Proceedings of the 296th SEAC Meeting held on 15th & 16th May- 2023

Members present in the meeting held on 15th May -2023

1.	Shri. Venugopal V	Chairman
2.	Dr. Shekar H.S	Member
3.	Dr. J.B Raj	Member
4.	Shri. Nanda Kishore	Member
5.	Dr. S.K. Gali	Member
6.	Shri. Vyshak V Anand	Member
7.	Shri. Dinesh MC	Member
_8.	Shri. Devegowda Raju	Member
9.	Shri.Sharanabasava Chandrashekhar Pilli	Member
10.	Shri. Mahendra Kumar M C	Member
11.	Shri. B V ByraReddy	Member
12.	Dr.SarvamangalaR. Patil	Member
13.	Shri. B. Ramasubba Reddy	Member
14.	Sri. R Gokul, IFS	Member Secretary

Officials Present

1	Kirankumar B S	Sc O-1
2	Suhas H S	Sc O-1

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The proceedings of the 294^{th} SEAC meeting held on 30^{th} & 31^{st} Marchwas read and confirmed.

Fresh Projects

EIA Projects

296.1Industrial Area Development Project at Kyadiguppa Village, Kushtagi Taluk & Koppala District by KIADB - BELLARY - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA1/415263/2023 (SEIAA 43 IND 2021)

About the Project:

S.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the ProjectProponent	Name: Karnataka Industrial Area Development Board (KIADB) Address:4 th & 5 th Floors, Khanija Bhavan, East Wing, No. 49, Bangalore, Karnataka Project Proponent: Chief Development Officer (CDO) e-mail lD: cdoce1@kiadb.in Landline: 080-22267891




2	Nan	ne & Location of the Project	Development of Kyadiguppa Industrial Area in Kyadiguppa Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppala District					
3		ordinates of the Project Site&	Geographical Coordinate of Project Site: Latitude: 15°54'29.64"N Longitude: 76° 9'17.79"E Type of Project: This is a proposed Development of Industrial					
			Area. It falls used industrial areas, and at least one categor <500 Ha) as per lamendments.	l Category ry B indust	-B (I.A. c try and ha	onsists of as an area		
4	Env	ironmental Sensitivity						
	а	Distance From nearest Lake/ River/Nala	 Chikkodagali lake-1.7 km (W) Balakundi lake-5.2 km (W) Ilkal Nadi-6.4 km (W) Hanamgeri Lake-6.6 km (SW) Balakunditanda lake-6.8 km (W) 					
			6. Hosahalli Lake-7.8 7. Hire singangutti lal 8. Hire/Maski Nadi-8 9. Hire Halla-10.5 km 10. Manutagi kare-12.8 11. Kandgi Halla-13.7 12. Hanamsagarlake-14.6 km			lake-8 km (NE) i-8.2 km (SE) km (NE) 2.8 km (WSW) .7 km (NW) -14 km (SW)		
	ь	Distance from Protected area notified under wildlife protection act	the project boundary	protected forests are present within 15 km of project boundary. namasagar Block RF- 11 km (WSW).				
	C .	whether located in critically /severally polluted area as per the CPCB norms	No			_		
5		v/Expansion/Modification/Pr ct mix change	New Project					
6		Area (Sqm)	270.03 acres (109.28	Ha)				
7	Buil	t Up area (Sqm)	Type of Area	in acres	in Ha	in Percent (%)		
			Industrial	165.57	67.00	61.31		
			Commercial	6.87	2.78_	2.54		
			Amenities	6.71	2.72	2.50		
			Utility	8.16	3.30	3.02		
		•	Park/ Green Buffer	37.13	15.03	13.75		
			Parking	13.50	5.46	5.00		
			₂ Road Total	32.09 270.03	12.99 109.28	11.88		

Am

8	Component of developments and		Infractmenture facilities		
8	Categories of Industries		Infrastructure facilities: Industrial plots, Internal roads and approach road. Power supply system and street lights, Water supply & drainage network, Rain water harvesting system, storm water drains, Parking area, Public utilities, Green belt development, Commercial office area, Parks and buffer zone: Administrative & office building, Emergence medical center, Canteen, Public toilets, Ground		
			Level Storage Reservoir (GLSR), KPTCL su station, Municipal solid waste collection are Solid Waste Management facility, CSTP & CETP Only Green, Orange and White category industriwill be allowed to develop in the proposed IA. No red category industries will be allowed to develop		
9	Proj	ect cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 161.75 Crores		
10	Deta	ails of Land Use (Sqm)			
	a	Industrial plot area	As per Point no. 7 above.		
	ь	Commercial plot area			
	Ç	Amenities plot area			
· ·	d	Utility plot area			
	е	Greenbelt / Buffer and Park			
	е	Parking			
	f	Internal Road along with 2m			
		wide greenbelt buffer			
<u> </u>	g	Others			
	<u> </u>	Total	270.03 Acres (109.28 Ha)		
11	WATER POLLUTION				
	I				
	a.		KIADB through ground water source after securing necessary permission from CGWA.		
	b.	Total Requirement of Water KLD	Total: 981 KLD Fresh: 674 KLD Treated: 307 KLD		
	c.	Requirement of water for industrial purpose /production in KLD	300 KLD		
	d.	Requirement of water for Domestic purpose in KLD	137 KLD		
	e.	Waste water generation in KLD	214 KLD from Industrial activities 123 KLD from Domestic activities Total: 337 KLD		
	f.	CSTP & CETP capacity MLD	CETP of 260 KLD Capacity CSTP of 150 KLD Capacity		
	g.	Technology employed for Treatment	CETP: Primary Treatment (Screening, O & G removal, grit removal) Physico-chemical treatment (Chemical dosing, Flash mixture, coagulation, Flocculation, Primary		





_			
			settler and sludge dewatering).
			Secondary Treatment (Extended Activated Sludge Process with clarifier)
			Tertiary treatment (Disinfection, PCF & ACF
	İ		filters) & reuse back to the industries and greenbelt
			development, water sprinkling
			CSTP:
			Primary Treatment (Screening, grit removal)
			Primary clarifier, Aeration, Secondary Clarifier, Chlorination and disposal.
	h.	Scheme of disposal of	Treated wastewater will be used for greenbelt
		excess treated water if	development, water sprinkling. This will also send
12		any	back to industries for same purpose.
12	+	RPOLLUTION	
	a.	Sources of Air pollution	Construction Phase: Dust generation during site preparation, material transportation, construction activities, Loading and unloading of materials, Vehicular movement pollution etc.
			Operation Phase: Dust generation from roads,
			material handling, emission from DG sets
			operation and emission generation from individual
 	L.	Composition of C	industries.
	b.	Composition of Emissions	PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} , Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) and Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx)
	c.	Air pollution control	Maintenance of Roads, vehicles, covered storage
		measures proposed and	of construction material, proper height of DG sets.
		Technology employed	Individual industries will also provide APCD as per project activities. More than 33% of area will
			be developed under greenbelt by KIADB as well as individual industries. Regular Air Quality
13	NIC	NCE DOLL LITION	Monitoring will be done.
1.3	+	Source of Noise wells in	121
	a.	Sources of Noise pollution	Noise from Road transportation, construction activities, material handling, plant operation etc.
!	b.	Expected levels of Noise	The major noise generating sources within
		Pollution	industrial park are boilers, vibrators, ID fans & FD
			fans, DG sets etc. the expected noise levels due to
			the above instruments/equipment and machineries
	c.	Noise pollution control	varies from 78dB(A) to 100 dB(A). Greenbelt development, regular monitoring of
		measures proposed	Noise level, Major noise generating equipment will
		- *	be designed with 85 dB (A) ensuring cumulative
			noise at 1.0 m remains at less than 85 dB (A),
	i [Acoustic silencers will be provided, Use of
			personal protective devices, Periodic maintenance
14	337 A	STE MANAGEMENT	of the equipment.
1-7			
	Ope	erational Phase	Municipal solid waste will be collected in
	Ь——		segregated manner and will be given to Authorized



				vendors, Municipal land fill & Compost. Biomedical waste will be collected in segregated manner as per Colour coding system and will be given to nearest CBWTF. Hazardous waste will be sent to Nearby TSDF and Waste oil, used batteries, Recyclable waste will be sent to authorized re-processor registered with CPCB.
15	PO	WER		
ļ	a. b.	the (ol Power Requirement in Operational Phase with ce	Power Demand (KW): 2290 kW (2.29 MW) Source: Electricity supplied by KIADB through KPTCL for construction & operation phase.
	0.	capa by P	city in KVA for Stand ower Supply.	DG sets arrangement: • 100 kVA DG sets =64 Nos • 250 kVA DG sets =24 Nos
	c.	purp	ils of Fuel used with ose such as boilers, DG, ace, TFFI, Incinerator etc,	 500 kVA DG sets =17 Nos 1000 kVA DG sets =4 Nos
16			vities: The plan has been proues and focus group discuss	repared based on the socio-economic survey, Public sion.
	S	. No		Item
		1	Drinking water supply	
		2	Health camps and facilitie	s
	\parallel	3	Skill development	
		4	Scientific support to farme	
		5	Rainwater harvesting, soil	
		6	Plantation (Avenue, comm	
17	EM		1	Capital Cost: 16.17 Cr
		struction	on phase phase.	Recurring Cost: 1.61 Cr
]				The above cost also included with EMP during
				construction as well as operation phase.

The Proponent submitted an application under Sl. No. 7(c) of the schedule under EIA Notification 2006. ToR was issued by SEIAA on 15.11.2021 and Public Hearing was conducted on 15.11.2022, where opinion/requests of people were recorded and EIA Report was submitted on 21.01.2023.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for the type of industries proposed to be established in the industrial area and for the drain as per village map. The Proponent informed the Committee that only Orange, Green and White Category industries would be allowed in the proposed industrial area and for the primary drain passing along south west to north east, a buffer of 9mtrs on either side from the edge has been proposed.

The Public hearing was conducted on 15.11.2022 and the Committeenoted the complaints received from public during public hearing. The Proponent submitted point wise compliance to all the complaints/requests and also other general issues raised by the public during public hearing. The Committee informed the Proponent to leave 15meter buffer all around the industrial area and each units to strictly achieve 33% green beltand to provide buffer for drains and water bodies as per norms.





For harvesting rain water, the Proponentinformed that for the total runoff they have proposed 180nos of recharge pits within the site area and informed that there will be no discharge of excess runoff water from the site area and the complete runoff water would be harvested within the site area.

The Committee informed the Proponent to carry out plantation in coordination with forest department and to make provisions for rain water storage ponds for rainwater harvesting and carry out plantation in buffer zone and maintain the natural drains as per topography and provide buffer as per byelaws, to rejuvenate the waterbody in the vicinity of the project site and KIADB to consider treating of nearby Muncipal water for non consumptive use in Industrial areas in the State, as this would reduce their dependency on fresh water and the Proponent agreed for all.

The Proponent agreed to grow 1.13Lakh trees in the project site area in consultation with Forest Department. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil, water noise and informed that are within the permissible limits. The Proponent assured to take mitigative measures for the anticipated environmental impacts and for disaster management and committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide 180no of recharge pits and to make additional provision for storage and reuse of rainwater within the site area.
- 2. To carry out plantation in coordination with Forest Department in buffers and adjacent to footpath.
- Proponent agreed to retain the natural drains and rejuvenate the water body in the vicinityof
 the project site and use as rainwater harvesting structure after obtaining necessary permission
 from concerned authority.
- 4. To Provide PHC facility to nearby villagers.
- 5. To provide dedicated line for effluent collection and STP and separate provisions for ducts for cables/water lines.
- 6. KIADB to consider possibility of treating sewage water of nearby municipal it's for non-consumptive purpose asthis will minimize fresh water demand.
- 7. To adhere to the compliance given in response to the opinion of public addressed during public hearing(mainly to provide employment for local people)

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.2 Proposed 95 TPD Sponge Iron, 5MW Waste Heat Recovery Based (WHRB) power Plant 4.99 LTPA Benificiation Plant &Clusher at Haruvanahalli Village, Hospet Taluk, Vijayanagara District by M/s. Saivijay Pragati Steel Udyog Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND1/412900/2023 (SEIAA 16 IND 2022)

About the Project:

	out the Froject:					
SL. No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP				
1	Name of the project proponent:	M/s. Saivijay Pragati Steel Udyog Pvt Ltd				
2	Name & Location of the project:	M/s. Saivijay Pragati Steel Udyog Pvt. Ltd. (SPSUPL) at Haruvanahalli Village, Hospet Taluk, Vijayanagara District, Karnataka.				
3	New / expansion/modification /Product mix change:	New & Expansion				
4	Capacity	5.0 LTPA Cru 195 TPD of D	eneficiation plant of 4.99 LTPA .0 LTPA Crushing & Screening plant 95 TPD of DRI plant MW WHRB power plant			
5	Plot Area	31.67 Acres		<u> </u>		
6	Built Up Area	11.71 Acres		-		
7	Land use pattern Green Belt Coverage - % of total area (trees proposed) Ground Cover area Kharab, Others.	Green Belt - 33.02 % &				
8	Project Cost	95.0 Crores	as per rerej,	Oulers - V.V		
9	Type of Industries.	Iron ore & Ferrous Industries Category 2(b) & 3(a) as per EIA Notification 2006				
10	Source of water -operational phase:	Ground Water				
11	Total Water Requirement (Domestic + Industrial) in KLD					
12	Fresh Water in KLD Recycled water in KLD	850 KLD 2526 KLD	"			
13	Total waste water generation in KLD	-				
14	Total effluents generation in KLD	-				
15	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water			,		
16	Quantity of Tailings and its management	333 TPD The tailings will be sold to Cement plant in the form of cake.				
17	ETP Capacity	-				
18	STP Capacity	10 KLD				
:	Types of waste Generation & its Disposal	Solid Waste	Proposed (Ton)	Modeof Disposal		
19		Tailings	333 TPD	The tailings will be sold to Cement plant in the form of cake.		
			•	<u> </u>		





		Fly Botto	ash/ om ash	24 TPD	Filling/ Manufa	Brick acturers	
		Dol	ochar	0 9 TPD	Re process industr	s/ Bri	in ck
20	Solid Waste	Tailing	s, Fly as	h, Dolochar	•		
21	Hazardous Waste and its handling		al Mode	oil– 0.85 TF : It will supp		authorize	d
22	CER Activities	 Distribution of Books at Govt School – Haruvanahalli Village. We have Provided utensils, to gvt school to 					
		progr	am.	ernment's M nter, LED T	•		
		Sport Haru	s Access vanahall	sories to Gov i village. of Doctor fo	vernmen	t School at	•
		check	cup to the ded supp	e nearby villort to Sport	lages.		vt.
		PlantDeve	ation at I loping th	Haruvanahal se computer ruvanahalli v	lab for C		
23	EMP Budget	SI No.		ticulars	No.	Cost (Lakh Rs.)	
		I	P	OLLUTION	CONT		1
		1	Water s (Mobi	le)	2	30.50	
		2		d drains all the plant	1500m	15.00	
		3	Gulley		20	2.00]
		5	Check Drains		2 1200m	6.0	
		<u>6</u> 7	Retaini	ng wall tling Pond	400m 2	6.0 4.00	-
		8		ting tank	ı	2.50	
		9		OTAL ESR	-	88.0 47.5 135.5	1
	EMP Construction. Operation.			are already maintained.			er,
	A service of the serv	3		W		•	
	; 				,		





	• Water sprinkling and dry fog type dust
	suppression system will be provided.
	The greenbelt & plantation will be developed
	in and around the plant.
	NOISE (Construction Phase)
	Selection of low noise generation machinery /
	equipment.
	All vehicles will silencers to minimize the
	noise
	NOISE (Operation Phase)
	 The most of the equipment shall be designed to comply with the stipulated limit of 85dB(A).
	Vibration isolators will be provided to reduce
	vibration and noise wherever possible.
	WATER (Construction Phase)
	Proper drainage of wastewater from the
	construction sites will be made, so that such
	waters do not form stagnant pools nor
	aggravate soil erosion.
	Proper and effective Environmental
	Management Planning will be implemented to
	minimize the water usage.
	WATER (Operation Phase)
	The wastewater generated will be treated and
	reused in circuit again and again.
	The tailing pond will be designed such that no waste water will percolate and mix with
	ground water. SOIL (Construction Phase)
	i '
	Water spraying shall be carried out on the roads inside the plant where vehicles carrying materials.
	The materials brought for construction will be
	stored covered with plastic/tarpaulin sheets
	and all the discarded materials will be
	disposed of regularly and shall keep the place
	neatly.
	SOIL (Operation Phase)
	 Dust emissions sources due to vehicular
	movement will be sprayed by water.
	Parking areas shall be identified. Unnecessary
	idling of vehicular movements shall be
	restricted. Vehicle speed shall be restricted to
24 EMP	< 15 kmph. ACTION PLAN FOR CONTROL OF STACK
DRI Plant	EMISSION MEASURES
DIG TIMIL	The waste gas generated in DRI process will
	be re-circulated generate electricity through
	WHRB power plant.
9	

Comp



	Wet scrubbing and Electrostatic precipitator (ESP) will be part of environment
	management system to clean the gases from
	DRI.
	Regular cleaning and maintenance of the air
	pollution control system will be carried out.
	The height of the chimneys will be increased
	based on requirement.
	Apart from road transport, the transportation
	of coal and other material will be preferably
	done by railway.
	Coal will be stored in a closed shed.
	ACTACHERS FOR PHOPERS PLAGGROUP
	MEASURES FOR FUGITIVE EMISSION CONTROL
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	• The vehicle carrying coal and Iron ore will be covered with tarpaulin.
	All Internal roads will be cemented to
	prevent the fugitive dust emission due to
	vehicular movement.
	Speed limit in plant premises will be in
	control.
	All transportation vehicles carry/ will carry a
	valid PUC (Pollution under Control)
	Certificate.
	Proper traffic management is being/will be
	undertaken.
	Proper servicing& maintenance of vehicles
	is being/will be carried out.
	Adequate greenbelt development.
	Dust masks are being/will be provided to
	workers coming in direct contact of fugitive
	emissions.
	 Water Sprinkling / Dry fog type dust suppression system will be provided.
	Adequate spares of critical components of
	dust and gas collection systems to ensure
	trouble - free operations.
	Ambient air quality is being/will be
	regularly monitored to keep a check on the
	emissions of different pollutants.

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (srinivaskodali1958@gmail.com) on 14thMay 2023 & 15th May 2023 for the present proposal and the Committee at the time of appraisal sought clarification for the following observations from the project Proponent and Consultant.



For the Complaint received on 14.05.2023,

1. Complaint: The said Sy.nos. already have infra structures of Sponge Iron Industry of 2×50 TPD since 2006-07?

Reply: Proponent informed the Committee that the existing DRI plant 2 x 50 TPD is located at Sy No. 37/A, 37/B and 34/4C over an extent of 9.81 Acres area, for which EC is obtained in the year 2006 vide letter No. FEZ 303 ECO 2005 dated: 12.12.2005 and now the beneficiation and additional DRI plant capacity of 95 TPD has been proposed in the 31.67 Acres area including existing 9.81 Acres area. The entire 31.67 Acres land has been converted into Non-Agricultural land (NA Land) and submitted layout plan indicating the existing plant area (9.81 acres) and the proposed plant area 21.86 acres.

2. Complaint: The industry is constructed in Sy.nos.33, 34/3, 32/6A without obtaining Panchayat Permission and CFE from KSPCB.

Reply: Proponent informed that as there are no construction activities of DRI plant in Sy. nos. 33, 34/3, 32/6A and hence, Panchayat permission and CFE from KSPCB are not obtained.

3. Complaint: The industry is established in sy.nos. 33,34/3, 32/6A also without converting the lands for industrial purpose? The said lands are converted for industrial purpose "ILLEGALLY" (converting agricultural lands which already have industrial infra structures is illegal) by the then DC in 2021 after being brought into his notice by me.

Reply: Proponent informed that there are no construction activities of DRI plant in sy. nos. 33, 34/3, 32/6A. The plant is located at Sy No. 37/A, 37/B and 34/4C (total 9.81 Acres) for which the NA is obtained in the year 2005. The NA conversion obtained is as per the government norms.

4. Complaint: The industry operated from 2008 to 2011 with-out CFO from KSPCB and against the Orders of Supreme Court.

Reply: Proponent informed that the application for CFO was submitted to the regional officer, KSPCB, Bellary on July, 2009 for a period July 01, 2009 to June 20, 2010, for which SVPSUPL also paid fee of rupees 30,000/- on 21.07.2009. However, for the said period consent was not issued by KSPCB. As per request from regional office, KSPCB, Bellary again a fresh application is filed along with required fees Dec 23, 2010 for the period of 2010-11. However, the consent was not issued by KSPCB again for the said period. Once again, the consent for the application submitted to regional office KSPCB, Bellary on 10th may 2011. The first consent for operation (CFO) was issued vide order No. 120/KSPCB/SEO-MINES/CFO/2011-12/454 dated 30.09.2011 with a validity upto 31.12.2011.





- 5. Complaint: The said sy. nos. are right in the Catchment Area of Heere-kere Lake?
 - Reply: Proponent submitted conceptual plan showing safety bufferof 10m both the side of water course/nalla from edge is maintained in the plant area. The Heere-kere lake is located at 285m east of plant.
- 6. Complaint:the site is against the sitting guidelines of CPCB being: A) not even 300mtrs from village as against 1000mtrs, B) not even 250mtrs from NH as against 500mtrs., C) as near as 400 mtrs from village school of Haruvanahalli, D) as near as 150 mtrs from (sy. no. 305) Notified Nandibanda Reserve Forest, 250 mtrs from Notified Bandri Reserve Forest.
 - Reply: Proponent informed that Haruvanahalli village is at a distance of 800 mts away from the plant area and National highway is at a distance of 500mts from DRI plant. The Haruvanahalli village school is at a distance of 800 mts. The Nandibanda Reserved Forest is at a distance of 570 mts towards NW. The BandriReserved Forest is at a distance of 1.30 kms. towards SE and the Proponent submitted the google map and toposheet showing the location of all along with distances.
- 7. Complaint: The industry is operating without EC from SEIAA? SECC Meeting held on 15-12-2012 states that it attracts EIA Notification 2006 and has to be dealt with through SEIAA.
 - Reply:Proponent informed that the EC was obtained in the year 2006 vide letter No. FEZ/303/ECO/2005 dated: 12.12.2005.
- 8. Complaint: if you recommend SEIAA to grant EC to the said proposal in tomorrow's Meeting, it is against Law as it is the grant of EX-POST FACTO CLEARANCE which is against the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 22nd September 2021 in case of violators of environmental norms like SVPSUPL. THIS IS THE REASON THAT THE PP HAS SHREWDLY PROJECTED THE PROPOSAL AS A NEW/ FRESH PROJECT INSTEAD OF APPLYING FOR EXPANSION OF THE OLD PROJECT.
 - Reply: Proponent informed that it is a new proposal for wet beneficiation plant and expansion by additional 95 TPD DRI plant.
- 9. Complaint: a public hearing was held on 28th November 2022 regarding the same in the project site which is objected by many farmers who submitted their objection leiters? Go through my letter to DC which has all the details of the site and the infractions of the old illegal industry in the proposed site.
 - Reply: Proponent informed that the public hearing was held on 29th November 2022, during the public hearing totally 48 peoplehad spoken in public hearing and expressed their opinion. Totally 39 people are expressed their positive opinion to establish the industry and 6 people expressed their views to improve the environmental control measures. Smt. Padma Kodali and other 2 peoplewere against the industry.



10. Complaint: Giving EC to a Red Category Metallurgical Industry that falls under 17 Category of Highly Polluting Industries in abutting land of a big agricultural activity of 1.25lakh birds is against Sensitive Area Guidelines of CPCB? Before giving CFE to any sponge iron Industry beside agricultural activities KSPCB has to consult departments of Agriculture/Horticulture and SEIAA has no authority to grant EC beside Highly Sensitive Agricultural Activity of Poultry Farming.

Reply: Proponent informed that the land is converted as a non-agriculture and the industries are operating with valid CFE, CFO EC and all other statutory clearances.

For the Complaint received on 15.05.2023,

1. Complaint: The said project of Sai Vijay Pragati Steel Udyog Pvt. Ltd. for which application for EC is submitted is Old one or New one?

Reply: Proponent informed that it is new project for 4.99 LTPA beneficiation, 5MW WHRB power plant & expansion for additional 95 TPD DRI plant.

2. Complaint: If it is a new Project, are the Sy. nos. mentioned in the application vacant/empty lands?

Reply: Proponent informed that it is new project for 4.99 LTPA beneficiation, 5MW WHRB power plant & expansion for additional 95 TPD DRI plant. The survey numbers 32/6A, 33, 34/4A1, 34/4B1 and 34/3 over an extent of 21.86 Acres is vacant land.

3. Complaint: the EC you are about to grant is for "fresh project"?

Reply: Proponent informed that the EC shall be granted for new project for 4.99 LTPA beneficiation, 5MW WHRB power plant & expansion for additional 95 TPD DRI plant.

4. Complaint: If it is an old project, the EC you are recommending for is for expansion of the old project?

Reply: Proponent informed that the EC shall be granted for new project for 4.99 LTPA beneficiation, 5MW WHRB power plant & expansion for additional 95 TPD DRI plant.

5. Complaint: If it is a "new" project will there be only 95 TPD sponge iron Unit in the premises in future? Or will the lands contain an extra 95 TPD other than the present 2×50 TPD Sponge Iron Unit?

13

Reply: Proponent informed that the EC is for including additional 95TPD DRI plant, the total DRI capacity will be 195 TPD.



1

6. Complaint: Did you inspect the site to answer all the above questions?

Reply: Proponent informed that SEIAA inspected the site during Joint inspection 24th & 25th March 2023 as per the Hon'ble NGT directions.

7. Complaint: Is it your duty to inspect the project site before recommending the grant of EC or not?

Reply: Proponent informed that SEIAA inspected the site during Joint inspection 24th 25th March 2023 as per the Hon'ble NGT directions.

8. Complaint: My agricultural activity of poultry farming in 22.5 acres extending from the abutting lands of sy.nos.34/3 & 33 towards West of the project mentioned in FORM-I submitted by the PP?

Reply: Proponent informed that the proposed plant is coming up in sy No. 32/6A, 33, 34/4A1, 34/4B1, 34/3, 34/4C, 37A & B. The poultry farm falls under the agriculture land activities of land use plan of study area.

9. Complaint: The presence of Heere-kere in sy.no.36 abutting sy.no.37A & 37B of the project mentioned in FORM-I and so on.... regarding the distances of all the 3 Notified Reserve Forests & Mountains/hill blocks with Mangroves around the project site etc. mentioned in FORM-I?

Reply: Proponent informed that the distances of the Reserved Forest are given in the Form-1 as well as in EIA/EMP report page No. 134. Below are the details of the reserved forest are given for reference.

Sl. No.	Reserved Forest	Distance Kms	Direction
1	Bandri forest	1.30	SE
2	Chilakanahatti forest	2.60	sw
3	Shivapura forest	5.00	S
4	Nandibanda forest	0.57	NW

The Committee accepted the clarification given by Proponent and appraised the project.

The proposal is for establishment of 4.99 LTPA iron ore beneficiation, 5MW WHRB power plant & expansion of existing 2x50TPD DRI plant to 195 TPD DRI plant by adding 95TPD DRI plant in area converted for industrial purpose. ToR was issued by SEIAA on 24.06.2022 and public hearing was conducted on 29.11.2022.

During the appraisal, the committee sought clarification for pending cases in Hon,ble NGT, disposal of tailings, handling of fugitive emissions, cumulative emission details considering existing and proposed plants and details as per village map. The Proponent submitted undertaking and informed that NGT cases against SPSUPL are already disposed off and there is no court cases/NGT cases pending asigned the proposed project. The Proponent informed about the control measures to be taken in and around the benefication plant and informed that the total water requirement for benification plant is 3600cum out of which

and the

3200cum (i.e 89%) will be recovered and recirculated and there will be 400KLD of fresh water requirement. For handling tailings, Proponent informed that about 330TPD of tailings is generated per day and tailings from filter press/tailing pond will be recovered in form of cake and to be disposed to cement plant(Ultratech Cement Koppal, L&T cement in Kudatini and Koppal) and tailing pond will have liner system in form of SULPAULINE plastic film of 120GSM, which will be fixed over 150mm thick soil cover and dry pressed concrete and no chemicals would be used in beneficiation process and garland drains will be constructed to regulate the rain water around the tailing stock yard.

Further the Proponent informed about the control measures for sponge iron plant and action plan for control of stack emission measures and informed about the methods of controlling fugitive emission like concreting the internal roads, adequate green belt development, regular prinkling of water(dry fog dust suppressing system), regular monitoring of ambient air quality, transport vehicles considered with Pollution under control certificate, etc. For cumulative impact for air pollution considering Beneficiation plant, C&S Plant, DRI Plant, WHRB Plant, Transportation and handling, Proponent submitted the values of PM10, PM2.5, Sox and Nox values tabulated below,

Station No	Sizeton Name	Baseline Max Value (µg/m³)		Predicted GLC (pg/m³)			Camulative Concentrations (µg/12 ⁵)						
		PM 16	PM2.5	502	Non	PM 10	PM2.5	502	Nox	PM 10	PM2.5	502	Mex
AAQ1	Core Zone upwind Direction	85.79	33.09	14.71	17.35	7.579	3.490	0.231	0.325	93,37	36.58	14.94	17.68
AAQ±	Core Zone Down Wind Direction	77.12	31.58	19.97	21.99	17,46	8.690	0.354	0.527	94.52	46.27	20.32	22.52
AAQ3	HaruvanahaHi Village	67.42	19.59	11.71	14.65	1-195	0.598	0.037	0.012	68.62	20.19	11.75	14.66
MA	Chilakenshatti Village	78.05	25.56	16.97	10.33	0.220	0.110	0.069	0.031	79.27	25.67	17.04	18.36
AAQS	Minglenavina halli Village	65.21	19.28	11.42	13.22	0.067	0.033	8.009	0.005	65.28	19.31	11.43	13.23
AAQ6	Kattaval Tanda	64.21	19.19	9.85	12.04	0.010	0.005	0.014	0.008	64.22	19,20	9.86	12.05
AAQ7	Kondapura Village	64.08	18.36	13.6	15,12	0.003	100.0	0.006	0.002	64.08	18.36	13.61	15.12
#PAN	Handi Banda Village	64.15	16,97	13.55	15.68	0.0 20	0.010	0.027	0.011	64.17	16.98	13.58	15.69

Further, the Proponent informed the Committee that for the drains passing through the project area they had maintained a buffer of 10mtrs from the edge of the drain.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the statutory guidelines for the proposed construction/operation and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area to reduce dependency on groundwater.





The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To adhere to the compliance given in response to the opinion of public addressed during public hearing (mainly to provide employment for local people).
- 2. To carry three row plantation all along the boundary of the project and approach road to the industry.
- 3. To retain the course of natural drains.
- 4. To provide STP within the site area.
- 5. To provide stack height of 100 mtrs.


Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.3 Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Sajjupalli Village, Bagepalli Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (20-00 Acres) (Q.L.No.201) by M/s. Jewel Rock Granites - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/419778/2023 (SEIAA 36 MIN 2021)

About the project:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	PROVIDED BY PP		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Jewel Rock Granit	es		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry Pro at Sy.Nos.116 & 77 of Sajjupalli Villa Bagepalli Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (20 Acres) (Q.L.No.201)			
<u> </u>		Latitude	Longitude		
		N 13 ⁰ 53′35.7″	E 77º57'32.3°		
		N 13º53'37.0"	E 77°57′41.8″		
		N 13º53′36.5"	E 77º57'48.8"		
		N 13°53′43.0″	E 77º57'49.6"		
		N 13º53'43,3"	E 77º57'46.5"		
		N 13º53'41.5"	E 77º57'46.2"		
		N 13º53'42.0"	E 77º57'39.4"		
		N 13°53′41.4″	E 77º57°36.0*		
_		N 13º53′40.6″	E 77°57′33.3″		
3	Type Of Mineral	Ornamental Stone (Grey	Granite) Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Renewal	· · · · · ·		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government			
6	Area in Acres	20-00 Acres			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,12,365 Cum/annum (including waste)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.90 Crores (Rs. 90	Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	44,31,948 Cum (includir	ng waste)		





10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		50,564 Cum/annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: To provideone roo School in Sajjupalli and to grow 2000		om with infrastructure and toilet facility to Govt. trees around the project boundary.
12	EMP Budget		akhs (Capital Cost) &8.00 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	12.06.2016	
14	Quarry plan	01.01.2021	
15	Revenue	08.12.2015	
16	Cluster Certificate	13.01.2021	

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committeethat the proposal is for renewal for which earlier lease was granted on 13.09.2004 with QL No. 201 for 5 years and the lease was in operation from 2004-05 to 2012-13 and had become non-operational from 2013-14 till date and justified the same as per the audit report issued by DMG dated 06.03.2023.

For existing leases, as per the applicability of cut off dates given in clause 3 of 233rd SEIAA meeting dated 18.04.2023, Proponent informed that as they were in the process of obtaining required NoCs from concerned departments for renewal of lease and could obtain obtained revised Notification only on 09.11.2020 from Department of C&I with inclusion of ornamental stone (Grey Granite), they could not apply for EC prior to 31.03.2016. Further, as no mining activity was carried on after 2013-14 till date and no environmental damages had been caused, the Proponent requested the Committee not to consider the proposal under violation category.

The Committee after discussion, decided to consider the proposal based on the DMG audit report, informing that no mining activity had been carried out from 2013-14 till date, implying that there was no environmental damage/pollution and opined that as an environmental Committee, violation should be ascertained based on the damage caused to the environment and not on the procedural lapses and decided to request SEIAA to consider the deliberations of the Committee in this proposal, whilehandling violation cases in respect of existing lease, as there is no requirement for Damage Assessment, Remedial Plan and Community Augumentation Plan as per SOP issued by MoEF&CC, Dated:07.07.2021, in this case.

The proposal is for renewal of Grey Granite quarry and SEIAA had issued ToR on 14.07.2021 and public hearing was conducted on 24.08.2022, wherein opinion of 8 people were recorded.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 230 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after concreting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the request raised by public during public hearing for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.





The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, withproved mineable reserve of 44,31,948 Cum(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be coterminous with lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 1,12,365 Cum/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to concreting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to use the waste as building stone by obtaining necessary permission.
- 4. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.
- 5. Proponent to segregate and manage the soild waste generated within the site.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.4 Residential Apartment with club house Building Project at Kodathi Village, VarthurHobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. PSR Builders and Developers - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/418368/2023 (SEIAA 62 CON 2023)

About the Project:

S1. 1	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP		
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. PSR Builders and Developers No.32, PSR Marvel, 3 rd Floor, Bellary Main Road, Hebbal, Bangalore -560024		
2		Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment and with club house Building, at Sy. No. 81 of Kodathi Village, VarthurHobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore		
3 Type of Development		Type of Development			
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification.		
	Ъ.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA		
4		New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New		
5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Water body in south, Hadosiddapura Lake Located at a distance of 0.5Km towards West o the project site. Kodathi Lake Located at a distance of 1.10Km towards East of the project site. Halanayakanahalli Lake Located at a distance of 1.75Km towards North west of the of the project site Huskur Lake Located at a distance of 2.30Km towards South of the project site.		
6		Plot Area (Sqm)	31,261.53Sq.mts		



W


7	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	90,012.17 Sq.mts
 		FAR	70,012.17 Bq.iiii3
,	3	Permissible	2.25
`	-	Proposed	2.24
		Building Configuration [Number of	4 towers Basement +Stilt + Ground
		Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	+13Upper floors+ Terrace and
5	•	Numbers of Basements and Upper	Club house G+1UF+Teracewith
		Floors	
		Number of units/plots in case of	560 units
١,	,	Construction/Residential	
1	י י	Township/Area Development	
		Projects	
	·		As per CCZM Bangalore permissible top
1	1	Height Clearance	elevation is 980m AMSL and proposed top
			elevation is 958.48m AMSL
1	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	54.25 Crore
			C& D Waste 2700Cum
			The debris generated will be used within the site
			for internal roads & pavements formation and
			Landscape formation
1.	3	Disposal of Demolition waster and	Excavated earth of40642cum
		or Excavated earth	
			The earth excavated generated from the project
			site will be utilized within the project premises
			for back filling, gardening road and walk way
1.	4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	and construction of compound wall.
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	7892.80Sq.mt
	b.	Kharab Land	4,046.80 Sq.mt
		Total Green belt on Mother Earth	8,980.86Sq.mts
		for projects under 8(a) of the	1
	C.	schedule of the ElA notification,	
		2006	
	d.	Internal Roads	10,341.07Sq.mts
	e.	Paved area	10,071.07.5q.mis
	f.	Others Specify	
		Parks and Open space in case of	NA
	g.	Residential Township/ Area	
		Development Projects	21.071.520
	h.	Total	31,261.53Sqm
1	3 1.	WATER Construction Phase	
	1.		Tertiary treated water from STP for
	a.	Source of water	construction and Domestic- Tanker
		Quantity of water for Construction	13.60KLD
	b.	in KLD	10001100
		Quantity of water for Domestic	2.7KLD
	C.	Purpose in KLD	
	c. d.	Purpose in KLD Waste water generation in KLD	2.16KLD





		<u></u>			
		Total domestic wastewater generated during			
e.	Treatment facility proposed and	construction phase will be treated in mobile			
"	scheme of disposal of treated water	STP and the treated water will be used for			
	<u> </u>	periphery landso	aping developing the area		
II.	Operational Phase				
	Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh 280KLD			
a.	KLD	Recycled	141KLD		
	KLD	Total	421KLD		
b.	Source of water	Grampanchayth			
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	337KLD			
d.	STP capacity	350KLD			
	Technology employed for	SBR Technology	v		
e.	Treatment	,	<i>'</i>		
		141 KLD will be	recycled/ reused for toilet		
) for landscaping, 47KLD for		
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Floor & commo	area washing,42KLD for		
	treated water if any	internal & Paven	nent area maintenance and		
		1	shing within the project site.		
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvest	ing	<u> </u>		
	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof		water collection sump		
a.	run off	250 Julii 1001 top water correction sump			
		11 Nos. of recha	rge pits are proposed to harvest		
В .	No's of Ground water recharge pits	paved area runoff13 Nos. of recharge pits are			
<u> </u>		proposed to harvest runoff from landscape			
<u> </u>		Carrying capacity of internal drain 8 cum/sec			
17	Storm water management plan	So, carrying capacity of internal garland drain is			
i .		adequate i. e., greater than 25 cum/sec			
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	and and it of ground than 25 days see			
I.	Construction Phase				
	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Solid waste gene	ration of 6Kg/day Handed over		
a.	and mode of Disposal as per norms	to authorized ven			
II.	Operational Phase				
		878 kg /day;			
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Composting by using organic waste Converter			
a.	generation and mode of Disposal as	(OWC) converted as manure& used for			
	per norms	landscaping.	od as manarede used for		
	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	579 kg/day; which will be handed over to the			
b.	waste generation and mode of	authorized vendo			
	Disposal as per norms	701120			
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Used oil of 350 I	itter per annum generated		
c.	generation and mode of Disposal as				
	per norms	from the DG set shall be sent to Authorized recyclers			
	<u> </u>		annum generated from the		
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and		ollected scientifically and sent		
-	mode of Disposal as per norms	to Authorized rec			
19	POWER	w Authorized let	yelela		
	Total Power Requirement -	Transformer cap	2000K V A		
a.	Operational Phase	ransionnei cap.	2000K Y A		
	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	Propose to provid	le DG set of 500KVA X 3Nos		
b.	KVA for Standby Power Supply	r ropose to provid	ic DO set of poor AV V 21402		
	Jonatary Long Supply	 _			





Г	C	. Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
	 `	Domino of Fuel used for 190 set	Total power saving using solar water heater per
			year- 1.77 lakh Kwh
ŀ	-		Total power savings using VFD for pump and
		Post	STP for every year 1.80 lakh kWH
		Energy conservation plan and	Total power saving using VFD for lifts per year
	d	Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy	3.00 lakh Kwh
ſ		as per ECBC 2007	Total power saving using solar external lighting
1		as per Lebe 2007	per year 4.77lakh kWH
	-		Total power saving using LED lights common
			street light per year 1.97 lakh kWH Total 13.31%
\vdash	20	PARKING	10tal 13.31%
H	T a		593 ECS
		Level of Service (LOS) of the	Approach road width:12m wide road C
	Ь	' '	
		Study Report	
	C	Internal Road width (RoW)	Internal driveway within the project site: 6 m
\vdash		(2007)	wide
	21		Carrying avenue plantation across the service road, Providing RO facility for safe Drinking
			water to the Government School Students of
		CER Activities Proposed	Kodathi village which is located 1.2 Km(E)
		ODICINO LICENSE	from the project site,
1			Providing Sanitation facility to the Government
1			Primary School Kodathi village located 1.2 Km
L			(E) from the project site
	22		Construction phase
			Galvanized iron barricade sheet all-round the
			site- 16.85 Lakhs, Purchase of tanker water for
			Construction- 11.25 Lakhs, Plantations of
			saplings around the periphery and maintenance
İ			-6.85Lakhs, Environmental Monitoring – Air, Water, Noise-5.60 Lakhs EMP Cell- 5.00 Lakhs
			Waste water treatment during construction
			phase- 8.65 Lakhs Waste Management -
			4.15Lakhs total 58.35Lakhs
		EMP	Operation
		Construction phase	Capital investment
		Operation Phase	Sewage Treatment Plant-88.00 Lakhs,
		operation i nade	Rainwater harvesting facilities-16.00Lakhs
			Landscape development-8.90Lakhs, Acoustic &
			Stacks for DG sets- 9.45 Lakhs, Organic Waste
		f	Converter -25.30Lakhs Total-147.65Lkahs
		·	Recurring cost
		1	STP Maintenance -6.00 Lakhs, Landscape
		1	Maintenance 2.50 Lakhs, Organic waste
			Maintenance 1.25 Lakhs EMP Cell- 3.50
		•	Lakhs, Environmental Monitoring-Air, Water,
<u></u>			Noise-4.75 Lakhs total 18 Lakhs/ Annum





The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for waterbody, carttrack as per village mapand provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that 30mtr buffer from edge is proposed for the water body in south and for the cart track, Proponent informed that there is no B-Kharab as per RTC in proposed survey number and had left 17.5mtrs on either side for the H/T line in south west. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 230cum for runoff from rooftop, landscape and paved areas in addition to 24nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water for which the Proponent agreed for all.

The Proponent agreed to grow 390 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank 230cum capacity and 24number of recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.5 Residential Apartment and a Club House Project at Shivanahalli Village and Jakkur Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Mana Projects Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/421369/2023 (SEIAA 68 CON 2023)

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Kishore Kumar. H Vice President – Business Development M/s. Mana Projects Private Limited No. 20/7, "Swamy Legato", 3 rd Floor, Kadubeesanahalli, Marathahalli Outer Ring Road, Bengaluru – 560 103





2	Name & Location of the Project	"Residential Apartment and a Club House" Project at Sy. Nos. 33/4, 36/4, 37/5, 37/6 of Shivanahalli Village and Sy. No. 10/3 & 10/4 of Jakkur Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District - 560 064.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mail/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartmentand a club house Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There is a tertiary nala running on the centre of the project site, to which we have left 15 m buffer from centre of the Nala.
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	19,726.05Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	82,239.98Sqm
8	FAR Permissible Proposed	2.50 2.50
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Tower 1 – BF+GF+18UF, Tower 2 & 3 - 2BF+GF+18UF & Club House - GF+4UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	381 Nos
11	Height Clearance	Justification: There is an existing 19Upper floors building named Sobha HRC Pristine near to our project site (southern side), which is around 1.86 km from our project site and they have obtained NOC from Government Flying Training School, Jakkur Aerodrome. As per NOC, the site elevation is 899 m AMSL and permissible top elevation of the building is 963.7 m AMSL i.e., Permissible height of the building is 64.7 m. The site elevation of the proposed project site is 899.05 m AMSL & the building height is 59.3 m. So, the total elevation of the proposed project is 889.05 m + 59.30 m = 948.35 m)
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.177.00 Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Total Excavated earth quantity -29,167m ³ For Backfilling - 9,029m ³ For Landscaping - 9,788 m ³ For Driveway & hardscape - 5,352 m ³ For site formation - 4,998 m ³





Ground Coverage Area	1 2 200 200			
- Crounte Coverage rines	5, 908.20S qm			
Kharab Land	(Nala Kharab a	rea of 809.36 Sqm is not included i		
Kliarao Land	the site area, but it is shown in the site plan)			
Total Green belt on Mother Earth				
for projects under 8(a) of the				
1	į			
	4.865.50Sam			
	1 4,803.303qm			
	Samiona area	705 56 Com		
		793.30 Sqm		
	10.50 - 55			
	19,726.05Sqm			
Construction Phase				
		water requirement will be met b		
Source of water	external suppl	iers and water requirement fo		
Source of Water	construction pu	rpose will be met by STP tertian		
	treated water.			
Quantity of water for	40KLD			
Construction in KLD				
Quantity of water for Domestic	9KLD			
<u> </u>	8 KLD			
		ge generated during construction		
	Domestic sewage generated during construction phase will be collected and treated in mobile STP,			
	treated water will be reused for dust suppression!			
water	treated water will be reused for dust suppression/			
Operational Phase	Turidscaping With	in the site.		
- Paratonia I Hab	Γ	199KLD		
Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	13381.0		
KLD	Flushing	101KLD		
	Total	300KLD		
Source of water	BWSSB			
Wastewater generation in KLD	270 KLD			
		300KLD (area 213.12 Sqm)		
Treatment	Sodeming Date	. Accepted toolingings		
	Excess 100KIT) for construction works/Avenue		
		Joi Construction Works/Avenue		
	Z I VC UIII			
	21 No.			
_ ·	21 NOS.			
pito	W/	100		
C+	water pond of l	00 cum capacity will be provided		
Storm water management plan		for domestic purpose.		
	Internal garland	drains will be provided within the		
Δ 24	4 h	1		
24	4	٧		
2.	4)		
24	4			
_	for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006 Internal Roads Paved area Others Specify Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects Total WATER Construction Phase Source of water Quantity of water for Construction in KLD Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD Waste water generation in KLD Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006 Internal Roads Paved area Others Specify Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects Total WATER Construction Phase Source of water Quantity of water for Construction in KLD Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD Waste water generation in KLD Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off No's of Ground water recharge pits Water pond of 1		





		recharge pits excess runof	to carry out the and will be mar f will be routed n western side of	naged withit to the exte	in the site, mal storm	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT					
I.	Construction Phase					
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	generation of minimum and Construction	on provision of domestic so will be handed of debris -42 m ³ reused within the mation.	olid waste over to loca	will be alvendors	
II.	Operational Phase					
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	will be pro	segregated at he cessed in proper capacity 100 kg/l	osed orgai	nic waste	
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	528kg/day Recyclable authorized wa	wastes will be	e handed	over to	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste Oil Generation:200 L/Annum (0.40 L/running) hour of DG Hazardous wastes like waste oil from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers.				
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will	ll be collected se to authorized E	parately &		
19	POWER					
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1530kVA				
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	200 kVA – 1 No.	No, 250kVA – 1	No. &400 l	cVA – 1	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	178.10 l/hr				
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 PARKING	heater, LED, Lifts etc	ransformer, Solar high efficiency l nergy savings is a	Pumps and	motors in	
20		717 000	<u> </u>			
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	717 ECS				
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Road Jakkur ! NH-7 (Bengaluru_ Hyderabad Highway	Towards Main Road Airport (MCW) Airport (SR)	Existing B C B C	B B C	
	,	I II GII WAJ	Bengaluru (MCW)			





		Bengaluru (SR)	В	В
C.	Internal Road width (RoW)	18.20 m wide existing Jakku	r main road	
21	CER Activities Proposed	Rejuvenation of Hegondana	nallikere Lake	3
22	EMP	During Construction: Capital Investment – 6.44La Construction – 75.76Lakh During Operation: Capital investment – 155.00 Operation Investment – 26.5	Lakh	m

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA. The Proponent informed that EC was issued earlier to M/s. Sai Kalyan Builders & Developers Pvt. Ltd. vide EC no. SEIAA 16 CON 2020 dated 20.08.2020 and subsequently the land owner cancelled the JD agreement with M/s. Sai Kalyan Builders & Developers Pvt. Ltd. and had executed fresh JD agreement with M/s. Mana Projects Pvt. Ltd. No construction has started in the Project area and the earlier EC vide no. SEIAA 16 CON 2020 has been surrendered to SEIAA on 26.04.2023. So the committee took up the appraisal of this project.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain, cart track as per village map, railway line and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee about the tertiary drain passing in the center of the project area that they have proposed buffer of 15mtrs on either side and the cart track in the center of the plot is left for free public access and the proposed BDA RMP road passing in the center has been left as it is. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 210cum for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 100cum capacity for the runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 21nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project for which the Proponent agreed for all.

The Proponent agreed to grow 247trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the bylaws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

 To provide RWH tank of 210cum capacity, Pond of 100cum capacity and 21 number of recharge pits.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

· 1


26

296.6 Police quarters (Residential Apartment) at Nagara & 8thMain, Bramhakumari Road, P.J. Extension, Davanagere Taluk and District by M/s.Constrction of Police Quarters - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/411526/2022 (SEIAA 31 CON 2023)

About the Project:


	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
		" .	The Superintendent of Police
] 1	l '	Name & Address of the Project	Department of Police, Devaraj UrsBadavane
[Proponent	"C" Block, Davanagere District-577004
			Police quarters (Residential Apartment)
؍ ا			Building at Sy. No 61, Nagara Sy No 245 and
2	2	Name & Location of the Project	270 of 8th Main, Bramhakumari Road, P.J.
			Extension, Davanagere Taluk and District
3	3	Type of Development	
	Ö	Residential Apartment / Villas /	Residential Apartment
	_	Row Houses / Vertical	Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.
	a.	Development / Office / IT/ ITES/	
		Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	
1	b.	Residential Township/ Area	NA
	υ.	Development Projects	
4	1	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	Expansion
	•	Renewal	
	İ	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity	Kunduvada Lake-0.25KM (SW)
5	5	of project site	Bathi kere-4.5KM (W)
		- Project site	Tertiary Nala-28meter (W)
6	5	Plot Area (Sqm)	17,088Sq.mt
7	,	Built Up area (Sqm)	28,374.41Sq. mt (Existing: 19,655.29Sq.mt and
<u></u>			proposed 8,719.12Sq.mt)
		FAR	
8	}	 Permissible 	2.25
ļ		Proposed	1.66
		Building Configuration [Number	The proposed Police quarters (Residential
9	,	of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc.,	Apartment) Block A S+G+ 5 UF Block B S+G+ 5
		with Numbers of Basements and	UF Existing Tunga G+7 Badra G+7 Krishna G+7
		Upper Floors]	SI Block 1 G+ 3 Netravathi G+ 8 SI Block 2 G+ 3
		Number of units/plots in case of	360 No's (Existing: 264 flats and proposed 96
10	0	Construction/Residential Township	flats)
		/Area Development Projects	N. 1 . 1 . 20
1		Height Clearance	Max height 28 meter
12	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.30.65Crore
			265 Cum
			The debris generated will be used within the site
			for internal roads & pavements formation and
.	,	Disposal of Demolition waster and	Landscape formation
1.	3	or Excavated earth	628cum
			The earth excavated generated from the project
			site will be utilized within the project premises
			for back filling, gardening road and walk way
			and construction of compound wall.





14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)			
a.			4,806.26Sq.mts (Existing: 3550.26Sq.mt and Proposed 1256.00Sq.mt)	
b.	Kharab Land	NIL		
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	5639.04Sq.mt (Existing: 3750.55Sq.mt and Proposed 1888.49Sq.mt)		
d.	Internal Roads	6642.70S	q.mt (Existing: 4114.50Sq.mt and	
e.	Paved area		2528.20Sq.mt)	
f.	Others Specify			
g,	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA		
h.	Total	17,088 Sq	m	
15	WATER	,	<u> </u>	
l.	Construction Phase	· · · · · · ·		
a.	Source of water	Source of water for construction Tertiary treated water from STP and domestic municipal corporation.		
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	5.90KLD		
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	2.7KLD		
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	2.16KLD		
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	The total domestic wastewater generated during construction phase will be treated in mobile STP and the treated water will be used for periphery landscaping developing the area		
II.	Operational Phase	peripiier y	landscaping developing the area	
	Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	178KLD(Existing: 118.50KLD and Proposed 59.40KLD) 90KLD (Existing: 59.4KLD and	
a.	KLD	Recycled Total	Proposed 30KLD) 268KLD (Existing: 178KLD and	
b.	Source of water	Municipal	Proposed 90KLD) Corporation	
C.	Waste water generation in KLD	240KLD	Corporation	
d.	STP capacity	100KLD and 125KLD [Enhancing Existing STP capacity from 45 KLD 125 KLD]		
е.	Technology employed for Treatment			
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	excess 90 KLD will be recycled/ reused for toilet flushing, 115KLD for landscaping within the project site.		
6	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting			
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off 125CUM (Enhancing rainwater existing sump capacity from 90cum to 125cum)			
ъ.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	35 Note 1 2 m Die 8 1 9 - Doubh		





		runoff Existing: 16 and Proposed 9 on paved area runoff10 Nos Proposed to harvest hardscape runoff	
17	Storm water management plan	Runoff water to be harvested in RWH tank of 125cum capacity and excess to be harvested in 18nos of RWH pits.	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	To be handled with mobile STP and construction waste to be used within the project site area.	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Total Biodegradable waste generation is 558Kg/day (Existing: 3896Kg/Day and 162Kg/Day Proposed)	
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Total Biodegradable waste generation is 369Kg/day (Existing: 264Kg/Day and 105Kg/DayProposed)	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Used oil of 80 Litter per annum generated from the DG set shall be sent to Authorized recyclers	
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-waste of 160kg/annum generated from the project shall be collected scientifically and sent to Authorized recyclers	
19	POWER		
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	Transformer cap 1000KVA	
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	Existing: 100 KVA X 2 Nos proposed 100KVA X1 No Total 3Nos X 100KVA	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set		
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total power saving using solar water heater per year- 0.62 lakh Kwh Total power savings using VFD for pump and STP for every year 3.04 lakh kWH Total power saving using VFD for lifts per year 4.00lakh Kwh Total power saving using solar external lighting per year 4.00lakh kWH Total power saving using LED lights common street light per year 3.16lakh kWH Total 14.82%	
20	PARKING		
b.	Parking Requirement as per norms Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Towards SH 76 Road:LOS B	
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	Internal driveway within the project site: 8 m wide	





21	CER Activities Proposed	Not applicable as it is Government project
22	EMP • Construction phase • Operation Phase	Construction phase Galvanized iron barricade sheet all-round the site- 8.85 Lakhs, Purchase of tanker water for Construction-6.75 Lakhs, Plantations of saplings around the periphery and maintenance -3.85Lakhs, Environmental Monitoring – Air, Water, Noise-3.25 Lakhs EMP Cell- 5.00 Lakhs Waste water treatment during construction phase- 2.65Lakhs Waste Management - 1.15Lakhs total 31.50Lakhs Operation Capital investment Sewage Treatment Plant-58.00 Lakhs, (existing:21 Lakhs and proposed 37 Lakhs) Rainwater harvesting facilities-8.55Lakhs (existing: 6.20Lakhs and proposed 2.35Lakhs) Landscape development-7.50Lakhs (existing: 5.90Lakhs and proposed 1.60Lakhs), Acoustic & Stacks for DG sets- 7.20 Lakhs (existing 4.80laksh and proposed 2.40Lakhs) Organic Waste Converter -16Lakhs Total-97.25Lkahs (existing 47.15Lakhs and Proposed 50.10Lakhs)
		Recurring cost STP Maintenance -6.00 Lakhs (existing: 3.75 Lakhs and proposed 2.25Lakhs), Landscape Maintenance- 2.30 Lakhs (existing -1.30Lakhs and proposed 1.00Lakhs), Organic waste Maintenance 1.00 Lakhs (existing 0.70Lakhs and proposed 0.3Lakhs) EMP Cell- 3.00 Lakhs(existing 1.75Lakhs and proposed 1.25Lakhs), Environmental Monitoring-Air, Water, Noise-5.00 Lakhs (existing 3 Lakhs and proposed 2 Lakhs) total 17.30 Lakhs/ Annum (existing 10.50Lakhs and proposed 6.80Lakhs)

The proposal is for expansion of Police Quarters in Davangere Harihar Planning Authority limits. The Proponent informed that for the existing construction, they had obtained CFO from KSPCB on 27.05.2021 and plan sanction from Karnataka State Police Housing Corporation Ltd. on 11.04.2018 for BUA of 16,655.33Sqm in plot area of 17,088Sqm and now it is proposed for BUA of 28,374.41Sqm, with no change in plot area and submitted an architect certificate dated 21.03.2023 to justify the existing BUA.

The Committee during appraisal sought details for drain as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that the tertiary drain in west is at a distance of 28mtrs to the project boundary and is out of the buffer zone. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent has proposed 125cum capacity of tanks for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 18 number of recharge pits proposed within the site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.



The Proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 357 trees in the proposed project area. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction and to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following details,

1. To provide RWH tank 125cum capacity and 35nos of recharge pits.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.7 Building Stone Quarry Project at K. Honnalagere Village, Maddur Taluk, Mandya District (2-00 Acres) by Sri N. Shivalingaiah- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/422654/2023 (SEIAA 163 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PI	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri N. Shivalingaiah	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 308 of K. Honnalagere Village, Maddur Taluk, Mandya District (2-00 Acres)	
	,	Latitude	Longitude
		N12"34" 15.0"	E77°07′11.1″
		N12°34′11 <i>3</i> ″	E 77°0 7′12.1″
		N12'34'112"	E77" 07 09.6"
<u>.</u>		N12"34' 14.5"	E77°07′08.6°
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]	Government	
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	61,856Tonnes/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	3,21,016 Tones (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	60,0000 Tones/ Annum ((excluding waste)





11	CER Activities: to grow 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to K. Honnalagere Village Road and Govt. School. To provide Health Care center in near by villages.				
12	EMP Budget	EMP Budget Rs. 8.45 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 4.26 Lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NOC	15.04.2016			
14	Quarry plan	04.02.2023			
15	Cluster certificate	21.02.2023			
16	Revenue NOC 20.09.2004				
17	Notification 01.08.2022				

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the applied area is Govt. land and the proposal is for obtaining fresh E.C. and further expolained that excavation was carried out in northern portion by locals in 2010and clarified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are four other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which two leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 4-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 200 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,21,016 tons (including waste) and estimated life of mine of 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 61,856 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



W

296.8 Ornamental Granite (Black Granite) Quarry Project at Shivapura village in Chamarajanagar Taluk & District (4-00 Acres) by Sri C. V. Nanjappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/423336/2023 (SEIAA 170 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULA	ARS	INFORMATION I	PROVIDED BY PP
]	Name & Address of the Projects		Sri C. V. Nanjappa	
	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the Project		Ornamental Granite (1	Black Granite) Quarry
ļ			Project at Sy. No. 247	of Shivapura village in
İ			Chamarajanagar Taluk	& District (4-00 Acres)
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 11°53′18.60″	E 76° 53′ 15.2000″
			N 11°53′18.70″	E 76° 53′ 13.7000″
1			N 11°53′27.90″	E 76° 53′ 14.4000″
ļ			N 11°53′27.80″	E 76° 53′ 17.2000″
			N 11°53′24.90″	E 76° 53′ 16.9999″
_			N 11°53′25.00″	E 76° 53′ 15.5000″
3	Type Of Mineral		Ornamental Granite (Bl	ack Granite) Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification /		New	
5	Renewal Type of Land [Forest, Government		Patta	
]	Revenue, Gomal, Priva		rana	
	Other]	ie / raua,		
6	Area in Acres		4-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /		5,333 Cumt/ Annum (in	cluding waste)
	Cum) Per Annum		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	ores)	Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs. 45	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of min	e/ Quarry-	1,04,400Cumt (includin	g waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum -	1,600Cumt/ Annum (red	covery)
	Cu.m / Ton			
11			of additional plantation	
			Shivapura Village Road	To provide digital class
	room to neary by Govt.			
12			khs (Capital Cost) &8.85	Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	01.01.2022		
14	Quarry plan	16.03.2023		
15	Cluster Certificate	17.03.2023		
16	DTF	29.07.2022		
17	C & I Notification	24.02.2023		

As per the cluster sketch there are three other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and one lease with extent of 28-20Acres has been ideal from 19.04.2013 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 7-02 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.



H

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,04,400 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be coterminous with lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 5,333 Cum/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to use the waste as building stone by obtaining necessary permission.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.9 Building Stone Quarry Project at H.ThimmapuraVillage, Taeikere Taluk & Chikkamagaluru District (2-00 Acres) by M/s. Ashoka Buildcon Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/417625/2023 (SEIAA 172 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	PROVIDED BY PP
l	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Ashoka Buildcon I	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. 26(Part) of H.Thimmapura village Tacik Taluk &Chikkamagaluru District (2-00 Acres	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 13°45′09.9°	E 75°46′34.6″
		N 13°45′09.6″	E 75°46′38.5″
i		N 13'45'07.3"	E 75°46′38.6″
		N 13*45'07.7"	E 75°46′34.7"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	





5			Government
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,		
	Other]		
6	Area in Acres		2-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Met	tric Ton /	3,06,658 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
	Cum) Per Annum		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine	e/ Quarry-	9,19,974 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m/Ton	_	
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum -	3,00,525 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities:To gro	ow 300 No.	of additional plantation on either side of the
	approach road from qua	rry location t	o H. Thimmapura Village Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 14.35 La	khs (Capital Cost) &5.13 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	28.02.2022	
14	Quarry plan	21.10.2022	
15	Cluster certificate	21.10.2022	
16	Revenue NOC	22.02.2022	
17	Notification	30.09.2022	

The Proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.


Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in the for upcoming meetings

296.10 Building Stone Quarry Project at Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk &Vijayanagara District (2-00 Acres) by Sri N. E. Kenchanagowda- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/419122/2023 (SEIAA 109 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri N. E. Kenchanagowo	la
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 520/4 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk &Vijayanagara District (2-00 Acres)	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 14°33′34.7″	E 76°01′17.2″
		N 14°33′34.4″	E 76°01'20.4"
		N 14°33′32.2″	E 76°01′20.5″
		N 14°33′31.8″	E 76°01′16.7″
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	





5			Patta
	Government Revenue, Gomal,		
_	Private / Patta, Other]		
6	Area in Acres		2-00 Acres
7	Annual Production ((Metric Ton /	61,224 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
	Cum) Per Annum		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In		Rs.0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	3,89,856Tonnes (including waste)
<u> </u>	Cu.m / Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	60,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities: To grow 300 No approach road from quarry location		of additional plantation on either side of the to Ucchangidurga Village Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 11.35 La	khs (Capital Cost) &4.03 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	15.10.2020	
14	Quarry plan	21.11.2022	
15	Cluster certificate	16.02.2023	
16	Revenue NOC	17.07.2021	
17	Notification	16.02.2023	

As per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 11-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1200 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,89,856 tons (including waste) and estimated life of mine as 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 61,224tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

3

296.11 Building Stone Quarry Project at Devarayapattana Village, Tumkur Taluk & District (0-20 Acres) by Sri D. N. Jayakumar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/412743/2022 (SEIAA 171 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sl.No	PARTICU	LARS	INFORMATION P	PROVIDED BY PP
Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 06(P) of Devarayapattana village, Tumkur Taluk & District (0-20 Acres) Latitude Longitude	1			Sri D. N. Jayakumar	
Taluk & District (0-20 Acres) Latitude	2	Name & Location of the Project			
Latitude Longitude N13'20'09.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'50.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13'20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" Renewal Government Government Government Government S-20 Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum S-129 Tones/ Annum (including waste) Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry Cu.m / Ton S-0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) Proved Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton S-0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road Devary Plan S-0.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010					
N13*20'09.50" E77'08'48.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'48.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'50.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'50.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'50.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'50.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'50.00" N13*20'08.50" E77'08'50.00" Renewal Renewal Renewal Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres 0-20 Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum (including waste) Per Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum (including waste) Per Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) 27,615 Tones (including waste) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry 27,615 Tones (including waste) CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
N13*20'08.50' E77'08'48.00' N13*20'08.50' E77'08'50.00' All Store Quarry Cuarry Government Government Government S,129 Tones/ Annum (including waste) Proset Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) 27,615 Tones (including waste) 27,615 Tones (including was				Landuce	rymghrase
N13'20'08.50' E77'08'50.00' N13'20'08.50' E77'08'50.00' Service of Mineral Building Stone Quarry New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal Enewal Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres O-20 Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010				N 13°20'09.50"	E 77"08'48.00"
Type Of Mineral New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) E77'08'50.00' Renewal Government Government Government Forest / Annum (including waste) 27,615 Tones (including waste) 5,026 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) 5,026 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Guarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010				N 13*20'08.50"	E 77*08'48.00"
Type Of Mineral New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) For Annum (including waste) Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010				N 13°20'09.50"	E 77°08′50.00″
New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal				N 13°20′08.50″	E 77°08′50.00″
Renewal Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Government Forest Annum (including waste) 27,615 Tones (including waste) 27,615 Tones (including waste) Cu.m / Ton 5,026 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) Fones/ Annum (excluding waste) CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NOC 21.06.2022 14 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 16 Revenue NOC 17 Notification					
Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 11 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 16 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 17 Notification 15.05.2010	4	<u> </u>	dification /	Renewal	
Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres O-20 Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) S,129 Tones/ Annum (including waste) 27,615 Tones (including waste) 27,615 Tones (including waste) Ton 10 Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 11 CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road 12 EMP Budget Revenue NOC 21.06.2022 14 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) 15 Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 16 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 17 Notification 15.05.2010					
Area in Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010	5			Government	
Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC Quarry plan Quarry plan Cluster certificate O1.12.2022 Revenue NOC CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Cluster certificate O1.12.2022 Revenue NOC CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Cluster certificate O1.12.2022 Cluster certificate O1.12.2022 Cluster certificate O1.12.2022 Cluster certificate O1.12.2021 Cluster certificate O1.12.2021	_		ate / Patta, Otherj	0.20 Agrag	
Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010			etrio Ton / Cum)	·	including weets)
Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010	′	•	cure rour cum,	5,127 Tolles Allium (mordanig waste)
Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010	8	<u> </u>	ores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20) Lakhs)
Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton 5,026 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010	9	Proved Quantity of mi		27,615 Tones (includir	ng waste)
/ Ton CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) Forest NOC 21.06.2022 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 Notification 15.05.2010					
approach road from quarry location to Devarayapattana Village Road 12 EMP Budget Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lakhs (Recurring cost) 13 Forest NOC 21.06.2022 14 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) 15 Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 16 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 17 Notification 15.05.2010	10		Annum - Cu.m	5,026 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
13 Forest NOC 21.06.2022 14 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) 15 Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 16 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 17 Notification 15.05.2010	11				
14 Quarry plan 29.11.2022 (Manual means) 15 Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 16 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 17 Notification 15.05.2010	12	EMP Budget	Rs. 9.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.32 Lak	ths (Recurring cost)
15 Cluster certificate 01.12.2022 16 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 17 Notification 15.05.2010	13				
16 Revenue NOC 26.03.2010 17 Notification 15.05.2010	14	Quarry plan	29.11.2022 (Manual means)		
17 Notification 15.05.2010	15	Cluster certificate	01.12.2022		
	16	Revenue NOC	26.03.2010		
18 Audit Report 01.08.2022	17	Notification	15.05.2010		
	18	Audit Report	01.08.2022		

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committeethat the proposal is for renewal & EC for which lease was granted earlier on 23.04.2011 with QL No. 785 for 5 years and the lease was non-operational from 2014-15 till date and justified the same as per the audit report issued by DMG dated 01.08.2022.





For existing leases based on the applicability of cut off dates as per clause 3 of 233rd SEIAA meeting dated 18.04.2023, Proponent informed that they had not carried out any mining activity after 2014-15 till date and no environmental damages have been caused and requested the Committee not to consider the proposal under violation category.

The Committeeafter discussion, decided to consider the proposal based on the DMG audit report, informing that no mining activity had been carried out from 2014-15 till date, implying that there was no environmental damage/pollution and opined that as an environmental Committee, violation should be ascertained based on the damage caused to the environment and not on the procedural lapses and decided to request SEIAA to consider the deliberations of the Committee in this proposal, while handling violation cases in respect of existing lease, as there is no requirement for Damage Assessment, Remedial Plan and Community Augumentation Plan as per SOP issued by MoEF&CC, Dated:07.07.2021, in this case.

The proposal is for renewal of lease which was granted on 23.04.2011 with QL no. 785. The Proponenthas submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG, informing that no mining activity has been carried out from 2014-15 till 2022-23.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 27,615 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,129 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to stregthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.12 Building Stone Quarry Project at Nuggahalli Village, Pandavapura Taluk, Mandya District (1-00 Acre) by Sri L. Ramalingegowda - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/420646/2023 (SEIAA 127 MIN 2023)

SLNo	PARTICUL	ARS	INFORMATION P	ROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of	he Projects	Sri L. Ramalingegowda		
2	Proponent Name & Location of	the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 57/1 of		
-	Name & Location of	me Project	Nuggahalli Village, Pand		
}			District (1-00 Acre)	iavapula Taluk, Mailuya	
			Latitude	Longitude	
			N 12*31′56.6"	E 76*42'47.5"	
			N 12*31'57.9"	E 76°42′47.8″	
			N 12"31'57.6"	E 76°42′49.6″	
			N 12'31'56.3"	E 76°42′49.7″	
			N 12"31'56.5"	E 76°42′51.5″	
			N 12*31′55.7"	E 76°42′51.1″	
			N 12°31′55.0°	E 76*42'49.6"	
			N 12°31′56,0″	E 76°42′49.6"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / M	odification /	New	· -	
	Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest		Patta		
	Government Revenue				
	Private / Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres		1-00 Acre		
7	Annual Production ()	Metric Ton /	15,790 Tones/ Annum (it	ncluding waste)	
	Cum) Per Annum		D 0050	11	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C		Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 L		
9	Proved Quantity of m	ine/ Quarry-	94,351 Tones (including	waste)	
10	Cu.m / Ton		16 000T/ A (
10	Permitted Quantity P Cu.m / Ton	er Annum -	15,000Tones/ Annum (ex	(cluding waste)	
11	l .	mous 200 No	l o. of additional plantation	on either side of the	
' '			to Nuggahalli Village Roa		
12	EMP Budget		khs (Capital Cost) &3.17 I		
13	Forest NOC	27.06.2017	Kiis (Capital Cost) &3.17 1	Zakiis (Reculting cost)	
14	Quarry plan	31.01.2018			
15	Cluster certificate	21.02.2023			
16	Revenue NOC	25.07.2016			
17	Notification	04.12.2017			

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that soil was removed for agricultural purpose and no mining operation was carried out and clarified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

uu,

39

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 1-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 670 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, withproved mineable reserve of 94,351 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 15,790 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.13 Building Stone Quarry Project at Kapnoor Village, Kalaburagi Taluk & District (3-30 Acres) by Sri Mohamad Chand Mansoor - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/420593/2023 (SEIAA 142 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION P	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Mohamad Chand Mansoor	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry P & 23/2(Part) of Kapnoo Taluk & District (3-30 A	or Village, Kalaburagi
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 17* 24′ 21.5″	E 76° 51′ 46.0″
		N 17° 24′ 20.2°	E 76° 51′ 48.2″
		N 17° 24′ 13.1″	E 76° 51′ 42.4″
		N 17° 24′ 13.3″	E 76° 51′ 41.1"
		N 17* 24′ 17.0°	E 76° 51′ 44.4″
		N 17° 24′ 18.0°	E 76° 51′ 43.1″
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	





4	New / Expansion / Mod Renewal	lification /	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, 6 Revenue, Gomal, Priva Other]		Patta
6	Area in Acres		3-30 Acres
7	Annual Production (Me Cum) Per Annum	etric Ton /	1,08,877Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	ores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of min	e/ Quarry-	9,19,974 Tones (including waste)
_	Cu.m / Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum -	1,06,704Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities: To grapproach road from qua	row 250 No.	of additional plantation on either side of the
12	EMP Budget		khs (Capital Cost) &4.95 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	26.12.2015	
14	Quarry plan	18.01.2023	
15	Cluster certificate	13.02.2023	
16	Revenue NOC	16.11.2015	
17	Notification	03.02.2023	

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that only trial pits were carried out in 2016 and no mining of mineral was carried out and clarified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are three leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 8-18 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 550 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 9,19,974 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 1,08,877 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

41

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.14 Ornamental Stone (Black Granite) Quarry Project at Thirumalakoppa Village, Kolar Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) (QL.No.714) by Smt. Venkatamma - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/422003/2023 (SEIAA 150 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICU	LARS	INFORMATION P	ROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Proponent	he Projects	Smt. Venkatamma		
2	Name & Location of the Project		Ornamental Stone (B Project at Sy. No. 2 Village, Kolar Taluk & (QL.No.714)	1 of Thirumalakoppa	
			Latitude	Longitude	
			N 13° 03′ 2.8467″	E 78° 01' 23.1364"	
			N 13° 03′ 3.4202″	E 78° 01′ 28.1053″	
			N 13° 03′ 1.799″	E 78° 01′ 28.3221″	
			N 13° 03′ 1.228″	E 78° 01′ 23.3758″	
3	Type Of Mineral		Ornamental Stone (Blac	k Granite) Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		Renewal		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government		
6	Area in Acres	_	2-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (M Cum) Per Annum	letric Ton /	5,950 Cu.mt/ Annum (ir	ncluding waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25	Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mi Cu.m / Ton		77,245Cu.mt (including		
10	Permitted Quantity Pe Cu.m / Ton	r Annum -	1,785 Cu.mt/ Annum (re	ecovery)	
11		CER Activities: To grow 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the pproach road from quarry location to Thirumalakoppa Village Road			
12	EMP Budget		s (Capital Cost) &3.83 La		
13	Forest NOC	06.12.2023			
14	Quarry plan	22.02.2023		-	
15	Cluster certificate	22.02.2023			
16	Revenue NOC	21.08.2023			
17	Notification	13.04.2005			





18

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committeethat the proposal is for renewal, for which lease was granted earlier on 20.06.2005 with QL No. 714 for 10 years and the lease was non-operational from 2012-13 till date and justified the same as per the audit report issued by DMG dated 27.02.2023.

For existing leases, based on the applicability of cut off dates as per clause 3 of 233rd SEIAA meeting dated 18.04.2023, The Proponent informed that they had not carried out any mining activity after 2012-13 till date and no environmental damages has been caused and requested the Committee not to consider the proposal under violation category.

The Committeeafter discussion, decided to consider the proposal based on the DMG audit report, informing that no mining activity had been carried out from 2012-13 till date, implying that there was no environmental damage/pollution and opined that as an environmental Committee, violation should be ascertained based on the damage caused to the environment and not on the procedural lapses and decided to request SEIAA to consider the deliberations of the Committee in this proposal, while handling violation cases in respect of existing lease, as there is no requirement for Damage Assessment, Remedial Plan and Community Augumentation Plan as per SOP issued by MoEF&CC, Dated:07.07.2021, in this case.

The proposal is for renewal of lease which was granted on 20.06.2005 with QL no. 714. The Proponenthas submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG, informing that no mining activities have been carried out from 2012-13 till 2022-23.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1,000 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 77,245 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 13 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,950 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to stregthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



296.15 Building Stone Quarry Project at Yalagalahalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (2-20 Acres) by Sri B. Narendra Kumar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/412744/2022 (SEIAA 128 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICU	LARS	INFORMATION E	PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of Proponent	_	Sri B. Narendra Kumar	
2	Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quart 404(P) of Ya Chikkaballapura Talu Acres)	lagalahalli village,
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 13'36'19.7"	E 77°47'03.8"
			N 13'36'18.3"	E 77 '47'06 .7"
}			N 13*36'14.8"	E 77°47'05.9"
			N 13°36′16.5″	E 77°47°03.0°
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / M Renewal	odification /	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government	1
б	Area in Acres		2-20 Acres	
7	Annual Production (N Cum) Per Annum	Metric Ton /	86,735 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	Crores)	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of m Cu.m / Ton	ine/ Quarry-	8,36,706 Tones (includi	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		85,000Tones/ Annum (e	_ ,
11	approach road from q	ties:To grow 350 No. of additional plantation on either side of the d from quarry location to Yalagalahalli Village Road		
12	EMP Budget Rs. 12.40 Lakhs (Capi		s (Capital Cost) &4.60 L	akhs (Recurring cost)
13	CCR from KSPCB	31.03.2023		
14	Quarry plan	14.11.2022		· <u> </u>
15	Audit Report	23.02.2023	· · · ·	·

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 22.05.2019 and lease is in effect from24.04.2011 with QL no. 148 The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 23.02.2023 and CCR from KSPCB on 31.03.2023

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 620 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

44

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 8,36,706 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 10 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 86,735 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by KSPCB.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.16 Building Stone Quarry Project at Yalagalahalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (3-00 Acres) (vide QL No. 114) by Sri B. Narendra Kumar - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/412804/2023 (SEIAA 129 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION P	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri B. Narendra Kumar	
Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project of Yalagalahalli Village, Chikl & District (3-00 Acres) (vide Quarry Project)		, Chikkaballapura Taluk	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 13°36'26.8"	E 77°46'57,1"
		N 13°36'24.1"	E 77°46'56.6"
		N 13°36'22.6"	E 77°46'01.2"
		N 13'36'25.3"	E 77°46'01.7"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government	
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres	•
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	96,939 Tones/ Annum (i	ncluding waste)





8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mir	ie/ Quarry-	10,86,596 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum -	95,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities: To gr	row 400 No.	of additional plantation on either side of the
	approach road from qua	arry location t	to Yalagalahalli Village Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 13.10 La	akhs (Capital Cost) &4.70 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	CCR from	31.03.2023	
	MSKSPCB		
14	Quarry plan	14.11.2022	
15	Cluster certificate	02.12.2022	
16	Audit Report	23.02.2023	

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 22.05.2019 and lease is in effect from 15.11.2010 with QL No.114. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 23.02.2023 and CCR from KSPCB on 31.03.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 470 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 10,86,596 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 96,939 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.





296.17 Building Stone Quarry Project at Yalagalahalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (1-20 Acres) (vide QL No. 115) by Sri B. Narendra Kumar - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/412805/2023 (SEIAA 130 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULA	RS	INFORMATION P	PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Proponent		Sri B. Narendra Kumar	
2	Name & Location of the	e Project	404(P) of Yal	y Project at Sy. No. agalahalli Village, & District (1-20 Acres)
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 13°36'23.7"	E 77'46'56.5"
			N 13°36'22.3"	E 77°46′59.4″
			N 13*36'20.0"	E 77°46`58.6"
			N 13*36'21.8"	E 77°46°55.9°
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Mod Renewal	ification /	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [Forest, G Revenue, Gomal, Privat Other]		Government	
6	Area in Acres		1-20 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Met Cum) Per Annum	tric Ton /	51,020 Tones/ Annum (i	including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25)	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine Cu.m / Ton	e/ Quarry-	4,23,688 Tones (including	ng waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per A	Annum - 50,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)		excluding waste)
11		row 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the arry location to Yalagalahalli Village Road.		
12	EMP Budget		khs (Capital Cost) &3.55	
13	CCR from KSPCB	31.03.2023		- 1
14	Quarry plan	14.11.2022	•	
15	Cluster certificate	02.12.2022		
16	Audit Report	23.02.2023		

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 22.05.2019 and lease is in effect from 15.11.2010 with QL no. 115 The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 23.02.2023 and CCR from KSPCB on 31.03.2023





There is an existing cart track road to a length of 470 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,23,688 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 8 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 51,020 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by KSPCB.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.18 Building Stone Quarry Project at Yalagalahalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) by M/s. Shiva Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/414093/2023 (SEIAA 28 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION P	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Shiva Stone Crusher	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. N of Yalagalahalli Village, Chikkaba Taluk & District (2-00 Acres)	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 13*36'17.2"	E 77*47'02.2"
		N 13"36'19.1"	E 77°47'59.3"
		N 13"36'21.7"	E 77°47'00.0"
_		N 13*36*21,1"	E 77*47'03.0"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government	
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres	





7	Annual Production (Me Cum) Per Annum	etric Ton /	61,225 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mir Cu.m / Ton	ne/ Quarry-	6,82,958 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Cu.m / Ton	Annum -	60,000Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11			of additional plantation on either side of the Yalagalahalli Village Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 11.70 Lal	chs (Capital Cost) &4.10 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	CCR from MSKSPCB	31.03.2023	
14	Quarry plan	14.11.2022	
15	Cluster certificate	02.12.2022	
16	Audit Report	23.02.2023	

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 11.01.2013 and lease is in effect from 29.05.2006 with QL no. 796 The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 23.02.2023 and CCR from KSPCB on 31.03.2023

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 6,82,958 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 61,225 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by KSPCB.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Au.

M

296.19 Building Stone Quarry Project at Thippainadurga village, Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District (5-00 Acres) by M/s. Venkateshwara Stone Crushers - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/255882/2022 (SEIAA 283 MIN 2022)

Sl.No	PARTICULA	ARS	INFORMATION I	PROVIDED BY PP	
- 1	Name & Address of th	e Projects	M/s. Venkateshwara Sto	ne Crushers	
	Proponent				
2	Name & Location of the	ne Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 42 of		
			Thippainadurga village, Pavagada Taluk,		
			Tumkur District (5-00 A		
			Latitude	Longitude	
			N14°13′25.9″	E 77°10′51.3″	
	,		N14°13′31.4″	E 77°10′52.3″	
			N14°13′31.8″	E 77°10′49.1″	
•			N14°13′27.8″	E 77°10′47.4"	
			N14°13′25.9″	E 77°10′47.3″	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Moo	dification /	Expansion		
<u> </u>	Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Government		
	Revenue, Gomal, Priva	ite / Patta,			
6	Area in Acres		5-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Me	etric Ton /	3,06,122Tonnes/ Annum	(including wests)	
	Cum) Per Annum	Jerio 10117	5,00,12210lines/Allifalli	(melading waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 I	Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mir	ne/ Quarry-	7,16,018Tonnes (including		
	Cu.m / Ton				
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum -	3,06,122 Tonnes/ Annum	n (including waste)	
11	Cu.m / Ton CER Activities:			<u> </u>	
11		L D C.	D 1 W1		
	Primary Sahaal T	e Koot top	top Rain Water Harvesting facility to nearby Govt.		
	Primary School, To	mppainaourg of Additional	dditional Plantations on both the sides of Approach road.		
12	EMP Budget	Rs 17 02 T	akhs (Capital Cost) &4.12	Lakha (Paguerina aa-t)	
13	Quarry plan	28.10.2020	unio (Capital Cost) 824.12	Lakiis (Kecurring Cost)	
14	Cluster certificate	16.09.2021		-	
15	CCR from KSPCB	02.12.2022			
	XIOIII XOI CD				

The Proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

50

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in the for upcoming meetings



W.

296.20 Building Stone Quarry Project at Bengre-II Village, Bhatkal Taluk, Uttara Kannada District (1-00 Acre) by M/s.Murdeshwara Industries - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/415834/2023 (SEIAA 113 MIN 2023)

Sl.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s.Murdeshwara In	dustries
2	Name & Location of the Project		arry Project at Sy. No. Village, Bhatkal Taluk, ict (1-00 Acre)
•		Latitude	Longitude
•		N 14º03' 40.0810"	E 74° 31' 30.7520"
		N 14° 03' 39.2026"	E 74° 31' 30.9539"
		N 14803' 38.6510"	E 746'31'31.8886"
		N 140 03' 38.7831"	E 740'31'32.8553"
		N 14°03' 38.7189"	E 74°31'33.2889"
		N 14803' 41.2259"	E 740'31'33.6172"
		N 14003' 41.3213"	E 74° 31' 32.4833"
		N 14º03' 39.9214"	E 74° 31° 32.3528°
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarr	у
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	31,579 Tones/ Annur	n (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs.	40 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,61,601Tones (inclu	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	30,000Tones/ Annum	(excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:		
	Year Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) 1st The proponent proposes to distribute nurser plants at Mallari village & Strengthening of approach road		
	village	_ ,	GHPS at Mallari
	3rd Solar Power P	at Mallari villag	
	road near Quai	rry site & Repa	of the approach air of road With
	5th Solar Power Panels in Governm primary school at Bengre village		
12	EMP Budget Rs. 7.20 Lake	khs (Capital Cost) &3.33	3 Lakhs (Recurring cost)





13	Forest NOC	16.01.2016	
14	Quarry plan	11.01.2023	
15	Cluster certificate	15.04.2023	
16	Revenue NOC	25.11.2014	
17	Notification	16.08.2022	

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining activity has been done and the sheds visible as per google images have been removed and clarified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are two other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and one lease is exempted as the lease expired on 07.05.2021 for which EC was granted on 26.03.2015 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 5-06 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1500 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,61,601 tons (including waste) and estimated life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 31,579 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to provide additional measures towards the surrounding vegetation by planting additional trees such as Halasu, Nandi.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Arr.

M

296.21 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 622/10, 622/11, 622/5 (Part) of Sattigeri village, Savadatti Taluk, Belagavi District (5-09 Acres) by Sri Ashok B. Angadi - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/419278/2023 (SEIAA 95 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICUL	ARS	INFORMATION I	PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		Sri Ashok B. Angadi	
2	Name & Location of the Project			y Project at Sy. Nos. Part) of Sattigeri village, vi District(5-09 Acres) Longitude E 75°01'46.3585" E 75°01'39.9022" E 75°01'39.9001" E 75°01'43.0998" E 75°01'43.5011"
			N 16°01'56.3008"	E 75°01'47.5002"
		·	N 16°01'53.2002"	E 75°01'47.0012"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Patta	
6	Area in Acres		5-09 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		1,53,520 Tones/ Annum	(including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs. 45)	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of m Cu.m / Ton		17,35,275 Tones (includ	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		1,50,450Tones/ Annum	(excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: To grow 600 No approach road from quarry location			n on either side of the
12			chs (Capital Cost) & 6.51	Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	04.12.2021		· = · · ·
14	Quarry plan	10.11.2022		
15	Cluster certificate	30.01.2023		
16	Revenue NOC	10.01.2022	•	•
17	Notification	04.09.2022	 	
	<u></u>			

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that DMG has clarified vide his letter dated 01.04.2023, that soil has been excavated for depth of 1.5mtr to 2.00mtr to check the availability of mineral and theexcavated soil is used for formation of approach road and no mineral has been extracted and clarified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.



M

As per the cluster sketch there are twelve other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of wchich two leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and seven leases are exempted as EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016 and thus the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 11-29 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 430 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 17,35,275 tons (including waste) and estimated life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,53,520 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.22 Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry project at Chikkagollahalli Village, KundanaHobli, Devanahalli Taluk,Bangalore Rural District (0-35 Acres) by Sri A Lakshmaiah - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/421778/2023 (SEIAA 157 MIN 2023)

Sl.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri A Lakshmaiah
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry project at In Sy. No. 17 in Chikkagollahalli Village, KundanaHobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (0-35 Acres)





			Louitude	Longitude	
ļ				N 13° 18' 09.5856"	E 77° 39° 14.0802°
				N 13° 18' 12,1780"	E 77" 39" 15.1896"
ŀ				N 13" 18" 12.2704"	E 77° 39" 14.8401"
<u> </u>				N 13° 18' 14.1001"	E 77° 39' 15.8000"
				N 13" 18" 13.9004"	E 77° 39′ 16.2010″
ŀ				N 13° 18' 12.2000"	E 77° 39′ 15.6999°
ĺ				N 13" 18' 11.6000"	E 77° 39' 15.8999"
				N 13° 18' 09.9000"	E 77° 39' 15.3000"
<u> </u>	77 051			N 13" 18"09.3000"	E 77° 39° 14.7000°
3	Type Of M			Ornamental Stone	(Grey Granite) Quarry
4	New / Expa	ansion / M	odification /	New	
5	Type of La	nd [Forest	. Government	Government	
	Revenue, C	Gomal, Pri	vate / Patta,		
ļ	Otherl		-		
6	Area in Ac	res		0-35 Acres	
7			Metric Ton /		m (including waste)
, 	Cum) Per A	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,540 Camb Fillio	in (monding waste)
8	Project Cos	st (Rs. In (Crores)	Rs. 1.15 Crores (R	s. 115 Lakhs)
9	Proved Qua	antity of m	nine/ Quarry-	70,222Cumt (inclu	iding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		• •	,	j
10	Permitted (Quantity P	er Annum - Cu.m	1,170Cumt/ Annui	m (recovery)
	/ Ton	•		1	
11	CER Activ	rities:		<u>. </u>	
	Year	Corpora	ste Environmental Re	esponsibility (CER)	
	Ist	Providi	ng solar power panel	s to the GLPS school a	t Chikkagollahalli Village
	2nd	· ·	ponent proposes to githening of approach	- ·	ints at Chikkagollahalli Village
	bnE.	Rain wa	iter harvesting pits to	the GLPS school at Ch	ikkagollahalli Village
	4th	Health:	camp in GLPS school	at Chikkagollahaffi Villa	age
	5th				
12	EMP Budg	et	Rs. 24.18 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs.	8.06 lakhs (Recurring
			cost)		<u>-</u>
13	Forest NOC		24.02.2015		
14	Quarry plan 0		08.03.2023		· -···
15	Cluster certificate 08.03.2023				
16	Revenue N	OC	07.12.2015		
17	C & I Notification 25.01.2023		25 41 2022		 :

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. Land andmining activity has been carried out by local villagers and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there are ten other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of whichnine leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining lease including the applied lease is 3-18 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.





There is an existing cart track road to a length of 464 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 70,222cum(including waste) and estimated life of mine of 30 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,340cum/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to use the waste as building stone by obtaining necessary permission.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.23 Laterite Stone Quarry Project at Ulaibettu Village, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District (4.30 Acres) by Sri Padmanabha kotian - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/423246/2023 (SEIAA 169 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Padmanabha kotian
2	Name & Location of the Project	Laterite Stone Quarry Project at In part of Sy. No. 115/1 of Ulaibettu Village, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina kannada District (4.30 Acres) Latitude Longitude N 12° 54' 44.9531" E 74° 55' 45.0752" N 12° 54' 40.4903" E 74° 55' 41.3491" N 12° 54' 46.2194" E 74° 55' 41.0459" N 12° 54' 47.1925" E 74° 55' 42.2452" N 12° 54' 48.3982" E 74° 55' 44.9683"
3	Type Of Mineral	Laterite Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta



H

6	Area in Acre	s	4-30 Acres	
7	Annual Prod	uction (Metric Ton /	1,57,895 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
	Cum) Per Ar	num		
8	Project Cost	(Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.40 Crores (Rs. 140 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quan	tity of mine/ Quarry-	14,99,102 Tones (including waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Qu	antity Per Annum -	1,50,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton	4		
11	CER Activit	ties:		
	Year	Corporate Environm	ental Responsibility (CER)	
	İst	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Ulabettu Village		
	2nd	2nd Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Ulaibettu Village		
	3rd	rd Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Ulaibettu Village		
	4th	4th Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop		
		and fodder		
	5th	Health camp in GHP	S school at Ulaibettu Village	
12			lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.76 lakhs (Recurring	
13	Forest NOC 18.02.202		0	
14	Quarry plan 17.03.202		3	
15	Cluster certificate 17.03.202		3	
16	Revenue NOC 21.01.202		0	
17	Notification	06.03.202	3	

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the top soil only was removed to check the availability of mineral and no mineral was extracted and clarified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area lease including the applied lease is 5.30 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 158 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 14,99,102 tons (including waste) and estimated life of mine of 10 years.



M

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,57,895 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.24 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (2-05 Acres) by M/s. Gavishree Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/402371/2022 (SEIAA 40 MIN 2023)

Sl.No.	PARTICULA	RS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		M/s. Gavishree Stone Crusher
2	Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quarry Poroject at Sy.No.27 of Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (2-05 Acres)
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Moo Renewal	lification /	Expansion
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government
6	Area in Acres		2-05 Acres
7	Annual Production (Me	tric Ton /	1,02,041 Tons/annum for 2 years and 1,22,449
	Cum) Per Annum		Tons/annum for 2 years (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro		Rs. 0.19 Crores (Rs. 19 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of min Cu.m / Ton	e/ Quarry-	7,16,312 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Cu.m / Ton		1,00,000 Tons/annum for 2 years and 1,22,449 Tons/annum for 2 years (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: desilting & rejuvena To carry out compensatory Afforestra		ation at Kerehalli pond, Drinking water etc.
12	EMP Budget		akhs (Capital Cost) & 2.5 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC 24.12.2019		(Teoditing Cost)
14	Quarry plan 17.08.2022		
15	CCR from MS,KSPCB	21.02.2023	
16	Audit Report	10.01.2023	





This project was considered in the 293rd SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project as the Committee had observed that no buffer was proposed in AQP between the leases and sought details for common boundary permission obtained from DGMS, for which the Proponent informed that they will come back with the details sought.

In the present meeting, the Proponent submitted common boundary permission obtained from DGMS dated 24.04.2023. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 08.12.2015 and lease was granted on 31.03.2016 with QL no. 336. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB on 21.02.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1,800 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 7,16,312 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 4 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,22,449Tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by KSPCB.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.25 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (4-00 Acres) by M/s. K. Rajashekar Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/402454/2022 (SEIAA 41 MIN 2023)

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. K. Rajashekar Stone Crusher
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.27, Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (4-00 Acres)





3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Moo Renewal	lification /	Expansion
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government
6	Area in Acres	·	4-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		1,02,041 Tones/annum for 2 years and 2,04,082 Tones/annumfor 2 years (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs.0.25Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		6,56,771 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		1,02,041 Tones/annum for 2 years and 2,04,082 Tones/annum for 2 years (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: desilting & rejuvenat construct Additional room and Toilet		tion at Kerehalli pond, Drinking water etc To
12	EMP Budget		khs (Capital Cost) & 5 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	05.05.2022	
14	Quarry plan	18.08.2022	
15	CCR from MSKSPCB	21.02.2023	

This project was considered in the 293rd SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project as the Committee observed that no buffer was proposed in AQP between the leases and hence sought details for common boundary permission obtained from DGMS, for which the Proponent informed that they will come back with details sought.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted common boundary permission obtained from DGMS dated 24.04.2023. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 08.12.2015 and lease was granted on 31.03.2016 with QL No. 337. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB on21.02.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1800 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 6,56,771 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 4 years.





The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,04,082 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by KSPCB.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.26 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (2-00 Acres) by M/s. Sriram Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/402504/2022 (SEIAA 42 MIN 2023)

Sl.No.	PARTICULAI	RS	INFORMATION PROVIDED SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		M/s. Sriram Stone Crusher
2	Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 27 of Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (2-00 Acres)
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modi Renewal	fication /	Expansion
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government
6	Area in Acres		2-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Met	ric Ton /	51,020Tones/annum for 1 year, 76,531
	Cum) Per Annum		Tones/annum for 1 year and 1,02,041 Tones/annum for 2 years (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine Cu.m / Ton		6,91,638 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		50,000 Tones/annum for 1st year, 75,000Tones/annum for 2nd year and 1,00,000Tones/annum for 3 rd and 4th year (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: desilting & rejuven		ation at Kerehalli pond, Drinking water etc.
12	EMP Budget Rs. 25.00 I		Lakhs (Capital Cost) &2.5Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	17.08.2022	
14	CCR from M.S.KSPCB	21.02.2023	
15	Audit Report	10.01.2023	



This project was considered in the 293rd SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project as the Committee observed that no buffer was proposed in AQP between the leases and hence sought details for common boundary permission obtained from DGMS, for which the Proponent informed that they will come back with details sought.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted two common boundary permission obtained from DGMS dated 24.04.2023. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 08.12.2015 and lease was granted on 31.03.2016 with QL no. 335. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB on 21.02.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1800 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced only after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 6,91,638 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 4 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,02,041 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by KSPCB.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.27 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sankanahalli Village, Nagamangala Taluk & Mandya District (2-12 Acres) by Sri Venkataramu- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/403667/2022 (SEIAA 437 MIN 2022)

About the Project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
]	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Venkataramu
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 54 of Sankanahalli village, Nagamangala Taluk & Mandya District (2-12 Acres)



M

			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude			
			A	N 12° 47′ 38.6″	E 76" 42" 29.3"			
			В	N 12" 47" 34.2"	E 76° 42′ 26.6°			
			С	N 12" 47" 35.3"	E 76" 42" 24.9"			
	ļ		D	N 12° 47° 39,1″	E76'47'27.2"			
			E	N 12" 47" 38.6"	E 76° 42′ 27.9°			
		_	F	N 12" 47" 39,4"	E 76° 42′ 28.4″			
3	Type Of Mineral		Building	Stone Quarry				
4	New / Expansion / M	odification /	New					
	Renewal							
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Governn	nent				
	Revenue, Gomal, Priv	ate / Patta,						
<u> </u>	Other]							
6	Area in Acres		2-12 Acres					
7	Annual Production (M	etric Ton /	20,235.19 Tones/ Annum (including waste)					
	Cum) Per Annum	- - -						
8_	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro		Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)					
9	Proved Quantity of m	ne/ Quarry-	3,83,067 Tones (including waste)					
	Cu.m / Ton							
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum -	20,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)					
	Cu.m / Ton	860 31	0 11					
11	CER Activities: To g			_	n either side of the			
	approach road from qua				11 75			
12	EMP Budget		khs (Capi	tal Cost) & 3.99 La	khs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NOC	23.11.2020						
14	Quarry plan	30.09.2022						
15	Cluster certificate	01.10.2022						
	0100101 00201110000	29.04.2021						
16	Revenue NOC	29.04.2021						
16		29.04.2021 16.10.2021			<u> </u>			

The Proposal was earlier considered in 287th SEAC Meeting and the committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issue of E.C. The authority in its 227th meeting referred back the proposal informing,

"The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- 1. Eco-sensitive zone of Melikote Wildlife Sanctuary is within 10km from the project site. The distance between the proposed site and Sanctuary is 5.69 km
- 2. The project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are varying from 2016 to 2019 and from the site photos also we can see the worked area filled with water. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006





The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt. departments as necessary".

The committee in the 289th meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

1. "Complaint: Eco-sensitive zone of Melikote Wildlife Sanctuary is within 10km from the project site. The distance between the proposed site and Sanctuary is 5.69 km

Reply:The proponent informed that the proposed project site, is at about 5.80 km from the boundary of the Melukote Wildlife Sanctuary and is outside the notified ESZ, as per MoEFCC Gazette No. S. O. 3084 (E), dated 19th Sept. 2017. They have already applied for the distance certificate from Chief Wildlife Warden and submitted copy of acknowledgement for the same.

2. Complaint: The project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as in the Historical satellite image the workings are varying from 2016 to 2019 and from the site photos also we can see the worked area filled with water. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006

Reply:The proponent informed that the proposed area is a Govt. land, notified on 16-10-2021, under Rule 3F of KMMCR, 1994. Regarding the old workings, they had sought clarification from the Dept. of Mines & Geology, wherein it is stated that some illegal quarrying was done in the above applied area and 2 FIRs have already been registered by the Dept. of Mines & Geology, on the illegal quarrying, vide FIR No. 0014/2016 dated 29-01-2016 and FIR No. 0142/2016 dated 25-06-2016 and submitted copy of the endorsement issued by the Dept. of Mines & Geology.

The committee noted the clarification given by the proponent. The committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal and decided to seek directions from SEIAA regarding handling violation cases in Govt. Lands, in view of this proponent claiming that he has not committed any violation.

The Authority in its 232ndSEIAA meeting noted the P.P. letterdated 07.03.2023 requesting SEIAA to consider the file and issue EC based on the endorsement letter given by the Senior Geologist, Department of Mines and Geology, Mandya.

Accordingly, the Authority perused the letter & Annexure. After detailed discussion the Authority decided to refer the file to SEAC to reappraise the proposal by obtaining necessary INFORMATION provided by PP from Department of Mines and Geology."

In the present meeting the Committee after discussion opined that the for Proponent cannot be held responsible for the action he has not committed, in case of mining activity carried out in Govt. Lands and decided to reiterate its decision taken in its 287th SEAC meeting and forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary actions.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Members present in the meeting held on 16th May -2023

1.	Shri. Venugopal V	Chairman
2.	Dr. Shekar H.S	Member
3.	Dr. J.B Raj	Member
4.	Shri. Nanda Kishore	Member
5.	Dr. S.K. Gali	Member
6.	Shri. Vyshak V Anand	Member
7.	Shri. Dinesh MC	Member
8.	Shri. Devegowda Raju	Member
9.	Shri.Sharanabasava Chandrashekhar Pilli	Member
10.	Shri. J G Kaveriappa	Member
11.	Shri. Mahendra Kumar M C	Member
12.	Shri. B V ByraReddy	Member
13.	Dr.SarvamangalaR, Patil	Member
14.	Shri. B. Ramasubba Reddy	Member
15.	Sri. R Gokul, IFS	Member Secretary

296.28 Expansion and Modification of Mixed-Use Development Project at Chokkanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. Bhartiya Urban Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/421095/2023 (SEIAA 112 CON 2022)

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP						
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Ramakrishna Rao, Vice President-MEP 1/5, Palace Road, Next to Hotel Radisson Blu, Bangalore - 560001						
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion and Modification of Mixed-Use Development Project by M/s. Bhartiya Urban Pvt. Ltd.located at Sy. Nos.32/1(P), 32/2(P), 32/3, 32/4, 32/8, 35, 37, 38, 39,40, 41, 42/1, 42/2, 43/1, 43/2, 44, 45/1, 45/2, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50 of Chokkanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore District.						
3	Type of Development							
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mail/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Township and Area Development Projects Category 8(b) as per EIA Notification 2006. The project aims toconstruct the Hotel, Office, Retail & Multiplex, commercial/residential buildings in a net plot area of 5,35,496.03 Sq.mt with an additional of 942 units (Total-2160 units) in residential Blocks 10 & 11.						
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Project configuration of proposed Expansion & modification blocks are as follows; Sl. Description Building Built-up Configuratio Area (Sq. mt.) North Parcel						





Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP						
		1	Block 2 – Wing E (Mixed Use Block)	3B+GF+27 UF+T	96,960.00	Modification		
		2	Block-14 Commercial)	3B+GF+2 UF+T	1,64,860.4	Expansion		
		3	Block-15 (Commercial Office)	3B+GF+2 UF+T				
		├		uth Parcel				
		4	Block- 10&11	3B+GF+45 UF	3,09,922. 93	Modification		
			(Residential) Tota	5,71,743. 33				
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion& Modification						
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	One secondary nalapassing through the project site/alongside the proposed project boundary. Sufficient buffer of 25 m from the middle of the secondary drains will be reserved for green belt development. Further, the tertiary nalas located within the project site has been realigned upon obtaining requisite approval from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District and Tahsildar, Bangalore (North) Taluk, Yelahanka on 30.04.2015. As per the approved plan 6m buffer has been provided for Kunte. Nearby water bodies: Nagareshwara-Nagenahalli Lake-0.34km (S) Chokkanahalli lake- 1.00 km (W) Thirumenahalli Lake-1.37 km (NW) Sampigehalli Lake-1.52 km (W) Kannur Lake-1.44 km (N)						
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	• Agrahara Lake-1.60 km (W) Total Plot Area:5,35,496.03 Sq.mt 4,29,068.00 Sq. m (Existing) +1,06,428.03 Sq.mt (Proposed)						
7	Built Up area (Sqm)		0,201.95 Sq.m ose Expansion t					
8	FAR Permissible Proposed		ermissible FAR AR Achieved:		rcel & 2.99 S	South parcel		





SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP						
	Building Configuration	Building configuration of proposed Expansion & Modification Blocks are as follows:						
		SI. No.	Description	Building Configuration				
9	[Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements	1	Block 2 - Wing E (Mixed Use Block)	3B+GF+27UF+T				
	and Upper Floors	2	Block-14 (Commercial)	3B+GF+24UF+T				
		3 1	Block-15 (Commercial Office)	3B+GF+24UF+T				
			South Parcel					
	•	4	Block-10 & 11 (Residential)	3B+GF+45UF				
			Total					
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	5925 No's (Existing) + 942 No's (Proposed Expansion & Modification)= 6867 No's The NOC for the existing blocks with the height of 150 m inNorth Parcel and 175 m in South Parcel has been obtained from Airport Authority of India (AAI) vide letter dated 02.06.2022 which is valid up to 01.06.2030. Therefore, the proposed blocks height falls within the Permissible Top Elevation (AMSL) as per NOC obtained from AAI. However, necessary approvals will be obtained from the Competent Authority, if required.						
11	Height Clearance							
12	Project Cost (Rs. In	Rs. 890	Crores for proposed Expansion	on & Modification				
12	Crores)	proposa	al					
		SI. No.	Item	Quantity (Cum)				
			otal estimated earth work qual	ity 5,21,931				
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated	1 fo	ack filling to be done between oundations	2,08,772.4				
	earth	-	oads and walkways	1,56,579.3				
		To	or site formation op Spoil reused for Landscaping	93,947.58				
		W	ork	02,0012				
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		71.0 10 11	14504.0.0.				
a.	Ground Coverage Area	Parcel	sed Ground Coverage: North Par					
b.	Kharab Land	1	Kharab Land: 20G Kharab Land: 2A 25G					
	Total Green belt on	on Total: 44 A 16.31 G						
c.	Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule	35 A 0	.22 G (Existing)+ 9 A 16.09 G(P	ronosed)				
	under o(a) of the schedule	1 22 V O	LL G (LAISHINE), 3 14 10.03 O(L	roposca)				





SI. No	PARTICULARS		INFORM	ATION	PROVI	DED BY	PP	
	of the EIA notification, 2006							
d.	Internal Roads	Total:						
e.	Paved area	HA 10	OG (Existing)	+ 18A	2G (Propo	osed)		
		Sl.	Land use	Ex		roposed Ex		
		No.	:	Acre	Guntas	Acres	Guntas	
f.	Others Court	1	Residentia	37	12	0	0	
I.	Others Specify	2	Commercial	18	9	5	23	
		3	Mixed Use	10	12	0	0	
:	Parks and Open space in .	4	Civic Amenities	2	20	0	0	
		5	Parking Area	2	32	0	16	
		6	Vacant land	5	29	0	0	
g.	case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects] Total: 20A &G						
h.	Total		132 A 13 G <u>35 G</u> (Existin	g)+ 26	A 18 G(P	roposed)		
5	WATER							
I.	Construction Phase							
a.	Source of water	BWSS	В					
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	306454	KLD					
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	37.5 KI	LD .					
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	30 KLI)					
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile	STP of capac	ity 30 l	KLD			
II.	Operational Phase							
	Total Requirement of		E	isting		osed Exp		
а.	Water in KLD	Fre		3690		1916		
	acci ili ICLD	Recy		2890		1119		
\dashv		Tot		5580		3035		
<u>b.</u>			ell, rainwater	& BW	SSB			
c.	Waste water generation	<u> 2426KL</u>	n					

Tota

Acr

C





	SI. No	PARTICULARS		Γ	NFORM	ATION PROVI	DED BY PP			
		in KLD								
			Existing: The generated sewage is being treated in 12 STPs of 5155KLD capacity. Further, STP of capacity 125 KLD at Block 9 (Hospital) and 520 KLD at Block 13 is not installed as the construction is not initiated. Proposed Expansion & Modification proposal: The generated sewage will be treated in STP of 7 Nos. with capacity 2780KLD Sl. Parcel Blocks							
				No.			(KLD)			
İ						Block-2	(Wing E)			
	d.	STP capacity				Hotel	400			
				1		Office	150			
					Niomik	Retail &	200			
ŀ				\vdash	North	Multiplex	:k-14			
			$\frac{2}{3}$	2		Commercial	350			
ŀ				1	Block-15					
)			Commercial	200			
				ĺ	4 South	Block-10				
			4	4		Residential	980			
ŀ						Residential	sk-11 500			
ĺ					 	Total	2780			
ŀ		Technology employed for	STP - SBR Technology							
ŀ	e.	Treatment	STP	– SBI	K Techno	ology				
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Gree	n belt	develop	ment and constru	ction purpose			
	16	Infrastructure for Rain wat								
			Exis	ting:2	2054 KLI	D (11 Nos)				
			Pror	osed	:					
					Blocks	3	Rainwater sump capacity (KLD)			
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to		••		E)-Hotel	36			
	ч.	store Roof run off	_			E)-Office	38			
		,			Multiple		238			
						mmercial	243			
j			<u> </u>		15 - Cor		155			
			<u> </u>	RIOC	k 10– res	agential	360			





SI. No	PARTICULARS	1	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP						
		Bloc	k 11 –	reside	ntial		180		
<u> </u>				Total			1250 (7Nos)		
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	(301 Nos	Rainwater harvesting potential is created with 391 Nos (301 Nos existing and 90 Nos. proposed) recharge pits will be provided to recharge the Ground water.						
17	Storm water managemer	nt Provision	Provision of RWH structures and Recharge pits has made within the project site to control/manage floor						
18	WASTE MANAGEME			<u> </u>					
Ī.	Construction Phase								
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	and Organ	Solid waste generation from Labour camp is 225kgs and Organic waste will be composted & inorganic vigiven to KSPCB approved recyclers						
II.	Operational Phase								
		Organic	Organic waste Quantity Mod				le of disposal		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of	Exist	ing	225		d Orga com wast	anic waste will be posted using organic te converter		
	Disposal as per norms	Expans Modific	ation osal		MT/day compo		anic waste will be posted using organic te converter		
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Inorga wast Existi Expansion Modification	e ng on &	Quant - 3.32 MT/da	157	Inorgan handed	over to KSPCB		
	. ,	propos	sal	M11/U	·y	authoriz	ed re-processors		
			ſ	rdous ste		antity	Mode of disposal		
			Used oil		Ltrs/ a		Will be used stored at identified place in leak proof		
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Existing	ľ	il soaked 2		?Kg/ num	barrels & will be given to KSPCBAuthorized refiners/reprocessors		
U.		Expansio	Use	d oil	L	4 kilo .trs/ nnum_	Will be used stored at identified place in leak proof		
		n & Modifica tion proposal	oil soaked cotton		i 19Kg/		barrels & will be given to KSPCB Authorized refiners/re- processors		





1	SI. No	PARTICULARS		INFO	RMATION I	PROVIDED BY	Y PP
					E-waste	Quantity	Mode of disposal
	đ.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Existing		Residential Apartment Hotel & commercial	150 Kgs/ quarter 200 Kgs/ quarter	To KPSCB Authorized
		Disposar as por norms	Expans Modific prope	cation -	Residential Apartment Hotel & commercial	50 Kgs/ quarter 75 Kgs/ quarter	E-waste processors
	19	POWER					
	a.	Total Power Requirement -Operational Phase		635KVA and proposal is			
			Existin	g:			
			Sl. No.	Blocks	2	DG sets	DG Sets Nos.
			1	Block 1		(1 +1000X1 + 1 + 1250X1 + 500X2	6
			2	Block 2	20003	(3 + 1010X3 + (1 + 1800X1 + (X1 + 500X1	10
			3	Block 3	2015	X2 + 1450X1	3
			4	Block 4		2015 X 3	3
	:		5	Block 5	2250 2	(2 + 2250 X 3	5
			6	Block 6	j	500 X 4	4
		Numbers of DG set and	7	Block 7		500 X 5	5
	b.	capacity in KVA for	8	Block 8	<u> </u>	250 X 2	2
		Standby Power Supply	 			Total	38
					nsion & Mo	dification prop	
				Blocks		DG Capaci	ty
	:			TH PAR ck 2 (Ho	tel)	1000KVA X 8Nos (2W+1S)	3000
			Block 2 (Office		ica)	1600KVA X Nos (2W+1S)	4800
				Block 2 (Retail & Multiplex)		1600KVA X 3Nos (2W+1S)	4800
				Block 14		1600KVA X Nos (3W+1S)	6400
			[E	3lock 15	1000KVA X 4Nos (3W+1S)		4000





SI. No	PARTICULARS	INF	ORMA	TIOI	N PR	OVIDED	BY I	PP	
		SOUTH PA	RCEL	, 1					
		Block-	10	1	500K	VA X 7N	lo	3500	
		Block -	11		500K	VA X 4N	os	2000	
		Total				28 Nos		28500	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	High Speed D operation of D					used		
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Existing: Energy Mode 5 of the proje provided by P Further, savin Power consur Blocks 1, 2 (V Proposed Exy Energy Savin follows; Blocks Block 14 Block 15 Block 2 Win E- Office Block 2 Win E- Hotel Block 2 Win E- (Retail & Multiplex Block 10	lling Rect as per P Technigs of a mption Vings A mption Igs Sum Igs Sum Igs Sum Igs Sum Igs Sum Igs Igs Igs Igs Igs Igs Igs Igs Igs Igs	eport er the nolog bout has -D),	'Ope gy (IT 20 % been 3, 4, 5 Iodifi ry- E Case rgy h	rational II) saving a 6 pertaini recorded 6, 6 and 7. cation pr	NFOI around ng to in the oposad/m2/y	RMATION d 14.17 %. b Electrical le Existing al yr) are as %Savin gs 29% 29% 24% 25% 26% 23%	
 20	PARKING	Block 11				-	<u> </u>	23%	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Existing: 163 Proposed (Ad -3165 Nos. and Total: 21,557	ditiona l comm	l par	l purp	ose - 3165	os. (F 5 Nos	Residential	
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study	Road	Towa	Towards		For next Three years		Iodified C and LoS adding enerated traffic LoS	
	Report	Bhartiya City Road	Thani dra I			LoS D		E E	
- 1		(2+2) Lanes	Henr			C		C	





SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFO	RMATION	PROVIDED	BY PP
			Rd		
	<u> </u>	Thanisandra	ORR	С	D
	ĺ	Main Road	Airport/	С	D
11		(2+2) Lanes	Bagalur	١	D D
		Ben's Woods (2-Lanes U		Α	A
		Hennur	ORR	С	С
		Main Road (3+3) Lanes	Airport/ Kannur/ Bagalur	С	С
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	Internal roads: Proposed Perip			
21	CER Activities Proposed	For the proposalabout	roposed E 445 Lakhs - llocated for	xpansion & 0.5% of the to	Modification otal project cost Environmental
22	EMP	Constructio	n phase:538.	31 Lakhs	
	Construction phase		-	l cost): 2179.61	l Lakhs
	Operation Phase		` •	ring cost): 195.	

The proposal is for modification and expansion of residential, commercial, hotel building project, for which SEIAA had issuedEC on 19.10.2015 for BUA of 17,40,201.95 Sqm in a plot area of 4,29,068 Sqm and subsequently the Proponent had obtained corrigendum to EC from SEIAA on 09.05.2018 for modification in EC and on 10.10.2022 for change of name. Presently the Proponent has proposed for BUA of 23,11,945.28 Sqm in plot area of 5,35,496.03Sqm. SEIAA had issued standardToR on 19.08.2022. The Proponent informed that they had obtained CCR from MoEF&CC on 23.03.2023 for earlier E.C.and submitted an architect certificate dated 08.05.2023 informing that already a BUA of 14,42,022.73 Sqm has been constructed and informed that for the completed construction they had obtained OC from BBMP dated 28.07.2018 and for ongoing construction they have obtained plan approval from BBMP and CFE from KSPCB on 26.10.2021 and CFO from KSPCB on 17.02.2022.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for drains as per village map, details of provisions made for harvesting rain water and provisions for treating organic waste. The proponent informed the Committee that they had rerouted the tertiary drains as per DC Order dated 30.04.2015 and had left buffer of 15mtrs from center for the rerouted tertiary drains and buffer of 25mtrs from center for the secondary drain passing along south-west. For harvesting rain water, the proponent submitted revised calculation and informed that RWH tanks of 487cum, 309cum, 72cum, 476cum, 76cum, 360cum and 180cum capacities would be provided for runoff from roof top areas and 8number of ponds with 1342cum, 1334cum, 1223.90cum, 1166cum, 762cum, 572cum, 572cum and 1188cum capacities to be provided for runoff from hardscape and land scape areas in addition to 391 recharge pits within the site area. The proponent submitted undertaking to install bio-gas plant for treating organic waste. Further the Committee informed the proponent to manage excess drainage water within the site area and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide smart water meters for residential units and to comply with the observation of CCR issued by MoEF&CC, for which the Proponent agreed.





The Proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 6694trees and to provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the Proposed project area. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tanks of 487cum, 309cum, 72cum, 476cum, 76cum, 360cum and 180cum capacities and 8number of ponds with 1342cum, 1334cum, 1223.90cum, 1166cum, 762cum, 572cum and 1188cum capacities and 391 recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to provide medical outpost near the project site for common public
- 3. Proponent agreed to provide biogas plant for treating organic waste in the proposed project.
- 4. Proponent agreed for development of PHC near the township as part of CER
- 5. Proponent agreed to recharge community bore wells in surrounding villages as part of CER
- 6. To obtain necessary permission and construct culvert/bridge on drains.
- 7. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water takers
- 8. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by MoEF&CC


Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.29 Residential Building Project at Chikkabanahalli Village, BidarahalliHobli, Bengalore East Taluk, Banglore by M/s. Prestige Projects Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/422864/2022 (SEIAA 153 CON 2022)


About the Project:

SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Prestige Projects Private Limited, The Falcon Towers, No. 19, Brunton Road, Bengaluru- 560 025.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Development at Sy. Nos. 13/2, 30/1(P), 30/2, 32, 33/1, 33/2, 33/3, 34/1, 36(P), 38/2, 39/2, 40/1, 40/2, 40/3, 41/1, 41/2, 41/3, 42, 43/1, 43/2, 43/3, 44/1A, 44/1B, 44/2, 44/3, 45/1, 45/2, 46/1A, 46/1B, 46/1C, 46/1D, 46/2, 47/1, 47/2, 47/3, 47/4, 48/1, 48/2, 48/3, 49, 50/1 TO 5, 51, 52/1 TO 4, 53/1, 53/1B, 53/2, 54, 59/1, 70/2&3, 70/4, 70/5, 71/1, 71/2, 73/1, 73/2, 73/3, 73/4, 74/3, 75/1, 75/2, 76, 77, 78, 79/2, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87






		of Chikkabanahalli Village, BidarahalliHobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartments & Villas
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	 Chikkabanahalli Lake - 30 m from the project site in the Northern direction YeleMallappaChetty Lake - 2.0 km from the project site in the West direction. As per the village map, a Primary Nala is passing in the Southern part of the project site for which a necessary buffer of 50m has been left as per BDA RMP - 2015, zoning regulations. Also, as per the village map there are Tertiary Nalas in the central region of the project site where these Nalas have been rerouted to the boundary of the site and after re-routing these Nalas, a necessary buffer of 15m has been left as per BDA RMP - 2015 byelaw. As per the village map there is a kuntekharab for which a 30m buffer has been left as per BDA RMP - 2015, zoning regulations.
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	2,99,878.99 Sqmt (74 Acres 4 Guntas)
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	8,95,032.4 Sqmt.
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	2.25 2.249
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Apartments – Buildings 1 to 8 (19 Towers) Villas – Buildings 9 to 96 Clubhouses – Buildings 97, 98, 99 Apartment - 3B/2B+G+23UF/ 26UF/ 29UF/ 32UF/ 35UF/ 38UF/ 41UF Villas - G+1UF Club House - 3B/1B+G+1UF/2UF





_		Number of units/plots in case of	The proposed project comprises of 3,627
1	0	Construction / Residential Township	numbers of apartment units, 88 numbers of
		/ Area Development Projects	Villas with 3 Clubhouses.
1	1	Height Clearance	As per CCZM permissible height is 1035m AMSL and proposed height is 1003.62m AMSL
h	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1335 Crores.
F		1 roject cost (res. in crotes)	Total Excavated Earth - 8,63,706 Cum
	3	Disposal of Demolition waster and or	(100%) Backfilling in foundation - 4,25,853 Cum (49.30%) Landscape backfilling - 2,20,920 Cum
		Excavated earth	(25.60%) Roads and walkways - 1,25,450 Cum (14.52%) Site Formation & filling in low lying areas
L,	4	Details of Lond Head (Com)	Etc -91,483 Cum (10.58%)
-	4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	40 166 67 8
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	49,166.67 Sqmt
	b.	Kharab Land	10,066.71 Sqmt
		Total Green belt on Mother Earth for	1,21,3 88.07 Sqmt
	C.	projects under 8(a) of the schedule of	
	d.	the EIA notification, 2006 Internal Roads	
	e.	Paved area	-
	ļ.,	raved area	Deiterrary A & Doming 74 612 60 5
	f.	Others Specify	Driveway Area & Ramps – 74,612.69 Sqmt Surface Parking – 5,032.50 Sqmt Service area - 4,586.00 Sqmt Podium Green – 8,436.03 Sqmt CA Area - 14,490.27 Sqmt CDP Roads - 12,098.96 Sqmt
		Parks and Open space in case of	
	g.	Residential Township/ Area	
		Development Projects	
	h.	Total	2, 99,878.99 Sqmt
1.	5	WATER	
	I.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Source of water	Labor camp mobile STP Treated Water for construction purpose and External authorized tanker for domestic purpose.
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	100 KLD
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	173 KLD
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	156 KLD
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and	The total sewage generated from construction
		Que_	





		scheme of disposal of treated water	treated in a mobile ST Treated sewage wil	156 KLD which will be IP of capacity 160 KLD; I be re-used for Dust ming & Construction	
	II.	Operational Phase			
		Total Description and of Wester in KID	Fresh	2,003 KLD	
ļ	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled	1,040 KLD	
ĺ	<u> </u>	G	Total	3,043 KLD	
	b.	Source of water	· · · ·	ge Panchayat/Borewells	
	C.	Waste water generation in KLD	2,739 KLD		
	d.	STP capacity	2,870 KLD (1000 KLD, 900 K KLD).	LD, 850 KLD & 120	
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequencing Batch Re	actor Technology	
			For Flushing – 1,040		
			For Landscaping – 91		
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	For Car Washing – 250 KLD		
	•	water if any	For Floor Washing – 150 KLD		
]		For Construction purpose - 246 KLD		
Ti.	6	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	g		
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	2,520 Cum	<u> </u>	
	Ь.	No's of Ground water recharge pits		rge wells and 40 Nos. of en proposed to recharge	
	17	Storm water management plan	Terrace runoff will be water storage tanks Cum which will be us Hardscape runoff sto 2300 Cum will be profurther after necessary Softscape runoff water groundwater through		
L	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT			
Ш	I.	Construction Phase			
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Construction Site – 34 Labour colony – 345k Solid waste generate and construction si manually and hand authorized recyclers.	g/day d from the labor camp ite will be collected	
	II.	Operational Phase		<u>.</u>	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms		gradable wastes will be ce and will be processed ant.	



		Construction phaseOperation Phase		Selection of equipment.Personnel Pro		•	erating PE) will
22	21	CER Activities EMP		To recharge surrounding vill 20 Nos. of Thro challenged peop During Constr	ee Wheele ole	•	
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)		8 m			
				SH-35/NH- 209	С	D	В
		Study Report		Chikka Banahalli Road	A	С	С
	ъ.	Level of Service (LOS) of t connecting Roads as per the Trafi		Road	Existing Traffic	Modified by adding traffic from the project	Changed Scenario after Road Widening
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms		Required – 4,60 Provided – 5,78			
	20	PARKING					
	d.	Percentage of savings including pl for utilization of solar energy as p ECBC 2007		b .	ransforme		
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set		1,310 L/hr			
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity KVA for Standby Power Supply	in	1,250 kVA X 5	No's		
	a.	Total Power Requirement Operational Phase	-	7,350 kVA			
ļ 	d.	Quantity of E waste generation a mode of Disposal as per norms POWER	nd	E-Wastes will will be hande recyclers for fu	d over to	authorized	
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Wa generation and mode of Disposal per norms		Waste Oil Gen Hazardous wa sets, used batte the authorized	stes like ries etc. v hazardous	waste oil i vill be hande waste recyc	ed over to lers.
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradal waste generation and mode Disposal as per norms	of	Will be given to	the waste	e recyclers.	Wastes





be provided for construction workers.

- The working hours will be imposed on construction workers.
- Use of water sprays to prevent the dust from being air borne.
- Providing barricades all-around the project site.
- The generated sewage will be treated in mobile STP.
- Periodic check and regular maintenance of construction machinery for emissions.
- Clean fuel will be used in equipments.
 Capital investment 63 lakhs
 Recurring Cost 86.6 lakhs/ annum

During Operation:

- Sewage will be treated with the proposed State-of-the-art Sewage Treatment Plant to produce tertiary treated water which is ultimately reused for domestic purposes after pre-treatment such as flushing and gardening.
- Roof top rain water & Surface run off from hardscape will be harvested and it will be treated and used after pretreatment.
- Surface run off from landscape will be recharged ground water through deep recharge wells.
- Acoustic enclosures will be provided to DG set.
- Noise levels will be checked periodically using a noise dosimeter.
- Ambient air quality monitoring as per the prescribed norms at regular interval.
- Biodegradable wastes will be segregated at the source and will be processed in proposed Biogas plant.
- Non-biodegradable Wastes will be given to the waste recyclers.
- Hazardous wastes like waste oil from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers.
- A beautiful landscape will be developed where native species of trees will be planted

Capital investment – 3350 lakhs Recurring Cost – 79 lakhs/ annum

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment project in an area earmarked for Residential use as per RMP of BDA. SEIAA had issued standard ToR on 24.11.2022 and corrigendum to ToR on 14.03.2023 for change in survey numbers and BUA.



The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for foot kharab, drains and water body as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that the foot kharaband drains passing inside the project is rerouted to project boundary as per the DC Order dated 01.06.2022 and had proposed buffer of 15mtrs from the center for the rerouted tertiary drains and for the primary drain in south, had proposed buffer of 50mtrs from center of drain and informed the Committee that the water body in North is outside the buffer zone. Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA dated 16.05.2018 and the road passing as per RMP of BDA has been left as it is. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent has submitted revised calculation and informed the Committee that they have proposed 2520cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop, 2300cum capacity for runoff from hardscape and pond of 1500cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 12no of deep recharge wells and 40numbers of recharge pits within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and carry out plantation in buffer zone of drains and water body and to harvest excess rainwater from the project site to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 4501 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and radan in ground water noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH sump of 2520cum, 2300cum capacity, pond of 1500cum capacity and 12number of deep recharge wells and 50 recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of borewells in the vicinity of the site
- 3. To grow trees in the early stage before taking up of construction
- 4. To obtain necessary permission and construct culvert/bridge on drains.
- 5. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers
- 6. Free access to public in kharab areas
- 7. To construct lead of drains up to the natural drains for draining out excess water.


Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.30 Residential Apartment and Commercial Building Project at Hoodi Village, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bengaluru by Sri M. Soma Sekhar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/424416/2023 (SEIAA 85 CON 2023)

About the Project:

Sl.	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDE	ED BY PP
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. M. Soma SekharS/o. Sri M Kr Residing at Flat No. 405, Vikyath Apartment, 5 th Right Cross, Alfa C Kodigehalli Main Road, Virgonaga Bangalore – 560 049	ishnamurthy, Spring Sarden Layout, ar Post,
2	<u>.</u>	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment and Comm by M. Soma Sekhar at Sy No. Village, K.R. PuramHobli, Banga Bengaluru.	101/4, Hoodi
3	<u>}</u>	Type of Development		
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Office / Vertical Development / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/Hospital / other	Residential Apartment and Comme Category 8(a) as per EIA Notificat	
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No	
4	ļ	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New	
5	l	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Hoodi Lake – 0.24 Kms (W) Sadaramangala Lake – 0.29 Kms ((E)
6	<u> </u>	Plot Area (Sqm)	7,874.11sq.m.	
7		Built Up area (Sqm)	24,060.09sq.m	
9		FAR	2.20 2.25 1 Building having Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 3	Upper Floors +
		Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Terrace Floor	
10		Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	136 Units	
1	<u> </u>	Height Clearance	Low rise building max ht. of 14.95	mts
12	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 44.0 Cr.	
			Details	Quantity in m ³
			Quantity of excavated soil	39,021.08
			Back filling for footings	19,510.54
13	3	Disposal of Demolition waster	Site filling required	6,850.86
		and or Excavated earth	Back filling for retaining wall	10,250.01
	į		Top soil for Landscaping	1,582.70
			Filling for internal roads	826.97
			Total	39,021.08





14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
a.	Ground Coverage Area	4,448.68 sq.m	
b.	Kharab Land	1,170.00 39.111	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	2,598.46sq.m	
1 1	for projects under 8(a) of the	2,576.403q.m	
C.	schedule of the EIA notification,		
	2006		
d.	Internal Roads	 	
e.	Paved area	826.97 sq.m	-
f.	Others Specify	1_	
	Parks and Open space in case of	NA	
g.	Residential Township/ Area	- 17.	
~	Development Projects		
h.	Total	7,874.11sq.m.	
15	WATER		
I.	Construction Phase		<u> </u>
a.	Source of water	From Nearby tre	eated water suppliers
Ъ.	Quantity of water for Construction		
υ.	in KLD		
C.	Quantity of water for Domestic	10 KLD	
	Purpose in KLD		
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD	
l e.	Treatment facility proposed and	The sewage gene	erated during the construction
ļ <u> </u>	scheme of disposal of treated water	phasewill be treated in the Mobile STP	
II.	Operational Phase		
	Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	68.26
a.	KLD Walls in	Recycled	36.10
 , 		Total	104.36
<u> </u> b.	Source of water	BWSSB	
C.	Waste water generation in KLD	99.14 KLD	_ _
<u>d.</u>	STP capacity	100 KLD	<u> </u>
e. f.	STP Area	24.96Sq.m	
	OWC Area	14.64 Sq.m	
g.	OWC Capacity	5 Tons	
h.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology	<i>t</i>
	Treathent	No Diagrand Th	
	Scheme of disposal of excess	No Disposal. The treated water will be reused fortoilet flushing, landscaping in the project	
i.	treated water if any		tation and Reuse after treating
	The state of the s		on and reverse osmosis
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvest		wid 10 rotor Odinosis
	Capacity of sump tank to store	240.0 cu.m.	
a.	Roof run off		
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	8Nos.	
			from the site will be collected
17	Storm water management plan		vesting system and will be
			ng the ground water
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I.	Construction Phase		
•••			
	A 92		





A. Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms II. Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste c. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Power Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase Numbers of DG set and capacity in No of labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for organic andInorganic waste. Organic waste will be converted in Organic convertor 175.2 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor 16.8 kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste will be behanded over to authorized recyclers Nil E-waste generation will be very less 1750 kVA
II. Operational Phase Quantity of Biodegradable waste 175.2 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms POWER Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase Numbers of DG set and capacity in 1 x 750 kVA 1 x 750 kVA 1 x 750 kVA 1 x 750 kVA
a. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste c. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms d. Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms POWER a. Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase Numbers of DG set and capacity in 1 x 750 kVA
a. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste c. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms d. Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms POWER Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase Numbers of DG set and capacity in 1 x 750 kVA
b. waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste c. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms d. Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms 19 POWER a. Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase b Numbers of DG set and capacity in I x 750 kVA
c. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms d. Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms 19 POWER a. Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase b. Numbers of DG set and capacity in 1 x 750 kVA
mode of Disposal as per norms 19 POWER a. Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase b. Numbers of DG set and capacity in 1 x 750 kVA
a. Total Power Requirement - 750 kVA Operational Phase Numbers of DG set and capacity in 1 x 750 kVA
a. Operational Phase Numbers of DG set and capacity in 1 x 750 kVA
KVA for Standby Power Supply
c. Details of Fuel used for DG Set HSD
Energy saved by using Solar water Heater: 30,000 kWH/ Year(a) Solar Power Generation: In non-monsoon season 100kWH x 30 x 8 Months = 24,000kWH In monsoon season 50kWH x 30 x 4 Months = 6,000 kWH Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 0.30 L kWH / Annum(b) Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year = (a)+(b)= 0.30+0.30 L KWH = 0.60 L / Annum(c) Total energy savings = 27.39%
20 PARKING
a. Parking Requirement as per norms 254ECS
Level of Service (LOS) of the b. connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report HoodiKodigehalli road -LOS - B Traffic Study Report
c. Internal Road width (RoW) 5.00 m





21		Year	Corporate Envir	ronmental Responsibility (CER)
		1 st	Rain Water Har at Hoodi Village	vesting in GHPS School
	CER Activities	2 nd	Avenue planat GHPS School at	tion and planation in the Hoodi Village
		3 rd	Solar Panels Pro at Hoodi Village	ovision in GHPS School
		4 th		r and Sanitation facility IPS School at Hoodi
		5 th	Health camp in Village	GHPS School at Hoodi
22		EMP (Construction & (Operation)
		Operat	ion Phase	Construction Phase
	EMP	Recum	ing Cost Per	Recurring Cost Per
	Construction phase	Annun		Annum = 43.29 lakhs
	Operation Phase	lakhs		Capital Cost = 16.90
		Capita 14.119		lakhs

The proposal is for construction of Residential and commercial building in an area which is earmarked for residential mutation corridor as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for foot kharab and drain as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that free public access has been provided for foot kharab area and informed that the drain in north west is out of the buffer zone with reference to project boundary. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 240cum for runoff from rooftop and another tank of 40cum capacity for the runoff from hardscape & landscape areas in addition to 8nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 100 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and has informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank 240cum & 40cum capacity and 8number of recharge pits.
- Proponent agreed to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



H

296.31 Residential Apartment project at Junnasandra Village, VarthurHobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by P. Rajitha - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/423633/2023 (SEIAA 86 CON 2023)

About the Project:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	P. RAJITHA, No.1067, 22 nd Main, 22 nd Cross, 2 nd Sector, HSR layout, Bangalore-560102
2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of Residential Apartment project at Sy. No. 34/2, Junnasandra Village, VarthurHobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Tertiary nala in north to south direction.
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	10,384.60 Sqmt
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	38,798.35 Sqmt
8	FAR Permissible Proposed	2.5 2.49
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	B+G+12 UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	228 Nos.
11	Height Clearance	Justification. Existing HM Concord building is at a distance of 300mtrs from the proposed project site and is having a total height of 60mtrs which is more than the proposed buildingheight that is 44.90mtrs.
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	75 Cr
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Excavated earth we utilize in our project site only
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	4,925.43 Sqm (49.86%)
b.	Kharab Land	505.85 Sqmt
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the	3820.50 Sqm (on podium – 2,300.2 Sqmt 23.28% &
	schedule of the EIA notification,	on earth - 1,520.30 Sqmt 15.39%)



. 1

b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD d. STP capacity 25 KLD Mobile sewage Treat Fresh Recycled 52 Total 155 BWSSB 124 KLD	SWSSB STP/near by STP tment Plant KLD KLD KLD	
e. Paved area f. Others Specify Parks and Open space in case of g. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects h. Total 15 WATER I. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD c. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD A BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD I Total I STP capacity I JO KLD A BWSSB I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD I JO KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
f. Others Specify Parks and Open space in case of g. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects h. Total 15 WATER I. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD 15 KLD Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water B. Operational Phase C. Waste water generation in KLD B. Source of water C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD C. Waste water generation in KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects h. Total 15 WATER 1. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD Area NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
g. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects h. Total 15 WATER I. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD d. STP capacity 130 KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
h. Total 15 WATER I. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD 130 KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
h. Total I. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD Example 103 Recycled 52 Total 153 BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD d. STP capacity 130 KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
1. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD c. Waste water generation in KLD d. STP capacity Treatment from E Treated water from E Treated water from E Total Water for Domestic St KLD A KLD Treatment facility proposed and Mobile sewage Treat Recycled Fresh Recycled 52 Total 153 BWSSB 103 Recycled 124 KLD 124 KLD 130 KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
I. Construction Phase a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD 130 KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
a. Source of water b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD 130 KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD d. STP capacity 25 KLD Mobile sewage Treat Fresh Recycled 52 Total 155 BWSSB 124 KLD 130 KLD	tment Plant 3 KLD KLD	
c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD d. STP capacity 3 KLD Mobile sewage Treat Recycled Fresh Recycled 52 Total 155 103 Recycled 52 Total 124 KLD	3 KLD KLD	
c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD d. STP capacity 3 KLD Mobile sewage Treat Mobile sewage Treat Fresh Recycled 52 Total 124 KLD 130 KLD	3 KLD KLD	
d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD d. STP capacity ZKLD Mobile sewage Treat Recycled Fresh Recycled 52 Total 155 BWSSB 124 KLD	3 KLD KLD	
d. Waste water generation in KLD e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD Total Recycled 52 Total 155 b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD d. STP capacity 2 KLD Mobile sewage Treat Recycled 52 Total 155 BWSSB 124 KLD 130 KLD	3 KLD KLD	
e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Total Source of water C. Waste water generation in KLD Treatment facility proposed and Mobile sewage Treatment of Water in Recycled S2 Total S2 Total S2 Recycled S2 Total 155 BWSSB 124 KLD 130 KLD	3 KLD KLD	
scheme of disposal of treated water II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Total 155 b. Source of water c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD d. STP capacity 130 KLD	3 KLD KLD	
II. Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Fresh 103 Recycled 52 Total 155	KLD	
a. Total Requirement of KLD Water in Recycled Fresh Recycled 103 Recycled 52 Total 155 b. Source of water BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD d. STP capacity 130 KLD	KLD	
a. Total Requirement of Water in Recycled 52 Total 155	KLD	
b. Source of water BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD d. STP capacity 130 KLD		
b. Source of water BWSSB c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD d. STP capacity 130 KLD	O KLD	
c. Waste water generation in KLD 124 KLD d. STP capacity 130 KLD		
d. STP capacity 130 KLD		
Tooks along an along for CDD Assessment of	C OTD 10 120 C4	
e. Technology employed for SBR- Area required to Treatment	•	
Scheme of disposal of excess l	his will be used for floor	
I. treated water if any washing, given to nee	washing, given to nearby construction	
activities		
16 Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting		
	I for Rain water tank is	
Roof run off 250 Sqmt		
b. No's of Ground water recharge pits 7 nos		
	3 of roof water collection	
	echarge pits all along the	
project site		
18 WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I. Construction Phase		
Quantity of Solid waste generation Handed over to BBM	IP authorities	
and mode of Disposal as per norms		
II. Operational Phase	<u> </u>	
TOURNING TO PROGRESS AND THE WASTE TO THE TOUR TO THE TOUR TO THE TOUR TO THE TOUR T	d in to organic manure	
a generation and mode of Disposal and used for gardens	_	
as per norms - 310 kg/day of capaci	-	
Space required is 10 :		
	PCB authorized recycler	
b. waste generation and mode of		
Disposal as per norms		





		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	50-100 L given to PCB authorized recycler
	c.	generation and mode of Disposal	
		as per norms	
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and	80 kg/year given to PCB authorized recycler
		mode of Disposal as per norms	
	19	POWER	
[a.	Total Power Requirement -	950 kW
		Operational Phase	
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	500 KVA X 1 No.
		KVA for Standby Power Supply	
	C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel
		Energy conservation plan and	Total savings of 20.9%
	d.	Percentage of savings including	
	u.	plan for utilization of solar energy	
	_	as per ECBC 2007	
2	20	PARKING	
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	360
[Level of Service (LOS) of the	Level of Service : D
	b.	connecting Roads as per the	
		Traffic Study Report	
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6.0mtrs
2	21	CER Activities	Infrastructure Development of nearby Govt.
l		CER Activities	School
2	22	EMP	
		 Construction phase 	50 Lakhs
		Operation Phase	160 Lakhs

The proposal is for construction of Residential building in an area which is earmarked for industrial use RMP of BDA, for which Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained land conversion from DC.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that the tertiary drain is rerouted to project boundary as per the DC Order dated 21.06.2022 and buffer of 15mtrs from center is proposed for the rerouted tertiary drain. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 80cum for runoff from rooftop and another tank of 100cum capacity for runoff from hardscape & landscape areas in addition to 10nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 130 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and has informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.



The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank 80cum &100cum capacity and 10number of recharge pits.
- 2. To obtain height clearance certificate from competent authority
- 3. Proponent agreed to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.32 Residential Apartment Building Project at Kumbena Agrahara Village, K. R. Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Ward No. 54, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Mukunda Builders - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/424885/2023 (SEIAA 89 CON 2023)

About the Project:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP		
		Mr. B. Chandrashekar Reddy		
		Managing Partner		
1	Name & Address of the Project	M/s. Mukunda Builders		
1	Proponent	Office at Flat No. C-902, Sy. No. 1/1, DNR		
	i -	Atmosphere Apt, Ramagondanahalli, Varthur		
		Main Road, Bangalore - 560 066.		
		Residential Apartment Building by		
		M/s.Mukunda Builders at Khatha No. 1085,		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Sy. Nos. 27/2,27/5 & 27 of		
-	l	KumbenaAgrahara Village, K R		
3	Time of Double	PuramHobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Ward No. 54, BangaloreUrban District.		
	Type of Development	D 11 d 14		
	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development	Residential Apartment Building		
a.	/ Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/	Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.		
	Hospital /other			
	Residential Township/ Area	No		
b.	Development Projects			
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	New		
	Renewal			
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity	Tertiary nala in western side.		
	of project site	<u> </u>		
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	9,121.97sq.m.		
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	22,963.25sq.m		
	FAR			
8	Permissible	1.78		
	• Proposed	1.80		
	Building Configuration [Number of	Residential Apartment Building project		
9	Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	comprising of 3 Blocks, Stilt Floor +		
	Numbers of Basements and Upper	Ground Floor + 3 Upper Floors + Terrace		
	Floors]			





10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects		196 Units		
11	Height Clearance		Site Elevation in AMSL: 794.0 Permissible top elevation in AMSL: 1010 Difference in meters: 216 Height proposed: 15.00 m		
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	┪	Rs. 44.0 Cr.		
			D	etails	Quantity in m ³
	i	1	Quantity o	f excavated soil	44,563.12
		-	Back filli	ng for footings	22,281.56
	Disposal of Demolition waster and o	,	Site fill	ing required	5,327.24
13	Excavated earth			ng for retaining wall	13,962.31
			Top soil fo	or Landscaping	1,833.52
			Filling for	internal roads	1,158.49
		-		Fotal .	44,563.12
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)				,505.12
a.	Ground Coverage Area	3.	794.73sq.m	··	
b.	Kharab Land				
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006		3,010.25sq.m		
d.	Internal Roads				-
e.	Paved area	2,316.99sq.m			
f.	Others Specify	_		-	
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	N	NA		
h.	Total	9,	121.97sq.m.		 .
15	WATER				
_I.	Construction Phase	<u> </u>			
a.	Source of water	-	From Nearby treated water suppliers		
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD			
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD		10 KLD		
d.			8 KLD		
e.	·		The sewage generated during the construction phasewill be treated in the Mobile STP		
II.	Operational Phase				
a.	Total Requirement of Water in		esh ecycled	92.61 KLD 44.10 KLD	·
	KLD		Total 136.71 KLD		
b.	Source of water	В	BWSSB		
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	12	129.87 KLD		





d.	STP capacity	130 KLD	
e.	STP Area	34.23Sq.m	
f.	OWC Area	28.4Sq.m	
g.	OWC Capacity	6 Tons	
h.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology	
i.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	No Disposal. The treated water will be reused fortoilet flushing, landscaping in the project site, avenue plantation and Reuse after treating with ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis	
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves		
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	205.0 Cu.m.	
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	9 Nos.	
17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected byrainwater harvesting system and will be used forrecharging the ground water	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	No of labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for organic and Inorganic waste. Organic waste will be converted in Organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will behanded over to authorized recyclers	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	235.20 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor	
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	156.80 kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste will behanded over to authorized recyclers	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Nil	
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-waste generation will be very less	
19	POWER		
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1000 kVA	
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1 x 1000 kVA	
C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD	
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	 Energy saved by using Solar water Heater: 50,000 kWH/ Year(a) Solar Power Generation: In non-monsoon season 100kWH x 30 x 8 Months = 24,000kWH In monsoon season 50kWH x 30 x 4 	





		 Months = 6,000 kWH Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 0.3 L kWH / Annum(b) Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year = (a)+(b)= 0.5+ 0.3 L KWH = 0.8 L / Annum(c) Total energy savings = 27.39% 		
20	PARKING			
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Parking Provided is 216Ecs which is as Per NBC andMoEF Norms		
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Sadarmangala Main Road -LOS - B		
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	5.00 m		
21	CER Activities	Year Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) 1st Rain Water Harvesting in GHPS at KumbenaAgrahara Village 2nd Providing solar power panels to GHPS at KumbenaAgrahara Village 3nd Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the KumbenaAgrahara Village 4th Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder 5th Health camp in GHPS at KumbenaAgrahara Village		
EMP Construction phase Operation Phase 127. Capi		EMP (Construction & Operation) Operation Phase Recurring Cost Per Annum = Annum = 43.41 lakhs 127.1 lakhs		

The proposal is for construction of Residential building in an area which is earmarked for residential use RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee thattertiary drain is at a distance of 15mtrs from the project site boundary. For harvesting rain water, Proponentinformed that they have proposed tank of 205cum for runoff from rooftop and for runoff from hardscape & landscape areas 9nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water for which the Proponent agreed.





The Proponent agreed to grow 114 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and has informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tank 205cum capacity and 9number of recharge pits.

Proponent agreed to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.33 Row Houses Project at Uttari Village, UttarahalliHobli, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. GRC Infra - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/425662/2023 (SEIAA 90 CON 2023)

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
Name & Address of the Project Proponent		Mr. G. Rajesh Partner M/s. GRC Infra, No. 161/A, 7 th Cross, Teachers Colony, 1 st Stage, Kumaraswamy Layout, Bengaluru - 560 078.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of "Row Houses" Project. Sy. Nos. 36, 37/1 & 37/2 of Uttari Village, UttarahalliHobli, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District - 560 082.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas /Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Row Houses Categogy 8(b) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There is a tertiary nala on southwest direction of the project site which is at a distance of 37 m from the project boundary.
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	15,782.738Sqm






7	Built IIn ama (Sam)	26,159.41Sqm
<u> </u>	Built Up area (Sqm)	20,133,413qiii
8	FAR Permissible Proposed	1.75 1.47
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Building 1 BF+GF+4UF, building 2, 3 & 4:GF+3UF, maximum height of the building is15.00 m.
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	NA
11	Height Clearance	15.00 m (As per CCZM, the permissible height is 254 m AMSL and the height achieved for our proposed building is 15.00 m).
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 42.23 Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Total Excavated earth quantity is 5487 m ³ Back Filling - 2744 m ³ For landscaping &site formation- 2743m ³
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	7264.5Sqm
Ъ.	Kharab Land	-
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	4266.67Sqm
<u>d.</u>	Internal Roads	2691.07 Sqm
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	Proposed road widening area 811.958 Sqm& CA area 748.54 Sqm
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	
h.	Total	15782.738 Sqm
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	The domestic water requirement will be met by external suppliers and water requirement for construction purpose will be met by STP tertiary treated water.
b. Quantity of water for 15 KLD Construction in KLD		
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	4.5 KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Domestic sewage generated during construction phase will be treated in mobile STP and treated water will be used for landscaping/dust suppression within the site.
		03



II.	Operational Phase	· ··· -		
	<u></u>	Fresh	50 KLD	
a.	Total Requirement of Water in	Flushing	25 KLD	
	KLD	Total	75 KLD	
b.	Source of water		ram Panchayath	
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	68 KLD		
		STP Capacity	-75 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	Area of STP -		
e.	Technology employed for Treatment		ch Reactor Technology	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Excess 09 K	LD for construction works/Avenue	
1.	treated water if any	plantation.		
16	Infrastructure for Rain water har	vesting		
	Capacity of sump tank to store	250 Cum		
a.	Roof run off			
Ъ.	No's of Ground water recharge	13 Nos.		
	pits			
		Internal garlan	d drains will be provided within the	
17	Storm water management plan	site in order to carry out the storm water into the		
			nd will be managed within the site.	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT			
I.	Construction Phase			
		As there is no	provision of labour colony, generation	
	Operation of Solid mosts		lid waste will be minimum and will be	
1 _	Quantity of Solid waste	handed over to local vendors.		
a.	generation and mode of	Construction debris - 13 m ³		
ŀ	Disposal as per norms	This will be reused within the site for road and		
		pavement form		
II.	Operational Phase	parement form	anion.	
		90 kg/day		
1	Quantity of Biodegradable		gregated at household levels and will	
a.	waste generation and mode of		proposed organic waste converter.	
""	Disposal as per norms		is 40 kg/hr and its areais 200 Sq.ft	
ĺ	Disposit de per norms	(18.6 Sq.mt)	15 40 kg/m and its arears 200 Sq.It	
<u> </u>	Quantity of Non-	136 kg/day		
	Biodegradable waste		oton will be bonded over to such ad	
b.	. •		stes will be handed over to authorized	
1	generation and mode of	waste recyclers	i•	
	Disposal as per norms	W 01 0		
	0		eneration: 120 L/Annum (0.24 L/	
_	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	running) hour c		
¢.	generation and mode of		tes like waste oil from DG sets, used	
	Disposal as per norms		vill be handed over to the authorized	
		hazardous wast		
	Quantity of E waste generation		be collected separately & it will be	
d.	and mode of Disposal as per		to authorized E-waste recyclers for	
norms further processing.		ing.		
19	POWER			
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	973 kVA		
b.	Numbers of DG set and	250 KVA- 2 N	os.	





	capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply				
C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	104.76 l/hr			
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Cu wound transformer, Solar Lights, solar wat			d motors in
20	PARKING	_			
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	190 ECS			
		Road E		Existing	Changed scenario after road widening
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Thittahalli-Kaggalipura road		0.09 A	0.03 A
		Kanakapura Road	To Bengaluru City	0.45 C	0.38 B
			To kanakapura	0.38 B	0.32B
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	12.00 m wide	ThittahalliKa	ggalipura roa	ıd
21	CER Activities	Development works of Radha Kunj Lake			
22	EMP	During Construction: Capital Investment – 10.0 Lakh Construction – 45.54 Lakh During Operation: Capital investment – 137.63 Lakh Operation Investment –20.0 Lakh/annum		.,	

The proposal is for construction of Residential building in an area which is earmarked for residential use as perKanakapura Local Planning Authority.

The Committee during appraisal sought details about the provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committeethat for harvesting rain water they have proposed RWH tank of 250cum for runoff from rooftop and another tank of 69cum for runoff from hardscape & landscape areas in addition to 13nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 198 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and has informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

fam.

M

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank 250cum and 69cum capacities and 13number of recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.34 Construction of 1BHK Residential Flats at Kadathanamale Village, HesaraghattaHobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Rajiv Gandhi Housing Corporation Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/422260/2023 (SEIAA 79 CON 2023)


About the Project:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Rajiv Gandhi Housing Corporation Ltd., # Cauvery Bhavan, 9 th Floor, E & F Block, K.G. Road,Bangalore-560009
2	Name & Location of the Project	Construction of 1BHK Residential Flats atSy. No.116 of Kadathanamale Village, HesaraghattaHobali, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	28330.0 sqm.
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	27,404.40 Sqm
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	5.0 0.95
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Residential Building configuration all blocks is = G+3UF (42 Blocks)
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	672 Nos.
11	Height Clearance	Low rise building





12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	70.54 Cr	
	Disposal of Demolition wester and		we utilize in our project site
13	or Excavated earth	only	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
 	a. Ground Coverage Area	6901.5 Sqm	
	b. Kharab Land	NA NA	
 -	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	11,332.00 sqm	
	for projects under 8(a) of the	[11,552.00 SQIII	
1	c. schedule of the EIA notification,		
	2006		
	d. Internal Roads		
ı ⊢	e. Paved area	7700.0 Sqm	
- 1 - 1	f. Others Specify	CA area is 1,410	6 82 sam
	Parks and Open space in case of		0.02 04.11
	g. Residential Township/ Area		
['	Development Projects		
	h. Total		, <u></u>
15			
	I. Construction Phase		
	a. Source of water	Treated water fr	om BWSSB STP/near by STP
	b. Quantity of water for Construction	50 KLD	
	in KLD		
	Quantity of water for Domestic	5 KLD	
	Purpose in KLD		
	d. Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD	
11.	e. Treatment facility proposed and		
	scheme of disposal of treated water		
	II. Operational Phase		
	Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh 205 KLD	
1	a. KLD	Recycled	160 KLD
1 _		Total	365 KLD
	b. Source of water	Grampanchyat	
	c. Waste water generation in KLD	330 KLD	
[4	d. STP capacity	330KLD	
,	e. Technology employed for	SBR- Area requ	ired for STP IS 330Sqmt
-	1 reatment	B 80.222	
	f. Scheme of disposal of excess		in this will be used for floor
17	treated water if any	wasning, given i	to nearby construction activities
16		 	
:	a. Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	80 m3-	or Dain Motor touls in COS
-	b. No's of Ground water recharge pits	Area required for Rain water tank is 80Sqmt	
	o. 140 s of Glound water recharge pits	25nos	0 m3 of roof water collection
17	Storm water management plan		
''	Storm water management plan	sump and 25 nos of recharge pits all along the project site	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	project site	
— ———	I. Construction Phase		
Quantity of Solid waste generation Given to BBMP author		authorities	
8	a. and mode of Disposal as per norms	Olven to DDMF	audiorides
ш	and mode of Disposal as per norms		





II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	907 kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden 90 kg/ hr 910 kg/day of capacity Space required is 20 sqmt	
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	605 kg/day given to PCB authorized recycler	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	10-50 Lts/one B check given to PCB authorized recycler	
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	60 Kg/year given to PCB authorized recycler	
19	POWER	····	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1674 kW	
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	125 KVA X 1 No.	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel	
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 14.31 %	
20	PARKING		
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	125 ECS	
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report is Doddaballapuraroad is D and towards Bangalore is C	
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	7.5	
21	CER Activities	State Govt. Project.	
22	ЕМР		
	Construction phaseOperation Phase	21Lkahs 140.9Lkhs	

The proposal is for construction of Residential Buildings in an area falling within BIAAPA zoning limits and the land has beenhanded over for the said project by Government.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding a road inside proposed area as per zoning authority and provisions being made for harvesting rain water and management of excess water. The Proponent informed the Committeethat the road passing in center of the plot area is left as it is and no development is proposed in the area reserved for road. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 80 cum for runoff from rooftop, landscape and paved areas in addition to 25nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to construct lead off drain, till the natural drain/water body for handling excess water within the site area, for which the Proponent agreed.





The Proponent agreed to grow 355 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following points,

- 1. To provide RWH tank of 210cum capacity and 10number of recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to undertake community recharge of borewells within the vicinity of the project site area.
- 3. Proponent agreed to construct lead off drain, till the natural drain/water body for handling excess water within the site area.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.35 Residential Apartment project at Yalahanka Village, YalahankaHobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. SLV Developers Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/422081/2023 (SEIAA 80 CON 2023)

About the Project:

		·
Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
Proponent		M/s. SLV Developers PVT. LTD., No. 180, HMT Layout,NTI Layout, Vidhyaranyapura, Bangalore-560097
Residential A 46/2, 46/3, 46/11, 46/12, 46/17, 46/18 Village, Yai		Residential Apartment project at Sy. Nos.46/1, 46/2, 46/3, 46/4, 46/6, 46/7, 46/8, 46/9, 46/10, 46/11, 46/12, 46/13, 46/14, 46/15, 46/16, 46/17, 46/18, 46/19, & 46/21 of Yalahanka Village, Yalahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore.
3	Type of Development	
	Residential Apartment / Villas /	Residential Apartment
	Row Houses / Vertical	Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
a.	Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	
	Residential Township/ Area	NA
b.	Development Projects	I TA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity	Tertiary drain in north to Eastern direction.
<u>, </u>	of project site	,
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	12,646.32 Sqmt
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	37,566.14 Sqmt



M

	FAR		
8	Permissible	2.25	
		2.24	
	• Proposed	2.24	
	Building Configuration [Number	District As Discussion	
9	of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc.,	Block A: B+G+4UF	
	with Numbers of Basements and	Block B: B+G+4UF	
	Upper Floors]		
	Number of units/plots in case of	255 Nos.	
10	Construction/Residential Township		
	/Area Development Projects		
11	Height Clearance	Its low rise building	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	60 Cr	
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and	Excavated earth we utilize in our project site	
	or Excavated earth	only	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
a.	Ground Coverage Area	5,753.83 Sqm	
<u>b.</u>	Kharab Land	NalaKharab area is 202.34 Sqmt	
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	5063.46 Sqmt	
c.	for projects under 8(a) of the		
	schedule of the EIA notification,		
<u> </u>	2006		
<u>d</u> .	Internal Roads	1,628.41 Sqmt	
е.	Paved area	<u> </u>	
f.	Others Specify	NA	
	Parks and Open space in case of		
g.	Residential Township/ Area		
	Development Projects		
<u>h.</u>	Total		
15	WATER		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Source of water	Treated water from BWSSB STP/near by STI	
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	25 KLD	
	Quantity of water for Domestic	5 KLD	
C.	Purpose in KLD		
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile sewage Treatment Plant	
II.	Operational Phase		
	Total Decision and Dr.	Fresh 115 KLD	
a.	Total Requirement of Water in	Recycled 57 KLD	
	KLD	Total 172 KLD	
b.	Source of water	BWSSB	
C.	Waste water generation in KLD	155 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	160 KLD	
e.	Technology employed for	SBR- Area required for STP IS 160Sqmt	
	Treatment	7	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Excess 57 KLD in this will be used for floor	
1.	treated water if any	washing, given to nearby construction activities	





16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves	ting
	Capacity of sump tank to store	350 m3Area required for Rain water tank is
a.	Roof run off	350 Sqmt
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	7 nos
17	Storm water management plan	We provided 350 m3 of roof water collection sump and 7 nos of recharge pits all along the project site
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Handed over to BBMP authorities
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	344 kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden 34 kg/ hr 350 kg/day of capacity Space required is 20sqmt
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	230 kg/day given to PCB authorized recycler
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	30-50 I given to PCB authorized recycler
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	40 kg/year given to PCB authorized recycler
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1020 kW
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500 KVA X 1 No.
C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 27.0 %
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	281 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report towards airport is B and towards Bangalore City is C
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	5.0mtrs
21	CER Activities	Infrastructure Development of nearby Govt. School
22	EMPConstruction phaseOperation Phase	57 Lkahs 209 Lkhs

The proposal is for construction of Residential building in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA





The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain as per village map and details of provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that the tertiary drain is rerouted to project boundary, for which buffer of 15mtrs is proposed from the center of the drain. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed RWH tank of 100cum for runoff from rooftop and hardscape & landscape areas in addition to 10nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 155 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank 100cum capacity and 10number of recharge pits.
- Proponent agreed to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.36 Building Stone Quarry Project at Ananthadi Village, Bantwala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District (1-00 Acre) by Smt. Roopalatha- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424328/2023 (SEIAA 179 MIN 2023)

About the project:

SI.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PRO	OVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Smt. Roopalatha	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Proje of Ananthadi Village, Bant Kannada District (1-00 Acre	wala Taluk, Dakshina
		N 12° 48' 19.635" N 12° 48' 17.225" N 12° 48' 16.658" N 12° 48' 19.064"	E 75° 06' 21.759" E 75° 06' 22.673" E 75° 06' 20.984" E 75° 06' 19.976"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre	····



M

7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		Metric Ton /	52,632 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost	(Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 1.05 Crores (Rs. 105 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quan	tity of r	nine/	4,49,844 Tones (including waste)	
Ĺ	Quarry- Cu.n	n / Ton			
10	Permitted Qu	antity I	Per Annum -	50,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton	-		i i	
11	CER Activit	ies:			
	Year	Cor	porate Environ	rmental Responsibility (CER)	
l	ıst			ower panels to common public places to the GHPS	
	and	school at Anantha			
	3rd		Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Ananthadi Village Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Ananthadi Village		
	4th	Scle		and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of	
	5th		nue plantation air of road Wit	either side of the approach road near Quarry site & h drainages	
12	EMP Budget		Rs. 28.63 lak	hs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.48 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13			13.05.2022		
14	Quarry plan 26.0		26.03.2023		
15	Cluster certificate		28.03.2023		
16	Revenue NOC		29.07.2022		
17	Notification 06.03.2023		06.03.2023	-	

As per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 6.48 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 519 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,49,844 tons (including waste) and estimated the life to be mine of 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 52,632 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration.

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. To take additional measures to protect surrounding vegetation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

M

296.37 Building Stone Quarry Project at Makarahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (7-00 Acres) by Sri Krishnappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424619/2023 (SEIAA 180 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.N	PARTICULARS			INFORMATION PF	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent			Sri Krishnappa	
2	Name & Location of the Project		e Project	Building Stone Quarry 185 of Makarahalli Vi Kolar District (7-00 Acre Latitude	llage, Malur Taluk, es)
					Longitude
				N 12° 58′ 51.50″	E 78° 5' 54.38"
				N 12° 58′ 49.13″	E 78° 5' 58.35"
	i			N 12° 58' 44.64"	E 78° 5′ 51.81″
				N 12° 58′ 47.34″	E 78° 5' 48.82"
3		f Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4		xpansion / Mod	ification /	New	
5	Renewa			Government	
J	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]			Government	
6	Area in	Acres		7-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum			3,68,568 Tones/ Annum	(including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)			Rs. 1.78 Crores (Rs. 178 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton			25,78,242 Tones (includ	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton			3,50,139 Tones/ Annum	(excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: To construct one room a			and with compound wall t	o GHPS
	Makarahalli village				
	Year			sponsibility (CER)	
	1st	Providing solar	r power panels	to the GHPS school at Ma	karahalli Viliage.
	2nd	Rain water har	vesting pits to	Makarahalli Village.	<u> </u>
	3rd Avenue plantation either side Repair of road With drainage 4th Conducting E-waste drive can 5th Health camp in GHPS at Maka		tion either side	of the approach road nea	or Quarry site &
					ahalli Village.
12			s (Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.	7 lakhs (Recurring	
13	Forest NOC		14.03.2023		
14	Quarry plan		23.03.2023		
15		ertificate	31.03.2023		
16	Revenue NOC		24.06.2011		-
	Notification				





As per the cluster sketch there are seven other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which six leaseswere exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 12-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1330 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 25,78,242 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,68,568 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow additional 1000 trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.38 Building Stone Quarry Project at Makarahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (5-00 Acres) by Sri K.S. Venkataswamy- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424627/2023 (SEIAA 181 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri K.S. Venkataswam	y
2	Ma		Project at Sy. No.185 of alur Taluk, Kolar District
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 12° 58' 49.50" N 12° 58' 48.90"	E 78° 6′ 18.90" E 78° 6′ 21.10"
		N 12° 58' 45.88"	E 78° 6' 20.20"
		N 12° 58′ 45.58″	E 78° 6′ 22.05″
:		N 12° 58′ 44.40″	E 78° 6' 21.96"
		N 12° 58' 42.90"	E 78° 6′ 20.30″
		N 12° 58' 41.30"	E 78° 6′ 18.60″
	<u> </u>	N 12° 58′ 42.40″	E 78° 6′ 17.20″





<u></u> .
num (including waste)
152 Lakhs)
cluding waste)
num (excluding waste)
V il age.
rahafii Village.
site & Repair of road With drainages
ege.
Rs. 10.44 lakhs (Recurring

As per the cluster sketch there are seven other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which six leaseswere exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 12-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 680 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 28,08,577 tons (including waste) and the estimated life of mine to be 9 years.



H

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,11,750 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow additional 700 trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.39 Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Belur Village, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District (6-09 Acres) by Sri Bhimangouda B Patil - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424989/2023 (SEIAA 184 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No		PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PI	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Sri Bhimangouda B Patil Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the Project		Ordinary Sand Quarry Pro 180/3, 180/4 & 180/5 of Taluk, Bagalkot District (6-	Belur Village, Badami
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 15*51 25.94"	E 75° 43'56.69"
			N 15°51 26.03"	E 75° 43'57.82"
			N 15°51 25.60"	E 75° 43'58.81"
•			N 15°51 24.62"	E 75° 43'00.94"
			N 15"51 18.00"	E 75° 43'00.70"
			N 15"51 18.40"	E 75° 43'57.20"
3	_	Mineral	Ordinary Sand Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Patta	
6	Area in Acres		6-09 Acres	,,,
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		30,558 Tones for 1 st year, 3 rd year (including waste)	30,000 Tones for 2 nd &
8	Project (Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.26 Crores (Rs. 126 La	khs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		90,558 Tones (including wa	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		30,558 Tones for 1 st year, 3 rd year (including waste)	30,000 Tones for 2 nd &
11	CER Activities:			<u></u>
	Year	Corporate Environmental I	Responsibility (CER)	
	151		els to common public places to	the GHPS at Belur village
	2 nd		to distribute nursery plan	
	3 rd	Rain water harvesting pits t	to the GHPS of Belur village,	





12	EMP Budget	Rs. 31.90 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.10 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	11.12.2021
14	DTF	12.01.2022
15	Cluster certificate	27.02.2023
16	Revenue NOC	01.12.2020
17	Quarry plan	16.09.2022

The proposal is for ordinary sand and as per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 11-15 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. As per DMG letter dated 10.03.2022 there is no river sand mining projects in the vicinity of 5 km from the proposed lease area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 480meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after concreting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 90,558Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 3 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 30,558 Tones for 1st year, 30,000 tonns/annum for 2nd & 3rd year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to cement concrete the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
- 3. To growtreesall along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for furth necessary action.

296.40 Building Stone Quarry Project at Nirmanhalli Village, Bhalki Taluk, Bidar District (2-27 Acres) by M/s. Sri Swami Samarth Engineers - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424712/2023 (SEIAA 185 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Sri Swami Samarth Engineers
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 61/2 of Nirmanhalli Village, Bhalki Taluk, Bidar District (2-27 Acres)





		-		Latitude	Langituda	
İ					Longitude	
l				N 17° 54′ 09.45″	E 77° 20′ 43.15″	
				N 17° 54′ 07.96″	E 77° 20' 48.89"	
				N 17° 54′ 11.16″	E 77° 20′ 49.90″	
				N 17° 54′ 11.45″	E 77° 20′ 48.68″	
3	Type Of M	ineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expa	nsion / N	Modification /	New		
	Renewal			_		
5	Type of Las			Patta		
	Governmen					
	Private / Pa		<u>r}</u>			
6	Area in Acr			2-27 Acres		
7			Metric Ton /		ear, 52,632Tones/year for	
	Cum) Per A			2nd to 5 thyear (including waste)		
8	Project Cos			Rs. 1.33 Crores (Rs. 133 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Qua Cu.m / Ton	•	mine/ Quarry-	9,51,852 Tones (including	ng waste)	
10	Permitted C	uantity l	Per Annum -	5,00,000 Tones for 1st ye	ar, 50,000Tones/year for	
	Cu.m / Ton	•		2nd to 5 th year (excluding	g waste)	
11	CER Activ	ities:		<u></u>		
	Year	Corp	orate Environm	ental Responsibility (CER)		
	ıst	Providin	ig solar power p	anels to the GHPS school a	it Nirmanhalli Village.	
ŀ	2nd	Rain wa	ter harvesting p	its to Nirmanhalli Village.		
	3rd		plantation either of road With dra	er side of the approach roadinges	d near Quarry site &	
	4th	Cond	lucting E-waste	drive campaigns in GHPS at Nirmanhalli Village.		
	5th			S at Nirmanhalli Village.		
12	EMP Budge	et	Rs. 42.36 lakh	ns (Capital Cost) & Rs. 9.6	69 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	2	29.01.2022		. , <u></u>	
14	Quarry plan	1	21.03.2023			
15	Cluster cert	ificate	21.03.2023			
16	Revenue No	OC	28.12.2021			
	Notification 17.02.2022					

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that office shed visible as per google image has been removed and only trial pits were done to check the availability of mineral and no mineral has been extracted and clarified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 5-07 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

American III

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 810 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 9,51,852 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,26,316 Tones for 1styear and 52,632Tones/year from 2ndto 5thyear (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.41 Black Granite Quarry Project at Uttavalli Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk, Chamarajanagara District (2-23 Acres) by Smt. Prema D. Nandan - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/425056/2023 (SEIAA 186 MIN 2023)

SI.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Smt. Prema D. Nandan		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Black Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No.191 o Uttavalli Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk Chamarajanagara District (2-23 Acres)		
		Latitude N 11° 53′ 05.901" N 11° 53′ 05.202" E 76° 54′ 32.101" N 11° 53′ 05.210" E 76° 54′ 34.902" N 11° 53′ 04.105" N 11° 53′ 04.105" E 76° 54′ 29.110" N 11° 53′ 04.608" E 76° 54′ 31.802" N 11° 53′ 04.101" E 76° 54′ 34.002" N 11° 53′ 04.101" E 76° 54′ 34.002" N 11° 53′ 04.101" E 76° 54′ 33.701" N 11° 53′ 04.101" E 76° 54′ 33.701" N 11° 53′ 04.807" E 76° 54′ 33.701" N 11° 53′ 03.405" E 76° 54′ 32.105"		
3	Type Of Mineral	Black Granite Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New		





_	Revenu	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres			2-23 Acres			
7	Annua Per An		(Metric Ton / Cum)	8,572 Cum/ Annum (including waste)			
8	Project	Cost (Rs. Ir	1 Crores)	Rs. 1.27 Crores (Rs. 127 Lakhs)			
9	Proved / Ton	Quantity of	mine/ Quarry- Cu.m	62,437.5 Cum (including waste)			
10	Permit Ton	ted Quantity	Per Annum - Cu.m /	3,000 Cum/ Annum (recovery)			
11	CER A	ctivities:	·				
	Year	Corporate E	nvironmental Respons	sibility (CER)			
	1st	Providing so	plar power panels to the	e GHPS school at Uttavalli Village.			
	2nd	Rain water	ter harvesting pits to Uttavalli VIIIage.				
	3rd	Avenue plai With draina		e approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road			
	4th	Conducting	E-waste drive campaig	ns in GHPS at Uttavalli Village.			
	5th						
12	EMP E	Budget	Rs. 21.55 lakhs (Capi	ital Cost) & Rs. 12.92 lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest	NOC	06.07.2021				
14	Quarry	plan	29.03.2023				
15	Cluster	Certificate	29.03.2023				
16	Reveni	ue	05.01.2022				
17	DTF	• •	29.07.2022				
18	C&I N	otification	14.03.2023				

Patta

Type of Land [Forest, Government

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no quarrying has been carried out after 2006 and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 5-13 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 191meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 62,437.50cum(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 8 years.



. .

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 8,570cum/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to use the waste as building stone by obtaining necessary permission.
- 4. The Kharab area in the center should be left as it is with suitable buffer.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.42 Building Stone Quarry Project at Bommenahalli village Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur District (2-00 Acres) by Sri N. Paramesh - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/425212/2023 (SEIAA 187 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICU	LARS	INFORMATION	PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of Proponent		Sri N. Paramesh		
2	Name & Location of the Project			ry Project at Sy. No. alli village Tiptur Taluk, Acres)	
			Latitude	Longitude	
			N 13° 17' 05.774"	E 76° 31′ 46.6181″	
			N 13° 17′ 05.8847″	E 76° 31′ 50.6254″	
			N 13° 17′ 03.7244″	E 76° 31′ 50.8909″	
			N 13° 17′ 03.5616″	E 76° 31' 46.9502"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government		
6	Area in Acres		2-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Cum) Per Annum	Metric Ton /	51,088 Tones/ Annum ((including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25	Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		3,79,706 Tones (includi		
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		50,066 Tones/ Annum ((excluding waste)	
11	CER Activities: To approach road from	grow 200 No	o. of additional plantation to Bommenahalli Villag	n on either side of the	
12	EMP Budget		ths (Capital Cost) &4.35		
13	Forest NOC	18.09.2015			
14	Quarry plan	18.03.2023	·		





15	Cluster certificate	18.03.2023
16	Revenue NOC	23.06.2010
17	Notification	07.01.2023

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. Land andmining activities have been carried out by local villagers and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,79,706 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 8 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 51,088 tonns/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.43 Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Duggavathi Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (5-87 Acres) by M/s. Saidurga Minerals Company - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/425155/2023 (SEIAA 188 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Saidurga Minerals Company
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 179/1, 179/2, 179/3, 179/4 & 180/C1, 180/C2 of Duggavathi Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (5-87 Acres)





Γ			Latitude	Longitude	
			N 14" 37' 02.74976"	E 75° 51′ 44.53495″	
			N 14° 37′ 02.73538″	E 75° 51' 47.34789"	
	·		N 14° 37′ 00.82345″	E 75° 51' 49.36215"	
			N 14" 37' 01.26732"	E 75° 51' 51.38153"	
			N 14° 36' 57.66378"	E 75° 51' 51.70564"	
			N 14° 36' 57.27959"	E 75° 51' 50.31828"	
1			N 14° 36′ 54.44586"	E 75° 51' 50.75764"	
			N 14° 36′ 53.85982″	E 75° 51′ 47.56521″	
			N 14° 36′ 52.22079″	E 75° 51' 47.80347"	
			N 14° 36′ 52.87417"	E 75° 51' 46.85745"	
			N 14° 36' 54.44126"	E 75° 51' 46.73606"	
			N 14° 36′ 55.28090"	E 75° 51' 48.68683"	
Ĺ			N 14° 36′ 58.18295″	E 75° 51' 49.21932"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Ordinary Sand Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /	Modification /	New	· · · · · ·	
<u></u>	Renewal		<u></u>		
5	Type of Land [For		Patta		
	Revenue, Gomal, F	Private / Patta,			
<u> </u>	Other]		-		
7	Area in Acres	O feste Co. I	5-87 Acres		
′	Annual Production Cum) Per Annum	(Metric Ton /	61,224 Tones for 1 st year, 51,021 Tones for		
	Cum) Fer Annum		2 nd year, 40,816 Tones for 3 rd year, 10,916 Tones for 4 th year and 10,204 Tones for 5 th year		
!			(including waste)	,204 Tones for 5" year	
8	Project Cost (Rs. Ir	(Crores)	Rs. 1.47 Crores (Rs. 14	17 Lakha)	
9	Proved Quantity of		1,74,182 Tones (includ		
	Cu.m / Ton	mmo Quary-	1,77,102 101165 (1116140	inig wasie)	
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	60,000 Tones for 1st	year, 50,000 Tones for 2 nd	
	Cu.m / Ton		year, 40,000 Tones for 3 rd year, 10,698 Tones for 4 th year and 10,000 Tones for 5 th year (excluding		
			waste)		
11	CER Activities: T	o construct one	room with infrastruc	ture facilities to GHPS	
	Duggavathi village	·			
	Year Corporate	Environmental Res	ponsibility (CER)		
	tst Providing	solar power panels	to the GHPS school at Duggavathi Village.		
	- -	r harvesting pits to (GHPS school at Duggavathi		
!				ear Quarry site & Repair of	
	road With	drainages			
	4th	np in GHPS at Dugga	aL:3::11		
	1 22.				
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 33.35 Lakhs	(Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.3	9 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	31.05.2022			
14	DTF	28.09.2022		<u></u>	
15	Cluster certificate	31.03.2023	·		
16	Revenue NOC	11.04.2022			
17	C & I Notification	29.03.2023			
					





The proposal is for ordinary sand and as per the cluster sketch there is no other lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. As per C&I, sl no. 12 there is no river sand mining projects in the vicinity of 5 km from the proposed lease area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 180meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after concreting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,74,182Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 61,224 Tones for 1styear, 51,021 Tones for 2ndyear, 40,816 Tones for 3rdyear, 10,916 Tones for 4thyear and 10,204 Tones for 5thyear (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to cement concrete the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
- 3. To grow treesall along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for furth necessary action.

296.44 Pink Granite Quarry Project at Kadur Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (2-20 Acres) by Sri BhimappaHanamantappaJalihal- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424904/2023 (SEIAA 189 MIN 2023)

About the project:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri BhimappaHanamantappaJalihal		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 7/1/1 a 7/1/2 of Kadur Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppa District (2-20 Acres)		
		Latitude	Longitude	
		N 15" 59' 05.00018"	E 76° 00' 25-20004"	
		N 15° 59' 07.17857"	E 76° 00' 25.16129"	
		N 15° 59′ 07.40003″	E 76° 00′ 25.19984″	
		N 15" 59' 03.69998"	Ē 76° 00' 25.60001"	



3	Type Of M	ineral	Pink Granite Quarry			
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		New			
5		nd [Forest, Government omal, Private / Patta,	Patta			
6	Area in Ac	res	2-20Acres			
7	Annual Pro Cum) Per A	duction (Metric Ton /	12,626.28 Cum/ Annum (including waste)			
8	Project Cos	t (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.34 Crores (Rs. 134 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Qua Cu.m / Ton	antity of mine/ Quarry-	1,20,000 Cum (including waste)			
10	Permitted C	Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m	3,788 Cum/ Annum (recovery)			
11	CER Activi					
•	Year	Corporate Environmental Resp	ponsibility (CER)			
	ıst	Providing solar power panels to th	e GHPS school at Kadur Village.			
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to Kadu	r Village.			
	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the drainages	ne approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With			
	4th	Conducting E-waste drive camp	paigns in GHPS at Kadur Village.			
	5th	5th Health camp to the GHPS school at Kadur Village.				
12	EMP Budge	et Rs. 26.62 lakhs (Caj	pital Cost) & Rs. 10.73 lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NOC	23.11.2021				
14	Quarry plan	05.12.2022				
15	Cluster Cert	tificate 29.03.2023				
16	Revenue	24.11.2021				
17	C&I Notific	ation 01.12.2022				

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that site was worked in 2009 and after that back filling has been done and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. During the time of appraisal google timeline images were not available and hence, the Committee accepted the clarification of proponent.

As per the cluster sketch there are seventeen other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of whichten leasesare exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and four leases are exempted as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining lease including the applied lease is 12-09 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 307meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.



The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,20,000cum(including waste) and estimated the life of mine as 10 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 12,626 cum/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to use the waste as building stone by obtaining necessary permission.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.45 Building Stone Quarry Project at Naganala Village, Kolar Taluk, Kolar District (6-00 Acres) (QL. No. - 883) by Sri R Prabhakar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428208/2023 (SEIAA198 MIN 2023)

Sl.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY P		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri R Prabhakar		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 42 (p) of Naganala Village, Kolar Taluk, Kolar District (6-00 Acres) (QL. No 883)		
		Lotitude	Longitude	
	ļ	N 13° 11′ 09.811″	E 78" 03' 32.1268"	
	:	N 13" 11' 09.6814"	E 78° 03′ 35.9605″	
		N 13" 11' 08.8117"	E 78° 03' 36.0512"	
		N 13° 11′ 08.5955"	E 78° 03′ 38.6876″	
		N 13° 11' 06.8377"	E 78° 03' 36.8500"	
		N 13° 11' 05.8783"	E 78° 03' 40.4133"	
		N 13" 11' 03.1768"	E 78° 03′ 45.859"	
	i	N 13" 11' 02.8102"	E 78" 03' 45.2292"	
		N 13° 11' 06.8947"	E 78° 03' 32.5451"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification /	Expansion		
	Renewal	•		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Government	·	
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,			
	Other]			
6	Area in Acres	6-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /	3,15,789 Tones/ Annur	n (including waste)	





	Cum) F	er Annum		
8	Project	Cost (Rs. Ir	Crores)	Rs. 1.81 Crores (Rs. 181 Lakhs)
9	Proved Cu.m /	•	mine/ Quarry-	18,61,674 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitt Cu.m /	•	Per Annum -	3,00,000Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER A	ctivities:		
	Year	Corporate	Environmental Res	sponsibility (CER)
	ıst		utomatic UV Sterili eeti village Kolar Ta	zer for disciples of Sri Bhyraveshwara temple on luk
	2 nd	Plantation	all along roadside s	chool premises and surrounding temple
12	EMP B			(Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.63 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan 02.05.2023			
14	Cluster certificate 28.02.2023			
15	Notifica	ation	05.02.2023	

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 21.08.2019 and lease was granted on 31.03.2023 with QL no. 1042. The Proponent informed the Committee that as the lease was granted only on 31.03.2023, no mining activities has been carried out. Hence the Proponent justified for not submitting audit report and CCR.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 630 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 18,61,674tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,15,789tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



296.46 Building Stone Quarry Project at K. Honnalagere Village, Maddur Taluk, Mandya District (1-00 Acre) by Sri N. Shivalingaiah- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/422648/2023 (SEIAA 162 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICUL	ARS	INFORMATION	PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Proponent	e Projects	Sri N. Shivalingaiah		
2	Name & Location of the Project			Project at Sy. No. 317 of , Maddur Taluk, Mandya	
			Latitude	Longitude	
			N12* 34* 28.1*	E 77* 07′ 18.0″	
			N12' 34' 25.3"	E 77* 07' 18.0"	
			N12" 34' 25.3"	E 77* 07' 16.6"	
			N12" 34" 28.1"	E 77° 07′ 16.4″	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Mo Renewal	dification /	New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government	- "	
6	Area in Acres		I-00 Acre		
7	Annual Production (Mo Cum) Per Annum	etric Ton /	20,619 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20	Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of min Cu.m / Ton	ne/ Quarry-	1,11,968 Tones (includi	ng waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Cu.m / Ton	Annum -	20,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)	
11	road from quarry locat	on to K. Honna	additional plantation on e alagere Village Road and Govt. health care centers.	ither side of the approach Govt. School	
12	EMP Budget		s (Capital Cost) &3.32 La	akhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	07.05.2016			
14	Quarry plan	06.02.2023			
15	Cluster certificate	21.02.2023			
16	Revenue NOC	20.09.2004			
17	C & I Notification	01.08.2022			

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. Land and mining activities have been carried out by local villagers in 2009 and not by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

A.

As per the cluster sketch there are four other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which two leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 4-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 170meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to be crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,11,968 tons(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 20,619tonns/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.47 Building Stone Quarry Project at Nagditatha Kaval Village, Kadur Taluk, Chikkamagaluru District (5-20 Acres) by Sri P.S. Shashidhara- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424612/2023 (SEIAA 182 MIN 2023)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION P	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri P.S. Shashidhara	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry of Nagditatha Kaval Chikkamagaluru Distric	Village, Kadur Taluk
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 13*30′58.8"	E 76°03′36.7″
		N 13*30′58.8″	E 76'03'39.0"
		N 13*30'48.3"	E 76°03′39.6″
		N 13*30'48.6"	E 76°03'38.3"
		N 13*30'47.1"	E 76°03′38.4″
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	





5	Type of Land [Forest Revenue, Gomal, Pri- Other]		Patta
6	Area in Acres		5-20 Acres
7	Annual Production (I Cum) Per Annum	Metric Ton /	1,82,210 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs. 45 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of n Cu.m / Ton	nine/ Quarry-	16,67,893 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity P Cu.m / Ton	er Annum -	1,73,101 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11		CER Activities: To grow 550 No. of additional plantation on either side of approach road from quarry location to Nagdiyatha Kaval Village Road	
12	EMP Budget		hs (Capital Cost) &5.33 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	07.12.2022	
14	Quarry plan	09.03.2023	
15	Cluster certificate	08.03.2023	
16	Revenue NOC	14.11.2022	
17	Notification	27.01.2023	

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committeethe surface is disturbed due to the movement of heavy vehicles of adjacent quarry and over burden of adjacent quarry is dumped inside the applied lease area.

The Committeeafter discussion decided to defer the appraisal and informed the Proponent to get clarification from DMG regarding the present site condition.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC after submission of clarification sought.

296.48 Building Stone Quarry Project at Siddapura Village, Nymati Taluk &Davanagere District (1-15 Acres) by M/s. Sri Lakshmi Venkateshwar Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/423661/2023 (SEIAA 183 MIN 2023)
About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Sri Lakshmi Venkateshwar Stone Crusher
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.52 of Siddapura Village, Nymati Taluk &Davanagere District (1-15 Acres)
		N14°08'06.7" E75°27'54.8" N14°08'06.0" E75°27'56.9"
		N14°08'03.2" E75°27'57.1" N14°08'03.8" E75°27'55.1" N14°08'05.5" E75°27'54.8"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry





4	New / Expansion / M Renewal	odification /	New
5	Type of Land [Forest Revenue, Gomal, Prinother]		Patta
6	Area in Acres	-	1-15 Acres
7	Annual Production (N Cum) Per Annum	Metric Ton /	31,578.94 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of m	ine/ Quarry-	3,42,105 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity Po	er Annum - Cu.m	30,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11		iber of trees on b	lagere Science Center in Angod. oth side of haul roads, in & around Siddapur tyear of operation.
12	EMP Budget		s (Capital Cost) &11.20 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	10.02.2023	
14	Quarry plan	28.03.2023	
15	Cluster certificate	30.03.2023	
16	Revenue NOC	22.12.2022	
17	Notification	15.03.2023	

As per the cluster sketch there are threemore leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 8-22 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 600meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,42,105 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 31,578.94tonns/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



H

296.49 Building Stone Quarry Project at H. Kadadakatte Village, Honnali Taluk, Davanagere District (1-05 Acres) by M/s. S. B. V. Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424296/2023 (SEIAA 176 MIN 2023)

About the project:

	PARTICUI	LARS	INFORMATION PI	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the	e Projects	M/s. S. B. V. Stone Crus	
	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of t	he Project	Building Stone Quarry P	
			of H. Kadadakatte Vil	
			Davanagere District (1-0	5 Acres)
			Latifude	Longitude
i			N 14°12′48.1″	E 75'36'49.3"
			N 14"12'48.7"	E 75'36'52.6"
			N 14"12'47.0"	E 75"36'52.3"
			N 14"12'46.4"	E 75*36′50.0"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Mo	dification /	New	
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Patta	
	Revenue, Gomal, Priva	ate / Patta,		
_	Other]	<u> </u>		
7	Area in Acres		1-05 Acres	
7	Annual Production (M	etric Ton /	44,815 Tones/ Annum (in	ncluding waste)
8	Cum) Per Annum		D 000 C	41.
9	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr Proved Quantity of mi		Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 I	
<i>"</i>	Cu.m / Ton	ne/ Quarry-	3,00,872 Tones (includin	g waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum -	42,574 Tones/ Annum (e	voluding weets)
	Cu.m / Ton	7 1111111111	+2,574 Tolles Allifulli (c	Actualing waste)
11	CER Activities:To g	row 200 No. o	of additional plantation o	n either side of the
	approach road from qu	arry location to	H.Kadadakatte Village Roa	nd
12	EMP Budget		s (Capital Cost) &3.41 Lak	
13	Forest NOC	06.03.2020		<u> </u>
14	Quarry plan	09.03.2023(ma	nual means)	<u></u>
15	Cluster certificate	09.03.2023	·	
16	Revenue NOC	11.08.2022	 	
17	Notification	23.02.2023	<u></u>	

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 1-05 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

المبيلة

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,00,872 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 44,815 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.50 Residential Apartment project at Munnekolalu Village, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by Sri Shankar Reddy M B - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/407841/2022 (SEIAA 160 CON 2022)

S1.	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
:	1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Shankar Reddy M B, No.255/16, 4 th main, 1 st block,Bhoomireddy colony, HAL III Stage, Thippasandra,
	2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment project at Old Sy Nos. 37/8(P), 37/9, 37/10 & New Sy. Nos. 144(P), 145,146 ofMunnekolalu Village, Doddanekkundi, Ward No - 85 Hoodi Sub Division, Mahadevapura Zone, Bangalore
	3	Type of Development	
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA
6	5	Plot Area (Sqm)	9,351.79 Sqm
7	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	26,070.49 Sqm
8	3	FAR Permissible Proposed	1.75 1.75





16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvest	activities	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	washing, given to nearby construction	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment		red for STP IS 100Sqmt
		and for CTD IC 1000	
d.	STP capacity	96 KLD	
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	96 KLD	
<u></u> -	Source of water	Total BWSSB	120 KLD
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled	40 KLD
	<u> </u>	Fresh	80 KLD
II.	Operational Phase		
e.	scheme of disposal of treated water	Modile sewage 1	reaument riant
<u>.</u>	Treatment facility proposed and	Mobile sewage T	Creatment Diant
c.	Purpose in KLD Waste water generation in KLD	2 KLD	
	in KLD Quantity of water for Domestic	3 KLD	
<u>a.</u> b.	Quantity of water for Construction	25 KLD	om BWSSB STP/near by STP
_	Source of water	Tunneta d service Co	DWCCD CTD/- 1 COD
	WATER Construction Phase	 -	-
<u>п.</u> 15		9,351.79Sqm	·
h.	Development Projects Total	0.251.700	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
g.	Residential Township/ Area		
	Parks and Open space in case of	NA	
f.	Others Specify	NA	
e.	Paved area	3,760.98 Sqm	
d.	Internal Roads	3 760 09 5000	
с.	for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006		
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	2,057.44 Sqm	•
b.	Kharab Land	NA	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	3,533.37 Sqm	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Excavated earth	we utilize within project site
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	65 Cr	
11	Height Clearance	low rise building	
10	Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects		
·	Number of units/plots in case of	170 Nos.	
9	of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	B+G+4UF	
	Building Configuration [Number		





T	Capacity of sump tank to store	200 m2 A rea required for Dair water tools in	
a.	Roof run off	200 m3Area required for Rain water tank is 200Sqmt	
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	12 nos	
17	Storm water management plan	To provided 200 m3 of roof water collectio sump and 12nos of recharge pits all along the project site	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I. Construction Phase			
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Handed over to BBMP authorities	
II.	Operational Phase	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	230 kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden 23 kg/ hr 250 kg/day of capacity Space required is 15sqmt	
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	153 kg/day given to PCB authorized recycler	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	50-80 l given to PCB authorized recycler	
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	150 kg/year given toPCB authorized recycler	
19	POWER		
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	680 kW	
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	250 KVA X 2 No.	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel	
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 20.97 %	
20	PARKING		
_a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	187 ECS	
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report towards Kundanahalli is D and towards HAL airport is D	
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	5.0mtrs	
21	CER Activities	Infrastructure Development of nearby Govt. School / Govt. Hospitals.	
22	EMP		
	Construction phase Operation Phase	44 Lkahs 156 Lkhs	

The proposal was earlier considered in 288th SEAC meeting and as the Proponent remained absent, the Committee had deferred the appraisal.





In the present meeting, the Proponent informed that the proposal is for construction of Residential building in an area which is earmarked for residential as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that for harvesting rain water, they have proposed RWH tank of 60cum for runoff from rooftop and hardscape, landscape areas in addition to 12nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 115 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and has informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide RWH tank 60cum capacity and 12 number of recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.51 ToR: SDM College of Medical Sciences and Hospital Project at Sattur Village, Dharwad by M/s. Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara University - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/428191/2023 (SEIAA 49 CON (VIOL) 2023)

The proposal is applied in category 8(b) of EIA Notification 2006 in violation category to grant ToR as per the provisions of MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021, for Medical College and Hospital building. Proponent informed the Committee that earlier Hospital building with 750 beds having BUA of 85,509 Sqm was constructed prior to 2006 and Hospital building with 300 beds having BUA of 58,749 Sqm was constructed after 2006 without obtaining EC and now they have proposed for an expansion in built up area of 36,844 Sqm for 330 beded hospital building with a total BUA of 1,81,102 Sqm on a plot area of 2,52,415 Sqm.

Jun 1

Further, the Proponent vide letter dated 16.05.2023, informed the Committee since the proposal is a Hospital building which is an essential service and closing of operation due to violations vide OM dt: 07.07.2021 will affect the Medical Services. Proponent requested the Committee to grant ToR in violation category without insisting on closure of operations

The Committee after discussion decided to seek clarification from SEIAA in the above matter considering the issues raised by the proponent.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for necessary directions.

296.52 ToR: Extraction of Dolomite in Sy.No. 217/1 of Katageri Village, Guledgutta Taluk, Bagalkote District (21-06 Acres) by M/s. Vadiraj Infra and Mineral - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/421226/2023 (SEIAA 177 MIN 2023)

The proposal is for Dolomite mining in lease area of 21-06 Acres and as the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained C&I Notification on 27.09.2022 and Forest NoC on 26.09.2019.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional TOR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. To submit justification informing why the proposal does not attract violation as per present site condition as per the KML.
- 2. Extended cluster sketch.
- 3. Revenue NoC.
- 4. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram should be submitted in detail.
- 5. Waste management details.
- 6. Traffic studies.
- 7. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby village
- 8. Approved mining plan with revised machinery requirement.
- 9. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 10. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 11. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the ToR proposal to SEIAA for further action.

296.53 ToR: Naganapur Limestone & Dolomite Mine Project at Naganapur Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalakot District (11-92 Acres) (QL No. 2655) by M/s. Somalingeshwar Stone Powder Industries - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/72704/2022 (SEIAA 84 MIN 2022)

The Proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in the for upcoming meetings

128

296.54 ToR: Halki-Ningapur Lime stone and Dolomite Mines at Sy. Nos. 13, 139, 140 and 141 of Halki and Ningapur Villages, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot District (4.856 Ha) (M.L.NO.2474) by M/s. Navodaya Minerals - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/82172/2022 (SEIAA 361 MIN 2022)

The proposal is for expansion EC was issued by SECC in 16.07.2009 for lease area of 4.856Ha Acres and the Proponent had obtained approved mining plan from IBM on 16.01.2019.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional TOR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. To submit justification informing why the proposal does not attract violation as per Hon'ble NGT Orders in O.A.No.123/2014, Dated:13.01.2015.
- 2. CCR from MoEF&CC
- 3. Audit report till 2023-24
- 4. Forest NoC
- 5. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram should be submitted in detail.
- 6. Waste management details.
- 7. Traffic studies.
- 8. Dust mitigation methods considering neary by habitation
- 9. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 10.Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 11. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the ToR proposal to SEIAA for further action.

296.55Development of 1 Lakh Multi Storey Bengaluru Housing Programme Project at Agraharapalya Village, DasanapuraHobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Rajiv Gandhi Housing Corporation Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/422951/2023 (SEIAA 82 CON 2023)

About the Project:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project	Sri. Hanumantha Reddy
	Proponent	Assistant Executive Engineer
		M/s.Rajiv Gandhi Housing Corporation
		Limited, 8th floor, E & F Block, KG Road,
		Cauvery Bhavan, Bengaluru, Karnataka
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Development of 1 Lakh Multi Storey
		Bengaluru Housing Programme"Sy.No.29 of
		Agraharapalya Village, DasanapuraHobli,
İ		Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban
		District, Karnataka
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas /	"Development Of 1 Lakh Multi Storey
	Row Houses / Vertical	Bengaluru Housing Programme"
	Development / Office / IT/ ITES/	
	Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	



	b.	Residential Township/ Area	Not Applicable
\vdash	<u> </u>	Development Projects	
	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
,	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Not Applicable
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	Total site area - 42,896.716 Sqm (10A 24G)
		(Area available for development - 39,977.376
	-	D. W. IV.	Sqm.
<u> </u>	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	39,350.96 Sqm.
	8	FAR	Permissible – 5.0 (2,03,881.43 Sqm)
		Permissible	Achieved – 0.90 (36,522.96 Sqm)
<u>L</u> _		• Proposed	• /
1	9	Building Configuration	Building consists of 62 residential blocks with
		[Number of Blocks / Towers /	configuration: All blocks – G + 3 UF – 12.45 m
		Wings etc., with Numbers of	and 10 shops
		Basements and Upper Floors]	
:	10	Number of units/plots in case of	992 No's
		Construction/Residential Township	
_		/Area Development Projects	
	11	Height Clearance	Project site elevation – 888 m
			Building Height – 12.45 m
			Maximum building height: 900.45 m
	12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	86Crores
	13	Disposal of Demolition waste and	NA
		or Excavated earth	
	4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
\Box	a.	Ground Coverage Area	9,812.74Sqm
	b.	Kharab Land	
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	5,412.56Sqm
		for projects under 8(a) of the	•
		schedules of the EIA notification,	
<u> </u>		2006	
	d.	Paved area	19,716.646Sqm
	е.	Others Specify	Civic amenities—2,120.43Sqm
		1	Parking area – 2,915.00 Sqm
l [Area encroached by roads – 2,919.34 Sqm
	f.	Parks and Open space in case of	••
		Residential Township/ Area	
		Development Projects	
	g.	Total	42,896.716Sqm
1	5	WATER CONSUMPTION	
l L	I.	Construction Phase	
]	a.	Source of water	STP treated water for construction purpose &
L	.		Tanker water for domestic purpose.
		Quantity of water for Construction	10 KLD
	_	in KLD	
		Quantity of water for Domestic	5 KLD
oxdot		Purpose in KLD	
		- 	





e. II. a. b.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in	Will be treated in	n Mobile STP
a.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase		
a.	Operational Phase	·	
_	Total Requirement of Water in		
h		Fresh	338 KLD
h	KLD	Recycled	160KLD
h		Total	498KLD
٠. ١	Source of water	Gram Panchayat	
C.	Wastewater generation in KLD	424 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	500 KLD	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequence Batch	Reactor (SBR) Technology
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Available treated	water - 403 KLD (95% of
	treated water if any	sewage water)	•
	_	For flushing - 16	SO KLD
f		For gardening -	
		For Car washing	9 KLD
			on purpose - 191 KLD
16	Infrastructure for Rainwater harvesting		
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store	600Cum (2 Days	s storage)
	Roof run off	(4 X 100 cum an	d 1 X 200 cum)
b	Nos of Ground water recharge pits	41 No's	
17	Storm water management plan	• Land is gently	y sloping terrain and slopin
		towards South-	
		• Separate and in	ndependent rainwater drainag
			be provided for collectin
			terrace and paved area, law
		& roads.	,
8	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Quantity - 10kg/	'day
	and mode of Disposal as per norms		l be generated and collecte
			anded over to local body for
		further processin	g
	Operational Phase		
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Quantity -717 kg	
	generation and mode of Disposal as	_	will be segregated & collecte
	per norms	•	processed in organic wast
		converter	
			ed from STP of capacit
			be reused as manure fo
		greenery develop	
	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable	Quantity - 1,076	kg/day
	waste generation and mode of	Recyclable wast	e will be given to the wast
	Disposal as per norms	collectors for rec	ycling for further processing.
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste		.61 l/annum will be generate
1	generation and mode of Disposal as		will be collected in leak proc
	per norms	barrels and hande	ed over to the authorized wast





d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will be collected & stored in bins and disposed to the authorized & approved KSPCB
 		E-waste processors.
19	POWER	" "
a.	Total Power Requirement -	BESCOM - 1,750 kVA
	Operational Phase	
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	1 X 180 kVA
	KVA for Standby Power Supply	
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and	Energy conservation devices such as Solar
	Percentage of savings including	energy, Copper wound transformer are
	plan for utilization of solar energy	proposed in the project -16%.
	as per ECBC 2007	
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Required = 183no's, Provided = 211 no's
] b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the	Towards Thotagere
	connecting Roads as per the Traffic	Towards Hesaraghatta
	Study Report	_
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	7.5 m
21	EMP	Construction phase – 29.05 lakhs
1	Construction phase	Operational Phase – 546. Hakhs
	Operation Phase	-

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in Government Revenue Land in Nelamangala Local Planning Authority limits.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding cart track road as per village map and provisions being made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committeethat there is an existing public road in north and additional area has been left for widening of road. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 600 cum for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 300cum for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 41nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to construct lead off drain, till the natural drain/water body for handling excess water within the site area, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 860 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following points,

1. To provide RWH tank of 600cum capacity, pond of 300cum capacity and 41number of recharge pits.



- 2. Proponent agreed to undertake community recharge of borewells within the vicinity of the project site area.
- 3. Proponent agreed to construct lead off drain, till the natural drain/water body for handling excess water within the site area.
- 4. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road as per standard norms.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.56 Residential Apartment "DS Max Sahara Grand" Project at Chikkanagamangala Village, SarjapuraHobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. DS-MAX - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/423697/2023 (SEIAA 83 CON 2023)


Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project	Mr. K. V. SATISH
	Proponent	Proprietor
		M/s. DS-MAX
		#1854, 17 th main, 30 th 'B' Cross, HBR
		Layout, 1st stage, 5th Block, Bengaluru-
		560043
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment "DS MAX
	Traine de Location of the Project	SAHARA GRAND" at Sy.Nos. 77/6 (New
		Sy No.), 77/1 & 78/1 of
		Chikkanagamangala Village,
		SarjapuraHobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore,
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row	Construction of Residential
	Houses / Vertical Development /	Apartment"DS MAX SAHARA
ŀ	Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/	GRAND"
<u> </u>	Hospital /other	
Ъ.	Residential Township/ Area	Not Applicable
4	Development Projects New/ Expansion/ Modification/	New
4	Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity	Not Applicable
_	of project site	
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	Total site area - 12,747.49 Sqm (3A 6G)
7	D. 54 1 ((C)	
		49,515.32Sqm.
8	FAR	Permissible – 1.75 (22,308.10 Sqm)
	Permissible	Achieved - 1.74 (22,181.80 Sqm)
0	• Proposed	ODE, OF MID ME
9 Building Configuration		2BF+GF+4UF+TF – 14.95 m
	[Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements	
	and Upper Floors]	İ
	and Opport 1 10015]	





10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects			
11	Height Clearance Project site elevation – 943 m Building Height – 14.95 m Maximum building height: 957.95 m			
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	65Crores.		
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or			
	Excavated earth	11/1		
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)			
a.	Ground Coverage Area	5,397.14 Sqm		
b.	Kharab Land	-		
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedules of the EIA notification, 2006	1,503.06 Sqm		
d.	Paved area	3,262.31 Sqm		
e.	Others Specify	Service and open area—2,584.98Sqm		
f.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects			
g.	Total	12,747.49 Sqm		
15	WATER CONSUMPTION			
I.	Construction Phase			
a.	Source of water	STP treated water for construction purpose		
1	Oversity of the Control of the Contr	& Tanker water for domesticpurpose.		
Ь.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD		
С.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD		
d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	4 KLD		
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Will be treated in Mobile STP		
II.	Operational Phase			
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh 171 KLD		
		Recycled 86KLD		
		Total 257KLD		
b.	Source of water	Gram Panchayath		
C.	Wastewater generation in KLD	219 KLD		
d.	STP capacity	250 KLD		
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Technology		
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Available treated water – 208 KLD (95% of sewage water) For flushing –86 KLD For gardening – 9 KLD For Car washing – 15 KLD Other construction purpose - 98 KLD		
16	Infrastructure for Rainwater harvesting			





	<u> </u>	Canacity of comm tank to store P	650 Cum (2 Dans -t)	
	a. 	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	630 Cum (2 Days storage)	
ئـــا	b.	Nos of Ground water recharge pits	23 No's	
17		Storm water management plan	 Land is gently sloping terrain and sloping towards eastdirection. Separate and independent rainwater drainage system will be provided for collecting rainwater from terrace and paved area, lawn & roads. 	
	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	pared area, lawir & loads.	
\Box	I.	Construction Phase		
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Quantity – 10kg/day	
		and mode of Disposal as per norms	Solid waste will be generated and collected manually and handed over to local body for further processing	
	II.	Operational Phase	•	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity -342 kg/day Organic wastes will be segregated & collected separately and processed in organic waste converter Sludge generated from STP of capacity 10.95kg/day will be reused as manure for greenery development purposes.	
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 513kg/day Recyclable waste will be given to the waste collectors for recycling for further processing.	
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste oil of 189.21 l/annum will be generated from the DG sets will be collected in leak proof barrels and handed over to the authorized waste oil recyclers.	
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will be collected & stored in bins and disposed to the authorized & approved KSPCB E-waste processors.	
	19	POWER		
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	BESCOM – 1325 kVA	
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	360 kVA	
	C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel	
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Energy conservation devices such as Solar energy, Copper wound transformer are proposed in the project -16%.	
	20	PARKING		
$ \]$	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Required = 334no's, Provided = 400 no's	
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Towards Hosa road Towards Rayasandra	
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	5.0 m	





21	CER Activities Proposed	With the cost of rupees 20 lakhs in 5 years against the activity time frame,	
		 Plantation around rayasandra lake Rejuvenation of rayasandra lake by implementing stone pitching and plantation around the lake 	
22	EMP	Construction phase – 26.25 lakhs	
	 Construction phase 	Operational Phase – 319.3lakhs	
	Operation Phase		

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding provisions being made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committeethat for harvesting rain water, they have proposed RWH tank of 650 cum for runoff from rooftop and for runoff from landscape and paved areas 23nos recharge pits has been proposed within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to construct lead off drain, till the natural drain/water body for handling excess water within the site area, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 260 trees in the project site area. The Proponenthas collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponentcommitted to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following points,

- 1. To provide RWH tank of 650cum capacity and 23number of recharge pits.
- 2. Proponent agreed to undertake community recharge of borewells within the vicinity of the project site area.
- 3. Proponent agreed to construct lead off drain, till the natural drain/water body for handling excess water within the site area.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

W

296.57 Building Stone Quarry Project at Kanchanahalli Village, Pandavapura Taluk, Mandya District (1-38 Acres) by Sri K. H. Thammegowda- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/422603/2023 (SEIAA 167 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICU	LARS	INFO	DRMATION PRO	VIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the	e Projects		. Thammegowda	
	Proponent			3.	
2	Name & Location of t	Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. N		ect at Sy. No.39 of	
				nahalli Village, Pa	
İ			Mandya	District (1-38 Acres	s)
			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude
			Λ	N 12° 35′ 28.1″	E 76* 42' 36.3"
			В	N 12" 35' 27,8	E 76" 42" 39.0"
ĺ			С	N 12" 35' 26.4"	E 76* 42′ 38.4″
ŀ			D	N 12" 35' 23.8" N 12" 35' 24.2"	E 76* 42' 38.3"
ľ			F -	N 12° 35′ 26.0°	E 76° 42′ 37.3° E 76° 42′ 35.9°
3	Type Of Mineral			Stone Quarry	E75 42 35.9
4	New / Expansion / Mo	diffication /	New	stone Quarry	 ·
•	Renewal	Allication /	INCW		
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Government	Patta		
	Revenue, Gomal, Priv				
	Other	,			
6	Area in Acres	•	1-38 Acr	es	
7	Annual Production (M	etric Ton /	30,929 T	ones/ Annum (inclu	iding waste)
	Cum) Per Annum			`	,
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Ca		Rs. 0.25	Crores (Rs. 25 Laki	hs)
9	Proved Quantity of mi	ne/ Quarry-	3,50,790	Tones (including w	raste)
	Cu.m / Ton				•
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum -	30,001Te	ones/ Annum (exclu	ding waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			`	,
11	CER Activities:To g	row 200 No. o	f addition	al plantation on e	ither side of the
	approach road from qu	arry location to l	Kanchanah	alli Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 6.30 Lakhs	(Capital C	Cost) &8.82 Lakhs (I	Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	08.12.2021	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14	Quarry plan 10.03.2022				<u> </u>
15	Cluster Certificate 10.03.2022		<u> </u>		
13	Notification 20.01.2022				
14	Revenue NOC	07.10.2021		<u> </u>	

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 1-38 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 420meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

137

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,50,790tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 30,929 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.58 Ornamental Granite Quarry (Grey Granite & Building Stone) Project at Hanumanthapura Village inChikkaballapura Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) (QL. No. 636) by Sri ChikkaAnjanappa- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/425779/2023 (SEIAA 190 MIN 2023)

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PR	ROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri ChikkaAnjanappa	
2	Ornamental Granite Quarry (Grey Gra Building Stone) Project at Sy. No. Hanumanthapura Village in Chikkaba Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) (QL. No. 6		at Sy. No. 20 of in Chikkaballapura
		Latitude	Longitude
	·	N 13° 35′ 05.7″	E 77° 45′ 10.9″
		N 13° 35′ 05.0″	E 77° 45′ 12.0″
		N 13º 35′ 01.3″	E 77° 45′ 10.7″
		N 13° 35′ 01.7″	E 77° 45′ 08.1″
3	Type Of Mineral	Ornamental Granite Quar	ry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government	
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	28,517 Cum/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,94,265 Cum (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	11,407Cum/ Annum (recovery waste)	





11	CER Activities: To	CER Activities: To grow 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach		
	road from quarry lo	road from quarry location to Hanumanthapura Village Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 7.55 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.48 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Quarry plan	01.04.2023		
14	Audit Report	08.05.2023		
15	Cluster Certificate	03.04.2023		
16	C&I Notification	27.03.2023		

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 29.08.2019 and lease was granted on 13.01.2020(w.e.f08.11.2005) with QL no. 636. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG, informing that there has been no production till 2022-23 and hence, justified for not submitting CCR.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 250meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,94,265 cum(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 28,517 cum/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to use the waste as building stone by obtaining necessary permission.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.59Building Stone Quarry Project Kodni Village, Nippani Taluk, Belagavi District (2-00 Acres) by Shri S M AUTADE Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/427861/2023 (SEIAA 203 MIN 2023)

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Shri S M AUTADE Pvt. Ltd.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 269 of Kodni Village, Nippani Taluk, Belagavi District (2-00 Acres)



			Latitude	Longitude		
I			N 16°24"13.5704"	E 74°20'27,5910"		
			N 16°24′15.8601″	E 74°20′26.5207″		
			N 16°24′15.7805″	E 74°20′29.0519″		
			N 16°24′15.6715″	E 74°20'31.9103"		
	_		N 16°24'14.4511"	E 74°20′32.1302″		
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion / N Renewal	fodification /	New			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government			
6	Area in Acres		2-00 Acres			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /	2,04,351 Tones/annum for 2 years, 51,548			
	Cum) Per Annum	Cum) Per Annum		Tones/annum for 3 rd and 4 th year &31,297		
			Tones for 5th year (inclu	iding waste)		
.8	Project Cost (Rs. In		Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30)	Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of r	nine/ Quarry-	5,43,095 Tones (including	ig waste)		
	Cu.m / Ton	<u></u> .				
10	Permitted Quantity F	er Annum -	2,00,264Tones/annum	for 2 years, 50,517		
	Cu.m / Ton		Tones/annum for 3 rd a			
			Tones for 5th year (inclu	ding waste)		
11	CER Activities:To approach road from	CER Activities: To grow 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Kodni Village Road				
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 15.45 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &4.33 Lakhs (Recurring cost)				
13	Forest NoC	26.04.2022				
14	Quarry plan	13.04.2023				
15	Cluster Certificate	13.04.2023				
16	C&I Notification	29.03.2023				
17	Revenue	04.05.2022	<u></u>			
						

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. Land andmining activities have been carried out by local villagers for their domestic needs and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.



The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 5,43,095 tones(including waste) and estimated life the of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,04,351 Tones/annum for 2 years, 51,548 Tones/annum for 3rd and 4th year & 31,297 Tones for 5th year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.60 Shahabad Stone (Cherty Limestone) Quarry Project at Sy.No.462/*/7 of Honagunta Village, Shahabad Taluk Kalaburagi District (1-20 Acres) by Sri Azeem Miyan- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/291161/2022 (SEIAA 388 MIN 2022)

The proposal was earlier considered in 289th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deliberated the following,

"The Proposal was earlier considered in 287th SEAC Meeting and the committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issue of E.C. The authority in its 227th meeting referred back the proposal informing,

"The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

"The project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as in the Historical satellite image the worked benches are varying from 2015 to 2022 which shows that the site is worked. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006."

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt. departments as necessary".

The committee in 289th SEAC meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

Complaint: The project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as in the Historical satellite image the worked benches are varying from 2015 to 2022 which shows that the site is worked. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Reply: The proponent informed that there is an existing pit of about 3 meters depth in an extent of 02 guntas, within the proposed area, on Eastern side of the applied area, in which, some soil has been removed for agricultural purposes and justified the same as per the Dept. of Mines &Geology, Kalaburagi. Letter dated 23.12.2022.

The committee noted the clarification given by the proponent. The committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal in want of clarification from DMG as per latest Google images informing that no mining activities are carried out in the proposed area."

In the present meeting the Proponent has submitted clarification from DMG dated 10.03.2023, informing that only trial pits of depth about 1.50 mtrs to 2 mtrs have been done to check the availability of mineral and the excavated soil has been used for formation of approach road and that no production has been carried out by the Proponent.

The Committee after discussion decided to reiterate its decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting and to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.61 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 126/*/5 of Melakunda Village, Kalaburagi Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Hanamanth- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/288103/2022 (SEIAA 396 MIN 2022)

The proposal was earlier considered in 289th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deliberated the following,

"The Proposal was earlier considered in 287th SEAC Meeting and the committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issue of E.C. The authority in its 227th meeting referred back the proposal informing,

"The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

In the site itself they have setup crusher as may be seen from the photos enclosed in the presentation copy attached online. So permission for the same must be shown and the details of those in the surface plan must be shown.

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt. departments as necessary".

The committee in the 289th meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

Complaint: In the site itself they have setup crusher as may be seen from the photos enclosed in the presentation copy attached online. So permission for the same must be shown and the details of those in the surface plan must be shown

Reply: The proponent informed that there is an existing crusher at about 30m, outside the lease boundary, on SE side. The photos in the presentation uploaded in the portal are showing the crusher, which is actually outside and taken from the QL Boundary. The crusher location is clearly visible in the Google image/KML.

The committee noted the clarification given by the proponent. The committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal in want of clarification from DMG as per latest Google images informing that no mining activities are carried out in the proposed area."

In the present meeting the Proponent has submitted clarification from DMG dated 15.03.2023, informing that only trial pits of depth about 1.50 mtrs to 2 mtrs have been done to check the availability of mineral and the excavated soil has been used for formation of approach road and that no production has been carried out by the Proponent.

The Committee after discussion decided to reiterate its decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting and to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary actions.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

296.62 Ornamental Stone (Black Granite) Quarry Project at Sy. No. 03 (P) of Hosakote Estate Village Sakleshpur Taluk, Hassan District (6-00 Acres) by M/s.Rodic Coffee Estates Private Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/413908/2023(SEIAA 26 MIN 2023)

The proposal was earlier considered during 294th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deliberated the following,

"The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and the Committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC and SEIAA in its 231st SEIAA meeting had referred to the proposal as per below,

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13th, 14th & 15th February 2023. The Committee has recommended to SELAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per S report issued by DMG, the proposed area was previously held by M/s. Vaikundam Rubber Company Pvt. Ltd. from 18.03.2002 and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

143

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 6-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 560 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. Committee in the light of thick vegetation around the lease area informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road and around the lead area during the first year of operation and to take precautionary measures for the safety of near by dam, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the Committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 9,00,008 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 15 years. The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 62,450 Tons/Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority noted that the project location as per KML is in the midst of thick vegetation. The Authority after discussion decided to obtain details for the vegetation, environmental sensitivity with respect to receptors in and around the project area and steps proposed to minimize environment impact on the surroundings i.e impact of mining debris on water flows in Nalas, if any impact on vegetation, landslide and soil erosion.

The Authority decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the above observation and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

Committee noted the letter received from the Registrar Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka dated 13.02.2023, directing to take needful action for the enclosed letter dated 14.01.2023 received from Mr. Santosh Kumar Agarwal, Advocate, Kanpur and requesting not to grant EC for the said project.

The Committee after discussion decided to make available copy of the said letter of Mr. Santosh Kumar Agarwal dated 14.01.2023 to the Proponent and informed the Proponent to submit the clarification for the objection raised in the letter dated 14.01.2023. Accordingly it was decided to defer the appraisal of the project."

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted clarifications for the objections raised by Mr. Santosh Kumar Agarwal's letter dated 14.01.2023,

1. Compliant: It's a matter of great concern that with the help of rampant corruption, how the government machinery is openly challenging government rules. In this connection, we would like to draw your kind attention regarding one company named Rodic Coffee Estates Private Limited, is bulldozing the government aura and policies regarding mining.

Reply: The Proponent informed that, in the present case, they have obtained the Notification for the proposed mining area, from the Dept. of Commerce & Industry, Govt. of Karnataka, which



has been issued only after following the dew procedure like a) getting the requisite NOCs from the Revenue Dept., Forest Dept etc.; b) land conversion order from the Dy. Commissioner's office; c) clearance/approval in the District Task Force Meeting etc.

2. Compliant: Prohibitory regulations made in the interest of society at large with the connivance of big Administrative officers and political persons of Karnataka. M/S Rodic Coffee Estate Private Limited company in Hassan district has been forwarded and recommended that permission should be given for mining in most sensitive area of Sakleshapura Taluk Hosea Kota village, Hosea Kota Estate near Western Ghat in Hemavathi river bank in Hassan District of Karnataka.

Reply: The Proponent informed that the proposed mining area, is not included in the Western Ghats Draft Gazette Notification, vide No. S. O. 3072 (E), dated 06th July 2022, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India. Also, the Hemavathi River and its back waters, are more than 10-12 km away from the proposed quarry area and justified as per the Google Map extract showing the proposed quarry area and its distance from Hemavathi River. Further to the discussions held during the 294th SEAC meeting held on 30th March 2023, they have requested Dr. Raja Naika, Professor, Department of Applied Botany, Kuvempu University, Shivamogga and Dr. P. Sharanappa, Professor, Dept. of Bio-Science, University of Mysore PG Center, Hassan, to conduct a field visit and they have visited the project site and its surroundings on 14th April 2023 and have furnished the list of tree species that are existent in and around the proposed quarry area and submitted the list of tree species given by them, where in its mentioned that the tree species that are existent in and around the proposed quarry area has no RET species. Also, they have obtained the NOC from Forest Dept.

3. Compliant: In the past in year 2008 this Mining lease was cancelled and mining was closed due to Environmental problems to M/S Baikuntham Rubber P Ltd. even after all pressures and tactics were made to not close it.

Reply: The Proponent informed that, in the year 2008, there was no need of any Environmental Clearance (EC) for mining areas of less than 5 hectares extent. The requirement of EC for minor minerals, has come into existence from 18th May 2012, pursuant to the Judgement dated 27th Feb. 2012, by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India (Deepak Kumar Vs State of Haryana). The previous company viz. M/s Vaikuntam Rubber Company, has closed the mining due to some financial issues, marketing problems etc. and it was not at all due to Environmental Problems.

4. Compliant: Now this new entrant company Rodic Coffee Estate Private Limited has obtained same 24 acres land for mining after making huge some money paid to almost all highest authorities of administration of Hassan District of Karnataka.

Reply: The Proponent informed thatthe total extent of their estate, in the proposed quarry area and its surroundings is 368 Acres (comprising various survey numbers) and the proposed quarry area of 6-00 acres is only part of that total land, wherein the rocky patch is clearly visible. Secondly, the applied areas of a) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 91 (P), SEIAA 35 MIN 2023, b) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 03 (P), SEIAA 26 MIN 2023 and c) another proposed area of 12-00 Acres (yet to be applied for EC), which are more than 700m away from one another and none of these fall into cluster, as per MoEF&CC Gazette Notification of 01st July 2016.

:

5. Compliant: Now this company is been promised to allow Environmental Clearance.

Reply: The Proponent informed thatno one has promised us any Environmental Clearance. We are following the due procedure, as laid down in the EIA Notification 2006 (incl. Amendments) and no short cuts are being adopted, to get the Environmental Clearance.

6. Compliant: Public Hearing will be managed by hook or crook. Now they are been advised to apply for mining of 12 acres only in first phase and getting it permitted apply for balance 12 acres after few months. This is nothing to make eyewash to flout Govt policies for public hearing. Every seat in process paid and purchased in advance for manipulations in public hearing to get environmental clearance.

Reply: The Proponent informed thatas the proposed quarry areas of a) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 91 (P), SEIAA 35 MIN 2023, b) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 03 (P), SEIAA 26 MIN 2023 and c) another proposed area of 12-00 Acres (yet to be applied for EC), are more than 700m away from one another, they will not fall under cluster, as per MoEF&CC Gazette Notification of 01st July 2016. Also, apart from the above 3 quarries, there are no other existing or proposed quarries. Hence, the issue of Public Hearing, will not arise.

7. Compliant: It is also to Inform you that on initiations of Income Tax Department, SEBI have lodged cases against these culprits Tax mafia's involved in this company, GST and ED is also involved in this matter.

Reply: The Proponent informed that this is baseless comment and we assure that, in the present proposed quarry areas of Sy. No. 91 (P), SEIAA 35 MIN 2023 and Sy. No. 03 (P), SEIAA 26 MIN 2023, there are no cases filed/pending with any Court in India or Abroad.

8. Compliant :So we request you that let few persons of government machinery should not be able to defy government policy decisions and this company should not be given Environmental Clearance.

Reply: The Proponent based on the above clarifications to the complaints requested SEAC & SEIAA to grant Environment Clearance.

The Committee after discussion decided to accept the clarifications given by the proponent and decided to reiterate its decision taken in 291st SEAC meeting and to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action, with following consideration,

- 1. To consider additional environmental measures to protect the surrounding vegetation.
- 2. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



296.63 Ornamental Stone (Black Granite) Quarry Project at Hosakote Village Sakleshpur Taluk, Hassan District (6-00 Acres) by M/s.Rodic Coffee Estates Private Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/414930/2023 (SEIAA 35 MIN 2023)

About the project:

Sl.No.	PARTIC	ULARS	INFORMATION PR	OVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects		M/s. Rodic Coffee Estate	
	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the Project		Ornamental Stone (Bla	/ ~ -
			Project at Sy. No. 91 (I	
	İ		Sakleshpur Taluk, Has	ssan District (6-00
			Acres)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			Latitude	Longitude
İ			N 12°42′26.1″	E 75° 49′ 01.6″
			N 12°42′14.3°	E 75° 49′ 05.2″
			N 12°42′14.7″	E 75° 49′ 07.2″
			N 12°42′26.8°	E 75° 49′ 03.6″
3	Type Of Mineral		Ornamental Stone (Black	Granite) Quarry
4	New / Expansion / M	lodification /	New	
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest		Patta	
	Revenue, Gomal, Priv	vate / Patta, Other]	C 00 A	
7	Area in Acres	Adamia Tan (Com)	6-00 Acres	11
<i>'</i>	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		20,022 Cu.mt/annum(inc	luding waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 L	akhs)
9	Proved Quantity of n / Ton	nine/ Quarry- Cu.m	3,65,650 Cu.mt. (including	ng waste)
10	Permitted Quantity P	er Annum - Cu.m /	6,008 Cu.mt/annum (reco	overy)
	Ton			
11	CER Activities: To g road from quarry loc	row 800 No. of addi ation to Hosakote Vi	tional plantation on either : llage Road	side of the approach
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 21.30 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.42 Lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Quarry plan	31.12.2022		· <u>·</u>
14	Cluster Certificate	31.12.2022		
15	Revenue	27.04.2022		
16	C & I Notification	14.10.2022		
17	Forest NoC	26.04.2022		
18	DTF	27.04.2022		

The proposal was earlier considered in 294th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deliberated the following,

"The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project considering the project location as per KML, with thick vegetation in and around the applied project area. The Committee after discussion had decided to obtain details of the vegetation and environmental sensitivity in and

~____

around the project area and steps proposed to minimize environment impact on the surroundings details of approach road to the proposed project location.

Committee noted the letter received from the Registrar Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka dated 13.02.2023, directing to take needful action for the enclosed letter dated 14.01.2023 received from Mr. Santosh Kumar Agarwal, Advocate, Kanpur and requesting not to grant EC for the said project.

The Committee after discussion decided to make available copy of the said letter of Mr. Santosh Kumar Agarwal dated 14.01.2023 to the Proponent and informed the Proponent to submit the clarification for the objection raised in the letter dated 14.01.2023. Accordingly it was decided to defer the appraisal of the project."

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted clarification to the objections raised by Mr. Santosh Kumar Agarwal's letter dated 14.01.2023,

1. Compliant: It's a matter of great concern that with the help of rampant corruption, how the government machinery is openly challenging government rules. In this connection, we would like to draw your kind attention regarding one company named Rodic Coffee Estates Private Limited, is bulldozing the government aura and policies regarding mining.

Reply: The Proponent informed that, in the present case, they have obtained the Notification for the proposed mining area, from the Dept. of Commerce & Industry, Govt. of Karnataka, which has been issued only after following the dew procedure like a) getting the requisite NOCs from the Revenue Dept., Forest Dept etc.; b) land conversion order from the Dy. Commissioner's office; c) clearance/approval in the District Task Force Meeting etc.

2. Compliant: Prohibitory regulations made in the interest of society at large with the connivance of big Administrative officers and political persons of Karnataka. M/S Rodic Coffee Estate Private Limited company in Hassan district has been forwarded and recommended that permission should be given for mining in most sensitive area of Sakleshapura Taluk Hosea Kota village, Hosea Kota Estate near Western Ghat in Hemavathi river bank in Hassan District of Karnataka.

Reply: The Proponent informed that the proposed mining area, is not included in the Western Ghats Draft Gazette Notification, vide No. S. O. 3072 (E), dated 06th July 2022, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India. Also, the Hemavathi River and its back waters, are more than 10-12 km away from the proposed quarry area and justified as per the Google Map extract showing the proposed quarry area and its distance from Hemavathi River. Further to the discussions held during the 294th SEAC meeting held on 30th March 2023, they have requested Dr. Raja Naika, Professor, Department of Applied Botany, Kuvempu University, Shivamogga and Dr. P. Sharanappa, Professor, Dept. of Bio-Science, University of Mysore PG Center, Hassan, to conduct a field visit and they have visited the project site and its surroundings on 14th April 2023 and have furnished the list of tree species that are existent in and around the proposed quarry area and submitted the list of tree species given by them, where in its mentioned that the tree species that are existent in and around the proposed quarry area has no RET species. Also, they have obtained the NOC from Forest Dept.

Aug.

3. Compliant: In the past in year 2008 this Mining lease was cancelled and mining was closed due to Environmental problems to M/S Baikuntham Rubber P Ltd. even after all pressures and tactics were made to not close it.

Reply: The Proponent informed that, in the year 2008, there was no need of any Environmental Clearance (EC) for mining areas of less than 5 hectares extent. The requirement of EC for minor minerals, has come into existence from 18th May 2012, pursuant to the Judgement dated 27th Feb. 2012, by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India (Deepak Kumar Vs State of Haryana). The previous company viz. M/s Vaikuntam Rubber Company, has closed the mining due to some financial issues, marketing problems etc. and it was not at all due to Environmental Problems.

4. Compliant :Now this new entrant company Rodic Coffee Estate Private Limited has obtained same 24 acres land for mining after making huge some money paid to almost all highest authorities of administration of Hassan District of Karnataka.

Reply: The Proponent informed thatthe total extent of their estate, in the proposed quarry area and its surroundings is 368 Acres (comprising various survey numbers) and the proposed quarry area of 6-00 acres is only part of that total land, wherein the rocky patch is clearly visible. Secondly, the applied areas of a) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 91 (P), SEIAA 35 MIN 2023, b) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 03 (P), SEIAA 26 MIN 2023 and c) another proposed area of 12-00 Acres (yet to be applied for EC), which are more than 700m away from one another and none of these fall into cluster, as per MoEF&CC Gazette Notification of 01st July 2016.

5. Compliant: Now this company is been promised to allow Environmental Clearance.

Reply: The Proponent informed thatno one has promised us any Environmental Clearance. We are following the due procedure, as laid down in the EIA Notification 2006 (incl. Amendments) and no short cuts are being adopted, to get the Environmental Clearance.

9. Compliant: Public Hearing will be managed by hook or crook. Now they are been advised to apply for mining of 12 acres only in first phase and getting it permitted apply for balance 12 acres after few months. This is nothing to make eyewash to flout Govt policies for public hearing. Every seat in process paid and purchased in advance for manipulations in public hearing to get environmental clearance.

Reply: The Proponent informed thatas the proposed quarry areas of a) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 91 (P), SEIAA 35 MIN 2023, b) 6-00 Acres in Sy. No. 03 (P), SEIAA 26 MIN 2023 and c) another proposed area of 12-00 Acres (yet to be applied for EC), are more than 700m away from one another, they will not fall under cluster, as per MoEF&CC Gazette Notification of 01st July 2016. Also, apart from the above 3 quarries, there are no other existing or proposed quarries. Hence, the issue of Public Hearing, will not arise.

10. Compliant: It is also to Inform you that on initiations of Income Tax Department, SEBI have lodged cases against these culprits Tax mafia's involved in this company, GST and ED is also involved in this matter.

Reply: The Proponent informed that this is baseless comment and we assure that, in the present proposed quarry areas of Sy. No. 91 (P), SEIAA 35 MIN 2023 and Sy. No. 03 (P), SEIAA 26 MIN 2023, there are no cases filed/pending with any Court in India or Abroad.

11. Compliant: So we request you that let few persons of government machinery should not be able to defy government policy decisions and this company should not be given Environmental Clearance.

Reply: The Proponent based on the above clarifications to the complaints requested SEAC & SEIAA to grant Environment Clearance.

The Committee after discussion decided to accept the clarifications given by the proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 6-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 850meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. Committee in the light of thick vegetation around the lease area informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road and around the lead area during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,65,650 Cu.mt(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 18 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 20,025 Cu.mt/annum(including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To consider additional environmental measures to protect the surrounding vegetation.
- 2. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
- 3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

With the Permission of Chair.

296.64 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (9-20 Acres) by M/s. Besto Mining India Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428166/2023 (SEIAA 12 MIN 2019)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFO	RMATION PROV	VIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		o Mining India Pvt	
2	Name & Location of the Project	(Part) Chikkaba	of Chikkanag	oject at Sy.No.43 avalli Village, Chikkaballapura
		Point #	Latitude	Longitude
		Α	N 13° 36′ 28.1″	E 77° 46′ 11.2″
]		В	N 13° 36′ 29.1″	E 77° 46′ 05.0″
		С	N 13° 36′ 29.9″	E 77° 46′ 03.2″
1		D	N 13° 36′ 23.6″	E 77° 46′ 01.5″
		E	N 13° 36′ 23.7″	E 77° 46′ 08.0″
		F	N 13° 36′ 20.4″	E 77° 46′ 08.1″
		G	N 13º 36′ 20.6″	E 77° 46′ 12.3″
		H	N 13° 36′ 22.9″	E 77° 46′ 12.3″
		Point #	Latitude	Longitude
		I	N 13° 36′ 22.9″	E 77° 46′ 12.4″
		J	N 13° 36′ 23.8″	E 77° 46′ 12.4″
		K	N 13° 36′ 23.8″	E 77° 46′ 11.2″
		L	N 13° 36′ 25.3″	E 77° 46′ 11.2″
		М	N 13° 36′ 25.3″	E 77° 46′ 07.9″
		N	N 13° 36′ 24.6″	E 77° 46′ 07.9″
		0	N 13° 36′ 24.7″	E 77° 46′ 05.1″
		P	N 13° 36′ 27.9″	E 77º 46' 05.1"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building S	Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Governme	ent	**
6	Area in Acres	9-20 Асге	S	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	4,00,00 Tones/ Annum (including waste)		uding waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		Tones (including	,
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum -	3.88.000	Cones/ Annum (exc	cluding waste)
-	254	,,	- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	



151

	Cu.m / Ton		
11	CER Activities: To carrying out sanitation work and solid waste management for the nearby Chikkanagavalli village (open for discussion in public hearing). To adopt near by Govt. School and to provide all round development		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 12.25 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 6.00 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Quarry plan	12.12.2017	
14	Public Hearing	31.01.2023	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. Land and mining activities have been carried out by local villagers and until lease is executed, they do not have any control over the area and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for building stone quarry and SEIAA had issued ToR on 13.05.2019 and public hearing was conducted on 31.01.2023, where opinions/requests of thirteen people have been recorded in public hearing report. Proponent has submitted EIA report on 05.05.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 3600 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. TheCommittee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after concreting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the requests of public expressed during public hearing to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 21,00,120 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,00,567 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. Proponent agreed to concrete the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC norms
- 2. To grow treesall along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.
- 4. Proponent agreed to adopt near by Govt. School and to provide all round development and also to provide PHC for local villagers.
- 5. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of borewells in near by villages.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for furth necessary action.

152

296.65 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & Chikkaballapura District (11-00 Acres) by M/s. Besto Mining India Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428200/2023 (SEIAA 15 MIN 2019)

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICU	LARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP			
1	Name & Address of th	M/s. Besto Mining India Pvt. Ltd.				
	Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.43 of			
			Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura			
			Taluk &Chikkaballapura District (11-00 Acres)			
			Point #	Latitude	Longitude	
			A	N 13° 36′ 39.1″	E 77° 45′ 26.3″	
			C	N 13° 36′ 38.7″ N 13° 36′ 34.3″	E 77° 45′ 39.9″ E 77° 45′ 39.9″	
			D	N 13° 36′ 34.4″	E 77° 45′ 37.5″	
			E	N 13° 36′ 36.2″	E 77° 45′ 37.5″	
			F	N 13° 36′ 36.6″	E 77° 45′ 34.3″	
			Point #	Latitude	Longitude	
			G	N 13° 36′ 36.0″	E 77° 45′ 34.3″	
			<u>H</u>	N 13° 36′ 35.8″	E 77° 45′ 35.8″	
ł			I I	N 13° 36′ 34.5″	E 77° 45′ 35.5″	
			J	N 13° 36′ 34.6″	E 77° 45′ 34.2″	
			K	N 13° 36′ 36.5″	E 77° 45′ 26.2″	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion / Modification /		New			
	Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government		Government			
İ	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,					
ŀ	Other]					
6	Area in Acres		11-00 Acres			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /		2,00,000 Tones/ Annum (including waste)			
'	Cum) Per Annum		2,00,000	rones raman (me	duling waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-		17,39,226 Tones (including waste)			
1	Cu.m / Ton		11,57,220 Tones (mending waste)			
10				LOCOTOTOTO (Automotive to the time to the		
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum -		1,90,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)			
	Cu.m / Ton					
11	CER Activities: To carrying out sanitation work and solid waste management for the					
	nearby Chikkanagavalli village (open for discussion in public hearing)					
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 12.25 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 6.00 Lakhs (Recurring cost)				
13	Quarry plan	19.09.2018				
14	Public Hearing	31.01.2023				
15	Notification	10.07.2018				
	1.00110000011	10.07.2010				

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project area is Govt. Land andmining activities have been carried out by local villagers and until lease is executed, they do not have any control over the area and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

Que .

The proposal is for building stone quarry and SEIAA had issued ToR on 13.05.2019 and public hearing was conducted on 31.01.2023, where opinions/requests of thirty-one people have been recorded in public hearing report. Proponent has submitted EIA report on 05.05.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 3,600 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after concreting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to comply with the requests of public expressed during public hearing to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 17,39,226 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,00,000Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- Proponent agreed to concrete the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow treesall along the approach road during the first year of operation.
- 3. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.
- 4. Proponent agreed to adopt nearby Govt. School and to provide all round development and also to provide PHC for local villagers.
- 5. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of borewells in nearby villages.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for furth necessary action.

Meeting Concluded with vote of thanks to all.

Member Secretary, SEAC

Karnataka

Chairmah, SEAC

Karnataka