



State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority-Karnataka

(Constituted by MoEF, Government of India, under section 3(3) of E(P) Act, 1986)

Proceedings of the 241st SEIAA Meeting held on 4th September 2023 at 11:00 AM at Room No. 709, 7th Floor, Gate IV, M.S Building, Bangalore-560001.

Members present: -

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha - | Chairman, SEIAA |
| 2. Shri. K. N. Shivalinge Gowda - | Member, SEIAA |
| 3. Shri. B. P. Ravi, IFS - | Member Secretary, SEIAA |

The Member Secretary, SEIAA welcomed the Chairman and member and initiated the discussion. The subjects discussed and the decisions made on each of the agenda points are as follows:

241.1. Fresh Projects (Recommended for EC):

Construction Projects:




- 241.1.1. Residential Apartment with club house Project at Yaraganahalli, Kasaba Hobli, Mysuru Taluk, Mysuru District by M/s. Sankalp Constructions Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/435567/2023 (SEIAA 130 CON 2023)**

M/s. Sankalp Constructions Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of residential apartment with club house Project on a plot area of 10,018.35 Sqm (2A 19.02G). The total built up area is 24,467.28 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 162 Nos with Residential apartment -GF+13UF+TF and Club House- GF+1UF+TF. Total water consumption is 126 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 107 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 120 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 30.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. V. K. Jagadish Babu, Managing Director M/s. Sankalp Constructions Pvt. Ltd. Mysuru.
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Residential Apartment with club house" at Sy. No. 46/2, Yaraganahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Mysuru Taluk, Mysuru District-02.

3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Construction of residential apartment with club house Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not Applicable
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Not Applicable
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	10,018.35 Sqm (2A 19.02G)
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	24,467.28 Sqm
8	FAR	
	• Permissible	2.25
	• Proposed	2.248
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential apartment -GF+13UF+TF - 42.60m Club House- GF+1UF+TF - 7.85m
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	162 Nos.(2BHK-77 Nos, 2.5BHK-11 Nos, 3BHK - 66 Nos, Duplex- 8 Nos))
11	Height Clearance	Project site elevation - 807 m Building Height - 42.60 m Maximum building height: 849.6 m
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	30Crores.
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	NA
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	2,784.31Sqm
b.	Kharab Land	NA
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedules of the EIA notification, 2006	1,875.60Sqm(Park and open space 1,002.05 Sqm)
d.	Paved area	4,857.12 Sqm
e.	Others Specify	Civic Amenities 501.32
f.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area	-



	Development Projects							
g.	Total	10,018.35Sqm						
15	WATER CONSUMPTION							
	I. Construction Phase							
a.	Source of water	STP treated water for construction purpose & Tanker water for domestic purpose.						
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD						
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD						
d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	4 KLD						
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Will be treated in Mobile STP.						
	II. Operational Phase							
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fresh</td> <td>83KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recycled</td> <td>43KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>126KLD</td> </tr> </table>	Fresh	83KLD	Recycled	43KLD	Total	126KLD
Fresh	83KLD							
Recycled	43KLD							
Total	126KLD							
b.	Source of water	Vani Villas Water Supply, Mysuru						
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	107 KLD						
d.	STP capacity	120 KLD						
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequence Batch Reactor (SBR) Technology						
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Available treated water - 102 KLD (95% of sewage water) For flushing -43 KLD For gardening - 12 KLD For Car washing - 9 KLD Other construction purpose/ Avenue plantation - 38 KLD						
16	Infrastructure for Rainwater harvesting							
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	165Cum (2 Days storage)						
b.	Nos of Ground water recharge pits	20 No's						
17	Storm water management plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land is gently sloping terrain and sloping towards South-west direction. • Separate and independent rainwater drainage system will be provided for collecting rainwater from terrace and paved area, lawn & roads. 						
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT							

ll

shreed

gond 3

I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 10 kg/day Solid waste will be generated and collected manually and handed over to local body for further processing
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity -170kg/day Organic wastes will be segregated & collected separately and processed in organic waste converter Sludge generated from STP of capacity 5.3kg/day will be reused as manure for greenery development purposes.
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 255kg/day Recyclable waste will be given to the waste collectors for recycling for further processing.
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste oil of 0.26 kl/annum will be generated from the DG sets will be collected in leak proof barrels and handed over to the authorized waste oil recyclers.
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will be collected & stored in bins and disposed to the authorized & approved KSPCB E-waste processors.
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	CHESCOM - 750kW
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500kVA.
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Energy conservation devices such as Solar energy, Copper wound transformer are proposed in the project -23%.
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	192 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Towards Mysuru C Towards BannuruC
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0 mtr

21	CER Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure development for the Government Higher Primary School, Yaraganahalli, Mysuru (200 m -NE). • Infrastructure development for Mysore Pinjrapole society - Housing for the handicapped, sick, and aged animals' "GOSHALA" located at Foot of Chamundi Hill (3.6km-SW), Mysuru, Karnataka - 570024. • Improvements to Karanji lake.
22	EMP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction phase • Operation Phase 	Construction phase - 24.55 lakhs. Operational Phase - 152 lakhs.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:


The proposal is for construction of residential apartment in an area earmarked for residential use as per Mysore Urban Development Authority.


The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding provision made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that for harvesting rain water, they have proposed 165cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop, hardscape & landscape areas in addition to 20nos. recharge pits within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water, use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and harvest more rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 125 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and radan in ground water noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.





The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tank/sump of 165cum capacities and 20 recharge pits.
2. To grow trees in the early stages of construction.
3. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*
7. *The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
4. *The PP shall grow 125 numbers of indigenous trees in the early stages of construction.*
5. *The PP shall source external water from KGWA approved water sources.*



241.1.2. Residential Apartment with Club House Project at Kada Agrahara Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Modern Spaaces Ventures - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/435738/2023 (SEIAA 132 CON 2023)

M/s. Modern Spaaces Ventures, have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment with Club House" Project on a plot area of 32,576.74Sq.m. The total built up area is 1,08,661.90Sq.m. The Proposed project comprising 400 No. of residential units with Club House in Block A, B, C, D & E distributed over BF + GF + 14UF. Total water consumption is 279 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 251 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 270 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 210.74 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:



Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. R. Sandeep Kumar Reddy, Partner M/s. Modern Spaaces Ventures No.73/2, Chambenahalli Village, Dommasandra Post, Near Asset Serene Apartment, Sarjapura Main Road, Bengaluru - 562 125.
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Residential Apartment with Club House" Project at Sy. Nos. 38/1, 38/2, 38/3, 38/4 & 42/2 of Kada Agrahara Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District-562 125.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT / ITES / Mall / Hotel / Hospital / other	Residential Apartment with Club House Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There is a nala on south side (adjacent) of the project site
6	Plot Area (Sq.m)	32,576.74Sq.m
7	Built Up area (Sq.m)	1,08,661.90Sq.m
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	2.25 2.24

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Proposed project comprising 400 No. of residential units with Club House in Block A, B, C, D & E distributed over BF + GF + 14UF with a maximum height of 44.95 m.
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township / Area Development Projects	NA
11	Height Clearance	44.95 m (As per CCZM map, the permissible height is 114.50 m AMSL and the height achieved for our proposed building is 44.95 m)
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 210.74 Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Total Excavated earth quantity -33,885m ³ For Backfilling- 11,182m ³ For Landscaping -14,110 m ³ For site& driveway formation - 8,593 m ³
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	5,716.43Sqm
b.	Kharab Land	--
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	12,826.98Sqm
d.	Internal Roads	12,404.50Sqm
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	CA Area - 1,628.83 Sqm
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-
h.	Total	32,576.74 Sqm
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	The domestic water requirement will be met by external suppliers and water requirement for construction purpose will be met by STP tertiary treated water.
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	51KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	6.75 KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	6.0 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Domestic sewage generated during construction phase will be collected in


		collection tank and treated in mobile STP, treated water will be used for dust suppression/ landscaping within the site.						
II.	Operational Phase							
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fresh</td> <td>185 KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Flushing</td> <td>94KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>279KLD</td> </tr> </table>	Fresh	185 KLD	Flushing	94KLD	Total	279KLD
Fresh	185 KLD							
Flushing	94KLD							
Total	279KLD							
b.	Source of water	Yamare Gram Panchayath						
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	251 KLD						
d.	STP capacity	STP Capacity - 270KLD (area 168 Sqm)						
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequential Batch Reactor Technology						
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Excess 55KLD for construction works/ Avenue plantation.						
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting							
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	350Cum						
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	50Nos.						
17	Storm water management plan	<p>Pond of capacity 200 cum will be provided.</p> <p>Internal garland drains will be provided within the site in order to carry out the storm water into the recharge pits and will be managed within the site, excess runoff will be routed to the external storm water drain on northern side of the project site.</p>						
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT							
I.	Construction Phase							
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	<p>As there is no provision of labour colony, generation of domestic solid waste will be minimum and will be handed over to local vendors</p> <p>Construction debris -54 m³</p> <p>This will be reused within the site for road and pavement formation.</p>						
II.	Operational Phase							
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	<p>328kg/day</p> <p>This will be segregated at household levels and will be processed in proposed organic waste converter.</p> <p>OWC capacity is 200 kg/hr and its area is 300 Sq.ft (27.88 Sqm)</p>						
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable	492kg/day						

Amund

	waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Recyclable wastes will be handed over to authorized waste recyclers			
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste Oil Generation:245 L/Annum (0.49 L/ running) hour of DG Hazardous wastes like waste oil from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers.			
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will be collected separately & it will be handed over to authorized E-waste recyclers for further processing.			
19	POWER				
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1237KVA			
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500 KVA -2 Nos.			
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	209.52l/hr			
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Cu wound transformer, Solar Lights, solar water heater, LED, high efficiency Pumps and motors in Lifts etc The overall energy savings is around 26.0 %			
20	PARKING				
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	440 No. of cars. (provided - 442No. of cars)			
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Road	Toward	Existin	Changed
			s	g	LOS after
				LOS	road widening
		Kada Agrahara Road		A	A
		Sarjapura Main Road	Sarjapura ORR	D	B
				D	B
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	14 m wide existing Kada Agrahararoad			
21	CER Activities	Development works in Kommasandra			
22	EMP • Construction phase • Operation Phase	During Construction: Capital Investment - 16.50Lakh Construction - 95.24Lakh During Operation: Capital investment -301.88Lakh Operation Investment - 20.0 Lakh/annum			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area earmarked for industrial use as per Anekal Planning Authority, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained land conversion to residential use.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding foot kharab, drain as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that, the foot kharab inside the site area has been rerouted to the project boundary as per the Orders of DC on 26.06.2023 and regarding the primary drain in the southern side, buffer of 9mtr from edge has been proposed. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent informed the Committee that they have proposed RWH tank of 350cum capacity for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 200cum capacity for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 50 recharge pits within the project area.



Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water, use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 440 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tanks/sump of 350cum & pond of 200cum capacity and 50 recharge pits
2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
3. To grow trees during the construction phase itself.



4. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
5. Proponent agreed to construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*
7. *The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*
8. *The PP shall submit revised site plan indicating the revised location of STP and its co-ordinates.*
9. *The PP shall submit clarification on proposed building height as per Color Coded Zoning Map (CCZM)*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
4. *The PP shall carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site*



5. The PP shall grow 440 numbers of indigenous trees in the early stages of construction.
6. The PP shall source external water from KGWA approved water sources.
7. The PP shall construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
8. The rerouted Right of Way shall have similar status of right of way as provided in the Village Map and shall have free access with a display board indicating the Right of Way shall be provided both at entry and exit of Right of Way.

241.1.3. Residential Apartment with Club House project at Kurudu Sonnenahalli Village, Bidarhalli Hobali, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Vikyath Properties Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/435724/2023 (SEIAA 133 CON 2023)

M/s. Vikyath Properties Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment with Club House project on a plot area of 12,747.49 Sqmt. The total built up area is 49,955.56 Sqmt. The proposed project consists of 322 nos units Block A & Block B in B+G+7UF and Club House. Total water consumption is 240 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 216 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 220 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 60.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Vikyath Properties Pvt. Ltd. #2, Vikyath Iris Building, Kodigehalli Main Road, K.R. Puram, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment with Club House project at Sy. Nos. 26/1 and 26/2 of Kurudu Sonnenahalli Village, Bidarhalli Hobali, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification, 2006.
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA

4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	12,747.49 Sqmt
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	49,955.56 Sqmt
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	3.0 2.66
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Block A & Block B in B+G+7UF and Club House
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township / Area Development Projects	322 nos
11	Height Clearance	As per CCZM Bangalore, permissible top elevation is 1010m AMSL and proposed elevation is 926.99m AMSL
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	60 crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	No Demolition waste is generated and Excavated earth we used our project site only.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	6,340.89 Sqmt
b.	Kharab Land	101.17 Sqmt
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	3,161.5 Sqmt
d.	Internal Roads	3,143.9 Sqmt
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	NA
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
h.	Total	12,747.49 Sqmt
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	BWSSB STP treated water/ Near by STP treated water

b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	25 KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	3 KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	2 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile sewage Treatment Plant
II. Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh 165 KLD
		Recycled 75 KLD
		Total 240 KLD
b.	Source of water	Grampanchayat
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	216 KLD
d.	STP capacity	220 KLD
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology, Area required for STP is 220 Sqmt
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Excess 100 KLD in this we used for floor washing, given to nearby construction activities
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	470 m ³ of of collection sump is provided Area required for Rain water tank is 470Sqmt
	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10 nos
17	Storm water management plan	We provided 470 m ³ of of roof water collection sump and 10nos of recharge pits all along the project site
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I. Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Handed over to BBMP authorities
II. Operational Phase		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	434 kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden 43 kg/ hr 450 kg/day of capacity Space required is 12 sqmt
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per	290 kg/day given to PCB authorized recycler

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
02/09/23

	norms	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	150-180 lts given to PCB authorized recycler
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	150 kg/year given to PCB authorized recycler
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1600KV
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	250 KVA X 2 nos
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 26%
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	354 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report towards K.R.Puram road is B and towards Hoskote is B
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0mtr
21	CER Activities	To provide infrastructure development of nearby Govt School./ Hospital
22	EMP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction phase • Operation Phase 	50 Lakhs 310 Lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding provisions made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that, for harvesting rain water, the Proponent informed the Committee that they had proposed RWH tank of 470cum capacity for runoff from rooftop and pond of 200cum for

runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 10 recharge pits within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water, use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 158 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.



The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tanks/sump of 470 cum capacity & 200 cum capacity and 10 recharge pits
2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
3. To grow trees during the construction phase itself.
4. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
5. Proponent agreed to construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*






2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*
7. *The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
4. *The PP shall carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site*
5. *The PP shall grow 158 numbers of indigenous trees in the early stages of construction.*
6. *The PP shall source external water from KGWA approved water sources.*
7. *The PP shall construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.*

241.1.4. Residential Apartment with club house Project at of Bingipura Village, Jigani Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/435775/2023 (SEIAA 134 CON 2023)

M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment with club house"- "DS Max Sky Sanman" Project on a plot area of 22,965.715qm. The total built up area is 95,867.84 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 1,005 No's units Residential building- 2BF+GF+14UF+TF and Club house - BF+GF+4UF+TF. Total water consumption is 700 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 595 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to



construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 310KLD & 350KLD. The project cost is Rs. 125 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

SL. NO	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Shivashankar Chikkeri, Authorized Signatory M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd. #1854, 17 th main, 30 th B' Cross, HBR Layout, 1 st Stage, 5 th Block, Bengaluru-560043.
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Residential Apartment with club house" - "DS Max Sky Sanman" at Sy. Nos.158/1,158/2 & 158/3 of S.Bingipura Village, Jigani Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District.
3	Type of Development	
	a. Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment with club house" DS Max Sky Sanman" Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
	b. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not Applicable
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	Total site area- 22,965.71Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	95,867.84 Sqm
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	2.50 2.449
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	• Residential building- 2BF+GF+14UF+TF- 44.95m • Club house - BF+GF+4UF+TF -14.95m
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	1,005 No's

11	Height Clearance	As per CCZM Bangalore, allowable top elevation is 1035m AMSL and proposed maximum building height: 986.95m AMSL	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	125Crores.	
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	NA	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	4,593.19 Sqm
	b.	Kharab Land	No
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedules of the EIA notification, 2006	8,872.23 Sqm
	d.	Paved area	5,038.24Sqm
	e.	Others Specify	Road widening area -984.02 Sqm Park and Open areas-2,320.58 Sqm Civic amenities-1,157.45Sqm
	f.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	--
	g.	Total	22,965.71 Sqm
15	WATER		
	I. Construction Phase		
	a.	Source of water	STP treated water for construction purpose & Tanker water for domestic
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD
	d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	4 KLD
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Will be treated in mobile STP.
	II. Operational Phase		
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh 464 KLD Recycled 236 KLD Total 700KLD
	b.	Source of water	Gram Panchayat
	c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	595 KLD
	d.	STP capacity	310KLD & 350KLD

	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequence Batch Reactor (SBR) Technology
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Available treated water - 565 KLD (95% of wastewater) For flushing - 236 KLD For Landscape - 53 KLD For Car washing- 25 KLD For Other construction purpose/ Avenue plantation- 251 KLD
16	Infrastructure for Rainwater harvesting		
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	2X275 cum (2 Days storage)
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	35no's.
17	Storm water management plan		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Land is gently sloping terrain and sloping towards North-east direction. Separate and independent rainwater drainage system will be provided for collecting rainwater from terrace and paved area, lawn & roads.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
	I.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 10kg/day Solid waste will be generated and collected manually and handed over to local body for further processing
	II.	Operational Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity -945 kg/day Organic wastes will be segregated & collected separately and processed in organic waste converter Sludge generated from STP of capacity 30kg/day will be reused as manure for greenery development purposes.
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 1,418kg/day Recyclable waste will be given to the waste collectors for recycling for further processing.
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste oil of 0.78 kl/annum will be generated from the DG sets will be collected in leak proof barrels and handed over to the authorized waste oil recyclers.
	d.	Quantity of E waste	E-Wastes will be collected & stored in bins



21

	generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	and disposed to the authorized & approved KSPCB E-waste processors.
19	POWER	
	a. Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	BESCOM - 5450 kVA
	b. Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2X750KVA
	c. Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
	d. Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Energy conservation devices such as Solar energy, Copper wound transformer are proposed in the project -23%.
20	PARKING	
	a. Parking Requirement as per norms	554 ECS
	b. Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Towards Begurroad Towards Kopparoad
	c. Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0 mtr
21	CER Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development and Plantation around the Hulimangala lake area(400m-east). • Total peripheral length of lake - 3,070 m. • Distance between two saplings - 2 m. • Total no. of saplings - 1,535 No's. • Plantation cost for one sapling - • Construction of 30 recharge pits in Hulimangala village (1.0 km- E).
22	EMP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction phase • Operation Phase 	Construction phase - 27lakhs Operational Phase - 456lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area earmarked for agriculture use as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained land conversion to residential use.

The Committee during appraisal sought details for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that they had proposed RWH tank of 2x250cum


22

capacity for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 250 cum capacity for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 35 recharge pits within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 340 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.


The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tanks/sump of 2x250cum & pond of 250cum capacity and 35 recharge pits
2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
3. To grow trees during the construction phase itself.
4. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
5. Proponent agreed to construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*




2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.
7. The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.

Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
4. The PP shall carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
5. The PP shall grow 340 numbers of indigenous trees in the early stages of construction.
6. The PP shall source external water from KGWA approved water sources.
7. The PP shall construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess runoff water.

241.1.5. Vaswani Residential Development Project at Garudacharpalya, Pattandur Agrahara Village, Whitefield, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru by M/s. Vaswani Estates Developers Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/435528/2023 (SEIAA 131 CON 2023)

M/s. Vaswani Estates Developers Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for construction of Vaswani Residential Development Project on a plot area of 20,307.13Sq.m. The total built up area is 75,209.68Sq.m. The proposed project consists of 269 flats in Tower 1, 2 and 3 with 2 Basement Floors + Ground Floor + 23 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor and Club House - 2 Basement Floors + Ground Floor + 2 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor .Total water consumption is 237 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated



is 190 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 220 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 97 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Vaswani Estates Developers Pvt. Ltd. Vaswani Victoria, 2 nd Floor, 30, Sri Thyagi M Palanivelu Road, Victoria Layout, Bengaluru
2	Name & Location of the Project	Vaswani Residential Development at Khatha No. 170, Ward No. 82, Garudacharpalya, Sy. Nos. 81, 82/1(P), 82/2, 82/3, 83/1, 83/2, 83/3 and 84/1, Pattandur Agrahara Village, Whitefield, Krishanarajapuram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru.
3	Type of Development	
a	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.
b	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	--
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	As per the Pattanduru Agrahara Village Map, Pattanduru Agrahara Lake is abutting the northern boundary of the project site. Nala is also abutting along the Southern Boundary of the project site. Required buffers are earmarked as No Development Zone and local and fast growing trees are proposed in the Buffer Zones.
6	Plot Area (Sq.m)	20,307.13Sq.m
7	Built Up area (Sq.m)	75,209.68Sq.m
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	3.25 2.5
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Tower 1, 2 and 3 with 2 Basement Floors + Ground Floor + 23 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor Club House - 2 Basement Floors + Ground Floor + 2 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor

10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township / Area Development Projects	269 flats (1 - 2BHK, 184 - 3BHK, 80 - 4 BHK and 4 - 5BHK)
11	Height Clearance	78.30mtr
12	Project Cost (Rs in Crores)	97 Cores
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	It is estimated that about 39,600 cum of earth shall be excavated using latest hi-tech earth moving machinery. Top earth of about 9,900 cum shall be stored and used for landscaping. About 14,850 cum of excavated soil will be used for Roads and walkways. About 8,900cum will be used for backfilling and remaining 5,950cum shall be used for manufacturing soil stabilized cement blocks which will used within the project for construction of non-load bearing walls, compound walls, curbstone, pavers, etc. No excavated earth shall be taken out of the project site for disposal.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	2,697.00Sq.m
b.	Kharab Land	-
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	5,664Sq.m
d.	Internal Roads	
e.	Paved area	8,801.89Sq.m
f.	Others Specify (Civic Amenities)	24m Wide CDP Road - 3,144.24Sq.m
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-
h.	Total	20,307.13Sq.m
15	WATER	
i.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	Treated water from STP set-up for Labour camp at or near Project site
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	20KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	17KLD

Handwritten mark

Handwritten signature

e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	20KLD STP	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	156KLD
		Recycled	81KLD
		Total	237KLD
b.	Source of water	BWSSB through KIADB, Rooftop Rainwater & Treated Water	
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	190KLD	
d.	STP capacity	220KLD STP	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequencing Batch Reactor Technology	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Treated water will be used for toilet flushing, landscaping, etc.	
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting		
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	160cum	
	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10 Nos.	
17	Storm Water Management plan	Garland drain with 10 recharge pits are proposed.	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	20kg/day of solid waste shall be disposed through BBMP waste management contractors	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	306kg/day Organic Waste Converter	
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	458kg/day Local Authorized Recyclers	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	500 kg/annum Authorized Agencies	
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	50 kg/annum Authorized Agencies	
19	POWER		
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	2,700KVA	

	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500KVA X 3Nos.
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Dual Fuel Mode; Low Sulphur High Speed Diesel (HSD) with Sulphur content less than 50ppm & Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	a. Timer based External Lights b. BEE Star rated electromechanical systems shall be used in the development c. Solar Water Heating systems for top 3 floor dwelling units d. Use of HF ballast for lighting e. Use of LED light fittings f. Building Orientation; Cross Ventilation; Total Savings - 27.5%
20	PARKING		
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	343 ECS
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Pattandur Agrahara Vishveshwaraiah road - C
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6mtr
21	CER Activities		1. To carry out Pattandur agrahara lake development activity 2. Plantation in community areas 3. Jobs for local people during construction and operation phase. 4. Free Medical check-up camps will be held 5. Signage on roads to avoid accidents. 6. Providing Skill Development facilities 7. Infrastructure creation for sanitation systems to control waterborne diseases viz., Malaria, Dengue, Diarrhoea, Dysentery, Cholera, etc.
22	EMP • Construction phase • Operation Phase		During Construction Phase: Capital Investment - 38.99 Lakhs Recurring Cost - 3.5 Lakhs/ Annum During Operation Phase: Capital Investment - 96.25 Lakhs Recurring Cost - 18.75 Lakhs/ Annum

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area earmarked for industrial use as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained land conversion to residential use.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding water body, foot kharab and drain as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that for water body in north east they have proposed buffer of 30mtr from edge and clarified that the buffer zone for the tertiary drain in south does not fall within the proposed site area and stated that the foot kharab in south west is left as it is and is out site the project site. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they had proposed RWH tank of 160cum capacity for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 110 cum capacity for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 10recharge pits within the project area.



Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water, use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 250 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tanks/sump of 160cum & pond of 110cum capacity and 10recharge pits
2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
3. To grow trees during the construction phase itself.
4. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
5. Proponent agreed to construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.





The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*
7. *The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
4. *The PP shall carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site*
5. *The PP shall grow 250 numbers of indigenous trees in the early stages of construction.*
6. *The PP shall source external water from KGWA approved water sources.*
7. *The PP shall construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess runoff water.*



8. The rerouted Right of Way shall have similar status of right of way as provided in the Village Map and shall have free access with a display board indicating the Right of Way shall be provided both at entry and exit of Right of Way.


241.1.6. Residential Apartment Project at Chikkavaderapura Village & Heggondahalli Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. NEO Build Ventures LLP - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/434621/2023 (SEIAA 128 CON 2023)



M/s. Neo Build Ventures LLP have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment project on a plot area of 74,278.00 Sqmt. The total built up area is 74,278.00 Sqmt. The proposed project consists of 624 UNITS and 60 EWS Units Tower 1, 2 & 3 = 3B+G+30 UF. Total water consumption is 600 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 550 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 550 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 220 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Neo Build Ventures LLP, Sy.No.31/1, C/O Mana Capitol, Sarjapura Road,Chikkakannalli,Carmelram Bangalore south, Bangalore-560035
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment project at Sy.Nos. 4/9, 4/10, 5, 59 & 60/4P of Chikkavaderapura Village & Sy nos.18/1, 18/2, 18/3, 18/4, 18/5 & 19/3 of Heggondahalli village, Sarjapura hobli, Anekal taluk, Bangalore urban
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Heggondahalli lake at northern side; Tertiary nala from North to south direction and Tertiary nala from West to east direction

6	Plot Area (Sqm)	74,278.00 Sqmt
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,45,505.12 Sqmt
8	FAR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permissible • Proposed 	3.0 1.38
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Tower 1, 2 & 3 = 3B+G+30 UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township / Area Development Projects	624 UNITS and 60 EWS Units
11	Height Clearance	As per CCZM of Bangalore permissible top elevation is 1010m AMSL and proposed top elevation is 1003.57m AMSL
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	220 cr
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	No Demolition waste is generated and Excavated earth we used our project site only.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	3,535.68 Sqmt
b.	Kharab Land	809.37 Sqmt
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	13,128.13 Sqmt
d.	Internal Roads	41,935.04 Sqmt
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	CA Area - 3,083.18 Sqmt
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
h.	Total	74,278.00 Sqmt
15	WATER	
i.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	BWSSB STP treated water/Near by STP treated water
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5



d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile sewage Treatment Plant	
II. Operational Phase			
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	400KLD
		Recycled	200 KLD
		Total	600 KLD
b.	Source of water	Grampanchyat	
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	550 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	550 KLD	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology, Area required for STP is 550 Sqmt	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Excess 212 KLD in this we used for floor washing, given to nearby construction activities	
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting		
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	300 m3 of of collection sump is provided Area required for Rain water tank is 300Sqmt	
	No's of Ground water recharge pits	23 nos	
17	Storm water management plan	We provided 300 m3 of of roof water collection sump and 23nos of recharge pits all along the project site and also we have provided one Pond of 250 cum capacity for collection of Surface rain water.	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I. Construction Phase			
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Handed over to BBMP authorities	
II. Operational Phase			
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	895 kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden 90 kg/ hr 900 kg/day of capacity Space required is 20sqmt	
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	599 kg/day given to PCB authorized recycler	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of	250-300lbs given to PCB authorized recycler	

	Disposal as per norms	
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	250 kg/year given to PCB authorized recycler
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	2688
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500 kVA X 4 Nos and 400 KVA X 1 no
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 25%
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	719 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report towards Varthur road is D and towards Sarjapura is E
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0mtr
21	CER Activities	Development of Heggondahalli lake
22	EMP	
	• Construction phase	102 Lakhs
	• Operation Phase	508 Lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area earmarked for agriculture use as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained land conversion to residential use.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding water body and drain as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the water body in north east they have proposed 30mtr buffer from edge of water body, for tertiary drains in southwest and eastern sides they have proposed buffer of 15mtr from center of the drains and had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA on 24.06.2021. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed RWH tank of

320cum capacity for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 200 cum capacity for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 23recharge pits within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water, use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 750 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tanks/sump of 320cum & pond of 200cum capacity and 23recharge pits
2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
3. To grow trees during the construction phase itself.
4. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*



Amud

350
Amud


3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*
7. *The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
4. *The PP shall carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site*
5. *The PP shall grow 750 numbers of indigenous trees in the early stages of construction.*
6. *The PP shall to source external water from KGWA approved water sources.*

241.1.7. Residential Development Plan Project at Panathur Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Meda Projects - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/420536/2023 (SEIAA 59 CON 2023)

M/s. Meda Projects have proposed for construction of Residential Development Project on a plot area of 33,841.55 sq.m . The total built up area is 1,48,967.00 sq.m.. The proposed Residential Development project comprising of 2 Blocks, each block having Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 14 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor and Civic Amenities (Club House) comprising of Ground Floor + 3 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor with total 728 units. Total water consumption is 507.78 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 482.39 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 500 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 269.00 Crores.



Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Sri. Meda Sai Krishna Reddy, Partner M/s. Meda Projects Having its Office at Villa No.35, Rajpushpa Open Skies, Kokapet, Hyderabad - 560075
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Development Plan by M/s. Meda Projects at Sy. Nos. 12/1, 12/2, 12/3, 15/2, 15/3 & 15/4 of Panathur Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Tertiary nala away from the site in towards south
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	33,841.55 sq.m
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,48,967.00 sq.m.
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	3.60 (including TDR) 2.943 (including TDR)
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Residential Development project comprising of 2 Blocks, each block having Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 14 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor and Civic Amenities (Club House) comprising of Ground Floor + 3 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor with total 728 units.
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township / Area Development Projects	728 Units
11	Height Clearance	Justification, there is existing building of M/s Prestige Teraraces with top height of 946mtrs AMSL and proposed top

		elevation is 937.6m AMSL	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 296.0 Cr.	
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Details	Quantity inm ³
		Quantity of excavated soil	1,99,224.69
		Back filling for footings	99,612.35
		Site filling required	22,284.18
		Back filling for retaining wall	65,054.64
		Top soil for Landscaping	6,317.16
		Filling for internal roads	5,956.36
	Total	1,99,224.69	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
a.	Ground Coverage Area	9,144.47 sq.m	
b.	Kharab Land	--	
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	10,371.45 sq.m	
d.	Internal Roads	11,912.73 Sq.m	
e.	Paved area		
f.	Others Specify	2,412.9Sqm	
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA	
h.	Total	33,841.55 sq.m	
15	WATER		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Source of water	From Nearby treated water suppliers	
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD	
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD	
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	The sewage generated during the construction phase will be treated in the Mobile STP	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	343.98 KLD
		Recycled	163.80 KLD
		Total	507.78 KLD
b.	Source of water	BWSSB	

c.	Waste water generation in KLD	482.39 KLD
d.	STP capacity	500 KLD
e.	STP Area	485 sq.m
f.	OWC Area	198 sq.m
g.	OWC Capacity	5 Tons
h.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology
i.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	No Disposal. The treated water will be reused for toilet flushing, landscaping in the project site, avenue plantation and Reuse after treating with ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	494 Cu.m.
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	31 Nos.
17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected by rainwater harvesting system and will be used for recharging the ground water
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	No of labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste will be converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	873.60 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor.
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	582.40 kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Nil
d.	Quantity of E waste generation	E-waste generation will be very less,

39

	and mode of Disposal as per norms	generated quantity to be handed over to authorized recyclers.								
19	POWER									
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	5000 kVA								
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	6 No x 500 KVA, 1 No x 250 KVA & 4 No x 500KVA								
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD								
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<p>Energy saved by using Solar water Heater : 1,70,000 kWh/ Year.....(a)</p> <p>Solar Power Generation:</p> <p>In non-monsoon season 600kWh x 30 x 8 Months = 1,44,000kWh</p> <p>In monsoon season 400kWh x 30 x 4 Months = 48,000 kWh</p> <p>Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 1.92 L kWh / Annum.....(b)</p> <p>Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year = (a)+(b)= 1.70+1.92 L kWh = 3.62 L / Annum(c)</p> <p>Total energy savings = 24.79%</p>								
20	PARKING									
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	802 ECS								
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Balagere Main Road -LOS - B								
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	9.00 m								
21	CER Activities	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Rain Water Harvesting in GHPS at Panathur Village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to GHPS at Panathur Village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Panathur Village</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1 st	Rain Water Harvesting in GHPS at Panathur Village	2 nd	Providing solar power panels to GHPS at Panathur Village	3 rd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Panathur Village
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)									
1 st	Rain Water Harvesting in GHPS at Panathur Village									
2 nd	Providing solar power panels to GHPS at Panathur Village									
3 rd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Panathur Village									

Therese

Shree 40

		4 th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder						
		5 th	Health camp in GHPS at Panathur Village						
22	EMP	EMP (Construction & Operation)							
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction phase • Operation Phase 	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Operation Phase</th> <th>Construction Phase</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Recurring Cost Per Annum = 49.148 lakhs</td> <td>Recurring Cost Per Annum = 17.85 lakhs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Capital Cost = 446.92 lakhs</td> <td>Capital Cost = 56.15 lakhs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Operation Phase	Construction Phase	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 49.148 lakhs	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 17.85 lakhs	Capital Cost = 446.92 lakhs	Capital Cost = 56.15 lakhs	
Operation Phase	Construction Phase								
Recurring Cost Per Annum = 49.148 lakhs	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 17.85 lakhs								
Capital Cost = 446.92 lakhs	Capital Cost = 56.15 lakhs								

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification regarding foot kahrab & cart track road as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that they had rerouted the foot kahrb & cart track to the project boundary as per DC Orders dated 24.02.2022 and had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA on 16.12.2022. For harvesting rain water Proponent informed that they had proposed RWH tank of 494cum capacity for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 31 recharge pits within the project area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart water meters for individual units for conservation of water, use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and harvest excess rainwater from the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 450 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

L. Suresh

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide RWH tanks/sump of 494cum capacity and 31recharge pits
2. Proponent agreed to carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
3. To grow trees during the construction phase itself.
4. Proponent agreed to source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
5. Proponent to obtain height clearance from HAL for proposed height of building.


The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*
7. *The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*



Handwritten signature



4. The PP shall carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site
5. The PP shall grow 450 numbers of indigenous trees in the early stages of construction.
6. The PP shall to source external water from KCWA approved water sources.
7. The PP shall obtain height clearance from HAL for proposed height of building.
8. The rerouted Right of Way shall have similar status of right of way as provided in the Village Map and shall have free access with a display board indicating the Right of Way shall be provided both at entry and exit of Right of Way.


Mining Projects:

241.1.8. Building Stone Quarry Project at Handenahalli Village, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District (4-00 Acres) by Sri Umesh - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/435026/2023 (SEIAA 293 MIN 2023)

Sri Umesh have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 45(Part) of Handenahalli Village, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Umesh										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 45(Part) of Handenahalli Village, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District (4-00 Acres) <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 12°46'53.20"</td> <td>E 76°40'58.50"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12°46'53.20"</td> <td>E 76°41'01.20"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12°46'46.70"</td> <td>E 76°41'01.10"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12°46'46.70"</td> <td>E 76°40'58.30"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 12°46'53.20"	E 76°40'58.50"	N 12°46'53.20"	E 76°41'01.20"	N 12°46'46.70"	E 76°41'01.10"	N 12°46'46.70"	E 76°40'58.30"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 12°46'53.20"	E 76°40'58.50"											
N 12°46'53.20"	E 76°41'01.20"											
N 12°46'46.70"	E 76°41'01.10"											
N 12°46'46.70"	E 76°40'58.30"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										
6	Area in Acres	4-00 Acres										


7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,02,176 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	9,73,101 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,00,132Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 4000 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Handenahalli Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 17.65 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.61 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	22.05.2020
14	Quarry plan	22.06.2023
15	Cluster Certificate	22.06.2023
16	Revenue	27.09.2019
17	Notification	18.05.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:



The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 7-00Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 700 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 9,73,101tons(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 10years.


The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,02,176 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*




241.1.9. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at of Holemannur Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District (8-00 Acres) by Sri Sharanagouda L Patil - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/435984/2023 (SEIAA 301 MIN 2023)


Sri Sharanagouda L Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.27/1A, 27/1B, 27/1K, 28/1A, 28/5, 28/6 & 28/7 of Holemannur Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District (8-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Sharanagouda L Patil												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.27/1A, 27/1B, 27/1K, 28/1A, 28/5, 28/6 & 28/7 of Holemannur Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District (8-00 Acres) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N15° 49' 49.32"</td> <td>E75° 37' 36.97"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N15° 49' 48.53"</td> <td>E75° 37' 40.60"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N15° 49' 46.93"</td> <td>E75° 37' 42.30"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N15° 49' 41.81"</td> <td>E75° 37' 38.09"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N15° 49' 42.87"</td> <td>E75° 37' 34.32"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N15° 49' 49.32"	E75° 37' 36.97"	N15° 49' 48.53"	E75° 37' 40.60"	N15° 49' 46.93"	E75° 37' 42.30"	N15° 49' 41.81"	E75° 37' 38.09"	N15° 49' 42.87"	E75° 37' 34.32"
Latitude	Longitude													
N15° 49' 49.32"	E75° 37' 36.97"													
N15° 49' 48.53"	E75° 37' 40.60"													
N15° 49' 46.93"	E75° 37' 42.30"													
N15° 49' 41.81"	E75° 37' 38.09"													
N15° 49' 42.87"	E75° 37' 34.32"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Sand Mining												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta												
6	Area in Acres	8-00 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	20,000 Tones for 1 st year, 40,184 Tons/annum for 3 years(including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.55 Crores (Rs. 155 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,40,552 Tones (including waste)												
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	20,000 Tones for 1 st year, 40,184 Tons/annum for 3 years (including waste)												
11	CER Activities:													



K. S. S. S.



Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	
1st	Providing solar power panels to common public places	
2nd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Holemannur Village & Strengthening of approach road	
3rd	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	
4th	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 43.17 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.14 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	30.01.2020
14	Cluster certificate	06.06.2023
15	Revenue NOC	20.07.2020
16	DTF	13.07.2021
17	App. Quarry Plan	26.10.2021
18	JIR depth	3 mtrs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for ordinary sand mining and as per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 8-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Proponent informed that in DMG letter dated 22.12.2021, it is mentioned that there is no river sand mining projects in the vicinity of 5 km from the proposed lease area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1030 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 1,40,552 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 4 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 20,000 Tones during the 1st year, 40,184 Tons/annum each during the next 3 years (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
3. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.




The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*
4. *The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*



4. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
5. The PP shall adhere to the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
6. The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
7. The PP shall implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation

241.1.10. Building Stone Quarry Project at In part of Bavihal Village, Anagodu Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (3-15 Acres) by M/s. Vijaya Vinayaka Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/436202/2023 (SEIAA 306 MIN 2023)

M/s. Vijaya Vinayaka Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at In part of Sy.No.52/3 of Bavihal Village, Anagodu Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (3-15 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP																		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Vijaya Vinayaka Stone Crusher																		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In part of Sy.No.52/3 of Bavihal Village, Anagodu Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (3-15 Acres) <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 56.1"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 54.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 56.1"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 56.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 52.4"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 57.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 52.1"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 59.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 50.2"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 57.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 49.4"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 56.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 50.1"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 56.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 19' 54.4"</td> <td>E 76° 05' 54.7"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 19' 56.1"	E 76° 05' 54.7"	N 14° 19' 56.1"	E 76° 05' 56.7"	N 14° 19' 52.4"	E 76° 05' 57.7"	N 14° 19' 52.1"	E 76° 05' 59.1"	N 14° 19' 50.2"	E 76° 05' 57.9"	N 14° 19' 49.4"	E 76° 05' 56.3"	N 14° 19' 50.1"	E 76° 05' 56.2"	N 14° 19' 54.4"	E 76° 05' 54.7"
Latitude	Longitude																			
N 14° 19' 56.1"	E 76° 05' 54.7"																			
N 14° 19' 56.1"	E 76° 05' 56.7"																			
N 14° 19' 52.4"	E 76° 05' 57.7"																			
N 14° 19' 52.1"	E 76° 05' 59.1"																			
N 14° 19' 50.2"	E 76° 05' 57.9"																			
N 14° 19' 49.4"	E 76° 05' 56.3"																			
N 14° 19' 50.1"	E 76° 05' 56.2"																			
N 14° 19' 54.4"	E 76° 05' 54.7"																			
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																		
5	Type of Land (Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,	Patta																		

	Other]													
6	Area in Acres	3-15 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,57,895 Tones/ Annum (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.35 Crores (Rs. 135 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	16,76,156 Tones (including waste)												
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,50,000Tones / Annum (excluding waste)												
11	CER Activities: To construct one additional room to Govt. HPS in Bavihal village.													
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Bavihal village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Bavihal Village & Strengthening of approach road</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Bavihal village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td>Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td>Health camp in GHPS school at Bavihal village</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Bavihal village	2nd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Bavihal Village & Strengthening of approach road	3rd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Bavihal village	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	5th	Health camp in GHPS school at Bavihal village
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)													
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Bavihal village													
2nd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Bavihal Village & Strengthening of approach road													
3rd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Bavihal village													
4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder													
5th	Health camp in GHPS school at Bavihal village													
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 44.77 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.86 Lakhs (Recurring cost)												
13	Forest NOC	22.07.2021												
14	Quarry plan	04.07.2023												
15	Cluster Certificate	07.07.2023												
16	Revenue	30.07.2021												
17	Notification	16.09.2021												

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 3-15 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 760meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the

crusher as per IRC standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 16,76,156 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,57,895 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry & road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.




The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*



5. The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
6. The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

241.1.11. Expansion of Quartzite/M- Sand Project at Goravanakolla village, Savadatti Taluk, Belagavi District (4-00 Acres) (QL No. 682) by Sri Praveen V Doddannavar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/427862/2023 (SEIAA 206 MIN 2023)

Sri Praveen V Doddannavar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Expansion of Quartzite/M- Sand Project at Sy.No. 138(p) of Goravanakolla village, Savadatti Taluk, Belagavi District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Praveen V Doddannavar												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Quartzite/M- Sand Project at Sy.No. 138(p) of Goravanakolla village, Savadatti Taluk, Belagavi District (4-00 Acres) (QL No. 682)												
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 46.20"</td> <td>E 75° 07' 08.20"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 45.90"</td> <td>E 75° 07' 06.70"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 46.50"</td> <td>E 75° 07' 03.40"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 50.40"</td> <td>E 75° 07' 04.60"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 50.60"</td> <td>E 75° 07' 07.80"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 15° 48' 46.20"	E 75° 07' 08.20"	N 15° 48' 45.90"	E 75° 07' 06.70"	N 15° 48' 46.50"	E 75° 07' 03.40"	N 15° 48' 50.40"	E 75° 07' 04.60"	N 15° 48' 50.60"	E 75° 07' 07.80"
Latitude	Longitude													
N 15° 48' 46.20"	E 75° 07' 08.20"													
N 15° 48' 45.90"	E 75° 07' 06.70"													
N 15° 48' 46.50"	E 75° 07' 03.40"													
N 15° 48' 50.40"	E 75° 07' 04.60"													
N 15° 48' 50.60"	E 75° 07' 07.80"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Quartzite/M- Sand Project												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta												
6	Area in Acres	4-00 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	66,867 Tones/ Annum (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.37 Crores (Rs. 137 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	7,18,838 Tones (including waste)												
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	26,747Tones / Annum (recovery)												

11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to GHPS of Goravanakolla Village
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to GHPS of Goravanakolla Village
	3rd	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder
	4th	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages
5th	Health camp in GHPS of Goravanakolla Village	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 39.06 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.12 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	17.04.2023
14	Cluster Certificate	27.04.2023
15	CCR from KSPCB	06.07.2023
16	Audit Report	11.07.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion of quartzite/m-sand quarry, for which EC was issued earlier by DEIAA on 30.11.2016 and lease was granted on 11.08.2017 with QL no. 682 The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 11.07.2023 and CCR from KSPCB dated 06.07.2023 & CFO from KSPCB on 09.11.2020.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 4-00 Acre and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 610 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed. Proponent submitted an undertaking for complying with the conditions to MoEF&CC OM dated: 28.04.2023.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 7,18,838 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 66,867 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms before commencing expansion in quantity

Shreed

53
Shreed

2. To grow trees all along the approach road and towards habitation during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observation of KSPCB in CCR.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:




1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
7. *The PP shall comply with the observation of KSPCB in CCR.*

241.1.12. Building Stone (Basalt) Quarry Project at Narayanpur Village, Aurad Taluk, Bidar District (3-00 Acres) by M/s. Mahalaxmi Stone Crusher Narayanpur - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/433025/2023 (SEIAA 257 MIN 2023)

M/s. Mahalaxmi Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone (Basalt) Quarry Project at Sy.No.34/*/*2 of Narayanpur Village, Aurad Taluk, Bidar District (3-00 Acres)



Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Mahalaxmi Stone Crusher Narayanpur												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone (Basalt) Quarry Project at Sy.No.34/*2 of Narayanpur Village, Aurad Taluk, Bidar District (3-00 Acres)												
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 18° 16' 20.5"</td> <td>E 77° 26' 46.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 18° 16' 18.4"</td> <td>E 77° 26' 47.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 18° 16' 17.7"</td> <td>E 77° 26' 40.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 18° 16' 19.3"</td> <td>E 77° 26' 39.7"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 18° 16' 20.5"	E 77° 26' 46.7"	N 18° 16' 18.4"	E 77° 26' 47.1"	N 18° 16' 17.7"	E 77° 26' 40.0"	N 18° 16' 19.3"	E 77° 26' 39.7"		
Latitude	Longitude													
N 18° 16' 20.5"	E 77° 26' 46.7"													
N 18° 16' 18.4"	E 77° 26' 47.1"													
N 18° 16' 17.7"	E 77° 26' 40.0"													
N 18° 16' 19.3"	E 77° 26' 39.7"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta												
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	58,562 Tones/ Annum (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.26 Crores (Rs. 126 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	6,48,272 Tones (including waste)												
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	55,634 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)												
11	CER Activities:	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Narayanpur Village.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Rain water harvesting pits to Narayanpur Village.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td>Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Narayanpur Village.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td>Health camp in GHPS at Narayanpur Village.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Narayanpur Village.	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to Narayanpur Village.	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Narayanpur Village.	5th	Health camp in GHPS at Narayanpur Village.
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)													
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Narayanpur Village.													
2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to Narayanpur Village.													
3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages													
4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Narayanpur Village.													
5th	Health camp in GHPS at Narayanpur Village.													
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 32.11 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.65 Lakhs (Recurring cost)												
13	Forest NOC	23.08.2018												
14	Quarry plan	21.01.2019												
15	Cluster Certificate	25.01.2019												

16	Revenue	28.07.2018
17	Notification	30.11.2018

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 3-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 340meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 6,48,272 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.




The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 58,562tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration.

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry & road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*

2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:


1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities should be installed for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
7. *The PP shall construct Check dams and gully plugs along the smaller streamlets in the area, to arrest the loose soil flow from the quarry area.*


241.1.13. Building Stone Quarry Project at Jeelahalli Village, Nymati Taluk, & Davanagere District (4-06 Acres) by Sri Rameshwara Quarry Industries - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/433786/2023 (SEIAA 299 MIN 2023)

Sri Rameshwara Quarry Industries have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.46 of Jeelahalli Village, Nymati Taluk, & Davanagere District (4-06 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Rameshwara Quarry Industries







2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.46 of Jeenahalli Village, Nymati Taluk, & Davanagere District (4-06 Acres)															
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>N14°12'55.7399"</td> <td>E75°32'36.3765"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>N14°12'55.6294"</td> <td>E75°32'38.0040"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C</td> <td>N14°12'55.5190"</td> <td>E75°32'41.8619"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>N14°12'52.6389"</td> <td>E75°32'42.0952"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>N14°12'52.2579"</td> <td>E75°32'36.0769"</td> </tr> </table>	A	N14°12'55.7399"	E75°32'36.3765"	B	N14°12'55.6294"	E75°32'38.0040"	C	N14°12'55.5190"	E75°32'41.8619"	D	N14°12'52.6389"	E75°32'42.0952"	E	N14°12'52.2579"	E75°32'36.0769"
A	N14°12'55.7399"	E75°32'36.3765"															
B	N14°12'55.6294"	E75°32'38.0040"															
C	N14°12'55.5190"	E75°32'41.8619"															
D	N14°12'52.6389"	E75°32'42.0952"															
E	N14°12'52.2579"	E75°32'36.0769"															
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry															
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New															
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government															
6	Area in Acres	4-06 Acres															
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,12,222 Tones/ Annum (including waste)															
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.0 Crores (Rs. 100 Lakhs)															
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	13,77,778 Tones (including waste)															
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,01,000Tones / Annum (excluding waste)															
11	CER Activities: To grow 500 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Nymati govt school, crushing plant area, vicinity of office																
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.60 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.75 Lakhs (Recurring cost)															
13	Forest NOC	10.11.2022															
14	Quarry plan	26.06.2023															
15	Revenue	05.12.2022															
16	Notification	06.06.2023															

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by


Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 8-13Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 600 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 13,77,778tons(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11years.




The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,12,222 tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry& the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. Proponent agreed to provide check dams around the project area upon obtaining necessary permission.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*



Additional Conditions:



1. The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.
2. The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
3. The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.
4. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
5. The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
6. The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
7. The PP shall provide check dams around the project area upon obtaining necessary permission.

241.1.14. Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (5-00 Acres) by M/s. Sri Sai Enterprises - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/422485/2023 (SEIAA 161 MIN 2023)

M/s. Sri Sai Enterprises have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Part of Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (5-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Sri Sai Enterprises
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Part of Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (5-00 Acres)


		Latitude	Longitude												
		N 13° 24' 22.2"	E 77° 40' 14.8"												
		N 13° 24' 24.2"	E 77° 40' 20.6"												
		N 13° 24' 25.9"	E 77° 40' 13.8"												
		N 13° 24' 27.5"	E 77° 40' 19.3"												
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry													
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion													
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government													
6	Area in Acres	5-00 Acres													
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	4,08,163 Tones/ Annum (including waste)													
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.60 Crores (Rs. 160 Lakhs)													
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	41,72,662 Tones (including waste)													
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	4,00,000 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)													
11	CER Activities:	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Kanivenarayanapura village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Kanivenarayanapura village & Strengthening of approach road</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td>Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td>Health camp in the GLPS school at Kanivenarayanapura village</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Kanivenarayanapura village	2nd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Kanivenarayanapura village & Strengthening of approach road	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Kanivenarayanapura village
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)														
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Kanivenarayanapura village														
2nd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Kanivenarayanapura village & Strengthening of approach road														
3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages														
4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder														
5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Kanivenarayanapura village														
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 33.96 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 12.57 lakhs (Recurring cost)													
13	Quarry plan	08.03.2023													
14	Cluster Certificate	04.02.2019													
15	CCR from KSPCB	14.07.2023													

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

61

The proposal is for expansion of building stone quarry, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 20.04.2019 and lease was granted on 16.10.2020 with effect from 10.11.2005 with QL no. 639. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 14.07.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 301meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after concrete the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 41,72,662 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11years.


The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 4,08,163tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to concrete the approach road to the quarry as per norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road and towards habitation during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observation of KSPCB in CCR.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*



Additional Conditions:



1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
7. *The PP shall comply with the all the observation recorded in the certified compliance report issued by KSPCB.*

241.1.15. Building Stone Quarry Project at Pilar Village, Kapu Taluk, Udupi District (1-00 Acre) by Smt. Eliza Correa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/435295/2023 (SEIAA 298 MIN 2023)


Smt. Eliza Correa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.119/4 of Pilar Village, Kapu Taluk, Udupi District (1-00 Acre)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Smt. Eliza Correa												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.119/4 of Pilar Village, Kapu Taluk, Udupi District (1-00 Acre) <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 13° 11' 56.4"</td> <td>E 74° 51' 38.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 11' 56.5"</td> <td>E 74° 51' 39.5"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 11' 52.5"</td> <td>E 74° 51' 40.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 11' 52.1"</td> <td>E 74° 51' 40.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 11' 52.5"</td> <td>E 74° 51' 39.3"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 13° 11' 56.4"	E 74° 51' 38.9"	N 13° 11' 56.5"	E 74° 51' 39.5"	N 13° 11' 52.5"	E 74° 51' 40.8"	N 13° 11' 52.1"	E 74° 51' 40.3"	N 13° 11' 52.5"	E 74° 51' 39.3"
Latitude	Longitude													
N 13° 11' 56.4"	E 74° 51' 38.9"													
N 13° 11' 56.5"	E 74° 51' 39.5"													
N 13° 11' 52.5"	E 74° 51' 40.8"													
N 13° 11' 52.1"	E 74° 51' 40.3"													
N 13° 11' 52.5"	E 74° 51' 39.3"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry												

63





4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	28,571 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,52,277 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	28,000Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Pilar Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 6.20 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.52 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	19.07.2022
14	Quarry plan	07.03.2023
15	Cluster Certificate	22.06.2023
16	Revenue	14.06.2022
17	Notification	26.12.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are another 5 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which 02 leases are exempted as EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 4.10 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 200 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.


The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 1,52,277 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 28,571 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.


The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.


The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*



65


241.1.16. Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Gummanakolli village, Somwarpet Taluk, Kodagu District (1-00 Acre) (QL No. 46) by Sri T. Nagaraju- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/434808/2023 (SEIAA 284 MIN 2023)

Sri T. Nagaraju have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at In part of Sy.No.1/2 of Gummanakolli village, Somwarpet Taluk, Kodagu District (1-00 Acre)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri T. Nagaraju										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at In part of Sy.No.1/2 of Gummanakolli village, Somwarpet Taluk, Kodagu District (1-00 Acre) (QL No. 46)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 12° 28' 39.1"</td> <td>E 75° 55' 55.4"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12° 28' 37.5"</td> <td>E 75° 55' 56.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12° 28' 36.1"</td> <td>E 75° 55' 55.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12° 28' 37.8"</td> <td>E 75° 55' 53.7"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 12° 28' 39.1"	E 75° 55' 55.4"	N 12° 28' 37.5"	E 75° 55' 56.7"	N 12° 28' 36.1"	E 75° 55' 55.1"	N 12° 28' 37.8"	E 75° 55' 53.7"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 12° 28' 39.1"	E 75° 55' 55.4"											
N 12° 28' 37.5"	E 75° 55' 56.7"											
N 12° 28' 36.1"	E 75° 55' 55.1"											
N 12° 28' 37.8"	E 75° 55' 53.7"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	30,612 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.03 Crores (Rs. 103 Lakhs)										
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	3,23,115 Tones (including waste)										
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	30,000Tones / Annum (excluding waste)										
11	CER Activities:											

	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Gummanakolli Village.
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to Gummanakolli i Village.
	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages
	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Gummanakolli Village.
	5th	Health camp in GHPS at Gummanakolli Village.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 22.57 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.01 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	06.01.2023
14	Cluster Certificate	02.02.2023
15	CCR from KSPCB	15.07.2023
16	Audit Report	20.06.2023


The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:


The proposal is for expansion of building stone quarry, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 25.04.2022 and lease was granted with QL No. 46. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 20.06.2023 and CCR from KSPCB dated 15.07.2023.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 1-00 Acre and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 380 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

67


The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 3,23,115 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 30,612 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road and towards habitation during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observation of KSPCB in CCR.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

 68

241.1.17. Building Stone Quarry Project at Halepalya village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (3-00 Acres) by Smt. Lakshmidevi L - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/436245/2023 (SEIAA 302 MIN 2023)

Smt. Lakshmidevi L have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 93 of Halepalya village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (3-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP														
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Smt. Lakshmidevi L														
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 93 of Halepalya village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (3-00 Acres) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 13°0'35.5245"</td> <td>E 78°6'07.595"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°0'37.0648"</td> <td>E 78°6'10.5309"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°0'34.4046"</td> <td>E 78°6'11.311"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°0'34.4606"</td> <td>E 78°6'11.5721"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°0'33.2864"</td> <td>E 78°6'12.1071"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°0'32.5449"</td> <td>E 78°6'07.6149"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 13°0'35.5245"	E 78°6'07.595"	N 13°0'37.0648"	E 78°6'10.5309"	N 13°0'34.4046"	E 78°6'11.311"	N 13°0'34.4606"	E 78°6'11.5721"	N 13°0'33.2864"	E 78°6'12.1071"	N 13°0'32.5449"	E 78°6'07.6149"
Latitude	Longitude															
N 13°0'35.5245"	E 78°6'07.595"															
N 13°0'37.0648"	E 78°6'10.5309"															
N 13°0'34.4046"	E 78°6'11.311"															
N 13°0'34.4606"	E 78°6'11.5721"															
N 13°0'33.2864"	E 78°6'12.1071"															
N 13°0'32.5449"	E 78°6'07.6149"															
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry														
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New														
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government														
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres														
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	33,980 Tones/ Annum (including waste)														
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs)														
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	16,12,019 Tones (including waste)														
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	30,582 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)														
11	CER Activities: To provide toilet infrastructure facilities to near by Govt. School and to grow 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Halepalya Village Road															
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 7.80 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.52 Lakhs (Recurring cost)														
13	Forest NOC	28.06.2023														
14	Quarry plan	07.07.2023														

69

15	Cluster Certificate	07.07.2023
16	Revenue	23.01.2019
17	Notification	04.07.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is Govt. Gomal land and working in eastern part was carried out by local villagers till date and mining was carried out by the Proponent and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 6-00Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.


The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mincable reserve of 16,12,019 tons(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminous with the lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 33,980tons/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry& the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.


The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

1. The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.
2. The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
3. The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.
4. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
5. The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
6. The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

241.1.18. Expansion of Cluster of Building Stone Quarry Project at Linganakoppa Village, Kalaghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District (1-35 Acres) (Q.L.Nos.873 & 929) by Sri S.R. Ramanagoudar- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/185896/2020(SEIAA 380 MIN 2020)

Sri S.R. Ramanagoudar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Expansion of Cluster of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.97/3 + 4/B of Linganakoppa Village, Kalaghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District (1-35 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri S.R. Ramanagoudar



Handwritten mark

71
Handwritten signature

2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Cluster of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.97/3 + 4/B of Linganakoppa Village, Kalaghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District (1-35 Acres) (Q.L.Nos.873 & 929)	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 15° 18' 35.55"	E 74° 58' 51.43"
		N 15° 18' 36.30"	E 74° 58' 50.57"
		N 15° 18' 33.35"	E 74° 58' 47.93"
		N 15° 18' 32.76"	E 74° 58' 48.59"
		N 15° 18' 36.99"	E 74° 58' 49.84"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	
6	Area in Acres	1-35 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	90,263 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.11 Crores (Rs. 110 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,38,787 Tones (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	85,750Tones / Annum (excluding waste)	
11	CER Activities:		
		Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	
	1 st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Linganakoppa village	
	2 nd	Rain water harvesting pits to GHPS Linganakoppa Village	
	3 rd		
	4 th	Avenue plantations either side of the approach road nearby Quarry Site GHPS school at Linganakoppa village	
5 th	Health camp in the GHPS school at Linganakoppa village		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 17.14 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.24 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	12.07.2013	
14	Quarry plan	11.11.2020	
15	Cluster Certificate	11.11.2020	

16	Revenue	28.10.2013
17	CCR from KSPCB	20.07.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 256th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project for want of Certified Compliance report and in the 283rd SEAC meeting the Committee had deferred the project as the Proponent remained absent.

In the present meeting, Proponent informed that the proposal is for expansion of building stone quarry, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 14.09.2015 for 1-00 Acre and subsequently EC was issued by DEIAA for 1-35Acres and lease was granted on 09.10.2015 & 16.01.2019 with QL no. 873 & 929 respectively. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 20.07.2022.

As per the cluster sketch there are another 05 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease, out of which 01 lease is exempted from cluster as it was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and 02 leases are exempted as EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining leases including the applied lease is 4-35 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 150 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed. Proponent submitted an undertaking for complying with the conditions to MoEF&CC OM dated: 28.04.2023.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 4,38,787 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 90,263 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road and towards habitation during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observation of KSPCB in CCR.

73

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:




1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*



241.1.19. Sand Quarry Project at Nagasamudra Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District (5-10 Acres) by Sri O. Mallikarjuna - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/188263/2020 (SEIAA 433 MIN 2020)


Sri O. Mallikarjuna have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Sand Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.31/1 & 29/1 of Nagasamudra Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District



Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP																										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri O. Mallikarjuna																										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Sand Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.31/1 & 29/1 of Nagasamudra Village, Molakalmuru Taluk, Chitradurga District (5-10 Acres)																										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 20.9"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 34.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 19.5"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 41.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 16.7"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 41.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 16.7"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 40.4"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 16.6"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 38.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 16.9"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 38.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 15.9"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 37.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 16.4"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 36.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 16.7"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 34.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 18.3"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 35.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 18.4"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 35.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 48' 19.6"</td> <td>E 76° 45' 35.1"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 48' 20.9"	E 76° 45' 34.6"	N 14° 48' 19.5"	E 76° 45' 41.6"	N 14° 48' 16.7"	E 76° 45' 41.0"	N 14° 48' 16.7"	E 76° 45' 40.4"	N 14° 48' 16.6"	E 76° 45' 38.6"	N 14° 48' 16.9"	E 76° 45' 38.1"	N 14° 48' 15.9"	E 76° 45' 37.7"	N 14° 48' 16.4"	E 76° 45' 36.3"	N 14° 48' 16.7"	E 76° 45' 34.9"	N 14° 48' 18.3"	E 76° 45' 35.0"	N 14° 48' 18.4"	E 76° 45' 35.1"	N 14° 48' 19.6"	E 76° 45' 35.1"
Latitude	Longitude																											
N 14° 48' 20.9"	E 76° 45' 34.6"																											
N 14° 48' 19.5"	E 76° 45' 41.6"																											
N 14° 48' 16.7"	E 76° 45' 41.0"																											
N 14° 48' 16.7"	E 76° 45' 40.4"																											
N 14° 48' 16.6"	E 76° 45' 38.6"																											
N 14° 48' 16.9"	E 76° 45' 38.1"																											
N 14° 48' 15.9"	E 76° 45' 37.7"																											
N 14° 48' 16.4"	E 76° 45' 36.3"																											
N 14° 48' 16.7"	E 76° 45' 34.9"																											
N 14° 48' 18.3"	E 76° 45' 35.0"																											
N 14° 48' 18.4"	E 76° 45' 35.1"																											
N 14° 48' 19.6"	E 76° 45' 35.1"																											
3	Type Of Mineral	Sand Quarry																										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta																										
6	Area in Acres	5-10 Acres																										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	17,204 Tones/ Annum (including waste)																										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.42 Crores (Rs. 142 Lakhs)																										
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	86,024 Tones (including waste)																										
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	17,204 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)																										



75


11	CER Activities:	
		Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1 st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Nagasamudra village
	2 nd	Rain water harvesting pits to GHPS Nagasamudra Village
	3 rd	Avenue plantations either side of the approach road nearby Quarry Site & Repair of road with drainages.
	4 th	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Nagasamudra village and strengthening of approach road
	5 th	Health camp in the GHPS school at Nagasamudra village
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 24.16 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.26 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	22.12.2018
14	Quarry plan	19.10.2020
15	Cluster Certificate	14.12.2020
16	Revenue	10.01.2019
17	Notification	14.12.2020
18	DTF	20.03.2020

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Proposal was earlier considered in 257th SEAC meeting held on 1st & 2nd March 2021 and the Committee had deferred the proposal informing the following,

"The proponent has obtained NOCs from Forest and Revenue Department. Committee observed that as per the records submitted by the proponent, the project site is located at a distance of 4.3KM from the boundary of the Notified Gudekote Sloth Bear Sanctuary, for which the ESZ is not notified. Hence 10KM from the boundary of the wild life area will be the ESZ where no Mining activity is permitted. Accordingly Project site falls within the ESZ of Gudekote Sloth Bear Sanctuary. According to O M dated 08.08.2019 of MoEF, where final ESZ notification is not notified or in draft stage prior clearance from NBW is mandatory, if the project is within 10 Kms of National/wild life sanctuary. In such cases, project proponent submit application simultaneously for grant of ToR/EC as well as Wild Life Clearance. In view of the provision, opinion from the Authority may be sought to process EC application simultaneously instead of rejecting the application.


The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to seek the opinion from the Authority about processing the EC application of the proponent."

In the present meeting, the Proponent informed the Committee that with respect to ESZ of Gudekote WLS, the Hon'ble S.C in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, has directed that,



"(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which the proposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in the order passed by this Court on 4thDecember 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9thFebruary 2011 shall be implemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

The Proponent informed that, had the State Government not submitted the ESZ proposal to Govt. of India, the ESZ area would be 10 km radius around Gudekote WLS. However, in this case, the Proponent informed that Govt. of Karnataka has already submitted the proposal to Govt. of India and the draft ESZ notification has been published on 14.03.2023 by MoEF&CC and hence requested the Committee to appraise the project. Further, the Proponent informed that the proposed project is 6.25 kms from Gudekote WLS and 4.3 kms outside the draft ESZ boundary of Gudekote WLS and requested the Committee to consider the proposal as per Hon'ble S.C directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, for grant of EC. Further, the Proponent informed that earlier had mentioned the distance as 10.92Km in PARIVESH, by mistake. The Committee noted the clarification given by Proponent and appraised the project.

At the time of appraisal, the Committee noted a complaint received in hard copy by Sri K P Honnaruppa on 28.07.2023 for the present proposal and sought clarification for the complaint from the project Proponent and Consultant,

Complaint: Sri. O Mallikarjuna (Proponent) who has applied for EC for sand quarrying had entered into GPA on 27.09.2018 with Sri K P Honnaruppa and on 30.07.2023, Sri K P Honnaruppa had submitted application for cancellation of GPA to the Sub-Registrar Molakalmuru and for the cancellation of GPA Sri. O Mallikarjuna (Proponent) had not appeared before the Sub-Registrar. Sri O Mallikarjuna (Proponent) had cheated me to enter into the GPA. Validity of 5years of GPA is completed and has also not paid the committed amount and as I (Sri K P Honnaruppa) am the owner of the property who is Sri. O Mallikarjuna to apply for EC. As the request for cancellation of GPA is pending with Sub-Registrar Molakalmuru, I (Sri K P Honnaruppa) request to not to consider the proposal of Sri. O Mallikarjuna for grant of EC.

Reply: The Proponent (Sri. O Mallikarjuna) informed that the complaint received is a fake letter and they have discussed with the land owner. For the GPA registered in 2018, there is no time period, until the mine closure, the land owner is bound to provide the land for mining process. Proponent had not done any cheating to the land owner, its only after mutual agreement by both parties the GPA is registered in Sub-Registrar office. As GPA is not withdrawn the requested to consider the application for grant of EC.



The Committee noted the clarification given by the Proponent and appraised the project.

The proposal is for ordinary sand mining and as per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 5-10 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Proponent informed that there is no river sand mining in radius of 5km from the proposed lease area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 520 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 86,024 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 4 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 17,204 Tons/annum for 5 years (including waste), with following consideration,




1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation.
3. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The authority discussed regarding the eco-sensitivity matter in detail and as per the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 3rd June 2022 it is stated that

"In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometer buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider buffer zone beyond one kilometer is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park or wildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometer as proposed shall be maintained"

Which suggests that as this projects boundary is outside the draft ESZ boundary which was published by MoEF&CC on 14.03.2023 and as this ESZ is under draft notification the draft ESZ boundary must be considered. Hence in this case as the draft ESZ is outside the project site it must be recommended.



78

Also in the latest April 26, 2023 Hon'ble Supreme Court has stated that

"We further direct that while granting Environmental and Forest Clearances for project activities in ESZ and other areas outside the Protected Areas, the Union of India as well as various State/Union Territory Governments shall strictly follow the provisions contained in the Office Memorandum dated 17th May 2022 issued by MoEF& CC."

In the OM dated 17th May 2022 issued from MoEF&CC it is stated that

"for project / activity outside PA wherein ESZ is not notified or ESZ Notification is at draft stage : Project /Activity covered under the schedule of EIA notification 2006 and located within 10 km of National Park or Sanctuary shall require consideration by the NBWL/ SCNBWL."




But the April 26, 2023 Hon'ble Supreme Court is not applicable as in this proposed case the draft ESZ is outside the proposed site in accordance with the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 3rd June 2022

The Authority after detailed discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*
4. *The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*




5. The PP shall adhere to the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
6. The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
7. The PP shall implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation

241.1.20. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nagditatha Kaval Village, Kadur Taluk, Chikkamagaluru District (5-20 Acres) by Sri P.S. Shashidhara- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/424612/2023 (SEIAA 182 MIN 2023)

Sri P.S. Shashidhara have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.11/2 of Nagditatha Kaval Village, Kadur Taluk Chikkamagaluru District (5-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri P.S. Shashidhara												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.11/2 of Nagditatha Kaval Village, Kadur Taluk Chikkamagaluru District (5-20 Acres) <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N13°30'58.8"</td> <td>E76°03'36.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N13°30'58.8"</td> <td>E76°03'39.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N13°30'48.3"</td> <td>E76°03'39.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N13°30'48.6"</td> <td>E76°03'38.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N13°30'47.1"</td> <td>E76°03'38.4"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N13°30'58.8"	E76°03'36.7"	N13°30'58.8"	E76°03'39.0"	N13°30'48.3"	E76°03'39.6"	N13°30'48.6"	E76°03'38.3"	N13°30'47.1"	E76°03'38.4"
Latitude	Longitude													
N13°30'58.8"	E76°03'36.7"													
N13°30'58.8"	E76°03'39.0"													
N13°30'48.3"	E76°03'39.6"													
N13°30'48.6"	E76°03'38.3"													
N13°30'47.1"	E76°03'38.4"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]	Patta												
6	Area in Acres	5-20 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,82,210 Tones/ Annum (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs. 45 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	16,67,893 Tones (including waste)												



Received

80

	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,73,101 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: To grow 550 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Nagdiyatha Kaval Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 16.35 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 5.33 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	07.12.2022
14	Quarry plan	09.03.2023
15	Cluster certificate	08.03.2023
16	Revenue NOC	14.11.2022
17	Notification	27.01.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 296th SEAC meeting. The Proponent had informed that the surface has been disturbed due to the movement of heavy vehicles from the adjacent quarry and over burden of adjacent quarry having been dumped inside the applied lease area. The Committee had deferred the appraisal for want of clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.

In the present meeting, the Proponent submitted clarification from DMG vide letter dated 07.07.2023 to the Committee, wherein it is stated that the Proponent had cleared the land and had formed road by using unuseful stones and also the waste from the adjacent crusher of the Proponent has been stocked in the applied area and as such no quarrying activities have been carried out. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease within 500mtr from the said lease and total area of the applied lease is 5-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1000 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 16,67,893 tones(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,82,210 tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry & road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*



241.1.21. Building Stone Quarry Project at Shivapura Village, Hebri Taluk, Udupi District (0.50 Acres) (Q.L.No.398) by Sri RajaramHegde - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/194236/2021 (SEIAA 34 MIN 2021) -Expansion

Sri Rajaram Hegde have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.144/1B of Shivapura Village, Hebri Taluk, Udupi District (0.50 Acres) (Q.L.No.398)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Rajaram Hegde										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.144/1B of Shivapura Village, Hebri Taluk, Udupi District (0.50 Acres) (Q.L.No.398)										
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>N 13° 23' 07.1"</td> <td>E 74° 57' 54.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 23' 07.3"</td> <td>E 74° 57' 56.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 23' 06.1"</td> <td>E 74° 57' 56.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 23' 05.7"</td> <td>E 74° 57' 55.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 23' 03.6"</td> <td>E 74° 57' 55.8"</td> </tr> </table>	N 13° 23' 07.1"	E 74° 57' 54.7"	N 13° 23' 07.3"	E 74° 57' 56.1"	N 13° 23' 06.1"	E 74° 57' 56.9"	N 13° 23' 05.7"	E 74° 57' 55.1"	N 13° 23' 03.6"	E 74° 57' 55.8"
N 13° 23' 07.1"	E 74° 57' 54.7"											
N 13° 23' 07.3"	E 74° 57' 56.1"											
N 13° 23' 06.1"	E 74° 57' 56.9"											
N 13° 23' 05.7"	E 74° 57' 55.1"											
N 13° 23' 03.6"	E 74° 57' 55.8"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										
6	Area in Acres	0.50 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	15,789 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.55 Crores (Rs. 55 Lakhs)										
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	78,948 Tones (including waste)										
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	15,789 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)										
11	CER Activities:											

83

	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1 st	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Shivapura village
	2 nd	Rain water harvesting pits to Government Higher school at Shivapura village
	3 rd	Solar Power Panels in GHS School at Shivapura village
	4 th	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages
	5 th	Plantation will be done in the Government Lower primary school at Shivapura village
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 5.97 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.27 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	16.09.2015
14	Quarry plan	11.12.2020
15	Cluster Certificate	02.01.2021
16	Revenue	28.09.2015
17	Audit Report	15.07.2023
18	CCR from M.S.KSPCB	10.07.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:




The proposal was earlier considered in 258th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project for want of Certified Compliance Report to earlier EC conditions.

In the present meeting, the Proponent submitted CCR from KSPCB dated 10.07.2023 and audit report till 2022-23 certified by DMG dated 15.07.2023. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for expansion of building stone quarry, for which EC was issued earlier by DEIAA on 16.02.2017 and lease was granted on 06.03.2017 with QL no. 398.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 2.10Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed. Proponent submitted an undertaking for complying with the conditions to MoEF&CC OM dated: 28.04.2023.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 78,948tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 15,789tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road and towards habitation during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observation of KSPCB in CCR.


The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.


The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*
4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*



85



5. The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the quarry as per standard norms.
6. The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

241.1.22. Building Stone Quarry Project at K. B. Hosahalli Village, Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres) by Sri L. M. Chandrappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428474/2023 (SEIAA 234 MIN 2023)

Sri L. M. Chandrappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.110 of K. B. Hosahalli Village, Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri L. M. Chandrappa										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.110 of K. B. Hosahalli Village, Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N13°6'37.1008"</td> <td>E 77°58'23.6009"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N13°6'34.4004"</td> <td>E 77°58'23.1007"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N13°6'35.8007"</td> <td>E 77°58'20.4001"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N13°6'38.0008"</td> <td>E 77°58'21.6001"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N13°6'37.1008"	E 77°58'23.6009"	N13°6'34.4004"	E 77°58'23.1007"	N13°6'35.8007"	E 77°58'20.4001"	N13°6'38.0008"	E 77°58'21.6001"
Latitude	Longitude											
N13°6'37.1008"	E 77°58'23.6009"											
N13°6'34.4004"	E 77°58'23.1007"											
N13°6'35.8007"	E 77°58'20.4001"											
N13°6'38.0008"	E 77°58'21.6001"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Renewal										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										
6	Area in Acres	1-20 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	84,790 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)										
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,88,062 Tones (including waste)										
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	84,790 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)										



Handwritten text

86
Handwritten signature

11	CER Activities: Propose take up 150 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to K. B. Hosahalli Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 9.25 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.63 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	08.02.2023
14	Quarry plan	23.03.2023
15	Cluster Certificate	23.03.2023
16	Revenue	07.06.2010
17	Audit Report	21.04.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 299th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project informing the following,

"The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (javariak@myyahoo.com) on 17th June 2023 for the present proposal and the details of the complaint is as follows,

I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter concerning the submission of a tampered audit report in the case of Sri. L. M. Chandrappa (SEIAA 232 MIN 2023, SEIAA 231 MIN 2023, SEIAA 234 MIN 2023). The attached Right to Information (RTI) audit report and the audit report obtained from your website highlight discrepancies that raise concerns about the actions of the consultant and the lease holder, as well as the lack of consideration for the violation category.

It has come to our attention that the government document has been tampered with to conceal the violation category. This manipulation appears to have been carried out by a non-accredited consultant who has been allowed to operate, leading to malpractices in order to clear the project by any means necessary. It is important to note that non-accredited consultants are not eligible to undertake violation projects. Therefore, it is concerning that they resort to such activities in order to avoid categorization as a violation. This highlights the urgency for SEAC to reevaluate their allowance of non-accredited consultants, as their involvement seems to be contributing to these unethical practices.

Upon review of the original audit report for QL NO 953, it is evident that the Proponent had undertaken work between 2014 and 2016. However, the tampered document shows these activities as nil. Similarly, the original audit report for QL NO 963 indicates that the Proponent had worked after 2013-14 until 2016-17, while the tampered document once again shows a nil value. Additionally, the original audit report for QL NO 954 clearly states that the Proponent had worked in 2013-14 and 2014-15, but the tampered document reflects a nil value.

Sumit

87
over

Based on these undeniable pieces of evidence, I kindly request that you take immediate action against all parties involved in this fraudulent activity. Furthermore, I urge you to consider this case as a violation and ensure that appropriate measures are taken to rectify the situation.

I trust in the integrity of SEIAA and its commitment to upholding the principles of environmental impact assessment. Therefore, I am confident that you will thoroughly investigate this matter and take necessary action to address the tampering of official documents and the violation at hand.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a prompt and satisfactory resolution. If you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

As the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project."

In the present meeting, the Proponent has once again submitted certified audit report from DMG dated 27.06.2023 and informed the Committee that even in the recent audit report dated 27.06.2023, there is no quarrying carried out since 2013-14 till date.



Further, the Proponent informed that they had neither tampered with the audit report nor carried out any fraudulent activity and requested the Committee to accept the Clarification.


The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the Project.

The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is for renewal for which earlier lease was granted on 29.10.2010 with QL No. 953 for 5 years and the lease was non-operational from 2013-14 till date and justified the same as per the audit report issued by DMG dated 27.06.2023.

For the existing leases, based on the applicability of cut off dates as per clause 3 of 233rd SEIAA meeting dated 18.04.2023, Proponent informed that they had not carried out any mining activity since 2013-14 till date and no environmental damages has been caused and requested the Committee not to consider the proposal under violation category.

The Committee after discussion, decided to consider the proposal based on the DMG audit report, informing that no mining activity had been carried out since 2013-14 till date, implying that there was no environmental damage/pollution and opined that as an environmental Committee, violation should be ascertained based on the damage caused to the environment and not on the procedural lapses and decided to request SEIAA to consider the deliberations of the Committee in this proposal, while handling



88


violation cases in respect of existing lease, as there is no requirement for Damage Assessment, Remedial Plan and Community Augmentation Plan as per SOP issued by MoEF&CC, Dated:07.07.2021, in this case.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,88,062 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 84,790 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,


1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. Proponent agreed to carry out DGMS survey before starting operation.


The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

A compliant has been received from Sri. Anil Reddy, K. B. Hosalli village, Kolar vide letter dated 23.08.2023. Details of the complaint is as below

"I am writing to bring to your attention a matter of significant concern regarding the encroachment of a nala within the lease area owned by Sri. L. M. Chandrappa and Chandrappa Muniyappa. The encroachment of the nala has resulted in its disappearance, causing detrimental effects to the local environment.

Upon careful investigation and review of the village map, it becomes apparent that a nala previously existed within the lease area in question. However, due to rampant mining activities in the area, the nala has been encroached upon and is no longer present on the site. This encroachment has had adverse consequences on the surrounding ecosystem posing a threat to the delicate balance of the environment.



89


Additionally, it has come to my attention that the owner of the lease area, Sri. L. M Chandrappa, has failed to obtain the necessary Environmental Clearance (EC) for mining activities conducted in the quarry during the year 2015-16. This violation of environme regulations further compounds the issue at hand, demonstrating a disregard for the protection and preservation of our natural resources.

In light of these concerns, I kindly request the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) to conduct a thorough investigation into the matter and take appropriate actions to rectify the situation. I urge SEAC to:

- 1. Ensure that the responsible parties, including Sri. L. M. Chandrappa, are held accountable for the encroachment and any violations related to the mining activities conducted without obtaining the required Environmental Clearance.*
- 2. Enforce strict penalties and remedial measures to restore the affected area and prevent further damage to the environment.*
- 3. Provide guidance and support to the lease owners regarding the correct procedures and regulations for conducting mining activities in a responsible and sustainable manner.*

I believe that prompt action from SEAC is crucial to address this environmental issue effectively and to protect the natural resources within the lease area. By upholding and enforcing the regulations set forth by the appropriate authorities, we can safeguard our environment for future generations."




The Authority after discussion and examination of the documents decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

241.1.23. Building Stone Quarry Project at K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (0-20 Acres) (QL.No. 963) by Sri L. M. Chandrappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428488/2023 (SEIAA 231 MIN 2023)

Sri L. M. Chandrappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 110 of K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (0-20 Acres)




Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri L. M. Chandrappa

2	Proponent		
	Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 110 of K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (0-20 Acres) (QL.No. 963)
		Latitude	Longitude
		N13°6'30.5008"	E 77°58'23.5009"
		N13°6'29.9004"	E 77°58'23.1007"
		N13°6'31.4007"	E 77°58'20.1001"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		Renewal
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government
6	Area in Acres		0-20 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		26,037 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		1,39,811 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		26,037 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 100 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to K. B. Hosahalli Village Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 7.50 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.32 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	08.02.2023	
14	Quarry plan	23.03.2023	
15	Cluster Certificate	23.03.2023	
16	Revenue	07.06.2010	
17	Audit Report	21.04.2023	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 299th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project informing the following,

"The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (javariak@myyahoo.com) on 17th June 2023 for the present proposal and the details of the complaint is as follows,

I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter concerning the submission of a tampered audit report in the case of Sri. L. M. Chandrappa (SEIAA 232 MIN 2023, SEIAA 231 MIN 2023, SEIAA 234 MIN 2023). The attached Right to Information (RTI) audit report and the audit report obtained from your website highlight discrepancies that raise concerns about the actions of the consultant and the lease holder, as well as the lack of consideration for the violation category.

It has come to our attention that the government document has been tampered with to conceal the violation category. This manipulation appears to have been carried out by a non-accredited consultant who has been allowed to operate, leading to malpractices in order to clear the project by any means necessary. It is important to note that non-accredited consultants are not eligible to undertake violation projects. Therefore, it is concerning that they resort to such activities in order to avoid categorization as a violation. This highlights the urgency for SEAC to reevaluate their allowance of non-accredited consultants, as their involvement seems to be contributing to these unethical practices.


Upon review of the original audit report for QL NO 953, it is evident that the Proponent had undertaken work between 2014 and 2016. However, the tampered document shows these activities as nil. Similarly, the original audit report for QL NO 963 indicates that the Proponent had worked after 2013-14 until 2016-17, while the tampered document once again shows a nil value. Additionally, the original audit report for QL NO 954 clearly states that the Proponent had worked in 2013-14 and 2014-15, but the tampered document reflects a nil value.

Based on these undeniable pieces of evidence, I kindly request that you take immediate action against all parties involved in this fraudulent activity. Furthermore, I urge you to consider this case as a violation and ensure that appropriate measures are taken to rectify the situation.

I trust in the integrity of SEIAA and its commitment to upholding the principles of environmental impact assessment. Therefore, I am confident that you will thoroughly investigate this matter and take necessary action to address the tampering of official documents and the violation at hand.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a prompt and satisfactory resolution. If you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



Javariak

92

As the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project."

In the present meeting, the Proponent has once again submitted Certified audit report from DMG dated 27.06.2023 and informed the Committee that even in the recent audit report dated 27.06.2023, there is no quarrying carried out since 2013-14 till date.

Further, the Proponent informed that they had neither tampered with the audit report nor carried out any fraudulent activity and requested the Committee to accept the clarification.

The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the Project.


The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is for renewal for which earlier lease was granted on 16.12.2010 with QL No. 963 for 5 years and the lease was non-operational from 2013-14 till date and justified the same as per the audit report issued by DMG dated 27.06.2023.

For the existing leases based on the applicability of cut off dates as per clause 3 of 233rd SEIAA meeting dated 18.04.2023, Proponent informed that they had not carried out any mining activity since 2013-14 till date and no environmental damages has been caused and requested the Committee not to consider the proposal under violation category.

The Committee after discussion, decided to consider the proposal based on the DMG audit report, informing that no mining activity had been carried out since 2013-14 till date, implying that there was no environmental damage/pollution and opined that as an environmental Committee, violation should be ascertained based on the damage caused to the environment and not on the procedural lapses and decided to request SEIAA to consider the deliberations of the Committee in this proposal, while handling violation cases in respect of existing lease, as there is no requirement for Damage Assessment, Remedial Plan and Community Augmentation Plan as per SOP issued by MoEF&CC, Dated:07.07.2021, in this case.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 480 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.



Handwritten signature

93
Handwritten signature

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,39,811 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 26,037 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. Proponent agreed to carry out DGMS survey before starting operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

A compliant has been received from Anil Reddy, K. B. Hosalli village, Kolar vide letter dated 23.08.2023. Details of the complaint is as below




"I am writing to bring to your attention a matter of significant concern regarding the encroachment of a nala within the lease area owned by Sri. L. M. Chandrappa and Chandrappa Muniyappa. The encroachment of the nala has resulted in its disappearance, causing detrimental effects to the local environment.

Upon careful investigation and review of the village map, it becomes apparent that a nala previously existed within the lease area in question. However, due to rampant mining activities in the area, the nala has been encroached upon and is no longer present on the site. This encroachment has had adverse consequences on the surrounding ecosystem posing a threat to the delicate balance of the environment.

Additionally, it has come to my attention that the owner of the lease area, Sri. L. M Chandrappa, has failed to obtain the necessary Environmental Clearance (EC) for mining activities conducted in the quarry during the year 2015-16. This violation of environme regulations further compounds the issue at hand, demonstrating a disregard for the protection and preservation of our natural resources.

In light of these concerns, I kindly request the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) to conduct a thorough investigation into the matter and take appropriate actions to rectify the situation. I urge SEAC to:

1. *Ensure that the responsible parties, including Sri. L. M. Chandrappa, are held accountable for the encroachment and any violations related to the mining activities conducted without obtaining the required Environmental Clearance.*



2. *Enforce strict penalties and remedial measures to restore the affected area and prevent further damage to the environment.*
3. *Provide guidance and support to the lease owners regarding the correct procedures and regulations for conducting mining activities in a responsible and sustainable manner.*

I believe that prompt action from SEAC is crucial to address this environmental issue effectively and to protect the natural resources within the lease area. By upholding and enforcing the regulations set forth by the appropriate authorities, we can safeguard our environment for future generations."

The Authority after discussion and examination of the documents decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

241.1.24. Building Stone Quarry Project at K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres) (QL.NO. 954) by Sri L. M. Chandrappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/428506/2023 (SEIAA 232 MIN 2023)

Sri L. M. Chandrappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 110 of K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SIN	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP										
0												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri L. M. Chandrappa										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 110 of K. B. Hosahalli village Kolar Taluk & District (1-20 Acres) (QL.NO. 954) <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 13° 6' 34.3"</td> <td>E 77° 58' 23.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 6' 31.2"</td> <td>E 77° 58' 22.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 6' 32.3"</td> <td>E 77° 58' 20.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 6' 35.2"</td> <td>E 77° 58' 21.6"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 13° 6' 34.3"	E 77° 58' 23.3"	N 13° 6' 31.2"	E 77° 58' 22.1"	N 13° 6' 32.3"	E 77° 58' 20.3"	N 13° 6' 35.2"	E 77° 58' 21.6"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 13° 6' 34.3"	E 77° 58' 23.3"											
N 13° 6' 31.2"	E 77° 58' 22.1"											
N 13° 6' 32.3"	E 77° 58' 20.3"											
N 13° 6' 35.2"	E 77° 58' 21.6"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										

Handwritten mark

95
Signed

4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Renewal
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government
6	Area in Acres	1-20 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	77,426 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,49,099 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	73,555 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 210 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to K. B. Hosahalli Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 100.40 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.43 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	08.02.2023
14	Quarry plan	15.04.2023
15	Cluster Certificate	17.04.2023
16	Revenue	07.06.2010
17	Audit Report	21.04.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 299th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project informing the following,

"The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (javariak@myyahoo.com) on 17th June 2023 for the present proposal and the details of the complaint is as follows,

I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter concerning the submission of a tampered audit report in the case of Sri. L. M. Chandrappa (SEIAA 232 MIN 2023, SEIAA 231 MIN 2023, SEIAA 234 MIN 2023). The attached Right to Information (RTI) audit report and the audit report obtained from your website highlight discrepancies that raise concerns about the actions of the consultant and the lease holder, as well as the lack of consideration for the violation category.

It has come to our attention that the government document has been tampered with to conceal the violation category. This manipulation appears to have been



Member

22/9/23

carried out by a non-accredited consultant who has been allowed to operate, leading to malpractices in order to clear the project by any means necessary. It is important to note that non-accredited consultants are not eligible to undertake violation projects. Therefore, it is concerning that they resort to such activities in order to avoid categorization as a violation. This highlights the urgency for SEAC to reevaluate their allowance of non-accredited consultants, as their involvement seems to be contributing to these unethical practices.

Upon review of the original audit report for QL NO 953, it is evident that the Proponent had undertaken work between 2014 and 2016. However, the tampered document shows these activities as nil. Similarly, the original audit report for QL NO 963 indicates that the Proponent had worked after 2013-14 until 2016-17, while the tampered document once again shows a nil value. Additionally, the original audit report for QL NO 954 clearly states that the Proponent had worked in 2013-14 and 2014-15, but the tampered document reflects a nil value.

Based on these undeniable pieces of evidence, I kindly request that you take immediate action against all parties involved in this fraudulent activity. Furthermore, I urge you to consider this case as a violation and ensure that appropriate measures are taken to rectify the situation.

I trust in the integrity of SEIAA and its commitment to upholding the principles of environmental impact assessment. Therefore, I am confident that you will thoroughly investigate this matter and take necessary action to address the tampering of official documents and the violation at hand.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a prompt and satisfactory resolution. If you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your time and consideration.


As the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project."

In the present meeting the Proponent has once again submitted Certified audit report from DMG dated 27.06.2023 and informed the Committee that even in the recent audit report dated 27.06.2023, there is no quarrying carried out since 2013-14 till date.

Further, the Proponent informed that they had neither tampered with the audit report nor carried out any fraudulent activity and requested the Committee to accept the clarification.

The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the Project.

The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is for renewal for which earlier lease was granted on 16.12.2010 with QL No. 954 for 5 years and the lease was



Handwritten signature

97
Handwritten signature

non-operational from 2013-14 till date and justified the same as per the audit report issued by DMG dated 27.06.2023.

For the existing leases based on the applicability of cut off dates as per clause 3 of 233rd SEIAA meeting dated 18.04.2023, Proponent informed that they had not carried out any mining activity since 2013-14 till date and no environmental damages has been caused and requested the Committee not to consider the proposal under violation category.

The Committee after discussion, decided to consider the proposal based on the DMG audit report, informing that no mining activity had been carried out since 2013-14 till date, implying that there was no environmental damage/pollution and opined that as an environmental Committee, violation should be ascertained based on the damage caused to the environment and not on the procedural lapses and decided to request SEIAA to consider the deliberations of the Committee in this proposal, while handling violation cases in respect of existing lease, as there is no requirement for Damage Assessment, Remedial Plan and Community Augmentation Plan as per SOP issued by MoEF&CC, Dated:07.07.2021, in this case.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 120 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.




The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,49,099 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 77,426 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. Proponent agreed to carry out DGMS survey before starting operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.



A compliant has been received from Anil Reddy, K. B. Hosalli village, Kolar vide letter dated 23.08.2023. Details of the complaint is as below

"I am writing to bring to your attention a matter of significant concern regarding the encroachment of a nala within the lease area owned by Sri. L. M. Chandrappa and Chandrappa Muniyappa. The encroachment of the nala has resulted in its disappearance, causing detrimental effects to the local environment.

Upon careful investigation and review of the village map, it becomes apparent that a nala previously existed within the lease area in question. However, due to rampant mining activities in the area, the nala has been encroached upon and is no longer present on the site. This encroachment has had adverse consequences on the surrounding ecosystem posing a threat to the delicate balance of the environment.

Additionally, it has come to my attention that the owner of the lease area, Sri. L. M Chandrappa, has failed to obtain the necessary Environmental Clearance (EC) for mining activities conducted in the quarry during the year 2015-16. This violation of environme regulations further compounds the issue at hand, demonstrating a disregard for the protection and preservation of our natural resources.

In light of these concerns, I kindly request the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) to conduct a thorough investigation into the matter and take appropriate actions to rectify the situation. I urge SEAC to:


- 1. Ensure that the responsible parties, including Sri. L. M. Chandrappa, are held accountable for the encroachment and any violations related to the mining activities conducted without obtaining the required Environmental Clearance.*
- 2. Enforce strict penalties and remedial measures to restore the affected area and prevent further damage to the environment.*
- 3. Provide guidance and support to the lease owners regarding the correct procedures and regulations for conducting mining activities in a responsible and sustainable manner.*

I believe that prompt action from SEAC is crucial to address this environmental issue effectively and to protect the natural resources within the lease area. By upholding and enforcing the regulations set forth by the appropriate authorities, we can safeguard our environment for future generations."

The Authority after discussion and examination of the documents decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.



Handwritten signature




241.1.25. Pink Porphyry Granite Quarry Project at Kengal Village, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (2-04 Acres) by Sri Panchakshari - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/427147/2023 (SEIAA 252 MIN 2023)

Sri Panchakshari have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Pink Porphyry Granite Quarry Project at Sy.No.117/3 of Kengal Village, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Panchakshari										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Pink Porphyry Granite Quarry Project at Sy.No.117/3 of Kengal Village, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (2-04 Acres)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 13° 13' 16.01232"</td> <td>E 77° 13' 17.31021"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 13' 15.81121"</td> <td>E 77° 13' 21.80122"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 13' 13.67611"</td> <td>E 77° 13' 21.34118"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 13' 14.31515"</td> <td>E 77° 13' 16.47918"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 13° 13' 16.01232"	E 77° 13' 17.31021"	N 13° 13' 15.81121"	E 77° 13' 21.80122"	N 13° 13' 13.67611"	E 77° 13' 21.34118"	N 13° 13' 14.31515"	E 77° 13' 16.47918"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 13° 13' 16.01232"	E 77° 13' 17.31021"											
N 13° 13' 15.81121"	E 77° 13' 21.80122"											
N 13° 13' 13.67611"	E 77° 13' 21.34118"											
N 13° 13' 14.31515"	E 77° 13' 16.47918"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Pink Porphyry Granite Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta										
6	Area in Acres	2-04 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	14,400 Cum/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.1.49 Crores (Rs. 149 Lakhs)										
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	3,48,300 Cum (including waste)										
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	8,640Cum/ Annum (recovery)										



Handwritten signature



11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Kengal Village
	2nd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Kengal Village & Strengthening of approach road
	3rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GLPS school at Kengal Village
	4th	Health camp in GLPS school at Kengal Village
	5th	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.17 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 13.82 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	16.08.2012
14	Quarry plan	06.04.2023
15	Cluster Certificate	30.06.2023
16	Revenue	16.09.2009
17	Notification	19.05.2023




The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was considered in 299th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project for want of extended cluster sketch from the proposed lease area, as the proposal would be considered as a fresh proposal as the Notification issued on 19.05.2023.

In the present meeting, the Proponent has submitted a revised cluster sketch from DMG dated 30.06.2023 and as per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 10-33Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

The Proponent informed the Committee that though EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 12.06.2013 for Grey Granite and transfer of EC was issued by SEIAA on 13.07.2021, lease was not executed till date. Further, the Proponent has obtained a new Notification dated 19.05.2023 for Pink Porphyry Granite.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 78 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the production should be commenced only after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,48,300 cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 24 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 14,400 cum/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. Proponent agreed to handle the waste generated by obtaining necessary permission.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*
4. *Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The PP should get the health check-up done for the quarry workers on half yearly basis and submit report periodically.*
2. *The PP shall provide protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.*
3. *The PP shall provide proper sanitary facilities for the colony/work place. Domestic waste generated should be disposed in a scientific manner. Proper first aid facilities and health care facilities should be provided for the workers.*



4. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
5. *The PP shall strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the quarry as per standard norms.*
6. *The PP shall grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*


Industry Projects:

241.1.26. Expansion of Industrial Project at Kadoli Village, Belgaum Taluk & District by M/s. Vinayak Ispat - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND1/436019/2023 (SEIAA 06 IND 2023)

M/s. Vinayak Ispat have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Expansion of Industrial Project at Kadoli Village, Belgaum Taluk & District.

Details of the project are as follows:



Sl No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. <u>Vikram G Benake</u> (Proprietor) <u>Ramteerth</u> Nagar, Plot No. 228 Buda Layout <u>Veetu</u> Building, Belgaum, Karnataka-590016.
2	Name & Location of the Project	"VINAYAK ISPAT" RS No.91/1, <u>Devagiri - Bambaraga</u> Road, <u>Kadoli</u> Village, <u>Belagavi</u> Taluk & District - 591143
3	Co-ordinates of the Project Site	15.9694°N & 74.4951°E
4	Environmental Sensitivity	
a.	Distance From nearest Lake/ River/ Nala	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Bhutrahatti kere</u>-1.83km (NW) • <u>Kirwad lake</u> (Maharashtra)-5.70km (WSW) • <u>Atiwad kere</u>-7.00km (SW) • <u>Dindakop Lake</u>(Maharashtra)-6.00km (W) • <u>Tirmal Lake</u>(Maharashtra)-6.22km (WNW) • River <u>Ghataprabha</u>-8.53km (N) • River <u>Markande</u>-3.46km (SE)



Shree

Shree

	b.	Distance from Protected area notified under wildlife protection act	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Sutkatti RF-3.5km (N)</u> • <u>Daddi RF-7.0km (N)</u> • <u>Katabali RF-8km (NE)</u> • <u>Islampur RF-8.5km (NE)</u> • <u>Kumarikalla RF-7.5 km (NE)</u> • <u>HalbhaviBhutaramanahatti RF-2.26km (NE)</u> • <u>Kitturu rani chennamma Zoo, Belagavi - 1.82km (NE)</u>
	c.	Distance from the interstate boundary	Karnataka – Maharashtra Interstate Boundary 5.65 kms (NW)
	d.	whether located in critically/severally polluted area as per the CPCB norms	No
5		Type of Development as per schedule of EIA Notification, 2006 with relevant serial number	Category B1 [3(a)] as per EIA Notification 2006
6		New/Expansion/Modification	New
7		Plot Area (Sqm)	10117.10 Sqm (2A-20G)
8		Built Up area (Sqm)	4832.16Sqm
9		Component of developments	The industry already in operation with valid consent from the Board.
10		Project cost (Rs. In crores)	Rs. 4.30 Crores
11		Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	4668.03sqm (46.14%)
	b.	Kharab Land	Nil
	c.	Internal Roads	1954.21sqm (19.31%)


	d. Paved area	Included		
	e. Parking	505.81Sqm (4.99%)		
	f. Green belt	1075.04Sqm (10.62%)		
	g. Others Specify (Open Area)	1914.00Sqm (18.91)		
	b. Total	10117.10sqm (100%)		
12	Products and By- Products with quantity (enclose as Annexure if necessary)	Product: Manufacturing of TMT iron, steel bars & its allied products- 5760MTPA (480MT/M) By- Product: Nil		
13	Raw material with quantity and their source (enclose as Annexure if necessary)	Sl. No	Raw Material	Quantity (MTA)
		1.	Billet/Ms Mix rolling scrap	5880 MTPA (490 MT/M)
				From Local
14	Mode of transportation of Raw material and storage facility	The mode of raw material transportation is by road and the raw materials will be stored in a closed shed with sufficient space.		
15	Transportation and storage facility for coal/Bio-fuel in case of thermal power plant	NA. However we will use the coal as a fuel for reheating furnace. This coal will be transported through road from Goa Port & Mangalore port and stored in a closed shed.		
16	Fly ash production, storage and disposal details whereas coal is used as fuel	The generated fly ash will be disposed to brick manufacturing industry.		
17	WATER			
	I. Construction Phase			
	a. Source of water	Nil, the industry already in operation with valid consent.		
	b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	Nil		


	c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	Nil
	d. Waste water generation in KLD	Nil
	e. Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Nil
	II Operational Phase	
	a. Source of water	Borewell/Tanker
	b. Total Requirement of Water in KLD	5.70KLD
	c. Requirement of water for industrial purpose / production in KLD	0.50KLD
	d. Requirement of water for domestic purpose in KLD	0.20KLD
	e. Gardening	5.00KLD
	f. Waste water generation in KLD	Domestic-0.16KLD Industrial-Nil
	g. ETP/ STP capacity	ETP/STP-NA, The generated sewage is disposed to Septic Tank and Soak Pit. Cooling effluent will be cooling tower top-up and


[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

105
[Handwritten signature]

		the wastewater from scrubber will be completely recycled.
	h. Technology employed for Treatment	10Nos.
	i. Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Nil
18	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	The rooftop water will be collected in a proposed 72 Cum tank capacity and collected water will be used for gardening during non-rainy session. Surface run off will be diverted to recharge pits through storm water drains.
19	Storm water management plan	This total quantity of surface runoff will be passed through surface drains and for every 50m interval we will provide/install 3nos. of Groundwater recharge pits.
20	Air Pollution	Reheating furnace-36m AGL chimney with scrubber. Cola pulverized machine-closed system with bag filter
	a. Sources of Air pollution	1. Reheating furnace 2. Cola pulverized machine
	b. Composition of Emissions	PM, SO ₂ & NO _x .










	c.	Air pollution control measures proposed and technology employed	Reheating furnace-36m AGL chimney with scrubber. Cola pulverized machine-closed system with bag filter			
21	Noise Pollution					
	a.	Sources of Noise pollution	Rolling mill & Reheating furnace			
	b.	Expected levels of Noise pollution in dB	75dB(A)			
	c.	Noise pollution control measures proposed	Ear protection devices like ear mufflers/plugs provided to employees & Development of proper green belt along the periphery of the premises. This will act as barrier for air emission and noise.			
22	WASTE MANAGEMENT					
	I.	Operational Phase				
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generated per day and their disposal	Sl No	Solid waste	Quantity	Mode of disposal
	b.		Solid waste			
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation with source and mode of Disposal as per norms	1	Mill scale power	45.36 MTPA	Disposed to authorized vendor /resale
	c.		Quantity of E waste generation with source and mode of Disposal as per norms	2	Fly ash	6.00 MTPA
		Other waste				
		1		Metal waste	58.84 MTPA	Handed over to Authorize vendors
		Hazardous waste, TPM				
		6		Used oil from DG sets	Nil	Nil
		7	Cotton Waste	Nil		
23	Risk Assessment and disaster management		Given in EIA report.			
24	POWER					
	a.	Total Power Requirement in the Operational Phase with source	power Requirement is 1285KVA which is fulfilled from HESCOM and this power is sufficient for the total production capacity, hence there is no proposal for additional power supply			
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	Nil			

107


c.	<p>Details of Fuel used with purpose such as boilers, DG, Furnace, TFH, Incinerator Set etc.,</p>	<p>Reheating furnace-coal</p>
d.	<p>Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007</p>	<p>The proposed project have installed a solar power panels of capacity 600KW above the production building which is power generated 600KW and connected to power supply transformer, thus saves our total power requirement from the HESCOM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Energy Saving Practices <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promoting use of Solar Street Lighting System • Purchase of Energy efficient appliances • Constant Monitoring of Energy consumption and defining targets for energyconservation • Adjusting the settings and illumination levels to ensure minimum energy used fordesired comfort levels. • Use of LED / CFL lamps and low voltage lighting ❖ Behavioral Change on Consumption <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promoting self-awareness on energy conservation • Training staff on methods energy conservation and to be vigilant to suchopportunities ❖ Energy Management <p>energy saving options will be informed to the staffs,</p>

		<p>Switch off lights / fans / ACs that are not needed</p> <p>Make maximum use of daylight</p> <p>Don't leave lights on in unoccupied areas</p> <p>Fit labels on switches so people know which switches operate particular lights</p> <p>Use local desk lights if few people are in the building</p> <p>Report faulty lighting promptly – a flickering tube uses more electricity and is a contributing factor to 'sick building syndrome'.</p> <p>Don't leave any electrical equipment running overnight or at the weekends unless there is a special reason for doing so</p> <p>Keep doors and windows closed in air conditioned areas</p> <p>Don't cool for 24 hours a day when occupancy times are less</p> <p>Switch off equipment and lighting where possible to reduce heat gains</p> <p>Consider installing a run back timer which switches off a split cooling system after a preset time to prevent it running continuously</p> <p>Solar energy will be used for streetlight.</p>
--	--	--



James



25	PARKING																																										
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms																																									
	b.	Internal Road width (RoW)																																									
26	Any other information specific to the project (Specify)		Nil																																								
27	CER Activities		<p>Construction phase- Nil Operation phase</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Activities</th> <th colspan="5">Yearly allocation</th> <th rowspan="2">Total</th> </tr> <tr> <th>1st</th> <th>2nd</th> <th>3rd</th> <th>4th</th> <th>5th</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Planting 200saplings around Kadoli village</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>2.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Construction of toilet block in the Govt. School in Kadoli Village</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>2.00</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>2.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Proposed to install Solar Street Lamps in and around our factory.</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>1.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>2.00</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>5.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Activities	Yearly allocation					Total	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	Planting 200saplings around Kadoli village	1.0	1.00	--	--	--	2.00	Construction of toilet block in the Govt. School in Kadoli Village	--	--	2.00	--	--	2.00	Proposed to install Solar Street Lamps in and around our factory.	-	-	-	0.50	0.50	1.00	Total	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.50	0.50	5.00
Activities	Yearly allocation					Total																																					
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th																																						
Planting 200saplings around Kadoli village	1.0	1.00	--	--	--	2.00																																					
Construction of toilet block in the Govt. School in Kadoli Village	--	--	2.00	--	--	2.00																																					
Proposed to install Solar Street Lamps in and around our factory.	-	-	-	0.50	0.50	1.00																																					
Total	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.50	0.50	5.00																																					

Sl. No.	Environmental Protection Measures	Capital Cost (INR in lakhs)	Recurring Cost INR in lakhs/year)
1.	Noise Pollution Control (Including cost of landscaping & green belt)	4.5	1.5
2.	Solid Waste Management	2.5	1.0
3.	Environment Monitoring & Management	3.0	0.5
4.	Health, Safety & Risk Assessment	3.0	0.5
5.	Rain Water Recharging inside the project premises	1.0	0.5
6.	Miscellaneous	1.0	0.5
Total		15	4.0

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th July 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is to obtain EC for existing rolled mill product unit under the the provisions in the MoEF&CC Notification dated 20.08.2022. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per the MoEF&CC Notification dated 20.08.2022, the Central Govt. has directed all the standalone re-rolling units or cold rolling units, which are in existence and in operation as on the date of the Notification having valid CFO from KSPCB to obtain ToR within one year from the date of Notification for obtaining EC with exemption from Public Consultation. Accordingly, the Proponent has obtained ToR from SEIAA on 01.07.2023, ie within the window period of Notification dated 20.08.2022. They have obtained CFO from KSPCB on 17.09.2021 for production of 480 MT/Month (5760 MTPA).

Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification with respect to the green belt area provided in the proposed project and details of scrubber with pollution load and waste handling. The Proponent informed the Committee that an area of about 1,075.074 Sqm is earmarked for greenbelt development and additionally social forestry & afforestation would be carried out in area of about 2500 Sqm nearby areas by obtaining necessary permission. Regarding scrubber, Proponent informed that, for the existing unit Particulate Matter from 2 TPH reheating furnace from the stack is around 67.5 mg/Nm³,

which is less than than 100 mg/Nm³ as prescribed by CPCB and for any expansion in future, the scrubber capacity will be upgraded accordingly with respect to capacity of reheating furnace. With regard to raw material, Proponent informed that raw material MS Mix rolling scrap of about 5,880 MTPA is sourced from local market and transported through road and Coal of about 40 TPM is sourced from Mangalore port & Goa Port. For handling waste, Proponent informed that mill scale powder of about 45.36 MTPA is handed over to authorized vendors, Fly ash of 6.0 MTPA is sold to nearby brick manufacturers and Metal scrap of about 58.84 MTPA is handed over to authorized vendors. Proponent informed that presently they are generating 600 KW of power through roof top solar panels.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during operation to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits.


The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,


1. Proponent agreed to carry out additional plantation in area of about 2500 Sqm in nearby areas by obtaining suitable permission.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*
4. *The PP shall explore the possibility of using Fly Ash for manufacturing briquettes, which may be used in primary metallurgical industries as a part of sustainable environmental practice*
5. *The PP shall explore alternative to Coal.*



112


Additional Conditions:

1. The project proponent shall ensure that all the impurities like dirt, oil and grease is removed from metal scrap before it is fed to the kiln.
2. The Pp shall carry out additional plantation in area of about 2500 Sqm in nearby areas by obtaining suitable permission.

241.2. Recommended by SEAC for issue of ToRs

241.2.1. OFFICE COMPLEX (INDHANA BHAVAN) for KPTCL Project at Sy. No.11, Municipal No.54, Ward No.77, Devaraju Urs Road (Race Course Road), Ananda Rao Circle, Bangalore Urban District by KPTCL - Kagere Sadashivaiah Basavaprabhu - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/436709/2023 (SEIAA 148 CON (VIOL) 2023).




KPTCL have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for construction of OFFICE COMPLEX (INDHANA BHAVAN) for KPTCL Project at Sy. No.11, Municipal No.54, Ward No.77, Devaraju Urs Road (Race Course Road), Ananda Rao Circle, Bangalore Urban District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th & 18th August 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of Standard ToR along with additional ToR and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:



The Proponent informed the Committee that they had constructed building with BUA of 34,997.01Sqm without obtaining prior EC, hence had applied in violation category. Proponent informed the Committee that they had completed the construction and will occupy the building after obtaining the statutory clearances.


The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR,

- 1) Estimate and Submit Penalty as per the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) No. bearing F. No. 22-21/2020 -IA.III dated 7th July 2021 from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Impact assessment division.
- 2) Submit damage Assessment, Remedial plan and Community Augmentation plan as per SoP.
- 3) Submit the all building-wise area statement of all the buildings in the proposed plot area and Plan and Elevation Drawings and plan approval copy, CFE/CFO copy.
- 4) Quantification of existing construction from Chartered Engineer/ Architect.



- 5) Details of drains & Waterbody in the vicinity of the project and with water sampling shall be collected analyzed and its rejuvenation plan to be detailed.
- 6) Submit the existing Greenbelt and proposed green belt with species and overlay in Layout plan.
- 7) Boundary markings on zoning map and village map
- 8) Height clearance certificate
- 9) Submit the proposed organic waste processing facility layout plan and feasibility report of the system.
- 10) Quantify and submit the proposed in-organic waste/ package material processing and disposal plan and details of storage space provided with shelter.
- 11) Quantify and submit used Oil, cleaning reagent and other Hazardous waste handling and disposal details.
- 12) Submit the details of existing water source, usage and proposed water source and usage demand-wise.
- 13) Surface hydrological study of surrounding area to be carried out and the carrying capacity of the natural drains to be worked out in order to ascertain the adequacy in the carrying capacity of the drains and Ground water potential and level in the study area and details of provisions provided for strengthening of drains.
- 14) Detailed risk and disaster management during and after construction.
- 15) Implementation of Green building concept, provisions for smart metering concept for individual apartments for water consumption details, utilization of the entire terrace for solar power generation and other methods of power savings, provision for electric vehicle charging facility in the proposed project should be detailed.
- 16) Compliance to ECBC guidelines and incorporation of NCB for proposed project should be detailed.
- 17) Details of processing organic waste in bio-digester and scheme for waste to energy plant to process the entire organic waste generated within the project site and also to process the inorganic waste within the project site
- 18) Scheme for utilizing maximum treated sewage water to reduce the demand on the fresh water.
- 19) Detailed FAR calculations and detailed parking provisions for all kind of vehicles including charging facility for e-vehicles with reference to local zoning authorities should be defined.
- 20) Detailed Traffic study with methods of improvising.
- 21) Detailed rain water harvesting with respect to annual rainfall and provisions for tanks/sumps/ponds for roof top and along with management of excess storm water.
- 22) Sampling locations shall be as per standard norms.



114


- 23) Activities such as provisions for rejuvenation for water bodies/drains in the vicinity of the project, Public Health Care unit, etc., to be taken up under CSR & CER should be detailed out in physical terms and included as part of EMP.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation made by SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to issue standard ToR along with additional ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

241.3. Additional Agenda : (With Permission of Chair)



241.3.1. Extension of validity of EC for the development of Barge/vessel Loading facility at Kasarkod Tonka village, Honnavar Taluk Uttara Kannada District by M/s Honnavar Port Private Limited (HPPL) SEIAA 22 IND 2011)

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 22 IND 2011 dated 21.09.2012 for development of Barge/vessel loading facility to handle 4.9 MTPA of cargo at Coastal sand spit, Kasarkod Tonka village, Honnavar taluk, Uttara Kannada district to M/s Honnavar Port Pvt. Ltd.

Earlier Extension of EC was issued for a period of 3 years through this authority's letter dated 01.07.2019 as the proponent had some administrative reasons and the proponent did not complete the project earlier.

The project proponent vide letter dated 08.03.2023 requested that they were unable to utilize the extension of validity granted for the year 2020-21 due to the outbreak of COVID-19 and subsequent lockdowns. Additionally, the proponent had cited the provisions of S.O. 4254(E) 27th November 2020, which entitled them to a six-month further extension from the date of expiry of the validity (i.e., from 21-09-2022 to 21-03-2023). The proponent also stated that litigation vide WP No. 4039 of 2021 in Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore and subsequently in Hon'ble NGT, South Zone Chennai vide OA No. 76 of 2022 had prevented them from commencing any developmental activities during the dies-non period.

The Authority in its 231st SEIAA meeting held on 10.03.2023 perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents. The proponent has made a request before the expiry of the validity of the EC and the application is proper. Since the matter is pending before the Hon'ble NGT, the Authority decided to consider the proposal after final outcome of the judgement.



Further the Authority in 231st SEIAA meeting decided to seek clarification from the MoEF&CC regarding extending the validity of EC since no activities carried out on the proposed project during the dies non period.

Accordingly, the Authority have sought clarification from the Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change (MoEF) vide letter No. SEIAA 22 IND 2011 dated 12.04.2023 regarding this matter, but no response or directions received from the MoEF&CC, GoI.

Now, Brijesh Kallappa & Associates vide letter dated 21.08.2023 stated that

"Upon receipt of necessary clearances from concerned authorities, my client M/s Honnavar Port Private Limited, had called on you on several occasions with respect to the above mentioned project subject - seeking EC for the port project. However, it has been your stated and restated stand that such clearance would have to await the finality of proceedings pending before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal.



I may humbly point out that any Court including the NGT only decides the lis between parties. If a point has been raised which require determination by the Court, the Court is duty bound to answer that issue. Such issues which call for the Court's attention and deliberation are points in issue. It is only a point in issue which the Court decides, and is not meant to conduct an omnibus exercise of issues which are not at all before it. No questions that have not been raised before the Court shall enter into the domain of consideration by a Court. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of State of Karnataka -as- Registrar General, High Court of Karnataka, decided on 10-08- 2000, (2000) 7 SCC 333 has expressed its views on this matter. The relevant extracts of the said judgment are extracted herein below:


". . . judicial decorum requires that judgements and orders should confine to the facts and legal points involved in the particular cases which Judges deal with. Judicial disposition is definitely different from a paper presented for seminar discussion. Nor can it be equated with a dissertation. May be sometimes, judges would, perhaps wittingly or even unwittingly, jut outside the contours of the litigation, but even such overlapping should be within bounds of propriety and sobriety.....

.If the subordinate courts are also to be tempted and encouraged to follow suit by travelling far outside the Scope of the lis the consequences u would be far too many. "

It is apparent from a comprehensive reading of the complete pleadings filed before the NGT in O. A. No 76 of 2022, that issues that my clients are seeking redressal from SEIAA are not at all likely to be decided by the NGT. In that view of the matter, the entire exercise of awaiting the NGT findings is an exercise in futility. Any further period spent in awaiting the decision of the NGT will only result in further delaying this important port project and escalating costs.

I may point out that so far the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority Karnataka has issued 'Environment Clearance' for the development of Barge/Vessel Loading facility to handle 4.99 MTPA cargo at Kasarkod Tonka Village, Honnavar Taluk to M/s. Honnavar Port (P) Ltd. A further extension of the EC was granted by SEIAA



116


Karnataka for a further period of additional three years from 21/09/2019 onwards as there were certain administrative issues faced by HPPL. On the basis of the MoEF& CC Notification dated: 18/01/2021 due to the outbreak of the corona virus pandemic, HPPL was entitled to receive the EC validity extended up to 21/03/2023.

During such time, HPPL applied to SEIAA Karnataka vide ref (4) for the extension of the validity of EC for the port project. The matter of extension of EC for the project was deliberated in the 231st SEIAA meeting and concluded on the note that the matter will be considered after the final outcome of the judgment in the O. A. No 76 of 2022 from the Hon'ble NGT Chennai. Meanwhile, M/s. HPPL has obtained all the statutory clearance required for the commencement of the project. The Ports & IWT department has obtained clearances from the SEIAA Karnataka w.r.t the usage of Kachha road & 4 lane road connectivity to the port and also for the usage of Kachha road from the KSCZMA.

Meanwhile, the applicant in the O.A. No 76 of 2022 in the Hon'ble NGT(SZ) has raised question relating to the construction of 4 - lane, 4km long, 25 - 40m wide road on the No Development Zone of CRZ III, CltZ -IA and IB area being in violation of the CRZ notification 2006. Further, all the NGT (SZ), Chennai Orders clearly establishes that the stay granted is for the road connectivity, and nowhere is it related to the port project.




In connection with the same, SEIAA Karnataka requested the Secretary, MoEF& CC, Gol to clarify whether the extension of EC can be given in case of dies-non period when the file is awaiting the NGT decision. Still the fact that no action is being taken for processing of the grant of EC extension for my client's project is extremely hurtful to the project. Owing to various administrative reasons and local issues the project work has remained stuck in a quagmire. It is also apparent that M/s. HPPL has invested huge funds for the project and has lost enormous time in facing court litigations & challenging illusive local concerns.

Therefore, in this dies-non period as my clients have not undertaken any developmental works, it is requested for you to kindly extend the validity of the EC of the project at the earliest as there is already substantial but avoidable delay in execution of the project activities as per the scheduled time.

Time is of essence in every important infrastructure project. Opportunities amounting to hundreds of Crore are often lost owing to hurdles in clearances. It is in this light that my clients earnestly request you to provide the required clearances at the very earliest. It may be needless to mention that your failure to take appropriate steps within the period of 7 days will only lead to my clients being constrained to seek judicial intervention by highlighting the role played by authorities leading to the present events."

Upon thorough review, the Authority has observed the following timeline:


1. The Environmental Clearance (EC) was originally issued on 21.09.2012.
2. An extension of the EC validity was granted on 01.07.2019, extending it for an additional three years from the date of the old EC, effectively until 20.09.2022.

3. During the COVID-19 pandemic, in accordance with the Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change's Office vide Notification dated 18th Jan 2021 it is stated that, *"now withstanding anything contained in this notification, the period from the 1st April 2020 to the 31st march 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of prior Environmental clearances granted under the provisions of this notification in view of outbreak of Corona virus (Covid -19) and subsequent lockdowns (total or partial) declared for its control, however all activities undertaken during this period in respect of Environmental clearance granted shall be treated as valid "*

Sl No	Date	Details	Remarks
01	09.06.2011	Received Application Seeking Environmental Clearance	SEIAA 22 IND 2021
02	21.09.2012	Issued Environmental Clearance (EC validity 7 years)	EC valid upto 20.09.2019
03	06.03.2019	Requested to Extend Validity of EC for another 3 Years.	-
04	01.07.2019	As per the decision taken in SEIAA meeting EC validity extended for 3 years from the date of Expiry of EC vide notification SO 2944(E) dated 14/09/2016	EC valid Upto 20.09.2022
05	08.03.2023	The PP vide their letter requested to extend validity for further 3 years	
06	18.01.2021	The Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change's Office vide Notification SO 221(E) dated 18/01/2021 it is stated that, <i>"now withstanding anything contained in this notification, the period from the 1st April 2020 to the 1st march 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of prior Environmental clearances granted under the provisions of this notification in view of outbreak of Corona virus (Covid -19) and subsequent lockdowns (total or partial) declared for its</i>	EC validity is extendable Upto 1 year





		<i>control, however all activities undertaken during this period in respect of Environmental clearance granted shall be treated as valid "</i>	
07	13.12.2022	The Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change Office Memorandum with regard to Validity of EC.	Therefore. EC may be Extended for 1 year from the date of expiry of the EC i.e upto 20.09.2024

4. As per the MoEF&CC's Office Memorandum dated 13.12.2022, for projects other than River valley, Nuclear, and Mining projects, the standard EC validity is 7 years. Additionally, there is an option for a further extension of 3 years and one more additional extension of 1 year, resulting in a maximum total validity of 7+3+1 = 11 years. Therefore, it is permissible to extend the validity of the EC until 20.09.2024 (Considering Covid relaxation).


However, in accordance with the recent amendments and various orders mentioned above, the Authority decided to extend the validity for one more year i.e upto 20.09.2024 (considering Covid relaxation).


The Authority duly considered the request made by the project proponent to consider the extension of validity of EC for 3 years on the basis of dies non principle. It is to be noted that the Member Secretary of SEIAA has already addressed a letter to MOEF&CC vide No SEIAA 22 IND 2011 dated 12/04/2023 in this regard. As the project proponent has made another representation citing the same matter the Authority decided to address a letter to MOEF&CC (Policy Division) with respect to applicability of extension of validity on the basis of dies non principle and opined that decision can be taken in this regard on the basis of receipt of appropriate directions from MOEF & CC.


Meeting concluded with thanks to the Chair.


 (Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha)
 Chairman,
 SEIAA, Karnataka


 (K. N. Shivalinge Gowda)
 Member,
 SEIAA, Karnataka


 (B. P. Ravi, IFS)
 Member Secretary,
 SEIAA, Karnataka





119
