Proceedings of the 299th SEAC Meeting held on 26th June- 2023

1.	Shri. Venugopal V	Chairman
2.	Dr. Shekar H.S	Member
3.	Dr. J.B Raj	Member
4.	Shri. Nanda Kishore	Member
5.	Dr. S.K. Gali	Member
6.	Shri. Dinesh MC	Member
7.	Shri. Devegowda Raju	Member
8.	Shri.Sharanabasava Chandrashekhar Pilli	Member
9	Shri. Mahendra Kumar M C	Member
10.	Shri. B V ByraReddy	Member
11.	Dr.SarvamangalaR. Patil	Member
12.	Shri. B. Ramasubba Reddy	Member
13.	Sri. R Gokul, IFS	Member Secretary

Members present in the meeting held on 26th June- 2023

Officials Present

1	Kirankumar B S	Sc O-1
2	Suhas H S	Sc O-1

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The proceedings of the 296th, 297th & 298thSEAC meeting held on 15^{th} & 16^{th} May, 30^{th} & 31^{st} May and 13^{th} June –2023 respectively was read and confirmed.

Fresh Projects

EIA Projects

299.1 Modification & Expansion of Residential Apartment Project at Dommasandra Village and Kumbena Agrahara Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. Godrej Properties Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/414866/2023 (SEIAA 05 CON 2022)

About the project:

SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Mohammed Samiulla, Authorized Signatory M/s. Godrej Properties Ltd. Prestige Obelisk, No. 3, Kasturba Road, Bengaluru – 560001
2	Name & Location of the Project	Modification & Expansion of Residential Apartment at Sy. Nos. 49/3, 46/6, 46/5, 46/4, 46/3, 46/2, 46/1, 47, 57, 58 and 61 of Dommasandra Village and Sy. No. 107/1 & 107/2 of KumbenaAgrahara Village, BidarahalliHobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru
3	Type of Development	

1

	Residential Apartment / Villas /	
a	Row Houses / Vertical Development	
~ .	/ Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/	
	Hospital /other	Desidential enortment
Ь	Residential Township/ Area	Contraction 2006
	Development Projects	Category 8(b) as per EIA Notification 2000
.	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	Expansion
r	Renewal	of 111 1 1 111 Later in 1 5K m to NE
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity	Chikkabanahalli Lake Is 1.5Km to NE
,	of project site	
5	Plot Area (Sqm)	71,882Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	3,20,981.59Sqm
	FAR	
2	Permissible	3
	Proposed	2.989
	Building Configuration [Number of	
ļ	Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	G+2P+25/26/27
9	Numbers of Basements and Upper	
	Floors]	
<u> </u>	Number of units/plots in case of	2436 units+ Club House
0	Construction/Residential Township	
v	/Area Development Projects	
	Thica Development Trajects	42m
11	Height Clearance	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	425Cr
	Disposal of Demolition waste and or	There is no C&D waste generated.
3	Disposal of Demonston waste and of	The excavated soil is used for landscaping and
	Excavated earth	backfilling.
4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
а.	Ground Coverage Area	9095.64 SQM
<u>b.</u>	Kharab Land	
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for	17,300 SQM
с.	projects under 8(a) of the schedule	
	of the EIA notification, 2006	
d	Internal Roads	19292 SOM
_ <u></u>	Paved area	
 	Others Specify	
1.	Parks and Open space in case of	7568 SQM
	Residential Townshin/ Area	
g.	Development Drojects	
<u> </u>	Total	71.882 SOM
<u> n.</u>		
<u>- CI</u>	WAIEK	
_ <u>l</u> .	Construction Phase	Tanker Supply/Treated water
<u>a.</u>	Source of water	10 KID
b .	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	
	Quantity of water for Domestic	5 KLD
с.	Purnose in KLD	
<u> </u>	Wastewater generation in KLD	1530
	Treatment facility proposed and	Modular STP
<u>e.</u>	Treatment lacinty proposed and	
	ls 2	
	(Str.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

		scheme of disposal of treated water	r	
		Operational Phase	I	
		operational rhase	Trach	1120 KLD
		Tatal Dagwiner ant of Water in KLD	Presn	1130 KLD
	a.	Total Requirement of water in KLD	Recycled	570 KLD
			lotal	1700 KLD
	0.	Source of water	Panchayat Bor	e well
	<u>c.</u>	Waste water generation in KLD	1530KLD	
	d.	STP capacity	STP: 515 KLE WWTP: 1020) KLD
	e,	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR	
	1	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	None	
	I ,	water if any		
	16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	ng	
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	1250 cum	
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	47nos	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The runoff fro	m hardscape areas is harvested in
	. 17	Storm water management plan	storage tanks of harvested in re	of capacity 842cum and excess is charge pits.
	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
	<u> </u>	Construction Phase		
		Quantity of Solid waste generation	100 Kgs/day	
	a.	and mode of Disposal as per norms		
	II.	Operational Phase		
		Quantity of Biodegradable waste	2193 kg/day	
	a.	generation and mode of Disposal as	owc	
		per norms		
		Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	1416 kg/day	
	b .	waste generation and mode of		
		Disposal as per norms		
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	ITPA	
	c.	generation and mode of Disposal as		
		per norms		
		Quantity of E waste generation and	0.5TPA	
	u.	mode of Disposal as per norms		
	19	POWER		
		Total Power Requirement -	6923 KVA	
	a.	Operational Phase		
	h	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	5 Nos x 500 K	VA + 1 No x 625 KVA
	0.	KVA for Standby Power Supply		
	c .	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD	
		Energy conservation plan and	8.9%	
		Percentage of savings including plan		
	u.	for utilization of solar energy as per		
		ECBC 2007		
. [20	PARKING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	а.	Parking Requirement as per norms	2583ECS	
		Level of Service (LOS) of the	LoS: E	
[b.	connecting Roads as per the Traffic		
		Study Report		
-		3		

M

C.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6mtr
21	CER Activities	140 lakhs
22	EMPConstruction phaseOperation Phase	Capital: 25Lakhs Recurring: 10 Lakhs Capital Cost: 728 lakhs Recurring: 27.4 Lakhs

The proposal is for modification and expansion of residential building project.Earlier SEIAA had issuedEC to M/s KMK Developers on 18.11.2019 for BUA of 1,44,373.21 Sqm in a plot area of 63,181.60 Sqm and subsequently the present Proponent M/s Godrej Properties Ltd. had obtained transfer of EC from SEIAA on 03.09.2021.Now the Proponent has proposed for BUA of 3,20,981.59 Sqm in plot area of 71,882Sqm. SEIAA had issued standardToR on 13.01.2022. The Proponent informed that they had obtained CCR from MoEF&CC on 06.04.2023 for the already issued E.Cand submitted an architect certificate dated 31.12.2022 informing that already a BUA of 36,093.30 Sqm has been constructed and informed that for ongoing construction they have obtained plan approval from BDA and CFE from KSPCB on 22.11.2022.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding drains as per village map, clarification for buffer mentioned in CCR for primary drain, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA, and details of provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committeethat for primary drain in north east they had left buffer of 50mtr from center, buffer of 25mtr from center for secondary drain in south and buffer of 15mtr on either sides for the tertiary drain in southern side. The Proponent for the purpose of clarification regarding buffer for primary drain as mentioned in CCR, submitted an undertaking informing that buffer of 50mtr from center is left for the primary drain and no construction is proposed in that area. Regarding sensitive zone, Proponent informed that they had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA on 08.03.2019. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent submitted revised calculation and informed that RWH tanks of 3,000cum capacityfor runoff from roof top area and an additional tank of 1,000 cum for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas would be provided in addition to 47number recharge pits within the site area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to manage excess drainage water within the site area and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide smart water meters for residential units and to comply with the observation of CCR issued by MoEF&CC, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 900trees and to provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tanks of 3,000cum &1,000cum and 47 recharge pits.
- 2. To undertake plantation in the early stage of construction.

4

- 3. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the project.
- 4. Proponent agreed to construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 5. Proponent agreed to recharge community bore wells in surrounding villages as part of CER
- 6. To obtain necessary permission and construct culvert/bridge on drains.
- 7. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers
- 8. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by MoEF&CC

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.2 Setting up of Municipal Solid Waste Management Facility (MSWMF) Project at Kurugodu Village, Kurugodu Tałuk, Ballari District by M/s.Town Municipal Council Kurugodu -Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/426469/2023 (SEIAA 58 IND 2021)

About the project:

S1.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
NO		
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	The Chief Officer, Town Municipal Council, Kurugodu, Kurugodu Taluk, Bellary District - 583116
2	Name & Location of the Project	Setting up of Municipal Solid Waste Management Facility (MSWMF) at Survey No.190/3, Kurugodu Village, Kurugodu Taluk, Ballari District, Karnataka
3	EnvironmentalSensitivity	
	 a. Distance from nearest Lake/River/Nala Distance from Protected area notified under wildlife protection 	 Near Kurugodu -2.7 km (NW) Tungabhadra Right Bank Main Canal - 2.7 km (NW) Lake/Waterbody (Near Sindigeri)-3 km (NE) Lake (Near Badanahatti) - 4.7 km (SW) Hire Halla-5.6 km(S) Lake/Waterbody (Near Kyadigihalu)-10 km (NW) No NP/ WLS/ BR within the study area (10 km radius)
	c. Distance from the interstate boundary	17.0 Km in East Direction
	d. /severally polluted area as per the CPCB norms	No
4	Type of Development as perschedule of EIA Notification, 2006 with relevant serial number	7(i) –Common Municipal Solid Waste Management Facility (CMSWMF) (Category: B1)
5	New/Expansion/Modification/ Product-mix change	New Project
6	Plot Area (acres)	6.0 acres
7	Capacity	14.5 TPD

:

5

	8 0	Component of developments and	The facility consists	of:
	ľ	nethod of handling waste	 Composting plan 	t
			Dry Waste Coller	ction Centre (DWCC)
			• Sanitary Landfill	(SLF)
			Leachate Collect	ion & storage tanks
			• Greenbelt develo	pment
			• Other infrastructu	are facility
	9 F	Project cost (Rs. In crores)	7.0 Crores	
	10 I	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
	ε	a. Built upArea	3975Sqm	
	l	. KharabLand	0.0	
	4	c. Internal Roads	12294Sqm	
	d	I. Paved area		
	e	e. Parking		
	f	C Green belt & open area	8012 Sqm	
	8	 Others Specify weigh bridge, office land fill etc. 	0.0	
	Ī	n. Total	24281.0 Sq. m(6.0 A	cres)
	11 N	Node of transportation of solid waste	By road through dedi	icated vehicles.
			Pushcarts (Street swe	eping): 10
			Tractor trailer (Street	t sweeping): 1
			Pushcarts (Household	ds): 2
			1.8 m3 Auto-Tippers	(Households): 5
			1.8 m3 Auto-Tippers	(Commercial
			Establishments): 1	
			Total: 19 No.	
	12 \	WATER		
		I. Construction Phase	Tankon	
	2 1	. Source of water		
	E	Construction in KLD	2.0 KLD	
	C	. Quantity of water for	2.0 KLD	
		Domestic Purpose in KLD		
	d	I. Waste water generation in KLD	1.8 KLD	
		Treatment facility proposed and	Septic tank followed t	by soak pit.
		e. scheme of disposal offreated water	l	
		1. Operational Phase	Municipal summer 4-	nkam & have usall
	8	. Source of water	Erech Destable	IIKEIS & DOLE MEIL
		KID	water)	14.0KLD
			Recycled	0.0
			Total	14.0 KLD
		. Requirement of water for industrial	Fresh	1.6.1/1.10
		purpose / production in KLD	Recycled	1.5 KLD
			Total	1.5 KLD
		I. Waste water generation in KLD	Industrial effluent	1.2 KLD
		-	Total	1.2 KLD

M

13	Sto	orm water management plan	 Rainwater running off slopes above and outside SLF area shall be intercepted and channelled to storm water drains without entering the operational area of the site. The drains will be provided with low permeability lining to prevent leakage into SLF. Final cover shall be provided with appropriate slope for proper surface water drainage.
1.4			• Storm water collection tank will be provided.
	A	r Pollution	
	a.	Sources of Air pollution	Emission from DG sets and dust generation from
	Ъ.	Composition of Emissions	PM. SO ₂ NOx
	c.	Air pollution control measures proposed and technology employed	Regular water sprinkling, Enclosures and stack with DG sets, Greenbelt development, Periodical emission checking of all vehicles.
15	No	ise Pollution	
	a.	Sources of Noise pollution	DG set operation, transportation vehicles generates noise
	b.	Expected levels of Noise	Day time: 48.8 dB(A)
		pollution in dB	Night time: 40.7 dB(A)
	c.	Noise pollution control measures proposed	Acoustic enclosures, regular maintenance of vehicles and machineries, Greenbelt development.
16	Wa	aste management	•
		Operational Phase	
	a .	Quantity of Solid waste generated per day and their disposal	4 to 5 Kg
	b.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation with source and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste oil: 1.5 Liters/annum
	C.	Quantity of E waste generation with source and mode of Disposal as per norms	Very less amount of E-Waste will be generated and the same will be stored securely and send to Authorized recyclers
	D	Bio-Medical waste generation with source and mode of Disposal as per norms	Very less amount of BMW will be generated and the same will be stored securely and send to Authorized CBWTF.
	E	Quantity of Leachate generation and mode of Disposal	3 KLDDisposal through Leachate Collection and treatment system, reuse in Landfills etc.
17	Po	wer	
	a.	Total Power Requirement in the Operational Phase with source	75 kVA Source: Karnataka State Electricity Board (KSEB)
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1 No. 100 kVA
	C.	Details of Fuel used with	There are no boilers, Furnace, TFH, Incinerator
	7		

-

M

		purpose such as boilers, DG,	Set is involved in project.
		Furnace, TFH, Incinerator Set	100 kVA DG sets proposed:
		etc,	Quantity of HSD: 25 Liters/hr
	d.	Energy conservation plan and	No
		Percentage of savings including	
		plan for utilization of solar	
		energy as per ECBC 2007.	
18	Park	ing	
	a.	Parking Requirement as per	Sufficient space for parking has been provided
		norms	for safe and proper movement.
	b.	Internal Road width (RoW)	Sufficient space as Internal Road width has been
			provided for safe and proper movement.
19	CEI	R activities	Skill development training, Avenue plantation,
			installation off drinking waste RO units etc.
20	EM	1P Cost:	
	Construction Phase		Total Capital Cost: 70.0 Lakhs
	Op	eration Phase.	Recurring Cost: 7.0 Lakhs/annum

The proposal is for establishment of MSWMF of capacity 14.5 TPD in an area of 6.00 Acres. SEIAA had issued ToR on 21.02.2022 and Public Hearing was conducted on 31.01.2023.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding segregation of waste, leachate handling and handling of odour menace. The Proponent informed the Committee that waste will be collected from 23 wards of Kurugodu Town in a segregated manner at the door step of the households consisting of bio-degradable waste, non-biodegradable waste and domestic harzardous waste. The proposed facility consists of Compost plant, Dry Waste Collection Centre, Sanitary Landfill and Leachate Cllection & storage tanks. It was informed that wet waste would be sent to aerobic windrow composting and dry waste would be further segregated into RDF, Recyclable waste and Domestic hazardous waste and rejects of about 20%-23% of total MSW segregation & composting area is sent to sanitary landfill with pre-treatment. For handling leachate, Proponent informed that leachate collection tank is proposed which acts as settling tank and which will help in sedimentation and biological stabilization. The settled sludge would be sent to landfill and overflow would be sent to leachate storage tanks to facilitate evaporation. Regarding sanitary landfill, the Proponent informed that it shall be set up as per norms to handle rejects of about 20%-23% of total MSW segregation & composting area and is provided with liner system to prevent infiltration of leachate into ground water. To prevent odour menace, Proponent informed that green barrier all around the project will be taken up by planting aromatic trees in three tier plantation. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and to adhere to the conditions stipulated in Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent informed the Committee that they will take precautionary measures during operation process to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with CPCB norms for handling waste.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To undertake plantation in early stage of construction.
- 2. Proponent agreed to comply with the request/opinions of the Public expressed during Public Hearing.
- 3. To handle Bio-Medicial waste as per Bio-Medical waste management Rules.
- 4. Proponent agreed to make financial provisions for operation cost before starting of project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.3 Expand CETP capacity from 300 KLD to 500 KLD. 500 KLD CETP consists of (400 KLD Inorganic Waste water + 100 KLD Organic Waste water) Project at 29A, 1st phase KIADB Industrial area, Kumbalgodu, Bangalore urban District by M/s. Pai & Pai Chemicals (I) Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/423474/2023 (SEIAA 17 IND 2022)

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Project	Chava Rao, Managing Director,
	Proponent	M/s. Pai & Pai Chemicals India Pvt. Ltd. (Unit-1) Kumbalgodu Industrial area, Bangalore,
2	Type of Project	Expansion of Existing Common Effluent Treatment Plant from 300 KLD to 500 KLD capacity Category 7(h) as per EIA Notification 2006
	New/expansion/modification	Expansion
3	Location	Plot No. 29A, 1 st Phase, <u>Kumbalgodu</u> Industrial area, District, Bangalore,
4	Cost of Project	20 crores
5	Proposed plant capacity	500 KLD
6	Total Plot Area	8049 sam
7	Built up area	4024.53
8	Water requirement	Domestic water requirement: 1.5 KLD
		Effluent from member units: 500 KLD
9	Source of water	Domestic: KIADB
10	Wastewater	500KLD from member units
11	Man Power	50
12	Electricity/ Power	500 KVA
	Requirement	

13	Treatment technology	CETP existing process - Primary, Secondary, Tertiary treatment followed by advanced UF / RO and MEE. Proposed- Primary, Secondary, Tertiary treatment followed by Advanced treatment scheme. Mechanical Vapor Recompression (MVR) technology will be used for energy recovery process, which is used to recycle waste heat to improve efficiency. It is chiefly used in evaporation and distillation processes, where the heat from the condenser is lost; it can be recovered and used in the evaporation process. The solvent available in the form of effluent will be stripped through solvent arrester and stripper. The collected solvent is stored according to CPCB guidelines and disposed to authorized solvent veruelare
14	Effment details and its handling	Icoyotets.
	pH	Inlet: 5-10
		Outlet: 6-9
	TDS	Inlet: 10,000-100000
 !	\$ 1,	Ontlet: 2100
	S.S.	Inlet: 2000-8000
		Outlet: 100
	COD	Inlet: 1,50,000
		Outlet: 250
	BOD	Inlet: 50,000
		Outlet: 100
	Effluent handling	The treated water will be supplied to bulk water consumers like RMC plants, pharmaceutical industries and garment washing and dyeing industries.
15	Hazardous waste and its handling	
	ETP Sludge	Authorized vendors for landfilling / Co - processing in cement plant / AFRF.
	DG Operation	250 KVAX 1 No. the used oil is disposed to CPCB/KSPCB authorized recyclers.
	Solid waste with detail of TSDF	Organic waste is used for composting within the premises. The TSDF agreement is already been executed with two TSDF facilities - Mother Earth and Ramky Enviro solutions.
16	CER Activities	To Provide infrastructure & water facilities to nearby Govt. Hospitals/Schools
17	EMP	
	Construction Phase	445 Lakhs
	Operation Phase	31.25 Lakhs



,

The proposal is for expansion of existing 300KLD CETP to 500KLD CETP in an area of 8049sqm of KIADB Industrial Area in Kumbalgodu, Bangalore. SEIAA issued Standarad ToR on 27.04.2022. The Proponent informed the Committee that land was allotted to Proponent in 2001 and the proposal was exempted from public hearing as the industrial area was established prior to EIA Notification 2006 as per MoEF&CC O.M dated 27.04.2018 and the existing unit was also established prior to 2006. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the existing unit they had CFO from KSPCB dated 15.10.2018.

The Proponent informed that the proposed expansion consists of 400KLD Inorganic waste water and 100KLD Organic waste water within the existing area to serve the various industries located in and around the KIADB Industrial Area.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regardingproposed treatment technology and disposal system for existing industries, mode of effluent collection from member units and handling of treated effluent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the effluents generated from the industires is transferred individually through dedicated GPS mounted tankers, wherein inlet and outlet is monitored by CPCB. For the proposed technology, the Proponent informed that technology consists of primary, secondary, tertiary treatement followed by Mechanical Vapor Re-Compressor(MVR)andthen the treated effluent confirming to the specified standards isrecycled and resused by suppling back to members units for the purpose of cooling tower, boiler makeup, gardening, sprinkling etc, and is also supplied to Bhagyalakshmi Farms at Suttur and KIADB water supply division for distribution in a separate pipe line in the industrial area.Further the Proponent informed that the sludge from the process is disposed to the TSDF facility.

The Proponent informed that the hazardous waste generated would be collected as per CPCB norms and stored in dedicated hazardous waste storage area within the site. The Proponent informed that they have made provision for 33% greenbelt area in the proposed project.

The Proponent informed the Committee that they will take precautionary measures during operation process to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To undertake plantation all around the project boundary to mitigate odour.
- 2. To take necessary precautions to prevent water from reaching Vrushabawathi drain towards south east.
- 3. Proponent should not let out treated water in the drains/UGD.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



299.4 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 63/1 of Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Ganesh Y Bankapur - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/244604/2021 (SEIAA 665 MIN 2021)

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (govindsadvocates@gmail.com) on 20th June 2023 for the present proposal regarding the quarry site situated in close proximity to Kappatgudda WLS.

The Committee noted that as per the records submitted by the Proponent, the project site is located at a distance of 3.6 KM from the boundary of Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary and ESZ has not been notified as yet.

The Proponent submitted the Hon'ble HC Orders in WP 15528/2021 dated 06.04.2023 directing SEIAA the following,

"On Instructions, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5 submits before this Court that the respondent No.5 would decide the application of the petitioner dated 04.04.2019 within a stipulated period fixed by this Court. Accordingly, accepting his submission as undertaking to this Court, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 5 to decide the application of the petitioner dated 04.04.2019. Needless to state that, such decision shall be on the merits of the application and particularly in view of the latest judgment of the Apex Court in the case of T.N GODAVARMAN THIRUMULPAD, IN RE VS. UNION OF INDIA reported in 2020 (10) SCC 544 as expeditiously as possible and not later that eight weeks from the receipt of the copy of this court. With the above observation, petition is disposed of."

As per the Orders of Hon'ble HC Orders in WP 15528/2021 dated 06.04.2023, the Committee informed the Proponent to submit applicability of latest Orders of the Hon'ble SC in the case of T.N GODAVARMAN THIRUMULPAD, IN RE VS. UNION OF INDIA regarding the applied project. The Proponent requested the Committee for some more time to provide clarification for the applicability of the latest Orders of the Hon'ble SC in the case of T.N GODAVARMAN THIRUMULPAD, IN RE VS. UNION OF INDIA regarding the applicability of the latest Orders of the Hon'ble SC in the case of T.N GODAVARMAN THIRUMULPAD, IN RE VS. UNION OF INDIA for the said project.

The Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal of the project proposal as per the request of the Proponent.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC, after submission the clarification sought.

299.5 Pink Granite Quarry Project at Hirekodagali Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (5-16 Acres) by Sri Mohan D. Hosamani - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/416635/2021 (SEIAA 491 MIN 2021)

SI.N	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
0.		
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Mohan D. Hosamani
	Proponent	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No. 9/1 of

12

		Hirekodagali Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (5-16 Acres)		
			(J-10 Acres)	
			M 152 54' 12 1"	E 769 00' 21 0"
				E 76 08 21.0
1			N 15° 56' 07 5"	E 76' 08' 23.0
			N 15° 56' 06.9"	E 76° 08' 24 1"
			N 15° 56' 04 0"	E 76° 08' 24 1"
			N 15° 56' 04.0"	E 76" 08' 19.6"
			N 15° 56' 07.0"	E 76° 08' 19.7"
3	Type Of Mineral	-	Pink Granite Quarry	
4	New / Expansion /	Modification /	New	
	Renewal			
5	Type of La	nd [Forest,	Patta	· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Government Rev	enue, Gomal,		
	Private / Patta, Oth	er]		
6	Area in Acres		5-16 Acres	
7	Annual Production	(Metric Ton /	23,333 Cum/ Annum (in	cluding waste)
	Cum) Per Annum			5
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 1.84 Crores (Rs. 184	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	3,99,653 Cum (including	; waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			•
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	3,500Cum/ Annum (reco	very)
	Cu.m / Ton			
11	CER Activities: To	grow 750 No. (of additional plantation on	either side of the approach
	road from quarry lo	cation to Hireko	dagali Village Road	• • • • •
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 36.04 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 20.77Lakhs (Recurring cost)		77Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	12.06.2023		
14	Quarry plan	10.07.2021		
15	Cluster Certificate	15.06.2021		
16	Revenue	13.02.2013		·
17	DTF	30.01.2021	·····	- // -
18	C&I Notification	18.01.2023		
19	PH	19.05.2022		

The proposal is for pink granite quarry for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and public hearing was conducted on 19.05.2022, where in opinion/request of eight people have been recorded in public hearing report.

The Environmental Consultant informed the Committee that as the project Proponenthad expired, his son has obtained revised Notification from C&I Dept. in the name of Sri. Punith Mohan Hosamani.However, the Committee noted that as the present application is made in the name of Sri Mohan D Hosamani in Parivesh, after discussion it was decided to defer appraisal of the project and informed the Proponent to obtain required amendment from SEIAA.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC, after submission the clarification sought.



M

299.6 Redevelopment of 'Central Market' – Market, Commercial cum MLCP Building Project at Sy.Nos. 180, 181/A, 181/B & 182 of Kasba Bazar (Village no. 91), Mangaluru Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District by M/s. Mangaluru City Corporation (MCC) - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/424505/2023 (SEIAA 87 CON 2023)

The proposal is for construction of commercial market with MLCP in an area earmarked for commercial use as per Mangalore City Corporation.

The Committee sought clarification for the existing site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that an old building with abuilt up area of 8,390Sqm was demolished in 2021 as per the Directions of Hon'ble High Court as it was unsafe to occupy and presently it is a vacant land. The Committee further sought details regarding permission obtained for carrying out demolition and C&D waste handling details, for which the Proponent informed that they will get back with clarification in this regard.

Hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal and informed the Proponent to submit the details of permission obtained for carried out demolition and C&D waste handling and also to submit the details of entire quantity of bio-degradable waste generated considering the waste generated from vegetable/fruit & meat units and the treatment technolgy for waste generated from proposed vegetable/fruit meat units, total water requirement with details of waste water handling, proposed odour control measures for meat storage units by considering adjacent habitation and provisions made for additional entry/exit with reference to traffic management.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC, after submission the clarification sought.

299.7 Office (IT/BT) Building project at Bellandur Amanikere Village & Devarabeesanahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Banaglore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Kalyani Tech Park Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/427785/2023 (SEIAA 93 CON 2023)

About	the	project:
-------	-----	----------

Sl. No	PARTICULARS INFORMATION Provided by PP	
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Kalyani Tech Park Private Limited., 165/2, Krishnaraju Layout, Doraisanipalya Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-560076
2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of Office (IT/BT) Building project at Sy. Nos. 38/2, 38/3, 38/4, 38/5, 38/7, 38/8, 38/9, 38/10 of BellandurAmanikere Village and 49(P) of Devarabeesanahalli Village, VarthurHobli, Banaglore East Taluk, Bangalore.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Development of Office (IT/BT) Building Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA

14

	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	New
4	Renewal	
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Bellandurlake at a distance of 550 mts at west direction and primary nala is adjacent to the project sitein Western Direction, Tertiary nala in Southern Direction, Secondary nala in Eastern Direction.
6	Plot Area (Sam)	27720.73 Sam.
7	Built Us area (Sam)	1.09.012.42 Samt
⊢ <u>′</u>	Dunt Op area (Sqiii)	
8	Permissible Proposed	(2.0 +1.2 TDR) = 3.2 2.229
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	4 Basement +Ground+ 13 UF+ Terrace
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	NA
11	Height Clearance	HAL NoC dated 22.10.2022
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	200Cr
12	Disposal of Demolition waster and	No Demolition waste is generated and
	or Excavated earth	Excavated earth we used our project site only.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	4493.47 Sqm
<u>b.</u>	Kharab Land	Khrab area is 2023.41 sqm
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	4945.85 sqm
c.	for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	
d.	Internal Roads	
e.	Paved area	14053.7 Sqm
f.	Others Specify	Encroached area is 326.83 sqm, Area under existing road is 641.0 sqm
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
h.	Total	27,720.73Sqm
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	BWSSB treated water/our own STP treated water
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile Sewage Treatment plant
	15 15	M

H

·

II.	Operational Phase		
	Tetal Designment of Weter in	Fresh	210 KLD
a.	Total Requirement of water in	Recycled	150 KLD
	KLD	Total	360 KLD
b.	Source of water	BWSSB	
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	324 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	360 KLD	
	Technology employed for	SBR Technolog	v. Area required for STP IS 360
e.	Treatment	Samt	·····
	Scheme of disposal of excess	Excess 124 KL	D will be used HVAC
t.	treated water if any		
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves	ting	
	Capacity of sump tank to store	300 m3 of 2 No:	s of collection sump is provided
a.	Roof run off	Area required for	r Rain water tank is 600 Sqmt
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	22 nos	
	3-1	We provided 3	00 m3 of 2 Nos of roof water
		collection summ	and 22 nos of recharge nits all
17	Storm water management plan	along the proje	and 22 nos of reenange pits an
		along the proje	ct site will provided poild of
		capacity 200 cui	m for collection of storm water.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
<u>I.</u>	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Handed over to .	BBMP authorities
	and mode of Disposal as per norms		
II.	Operational Phase		···
		480 kg/day con	verted in to organic manure and
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	used for garden	
a.	generation and mode of Disposal	48 kg/ hr	•
	as per norms	500 kg/day of 0	capacity
		Space required 1	s 12 sqmt
	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	/20 kg/day give	en to PCB authorized recycler
D.	Waste generation and mode of		
	Disposal as per norms	150 190 Ite eive	n to DCD outhorized reavalor
	Quantity of Hazardous waste	150-180 hs give	an to PCB autionized recycler
	generation and mode of Disposal		
	as per norms	150 hatness aim	to BCB outhorized recycler
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and	150 kg/year giv	en to FCB autionzeu recyclei
	node of Disposal as per norms	L	
	Total Darwar Darwinsment	4595 VW	
a.	Dial Power Requirement -	4363 K W	
	Numbers of DC set and consolity in	1500 VVA V 5	No
b .	Numbers of DO set and capacity in a	IJUKAAJ	1905.
	Details of Fuel used for DG Sat		
	Energy concernation plan and	Total servings of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of sevings including	1 Otal Savings Of	. 4.3 70
d.	nlan for utilization of solar energy		
	as per FCBC 2007		
20 PARKING			
20	Parking Requirement as per norms	736 ECS	
c. d. 20	Details of Fuel used for DG Set Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	et Low Sulphuric diesel Total savings of 23 % ergy	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	736 ECS	

hu

,

16

b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report towards K.R. Puram road is B and towards Silk Borad is C
<u>с.</u>	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0 mtr
21	CER Activities	To adopt Govt School InMavalli,Bangalore
22	EMP • Construction phase • Operation Phase	92.0 Lakhs 627 lakhs

The proposal is for construction of IT/BT Office building in an area earmarked for Industrial use as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained change of land use from BDA on 15.03.2023 for the proposed development.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding drains as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provision made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that the for the primary drain in north west, they have proposed buffer of 50mtr from the center of drain, buffer of 25mtr from the center for the secondary drain in north east and 15mtrs buffer from center for the tertiary drain in south.Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA on03.03.10. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent informed the Committee that they have proposed 2x300cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop, 200cum capacity tank for runoff from hardscape, landscape in addition to 22nos. recharge pitsare within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and carry out plantation in buffer zone of drains and to harvest excess rainwater from the project site to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 350 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and radan in ground water noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank/sump of 2x300cum, 200cum capacity and 22 recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of borewells in the vicinity of the site
- 3. To grow trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 4. To obtain necessary permission and construct culvert/bridge on drains.
- 5. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

M

299.8 Office (IT/BT) Building project at Devarabeesanahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Banaglore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Kalyani Tech Park - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/427921/2023 (SEIAA 107 CON 2023)

SI.	. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
	1 、	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Kalyani Tech Park Private Limited., 165/2, Krishnaraju Layout, Doraisanipalya Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-560076
	2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of Office (IT/BT) Building project at Sy. No. 46/3 and 46/2B (new No. 42/4) of Devarabeesanahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Banaglore East Taluk, Bangalore.
	3	Type of Development	
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Development of Office (IT/BT) Building Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Bellandurlake at a distance of 550 mts at west direction. Primary drainis adjacent to the project site and Tertiary drain in Northern Direction.
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	10,218.23 Sqmt
	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	74,845.48 Sqmt
	8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	(3.25 +1.95 TDR) = 5.20 4.12
	9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	4 Basement +Ground+ 13 UF+ Terrace
10		Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	NA
	11	Height Clearance	HAL NoC Dated 22.10.2022
	12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	100Cr.
	13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	No Demolition waste is generated and Excavated earth we used our project site only.
	14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	3497.93 Sqmt
1	b.	Kharah Land	NA



	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	2044 sqm	
C.	for projects under 8(a) of the		
	schedule of the EIA notification,		
	2006		
<u>d.</u>	Internal Roads	4676 Sam	
<u>e.</u>	Paved area		
<u>f.</u>	Others Specify	NA	
	Parks and Open space in case of	NA	
g.	Residential Township/ Area		
	Development Projects	10 0 0 0 0 0	
<u>n.</u>		10,218.23Sqm	
	WATER		
1.	Construction Phase		
a.	Source of water	BWSSB treated	water/our own STP treated
	Quantita of antice for Quantum	water	· · · · · ·
b .	Quantity of water for Construction	JUKLD	
	MALU Quantity of water for Domestic	571 D	
c .	Quantity of water for Domestic		
	Waste water generation in KLD		
	Treatment facility proposed and	Mobile Sewage	Creatment plant
e.	scheme of disposal of treated water	- Moone Sewage Treatment plant	
	Operational Phase	l	
		Fresh	200 KI D
	Total Requirement of Water in	Recycled	100 KDL
u	KLD	Total	300 KLD
h	Source of water	BWSSB	
c .	Waste water generation in KLD	270 KLD	
d.	STP canacity	300 KLD	
	Technology employed for	SBR Technology	Area required for STP IS
e.	Treatment	300 Samt	
	Scheme of disposal of excess	Excess 150 KLI	D will be used HVAC
I.	treated water if any		
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves	ting	
	Capacity of sump tank to store	320 m3 of of co	llection sump is provided
a.	Roof run off	Area required for	r Rain water tank is 320Sqmt
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	8nos	
		We provided 3	320 m3 of of roof water
17	Storm water management plan	collection sump and 8 nos of recharge pits all	
	·	along the project	site.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
<u>I.</u>	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Handed over to I	3BMP authorities
	and mode of Disposal as per norms		
	Operational Phase		
		400 kg/day conv	erted in to organic manure
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	and used for gard	len
a.	generation and mode of Disposal	40 kg/ hr	
	as per norms	400 kg/day of ca	
	1	Space required is	s 12 sqmt

for ...

H

19

b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	600 kg/day given to PCB authorized recycler
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	150-180 lts given to PCB authorized recycler
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	150 kg/year given to PCB authorized recycler
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	4120 KW
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1500 KVA X 4 Nos.
C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 21.5%
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	562 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report towards K.R.Puram road is B and towards Silk Borad is C
C.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0mt
21	CER Activities	To adopt Govt School in Mavalli village, Bangalore
22	EMPConstruction phaseOperation Phase	82.0 Lakhs 597 lakhs

The proposal is for construction of IT/BT Office building in an area earmarked for Industrial Hitech use as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed the Committeethat the proposed land use is permitted in the area demarcated as industrial hi-tech zone as per zoning regulation of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding drains as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the primary drain in north west they have proposed buffer of 50mtr from the center of drain and for the tertiary drain as per village map, Proponent informed that there is no B-Kharab as per the RTC in the proposed survey number. Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA on 06.04.2023. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent has informed the Committee that they have proposed 320cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop and for the runoff from hardscape, landscapeareas18nos. recharge pit has been proposed within the project area.



Further the Committee informed the Proponent to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and carry out plantation in buffer zone of drains and to harvest excess rainwater from the project site to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 130 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and radan in ground water noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank/sump of 320cum capacity and 18 recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of borewells in the vicinity of the site
- 3. To grow trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 4. To obtain necessary permission and construct culvert/bridge on drains.
- 5. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.9 Residential Apartment Building Project at Ullalu Village, Yeshwanthpura Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by Sri A C Chandrashekar Raju, Sri A C Srinivas Raju and Sri A.C. Krishna Raju - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/431010/2023 (SEIAA 108 CON 2023)

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Shri. A C Chandrashekar Raju, Shri. A C Srinivas Rajuand Shri. A C Krishna Raju C/o. Navami Chamara Heights, No. 11, As Complex, 8 th Main, Baseshwaranagar, Bangalore – 560079
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment Building by Shri A CChandrashekar Raju, Shri A C Srinivas Raju and Shri AC Krishna Raju at Sy. No. 130 of Ullalu Village, Yeshwanthpura Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore Urban District
3	Type of Development	
a	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development /	Proposed Residential ApartmentBuilding Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006

21

		Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/			
	_	Hospital /other			
		Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No		
 	<u> </u>	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	New		
4	ł	Renewal			
5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of	Secondary nala towards north	and west of the	
		project site	site.		
) ,	Plot Area (Sqm)	9,307.64 sq.m		
		Built Up area (Sqm)	45,214.00 sq.m.		
g	1	A Dermissible	Achieved FAR - 27,921.09 Sq.m		
		Proposed	Permissible FAR : 3.0		
		Building Configuration Dlumber of	Basement + Ground Floor +	22 Unner Floors	
		Blocks / Towers / Wings etc. with	+ Terrace Floor and Club Hou	se	
9)	Numbers of Basements and Upper			
		Floors			
 		Number of units/plots in case of	264 Units		
10	0	Construction/Residential Township			
		/Area Development Projects			
			As per CCZM Permissible top	elevation in	
		Height Clearance	AMSL : 1010,		
11			Site Elevation in AMSL : 830.	.0	
			Difference in meters : 180		
		Burland Control (De La Channe)	Height proposed : 74.40m		
	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Ks. 90.0 Cr.		
			Details	Quantity in m ²	
			Quantity of excavated soil	24,500.00	
			Back filling for footings	12,250.00	
		Dismostl of Demolistics synctron and an	Site filling required	4,607.90	
13	3	Excepted earth	Back filling for retaining	5 000 00	
		Excavated cartin	wall	5,009.22	
			Top soil for Landscaping	1,643.58	
			Filling for internal roads	989.30	
			Total	24,500.00	
14	4	Details of Land Use (Som)			
ΓŤ	a.	Ground Coverage Area	3,500.00 Sq.m		
	b.	Kharab Land			
[Total Green belt on Mother Earth for	2,629.30 Sq.m		
	c.	projects under 8(a) of the schedule of			
∣⊢	<u> </u>	the EIA notification, 2006			
∣⊢	d.	Internal Roads	1,978.04 Sq.m		
ᆝ└	<u>e.</u>	Podium Landscape	1,200.30 Sq.m		
╏┝	f.	Others Specify			
	_	Parks and Open space in case of	NA		
	g.	Residential Lownship/ Area			
∟ ŀ			<u> </u>		
		Br 22		$\backslash \backslash $	
		ATX-		VV	
		U		ン	

22

 \checkmark Y

	h .	Total	9,307.64 sq.m.		
	15	WATER			
	I.	Construction Phase	Construction Phase		
	a.	Source of water	From Nearby tre	ated water suppliers	
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD		
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD		
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD		
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	facility proposed and The sewage generated during the construct isposal of treated water phasewill be treated in the Mobile STP		
	II.	Operational Phase			
			Fresh	124.74 KLD	
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled	59.40 KLD	
		-	Total	184.14 KLD	
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB		
	C.	Waste water generation in KLD	174.93 KLD		
	d.	STP capacity	180 KLD		
	e.	STP Area	224.92 Sa.m		
	f	OWC Area	75.26 Sam	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	0	OWC Canacity	4 Tons		
ĺ	<u>h</u>	Technology employed for Treatment	SBP Technology		
		Teenhology employed for Treament	No Disposal Th	e treated water will be rouged	
		Scheme of disposal of excess treated	fortailet flushing landscoping in the project		
	i.	water if any	site overve plan	tation and Bourse often treating	
			with ultrafiltration and reverse opposis		
	16	Infractructure for Dain water hor acting			
	10	Connecture for Kall water har vesting	5 100 0 m m		
	a.	run off	Л 169.0 си.пі.		
	[b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	<u>8 Nos.</u>		
	17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected byrainwater harvesting system and will be used forrecharging the ground water		
		Storm water management plan	used forrechargin	ig the ground water	
	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	used forrecharging	ig the ground water	
	18 I.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase	used forrechargin	ng the ground water	
	18 I. a.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	used forrechargin No of labours = Per capita of was Separate collection organic andinorg will be converted Inorganic solid wa authorized recvel	100 Nos. te generated = 0.4 kg/day on bins will be used for anic waste. Organic waste inorganic convertor. vaste will behanded over to lers.	
	18 I. a.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Operational Phase	used forrechargin No of labours = 1 Per capita of was Separate collection organic andinorg will be converted Inorganic solid wa authorized recycl	100 Nos. Ite generated = 0.4 kg/day on bins will be used for anic waste. Organic waste inorganic convertor. vaste will behanded over to lers.	
	18 I. a.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable waste	used forrechargin No of labours = 1 Per capita of was Separate collection organic andinorg will be converted Inorganic solid wa authorized recycl 316.80 kg/day.	100 Nos. Ite generated = 0.4 kg/day on bins will be used for anic waste. Organic waste inorganic convertor. vaste will behanded over to lers. Biodegradable waste will be	
	18 I. a. II.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	used forrechargin No of labours = 1 Per capita of was Separate collection organic andinorg will be converted Inorganic solid wa authorized recycl 316.80 kg/day. converted in organic	100 Nos. te generated = 0.4 kg/day on bins will be used for anic waste. Organic waste i inorganic convertor. vaste will behanded over to lers. Biodegradable waste will be anic convertor.	
	18 I. a. II. a.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	used forrechargin No of labours = Per capita of was Separate collectio organic andinorg will be converted Inorganic solid w authorized recycl 316.80 kg/day. converted in orga 211.20 kg/day. N behanded over to	100 Nos. Ite generated = 0.4 kg/day on bins will be used for anic waste. Organic waste inorganic convertor. vaste will behanded over to lers. Biodegradable waste will be anic convertor.	
	18 I. a. II. a. b.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste	used forrechargin No of labours = 1 Per capita of was Separate collection organic andinorg will be converted Inorganic solid wa authorized recycl 316.80 kg/day. converted in orga 211.20 kg/day. N behanded over to	100 Nos. Ite generated = 0.4 kg/day on bins will be used for anic waste. Organic waste inorganic convertor. vaste will behanded over to lers. Biodegradable waste will be anic convertor. Ion- Biodegradable waste will authorized recyclers	

.

		generation and mode of Disposal as	Nil		
		per norms	-		
	Ч	Quantity of E waste generation and	E-waste generation will be very less		
	u.	mode of Disposal as per norms			
	19	POWER			
	ิด	Total Power Requirement -	1200 kVA		
	<u>.</u>	Operational Phase			
	ь	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	1 X1200) kVA	
		KVA for Standby Power Supply			
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD		
			Energy	saved by usin	g Solar water Heater :
			50,0001	KWH/Year	(a)
			Solar Po	ower Generation	n:
			Months	= 24,000 WH	
		Energy conservation plan and	In mons	oon season 50	$xWH \ge 30 \ge 4$ Months =
	a	Percentage of savings including plan	6,000 k ^v	WH	
	ų.	for utilization of solar energy as per	Total SI	PV Power Gene	eration in a year = 0.3 L
		ECBC 2007	kWH/A	Annum(b)	
			Total Se	olar Energy uti	lization (Energy saving
			using so	plar heater and	solar PV) in a year =
ŀ			(a)+(b)=	= 0.5+ 0.3 L I	$\zeta WH = 0.8 L / Annum$
		(C)		•	00.000/
<u> </u>			1 otal energy savings = 22.83%		
⊢	20	PARNING Darking Dequirement as per norma	201 ECS		
-	a.	Level of Service (LOS) of the	Mangan	ohalli Main Pa	
ŀ	ь	connecting Roads as per the Traffic	Iviangan		
	0.	Study Report			
ĺ	с.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6.00 m		
	21		Vear	Corporate	Environmental
				Responsibility	y (CER)
			1 st	Rejuvination	of Ullalu village
			2 nd	Providing Ra	in Water Harvesting
				&solar powe	r panels to GHPS at
				Ullalu Village	e
		CER Activities	3 rd	Conducting	E-waste drive
				campaigns in	the Ullalu Village
			^{4th}	Scientific sup	port and awareness to
				local farmers	s to increase yield of
				crop and fodd	ler
			5th	Health camp i	n GHPS at Ullalu
			<u>ال</u> ـــــــــا	Village	
	22		Opera	tion Phase	Construction Phase
		EMD	Recurr	ing Cost Per	Recurring Cost Per
		LIVIE - Construction phase	Annun	n = 16.803	Annum = 16.73
		Onsuluction phase Operation Phase	lakhs		lakhs
		• Operation Phase	Capita	1 Cost = 137.9	Capital Cost = 41.69
			lakhs		lakhs

for the

 $\dot{\gamma}$

24

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding drains as per village map, and provision made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that there are two secondary drains in northern and western sides of the project area for which a buffer of 25mtr is proposed from the center of the drain. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent has informed the Committee that they have proposed 189cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop and tank/sump of 95cum for the runoff from hardscape, landscapeareasin addition to 08nos. recharge pitsis within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 260 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and radan in ground water noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank/sump of 189cum& 95cum capacities and 08 recharge pits.
- 2. To grow trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 3. To obtain necessary permission and construct culvert/bridge on drains.
- 4. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.10 Sowparnika Euphoria in the East Project at Doddagattiganaabbe Village & Poojena Agrahara Village, Kasaba Hobli, Hoskote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by M/s.Sowparnika Homes Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/430360/2023 (SEIAA 106 CON 2023)

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. S Sreenivasan, Director, M/s SOWPARNIKA HOMES PVT. LTD. No 750, 1 st Main Road, 'C' Block AECS Layout, Kundalahalli,Bangalore 560037

		" SOWPARNIKA EUPHORIA IN THE EAST"		
		Sy No.s 86/1, 86/3, 86/4 of Doddagattiganaabbe		
		village & Sy No.s 60/2, 61/4 of Poojena Agrahara		
		village, Kasaba Hobli, Hoskote Taluk, Bangalore		
	Nome & Location of the Drainet	Kural District, CENITED $N 12^{9}01^{2} 24 57^{2} E 77^{9} 47^{2}02 40^{2}$		
Z	Name & Location of the Project	CENTER N-13'01' 34.57" E-77' 47'03.49"		
		NORTH-EAST N-13°01' 42.66" E-77° 47'04.76"		
		NORTH-WEST N-13° 01' 42.50" E-77° 47'06.05"		
		SOUTH WEST N-13°01' 29.70" E-77° 47'01.33"		
		SOUTH-EAST N-13°01' 29.36" E-77° 47'03.02"		
3	Type of Development			
	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row			
a.	Houses / Vertical Development /	RESIDENTIALAPARTMENTS		
	Office / 11/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/			
	Residential Township/ Area			
b.	Development Projects	Not applicable		
	New/ Expansion/ Modification/			
4	Renewal	GROUND BREAKING PROJECT		
	Water Dedical Nales in the visibility of	Nala to the south east and to the west of the project		
5	project site	site. Buffer distances maintained as per zonal		
		regulations		
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	Plot area is 34929.14 inclusive of 202.34 Sqmts of		
		Kharab		
/	Built Up area (Sqm)	Gross Built up area of 155480.22		
	FAK Permissible	2.75		
0	Proposed	2.749		
	Building Configuration Number of	5 Towers + Club House		
	Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	Towers 1 to 5-Basement + Ground + 14 upper		
9	Numbers of Basements and Upper	floors WITH Club House G +3		
	Floors]			
	Number of units/plots in case of	1171 Apts		
10	Construction/Residential	3BHK - 420 FLATS 2DUV - 476 FLATS		
	Projects	1BHK = 275 FLATS		
		CCZM justification for height clearance.		
		904.9 < 1035		
		The site Falls under grid X18 of the CCMZ map		
		Allowable height/top elevation is 1035 AMSL.		
11	Height Clearance	The AMSL at the site is 860 mts		
		The top elevation of the proposed building is		
		CCZM permitted top elevation		
	-	NOC is not required from AIR PORTS		
		AUTHORITY OF INDIA.		
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	143.00Crores		
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or	Total Excavation - 51899 cum		
L	Ω	26		
	Kun			

P

J.

	Excavated earth	Backfill	7785cum	
		Soil is used for approach road formation	6000 cum	
		Ramp formation	9980 cum	
		Top soil requirement for Landscaping	17 4 79 cum	
		Compaction of depressions	2595 cum	
		Creation of mounds and undulating for landscaping	1115 cum	
		Soil for creation of driveway	6945 cum	
		NO EXPORT OF SOIL FROM THE	SITE	
14	Details	s of Land Use (Sqm)		
a.	Ground Coverage Area	11777.42		
b.	Kharab Land	202.34		
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	 r 11652.18 sqm land earmarked for greenery details f attached in landscape drawing. Working out to 35.74% 		
d.	Internal Roads	3473.09		
e.	Paved area	3472.98		
		Park & Open space 34	473.73	
£	Others Specify	Civic amenities 1	737.67	
1.	Others Specify	STRR land bank area 1736.69		
		Entrance Road 8	76.13	
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not applicable		
h .	Total	34929.14	i	

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment in an area earmarked for agriculture as per STRRPA, for which the Proponent informed that they have obtained conversion of land to residential use from DC.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding drains as per village map, details of H/T line and provision made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informedCommittee that for the primary drain in west they have proposed buffer of 9mtr from the edge of drain and buffer of 3mtrs on either sides from edge for the tertiary drain in south east. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent submitted revised calculation and informed the Committee that they have proposed 6x100cum & 1x50cum capacity of tanks/sumps for runoff from rooftop and 2x350cum capacity tank/sump for the runoff from hardscape, landscapeareasin addition to 28nos. recharge pitsis proposed within the project area. For H/T line, Proponent informed that they have proposed buffer of 9mtrs on either side.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

27

The Proponent agreed to grow 315 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and radan in ground water noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tanks/sump of 6x100cum, 1x50cum & 2x350cum capacity and 28 recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of borewells in the vicinity of the site
- 3. Proponent agreed to construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 4. To grow trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 5. To obtain necessary permission and construct culvert/bridge on drains.
- 6. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.11 Gry Granite Quarry Quarry Project at Kuknoor Village, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (2-35 Acres) by Smt. Nirmala Mallappa Challamarada - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/427930/2023 (SEIAA 228 MIN 2023)

SI.N	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Smt. Nirmala Mallappa Challamarada	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Gry Granite Quarry Quarry Project at Sy. No.162/1 of Kuknoor Village, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (2-35 Acres) N15°29'03.19432" & E 76°00'57.37203" N15°29'01.40003" & E 76°00'57.50004" N15°29'01.00015" & E 76°00'57.50012" N15°28'59.68453" & E 76°01'02.57759" N15°29'01.61145" & E 76°01'03.83328" N15°29'02.00001" & E 76°01'02.30014"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Gry Granite Quarry Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	TypeofLand[Forest,GovernmentRevenue,Gomal,Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	

28

6	Area in Acres		2.35 A gras
<u> </u>	Alea III Acies		
17	Annual Production	(Metric Ton /	10,000 Cum/ Annum (including waste)
	Cum) Per Annum		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.26 Crores (Rs. 26 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/Quarry-	1,97,441 Cum (including waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	3,500Cum/ Annum (recovery)
	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities:		
	rejuvenation Bena	akal Kere , provi	ding water to Kuknoor village during summer etc
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 7.00 Lakhs	(Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.00 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	07.11.2022	
14	Quarry plan	05.04.2023	
15	Cluster Certificate	10.04.2023	
16	Revenue	05.01.2023	
17	Notification	12.04.2023	

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (govindsadvocates@gmail.com) on 19th June 2023 for the present proposal and the Committee at the time of appraisal sought clarification for the following observations from the project Proponent and Consultant,

Compliant: About a flagrant violation of environmental regulations at the Grey Granite Quarry Project located at Sy. No. 162/1 of Kuknoor Village, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District. The project, spanning an area of 2-35 acres, is filed under SEIAA 228 MIN 2023. Upon thorough investigation, it has come to my attention that the quarry site has been operating in the eastern part without obtaining the required Environmental Clearance (EC) since 2020.Additionally, the mining plan includes an attached photograph that clearly demonstrates that the site has been actively operated.

Reply from PP:Proponent submitted a letter from DMG dated 26.06.2023 and informed the Committee that as per the DMG letter, no mining activity has been carried out between 2020-2022 based on the google earth time line and the pit is filled with water from 2012 till date. As google earth time line is not available from 2012 to 2017 and as per the available time line image from April 2017, there no mining in the appied area. Further, based on the quantity of saleble blocks removed after the expiry of old license and mining in adjacent land, penalty of Rs. 10.79Lakhs has been paid by Proponenton 24.01.2023.

The Committeenoted the clarification given by Proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 5-35Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 940 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

29

M

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,97,441cum(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 19years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 10,000cum/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to handle the waste generated by obtaining necessary permission.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.12 Building Stone Quarry Project at Kurnadu Village, Bantwala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Santhosh Kumar Rai - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/430404/2023 (SEIAA 229 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROV	TIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Santhosh Kumar Rai	Sri Santhosh Kumar Rai	
-	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry	Project at In part of Sy.	
	J	No.60/2, 3 & 4 Kurnadu	Village, Bantwala Taluk,	
		Dakshina Kannada Distr	ict (2-00 Acres)	
		Latitude	Longitude	
		N 12° 49' 37.536"	E 74° 58' 23.832"	
		N 12 ⁴ 49' 37.217"	E 74" 58' 26.947"	
		N 12" 49' 34.566"	E 74 58 27.617"	
		N 12° 49' 34.189"	E 74 58' 26.195"	
		N 12° 49' 34-549"	£ 74° 58' 25.301"	
		N 12° 49' 35.582"	£ 74° 58' 25.175"	
		N 12 49' 35-379"	E 74° 58' 24.048"	
		N 12 49' 37.230"	E 74° 58' 24.012"	
		N 12" 49' 37.232"	E 74° 58' 23.884"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification /	New		
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Patta		
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,			
	Other]			
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /	68,421 Tones/ Annum (i	including waste)	
	Cum) Per Annum		<u> </u>	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.23 Crores (Rs. 123	Lakhs)	

30

9	Proved Qua	ntity of mine/ Quarry-	9,03,144 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
10	Permitted Q	uantity Per Annum -	65,000Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton	- •	
11	CER Activiti	ies:	
	Year	Corporate Environmen	tal Responsibility (CER)
	ıst	Providing solar power at Kurnadu Village	panels to common public places to the GHPS school
	2nd	Rain water harvesting	pits to the GHPS school at Kurnadu Village
3rd Conducting E-waste dr			ive campaigns in the Kumadu Village
	4th	Scientific support and and fodder	awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop
	5th	Avenue plantation elt	her side of the approach road near Quarry site &
		Repair of road With dra	anages
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 38.99 lak	hs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.98 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	15.11.2022	
14	Quarry plan 27.04.2023		
15	Cluster Certificate 26.04.2023		
16	Revenue 01.10.2022		
17	Notification	06.03.2023	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 2.50Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 488 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 9,03,144tons(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 13years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 68,421tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry& the road connecting crusher as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

31

299.13 Building Stone Quarry Project at K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (0-20 Acres) (QL.No. 963) by Sri L. M. Chandrappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428488/2023 (SEIAA 231 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP		
1	Name & Address of Proponent	the Projects	Sri L. M. Chandrappa	
2	Name & Location of the	Project	Building Stone Quarry Pr	oject at Sy. No. 110 of K.
]			B. Hosahalli village Kola	ar Taluk & District (0-20
			Acres) (QL.No. 963)	
			Latitude	Longitude
			N13*6'30.5008"	E 77*58'23.5009"
			N13*6′29.9004″	E 77*58'23.1007"
			N13°6′31,4007″	E 77°58'20.1001"
			N13"6'32.0008"	E 77*58'20.4001"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / M	odification /	Renewal	
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Government	Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,			
6	Otherj		0.20 Acres	
7	Annual Production (M	letric Ton /	26.037 Tones/ Appum (in	cluding waste)
´	Cum) Per Annum			olduling (lasto)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 La	akhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mi	ine/ Quarry-	1,39,811 Tones (including	g waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum -	26,037 Tones / Annum (e.	xcluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propos approach road from qua	e take up 10 rry location to	0 No. of additional planta K. B. Hosahalli Village Ro	tion on either side of the
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 7.50 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.32 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	08.02.2023		
14	Quarry plan	23.03.2023		
15	Cluster Certificate	23.03.2023		
16	Revenue	07.06.2010		
17	Audit Report	21.04.2023		

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (javariak@myyahoo.com) on 17th June 2023 for the present proposal and the details of the complaint is as follows,

"I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter concerning the submission of a tampered audit report in the case of Sri. L. M. Chandrappa (SEIAA 232 MIN 2023, SEIAA 231 MIN 2023, SEIAA 234 MIN 2023). The attached Right to Information (RTI) audit report and the audit report obtained from your website highlight discrepancies that raise concerns about the actions of the consultant and the lease holder, as well as the lack of consideration for the violation category.

It has come to our attention that the government document has been tampered with to conceal the violation category. This manipulation appears to have been carried out by a non-accredited consultant who has been allowed to operate, leading to malpractices in order to clear the project by any means necessary. It is important to note that non-accredited consultants are not eligible to undertake violation projects. Therefore, it is concerning that they resort to such activities in order to avoid categorization as a violation. This highlights the urgency for SEAC to reevaluate their allowance of non-accredited consultants, as their involvement seems to be contributing to these unethical practices.

Upon review of the original audit report for QL NO 953, it is evident that the Proponent had undertaken work between 2014 and 2016. However, the tampered document shows these activities as nil. Similarly, the original audit report for QL NO 963 indicates that the Proponent had worked after 2013-14 until 2016-17, while the tampered document once again shows a nil value. Additionally, the original audit report for QL NO 954 clearly states that the Proponent had worked in 2013-14 and 2014-15, but the tampered document reflects a nil value.

Based on these undeniable pieces of evidence, I kindly request that you take immediate action against all parties involved in this fraudulent activity. Furthermore, I urge you to consider this case as a violation and ensure that appropriate measures are taken to rectify the situation.

I trust in the integrity of SEIAA and its commitment to upholding the principles of environmental impact assessment. Therefore, I am confident that you will thoroughly investigate this matter and take necessary action to address the tampering of official documents and the violation at hand.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a prompt and satisfactory resolution. If you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your time and consideration."

As the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in the upcoming meetings

299.14 Building Stone Quarry Project at K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres) (QL.NO. 954) by Sri L. M. Chandrappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428506/2023 (SEIAA 232 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROVID	ED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of	the Projects	Sri L. M. Chandrappa		
	Proponent				
2	Name & Location of	the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 110 of K.		
			B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (1-20		
			Acres) (QL.NO. 954)	* 1 . 1	
			Latitude	Longitude	
			N 13° 6′ 34.3″	E 77° 58′ 23.3″	
			N 13* 6' 31.2"	E 77* 58' 22.1"	
			N 13° 6′ 32.1″	E 77* 58' 20.3"	
			N 13* 6' 35.2*	E 77° 58′ 21.6″	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / M	lodification /	ion / Renewal		
	Renewal			<u> </u>	
5	Type of Land	[Forest,	Government		
	Government Reven	ue, Gomal,			
6	Area in Acres		1-20 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /	77.426 Tones/ Annum (inc	5 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
	Cum) Per Annum				
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 La	khs)	
9	Proved Quantity of m	ine/ Quarry-	4,49,099 Tones (including	waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton				
10	Permitted Quantity P Cu.m / Ton	er Annum -	77,426 Tones / Annum (ex	cluding waste)	
11	CER Activities: Prop	ose take up 2	10 No. of additional planta	tion on either side of the	
	approach road from q	uarry location	to K. B. Hosahalli Village I	Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 100.40 la	akhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.	43 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	08.02.2023			
14	Quarry plan	15.04.2023		· · · · ·	
15	Cluster Certificate	17.04.2023			
16	Revenue	07.06.2010			
17	Audit Report	21.04.2023			

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (javariak@myyahoo.com) on 17th June 2023 for the present proposal and the details of the complaint is as follows,

M

"I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter concerning the submission of a tampered audit report in the case of Sri. L. M. Chandrappa (SEIAA 232 MIN 2023, SEIAA 231 MIN 2023, SEIAA 234 MIN 2023). The attached Right to Information (RTI) audit report and the audit report obtained from your website highlight discrepancies that raise concerns about the actions of the consultant and the lease holder, as well as the lack of consideration for the violation category.

It has come to our attention that the government document has been tampered with to conceal the violation category. This manipulation appears to have been carried out by a non-accredited consultant who has been allowed to operate, leading to malpractices in order to clear the project by any means necessary. It is important to note that non-accredited consultants are not eligible to undertake violation projects. Therefore, it is concerning that they resort to such activities in order to avoid categorization as a violation. This highlights the urgency for SEAC to reevaluate their allowance of non-accredited consultants, as their involvement seems to be contributing to these unethical practices.

Upon review of the original audit report for QL NO 953, it is evident that the Proponent had undertaken work between 2014 and 2016. However, the tampered document shows these activities as nil. Similarly, the original audit report for QL NO 963 indicates that the Proponent had worked after 2013-14 until 2016-17, while the tampered document once again shows a nil value. Additionally, the original audit report for QL NO 954 clearly states that the Proponent had worked in 2013-14 and 2014-15, but the tampered document reflects a nil value.

Based on these undeniable pieces of evidence, I kindly request that you take immediate action against all parties involved in this fraudulent activity. Furthermore, I urge you to consider this case as a violation and ensure that appropriate measures are taken to rectify the situation.

I trust in the integrity of SEIAA and its commitment to upholding the principles of environmental impact assessment. Therefore, I am confident that you will thoroughly investigate this matter and take necessary action to address the tampering of official documents and the violation at hand.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a prompt and satisfactory resolution. If you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your time and consideration."

As the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in the upcoming meetings

W

299.15 Building Stone Quarry Project at K. B. Hosahalli Village, Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres) by Sri L. M. Chandrappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428474/2023 (SEIAA 234 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROV	IDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of	the Projects	Sri L. M. Chandrappa	
	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the	Project	Building Stone Quarry I	Project at Sy. Nos.110 of
			K. B. Hosahalli Village	, Kolar Taluk & District
			(1-20 Acres)	
			Latitude	Longitude
			N13*6'37.1008"	E 77°58′23.6009″
			N13*6'34.4004"	E 77°58′23.1007″
			N13*6'35.8007"	E 77°58′20.4001″
			N13*6'38.0006"	E 77°58′21.6001″
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / M	odification /	Renewal	
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Government	Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Priv	ate / Patta,		
L	Other]			
6	Area in Acres		1-20 Acres	
7	Annual Production (M Cum) Per Annum	letric Ton /	84,790 Tones/ Annum (i	ncluding waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25]	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mi	ine/ Quarry-	4,88,062 Tones (includin	ng waste)
	Cu.m / Ton	_		
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum -	84,790 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose	e take up 150	No. of additional plantat	tion on either side of the
1	approach road from quar	rry location to	K. B. Hosahalli Village I	Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 9.25 lakl	hs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.0	63 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	08.02.2023		
14	Quarry plan	23.03.2023		
15	Cluster Certificate	23.03.2023		
16	Revenue	07.06.2010	·····	
17	Audit Report	21.04.2023		

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (javariak@myyahoo.com) on 17th June 2023 for the present proposal and the details of the complaint is as follows,

"I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter concerning the submission of a tampered audit report in the case of Sri. L. M. Chandrappa
(SEIAA 232 MIN 2023, SEIAA 231 MIN 2023, SEIAA 234 MIN 2023). The attached Right to Information (RTI) audit report and the audit report obtained from your website highlight discrepancies that raise concerns about the actions of the consultant and the lease holder, as well as the lack of consideration for the violation category.

It has come to our attention that the government document has been tampered with to conceal the violation category. This manipulation appears to have been carried out by a non-accredited consultant who has been allowed to operate, leading to malpractices in order to clear the project by any means necessary. It is important to note that non-accredited consultants are not eligible to undertake violation projects. Therefore, it is concerning that they resort to such activities in order to avoid categorization as a violation. This highlights the urgency for SEAC to reevaluate their allowance of nonaccredited consultants, as their involvement seems to be contributing to these unethical practices.

Upon review of the original audit report for QL NO 953, it is evident that the Proponent had undertaken work between 2014 and 2016. However, the tampered document shows these activities as nil. Similarly, the original audit report for QL NO 963 indicates that the Proponent had worked after 2013-14 until 2016-17, while the tampered document once again shows a nil value. Additionally, the original audit report for QL NO 954 clearly states that the Proponent had worked in 2013-14 and 2014-15, but the tampered document reflects a nil value.

Based on these undeniable pieces of evidence, I kindly request that you take immediate action against all parties involved in this fraudulent activity. Furthermore, I urge you to consider this case as a violation and ensure that appropriate measures are taken to rectify the situation.

I trust in the integrity of SEIAA and its commitment to upholding the principles of environmental impact assessment. Therefore, I am confident that you will thoroughly investigate this matter and take necessary action to address the tampering of official documents and the violation at hand.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a prompt and satisfactory resolution. If you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your time and consideration."

As the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in the upcoming meetings.

299.16 Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Yarekurubnal Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District (5-20 Acres) by Sri Bhimambika Minerals - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/430812/2023 (SEIAA 235 MIN 2023)

About the project:

SI. No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		Sri Bhimambika Minera	ls
2	Name & Location of the Project		Ordinary Sand Quarry 52/2, 52/3, 52/4, 52 Yarekurubnal Village, (5-20 Acres)	Project at Sy. Nos. 52/1, /5, 52/6, 52/7, 52/8 of Ron Taluk, Gadag District
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 15*46'32.0"	E 75'48'47.4"
			N 15'46'33.9"	E 75*48′52.8"
			N 15°46'28.5"	E 75°48′52.9″
3	Type Of Mineral		Ordinary Sand Mining	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Patta	
6	Area in Acres		5-20 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		18,679 Tones/annum (in	cluding waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.50 Crores (Rs. 50	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of Cu.m / Ton	f mine/ Quarry-	93,396 Tones (including	; waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Cu.m / Ton	Per Annum -	18,679 Tones/annum (in	cluding waste)
11	CER Activities: To g and mining area.	grow additional of	600number of plantation	all along the approach road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 14.85 Lakhs	(Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.85	Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	11.10.2021	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
14	Cluster certificate	06.11.2021		
15	Revenue NOC	22.10.2021		
16	DTF	23.03.2022		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17	App. Quarry Plan	11.05.2022		<u> </u>
18	C & I Notification	19.09.2022		

The proposal is for ordinary sand mining and as per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 11-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. As per DMG letter dated 24.05.2023, there is no river sand mining projects in the vicinity of 5 km from the proposed lease area.

۰

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 93,396Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 18,679 Tones/annum(including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
- 3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.17 Building Stone Quarry Project at Annigeri village, Annigeri Taluk, Dharwad District (4-00 Acres) by M/s. Valli Murga Industries Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/431491/2023 (SEIAA 239 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PR	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s. Valli Murga Industries	s Pvt. Ltd.
	Proponent		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Proj	ect at Sy. No. 371/1B+2
		of Annigeri village, Ani	nigeri Taluk, Dharwad
		District (4-00 Acres)	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 15°21′52.58″	E 75°25'13.35″
		N 15°21′52.28″	E 75°25'18.37"
		N 15°21'55.98"	E 75*25'18.28"
		N 15°21'56.04"	E 75°25′13.47″
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification /	New	
	Renewal		

About the project:

5	Type of Land (Forest, Government		Patta
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,		
	Other]		
6	Area in Acres		4-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (M	etric Ton /	2,55,347 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
	Cum) Per Annum		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mi	ne/ Quarry-	14,99,100 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m / Ton	_	
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum -	2,55,347 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities: To gro	w 400 No. of	f additional plantation on either side of theapproach
	road from quarry locatio	n to Annigeri	Village Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 9.20 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.92 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	08.06.2022	
14	Cluster certificate	24.05.2023	
15	Revenue NOC	28.02.2022	
16	Notification	08.05.2023	

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (govindsadvocates@gmail.com) on 20th June 2023 for the present proposal and the details of the complaint is as follows,

"I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter regarding the file "Valli Murga Industres Private ltd" and request your immediate action in addressing the issue.

I recently reviewed the files SEIAA 239 MIN 2023 and SEIAA 284 MIN 2020, and I have discovered several discrepancies and deliberate attempts to hide crucial information in the file number SEIAA 239 MIN 2023. It has come to my attention that the Proponent of the project, Valli Murga Industres Private ltd, has provided a cluster sketch and certificate in file SEIAA 239 MIN 2023 that deliberately conceal important facts. Additionally, it has been noted that one lease owned by GC Patil, as stated in file SEIAA 284 MIN 2020, with an extent of 2.5 acres, has been conveniently excluded from the cluster sketch.

By omitting this lease from the cluster sketch, the Proponent has essentially attempted to avoid the necessary public hearing and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process. Such actions are not only unethical but also a violation of the established regulations and guidelines put in place to ensure the protection of the environment and the welfare of the public.

I kindly request that you investigate this matter thoroughly and take strict action against the Proponent involved. It is essential to ensure that the Proponent is held accountable for their deliberate actions, which undermine the integrity of the environmental clearance process and disregard the rights and concerns of the public.

Furthermore, I urge you to conduct a comprehensive review of both files, SEIAA 239 MIN 2023 and SEIAA 284 MIN 2020, to identify any other discrepancies or attempts to manipulate information. Transparency and adherence to regulations are paramount to maintain the public's trust in the decision-making process related to environmental concerns.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and trust that you will take the necessary steps to rectify the situation. I understand that Hon'ble SEAC relies on the document furnished by the Proponent and the Department of Mines and Geology. But there is misinformation given to the SEAC to avoid public hearing process. So I humbly request you get clarification from the Director, Department of Mines and Geology, Karnataka and Secretary, C&I (Mines), Karnataka. I kindly request that you keep me informed of any actions taken or developments regarding this complaint.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this serious issue."

As the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in the upcoming meetings.

299.18 Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Muddanayakanahalli Village, Devanahalli Taluk & Bengaluru Rural District (2-04 Acres) by Sri M. S. Umesh - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/431115/2023 (SEIAA 237 MIN 2023)

SI.N	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
0.		<u> </u>	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri M. S. Umesh	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ornamental Granite (Grey at Sy. No. 88/2 of Mudd Devanahalli Taluk & Beng 04 Acres)	Granite) Quarry Project lanayakanahalli Village, galuru Rural District (2-
		Latitude	Longitude
	1	N 13°17′41.9610″	E 77° 40′ 18.1915″
		N 13°17'40.7940"	E 77° 40′ 17.9910"
1		N 13°17'40.0910"	E 77° 40' 16.9634"
		N 13°17'40.7000"	E 77° 40′ 16.9634″
		N 13°17'41.3000"	E 77° 40' 12.8000"
		N 13°17'43.0865"	E 77° 40' 13.1639"
3	Type Of Mineral	Ornamental Granite (Grey (Granite) Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification /	New	
	Renewal		
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta	
	Government Revenue, Gornal,		

About the project:

	Private / Patta, Othe	er]		
6	Area in Acres		2-04 Acres	
7	Annual Production	(Metric Ton /	6,465 Cum/ Annum (including waste)	
	Cum) Per Annum			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	86,580 Cum (including waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	3,879Cum/ Annum (recovery)	
	Cu.m / Ton			
11	CER Activities: To	grow 200 No. c	of additional plantation on either side of the approach	
	road from quarry location to Mudda		mayakanahalli Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 12.95 Lakh	s (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.83 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	04.03.2022		
14	Quarry plan	14.05.2023		
15	Cluster Certificate	19.05.2023		
16	Revenue	11.02.2022		
17	DTF	22.04.2022		
18	Notification	18.05.2023		

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that only top soil is removed to know the granite deposit and no mining has been carried out and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease, which is exempted as EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the applied lease is 2-04Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 980 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 86,580cum(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 14years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 6,465 Cum/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.19 Establishment of Glass Products Manufacturing Unit Project at KIADB Industrial area, Kanagala Village, Sankeshwara Hobli, Hukkeri Taluk, Belagavi District by M/s. Gold Plus Float Glass Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/413439/2023 (SEIAA 135 CON 2022)

CI N-	DADTICUI ADS	DIEODMATION Droubled by DD
SI. NO	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
ļ	Name & Address of the Project	Mr. Jimmy Tyagi, Authorised Signatory
	Proponent	M/s. Gold Plus Float Glass Pvt. Ltd.
		4 th Floor, Kings Mall, Sector -10, Rohini,
		New Delhi - 110085
2	Name & Location of the Project	Establishment of Glass Products
		Manufacturing Unit by M/s. Gold Plus Float
		Glass Pvt. Ltd.
		Plot Nos. 49 to 88 in KIADB Industrial Area,
		Kanagala Village, Sankeshwara Hobli, Hukkeri
		Taluk, Belagavi District.
3	Type of Development	
а.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row	Establishment of Glass Products
	Houses / Vertical Development /	Manufacturing Unit
	Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/	Category 8(b) as ner FIA Notification 2006
	Hospital /other	careford of of an per Eler Houndation 2000
h	Residential Township/ Area	Not Applicable
	Development Projects	
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	New
	Renewal	
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of	NA
	nroject site	
6	Plot Area (Som)	7.87.316.61Som
7	Built Up area (Som)	2 38 731 71Sgm
8	FAR	
-	Permissible	25
	Proposed	0.29
	Puilding Configuration Diumbon of	
, ,	Banding Configuration [Number of Disaks (Toward (Wings at a with	Float glass (Line A) $= 800$ TPD
	Number of Deserverts and U	Float glass (Line $B_{\rm J} = \delta UU IPD$
	Numbers of Basements and Upper	Power Plant (WHKB) – 3600 MWH
	rioorsj	Solar captive power plant – 7 MW
		Solar Plant – 300 TPD
10	Number of units/plots in case of	
	Construction/ Residential Township	NA
·	/Area Development Projects	
11	Height Clearance	Max Building Height – 36m
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Total – 2,500 Crores
		Existing – 2,477 crores
		Proposed –23 Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or	NA
	Excavated earth	
<u>1</u> 4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	Total - 2,28,840.28sqm

About the project:-

			Existing - 1,40	,130.66 sqm	L
			Proposed - 88,709.62 sqm		
ľ	b.	Kharab Land			
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for	Total - 2.70.159.44 sam		
		projects under 8(a) of the schedules of	Existing - 1.91	.904.67sam	
	•	the EIA notification, 2006	Proposed - 78.	254.77sqm	
	d. (Paved area	Total -89.462.8	37 sam	
			Existing - 60.1	32.20 sqm	
			Proposed - 29,	330.67 sqm	
	e.	Others Specify	Drain & Trenc	h area - 14,3	300.95 sqm
			Future Expansi	ion - 1,84,55	53.07sqm
Γ	f.	Parks and Open space in case of			
		Residential Township/ Area			
		Development Projects			
Γ	g.	Total	7,87,316.61 Sq	m	
1	5	WATER			
	I.	Construction Phase			
	a.	Source of water	KIADB & Bor	ewells	
	b .	Quantity of water for Construction in	:		
, L		KLD	27 KLD		
	с.	Quantity of water for Domestic	2. 1122		
		Purpose in KLD			
	d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	23 KLD		
	е.	Treatment facility proposed and	Wastewater ge	neration fro	om construction site
		scheme of disposal of treated water	is 23 KLD wh	ich will be t	reated in septic tank
			followed by so	ak pit.	
i	<u>II.</u>	Operational Phase			
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	2,628 KLI	<u>)</u>
	:		Recycled	3,413 KLL	<u>}</u>
			Total	0,041 KLL)
-	b.	Source of water	KIADB & Bor	ewells	
	C.	Wastewater generation in KLD	Industrial		3,445 KLD
			Domestic		151 KLD
Γ	d.	STP & ETP capacity	Effluent Treat	ment Plant	s – 150 KLD, 350
			KLD & 3,000	KLD	
			Sewage Treat	ment Plants	s – 75 KLD & 85
			KLD		
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Moving Bed	Biofilm	Reactor (MBBR)
			Technology		
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	Total treated	water from	ETP 1, 2 & 3 =
		water if any	3276.5 KLD	-	
			Treated water	from ETP-	1 (350 KLD) = 302
			KLD (RO Per	meate –226	KLD & RO Reject-
			76 KLD)		
			Distribution of	KU permea	tte – 226 KLD
			Solar glass	plant = 40	(LD
			Washing =	13.3 KLD	
			Boiler feed	= 12 KLD	-160 1/1 10
			Cooling to	wer makeup	=158 KLD

_			
:			RO Reject 76 KLD - Used for gardening after mixing with treated domestic sewage.
			Treated water from ETP-2 (150 KLD)=134.5 KLD - Used for washing
			Treated water from ETP-3 (3,000 KLD)=2,840 KLD - Used for processing in solar glass plant
			Treated water from STP- 1(75 KLD)& 2(85 KLD) = 137 KLD - Mixed with RO reject from ETP-1 and it will be used for landscape development
	16	Infrastructure for Rainwater harvesting	
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	• The total potential of rainwater harvesting = 6,100 m ³ /day (Rooftop - 2400 m ³ /day &
	0. 17	Storm water management plan	 Surface runoff -3,700 m²/day). The total capacity of storage tank provided is = 18,000+38,220+4,982 = 61,202 m³
			 The total number storage days of fresh water = 10 days Ground water recharge pits are not proposed
	10	WIAGTE MANIA OF ATA	proposed.
	10	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	1.	Overtity of Solid waste conception	Orentites (Olection
	a.	and mode of Disposal as per norms	Solid waste will be generated and collected manually and handed over to local body for further processing
	II.	Operational Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	STP Sludge=65TPA- reused as manure for
		generation and mode of Disposal as	greenery development purposes.
		per norms	Domestic solid waste -352 kg/day - treated in the proposed
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Calcium Sulphate -5 TPA - Sent to Brick Manufacturing
			Furnace Sludge - 3.5 MT/Annum- Handed over to TSDF
			Cullet sludge - 23 TPM - Recycled back in
			Process aner arying Rio medical waste 0.0 MT/Amount II-1-1
			over to authorized bio medical waste
			management facility
			Domestic solid waste- 528 kg/day - disposed
			off to authorized recyclers
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Waste oils -9.1 KL/Annum - Handed over to
		generation and mode of Disposal as	authorized recyclers
	1	per norms	Oil-Soaked Cotton - 0.5 MT/Annum - Handed
			over to autionized incinerators



		ETP Sludge -1,652 MT/Annum - Handed over to TSDF
		Used batteries - 350 Nos/Annum - Returned to
		suppliers
d	. Quantity of E waste generation and	E-Wastes of 0.5 MT/Annum will be collected
	mode of Disposal as per norms	& stored in bins and disposed Authorized recyclers
10	POWER	
	Total Power Requirement	HESCOM - 30 000 KVA
	Operational Phase	1115COM - 50,000 K VA
h	Numbers of DG set and canacity in	23X2 000 KVA
	KVA for Standby Power Supply	
	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
	Energy conservation plan and	a Installation of 7 MW reaften color system
	Beneartage of servings including plan	• Installation of / IVIW roomop solar system,
	For well-setion of color menuting plan	resulting in lower use of coal-based power,
	for utilization of solar energy as per	thus advancing sustainability initiatives and
	ECBC 2007	reducing carbon emissions.
		• Waste Heat Recovery Plant of 5 MW will
		also be installed to reduce the amount of
		energy.
		• Use of 60 electric fork lift to avoid the usage
		of Diesel forklift.
20	PARKING	
a	Parking Requirement as per norms	64 no's Trucks
	. Level of Service (LOS) of the	Level of Service (LOS) of the NH-48 is A.
	connecting Roads as per the Traffic	
	Study Report	
	Internal Road width (RoW)	A poreach read width -16 m (N)
		Internal road width $= 8 \text{ m}$
21	CEP Activities	1 Construction of Groundwater Recharge pits
21	CER Activities	at nearby Villages (5 nits each)
		at hearby vinages (5 pits each)
		2. Providing avenue Plantation around Filtani
		3. Illumination of Kanagala village streets and
		improvement in security systems
		4. Construction of Public Toilets 5 per village
		5. Providing adequate Furniture, Smart Classes
		to Government Higher primary School,
		Kanagala
22	EMP	
	Construction phase	Constructional Phase = 64 lakhs
	Operation Phase	Operational Phase = 12,925 lakhs

The proposal was earlier considered in 297th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project as the Proponent failed to submit an undertaking as agreed.Deliberation of the Committee in 297th SEAC meeting is as follows,

"The Proponent informed that they had applied under category 8(b) Township and Area Development Projects of EIA Notification 2006 as the product and process covering Glass manufacturing is not covered under the

ambit of EC. However the Committee observed that though the application is for construction, huge quantity of effluent which would be generated has also been mentioned, for which the Committee felt that it has no jurisdiction for appraisal.

The Proponent informed that the proposed construction of industrial shed is in an area allotted by KIADB and had obtained standard ToR by SEIAA on 29.10.2022 and had already obtained CFE from KSPCB dated 22.08.2022.

Proponent informed that the proposal is for expansion of industrial shed from BUA of 1,40,130.66 Sqm to 2,28,862.36 Sqm in plot area of 194.55 Acres and submitted architect certificate for already constructed BUA of 1,23,372.46 Sqm as on date.

The Committee during appraisal sought details of provision made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that they had proposed RWH ponds of capacity 18,000 cum, 38,220 cum & 4982 cum capacities for runoff from rooftop, hardscape & landscape areas within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and carry out additional plantation and to harvest complete rainwater from the project site to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 9,850 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil & noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

Further, the Committee informed the Proponent to submit an undertaking informing that, M/s. Gold Plus Float Glass Pvt. Ltd. has proposed area development project for construction of Glass Products Manufacturing Unit under project Activity 8(b) Township and Area Development Projects and not for the process and manufacturing and to appraise the project limiting to the Activity covered under 8(b) Township and Area Development Projects of EIA Notification 2006 and also to obtain fresh EC, if the proposed product manufacturing is scheduled in EIA Notification by MoEF&CC in its subsequent amendments.

As the Proponent failed to submit an undertaking as agreed, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal of the project".

In the present meeting Proponent submitted an undertaking and informed that M/s. Gold Plus Float Glass Pvt. Ltd. has proposed for construction of Glass Products Manufacturing Unit under project Activity 8(b) Township and Area Development Projects and under the process and manufacturing and requested to appraise the project limiting to the Activity covered under 8(b) Township and Area Development Projects of EIA Notification 2006 and assured that if the industry under takes for any activity which comes under the ambit of EIA Notification 2006, the industry would obtain EC as per EIA Notification 2006.

The Committee noted the reply given by Proponent.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH ponds of capacity 18,000 cum, 38,220 cum & 4982 cum
- 2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of borewells in the vicinity of the site
- 3. To grow trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 4. To obtain fresh EC, if the proposed product or manufacturing falls within the ambit of EIA Notification issued by MoEF&CC and its subsequent amendments.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.20 Expansion of Residential Apartment at Hosakerehalli Village, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. Tata Housing Development Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/405387/2022 (SEIAA 42 CON 2022)

A	bout	the	project:
---	------	-----	----------

SI.	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. TATA Housing Development Company Limited Vaishnavi- The Residency, #133/1, Ground Floor, Residency Road, Bangalore-560025.
2		Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Residential Apartment at Sy. No. 168, Khata No. 824/7/168 of Hosakerehalli Village, Uttarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru District
3	3	Type of Development	
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Expansion of Residential Building
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not Applicable
4		New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion

5	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	-
6	5	Plot Area (Som)	57.670.13 Sam (14A 10G)
7	7	Built Up area (Som)	1.56.826.76 Sam
8	3	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	Permissible – 2.25 (1,23,269.89 Sqm) Achieved – 1.998 (1,09,264.32 Sqm)
9	•	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	The project involves construction of Residential building consists of 491 number of units. The building configuration is as follows, Phase-1: Tower-1: 2B+G+24UF – 82.5m - 90 units Tower-2: 3B+G+22UF – 75.9m - 82 units Tower-3: 2B+G+20UF – 69.5m - 74 units Tower-4: 4B+G+20UF – 68.6m - 66 units Phase-2: Independent units Block-1 to 3: G+3F- 36 units Phase-3: Stepped Towers 1 to 3: S+G+10UF - 143 units
1(0	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	491 No's
1	1	Height Clearance	Project site elevation – 886m Building Height – 84m Maximum building height: 970m
12	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Expansion cost - 300 Crores.
13	3	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	NA
14	4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	17,967.82 Sqm
	b .	Kharab Land	-
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	20,373.05 Sqm
	d .	Internal Roads	16 445 75 Sam
!	е.	Paved area	10,110.75 Sqiii
	f	Others Specify	Civic amenities - 2.883.5Sqm
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	
	h.	Total	57,670.13 Sqm
15	5	WATER	
	I.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Source of water	STP treated water for construction purpose & Tanker water for domestic purpose.
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD

49

Qu.

~ `

Ì,

H

с.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD		
<u>d.</u>	Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD		
	Treatment facility proposed and	Will be treated in Mobile STP.		
e.	scheme of disposal of treated water			
II.	Operational Phase			
	Total Dequirement of Water in	Fresh	251 KLD	
а.	Total Requirement of water in	Recycled	127 KLD	
	KLD	Total	378 KLD	
Ь.	Source of water	BWSSB		
с.	Wastewater generation in KLD	321 KLD		
Ь	STP capacity	250KLD(Existing	g),30KLD(Proposed),90 KLD	
<u>u.</u>	511 capacity	(Proposed)		
е.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequence Batch F	Reactor (SBR) Technology	
		Treated water ava	ilable – 305 KLD	
f	Scheme of disposal of excess	(95% of total Sev	wage water)	
1.	treated water if any	For flushing – 12'	7 KLD	
		For gardening - 1	78 KLD	
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves	ting		
я.	Capacity of sump tank to store	250 Cum and 80 (Cum (Existing), 200 Cum,	
	Roof run off	100Cum, and 50 Cum		
b.	No's of Ground water recharge	32 INO S		
	pits			
17	Storm water management plan	 Land is gentry stoping terrain and stop towards South- east direction. Separate and independent rainwater drain system will be provided for collect rainwater from terrace and paved area, 1 & roads. 		
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT			
I.	Construction Phase			
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	ste sal Quantity – 10kg/day Solid waste will be generated and collected manually and handed over to local body for further processing		
II.	Operational Phase			
a. Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Slu kg/		Quantity – 505 kg/day Organic wastes will be segregated & collected separately and processed in organic waste converter Sludge generated from STP of capacity 16.05 kg/day will be reused as manure for greenery		
b.	Quantity of Non- BiodegradableQuantity - 756kg/dayb.waste generation and mode of Disposal as per normsRecyclable waste will be given to the collectors for recycling for further pr			
C.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	waste off of 1038	a.so/annum will be generated	
	50			

for .

	as per norms		from the DG sets will be collected in leak proof	
			barrels and handed over to the authorized waste	
			oil recyclers.	
		Quantity of E waste generation	E-Wastes will be collected & stored in bins and	
	d.	and mode of Disposal as per	disposed to the authorized & approved KSPCB	
		norms	E-waste processors.	
	19	POWER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	_	Total Power Requirement -	BESCOM – 2.000 KVA	
	a.	Operational Phase		
		Numbers of DG set and canacity	3x625 KVA & 1x100 KVA	
	b.	in KVA for Standby Power		
		Supply		
	с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel	
		Energy conservation plan and	Energy conservation devices such as Solar	
		Percentage of savings including	energy. Copper wound transformer are	
	d.	plan for utilization of solar energy	proposed in the project -16.42%	
		as per ECBC 2007	proposed in the project - 10.4278.	
	20	PARKING		
	8.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Required = 577 Nos, Provided = 1155 No's	
		Level of Service (LOS) of the	Towards Kengeri road	
	b.	connecting Roads as per the	Towards Begur Road	
		Traffic Study Report	0	
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8 m	
1	21		With the cost of rupees 10 lakhs	
			Proposed Hosakerehalli Lake rejuvenation.	
		CER Activities	Bangalore District.	
			* Proposed sanitation improvement works in	
			the nearby village or government school	
			Hosakerihalli, Bangalore, District	
1	22	EMP		
		 Construction phase 	Construction phase – 19.56 lakhs	
		Operation Phase	Operational Phase – 163 lakhs	

The proposal was earlier considerd in 294th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the appraisal as the Committee while examining the details provided in action taken report noted that the PP was yet to fulfil few of the environmental conditions like increasing the capacity of rainwater harvesting structures, increase greenbelt area, provide solar energy for common areas etc. and informed the Proponent to take action on the non-compliancementioned in CCR of MoEF&CC.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted the following action taken report on the noncompliancementioned in CCR of MoEF&CC,

- 1. Non-Compliance : During the visit, not seen any first aid room at project area Reply : Proponent informed that they had provided first aid room with first aid kit and justified with the photos.
- 2. Non-Compliance : The authority noted the letter dated 9.9.2011 that the Proponent have donated Rs. 30 Lakhs to seva Bharathi for construction of houses in the flood affected area of



N

North Karnataka Towards the corporate social commitment. During the visit, PA has not submitted any related documents.

Reply : Proponent submitted bank statement showing the transferred amount of 30Lakhs to Seva Bharathi for construction of houses in flood affected area in North Karnataka on 06.09.2011.

3. Non-Compliance : During the visit, it was noted that plastic materials are in the collection tank and treated water tank. It was noted that, PA has not use filter press for drying of STP sludge. It was observed that PA has not constructed the others STPs (1×80 KLPD and 1×20 KLPD).

Reply : Proponent informed that the plastic materials were removed in the collection tank & treated water tank and also had started using filter press for drying of STP sludge and justifiee with photos.

For STP capacities Proponent informed that as per the EC for Tower 1-4 with 312 units, Independent houses with 24 units, stepped + EWS houses with 133 units, STP's of 230KLD, 20KLD & 80KLD respectively would be constructed.But at present for Tower 1-4 with 312 units STP of 250KLD has been constructed and is in operation. However, as Independent houses with 24 units, stepped + EWS houses with 133 units have not been constructed, STP's of 20KLD & 80KLD havebeen provided.

4. Non-Compliance : During the visit, it was noted that PA has provided roof top rainwater collection systems and sump is at the basement with 80 cum capacity. PA has not provided 42 cum, 44 cum and 105 cum capacity of rainwater collection tank. No rainwater recharge pits observed during the visit.

Reply : Proponent informed the Committee that while obtaining EC in 2016, rainfall intensity considered intensity as 16mm/day, storage capacity was provided accordingly and presently the rainwater storage capacity is revised by considering intensity of 60mm/day.

For Tower 1-4 with 312 units, Proponent informed that there is existing 80cum capacity RWH sump and presently the freshwater storage tank is converted to rainwater storage sump of 250cum in lieu of 42cum, 44cum & 105cum. For the proposed Independent houses with 24 units, stepped + EWS houses with 133 units, RWH sumps of 100cum, 200cum and 50cum would be constructed.

Further the Proponent informed that for existing units recharge pits are not provided as the subsurface strata is not supportive and as part of the present expansion they have proposed 52number of recharge pits in the periphery of the project site.

5. Non-Compliance : During the visit, it was noted that PA has informed that solid waste is collected and segregated manually. As per the records, 70 Kg of dry waste and 60 Kg of wet waste are generated per day. Dry waste is handed over to BBMP. During the visit, it was noted that PA has provided 100 Kg capacity of Organic waste converter (OWC) for wet waste and manure used in green belt development area. However, piles of wet waste and dry waste seen outside the OWC yard.

Reply : Proponent informed that they had cleaned the OWC yard and justified with photos.

6. Non-Compliance : During the visit, it was noted that PA has provided 8 Nos of Solar water heaters however, the necessary pipelines are yet to be connected. PA has not provided solar lights for common areas

Reply : Proponent informed that they had provided 8 solar water heater and asked the unit owner to rectify it as it is under the flat owner scope.

7. Non-Compliance : It was noted that PA has provided LED bulb for lighting of Common and Garden areas. No information on submission of this report to SEIAA, Karnataka furnished by the PA.

Reply : Proponent informed that they had provided LED bulb for lighting of common & garden areas.

- 8. Non-Compliance : During the visit, it was observed that PA has not provided EC conditions display board at the entrance for the information of the public Reply : Proponent informed that they had displayed the EC conditions in the entrance of the project and justified with the photo.
- 9. Non-Compliance : PA has submitted the copy of newspapers without date. Reply : Proponent submitted photos of the copy of notification of EC with date in newspapers.
- 10. Non-Compliance : PA has developed some greenery around the project area, but it was observed that most of the greenbelt areas are lawns and siting areas only. Therefore, PA has not achieved 35.32% greenbelt. During the visit, it was noted that the planted tree species are non-indigenous. Now, PA has been advised to follow the EC conditions. Reply : Proponent informed that area of 20,373.05Sqm is green belt area as per 2016 EC and

area of 8203Sqm is left green belt for towers 1-4 and the remaining area of 11,854Sqm will be developed as part of the proposal with indigenous species by considering 1tree / 80sqm of plot area.

The Proponent requested the Committee to accept the clarification given above. The Committee noted the clarification given by Proponent and appraised the project.

The proposal is for modification and expansion of residential building project, for which SEIAA had issued EC on 23.06.2016 for BUA of 1,49,304.41 Sqm in a plot area of 57,670.13 Sqm and now it is proposed for BUA of 1,56,826.76 Sqm in plot area of 57,670.13 and for the proposed expansion SEIAA had granted ToR on 15.06.2022. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the existing building they had obtained plan sanction from BBMP and CFO from KSPCB for BUA of 1,21,826.76Sqm dated 11.08.2022 and Certified Compliance Report from MoEF&CC dated 27.10.2022.

The Committee informed the Proponent to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to install smart water meter for individual units for conservation of water and to harvest maximum rainwater from the project site to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 720trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and radan in ground water noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed

project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank/sump of 80cum, 250cum, 100cum, 200cum capacity & 50cum and 52 recharge pits.
- 2. To comply with the reply given above to the non-compliances in CCR
- 3. To grow trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.21 Building Stone Quarry Project at Gudageri Village, Shiggaon Taluk & Haveri District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Dhanapal Ramanna Yegappanavar - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/411590/2022 (SEIAA 16 MIN 2023)

About the project:

SI.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Dhanapal Ramanna Yegappanavar		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.23/1B & 23/2B of Gudageri Village, Shiggaon Taluk & Haveri District (2-00 Acres)		
		Lattitude Longitude		
		N15º03'50.58" E75º06'12.76"		
		N15º03'50.57" E75º06'09.82"		
		N15°03'52.79" E75°06'09.87"		
		N15º03'54.11" E75º06'13.02		
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Patta		
	Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /	42,105.26 Tones/ Annum (including waste)		
	Cum) Per Annum			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.50 Crores (Rs. 150 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-	4,47,368.42 Tones(including waste)		
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	40,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)		

11	CER Activities: 500 Saplings /first year Plantation in & around Gudageri govt. school,					
	crushing plant area, vicinity of office.					
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.05 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 8.80 Lakhs (Recurring cost)				
13	Forest NOC	20.11.2021				
14	Quarry plan	13.12.2022				
15	Cluster certificate	13.12.2022				
16	Revenue NOC	23.11.2021				
17	Notification	09.11.2022				

The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and the Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that working was carried out between 2014-15. The Committee after discussion had decided to defer the appraisal, as the Proponent requested that they will come back with justification that the proposed project does not attract violation.

In 293rd SEAC meeting, after the Proponent submitted justification for the old workings, the Proponent informed that as per MoEF&CC Notification dated 15.01.2016 and as per NGT Order 2016, the proposal could have been considered as violation if mining was carried out after 15.01.2016 without EC, but in the present proposal they had not carried out mining operation after 2015 as per the google earth timeline and hence requested the Committee to consider the justification and grant EC.The Committeehad noted the clarification given by the Proponent and the Committee as per Hon'ble NGT Order in OA 136/2017 dated 30.06.2020, opined that the present proposal is not a mining violation but a procedural violation which needs clarification whether to treat it as violation for mere non submission of application. The Committee after discussion decided to seek clarification from SEIAA as per Hon'ble NGT Order in OA 136/2017 dated 30.06.2020.

In the present meeting the SEIAA had referred back the proposal informing the following,

"The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to seek the opinion from Advocate, SEIAA, Accordingly, Shri Vasanth H K Advocate SEIAA has given his opinion. Opinion of the Advocate, SEIAA is hereunder,

1. Applications seeking EC for existing lease holders below 5 Hectares as per Notification dated 15/01/2016, which had obtained all other statutory permissions :-

a) Filed and pending as on 31/03/2016 - To be treated as NORMAL b) Filed after 31/03/2016 - To be treated as VIOLATION CASES

This cutoff date is as per the judgement dated 30/06/2020 passed by NGT (SZ) in OA 136/2017.

2. Where applications seeking EC for existing mining operations below 5 Hectares have been filed and mining operations were carried out without any kind of permission from other statutory authorities, the same shall be treated as VIOLATION CASE from the beginning of their mining operations as per EIA Notification dated 14/09/2006

3. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cutoff date of 31/03/2016 but have not carried out any mining activity due to various reasons, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE but while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

4. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cutoff date of 31/03/2016 and have carried on mining activity, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE and while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

5. All the violation cases to be appraised as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021

6. It is after Deepak Kumar's case that the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it mandatory for obtaining EC for all the mining activities of minor minerals irrespective of the area of operation.

7. MoEF&CC on 18/5/2012 issued an OM clarifying that existing mine operators doing mining activity in less than 5 Hectares need to apply for EC only at the time of renewal or at the time of expansion of their unit more than the capacity permitted under the lease.

8. It may be noted that the Principle Bench of NGT in its final order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 and other connected cases held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and the existing mining lease holders would also have to comply with the requirement of obtaining EC. It was also stated in the said judgement that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

9. In OA 495/2015 (Jatindar Singh & Others Vs Union of India & Others), the Hon'ble NGT (PB) while disposing of the case vide order dated 19/02/2016 has extended the scope of judgement in Deepak Kumar's case and has held that the judgement is applicable to both minor and major minerals.

10. This aspect was considered by NGT(SZ) in OA no. 136/2017 and by judgement dated 30/6/2020, after considering all the notifications issued in this regard and also the judgement of the Supreme Court and Principal Bench of NGT observed that after 15/1/2016, all existing mining lease holders, whether minor or major mineral irrespective of the area of lease has to obtain EC for continuance of their operation and further held that those who have not filed application prior to 31/03/2016 will be considered as a violation case. The points considered by NGT in the above case are as follows: -

(i) Whether the mining lease of major minerals having extent of less than 5 Hectares require Environment Clearance after EIA Notification, 2016 dated 15.1.2016?

(ii) Whether the Circular dated 3.4.2017 issued by MoEF & CC is liable to be set aside for any of the reasons stated by the applicants in their application?

(iii) Whether the applications filed by the members of the applicant federation after 15.1.2016 have to be treated as violation cases or any cutoff date has to be fixed by the Tribunal for enabling the parties to file their application in view of the circumstances mentioned by them in this application?

After considering all aspects, Hon'ble NGT by judgement dated 30/6/2020 has disposed of the case as follows: -

(i) The applicant is not entitled to get a declaration to quash Circular dated 3.4.2017 as prayed for but can be clarified as detailed as per direction No.(ii) onwards.

(ii) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law.

(iii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law

(iv) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. Without obtaining necessary Environment Clearance irrespective of area, no mining, both minor/major, shall be permitted to operate.

(Please refer Para 26, 27, 53 and 62 of the judgement, which is self explanatory)

11. Hence, all the applications for EC filed before 31/03/2016 are to be considered as normal applications and applications filed after 31/03/2016 have to be considered under violation category.

12. The MoEF & CC vide notification dated 07/10/2014 has brought mining of major minerals having mining area of less than 5 Hectares under the ambit of EC. A provision was also given for existing lease holders to apply for EC at the time of renewal. But the Hon'ble NGT (PB) vide its order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 has held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

Therefore, the Authority perused the opinion of Advocate, SEIAA and decided to communicate the same to SEAC to appraise mining proposals following due procedure of law based on the merit of the case."

Accordingly, the Proponent in the present meeting submitted clarification from DMG vide letter dated 30.05.2023 as per which it was informed to the Committee that the DMG after inspecting site and reviewing google maps, had mentioned that trail pits of about 2-3mtrs in depth had been excavated to verify the availability of building stone and the excavated soil is used for formation of road.

The Committee noted the clarification given by Proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 600meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,47,368.42 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 42,105.26 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry & road connecting crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.22 Building Stone Quarry Project at Arepura Village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (1-00 Acre) by Sri R M Mahadevappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/405010/2022 (SEIAA 471 MIN 2022)

About the project:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SU	BMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri R M Mahadevappa	
	Proponent		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Pro	oject at Sy. No.125/2 of
		Arepura Village,	Gundlupet Taluk,
		Chamarajanagar District (1	-00 Acre)
		Latitude	Longitude
		<u>11º 57' 58.3"</u>	<u>76º 39'15.3"</u>
		<u>11° 57' 56.0"</u>	<u>76º 39'15.6"</u>
		11° 57' 55.3"	<u>76º 39'15.6"</u>
		11º 57' 55.3"	76º 39'14.6"
		11° 57' 56.7"	76º 39'14.8"
		11º 57' 56.9"	76º 39'13.4"
		11° 57' 58.3*	76º 39'13.4"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification /	New	
	Renewal		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Patta	
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,		
	Other]	········	

6	Area in Acres		1-00 Acre	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /		8,283.6 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
	Cum) Per Annum			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	Crores)	Rs. 0.10 Crores (Rs. 10 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of m	ine/ Quarry-	1,64,889 Tones(including waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity P	er Annum -	8,283.6 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton			
11	CER Activities: Providing drinkin		g water, bench and table and facility to Begur	
	Government School			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 2.77 Lakhs	(Capital Cost) &1.12 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	23.03.2020		
14	Quarry plan	17.10.2022		
15	Cluster certificate	19.10.2022		
16	Revenue NOC	21.03.2020		
17	Notification	25.02.2022		

The Proposal was earlier considered in 287th SEAC Meeting and the Committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issue of E.C. The authority in its 227th meeting referred back the proposal informing,

"The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- 1. The eastern part of project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are visible. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006
- 2. In forest NOC there is no mention of the type of land of the proposed site and regarding the proposed forests in the survey no 125.
- 3. Bandipura wildlife sanctuary is 4.668 kms.

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. Therefore, the SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt. departments as necessary".

The Committee in the 289th meeting obtained clarification as below from project Proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

1. "Complaint: The eastern part of project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are visible. Hence this project is in violation to the ELA Notification, 2006

The Proponent informed that, eastern part of the worked area belongs to sy.no. 128 of Arepura village which is kharab land and local people have carried out quarrying activity in above said survey number earlier.

East part of the present proposal is also considered to extract the mineral to the depth of 10 feet and later they came to know after podi for the sy. No.125/2.

2. Complaint: In forest NOC there is no mention of the type of land of the proposed site and regarding the proposed forests in the survey no 125.

Reply: The Proponent informed that they have obtained Forest NoC and in annexure 1 of Forest NoC, Sl.no. 4(g) it states that the proposed land is Patta land.

3. Complaint: Bandipura wildlife sanctuary is 4.668 kms.

Reply: The Proponent informed that, as per Forest NoC the proposed project site is located outside the Bandipur Tiger Reserve at a distance of 4.668km outside from Bandipur Tiger project D-line and outside the Eco Sensitive Zone of 1.365 Km.

The Committee noted the clarification given by the Proponent. The Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal in want of clarification from DMG with respect to old workings."

The proposal was considered in293rd SEAC meeting and following are the deliberation of the Committee,

"Proponent informed the Committee that as per KML google timeline images no mining operation has been carried out after June 2015 and requested to consider the proposed project as per Hon'ble NGT Order in OA 244/2017 dated 27.05.2021, as no mining activities carried out after 15.01.2016. As per Hon'ble NGT order in 123/2014 dated 15.01.2015, all the mining activity needs to be stopped until the time EC is obtained.

As there were no clear cut dates for categorization for mining violation, the Committee decided to defer this project and seek clarification from SEIAA."

The SEIAA in its 233rd meeting referred back the proposal informing the following,

"The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to seek the opinion from Advocate, SEIAA, Accordingly, Shri Vasanth H K Advocate SEIAA has given his opinion. Opinion of the Advocate, SEIAA is hereunder,



1. Applications seeking EC for existing lease holders below 5 Hectares as per Notification dated 15/01/2016, which had obtained all other statutory permissions :-

a) Filed and pending as on 31/03/2016 - To be treated as NORMAL
b) Filed after 31/03/2016 - To be treated as VIOLATION CASES

This cutoff date is as per the judgement dated 30/06/2020 passed by NGT (SZ) in OA 136/2017.

- 2. Where applications seeking EC for existing mining operations below 5 Hectares have been filed and mining operations were carried out without any kind of permission from other statutory authorities, the same shall be treated as VIOLATION CASE from the beginning of their mining operations as per EIA Notification dated 14/09/2006
- 3. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cutoff date of 31/03/2016 but have not carried out any mining activity due to various reasons, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE but while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.
- 4. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cutoff date of 31/03/2016 and have carried on mining activity, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE and while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.
- 5. All the violation cases to be appraised as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021
- 6. It is after Deepak Kumar's case that the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it mandatory for obtaining EC for all the mining activities of minor minerals irrespective of the area of operation.
- 7. MoEF&CC on 18/5/2012 issued an OM clarifying that existing mine operators doing mining activity in less than 5 Hectares need to apply for EC only at the time of renewal or at the time of expansion of their unit more than the capacity permitted under the lease.
- 8. It may be noted that the Principle Bench of NGT in its final order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 and other connected cases held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and the existing mining lease holders would also have to comply with the requirement of obtaining EC. It was also stated in the said judgement that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

- 9. In OA 495/2015 (Jatindar Singh & Others Vs Union of India & Others), the Hon'ble NGT (PB) while disposing of the case vide order dated 19/02/2016 has extended the scope of judgement in Deepak Kumar's case and has held that the judgement is applicable to both minor and major minerals.
- 10. This aspect was considered by NGT(SZ) in OA no. 136/2017 and by judgement dated 30/6/2020, after considering all the notifications issued in this regard and also the judgement of the Supreme Court and Principal Bench of NGT observed that after 15/1/2016, all existing mining lease holders, whether minor or major mineral irrespective of the area of lease has to obtain EC for continuance of their operation and further held that those who have not filed application prior to 31/03/2016 will be considered as a violation case. The points considered by NGT in the above case are as follows: -
- (i) Whether the mining lease of major minerals having extent of less than 5 Hectares require Environment Clearance after EIA Notification, 2016 dated 15.1.2016?
- (ii) Whether the Circular dated 3.4.2017 issued by MoEF & CC is liable to be set aside for any of the reasons stated by the applicants in their application?
- (iii) Whether the applications filed by the members of the applicant federation after 15.1.2016 have to be treated as violation cases or any cutoff date has to be fixed by the Tribunal for enabling the parties to file their application in view of the circumstances mentioned by them in this application?

After considering all aspects, Hon'ble NGT by judgement dated 30/6/2020 has disposed of the case as follows: -

- (i) The applicant is not entitled to get a declaration to quash Circular dated 3.4.2017 as prayed for but can be clarified as detailed as per direction No.(ii) onwards.
- (ii) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law.
- (iii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law.
- (iv) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended ELA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. Without obtaining necessary Environment Clearance irrespective of area, no mining, both minor/major, shall be permitted to operate.

(Please refer Para 26, 27, 53 and 62 of the judgement, which is self explanatory)

- 11. Hence, all the applications for EC filed before 31/03/2016 are to be considered as normal applications and applications filed after 31/03/2016 have to be considered under violation category.
- 12. The MoEF & CC vide notification dated 07/10/2014 has brought mining of major minerals having mining area of less than 5 Hectares under the ambit of EC. A provision was also given for existing lease holders to apply for EC at the time of renewal. But the Hon'ble NGT (PB) vide its order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 has held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

Therefore, the Authority perused the opinion of Advocate, SEIAA and decided to communicate the same to SEAC to appraise mining proposals following due procedure of law based on the merit of the case."

In the present meeting, Proponentinformed the Committee that the workings are prior to 2012 and justified by submitting the old google time line images prior to 2012, wherein it was seen that the working is prior to 2012.

Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to reiterate its decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting and recommend the proposal to SEIAA for necessary action.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for necessary action.

299.23 Building Stone Quarry Project at Cheelaganahalli Village, Koratagere Taluk, Tumkur District (5-00 Acres) by Sri Palaksha - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/192977/2021 (SEIAA 07 MIN 2021)

About the Project:	A	bout	the	Project:	
--------------------	---	------	-----	-----------------	--

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Palaksha	· -	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.21 Cheelaganahalli Village, Koratagere Taluk, Tumkur District (5-00 Acres)		
		N 13° 35' 19,4"	E 77° 16' 45.2"	
		N 13° 35′ 19.8″	E 77° 16' 51.7"	
		N 13° 35′ 16.4″	E 77° 16′ 52.2″	
		N 13° 35′ 15.8″	E 77° 16′ 46.2″	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New		

5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government ite / Patta,	Govt.	
6	Area in Acres			5-00 Acre	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		etric Ton /	2,04,272 Tons/year (including waste)	
8	Projec	t Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)		
9	Proved Cu.m	l Quantity of mir / Ton	ne/ Quarry-	12,83,151Tons(including waste)	
10	Permit Cu.m	ted Quantity Per 7 Ton	Annum -	2,04,272 Tons/year (excluding waste)	
11	CER A	Activities:		· • •	
	Year	Corporate Enviror	nmental Respon	sibility (CER)	
	1st	Providing solar po	wer panels to t	he GHPS school at Cheelaganahalli village	
	2nd	The proponent pr Strengthening of	roposes to distri approach road	bute nursery plants at Cheelaganahaili Village &	
	3rd	Conducting E-was	ste drive campai	gns in the Cheelaganahalli village	
	4th	Scientific support	and awareness	s to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	
	5th	Health camp in G	HPS school at Cl	heelaganahalli village	
12	EMP E	Budget	Rs. 55.79 L	akhs (Capital Cost) & 9.78 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC 06.01.2023		06.01.2023		
14	Quarry plan 04.01.2		04.01.2021		
15	Cluster certificate		01.07.2021		
16	Revenue NOC		29.08.2015		
17	Notification		14.09.2017		
18	Public hearing 20.04		20.04.2022		
19	DTF 28.02.2017		28.02.2017		

The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the appraisal to have site inspection in order to evaluate the present site condition.

Accordingly, the SEAC Sub-Committee had inspected the site on 24.05.2023 and informed about the site details. The Committee in its 297th SEAC meeting had decided to seek clarification from Proponent for the site visit observation.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted the following clarification for the site visit observations,

1. When site visit done, we have seen Peacocks and Hares within the quarry site. As per the Forest NoC Thimlapura ESA is at a distance of 6 Km and Deemed forest at 207 meter.

Reply: Proponent informed that there are some schedules-1 species found inside and within the study area. They have also prepared a wildlife conservation plan and submitted the conservation plan with budget is of 8 lacks. Thimlapura ESZ is at a distance of 6 Km and Deemed forest at 207 meter, which is mentioned in forest NOC.

2. Within the quarry site, it's already worked (top layer removed).

Reply: Proponent informed that the proposed area is Govt. land and top layer is already excavated by Bhovi Jananga for their traditional practices manually from 2008 and no mining activity was carried out by Proponent.

3. Vaddagere Village Panchayat's solid waste management, processing and disposal facility located at a distance of around 180 meter from the proposed quarry site. Shall maintain buffer as per 6 (2) KMMCR Rules 2023 and MSW Rules 2016.

Reply: Proponent informed that as per KMMCR rule 2023, the distance to be left from any public structure is 100 meters. Hence, we have left proper buffer as per KMMCR rules 2023. And we also have proposed control blasting in our project site hence 100meter buffer is enough. Under the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Rules 2016 in India, specific buffer requirements from quarry sites for solid waste management is not explicitly mentioned. The MSW Rules 2016 primarily focus on the effective management of municipal solid waste and its disposal. However we have left more than 100m buffer as per KMMCR Rules 2023.

4. Near the quarry site, there is one temple and Road in the South-West, Submit the anticipated impacts and mitigation measures.

Reply: Proponent informed that the shortest aerial distance from the project site to the temple is 540 meters and to the road is 313 meters respectively. As per KMMCR rule 2023, the distance left from any public structure is 100 meters. Anticipated minor impacts can be the effects from dust from quarrying, noise pollution due to blasting activity, ground vibration and effects from fly rocks. However, Proponent proposed the following mitigation measures,

- a. Barbed fencing all around the quarry
- b. Plantation is proposed all along the approach road
- c. 7.5-meter buffer will be left all around the site
- d. Dust screens all around the quarry site
- e. Approach road will be strengthened and black topped using asphalt.

Further informed that there will be no major impacts neither on the temple nor or the road due to the quarrying operation

5. Adjacent to quarry site there are three water bodies, Submit the mitigation measures to control Siltation and physical damages.

Reply : Proponent submitted the following Mitigation measures to control Siltation and physical damages,

- a. Construction of Garland drains all along the boundary and also along the approach road so that the silt and other waste shall pass along those drain and along with series of gully plugs to arrest the silt from flowing to the water bodies.
- b. Proponent regularly desilt and maintain the gully plugs and Concrete storm water structures will be provided along the approach road for clear passage of the waste water.
- c. Since the waterbody is situation with a distance more than 100meters from the project site and only controlled blasting is proposed, there will not be any physical damages to the waterbodies from the site.
- d. As part of the CER, Proponent proposed Deepening and Beautification of the waterbodies.

The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for quarrying building stone for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 12.07.2021 as the total extent of leases in the cluster were exceeding the threshold of 5 Ha. and public hearing was conducted on 20.04.2022, where opinions/requests of seven people have been recorded in public hearing report.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1,120 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. TheCommittee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the request of public expressed during public hearing, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 12,83,151Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,04,272 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.
- 4. Proponent agreed to obtain common boundary permission after grant of lease

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



299.24 Building Stone Quarry Project at Cheelaganahalli Village, Koratagere Taluk, Tumkur District (5-00 Acres) by Smt. P. Jayamma - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/192960/2021 (SEIAA 08 MIN 2021)

SLN		PARTICULAR	S	INFORMATION P	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name a Project	& Address of the s Proponent	e	Smt. P. Jayamma	
2	Name of Project	& Location of th	ie	Building Stone Quarry Cheelaganahalli Village, K District (5-00 Acres) N 13° 35' 12.1" N 13° 35' 12.7" N 13° 35' 09.1" N 13° 35' 08.1"	Project at Sy.No.21 of Coratagere Taluk, Tumkur E 77° 16' 47.2" E 77° 16' 52.8" E 77° 16' 53.7" E 77° 16' 48.4"
3	Type C)f Mineral	···	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New /] Modifi	Expansion / cation / Renewa	1	New	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta Other]		Govt.		
6	Area in	Acres		5-00 Acre	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		2,29,599 Tons/year (includi	ng waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		······································		
9	Proved Quarry	Quantity of mir - Cu.m / Ton	ne/	14,80,559Tons (including w	vaste)
10	Permitt - Cu.m	ted Quantity Per / Ton	Annum	2,25,007 Tons/year (exclud	ing waste)
11	CER A	ctivities:		· · · · ·	
	Year	Corporate Enviro	onmental F	Responsibility (CER)	
	1st	Providing solar p	ower pan	els to the GHPS school at Cheela	ganahalli village
	2nd	The proponent p Strengthening o	proposes to Fapproach	o distribute nursery plants at Cha road	eelaganahalli Village &
	3rd	Conducting E-wa	aste drive o	campaigns in the Cheelaganahall	l village
	40	Sciencine suppor		ireness to local farmers to increa	ise yield of crop and fodder
12	5th	Health camp in (SHPS scho	of at Cheelaganahalli village	1.T 1.h / D
12	EMP E		Rs. 63.84 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 9.61 Lakhs (Recurring cost)		
14	rorest		06.01.2023		
14	Quarry	pian	04.01.20	021	<u> </u>
15	Cluster	ster certificate 01.07.20		021	
16	Revenu	le NOC	29.08.20)15	
17	Notific	ation	14.09.20)17	
18	Public	hearing	20.04.20)22	
19	DTF	OTF 28.02.20)17	

The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the appraisal to have site inspection in order to evaluate the present site condition.

Accordingly, the SEAC Sub-Committee had inspected the site on 24.05.2023 and informed about the site details. The Committee in its 297th SEAC meeting had decided to seek clarifications from Proponent for the site visit observation.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted the following clarification for the site visit observations,

 When site visit done, we have seen Peacocks and Hares within the quarry site. As per the Forest NoC Thimlapura ESA is at a distance of 6 Km and Deemed forest at 207 meter.
 Reply: Proponent informed that there are some schedules-1 species found inside and within the study area. They have also prepared a wildlife conservation plan and submitted the conservation plan with budget is of 8 lacks. Thimlapura ESZ is at a distance of 6 Km and Deemed forest at 207 meter, which is mentioned in forest NOC.

2. Within the quarry site, it's already worked (top layer removed).

Reply: Proponent informed that the proposed area is Govt. land and top layer is already excavated by Bhovi Jananga for their traditional practices manually from 2008 and no mining activity was carried out by Proponent.

3. Vaddagere Village Panchayat's solid waste management, processing and disposal facility located at a distance of around 180 meter from the proposed quarry site. Shall maintain buffer as per 6 (2) KMMCR Rules 2023 and MSW Rules 2016.

Reply: Proponent informed that as per KMMCR rule 2023, the distance to be left from any public structure is 100 meters. Hence, we have left proper buffer as per KMMCR rules 2023. And we also have proposed control blasting in our project site hence 100meter buffer is enough. Under the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Rules 2016 in India, specific buffer requirements from quarry sites for solid waste management is not explicitly mentioned. The MSW Rules 2016 primarily focus on the effective management of municipal solid waste and its disposal. However we have left more than 100m buffer as per KMMCR Rules 2023.

6. Near the quarry site, there is one temple and Road in the South-West, Submit the anticipated impacts and mitigation measures.

Reply: Proponent informed that the shortest aerial distance from the project site to the temple is 540 meters and to the road is 313 meters respectively. As per KMMCR rule 2023, the distance left from any public structure is 100 meters. Anticipated minor impacts can be the effects from dust from quarrying, noise pollution due to blasting activity, ground vibration and effects from fly rocks. However, Proponent proposed the following mitigation measures,

- a. Barbed fencing all around the quarry
- b. Plantation is proposed all along the approach road
- c. 7.5-meter buffer will be left all around the site
- d. Dust screens all around the quarry site

e. Approach road will be strengthened and black topped using asphalt.

Further informed that there will be no major impacts neither on the temple nor or the road due to the quarrying operation

4. Adjacent to quarry site there are three water bodies, Submit the mitigation measures to control Siltation and physical damages.

Reply : Proponent submitted the following Mitigation measures to control Siltation and physical damages,

- a. Construction of Garland drains all along the boundary and also along the approach road so that the silt and other waste shall pass along those drain and along with series of gully plugs to arrest the silt from flowing to the water bodies.
- b. Proponent regularly desilt and maintain the gully plugs and Concrete storm water structures will be provided along the approach road for clear passage of the waste water.
- c. Since the waterbody is situation with a distance more than 100meters from the project site and only controlled blasting is proposed, there will not be any physical damages to the waterbodies from the site.
- d. As part of the CER, Proponent proposed Deepening and Beautification of the waterbodies.

The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for quarrying building stone for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 12.07.2021 as the total extent of leases in the cluster were exceeding the threshold of 5 Ha and public hearing was conducted on 20.04.2022, where opinions/requests of seven people have been recorded in public hearing report.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1,430 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. TheCommittee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the request of public expressed during public hearing, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 14,80,559Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,29,599 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

- 3. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.
- 4. Proponent agreed to obtain common boundary permission after grant of lease

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.25 Change in Product Mix in existing manufacturing facility project at Plot No.8C & amp; 9A of Bashettihalli Village, Doddaballapur Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by M/s. Resonance Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND2/52947/2018 (SEIAA 15 IND (VIOL) 2018)

The Proponent& the Consultant remained absent. The Committeeperused the letter received from SEIAA and noted that while the Hon'ble NGT has directed SEIAA to file a detailed report regarding the decision taken to follow Kyoto Protocol and not the CPCB guidelines while assessing the ecological damage for violation during the process of issuing EC, SEIAA has informed the SEAC to appraise the proposal as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021.

The Committee after discussion opined that the MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021 is applicable only for the projects under violation category seeking EC and for the current proposal, for whichSEIAA had already issued EC on 24.08.2020. Further, the Committee after deliberation decided that, in order to appraise the proposal as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021, the Environmental Clearance which is in currency may have to be withdrawn and the Proponenthas to apply under violation category for appraisal as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021.

Hence, the Committee decided to seek clarification from SEIAA in this regard, for appraisal of the proposal as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021, for which project EC is already in currency.

- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for necessary clarification
- 299.26 Proposed Capacity: 1*100 & 1*95 TPD Sponge Iron, 5 MW WHRB Power Plant and 99,000 TPA Billets manufacturing plant at Sy. Nos.1/A, 1/B, 5/A, 5/B, 6, 7/B, 8/B, Patta land and 1.01 Acres (0.408 Ha) in Sy. No.7A of Haruvanahalli Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District by M/s. Rosvar Iron and Power - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND1/423526/2023 (SEIAA 24 IND 2022)

The Proposal is for grant of EC for the proposed sponge iron plant, as per the Hon'ble NGT Orders in OA 152/2020 dated 17.08.2020. For the proposal earlier EC was issued by SEIAA on 06.04.2009 for 100TPD sponge iron plan and as per the Hon'ble NGT directions in OA 26/2018, SEIAA on 04.06.2020 had revoke the EC issued on 06.04.2009. Presently for the applied proposalas per the Hon'ble NGT Orders in OA 152/2020 dated 17.08.2020, the SEIAA had issued Std. ToR on 10.10.2022 and public hearing was conducted on 22.02.2023.

In the present meeting the person who appeared on the behalf of Proponent did not have the authorization from the Proponent. Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal of the Project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to putup before SEAC for upcoming meetings



299.27 Building Stone Quarry Project at Uchangidurga Village, Harappanahalli Taluk, Davanagere District (2.75 Acres) by M/s. P.V.G. Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428750/2023 (SEIAA 70 MIN 2021)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects		M/s. P.V.G. Stone Crusher	
	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quarry P	roject at Sy.Nos.509/3 &
			509/4 of Uchangidurga	Village, Harappanahalli
			Taluk, Davanagere District (2.75 Acres)	
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 14°32'27.7"	E 76°01′05.4″
			N 14°32′25.1″	E 76°01′05.3″
			N 14°32'24.8"	E 76°01′00.7″
			N 14°32′27.4″	E 76°01′00.6″
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion /	Modification /	New	
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government		Patta	
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,			
	Other]			
6	Area in Acres		2.75 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /		90,076 Tones/ Annum (in	ncluding waste)
0	Cum) Per Annum	······	D. 0.00 (D. 00.1	11 >
8	Project Cost (Ks. In C	rores	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 301	akhs)
9	Cum/Ton	mine/ Quarty-	4,58,328 1 ones (includin	g waste)
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	88,275 Tones / Annum (6	excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			U ,
11	CER Activities: To ta	ke-up sanitation	work in the nearby Uchan	gidurga Village
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.50 lakhs	s (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.40	lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	25.09.2018		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	Quarry plan	13.03.2019		
15	Cluster Certificate	20.01.2021		
16	Revenue	15.09.2018		······································
17	Notification	01.02.2019		
18	РН	07.01.2023		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area is no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for quarrying building stone for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 20.09.2022as the total extent of leases in the cluster was exceeding the threshold 5 Ha. and public hearing was conducted on 07.01.2023, where opinions/requests of twenty-three people have been recorded in public hearing report.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 270 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. TheCommittee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the requests of public expressed during public hearing, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 4,58,328 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 90,076 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow treesall along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.28 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chatnahalli Village, Harappanahalli Taluk, Davanagere District (1-00 Acre) by Sri E. Ravikumar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428741/2023 (SEIAA 71 MIN 2021)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri E. Ravikumar	
	Proponent		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.45 of	
		Chatnahalli Village,	Harappanahalli Taluk,
		Davanagere District (1-00 Acre)	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 14°32'15,8"	E 76°01′06.7″
		N 14°32'12.4"	E 76°01'06.3"
		N 14°32'12.8"	E 76°01'06.0"
		N 14°32′15.9″	E 76°01'05.4"

72
3	Type Of Mineral	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion /	Modification /	New
	Renewal		
5	Type of Land [Fores	t, Government	Patta
	Revenue, Gomai, Pr	rivate / Patta,	
	Other]		
6	Area in Acres		1-00 Acre
7	Annual Production	Metric Ton /	37,700 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
	Cum) Per Annum		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	1,87,525 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		- · ·
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	36,946Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities: The	Proponents have	e earmarked an investment of 2% of the respective
	capital investment, to	take-up sanitatio	on work in the nearby Chatnahalli Village
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.50 lakhs	s (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.40 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	28.11.2018	
14	Quarry plan	18.03.2019	
15	Cluster Certificate	11.01.2021	
16	Revenue	19.11.2018	
17	Notification	01.02.2019	
18	PH	07.01.2023	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for quarrying building stone for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 27.08.2021, as the total extent of leases in the cluster was exceeding the threshold 5 Ha. and public hearing was conducted on 07.01.2023, where in opinion/request of twenty-three people has been recorded in public hearing report.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 900 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. TheCommittee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the requests of public expressed during public hearing to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 1,87,525 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 37,700 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow treesall along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.
- 299.29 Building Stone Quarry Project at Handenahalli Village, Honakere Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District (10-00 Acres) by Sri T N Chandrashekar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/431769/2023 (SEIAA 243 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri T N Chandrashekar
	Proponent	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.45 of Handenahalli Village, Honakere Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District (10-00 Acres) Latitude Longitude N 12° 47' 22.71" E 76° 41' 19.19" N 12° 47' 21.63" E 76° 41' 22.26" N 12° 47' 19.51" E 76° 41' 21.66" N 12° 47' 18.46" E 76° 41' 24.00" N 12° 47' 14.16" E 76° 41' 22.48" N 12° 47' 15.01" E 76° 41' 19.89" N 12° 47' 15.01" E 76° 41' 19.89"
		N 12° 47' 13.70" E 76° 41' 16.00"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government
6	Area in Acres	10-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	2,63,836 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 2.10 Crores (Rs. 210 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	85,36,439 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	2,50,000Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	



	Year Co	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)		
-	ist Pr at	oviding solar power panels to common public places to the GHPS school Handenahalii Village.		
	2nd Sc an	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder		
	3rd Ra	in water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Handenahalii Village.		
	4th Co	nducting E-waste drive campaigns at Handenahalli Village.		
	Sth He	alth camp in the GHPS school at Handenahalli Village.		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 67.38 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.94 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	24.09.2018		
14	Quarry plan	26.05.2023		
15	Cluster Certificate	31.05.2023		
16	Revenue	19.07.2018		
17	Notification	17.05.2023		

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. land and sheet rocks exposed in the surface and no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 10-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1080meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 85,36,439tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to beco-terminous with the lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,63,836 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry & road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to carry out controlled blasting.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.30 Building Stone Quarry Project at Hebbal Village, Anagodu Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (6-34 Acres) by M/s. Shivaganga Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/432842/2023 (SEIAA 254 MIN 2023)

About	the	project:
-------	-----	----------

Sl.No	PARTICUL	ARS		INFORMATION PROVIDE	D BY PP
1	Name & A	Address of	the Projects	M/s. Shivaganga Stone Crusher	
	Proponent				
2	Name & Lo	cation of the	e Project	Building Stone Quarry Proj	ect at Sy.No. 139/7 of
				Hebbal Village, Anagodu He	obli, Davanagere Taluk,
				Davanagere District (6-34 A	cres)
				Latitude	Longitude
				N 14° 22' 07.6898"	E 76° 06' 21.2654"
				N 14° 22' 10.3841"	E 76° 06' 23.5954"
			N 14° 22' 02.8946"	E 76° 06' 26.1096"	
				N 14° 22' 02.2488"	E 76° 06' 24.1487"
				N 14° 21' 57.2188"	E 76° 06' 24.9351"
1				N 14° 21' 56.9855"	E 76° 06' 23.7490"
3	Type Of Mi	neral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Exp	ansion / M	odification /	New	
	Renewal				
5	Type of La	nd [Forest,	Government	Patta	
	Revenue, C	Gomal, Priv	rate / Patta,		
	Other]				
6	Area in Acro	es		6-34 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /		3,06,122 Tones/ Annum (inc	luding waste)	
	Cum) Per Annum				
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 1.84 Crores (Rs. 184 Lak	hs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-		28,56,958 Tones (including v	vaste)	
10	Dermitted (Juantity Da		2.00.0007	1
	Cum/Ton	quantity Pe	r Annum -	3,00,0001 ones / Annum (exc	luding waste)
11	CER Activit	ies:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Veer		•- 5-		
	1st	Providine s	olar power pa	anels to the GHPS school at Hebbai Village	
	2nd	Rain water	harvesting pi	its to Hebbal Village.	
	3rd	Avenue pla	intation eithe	r side of the approach road ne	ar Quarry site &
	4+12	Repair of r	oad With drai	nages	
		Health	ang e-waste o	at Hebbal Village	obal Village.
12	EMP Budge	t	Rs 83 46 la	the (Canital Cost) & Re 11 91	lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC 03 11 2022		kiis (cupiui cost) te kis. 11.91	Takiis (Recuiring cost)	
14	Quarry plan 05.12.2022		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
15	Cluster Certi	ificate	06.06.2023		
16	Revenue		25.07.2022	··· , · · · · · ·	
17	Notification		08.11.2022	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 6-34 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1620 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 28,56,958tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,06,122 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry & road connecting crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.31 Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Bhagodi Village, Chittapur Taluk, Kalaburagi District (12-12 Acres) by Sri Abdul Haffeez - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/433529/2023 (SEIAA 258 MIN 2023)

INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP S1. PARTICULARS No Name & Address of the Projects Sri Abdul Haffeez 1 Proponent 2 Name & Location of the Project Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.19/4, 19/5, 19/8, 19/9, 19/10 & 20/4 of Bhagodi Village, Chittapur Taluk, Kalaburagi District (12-12 Acres) Longitude Latitude E 77 2' 39.7007" N 17" 12' 34.8021" E 77 2' 33.1005" N 17" 12' 34.8017" E 77 2' 33.0018" N 17 12' 30.0010" N 17" 12' 30.2011" E 77" 2' 35.3028" N 17" 12' 26.0017" E 77" 2' 35.7077" N 17° 12' 26.5019" E 77" 2" 41.5021" N 17" 12' 30.5001" E 77 2' 41.4018" E 77 2' 39.4027" N 17 12' 30.5011"

77

3	Type O	f Mineral		Ordinary Sand Mining	
4	New /	Expansion	/ Modification /	New	
	Renewa	al		· · · · ·	
5	Type o	Type of Land [Forest, Government		Patta	
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,		Private / Patta,		
	Other]				
6	Area in	Acres		12-12 Acres	
7	Annual	Productio	n (Metric Ton /	1,12,000 Tones/annum for 3 years, 12,000 Tones	
		er Annum		waste)	
8	Project	Cost (Rs. I	n Crores)	Rs. 1.08 Crores (Rs. 108 Lakhs)	
9	Proved	Quantity	of mine/ Quarry-	3,36,608 Tones (including waste)	
	Cu.m /	Ton			
10	Permitte	ed Quantif	y Per Annum -	1,12,000 Tones/annum for 3 years, 12,000 Tones	
	Cu.m/	Ton		for 4 ^a year & 15,608 Tones for 5 ^a year	
11			11 00 11	(including waste)	
	Letion	ctivities: 10	o provide Tollet rad	cilities and infrastructure development for Kalburgi	
		T		rark Locateu al Kaldurgi.	
	Year	Corporate	Environmental Resp	onsibility (CER)	
	ist	Providing :	iolar power panels to	o the GHPS school at Bhagodi village	
	2nd				
	3rd	Raín water	water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Bhagodi village		
	4th	The prop	onent proposes to	distribute nursery plants at Bhagodi Village &	
		Strengthe	ing of approach roa	A	
	sth	Health can	np in the GNPS schoo	at Bhagodi village	
12	EMP B	udget	Rs. 26.07 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 13.49 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC 27.09.2022		27.09.2022		
14	Cluster certificate 24.04.2023		24.04.2023		
15	Revenue	e NOC	07.09.2022		
16	DTF		10.02.2023		
17	App. Quarry Plan 03.09.2023		03.09.2023		

The proposal is for ordinary sand mining and as per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 12-12 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Proponent informed that in the District Task Force proceedings, it is mentioned that there is no river sand mining projects in the vicinity of 5 km from the proposed lease area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 220 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 3,36,608Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,12,000 Tones/annum for 3 years, 12,000 Tones for 4th year & 15,608 Tones for 5th year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
- 3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.32 Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at In Biliyur Sand Block, in Nethravathi River Bed, Biliyuru Village, Bantwal Taluk & Dakshina Kannada District by The Executive Engineer PWD, Mangalore - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/430901/2023 (SEIAA 244 MIN 2023)

LOOR	L'ene biologie		
SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	The Executive Engineer PWD, Mangalore	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at In Biliyur Sand Block, in Nethravathi River Bed, over an extent of 2.40 Acres situated in Sy. No. 209 of Biliyuru Village, Bantwal Taluk & Dakshina Kannada District	
		Latitude Longitude	
		N 12° 50' 32.32" E 75° 11' 35.64"	
		N 12° 50′ 36.33" E 75° 11′ 39.49"	
1		N 12° 50′ 37.86" E 75° 11′ 38.34"	
		N 12° 50' 34.47" E 75° 11' 34.42"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sand Mining	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government	
6	Area in Acres	2.40 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	16,718 Tones/annum(including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	16,718 Tones (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	12,538 Tones/annum (excluding waste)	

79

11	CER Activities: Propose take up 500 No. of additional plantation on either side of the		
	approach road from	n quarry location to Iruvakki Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 13.75 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.83 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	10.08.2022	
14	Cluster certificate	17.03.2023	
15	Notification	19.01.2023	
16	DTF	03.12.2022	
17	App. Quarry Plan	17.03.2023	
18	Irrigation NoC	02.06.2022	
19	Dept. as per JIR	3mtrs	

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining. The Committee sought clarification from Proponent regarding method of mining proposed in compliance to Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 i.e not to use any machinery for excavation of sand, for which the Proponent informed that they have proposed manual/open cast method of mining.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 2.40 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 600 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road as per standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining, to which the Proponent agreed.Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rainfall details. Further the Committee sought clarification regarding dry weather flow, for which the Proponent submitted google earth images dated 05.03.2023& 03.05.2023 showing dry weather flow and informed the Committee that mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the Proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 16,718 tonns per year (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 16,718 tonns per year (including waste)after due replenishment every year, with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms

2.To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation

- 3.To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 4. Mining should be carried out after due replenishment every year
- 5. Proponent agreed to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020
- 6. To comply with the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and for any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022, the Proponent would be held responsible.
- 7. To follow Labour laws and Mines Act in the proposed project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.33 Building Stone Quarry Project at Iruvakki Village, Sagara Taluk, Shivamogga District (1-00 Acre) (vide QL No. 792) by Sri Sharath J. Shet - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/416266/2023 (SEIAA 56 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVI	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Sharath J. Shet			
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.30(P) of Iruvakki Village, Sagara Taluk, Shivamogga District (1-00 Acre) (vide QL No. 792)			
		Latitude	Longitude		
		N14*01'45.62"	E 75'12'11.81"		
		N14°01′45.62″	E 75'12'14.14"		
		N14°01'43.78"	E 75°12'14.19"		
		N14"01'43.78"	E 75°12′11.98″		
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government			
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	52,600 Tones/ Annum (including waste)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	2,63,000 Tones (including waste)			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	51,548Tones / Аппит (е	xcluding waste)		

11	CER Activities: Propose take up 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the		
	approach road from quarry location to Iruvakki Village Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 12.25 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.99 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	25.04.2016	
14	Cluster certificate	09.01.2023	
15	Notification	31.03.2017	
16	Revenue	08.07.2016	
17	App. Quarry Plan	29.10.2022	
18	CCR fromKSPCB	15.06.2023	
19	Audit Report	22.05.2023	

The proposal is for expansion of building stone quarry, for which EC was issued earlier by DEIAA on 21.08.2017 and lease was granted on 22.09.2017 with QL no. 792. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 22.05.2023 and CCR from KSPCB dated 15.06.2023.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 1-00 Acre and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 340 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed. Proponent submitted an undertaking for complying with the conditions to MoEF&CC OM dated: 28.04.2023.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 2,63,000 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 52,600 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms before commencing expansion in quantity
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road and towards habitation during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observation of KSPCB in CCR.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.





299.34 Building Stone Quarry (Block No. 03) Project at Melina Kuruvalli village Thirthahalli Taluk, Shivamogga District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Praveen D - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428304/2023 (SEIAA 233 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROV	IDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of t	the Projects	Sri Praveen D	
	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the	Project	Building Stone Quarry (Block No. 03) Project at
			Sy.No.38 of Melina	a Kuruvalli village
			Thirthahalli Taluk, Shiv	vamogga District (2-00
			Acres)	
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 13' 40' 39.33	E 75 14 55.67
			IN 15.40 39.38	E 75° 14' 56.60°
			N 13° 40° 41.25″	E 75° 14° 57.16"
			N 13* 40' 40.62"	E 75° 14° 58.67"
			N 13° 40° 39.91″	E 75° 14' 58.09"
			N 13° 40′ 37.90″	E 75° 14' 55.48"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Mo	odification /	New	
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government		Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Priv	ate / Patta,		
	Other]		2.00 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0	Area In Acres	atria Tan /	7 791 Tones Annum (in	chiding waste)
/	Cum) Per Annum	erric Ton /		ciuding wasie)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 I	Lakhs)
9	Proved Ouantity of mi	ne/ Quarry-	3,18,749 Tones (includin	ig waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum -	7,003Tones / Annum (ex	cluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			·····
11	CER Activities: Propose	e take up 210	No. of additional plantat	tion on either side of the
	approach road from quar	ry location to	Kuruvalli Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 9.74 lak	hs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.2	22 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	19.07.2021		
14	Cluster certificate	23.05.2023		
15	Notification	23.11.2021		
16	Revenue	11.08.2021		
17	App. Quarry Plan	20.04.2023		

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. Land and there was an old lease with an extent of 10Acres which was granted in 1979 and after the expiry of lease, the Govt. has newly notified the area under KMMCR Rule 31 B and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there are another 19 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which 13 leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and 01 lease is exempted as EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 9-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 170 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 3,18,749 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to beco-terminus with lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 7,781 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms in coordination with other lease holders notified on 23.11.2021
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

299.35 Building Stone Quarry Project at Hasuvinakavalu Village, Periyapatna Taluk, Mysore District (1-00 Acre) by Sri D. Rahul - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428570/2023 (SEIAA 238 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION P	XOVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri D. Rahul	
	Proponent		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Pr	oject at Sy. No.448 (P)
		of Hasuvinakavalu Villa	ge, Periyapatna Taluk,
		Mysore District (1-00 Acr	e)
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 14°32′27.7″	E 76°01'05.4"
		N 14°32′25.1″	E 76°01'05.3"
		N 14°32′24.8″	E 76°01'00.7"
		N 14°32′27.4″	E 76°01'00.6"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification /	New	
	Renewal		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government]	Government	

84

	Revenue, Gomal, P Other]	rivate / Patta,			
6	Area in Acres		1-00 Acre		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		20,620 Tones/ Annum (including waste)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-		1,06,546 Tones (including waste)		
	Cu.m / Ton				
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	20,620 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)		
	Cu.m / Ton				
11	CER Activities: To g	row 200 No. of	additional plantation on either side of the approach		
	road from quarry loca	tion to Hasuvina	akavalu Village Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.50 lakhs	s (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.40 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	05.08.2021			
14	App. Quarry Plan	21.04.2023			
15	Notification	10.01.2023			
16	Revenue	30.07.2021			
17	Cluster certificate	21.04.2023			

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. land and area was a part of QL 355/R granted to CK Narayan in 18.01.2000 and presently the Govt. has notified the area under KMMCR Rule 8A & 3F under tender cum auction and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there are two other leases within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the leases including the applied lease is 3-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 170meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures would be taken to ensure that the parameters would be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 1,06,546 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 20,620 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to stregthen the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for Action: further necessary action.

299.36 Pink Porphyry Granite Quarry Project at Kengal Village, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (2-04 Acres) by Sri Panchakshari - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/427147/2023 (SEIAA 252 MIN 2023)

SI,N	PARTICULAR	S	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP				
0.							
	Name & Addre Proponent	ss of the Projects	Sri Panchakshari				
2	Name & Locatio	on of the Project	Pink Porphyry Granite Ouarry Project at				
		····· · ···· · ·······················	Sv.No.117/3 of Kengal Village, Nelamangala				
			Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (2-04 Acres)				
			Taiun, Daugatore Rulai District (2-04 ACIES)				
			Latitude Longitude				
			N 13° 13' 16.01232"	E 77° 13' 17.31021"			
			N 13° 13' 15.81121"	E 77 [°] 13′ 21.80122″			
			N 13° 13' 13.67611"	E 77" 13' 21.34118"			
			N 13° 13' 14.31515"	E 77° 13' 16.47918"			
3	Type Of Minera	d	Pink Porphyry Grani	Pink Pombyry Granite Quarry			
4	New / Expansio	n / Modification /	New				
	Renewal						
5	Type of	Land [Forest,	Patta	······································			
- -	Government F	Revenue, Gomal,					
	Private / Patta, C	Other]					
6	Area in Acres		2-04 Acres				
7	Annual Product	ion (Metric Ton /	14,400 Cum/ Annum (including waste)				
	Cum) Per Annu	m					
8	Project Cost (Rs	. In Crores)	Rs.1.49 Crores (Rs. 149 Lakhs)				
9	Proved Quantity	of mine/ Quarry-	3,48,300 Cum (including waste)				
10	Permitted Ouan	tity Per Annum -	8.640Cum/ Annum (recovery)			
	Cu.m / Ton						
11	CER Activities:		I				
	Year	Corporate Enviro	omental Responsibility	(CFR)			
	ist	Providing solar p	ower panels to the GLF	S school at Kengal Village			
	2nd	The proponent p	roposes to distribute r	ursery plants at Kengal Village			
		& Strengthening	of approach road				
	3rd	Rain water harve	sting pits to the GLPS school at Kengal Village				
	4th	Health camp in G	LPS school at Kengal VI	llage			
	Sth						
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.17 Lakhs	Rs. 20.17 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 13.82 lakhs (Recurring cost)				
13	Forest NOC	16.08.2012	16.08.2012				
14	Quarry plan	06.04.2023	06.04.2023				
15	Cluster Certifica	te 20.04.2023	20.04.2023				
16	Revenue	16.09.2009	.2009				
17	Notification	19.05.2023					
18	Audit Report	24.05.2023					

86

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the present proposal earlier EC was issued by SEIAA on 12.06.2013 for Grey Granite and transfer of EC was issued by SEIAA on 13.07.2021 and informed that the lease was not executed till date. For the present proposal the Proponent had obtained new Notification dated 19.05.2023 for Pink Porphyry Granite and informed the Committee that the proposal is exempted from cluster as the EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016.

The Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal for want of extended cluster sketch from the proposed lease area as the proposal would be considered a fresh as per the Notification dated 19.05.2023.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC after submission of clarification sought.

With the Permission of Chair

299.37 Revision & Expansion of Residential Apartment Project at Akalenahalli - Mallenahalli Villages, Kasaba Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by M/s. One Bangalore Luxury Projects LLP - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/406501/2022 (SEIAA 32 CON 2021)

The proposal is for modification and expansion of mixed-use project for which earlier EC was issued by MoEF&CC on 31.05.2018 for BUA of 13,01,186.5Sqm in plot area of 193.40Acres and presently proposed for BUA of 13,29,106.08Sqm in plot area of 123.717Acres, for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 17.03.2021. For the proposed modification and expansion, the Proponent has submitted CCR from MoEF&CC dated 23.05.2023.

The Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal to have site inspection to evaluate the present site condition.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC after submission of clarification for site inspection observation.

SITE VISIT

299.38 Expansion of Sugar Plant Capacity from 10,000 TCD to 12,000 TCD along with existing Cogeneration plant of 60 MWh by Shivashakthi Sugars Limited at Sy. Nos. 177 (part), 178 /1A, 178/1B & 178/2 of Saundatti Village & Sy. Nos. 5/1, 6/1A, 6/1B, 6/2A, 6/2B, 7/2, 95/2, 95/3, 98/1A, 98/1B-1, 98/1C, 98/2, 99/1, 99/2, 99/3 & 99/4 & parts there of yadrav village falling under the revenue limits of RaibagTaluku, Belagavi District – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND2/427909/2023 (SEIAA 25IND 2022)

The Committee in its 297th SEAC meeting had deferred the project to have site inspection in order to ascertain the successful compliance of previous environmental safeguard conditions related to the expansion of 20% of capacity and to verify the establishment of plant & machinery related to further expansion of 20% and decided to have site inspection and deferred the appraisal.

Accordingly, the Sub-Committee visited the site on 8thJune 2023 and informed that the existing plant was not operational and at the time of inspection, the expansion from 10,000 TCD to 12,000 TCD based on earlier EC wasgoing on and the details of the project status istabulated below,

-	SHIVSHAKTIS	SUGARS	LIMI	TEDEXPANSIONPR		
┝	OJECTSTATUS					
ListofEquipmentandstatusforexpansionproject from10,000TCDTO						
		12,	DUTC	D		
	MillHouse UOM Qty Status				Remarks	
1	Cane loadingsystem	Set	1	Civilworkcompleted.Materials Received, erectioncompleted		
2	Feedertables	Nos	4	Civilworkcompleted.Materials Received, erectioncompleted		
3	Canecarrier	Nos	1	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted		
4	Rakeelevators	Nos	1	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted		
5	CaneChopper	Nos	1	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted		
6	SwingtypeCane leveler	Nos	1	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted		
7	SwingtypeCaneFibrizer	Nos	1	Civil workcompleted, materials receive dand Installation is.	Work is in-progress	
8	Mill	Set	4	Civilcompleted, materials received and installation is incompletion stag e.	Work is in- progress	
9	MillHousecrane	Nos	1	Civilcompleted, materials received and Installation is in progress	Work is in- progress	
10	Interrakecarriers	Nos	4	Civilworkunderprogress.Material s Receivedanderectionstarted	Work is in- progress	
11	Millhousemainbuilding		1	Foundationcompleted, column&st ructureerectioncompleted.Roof sheetstobefixed.	Work is in- progress	

Boi	ling House	UOM	Qty	Status	Remarks
1	JuiceHeater600M2	Nos	2	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted	
2	JuiceHeater450M2	Nos	2	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted.	
3	DirectContactHeater(DC H)	Nos	2	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erection completed.	
4	DynamicJuiceHeater450 M2	Nos	3	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted.	
5	PlateHeatExchanger	Nos	2	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectionworkinprogress.	Work is in-progress
6	JuiceDefecator	Nos	1	Civilworkcompleted.Materials received, erectioncompleted	



88

7	Milkoflimepreparationunit	Nos	I C Ne	Civil workunder Materialsreceived, rectionworktobestar	progress. ted.	Work is in- progress		
8	Clarifier	Nos	I C N e	Civil work Materialsreceived, rectionworkinprogre	completed.	Work is in- progress		
N	Note: Boiler House equipment Calandriahydraulic test is under progress							
Cogeneration		UOM	[Qty	Statu	\$	Remarks		
1	Boiler 130 TPH	Nos	1	Civilworkcomplet erectioncompleted for trials	ed, and ready	Work is in- progress		
2	TG set 17 MW	Nos	1	Civilworkcomplet erectioncompleted for trials	ed, and ready	Work is in- progress		

Accordingly, the Committee noted the Sub-Committee report and after discussion decided to seek clarification from Proponent for the following observations made by the Sub-Committee,

- 1. Submit the details of the existing ratio of green belt and proposed green belt, it should not be less than 33%, action plan to Plant all around the project site native and canopy tree species
- 2. Submit the change in land-use earlier EC and proposed EC, overlay on Google map
- 3. Submit the pollution load calculation 10,000 TCD and 12,000 TCD
- 4. Submit the details of source of raw water, consumption for proposed expansion capacities
- 5. Submit the details of technology used for water recovery from the process
- 6. Submit the details of type of fuels used, quantity and availability
- 7. Submit the details of fly ash utilisation and management
- 8. Presently Pressmud is directly sending to farmers as fertilizer, shall follow standard operating procedure (SOP) of bio- Composting as per CPCB

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC after submission of clarification for site inspection observation.

Meeting Concluded with vote of thanks to all.

Member Secretary, SEAC Karnatal

Chairman, SEAC Karnataka