, 90/ 2A, 90/ 2B, 90/3, 90/4A, 90/4B, 90/4K, 98/ 1A, 98/ 1B, 98/ 1K, 98/ 2+3A,98/ 2+3B,98/ 4,99/ 1,99/2,99/3,99/4, 100/2, 100/3, 100/4, 101/ 1+2+3A, 101/4A, 101/4B, 101/5, 102/1+2+3A, 102/3+4A, 102/4B, 102/4K+5, 104, 109, 119, 120/ 1, 147/1, 147/3, 154/1, 155/2, 155/3, 148/1 148/2A & 154/2 of Hunshyal PG Village, Sy No 376/5, 377/3, 378/3 of Kalloli village of Gokak Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka State. Total extent: 163 Acres 07 Guntas Out of which, Proposed TMT plant will be established at Sy. No. 367, 368, 369, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378 and 379 of Beerangaddi village of Gokak Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka. Area earmarked for TMT plant: 8.86 Acres (8 Acres, 34 Guntas)
3	Co-ordinates of the Project Site	16º 14' 29.57"N and 74º 53' 18.20"E
4	Environmental Sensitivity	

Drafted by

- 55

SI. No		PARTICULARS			INFORMA	TION		
!	a.	Distance From neares Lake/ River/ Nala		 Hire Halla- 5.5 Km, E Gokak Canal -12.10 Km, W Ghataprabha River - 4.08Km towards South and towards North 1.77Km. 				
	b.	Distance from Protected area notified under wildlife protection act	- 1	Gh bot	ataprabha Bird Sanctus undary and 8.83 Km fro	ary - 842 Km from ESZ om Sanctuary boundary.		
•	c.	Distance from the interstate boundary						
	d.	whether located in critically / severally polluted area as per the CPCB norms	y No us					
5	sch 200	pe of Development as per ledule of EIA Notification, 6 with relevant serial mber	Cá	ategor	y B [3(a)] as per EIA No	otification 2006		
6	Ne Mo	w/ Expansion/	Ne	New				
7	Plo	t Area (Sqm)	8 Acres 34 Guntas					
8		It Up area (Sqm)	-					
9	Component of developments			Manufacturing of 99,000 TPA of Rerolled Steel Products i.e. Round, Structure and TMT Bar, etc., (Rerolling Mill with online hot charging of semi-finished steel (M.S. Billet) by installing induction furnace of 8MT x 2nos with 10MT X 2 Nos CCM and hot charging at re-rolling mill to produce TMT bars).				
10	Pro	ject cost (Rs. In crores)	15.	15.22 Crores				
11		ails of Land Use (Sqm)			<u>·</u>			
-	a.	Ground Coverage Area	11 6	 Sl	Land Description	Area		
	b.	Kharab Land	-1 I	No		11101		
	c.	Internal Roads		l l	Proposed TMT	3 Acres, 22 Guntas		
	d.	Paved area			plant			
-	e.	Parking] 2	2	Greenbelt Area	2 Acres, 36.80		
	<u>f.</u>	Green belt	╢		<u> </u>	Guntas		
	g.	Others Specify	Roads & Open Space 2 Acres, 15.60 Guntas					
-	<u>h. </u>	Total			34 Guntas			
12	quai	ducts and By- Products with ntity (enclose as Annexure cessary)	990 Stri	00TP ucture	A of Rerolled Steel and TMT Bar, etc.,	Products i.e. Round,		
Dr	afted b	y <u>ai</u>			= 1	156		

Sl. No		PARTICULARS		INFORMATION					
140			Sl. No	Raw Material	Quantity (MTA)	Source			
13	Raw material with quantity and their source (enclose as Annexure if necessary)		Steel 1	Melting Shop Sponge Iron & Melt Scrap	94050	By Road through trucks			
			1.	Rolling Mill Billet (Liquid Metal)	99000	Internal Online charging through CCM			
14	Mod	le of transportation of Raw erial and storage facility	Covere CCM_	of transportation ed Trucks; Inter	n of raw m	naterial : By Road charging through			
15	Transportation and storage facility for coal / Bio-fuel in case of thermal power plant								
16	Fly ash production, storage and disposal details whereas coal is used as fuel								
17	WA	TER							
	I.	Construction Phase							
	a.	Source of water		ate Tankers					
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD							
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD		D for labours					
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	<u>-</u>						
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	d 30 KLD STP						
	II	Operational Phase							
1	a.	Source of water	Wat Out	taprabha River. er Permission ava of which, 95 ision.	ilable for 5,9 KLD is ea	94,653.78 KLD armarked for TM			
	b.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	er 95 k	(LD					
	c.	Requirement of water for industrial purpose production in KLD	от / 90 I	(LD)	0 -			

Drafted by

/157

Sl. No		PARTICULARS			INFO	RMATION		
	d.	domestic purpose in KLD		5 KLD	•			
	e.	Waste water generation in KLD	۱	3 KLD	_			
	f.	ETP/ STP capacity	_	30 KLD STP		#·· -		
	g.	Technology employed for Treatment		SBR Technolog	y		-	
	h.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any		Dust Suppressi	on and C	Greenbelt develop	ment	
18		rastructure for Rain water	R be	ain water harv e undertaken w	esting a	nd recharging m	neasures will	
19	Storm water management plan			Storm water drain arrangements will be done all along the periphery of the site boundary including on either side of internal roads				
20	Air	Pollution				· · ·		
	a.	Sources of Air pollution	1	nduction Furna	ace & DC	sets		
	b.	Composition of Emissions	T [Stack			
		Air pollution control		Stack attached to	height in m	APC provided	Emission Standard s	
	c.	measures proposed and technology employed		Induction Furnace	30	Bag Filter	50 mg/Nm3	
_				D.G Set (2500KVA)	30			
21	Noi	se Pollution						
	a.	Sources of Noise pollution	Ī	G sets & Vehic	ular mov	vement		
	b.	Expected levels of Noise pollution in dB	E	expected noise	levels d	luring day time:	< 75dB(A)	
ļ	_	ponducii ii di	A	nd during nigh Acoustic enclose	ires for I	OG sets		
			All the sections will be properly constructed with noise absorbing materials; pumps selected are of less					
	c.	Noise pollution control	'n	oise ausoroing oise generating	materia! Type	s; pumps selected	a are of less	
		measures proposed '		ehicles speed li	imit rest	riction within the	premises at	
	ĺ		1!	5-20kmph and	traffic co	ongestion will be	avoided by	
-	•		56	curity deploye	d at the	entry/exit gates.		
22		STE MANAGEMENT						
	Ι.	Operational Phase						

Drafted by

SI. PARTICULARS		PARTICULARS		IN	IFORMATI	ON	
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generated per day and their	Sl No	Solid waste	Quantity	Mode of disposal	
			Solid	d waste			
	b.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation with source and mode of	1	End Cutting from CCM and Rolling Mill	57T	Will be recycled in SMS	
		Disposal as per norms Ouantity of E waste	2	Slag	9.3 T	Will be crushed in the slag crusher and the recovered metal will be recycled in the SMS.	
	C.	generation with source and		Dust from bac	^k 66 T	Will be disposed to KSPCB authorized recycler.	
			Hazardous waste, TPM				
			6	Used oil from DG sets	125 L/A	Used as lubricant within the industry	
	<u> </u>			Cotton Waste		-t of Chamber 6	
23	Risk Assessment and disaster		Will be detailed in the EIA report of Chapter -6				
	management POWER						
24				12 MM/Un			
	a.	Total Power Requirement in the Operational Phase with source	Pro	posed DG Set o	of capacity: 2	500 KVA	
	b.	capacity in KVA for Standby	Tot pov	tal 2500 KVA I wer supply wit	OG sets will h good quali	be provided as backup ty HSD.	
	b.	capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply Details of Fuel used with purpose such as boilers, DG Furnace, TFH, Incinerator Set etc	por Die	wer supply wit	h good quali	ty HSD.	
	C.	capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply Details of Fuel used with purpose such as boilers, DG	por Die r LE s Ga	wer supply witesel for DG set Ds will be used	h good quali	areas.	
25	c.	capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply Details of Fuel used with purpose such as boilers, DG Furnace, TFH, Incinerator Set etc, Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as	Die Die Garrs	wer supply with esel for DG set Ds will be used arden lighting b	l at common	areas. r energy.	

Drafted by kein

<u>l</u>

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
	norms	for transport vehicles within the plant premise so as to avoid unnecessary traffic on adjoining roads.
	b. Internal Road width (RoW)	9 meter
26	Any other information specific to the project (Specify)	
27	CER Activities	To carry out Greenbelt development outside industrial premises, Rural agricultural & Infrastructure developments and Social welfare works.
28	ЕМР	Construction Phase: Rs. 236.28 Lakhs Operation Phase: Rs. 47.36 Lakhs capital cost. Rs. 9.50 Lakhs recurring cost

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for establishment of rerolled steel products. The proponent informed that the proposed project area is located in existing KIADB industrial area in a plot area of 8A 34G for proposed project. The TORs were issued from SEIAA on 23.11.2021 and corrigendum was issued on 02.09.2022. Public hearing was conducted on 13.04.2022. The proponent informed the committee that for the existing units in the industrial area with Environmental Clearance, they had obtained CCR from MoEF&CC.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for the source of water for the proposed project and rainwater harvesting provisions proposed. The proponent informed that the source of water is from Ghataprabha river and MoU has been made with KNNL to draw water from Ghataprabha river. For harvesting rain water in the project area the proponent informed that they had proposed 4 tanks with total capacity of 16,200 cum and another five tanks of 49,560 cum. The committee informed the proponent to take precautionary measures to prevent waste water entering the Ghataprabha river, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed the committee that they have carried out cumulative pollution load for the existing industries within the industrial area and had collected the baseline data between October 2021 to December 2021.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow total of 4525 trees in the proposed project area and the proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project.

Drafted by kein

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to comply with the observations in CCR of MoEF&CC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Submission of inprocess measures adopted to reduce heat loss and its utilization.
- 2. The proponent shall submit an undertaking for non utilization of oil contaminated metal scrap as raw material in the process.
- 3. The proponent is suggested to evaluate and implement the following process
 - a) Heat recover system for pre heating of raw material
 - b) Annual Energy audit to ascertain the energy foot- print of the process.
 - c) Performance study of the air pollution control devices on annual basis and maintain the records.
- 4. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a Certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 5. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP.
- 6. The PP shall adhere to Hazardous waste management Rules, 2016.
- 7. The PP shall obtain approval/permission from Inspector of Factories and Boilers.

225.2. Recommended for Delist:

225.2.1. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 319/9 of Theggarse Village, Baindur Taluk, Udupi District (1-17 Acres) by Sri Neelakanta Hudar - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/283874/2022 (SEIAA 330 MIN 2022)

Sri Neelakanta Hudar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 319/9 of Theggarse Village, Baindur Taluk, Udupi District.

Drafted by Ke

_ / 16

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of reject the proposal and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee noted that the applied project area is in ESA of Western Ghats and as per the draft notification of MoEF, the proposed activity is prohibited in ESA of Western Ghats. Hence the committee after discussion and deliberation decided not to consider the proposal. The committee decided to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist the file from the pendency.

225.2.2. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 252/P1-P1 of Molahalli Village, Kundapura Taluk, Udupi District (0.40 Acre) by Sri Deepika D Hegde - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/275012/2022 (SEIAA 247 MIN 2022)

Sri Deepika D Hegde have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 252/P1-P1 of Molahalli Village, Kundapura Taluk, Udupi District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for reject the proposal and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for renewal of quarry lease and earlier lease was granted on 26.06.10 for five years. The committee as per the request of proponent heard the prayer of proponent and noted that the area applied for quarry is in the list of areas under deemed forests as per the GO issued by Forest Department, dated 05.05.2022 and also noted that in the NoC issued by Forest and Revenue departments, the applied area is mentioned as deemed forest. Hence the committee after discussion and deliberation decided to reject the proposal. The committee decided to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist the file from the pendency.

Drafted by

Ju-

Recommended by SEAC for issue of ToRs

225.3.1. Expansion of Common Bio-medical Waste Treatment Facility Project at No. 29/1,2,3, 30/1,2,3,33,35 & 36, in Old APMC Yard now used of Bagalkot by M/s. Rural Development Foundation of BVV Sangh - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/78680/2022 (SEIAA 21 IND 2022).

M/s. Rural Development Foundation of BVV Sangh have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Expansion of Common Bio-medical Waste Treatment Facility Project at No. 29/1,2,3, 30/1,2,3,33,35 & 36, in Old APMC Yard now used of Bagalkot.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th and 17th September 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion of Common Bio-medical Waste Treatment Facility from 0.5tonns/day to 2Tonns/day. The proponent informed that existing was established in 2012 and had valid CFO for existing facility from KSPCB, dated 30.06.2025 and for the proposed project baseline monitoring to be started post monsoon.

The committee decided to categorize this proposal under B1 category as per the EIA Notification 2006 and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Standard TORs to conduct EIA studies in accordance with the EIA Notification, 2006 and relevant guidelines. The committee also prescribed the following additional TORs with public hearing.

- 1. Details with respect to Protocol for performance evaluation & monitoring of common hazardous waste management and disposal facilities including common hazardous incinerators.
- 2. Conceptual plan of existing and proposed biomedical waste management facilities indicating plant area, storage area, green belt and utilities.
- 3. List of Biomedical waste to be handled, their source, safety measures in transportation from source to the project site (along with collection/route plan), details of temporary storage facility at project site and details of pretreatment facility if any.
- 4. Process description along with major equipments and machineries, Process flow sheet (quantitative) from waste to disposal.
- 5. Details of air emission, effluents, hazardous waste generation & their management.
- 6. Action plan for measures to be taken for leachate generation during monsoon season.
- 7. Details of ETP and recycling process with provisions for ZLD.

Drafted by Kein

- 8. Action plan for preventing ground water pollution during operation period.
- 9. To enumerate opinion of residents (in radius of 500 mtr) for the proposed project and mitigative measures proposed for the nearby habitation to keep all environmental parameters within limits.
- 10. To explore possibilities for online monitoring of dioxin and furan.
- 11. To submit details of Gap analysis report with respect to availability of beds
- 12. To submit copies of MoU made between hospitals.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation made by SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to issue standard ToR along with additional ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

225.3. Reconsidered Project:

225.4.1 Expansion and Modification Embassy Manyata Business Park Project at Several Sy. Nos. of Rachenahalli and Thanisandra Villages of K R Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk and Nagawara Village, Kasaba Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Manyata Promoters Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/71422/2022 (SEIAA 20 CON 2022)- Invite the proponent.

M/s. Manyata Promoters Private Limited have proposed for construction of Mixed Use Development Project (IT/ITES park, Offices, Commercial, Retail, Hotels & Banquet Hall) EMBASSY MANYATA BUSINESS PARK" Project on a plot area of 5,90,598.19 sq m (145 Acres 37.5 Guntas). The total built up area is 28,76,516 sq m. The building configuration in the project varies from 1 basement to 3 basement and Ground + 3 floors to Ground + 26 floors. Total water consumption is 13,457 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 11,752 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 13,060 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 750 Crores,

Details of the project are as follows:

SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Name:M/s. Manyata Promoters Private Limited Address:# 150, Embassy Point, Infantry Road,

Drafted by ke

1

_			Vasanth Nagar, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560001
2		Name & Location of the Project	"EMBASSY MANYATA BUSINESS PARK" Several Survey Nos. of Rachenahalli and Thanisandra Villages of K R Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk and Nagawara Village, Kasaba Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District, Karnataka State.
3	3	Type of Development	Mixed Use Development Project (IT/ITES
		Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical	park, Offices, Commercial, Retail, Hotels & Banquet Hall)
	a.	Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Category 8(b) as per EIA Notification, 2006.
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not applicable
4	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There are two nalas in the project site (One running from North to South East and the other flows from West to East) and buffer zone is left as per the statutory norms is earmarked. No development is taken up in the buffer area and it is solely meant for landscape development.
r	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	5,90,598.19 sq m (145 Acres 37.5 Guntas)
٢	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	28,76,516 sq m
	8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	Project being developed in accordance with KIADB and BDA norms, FAR of both KIADB and BDA are applicable and is considered, details of it is appended as Annexure at the end of the document.
	9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	
	10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	
	11	Height Clearance	NoC from Airports Authority of India is obtained for the existing buildings
ľ	12_	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 750 Crores towards proposed modification and
_			

Drafted by

Г			expansion		
-					
			Four existing buildings will be demolished and rebuilt.		
1	3	Disposal of Demolition wastes		and a management	
1	3	and or Excavated earth	The excavated earth will be reused within the		
				for backfilling, paved area formation	
-	<u>-</u>	Details of Land II. (Com)	and landsca	iping.	
		Details of Land Use (Sqm)	224 422		
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	2,24,420 sq 1		
	b.	Kharab Land	land is KI regularized KIADB land Guntas of I and 40/3 (Number).	portion (126 Acres 13.5 Guntas) of the ADB land, wherein kharab land is during the time of allotment. Non is 19 Acres 25 Guntas in this there is 2 Charab land in Survey Number 35/3A Nala Kharab of 1 Gunta in each survey	
11		Total Green belt on Mother	1,43,101.94	Sa m	
Ιí	c.	Earth for projects under 8(a) of			
	۲.	the schedule of the EIA	ł		
		notification, 2006			
	d.	Internal Roads	1.67.400.64		
	е	Paved area	1,67,493.64 sq m Hardscape area - 55,582.61 sq m Not applicable		
	f.	Others Specify			
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects			
	h.	Total	E 00 E00 10 -		
15		WATER	5,90,598.19 s	<u>q m</u>	
Ť	I.	Construction Phase	-	<u> </u>	
l	a.	Source of water	Tantia		
		Quantity of water for	Tertiary trea	teu water	
	b.	Construction in KLD	45 KLD		
+	\dashv	Quantity of water for Domestic	100 VI D	:	
	с.	Purpose in KLD	100 KLD		
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	90 KLD		
\vdash		Treatment facility proposed		Tuesday Division of Tuesda	
-	e.	and scheme of disposal of	Canadia	wage Treatment Plant of 100 KLD	
		treated water	Capacity.		
-	II.	Operational Phase			
F			Erock	7.240 KI D	
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	7,348 KLD	
		KLD	Recycled	6,109 KLD	
			Total	13,457 KLD	

Drafted by kein

$\overline{}$	h I	Source of water	BWSSB and private tankers		
	b.		11,752 KLD		
		Waste water generation in KLD	13,060 KLD (28 STPs and 1 ETP)		
	d.	STP capacity	Sequencing Batch Reactor		
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment			
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Toilet flushing, landscape development and AC cooling tower make up		
16		Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting			
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	Total capacity of 615cum		
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	400 numbers		
1	└ 7 _	Storm water management plan	Surface runoff will be diverted to recharge pits and excess will be discharged to external drains.		
18		WASTE MANAGEMENT			
	I.	I. Construction Phase			
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	250 kg/day It will be composted by vermi composting method and the product generated will be used for landscape development.		
	II.	Operational Phase			
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	7,572 kg/day Organic waste is treated in Organic waste converter. Same method will be followed for expansion also.		
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	In-organic waste is given to recyclers. Same method will be followed for expansion also.		
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	 Waste residues containing oil (Shall be collected in leak proof containers and disposed to KSPCB authorized Re-processors/Incinerator) 		
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms			
ı	19	POWER			
ļ	a.	Total Power Requirement -			
	<u>b</u> .	1 2 2	212 DG sets of various capacities		
L	1	<u> </u>			

Drafted by ______

		capacity in KVA for Standby	
		Power Supply	
l	C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphur content Diesel
	d.	Energy conservation plan and	Mandatory ECBC Guidelines will be followed in
		Percentage of savings	the project.
		including plan for utilization of	Energy savings measures will be adopted in the
		solar energy as per ECBC 2007	project.
2	0	PARKING	
	a.	Parking Requirement as per	After expansion and modification - 32,064 cars
ſ		norms	
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the	LOS: B&C
		connecting Roads as per the	
		Traffic Study Report	
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	30.5 m
2	1	***	To construct Skywalk in front of the project site on
 		CER Activities	Outer Ring Road which will be taken up during 2023 to 2025.
2	2		
		Construction phase Operation Phase	Capital Cost: Rs. 11,40,70,000 and Recurring cost of Rs. 1,03,40,000 per annum Capital Cost: Rs. 16,39,00,000and Recurring cost of Rs. 2,70,60,000 per annum
			<u>. </u>

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25th & 26th August 2022- The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion and modification for IT/ITES park, Offices, Commercial, Retail, Hotels & Banquet Hall, for which earlier EC was issued by SEIAA on 23.01.2020, for BUA of 25,29,898Sqm and now it is proposed for a BUA of 28,76,516Sqm with no change in plot area. For the present modification and expansion the SEIAA had issued ToR on 28.06.2022. The proponent has submitted the CCR from MoEF&CC dated 19.07.2022 rated as satisfactory for the EC issued by SEIAA on 23.01.2020 and mentioned that BUA of 19,83,676 Sqm has been completed with reference to earlier EC. The proponent informed that in the proposed modification and expansion existing buildings in 5 blocks to be demolished and reconstructed and buildings in 2 blocks to be modified with reference to earlier EC and had obtained CFO from KSPCB for existing buildings on 31.05.2022.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification with reference to drains as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA, handling of demolition debris, interference with ground water for the proposed sub-basement floors, provisions for bio gas plant and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The proponent informed

Drafted by

\ 168

the committee that, there are two secondary drains passing along north to south and east to west, for which a buffer of 25mtrs on either sides from center is proposed and had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA for the proposed areas on 30.01.2012 and 06.07.2016. Proponent informed the committee that demolition debris of 51,000 tonns to be handled as per C&D waste management rules 2016 after approval from competent authority. For proposed sub-basement floors, proponent informed that water table is depth of 8 mtr to 15 mtr and proper structural measures to be taken to mitigate seepage issues and there will be no interaction with ground water table in the proposed project and also had made provisions to install 5 ton capacity bio-gas plant. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed four tanks of 615 cum total capacity for runoff from rooftop and for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 400 nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the committee informed the proponent to comply with the observations of MoEF&CC in CCR and manage excess drainage water within the site area, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow total of 9530 trees in the proposed project area and to provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of ECwith a condition to comply with the observations of MoEF&CC CCR, to obtain necessary permission to construct bridge/culvers for crossing drains and to leave free public access in kharab area.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to defer the proposal with following observations:

- 1. Comparative analysis of Land use pattern before and after proposed modification.
- 2. Justification for proposed demolition of existing structures and whether any alternatives have been examined to avoid proposed demolition prior to eventually proposing demolition.
- 3. Submit occupancy certificate of the existing structure to be demolished.

Drafted by kein

- 4. Traffic scenario and its impact during demolition of existing buildings shall be submitted.
- 5. Since Construction and Demolition (C and D) wastes contribute on deterioration of Ambient air quality what is the insitu measures undertaken by the proponent to mitigate the impact of the same on the people residing in other buildings in the premises.
- 6. Rework the quantity of earthwork excavated on realistic basis.
- 7. STP should be redesigned for Biological Nitrogen Removal. / BNR and oil and grease separation system unit along with design calculation and revised budgetary allocation for the same should be submitted.
- 8. A detailed EMP proposed for construction and demolition waste and the protocol followed for ambient air quality monitoring during demolition phase.
- 9. Submit the lake water quality of Hebbal and Kalkare lake.
- 10. It is noted that the budgetary cost of EMP captures only the cost of EMP during construction phase without duly considering the EMP aspect during the demolition phase. Therefore, proponent shall rework the budgetary cost by including EMP aspects during construction and demolition phase.

The Authority also decided to invite the project proponent and consultant to appear before the Authority with clarifications as sought above.

Accordingly, The Project Proponent vide his letter dated 21.09.2022 have submitted replies. Further, the project proponent and the consultant appeared before the Authority and provided the necessary clarifications with respect to the observations made by the Authority.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the submissions made by the project proponent and the consultant and decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Rework and submit revised earth work calculation and disposal details duly considering the working space required for the same.
- 2. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 3. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 4. The PP shall submit detailed revised CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.

Drafted by

1

- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 100% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall leave the kharab area for free access to public.
- 5. All construction and demolition debris shall be stored at the site (and not dumped on the roads or open spaces outside) before they are properly disposed. All demolition and construction waste shall be managed as per the provisions of the Construction and Demolition Waste Rules 2016.
- 6. The project proponent shall install system to carryout Ambient Air Quality monitoring (minimum twice in a week) during proposed demolition of existing buildings and shall ensure that all measures are in place so as to maintain the ambient air quality within the stipulated Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Standards.

225.5. Additional Agenda (With the Permission of Chair) Miscellaneous Projects.

225.5.1. Expansion of Donimalai Iron Ore Mining project (ML NO.2526) from 0.6 to 2.0 MTPA located in Taranagar village, Sandur Tehsil, Bellary District, Karnataka by M/s Nadeem Minerals - SEIAA 41 MISC 2022- Requesting for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Nadeem Minerals Private Limited.

Environmental Clearance has been granted to this project by MoEF&CC vide letter No. J.11015/471/2007.IA.II (M) dated 02.03.2009 for Expansion of Donimalai Iron Ore Mining project from 0.6 to 2.0 MTPA located in village, Sandur Tehsil, Bellary District, Karnataka to M/s Nadeem Minerals.

M/s Nadeem Minerals Private Limited vide letter dated 08.06.2022 requested for transfer of EC granted to M/s Nadeem Minerals to M/s Nadeem Minerals Private Limited. Since, the firm M/s Nadeem Minerals converted into M/s Nadeem Minerals Private Limited under the provision of Companies Act,2013. The State Government of Karnataka has been transferred the ML No. 2526 from M/s Nadeem Minerals to M/s

Drafted by

Nadeem Minerals Private Limited and consequently, Dept. of Mines and Geology have executed the Transfer Lease Deed on 18.05.2022.

M/s Nadeem Minerals Private Limited had requested the Authority for transferring the Environmental Clearance granted to M/s Nadeem Minerals in their favour. Since the original EC was granted by MoEF&CC and presently as per the Ministry Notification vide S.O.1886 (E) dated 20.04.2022, Mining of major mineral of mining lease area less than 250 Ha the jurisdiction is with SEIAA. The Authority Authorized the Member Secretary of the Authority to address a letter to the MoEF&CC to procure the original file.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to MoEF & CC on 01.08.2022 to procure Original file. Original file has been received on 15.09.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Nadeem Minerals and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Nadeem Minerals Private Limited subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Nadeem Minerals Private Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Nadeem Minerals)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T/Transfer Certificate.

225.5.2. Haddianapade Iron Ore mine at Kumaraswamy range in Sandur Taluk in Bellary District, Karnataka Mining, Lease No. 2516 by B Rudra Gouda Legal representative of Late Sri. B Kumargouda - SEIAA 42 MISC 2022-Requesting for transfer of EC in favour of M/s BKG Mining Private Limited.

Environmental Clearance has been granted to this project by MoEF&CC vide letter No. F.No. J-11015/540/2007-IA.II (M) dated 13.05.2008 and Amendment to EC on 10.12.2015 to B Rudra Gouda Legal representative of Late Sri. B Kumargouda for Haddianapade Iron Ore mine at Kumaraswmy range in Sandur Taluk in Bellary District, Karnataka.

M/s BKG Mining Private Limited vide letter dated 13.05.2022 requested for transfer of EC granted to B Rudra Gouda Legal representative of Late Sri. B Kumargouda in favor of M/s BKG Mining Private Limited. The Dept of Commerce and Industries Bengaluru has been approved the transfer of EC of Mining Lease No, 2516 from B Rudra Gouda Legal representative of Late Sri. B Kumargouda to M/s BKG Mining Private Limited and Dept. of Mines and Geology have executed the Transfer Lease Deed on 06.05.2022.

Drafted by kan

U_

M/s BKG Mining Private Limited had requested the Authority for transferring the Environmental Clearance granted to B Rudra Gouda Legal representative of Late Sri. B Kumargouda in their favour. Since the original EC was granted by MoEF&CC and presently as per the Ministry Notification vide S.O.1886 (E) dated 20.04.2022, Mining of major mineral of mining lease area less than 250 Ha the jurisdiction is with SEIAA. The Authority Authorized the Member Secretary of the Authority to address a letter to the MoEF&CC to procure the original file.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to MoEF & CC on 01.08.2022 to procure Original file. Original file has been received on 15.09.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s BKG Mining Private Limited and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s BKG Mining Private Limited subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s BKG Mining Private Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of B Rudra Gouda)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T/Transfer Certificate
- 225.5.3. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 33 of Animitnahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka by M/s. Shree Venkateshwara Stone Crusher SEIAA 460 MIN 2019 Requested for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Aishwarya M-Sand, Pro. Hariprasad V.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 460 MIN 2019 dated 08.08.2019 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 33 of Animitnahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka to M/s. Shree Venkateshwara Stone Crusher.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 21.09.2022 requested this Authority to transfer the above said EC granted to him, in favour of M/s Aishwarya M-Sand, Pro. Hariprasad V as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Aishwarya M-Sand, Pro. Hariprasad V through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s. Shree Venkateshwara Stone Crusher and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Aishwarya M-Sand, Pro. Hariprasad V subject to the following conditions

i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Aishwarya M-Sand, Pro. Hariprasad V relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s. Shree Venkateshwara Stone Crusher)

Drafted by

- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.4. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 185 of Makarahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka by Sri. K.S. Venkateshappa SEIAA 461 MIN 2019 Requested for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Vijayalakshmi Stone Crusher, Partner Sri. V K Chandrashekar Shetty.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 461 MIN 2019 dated 08.08.2019 Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 185 of Makarahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka to Sri. K.S. Venkateshappa.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 21.09.2022 requested this Authority to transfer the above said EC granted to him in favour of M/s Vijayalakshmi Stone Crusher, Partner Sri. V K Chandrashekar Shetty as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Vijayalakshmi Stone Crusher, Partner Sri. V K Chandrashekar Shetty through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. K.S. Venkateshappa and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Vijayalakshmi Stone Crusher, Partner Sri. V K Chandrashekar Shetty subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Vijayalakshmi Stone Crusher, Partner Sri. V K Chandrashekar Shetty relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. K.S. Venkateshappa)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.5. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 78, Molakalmuru Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District, Karnataka by Sri. Sajesh Bharathan SEIAA 107 MIN 2016 Requested for transfer of EC in favour of M/s V S R Construction Solutions.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 107 MIN 2016 dated 04.07.2016 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 78, Molakalmuru Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District, Karnataka to Sri. Sajesh Bharathan.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 03.10.2022 requested this Authority to transfer the above said EC granted to him in favour of M/s V S R Construction Solutions as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s V S R Construction Solutions through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. Sajesh Bharathan and decided to

Drafted by

transfer the EC in favour M/s V S R Construction Solutions subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s V S R Construction Solutions relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Sajesh Bharathan)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.6. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. V. C. Chacko for quarrying of Building Stone/ M-Sand at Sy No. 110 of Thyalagere Village, Devanahalli Taluk & Bengaluru Rural District by DEIAA Bengaluru Rural District in total extent of land 3-00 Acres in favour of M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla SEIAA 28 MISC 2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Bengaluru Rural District vide letter No. DEIAA/CR/051/2018/DBP/SUB dated 10.12.2018 for quarrying of Building Stone/ M-Sand at Sy No. 110 of Thyalagere Village, Devanahalli Taluk & Bengaluru Rural District to Sri. V. C. Chacko.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 06.05.2022 requested this Authority to transfer the above said EC granted to him in favour of M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to DEIAA to procure the Original file on 12.05.2022, the Original file from DEIAA has been issued from this office on 20.09.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. V. C. Chacko and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. V. C. Chacko)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.7. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. V. C. Chacko for quarrying of Building Stone/ M-Sand at Sy No. 110 of Thyalagere Village, Devanahalli Taluk & Bengaluru Rural District by

Drafted by

Ju-

DEIAA Bengaluru Rural District in total extent of land 2-00 Acres - in favour of M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla - SEIAA 29 MISC 2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Bengaluru Rural District vide letter No. DEIAA/CR/050/2018/DBP/SUB dated 10.12.2018 for quarrying of Building Stone/ M-Sand at Sy No. 110 of Thyalagere Village, Devanahalli Taluk & Bengaluru Rural District by DEIAA Bengaluru Rural District to Sri. V. C. Chacko.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 06.05.2022 requested this Authority to transfer the above said EC granted to him in favour of M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to DEIAA to procure the Original file on 12.05.2022, the Original file from DEIAA has been issued from this office on 20.09.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. V. C. Chacko and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s MABCONS Proprietor: Sri. M A Mohammed Amanulla relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. V. C. Chacko)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.8. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. K M Hombegowda in favour of M/s Sri. Muthuraya Swamy Stone Crusher for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 29 of Huluvenahalli Village, Tavarekere Hobli, Banagluru South Taluk, Bengaluru urban District by DEIAA Bengaluru Urban District over an extent of 6-32 Acres SEIAA 19 MISC 2022.

The District level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Bengaluru Urban District have granted Environment Clearance to Sri. K M HombeGowda Vide letter No. DEIAA/BNGU/46/2016-17 dated 10.07.2017 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 29 of Huluvenahalli Village, Tavarekere Hobli, Banagluru South Taluk, Bengaluru urban District by DEIAA Bengaluru Urban District.

The Project proponent requested this Authority for transfer of EC granted to Sri. K M Hombe Gowda in favour of M/s Sri. Muthuraya Swamy Stone Crusher as quarry

Drafted by

l___

lease has been transferred to M/s Sri. Muthuraya Swamy Stone Crusher through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to DEIAA to procure the Original file on 29.03.2022. The Original file from DEIAA has been issued from this office on 06.09.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. K M Hombe Gowda and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Sri. Muthuraya Swamy Stone Crusher subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Sri. Muthuraya Swamy Stone Crusher relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. K M HombeGowda)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.9. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No: 25, Makenahalli Village, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka by M/s. Shankaranarayana Constructions Pvt. Ltd SEIAA 263 MIN 2021 Requested for issue corrigendum to EC dated 10.01.2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 263 MIN 2021 dated 10.01.2022 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No: 25, Makenahalli Village, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka to M/s. Shankaranarayana Constructions Pvt. Ltd.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 26.09.2022 requested this Authority to issue corrigendum to EC.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents, after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested by the project proponent.

225.5.10. Request for issue transfer of EC granted for Iron Ore Beneficiation plant to M/s R. B Seth Shreeram Narasingdas in favour of Rai Bahadur Seth Shreeram Narasingadas Private Limited and incorporation of its location in Amalgamated Mine Lease No. 2682 (erstwhile Mine Lease Nos: 2524 and ML No. 2576) Sy No. 185, Sankalapuram Village, Hosapet Taluk, Vijayanagar District - SEIAA 52 MISC 2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued by MOEF&CC vide letter No. J11015/513/2008-IA-II(M) dated 24.12.2009 for Iron Ore Beneficiation plant (5MTPA) and letter No. J11015/304/2006-IA-II(M) dated 16.08.2016 for Amalgamation of

Drafted by

erstwhile mining leases ML No. 2524 & ML No. 2576 to M/s R.B. Seth Shreeram Narsingdas.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 08.10.2022 requested for transfer of above said EC in favour of M/s Rai Bahadur Seth Shreeram Narasingdas Private Limited as company changed the partnership firm to a Private Limited with same management.

Since the original EC was granted by MoEF&CC and presently as per the Ministry Notification vide S.O.1886 (E) dated 20.04.2022, Mining of major mineral of mining lease area less than 250 Ha the jurisdiction is with SEIAA. The Authority Authorized the Member Secretary of the Authority to address a letter to the MoEF&CC to procure the original file.

225.5.11. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 614 P of Sattigeri Village, Savadatti Taluk & Belagavi District by DEIAA Belagavi District in total extent of land 2.00 Acres - SEIAA 26 MISC 2022 - Request for transfer of EC in favour of Smt. Deepa Irana Sangappanavar W/o Late Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar.

The District level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Belagavi District have granted Environment Clearance to Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar Vide letter No. DEIAA/BGV/44-MIN 2016/1592 dated 30.11.2016 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 614 P of Sattigeri Village, Savadatti Taluk & Belagavi District.

Smt. Deepa Irana Sangappanavar W/o Late Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar vide letter dated 19.07.2022 have informed that her husband Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar died on 07.09.2021 and therefore The Department of Mines and Geology have held Smt. Deepa Irana Sangappanavar as the legal heir for continuing the quarrying business of Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar due to his demise. Smt. Deepa Irana Sangappanavar W/o Late Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar have requested this Authority for transfer of Environment Clearance dated 30.11.2016 granted by DEIAA, Belagavi in favour of her husband Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar to her name to facilitate continuing the quarry business.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to DEIAA to procure the Original file on 30.04.2022 the Original file from DEIAA has been issued from this office on 26.08.2022.

The Authority after discussion decided to transfer the EC dated 30.11.2016 in favour of Smt. Deepa Irana Sangappanavar subject to the following conditions

- 1. Original Copy EC
- 2. Notorized copy of the Death certificate of Late Sri. Iranna Shivalingappa Sangappanavar.

Drafted by ken

l

225.5.12. Quarrying of Building Stone (Basalt) at Sy No. 187/3 & 4 of Channapura Village, Ramadurga Taluk, Belagavi District in an area of 4-00 Acres by M/s Vajreshwari Stone Crusher - SEIAA 51 MISC 2022 - Requested for Transfer of EC in favour of Shri. A R Roogi Stone Crusher.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Belagavi vide letter No. DEIAA/BGV/75-MIN 2016-17 dated 27.03.2017 for Quarrying of Building Stone (Basalt) at Sy No. 187/3 & 4 of Channapura Village, Ramadurga Taluk, Belagavi District in an area of 4-00 Acres to M/s Vajreshwari Stone Crusher.

Shri. Appanna Rangappa Roogi vide letter dated 05.09.2022 requested for transfer of EC grated to M/s Vajreshwari Stone Crusher in favour of Shri. A R Roogi Stone Crusher as the quarry lease has been transferred to Shri. A R Roogi Stone Crusher through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Original file from DEIAA has been issued from this office on 30.09.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by Shri. Appanna Rangappa Roogi and decided to transfer the EC in favour Shri. Appanna Rangappa Roogi subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Shri. Appanna Rangappa Roogi relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Vajreshwari Stone Crusher)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.13. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District by M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers SEIAA 391 MIN 2015- Requested for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 391 MIN 2015 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District to M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers.

M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders vide letter dated 06.09.2022 requested for transfer of EC granted to M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers in favour of M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders subject to the following conditions

Drafted by

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.14. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District by M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers SEIAA 392 MIN 2015- Requested for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 391 MIN 2015 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District to M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers.

M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders vide letter dated 06.09.2022 requested for transfer of EC granted to M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers in favour of M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders through the dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Sri. Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Traders relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Sri. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 225.5.15. Proposed Development of Residential Apartment with Club House project at Survey Numbers 121/1 and 121/5 of Thindlu Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru District by Sri. Ramesh L SEIAA 152 CON 2018 Requested for Transfer of EC in favour of M/s Sowparnika Infracon Private Limited.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 152 CON 2018 dated 21.01.2019 for Proposed Development of Residential Apartment with Club House project at Survey Numbers 121/1 and 121/5 of Thindlu Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru District to Sri. Ramesh L.

Drafted by

M/s Sowparnika Infracon Private Limited vide letter dated 10.08.2022 requested for transfer of EC granted to Sri. Ramesh L in favour of M/s Sowparnika Infracon Private Limited as the project has been purchased by M/s Sowparnika Infracon Private Limited through Sale Deed.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Sowparnika Infracon Private Limited and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Sowparnika Infracon Private Limited subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Sowparnika Infracon Private Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Ramesh L)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- 225.5.16. Construction of Hotel cum Residential Serviced Apartment Project at Sy. No. 20/5 (P), Roopena Agrahara Village, H.S.R Layout, Outer Ring Road, Begur Hobli, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore by M/s Norma Developers Pvt. Ltd,. -SEIAA 93 CON 2012 requested for issue corrigendum.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 93 CON 2012 dated 24.08.2012 for Construction of Hotel cum Residential Serviced Apartment Project at Sy. No. 20/5 (P), Roopena Agrahara Village, H.S.R Layout, Outer Ring Road, Begur Hobli, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore to M/s Norma Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 20.09.2022 requested for issue corrigendum to EC as there is a change in plan the Commercial Hotel has been changed to Commercial Office building and the BUA decreased from 40,485.94 Sqm to 38,675.59 Sqm.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents, after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested by the project proponent.

225.5.17. Construction of residential apartment "Claremont" project at Sy No. 62 and 63/2, Thubarahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Tranquil Realty Pvt. Ltd - SEIAA 164 CON 2013. - Requested for issue corrigendum to EC.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 164 CON 2013 dated 30.09.2013 and corrigendum dated 12.06.2018 for Construction of residential apartment "Claremont" project at Sy No. 62 and 63/2, Thubarahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore to M/s. Tranquil Realty Pvt. Ltd.

Drafted by ke____

<u>h</u>

The Project proponent vide letter dated 12.09.2022 requested this authority for issue corrigendum to EC as there is change in plan. The project name has been changed from "Claremont" to "Vaswani Menlopark".

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents, after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested by the project proponent.

225.5.18. Proposed Expansion & Modification of Mixed Used Development Project at New No. 21, BBMP PID No. 68-4-21 (Old Sy. No. 21, 22, 42 and site no. 1B carved out of Sy. No. 53/1) Jakkasandra, Koramangala Industrial Layout, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Chalet Hotels Ltd - SEIAA 38 CON 2021 - Requested for issue Amendment to EC dated 05.07.2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 38 CON 2021 dated 05.07.2022 for Proposed Expansion & Modification of Mixed Used Development Project at New No. 21, BBMP PID No. 68-4-21 (Old Sy. No. 21, 22, 42 and site no. 1B carved out of Sy. No. 53/1) Jakkasandra, Koramangala Industrial Layout, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District to M/s. Chalet Hotels Ltd on a plot area of 33,284.93 Sqm. The total built up area is 1,39,883.84 sq m. The proposed project consists of 322 units in Residential apartment with Blocks A to H &J having 2B + G + 10UF + T, Block K &L having 1B + G + 11UF + T and Commercial Block with 2B + G + 9UF + T. Total parking space proposed was for 946 No's of Cars (Residential 722 + Commercial 224). Total water consumption was 367.03 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated was 330.32 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 293 KLD &67 KLD. The project shall have DG sets of 4No's x 1000 kVA DG (Residential) and 2 No's x 750 kVA DG (Commercial) sets as alternative source of power supply. The total project cost was Rs.70.87 Crores.

The project proponent vide letter dated 10.08.2022 requested this Authority for issue corrigendum to EC as there is change in plan. The BUA increased from 1,39,883.84 Sqm to 1,44,774.5 Sqm. Wing G is extended and Annexe Block is added with configuration of G + 8 UF + T with reducing the one Flat. and one basement added in Commercial building.

The Authority perused the request made by the project proponent and after detailed discussion decided to reconsider the proposal after submission of comparative statement and Building plan.

The reply submitted by the project proponent is placed before the Authority for decision and discussion. The Authority perused the documents and after detailed discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested by the project proponent.

Drafted by

1

1 (182

Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha, The Chairman, SEIAA recused himself from the discussion and decision of this subject.

225.5.19. Continuation of services of Shri Krishnamurthy G. for maintenance of Accounts of SEIAA, Karnataka for the financial year 2022-23.

The Authority during the meeting held on 02.07.2021 had decided to avail the services of Shri Krishnamurthy G. for the Financial year 2021-22. Accordingly, Sri. Krishnamurthy G. have rendered his services. Similarly, services of Sri. Krishnamurthy G. is required for maintenance of accounts of SEIAA for the year 2022-23.

Sri. Krishnamurthy G. have submitted the Expression of Interest vide letter dated 26.09.2022 for maintenance of accounts of SEIAA for the year 2022-23 and have requested to increase professional fee existing Rs.7500/- per month to Rs.8000/- per month.

The Authority after discussion accorded approval for appointment of Sri. Krishnamurthy G. maintenance of accounts of SEIAA for the year 2022-23 and to pay Rs.8000/- per month.

225.5.20 Proposed Residential Apartment Project at Sy.No.48/2A, 49/1, 49/2A, 49/2B and 51/1, Avalahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. Ramky Estates & Farms Ltd(SEIAA 95 CON (VIOL) 2018)

The Authority had received a complaint with respect to the above project citing certain anomalies with respect to the possession of land by the project proponents and the efficiency of sewage treatment plant installed in the project. Therefore, taking cognizance of the complaint received the State Level Environmental Impact Assessment Authority constituted a committee to ascertain the facts of the matter by inspecting the project site and to submit a factual report.

The committee so constituted by the SEIAA vide letter dated 27.05.2022 comprised following members,

- 1 .Dr. P. Niranjan, Senior Environmental Officer, Mysore KSPCB,
- 2. Sri Mahendra Kumar M C, Member, SEAC,
- 3. Dr. S K Gali, Member, SEAC

The above committee visited/inspected the project site on 10.08.2022 and had deliberations with Officials, Builders (PP), Representatives of Residents and the Complainant.

Drafted by Ke

Ju-

The committee submitted the site visit/inspection report to SEIAA, wherein committee concluded that the project proponent need to submit the following information to SEIAA,

- 1. Survey report of the total project duly vetted by the Dept. of Survey.
- 2. The project proponent shall ensure that the proposed expansion project area of the plot as per application and extent of land area on the ground as per survey shall be same with justifiable documents.
- 3. Survey map with GPS Coordinates and land use details including Green Belt area and CA site area super imposed on map with survey numbers.
- 4. Sale deeds, release deeds, Record of rights/Khata entries of all lands procured for the total projects as per the application and also the amalgamated e-khata.
- 5. BDA approved plans of the project
- 6. Details of area relinquished to BDA along with their documents
- 7. List of existing trees and additional trees to be planted with name of species and numbers
- 8. Latest KSPCB lab report on quality of STP treated water.

The Authority took note of the interim report submitted by the committee as above and after discussion the Authority Authorized MS, SEIAA to get the additional information from project proponent & the matter shall be placed before Authority on receipt of the above details.

Meeting concluded with thanks to the Chair.

(Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha)

Chairman,

SEIAA. Karnataka

(K. N. Shivalinge Gowda)

Member,

SEIAA, Karnataka

(Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS)

Member Secretary,

SEIAA, Karnataka