

**MINUTES OF THE 244<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF  
STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE,  
(SEAC), TELANGANA STATE  
HELD ON 05.07.2023, 11:00 A.M**



**MINUTES OF THE 244<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC) HELD ON 05.07.2023 AT BLOCK NO.305, MAITRIVIHAR COMMERCIAL COMPLEX, AMEERPET, HYDERABAD - 500038.**

The following members were present:

S. No.	Name of the Expert	Position
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy, Villa No. 25, Senor Valley, Filmnagar, Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500 096 Ph: 9866629265	Chairman.
2.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri H.No: 3-5-44/1, Flat No. 301, Areadia Apartments, Edengaden Road, Hyderabad- 500001. Ph:9491145160	Member
3.	Prof.A.Panasa Reddy, H.No. 4-7-17/5/1, Ragharendra Nagar, Nacharam, Hyderabad-500076. Ph: 9849957268	Member
4.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar, Department of Botany, University College of Science. OU. Hyd. Flat No. 117, 'C' Block, Janapria castle, Ramnagar, Vidyanagar – Hyderabad Ph:9440487742 & 8096754604	Member
5.	Dr.P.Radha Krishna, H.No. 9/40, Bahar 'B', Sahara States, Mansoorabad, LB Nagar, Hyderabad-500068 Ph:9848555242	Member
6.	Dr.K.Shivakumar, Plot No. 328, Flat No: 302, Mehar Ninan, KPHB 6 <sup>th</sup> phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500 072 Ph: 99517 01067	Member
7.	Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud, H.No. 6-156, Sridurga Estates, Deepthisri Nagar, Madinaguda, Hyderabad-500049. Ph:9440386945	Member
8.	Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi Plot No.110, Siddartha Nagar, S.R. Nagar Post, Hyderabad-500038. Ph: 9440896661	Member

The members present declared that there are no conflicts of interest with the projects being considered in this meeting. After general introductory remarks by the Chairman, SEAC, the Committee took up items agenda-wise. The decisions of the SEAC on each case are recorded below.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

<b>Agenda Item No. 01</b>	<b>4.95 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Godavari River bed, Narsapuram Village, Dummugudem Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433660/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.95 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.21.03.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 5.0 m to 6.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 1.0m.

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.09.06.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 49,500 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Thurubaka which is existing at a distance of 1.0 km (SE) & Kistasagar RF @ 2.9 km (SW) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 20.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 3.34 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.73 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 02</b>	<b>Multi Storied Residential Building by M/s. Aura Homes and Constructions Private Limited, Sy. No. 187/Part, Situated at Kondapur Village, Serilingampally Mandal &amp; Municipality, Ranga Reddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/INFRA2/434167/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Abhijit Reddy and Sri Laxmikanth Reddy of M/s. Vison Labs, Hyderabad attended before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 4,151.47 Sq.m.; Out of which green area is 450.0 Sq.m. (10.84%).

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 27,023.35 Sq.m. The project consists of Multistoried Residential Building construction Project to accommodate total no. of 98 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are 2B + S + 10 Upper Floors.

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 8,550.33 Sq.m. (46.29 % against required 33 %).

The total cost of the project is Rs. 45.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 45.0 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 10.0 lakhs/annum.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The proponent informed that they obtained NOC vide Ir.dt. 21.06.2023 of the Superintending Engineer, Irrigation HL & WBMC, Hyderabad, I&CAD Dept. It is observed from the document that the applicant's total land is Ac 1-03 Gts and is not getting affected in FTL/Buffer zones of Kudikunta and is free from watebody/nala/channel/stream/vagu, as per the norms stipulated in G.O.Ms.No.168, dt.07.04.2012 of MA&UD Dept. Accordingly, the proponent proposed the layout of the project.

During presentation, the SEAC observed few trees in the proposed site. In this regard, the proponent submitted a copy of undertaking letter stating the following:

- Existing trees present within the project site and falls in proposed construction area will be relocate in our proposed greenbelt area which is 450 Sq.m (10.84% of the total area). Available greenbelt area of 450 Sq.m is well enough for relocating the existing trees present within the project site.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 03</b>	<b>1.0 Ha. Rough Stone and Road Metal Mine of M/s. Bhagya Laxmi Enterprises, Survey No. 178, Jiyapalle Village, Bibinagar Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433561/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri T. Ashok Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that initial was granted on 11.02.1997 in favour of the proponent for a period of 15 years i.e upto 10.02.2012. Then, renewal of lease was granted (in-principle) on 11.06.2018 for a period of 20 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease was granted before 09.09.2013. Hence, cluster is not applicable to the project. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 1.0 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Thus, the project is considered under B2 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Jayapalli (V) which is existing at a distance of 0.35 km (NW); nearest water body i.e water pond (Cheruvu) exists at 235 mts (N) & nearest RF is Kondamadugu RF @ 1.0 km (NE) from the mine lease area.

It is proposed to mine 30,600 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Rough Stone and Road Metal and the life of mine is reported as 18.6 years.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 10.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 3.93 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 2.23 Lakhs/annum.

The proponent submitted a copy of Ir.dt.30.05.2023 issued by ADMG, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District. It is observed from the letter that, after expiry of the lease period, no working operations were carried out in the subject area.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 04</b>	<b>Integrated Township Development - Second Phase (Phase A2 -Villas &amp; Amenities, LIG Block, Work Centers and Plotted Development - Phase B1, Phase B2, Phase B3 &amp; Phase C1) By M/s. AurobindoTattva Township Developers LLP &amp; Others, Sy No: 120 (P), 121 (P) &amp; 125 (P), Peddakanjerla Village, Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/INFRA2/433352/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri N. Venkatesh and Sri Kushal Bodhankar; Smt. Manaswini of M/s. KKB Envirocare Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 6,86,277.07 Sq.m. and Net Plot area is 6,83,580.55 Sq.m; Out of which green area is 68,944.30 Sq.m. (10.09%).

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 2,40,400.81 Sq.m. The project consists of Phase A2 -Villas & Amenities, LIG Block, Work Centers and Plotted Development - Phase B1, Phase B2, Phase B3 & Phase C1 construction Project to accommodate total no. of 437 units in Phase -A2 and 40 units in LIG Block. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are Phase A2 Villas with G + 2 Floors + Terrace; Club house with B + G + 2 Floors + Terrace; LIG Blocks with 1 Tower (S + 5 Floors); Work Centre with Office Blocks (2B + G + 7 Floors).

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 37,210.36 Sq.m. (33% against required 22%).

The total cost of the project is Rs. 600.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards Capital cost: Rs. 114.0 lakhs and Recurring cost: Rs. 28.5 lakhs/annum during Construction Phase and Capital cost: Rs. 1263.0 lakhs and Recurring cost: Rs. 125.5 lakhs/annum during Occupation Phase.

The SEAC noted that there are trees existing in the proposed site. In this regard the proponent submitted a permission letter dt.12.04.2022 from DFO, Sangareddy under WALTA rules.

During the presentation, the SEAC observed that 5 nala's passing through the site area. In this regard, the proponent submitted i) a copy of Lr.dt.26.06.2023 (Nala 04 & 05) of the Superintending Engineer, I&CAD Dept., Irrigation circle, Sangareddy. It is observed that the total extent of land is Ac.377-32 Gts; Out of which the extent of applicants land is Ac. 52-15 Gts; the area affected under the stream is Ac. 00-14.74 Gts; area affected under Buffer of the stream is Ac. 0-11.78 Gts; area affected under the stromwater drain is Ac. 00-01.35 Gts; area affected under buffer of the stromwater drain is Ac. 00-02.71 Gts and the balance applicant land is to an extent of Ac.51-24.42 Gts, as per the norms stipulated in G.O.Ms.No.168, dt.07.04.2012 of MA&UD Dept. ii) A copy of Lr.dt.26.06.2023 (Nala 06) of the Superintending Engineer, I&CAD Dept., Irrigation circle, Sangareddy. It is observed that the total extent of land is Ac.222-33 Gts; Out of which the extent of applicants land is Ac. 44-30 Gts; the area affected under the MFL of stream-1 is Ac. 00-08.90 Gts; area affected under Buffer of stream-1 is Ac. 0-11.90 Gts; the area affected under the MFL of stream-2 is Ac. 00-03.90 Gts; area affected under Buffer of stream-1 is Ac. 0-07.90 Gts; the area affected under the MFL of water spread area is Ac. 00-04.90 Gts; area affected under Buffer of water spread area is Ac. 0-03.60 Gts and the balance applicant land is to an extent of Ac.43-28.90 Gts, as per the norms stipulated in G.O.Ms.No.168, dt.07.04.2012 of MA&UD Dept. iii) A copy of Lr.dt.18.07.2022 (Nala 02A & 03) of the Superintending Engineer, I&CAD Dept., Irrigation circle, Sangareddy. It is observed that the total extent of land is Ac.496-29 Gts; Out of which the extent of applicants land is Ac. 95-04 Gts; area affected under the MFL of nala / channel to an extent of Ac. 0-32 Gts; area affected under buffer of channel/nala to an extent of Ac. 1-17 Gts and the balance applicant land is to an extent of Ac. 92-35 Gts, as per the norms stipulated in G.O.Ms.No.168, dt.07.04.2012 of MA&UD Dept. Accordingly, the proponent proposed the layout of the project.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 05</b>	<b>M/s. Vanamali Organics Private Limited, Unit-I, located at Plot No.24/A, 24/B, Sy No. 480, TSIIC, IDA Mankhal, Maheshwaram Mandal, Rangareddy District, API Intermediates and Specialty chemicals by including Plot No.32, Sy. Nos. 460/2, 461, 462/2 and 479 to 482 situated at IDA Mankhal, Maheshwaram (M), Ranga Reddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/IND3/433340/2023 (EC-Expansion)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Rajaiah and Sri Kushal Bodhankar; Smt. G. Supreetha of M/s. KKB Envirocare Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

During presentation, the proponent informed that M/s. Vanamali Organics Pvt. Ltd., incorporated in Registrar of Companies dt.06.03.2013 has purchased assets of M/s. Sreenidhi Organics Pvt. Ltd., (formerly M/s. Uma Organics Pvt. Ltd.) on 09.10.2013 vide Sale Deed no.4061/2013.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The SEAC noted that the industry obtained EC (Expansion) vide order dt.03.02.2017 from the SEIAA, TS and EC (Amendment) vide order dt.26.04.2018 to send pre-treated effluents to CETP.

The SEAC noted that the industry has obtained CFE vide order dt. 14.03.2017 & CFE (Amendment) on 04.07.2018 and the proponent obtained latest CFO issued on 06.03.2023 valid upto 31.03.2027.

It was informed that later, M/s. Vanamali Organics Pvt. Ltd., has purchased the land / assets from M/s. I.R. Surgicals Private Limited located at Plot No. 32, Sy.No.460/2, 461, 462/2 & 479 to 482, IDA Mankhal vide Sale Deed No. 16469/2018 on 05.09.2018 which is on other side of the road.

The proponent submitted self certified Compliance Report.

The SEAC noted that the proposal is for expansion of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) and API Intermediates, Specialty Chemicals manufacturing unit along with R&D Facility.

The total production capacity to manufacture any 10 campaign products out of 48 products along with R&D products is 131.4 TPA.

The SEAC noted the details of the project as follows:

Total area is 0.9789 Ha. (Acres 2.41); Out of which green area is 0.349 Ha. (35.67%).

Nearest human habitation is Mohabbatnagar (V) @ 1.5 km (SSW); Nearest water body i.e Pond near Mohabbatnagar exists at a distance of 1.26 km (S); Nearest RF i.e., Srinagar RF @ 0.41 km (E) from the industry.

Project Cost after expansion is Rs. 26.79 Crores (including existing Rs. 17.37 Crores); EMP cost - Capital cost Rs. 2.0 Crores & Recurring cost is Rs. 2.33 Crores/annum.

The following are the existing products details:

Sl. No.	Product name	Quantity (Kg/day)
<b>Group A</b>		
1	(2R,4S)-5-(Biphenyl-4yl)-4[(tert-butoxy carbonyl) amino]-2-methyl pentanoic acid	0.83
2	1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2(methyl amino) Propan-1-one Hydrochloride	10.0
3	1,2,4-Butanetriol-3-(1,4,7,10-tetraaza cyclododec-1-yl) Tetrahydrochloride	1.67
4	2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3-methyl morpholine Hydrochloride	1.67
5	2-(3-Fluoro Phenyl)-3-Methyl Morpholine Hydrochloride	1.67
6	2-(Methyl amino)-1-m-Tolyl propan-1-one	6.67
7	2,4,5-Trimethoxy benzoic acid	25.0
8	2,3-Dihydro-5-methoxy-1H-Inden-2-Amine Hydrochloride	1.67
9	3,5-Diethyl-1,2-dihydro-1-phenyl-2-propylpyridine	16.67
10	4-[(2,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-b]-1,4-dioxin-2yl)methoxy]-1-butanefulfonic sodium salt	0.33
11	4-Chloroindole-3-Acetonitrile	8.33
12	6-Chlorohexan-2-one	16.67
13	Donepezil	8.33
14	Ethyl dec-9-ynoate	2.50
15	Ethyl-2-amino-1,3-thiazole-4-carboxylate	20.0
16	Fexofenadine	25.00
17	Gadobutrol	3.33
18	Lornoxicam	3.33
19	Meglumine	8.33
20	Pazopanib Hydrochloride	1.67
21	Pentoxifylline	16.67
22	Phenylephrine Hydrochloride	16.67
23	Pimecrolimus	0.50

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

24	(R)-Tert-butyl(1-([1, 1-biphenyl]-4-yl)-3-hydroxy propan-2-yl) Carbamate	0.83
25	Suxamethonium Chloride	3.33
26	Varenicline	0.33
27	Zinc,diaminebis(cyanato-KN)-,(T-4)(Zincamine)	16.67
Maximum production capacity on worst combination from Group-A for any 5 products at any given point of time		103.33
<b>Group B</b>		
1	1,2,4-Butanetriol-3-(1,4,7,10-tetraaza cyclododec-1-yl) Tetrahydrochloride	2.00
2	2-(Methylamino)-1-m-Tolylpropan-1-one Hydrochloride	8.33
3	2,4,5-Trimethoxybenzoic acid	5.00
4	2,3-Dihydro-5-methoxy-1H-Inden-2-Amine Hydrochloride	16.67
5	3,5-Diethyl-1,2-dihydro-1-phenyl-2-propylpyridine	10.00
6	4-[(2,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-b]-1,4-dioxin-2yl)methoxy]-1-butanefulfonic sodium salt	0.50
7	4-Chloroindole-3-acetic acid	2.00
8	Donepezil	0.83
9	Ethyl-2-amino-1,3-thiazole-4-carboxylate	8.33
10	Fexofenadine	5.83
11	Gadobutrol	1.67
12	Pazopanib Hydrochloride	1.67
13	Varenicline	0.33
14	2,3-Dihydro-5,6-dimethoxy-2-((piperidin-4-yl)methyl)inden-1-one Hydrochloride	0.75
15	2,3-Dimethyl-2H-indazol-6-amine Hydrochloride	0.25
16	2,3-Dimethyl-6-nitro-2H-indazole	1.50
17	5-Amino-2-methylbenzenesulfonamide	3.33
18	2,4-Dichloropyrimidine	5.00
19	1-(4,5-Dinitro-10-aza-tricyclo[6.3.1.02,7]dodeca-2(7),3,5-trien-10-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoro-ethanone	2.50
20	1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-(methylamino)propan-1-one Hydrochloride	5.00
21	Sodium-4-(2-methylprop-2-en-1-yl)benzenesulfonate	25.83
22	Ethylene Glycol Dibenzoate	3.50
23	3-(2,2-Dichlorovinyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid (Permethric acid)	20.00
24	4-Oxo-4-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butane-2-one	3.33
25	4-Chloro BenzhydrylPiperazine	0.40
26	2-Aminomethyl-7-chloro-2,3-dihydro-5-(2-fluorophenyl)-1H-1,4-benzodiazepine dimaleate	2.50
27	Fenofibrate	23.33
28	(1R,2R)-2-(2-Carboxyethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxy-2-methyl-1-veratrylisoquinolinium benzenesulfonate	1.67
29	N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-phenylenediamine	5.00
30	8-Chloro-11-oxo-10,11-dihydro-5H-debenzo-1,4-diazepine	2.50
31	Ammonium Lactate	3.33
32	6-(Hex-1-yn-1-yl)-2-hydroxy-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3 (2H)-dione	3.33
33	Di-(4-tert-Butylphenyl)iodanium acetate	0.67
34	Felbinac	6.67
35	4-[5-[4-(Pentyloxy)phenyl]-3-isoxazolyl]benzoic acid	1.17



**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

36	1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-benzo[h]quinolin-3-ol	12.50
37	1-Bromo-2,3-dimethylbenzene	3.33
38	4,6-Methanol-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole-2-methanamine-hexahydro-3a,8,8-trimethyl-(2-methylpropyl)-(R,3aS,4S,6S,7aR)-,2,2,2-trifluoroacetate	0.33
39	Tetrabenzyl Pyrophosphate	3.33
	R & D Products	5.00
Maximum production capacity on worst combination from Group-B for any 5 products a any given point of time along with R&D		103.33

The following are the proposed expansion products details:

Sl. No.	List of Products	Quantity (TPM)	Quantity (TPA)
1	1,2,4-Butanetriol-3-(1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclo dodec-1-yl) Tetrahydrochloride	0.14	1.68
2	2-(Methylamino)-1-m-Tolylpropan-1-one Hydrochloride	0.05	0.6
3	2,4,5-Trimethoxybenzoic acid	0.25	3
4	2,3-Dihydro-5-methoxy-1H-Inden-2-Amine Hydrochloride	0.025	0.3
5	3,5-Diethyl-1,2-dihydro-1-phenyl-2-propylpyridine	0.25	3
6	4-[(2,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-b]-1,4-dioxin-2yl)methoxy]-1-butanesulfonic sodium salt	0.015	0.18
7.	4-Chloroindole-3-acetic acid	0.10	1.2
8.	Donepezil	0.025	0.3
9.	Ethyl-2-amino-1,3-thiazole-4-carboxylate	0.25	3
10.	Fexofenadine	0.15	1.8
11	Gadobutrol	0.05	0.6
12.	Pazopanib Hydrochloride	0.05	0.6
13.	Varenicline	0.01	0.12
14.	2,3-Dihydro-5,6-dimethoxy-2-((piperidin-4-yl)methyl)inden-1-one Hydrochloride	0.12	1.44
15.	2,3-Dimethyl-2H-indazol-6-amine Hydrochloride	0.30	3.6
16.	2,3-Dimethyl-6-nitro-2H-indazole	0.20	2.4
17.	5-Amino-2-methylbenzenesulfonamide	0.10	1.2
18.	2,4-Dichloropyrimidine	0.10	1.2
19.	1-(4,5-Dinitro-10-aza-tricyclo[6.3.1.0 <sup>2,7</sup> ] dodeca-2(7),3,5-trien-10-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoro-ethanone	0.15	1.8
20.	2-Methylamino-(2-fluorophenyl) cyclohexanone	0.10	1.2
21.	1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-(methylamino) propan-1-one Hydrochloride	0.30	3.6
22.	Sodium-4-(2-methylprop-2-en-1-yl) benzenesulfonate	1.00	12
23.	Ethylene Glycol Dibenzoate	3.00	36
24.	3-(2,2-Dichlorovinyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid (Permethric acid)	0.60	7.2
25.	4-Oxo-4-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a] pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butane-2-one	0.20	2.4
26.	4-Chloro Benzhydryl Piperazine	0.50	6
27.	2-Aminomethyl-7-chloro-2,3-dihydro-5-(2-fluorophenyl)-1H-1,4-benzodiazepine dimaleate	0.30	3.6
28.	Fenofibrate	1.20	14.4
29.	(1R,2R)-2-(2-Carboxyethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxy-2-methyl-1-veratrylisoquinolinium benzenesulfonate	0.05	0.6

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

30.	N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-phenylenediamine	0.20	2.4
31.	8-Chloro-11-oxo-10,11-dihydro-5H-debenzo-1,4-diazepine	0.15	1.8
32.	Ammonium Lactate	3.00	36
33.	6-(Hex-1-yn-1-yl)-2-hydroxy-1H-benzo[de] isoquinoline-1,3 (2H)-dione	0.05	0.6
34.	Di-(4-tert-Butylphenyl)iodanium acetate	0.02	0.24
35.	Felbinac	0.20	2.4
36.	4-[5-[4-(Pentyloxy)phenyl]-3-isoxazolyl] benzoic acid	0.10	1.2
37.	1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-benzo[h]quinolin-3-ol	0.50	6
38.	4-Ethyl-1-naphthalenyl-(1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methanone	0.10	1.2
39.	1-Bromo-2,3-dimethylbenzene	0.20	2.4
40.	4,6-Methanol-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole-2-methanamine-hexahydro-3a,8,8-trimethyl-(2-methylpropyl)-(R,3aS,4S,6S,7aR)-,2,2,2-trifluoroacetate	0.02	0.24
41.	Tetrabenzyl Pyrophosphate	0.05	0.6
42.	2-(Methylamino)-1-(o-tolyl)propan-1-one Hydrochloride	0.1	1.2
43.	2,2',2'',2'''-(1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetrayl) tetra acetic acid	0.15	1.8
44.	$\alpha$ -Zeranol	0.15	1.8
45.	Methyl-(S)-3-(7-bromo-2-oxo-5-(pyridin-2-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[e][1,4]-diazepin-3-yl)propanoate	0.15	1.8
46.	Dimethyl-2,5-dihydroxycyclohexa-1,4-diene-1,4-dicarboxylate	0.15	1.8
47.	tert-butyl 2,2',2''-[10-[(1RS,2SR)-2,3-dihydroxy-1-(hydroxymethyl)-propyl]-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7-triyl] triacetate	0.4	4.8
48.	R&D Products	0.15	1.8
<b>Manufacture any 10 campaign Products at a point of time from total 48 products including R&amp;D products</b>		<b>10.95</b>	<b>131.4</b>

**Utilities:**

Description	Units	Existing	Additional	Total after Expansion
Boilers (Coal Fired)	TPH	2 TPH (operational) 3 TPH (EC & CFE permitted)	3 TPH	2 & 3 TPH (Operational) 3 TPH (Standby)
Thermic Fluid Heater ( Diesel)	K.cal/hr	1 lakh	2 x 2 lakh	2 x 2 lakh & 1 lakh
DG Sets	KVA	2X250 & 125	380 & 500	2X250, 380 & 500 125 KVA will be removed

**Hazardous Waste:**

S No.	Description	Quantity (TPD)*	Stream	Handling	Disposal
1.	Organic residue (Process)	0.27	28.1 of Schedule -I	HDPE Drums	Sent to SPCB Authorized Cement industries or to TSDF for Incineration
2.	Distillation bottom residue	0.04	28.1 of Schedule -I		
3.	Spent carbon	0.02	28.3 of Schedule -I		

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

4.	Inorganic salt (Process)	0.43	28.1 of Schedule –I	HDPE Bags	Sent to TSDF for land fill
5.	Evaporation Salts (Non-process)	0.4	28.1 of Schedule –I		
6.	ETP Sludge	0.06	35.3 of Schedule –I		
7.	Boiler ash	8	--	HDPE Bags	Sent to Brick Manufacturers
<b>Other Hazardous Waste generation from the Plant</b>					
8.	a) Detoxified Container / Liners drums, Fiber drums	500 Nos./ month	33.1 of Schedule-I	Designated covered area	Disposed to SPCB Authorized agencies after complete detoxification
	b) Carboys	5000 Nos./ month			
	c) Plastic Bags	100 Kgs/ Month			
	d) Glass Bottles	100 Kgs/ Month			
9.	Spent Mixed Solvents	1 KLD	28.6 of Schedule –I	Tanks/ Drums	Sent to SPCB Authorized agencies
10	Spent Solvents	2.61 KLD	28.6 of Schedule –I	Tanks/ Drums	Reused
11.	Spent Catalyst	0.04 TPD	--	Stored in Drums	Sent to suppliers on buy back basis
12.	Waste oils & Grease	2 KL/annum	5.1 of Schedule –I	MS Drums	Sent to SPCB Authorized agencies for reprocessing
13.	Used Lead acid Batteries	60 Nos. / annum	A1160 of Schedule-III	Stored in Covered shed	Sent to suppliers on buy-back basis.
14.	Misc. Waste (spill control waste)	Lumpsum (1 TPA)	--	Stored in Drums	TSDF
15.	Rejects	Lumpsum (1 TPA)	--		
16.	E- waste	Lumpsum (0.5 TPA)	--	Designated covered area	Authorized re-processor or TSDF
17.	Waste papers & other types of packing scrap	Lumpsum (1 TPA)	--		Sold to scrap vendors
18.	Canteen waste	Lumpsum (1 TPA)	--	HDPE bags	Composted on-site and reused for greenbelt
19.	Off Specification Products	Lumpsum (1 TPA)	--	Stored in drums	Sent to TSDF for incineration
<b>By-Product</b>					
20.	Spent HCl	500 kg/day	-	Stored in drums	In-house / sale

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

**Water Balance table:**

Description	Input (KLD)		Output (KLD)		Wastewater Segregation
	Fresh Water	Recycled Water	Evaporation / Handling Loss	Total Wastewater	
Process	8.3	--	-0.2	8.5 (8.86 Tons)	HTDS/HCOD
Washings (Reactor, centrifuges, nutch filters, dryers, floor, etc.)	2	--	--	2	LTDS/LCOD
Boilers (3 & 2 TPH coal fired boilers)	24	-	20	4 (blow down)	Utilities (LTDS/LCOD)
Cooling Tower 900 TR	32	22	49.5	4.5	Utilities (LTDS/LCOD)
Scrubbers	2	--	--	2	HTDS / LCOD
Q.C and R&D	1	--	--	1	LTDS/LCOD
Domestic (80 nos. @45 lpcd)	3.6	-	0.8	2.8	LTDS
Greenbelt (0.86 acres @ 5KL/acre)	4.3	--	4.3	--	--
Total	77.2	22	74.4	24.8	Reuse: 22KLD Loss: 2.8KLD (11.3%)
	99.2		99.2		

**Treatment details:**

Effluent Characteristics	Quantity (KLD)	Treatment Flow
<b>Stream - I</b> (Process & scrubber) TDS > 5000 mg/l COD > 5000 mg/l	10.5	Collection → Equalization cum Neutralization → Settling → Holding → Steam stripper → MEE along with HTDS effluent → Condensate to ETP (biological treatment) → Concentrate to ATFD MEE & ATFD Condensate to ETP (Biological Treatment) along with domestic wastewater → holding tank → Pressure Sand Filter → Activated Carbon Filter → ETP RO system → RO permeate water reuse in Cooling towers. ETP RO rejects to MEE. ATFD Salts to TSDF and stripped solvents to SPCB authorized cement industries for co-processing / authorized solvent recovery units Stripper: 13 KLD Proposed MEE: 15 KLD ATFD: 6 KLD ATFD Salts to TSDF and stripped solvents to SPCB authorized cement industries.
<b>Stream- II</b> (Washings, Boilers, Cooling towers, QC, R&D, Domestic) TDS / COD < 5000 mg/l	14.3	Collection → Equalization cum Neutralization → ETP (Biological Treatment) along with MEE & ATFD Condensate  Biological Treatment – 32 KLD RO: 1.6 KL/hr (20 hrs operation)

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC for Expansion.

<b>Agenda Item No. 06</b>	<b>2.00 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Venkateshwara Granites, Survey No. 388, Kondaparthi Village, Inavole Mandal, Hanmakonda District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/301351/2023 (EC- Extension of validity)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Radhakishan and Sri Chandrasekhar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the SEIAA, AP issued EC vide Order dt.22.12.2012 for mining of 1136 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Colour Granite. Subsequently, the proponent obtained EC (Expansion) vide order dt. 03.07.2015 from the SEIAA, TS for mining 24,320 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Colour Granite in the name of M/s. Bansal Rocks Pvt Ltd., Then, the proponent obtained Transfer of EC vide Order dt.10.06.2020 from M/s. Bansal Rocks Pvt Ltd., to M/s. Venkateshwara Granites. It was informed that they also obtained CFO (Auto-renewal) vide order dt.26.10.2022.

The present EC was valid upto 08.09.2023. Now, the proponent applied for Extension of EC on 19.06.2023 i.e within the validity period of EC. Hence, it was requested to issue extension of EC with same production capacity i.e 24,320 m<sup>3</sup>/annum.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 25.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 6.25 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 3.22 Lakhs/annum.

The proponent submitted a copy of letter dt.17.06.2023 of the ADMG: Hanumakonda District furnishing the details of year-wise production details for the period from 2015-16 to 2023-24 upto 16.06.2023. The SEAC observed from the letter that the production was carried during the period from 2015-16 to 2023-24 upto 16.06.2023.

The proponent informed that they have done CSR activities in the nearest village and submitted a copy of Certificate dt.21.06.2023 issued by the Sarpanch, Gram Panchayath, Kondaparthi Village, Inavole Mandal, Hanumakonda District informing that the proponent has spent Rs. 2,85,000/- for various developmental activities like drinking water plant; repairing of roads and purchasing of uniforms, books, and bags for the students in the village.

The proponent submitted document as compensatory land for greenbelt under Dharani Portal to an extent of Ac. 1.0 Gts.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of Extension of Validity of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 07</b>	<b>M/s. Neuland Laboratories Limited, UNIT-I, Sy.No.347,473,474,490/2, Veerabhadraswamy Temple Road, Bonthapally (V), Gummadidala (M),Sanga Reddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/IND3/300594/2023 (EC-Extension of validity)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri K. Murali Krishna and S.N. Rao of M/s. Pragathi Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that earlier, the industry obtained EC Vide Order dated 09.05.2017 valid upto 08.05.2024. The unit also obtained CFE dt.17.01.2022 which valid upto 16.01.2027 and CFO dt.17.01.2022 which is valid upto 31.12.2026.

Now, the proponent applied for extension of validity of EC on 15.06.2023.

During the presentation, the proponent has informed that, as per Environmental Clearance, the facilities implemented by the Project proponent are:

1. HTDS Pre - treatment equipment installed capacity of 100 KLD
2. LTDS Pre - treatment equipment installed capacity of 100 KLD
3. Bio ETP 280 KLD capacity installed
4. RO plant 250 KLD capacity installed
5. Scrubbers installed

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of Extension of Validity of EC.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

<b>Agenda Item No. 08</b>	<b>4.90 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Bheemavaram-I sand reach, Godavari River bed at Bheemavaram Village, Pinapaka Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433655/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.90 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.21.03.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.0 m to 9.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0m.

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.20.05.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 99,000 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by Open cast excavation and manual method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Veerapuram village which is at a distance of 0.65 km (SW) & Nearest RF is Mulugu RF @ 0.72 km (W) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 40.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 4.0 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 09</b>	<b>4.99 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited., (TSMDC), Singireddypalli Sand Reach on Godavari River Bed Singireddypalli Village, Pinapaka Mandal Bhadradi Kothagudem District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433646/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.99 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.21.03.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.0 m to 9.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0m.



CHAIRMAN, SEAC

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.20.05.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 99,600 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by Open cast excavation and manual method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Bhupathi Rao Peta village which is at a distance of 1.2 km (SW) & Nearest RF is Cherla RF @ 2.8 km (E) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 40.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 4.0 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 10</b>	<b>Residential Apartments by M/s Giridhari Developers, Sy.No 2221/1, 2221/A/1, 2221/AA/1, 2221/E1, Siddipet, Under Siddipet Municipality, Siddipet Mandal, Siddipet District. -Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/INFRA2/433560/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Vamshi Krishna and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 7,963.67 Sq.m., and Net plot area is 7,602.22 Sq.m.; Out of which green area is 795.18 Sq.m. (10.46%).

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 26,368.5 Sq.m. The project consists of Residential Apartments Construction Project to accommodate total no. of 178 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are 2 Blocks – Block 1 & 2 with (C + G + 5 Upper Floors) and Club house with (G + 3 Upper Floors).

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 5,967.36 Sq.m. (29 % against required 22%).

The total cost of the project is Rs.30.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 30.0 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 5.0 Lakhs/annum.

During presentation, the SEAC observed few trees in the proposed site. In this regard, the proponent submitted a copy of undertaking letter stating the following:

- They undertake that about 20 trees will be removed as part of the project site and it will be proposed to relocate the trees into the separate area Patta land i.e near the project site.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 11</b>	<b>4.98 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited., (TSMDC), Godavari River bed, Nandhulachalaka Village, Dummugudem Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433769/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.98 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan &EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.18.03.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 5.0 m to 6.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 1.0m.

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.09.06.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 49,725 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Suravaram which is existing at a distance of 1.0 km (SE) & RF @ 1.9 km (NW) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 20.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 2.46 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.34 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 12</b>	<b>4.96 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Godavari River, Ammagaripalli Village, Aswapuram Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433766/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.96 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan &EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.04.04.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 4.0 m to 5.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 1.0m.

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.14.06.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 49,600 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Dummagudem which is existing at a distance of 0.8 km (E) & RF @ 1.3 km (SW) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.



**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The total cost of the project is Rs. 20.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 2.92 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.49 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 13</b>	<b>4.99 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Godavari River bed, Gangolu Village, Dummugudem Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433764/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.99 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.18.03.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 4.0 m to 5.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 1.0m.

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.09.06.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 49,950 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Gangolu which is existing at a distance of 0.96 km (NE) & RF @ 1.9 km (NW) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 20.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 2.26 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.24 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 14</b>	<b>4.96 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Godavari River, Bhadrachalam Village, Bhadrachalam Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District.- Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433756/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.96 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.18.04.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.0 m to 9.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0m.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.09.06.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 99,200 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Bhadrachalam which is existing at a distance of 0.82 km (NE) & Burguvayi RF @ 3.7 km (SE) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 20.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 2.67 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.51 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 15</b>	<b>4.98 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Godavari River, Annaram Village, Manuguru Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433746/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.98 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.01.05.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.0 m to 9.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0m.

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.09.06.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 99,760 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Anantaram which is existing at a distance of 2.1 km (SW) & Unnamed RF @ 2.0 km (SW) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 20.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 2.69 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.68 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023

<b>Agenda Item No. 16</b>	<b>4.97 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Bheemavaram-II sand reach, Godavari River bed at Bheemavaram Village, Pinapaka Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433707/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.97 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan &EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.21.03.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.0 m to 9.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0m.

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.20.05.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 99,200 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by Open cast excavation and manual method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Veerapuram village which is at a distance of 0.82 km (SW) & Nearest RF is Mulugu RF @ 1.2 km (W) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 40.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 4.0 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 17</b>	<b>4.975 Ha. Ordinary Sand Mine of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, (TSMDC), Bayyaram sand reach, Godavari River bed at Bayyaram Village, Pinapaka Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433698/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Devender Reddy and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.975 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan &EMP Report.

The proponent submitted Joint inspection report dt.04.04.2023 and as per the Report the average thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.0 m to 9.0 m. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0m.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The proponent has submitted cluster letter dt.21.06.2023 issued by the ADMG, Bhadradi Kothagudem District wherein, it was informed that no other mine is existing within 500 mts radius.

The SEAC verified latest Sentinel satellite picture dt.20.05.2023, as per the latest Satellite picture there is no water in the proposed site. It is further, the Committee directed the proponent not to carryout mining operation during the rainy season.

It is proposed to mine 99,750 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Ordinary Sand by Open cast excavation and manual method.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Bayyaram village which is at a distance of 1.53 km (W) & Nearest RF is Maddulagudem RF @ 4.9 km (NW) exists from the boundary of the sand reach.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 40.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 4.0 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 18</b>	<b>AVL's Samskruti" by M/s. AVL Constructions &amp; Others Construction of Residential Apartment Buildings, Sy. No. 131, 132, 133, 134 &amp;128/1, Puppalaguda Village, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/INFRA2/433904/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri V.V.S Akhilesh and Sri Chandrasekhar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended before the SEAC.

The project is proposed within 10 km radius of Himayat Sagar and Osman Sagar Lakes. But, **Puppalaguda** (V) is not included in the list of 84 villages in the 10km catchment of above lakes as mentioned in the G.O.Ms. No: 111, MA, dt. 08.03.1996.

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA, TS issued TORs (Violation) on 25.04.2023 for preparation of EIA Report.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 14,568.80 Sq.m. Out of which green area is 1,469.80 Sq.m. (10.08%).

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 76,899.70 Sq.m. The project consists of Residential Apartment Buildings construction Project to accommodate total no. of 350 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are Blocks A & B with 1 basement + 2 Stilts + 10 Floors and Amenities 2 blocks with G + 3 Floors.

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 20,303.70 Sq.m. (35.87 % against required 22 %).

The total cost of the project is Rs. 80.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 116.5 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 20.5 lakhs/annum.

During presentation, the proponent informed that they have obtained NOC's (2 nos.) dt. 18.04.2022 for height clearance from Airports Authority of India w.r.t. the proposed project and submitted copies of the same. It is observed from the NOC that the site elevation is 524.6 mts AMSL, and the permissible top elevation is restricted to 675 mts AMSL for Block A and B. The SEAC noted that the height of the building is within the permissible top elevation restricted by the AAI. The proponent submitted the Risk Assessment report & Disaster Management Plan.

During presentation, the SEAC observed that, a canal is adjacent to the project site. In this regard, the proponent submitted a copy of Lr. dt.25.03.2022 of the Superintending Engineer, I&CAD Dept., Irrigation circle, Hyderabad. It is observed from the document that the total extent of applicant's land is not affected in MFL of canal, but Ac 00-12 Gts is affected in its Buffer zone 9m width. Therefore, the applicant's balance land to an extent of Ac 03-02 Gts is not affected in any waterbody/Nala/Channel and it is free from the waterbody as per the norms stipulated in G.O.Ms.No.168, dt.07.04.2012 of MA&UD Dept and G.O.Ms.No.7 MA & UD Dept. dt.05.01.2016. Accordingly, the proponent proposed the layout of the project.

The proponent informed that State Government has initiated credible action against the proponent vide CC No. 1431/2023 filed in the II Additional Junior Civil Judge cum XIII Additional Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Rangareddy.

Accordingly, the proponent submitted Compliance of criteria mentioned for processing violation proposals w.r.t. mining projects. The SEAC examined the same and found that the level of damage for the present project is Low. Accordingly, the amount of Bank Guarantee to be submitted for Implementation of Remediation plan; Natural Resource Augmentation Plan; and Community Resource Augmentation Plan is worked out to be Rs. 80.00 Lakh and the details are as following:

S.No	Activity proposed	Amount
1	Ecological remediation cost (0.5 % of project cost)	Rs. 40.0 Lakhs
2	Natural resource Augumantation plan and Budgetary provisions (0.2 % of project cost)	Rs. 16.0 Lakhs
3	Community welfare Augumantation (0.3 % of project cost)	Rs. 24.0 Lakhs
<b>Total in (1.0 % of project cost)</b>		<b>Rs. 80.00 Lakhs</b>

The SEAC considered the project as per the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017; S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 & O.M. dt: 07.07.2021 & O.M. dt: 28.01.2022 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI w.r.t. Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification., 2006. The SEAC noted that as per SOP, the total Penalty (where operations have commenced without EC) is 1% of the total project cost incurred up to the date of filing of application along with EIA/EMP report **PLUS** 0.25 % of the total turnover during the period of violation. Further, the percentage rates, as above, shall be halved if the project proponent *suo-moto* reports such violations without such violations coming to the knowledge of the Government either on inquiry or complaint.


The proponent informed that they haven't done any commercial activities and have not made any agreements or sales pertaining to the project and the same was submitted. The proponent also submitted a Certificate dt.23.04.2023 issued by M/s. Sathuluri & Co (Chartered Accountants) certifying that the total project cost is Rs. 80.00 Cr and expenditure incurred till date on this project is Rs. 4,05,58,073/-. Hence, the Penalty is calculated as following:

Particular	Amount in Rs.
1% of Project cost incurred (Rs. 4,05,58,073/-)	4,05,580.73
0.25% of total turnover during violation period	---
Total	4,05,580.73
<b>Total rounding off</b>	<b>4,05,600</b>

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommends the project for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to approval by the SEIAA for submission of Bank Guarantee for an amount of Rs. 80,00,000/- and Penalty for an amount of Rs. 4,05,600/-.

<b>Agenda Item No. 19</b>	<b>9.40 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Sri Susthira Granites, Survey No. 84, Rekonda Village, Chigurumamidi Mandal, Karimnagar District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/434064/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri P. Sreeram and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

  
**CHAIRMAN, SEAC**

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA, TS issued TORs (Auto-generated) on 31.12.2019 for preparation of EIA Report. Accordingly, the proponent undergone the process of public hearing on 02.05.2023 and submitted Final EIA Report along with minutes of public hearing and issues emerged during public hearing. The SEAC observed from the minutes of Public Hearing that the issues are: Implement pollution control measures; Implement control measures for blasting; Provide local employment; control fugitive dust due to movement of vehicles, greenbelt development, compensation for crop damage; Conduct medical camps; provide PPE kits for workers; CSR funds to be spent on effected villages. The proponent informed: Implement all pollution control measures; Employment will be given to local; Greenbelt will be developed along the connecting roads (Rs.1.3 Lakhs will be spent); Adopt water sprinkling to control fugitive dust pollution; conduct health camps periodically; PPE kits will be provided for workers; an amount of Rs.2.0 Lakhs allocated under CSR. During the Public Hearing 18 no. of participants expressed their opinion. Out of 37 no. of people, 25 no. of people supported the project.

The SEAC noted that the intial lease was granted (in-principle) on dt.12.04.2018 in favour of proponent for a period of 20 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease is granted after 09.09.2013. The Proponent also submitted a copy of Lr.dt. 16.02.2019 of ADMG, Karimnagar District informing that there is another quarry lease (19.0 Ha. - lease granted before 09.09.2013) falling within 500m from proposed mine lease area. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 9.40 Ha. It is further noted that the total Cluster area is 28.4 Ha. and Net cluster area is 9.40 Ha. which is more than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered as B1 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT.

The nearest village is Pechupalem (V) exists at a distance 2.0 km (NW); nearest water body i.e., Irrigation canal exists at a distance of 260 mts (NE) from the mine lease area.

It is proposed to mine maximum production of 1,79,040 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Colour Granite and the life of mine is reported as 20 years.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 100.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 1.03 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 5.09 Lakhs/annum.

The proponent submitted a copy of Lr.dt.28.06.2023 of ADMG, Karimnagar District. It is observed from the letter that there is no quarrying activity noticed in the applied area.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 20</b>	<b>4.45 Ha. Mosaic Chips Quarry of M/s. Sri Suryachandra Minerals, Survey No. 300/EE1/6, 300/EE2/11, 300/EE2/12, 300/EE2/19, 300/EE2/4/1/3, 300/EE2/5/1/3 &amp; 300/EE3/1, Raghunadhapalem Village, Mattampally Mandal, Suryapet District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/433833/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri T. Lakshmi Narayana and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the lease was granted (in-principle) on 08.06.2023 in favour of the proponent for a period of 20 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease is granted after 09.09.2013. The proponent submitted a copy of Lr.dt.12.06.2023 issued by ADMG, Suryapet, District informing that there no existing quarry leases falling within 500mts from the proposed mine lease area. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 4.45 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Thus, the project is considered under B2 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & FMP Report.

The nearest village is Raghunadhapalem (V) exists at a distance of 0.93 km (E); nearest water body Raghunadhapalem Cheruvu exists at a distance of 0.64 km (SE) and Nearest RF is Pittalsarikota RF exists at a distance of 1.6 km (SE) from the mine lease area.

  
**CHAIRMAN, SEAC**

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023

It is proposed to mine maximum production of 2,68,884 TPA of Mosaic Chips and the life of mine is reported as 14 years.

The SEAC noted that the ADMG has issued LOI dt.08.06.2023 with a condition that the proponent has to submit quarry plan along with EC, CFE, CFO from the concerned authority to consider grant of quarry lease in the patta land.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 40.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 4.89 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 3.37 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 21</b>	<b>Construction of Residential Apartment by M/s. Srinivasa Constructions, Sy. No: 92 (As per pahani 92/2/3), Kompally Village, Dundigal Gandimaisamma Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/301292/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri T. Kalyan Chakravarthy and Sri Chandrasekhar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the SEIAA, TS issued EC vide order dt. 05.03.2021 for construction of Residential Apartments construction project with total built up area of 40,886.61 Sq.m. The proponent informed that they have applied for CFE on 24.06.2023 and it is under process.

Now, the proponent informed that due to the addition of TDR floor, there is increase in built-up area due to which there are changes w.r.t plot area, built-up area, green area, No. of Floors, No. of units, No. of vehicles, Water requirement, Wastewater generation, Solid waste, STP capacity, Project cost & EMP Cost. It was also informed that construction work is initiated. Hence, it was requested to issue amendment to EC with the following changes:

S.No.	Description	EC Obtained	Proposed EC Amendment	Difference
1.	Total Plot Area (Sqm)	7,959.90	7,949.62	10.28
2.	Green Area (Sqm)	835.56 (10.50%)	821.03 (10.32%)	14.53 (0.18 %)
3.	Residential & Amenities Built-up Area	30,645.46	36,022.50	5,377.04
4.	Parking Area (Sqm)	10,241.15 (33.42%)	10,241.15 (28.43%)	-
5.	Total Built-up Area (Sqm)	40,886.61	46,263.65	5,377.04
6.	No. of Floors	B+S+8 Floors	B+S+9 Floors	1 Floor
7.	No. of Flats	124	140	16
8.	Water Requirement (KLD)	111.0	125.0	14
9.	Fresh Water (KLD)	70.0	79.0	9
10.	Recycled Water (KLD)	41.0	46.0	5
11.	Waste Water (KLD)	94.0	105.0	11
12.	S.T.P Capacity (KLD)	110.0	135	25
13.	D. G. Set Capacity (KVA)	1 x 500	1 x 500	-
14.	Solid Waste (Kg/Day)	412.14	458.74	46.6
15.	Project Cost (Crores)	41.0	47.0	6.0

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

16.	No. of Vehicles in Parking	4 W – 248 2 W - 40	4 W – 252 2 W - 48	4 W – 4 2 W - 8
17.	S.T.P. Sludge (Kg/Day)	9.0	10.5	1.5
18.	EMP Budget (Lakhs)	Capital Cost – 85.0 Lakhs Recurring Cost – 15.0 Lakhs/Annum	Capital Cost – 90.0 Lakhs Recurring Cost – 16.8 Lakhs/ Annum	Capital Cost – 5.0 Lakhs Recurring Cost – 1.8 Lakhs/ Annum

The project proponent submitted a copy of Structural Stability Certificate dt.27.06.2023 stating that the structural designs for the proposed Residential Apartment of M/s. Srinivasa Constructions, Sy. No: 92 (As per pahani 92/౨/౯), Kompally Village, Dundigal Gandimaisamma Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District is designed based on the architectural plans submitted to concerned development authority. The structure for this building block comprises of 1 Basement + Stilt + 9 floors and is complying with Bureau of Indian Standard norms and design is safe and suitable for the purpose for which it is intended. It has been designed in accordance with IS: 456, IS: 875 & IS:800 and the structure falls under seismic zone II as per seismic code IS: 1893 (Part-1)-2016, the design is done for same and shall be detailed accordingly.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of Amendment to EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 22</b>	<b>Construction of Residential Villas by Sri. Mahaveer Golechha &amp; Others, Sy No. 372P, 383P &amp; 397P, Bommaraspet Village, Shamirpet Mandal, Medchal-Malkajgiri District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/INFRA2/434153/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri V. Madhusudhana Rao and Sri Chandrasekhar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 1,24,643.25 Sq.m., and Net Plot area is 1,21,519.71 Sq.m; Out of which green area is 13,569.54 Sq.m. (11.7%).

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 1,13,193.38 Sq.m. The project consists of Residential Villas Construction Project to accommodate total no. of 238 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are (G + 2 Floors); Club house - 1 with (C + G + 4 Floors); Club house - 2 with (G + 2 Floors) and Shops Ground Floors.

It is also noted that 2 four wheeler space and 1 two wheeler space is provided to every villa.

The total cost of the project is Rs.115.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 177.3 Lakhs and Recurring cost: Rs. 29.6 lakhs/annum.

The SEAC observed few trees are existing in the proposed site. In this regard, the proponent submitted undertaking letter stating the following:

- They will translocate the intervening trees to the boundary of the site.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 23</b>	<b>“Gated Community within compound wall Villa Project” of M/s. Milestone Developers by Mr Gaddam Ramakrishna Reddy, Sy.No. 579/1, 645/1, 646/2, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653/2, Nadergul Village, Balapur Mandal, Rangareddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/INFRA2/431861/2023 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri A. Nehemiah and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.



**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 65,528.89 Sq.m., and Net Plot area is 62,732.23 Sq.m; Out of which green area is 6,337.86 Sq.m. (25.88%).

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 41,963.55 Sq.m. The project consists of Gated Community within Compound wall Villa Construction Project to accommodate total no. of 200 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are (G + 1 Floor) and Amenities with (G + 3 Floors).

It is also noted that 2 four wheeler space and 2 two wheeler space is provided to every villa.

The total cost of the project is Rs.100.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 100.0 Lakhs and Recurring cost: Rs. 10.0 lakhs/annum.

The SEAC observed that waterbody is adjacent to the proposed site. In this regard, the proponent informed that they obtained NOC vide Ir.dt.09.07.2023 of the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Division No.1, Hyderabad, I&CAD Dept. at Sy.Nos. 579, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, Nadergul Village, Balapur Mandal, Rangareddy District. It is observed from the document that the total extent of land is Ac. 26-11 Gts; Out of which the applicants land is Ac. 20-06 Gts; the land affected in Phirangi nala area is Ac. 00-12 Gts; the land affected in buffer zone of 2.0 mts wide area is Ac. 00-05.2 Gts and applicants balance land of Ac.19-28.8 Gts and hence the applicant land is not affected in FTL of Nam Cheruvu, as per the norms stipulated in G.O.Ms.No.168, dt.07.04.2012 of MA&UD Dept. Accordingly, the proponent proposed the layout of the project.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 24</b>	<b>1.29 Ha. Building Stone &amp; Road Metal of Sri. Nam Prabhakar, Survey No: 496, Sirsapally Village, Huzurabad Mandal, Karimnagar District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/283110/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Nam Prabhakar and Sri Chandrashekar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 22.07.2022 observed that there is much vegetation in mine lease area. Hence, the project was deferred and the SEAC informed the proponent to acquire land for compensatory afforestation near the mine lease area and submit copies of land documents for the same.

Accordingly, the proponent submitted undertaking letter dt. 24.06.2023 stating the following:

- They will develop greenbelt all along the connecting road leading to mine site with 500 nos. of plants and with maintainance of the plants.
- The proponent submitted land documents of Ac. 3.0. and informed that they will develop plantation over an area of Ac. 1.0 with 600 plants in their own patta land which is in the name of M/s. Shambavi Stone Crusher, Represented by Sri. Nam Prabhakar, Managing Partner of the company at Sy.No. 467/B2/2 of Sirsapally (V), Huzurabad (M), Karimnagar District which is near to their mine area as compensatory afforestation. The proponent submitted land documents for the same.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 25</b>	<b>2.00 Ha. Building Stone &amp; Road Metal of Sri Nam Prabhakar, Survey No. 270, Huzurabad Village, Huzurabad Mandal, Karimnagar District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/283095/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Nam Prabhakar and Sri Chandrashekar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

### Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 22.07.2022 observed that there is much vegetation in mine lease area. Hence, the project was deferred and the SEAC informed the proponent to acquire land for compensatory afforestation near the mine lease area and submit copies of land documents for the same.

Accordingly, the proponent submitted undertaking letter dt. 24.06.2023 stating the following:

- They will develop greenbelt all along the connecting road leading to mine site with 500 nos. of plants and with maintainance of the plants.
- The proponent submitted land documents of Ac. 3.0. and informed that they will develop plantation over an area of Ac. 2.0 with 1200 plants in their own patta land which is in the name of M/s. Shambavi Stone Crusher, Represented by Sri. Nam Prabhakar, Managing Partner of the company at Sy.No. 467/B2/2 of Sirsapally (V), Huzurabad (M), Karimnagar District which is near to their mine area as compensatory afforestation. The proponent submitted land documents for the same.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 26</b>	<b>2.00 Ha. Building Stone and Road Metal of M/s. Shreyan Reddy Stone Crusher and Rock Sand, Survey No: 683, Chillapur Village, Dubbak Mandal, Siddipet District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/406357/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Durga Reddy and Sri Chandrashekar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA, TS issued TORs on 26.10.2022 for preparation of EIA Report exempting Public Hearing.

The SEAC noted the lease was initially granted on 25.05.2009 in favour of Sri. C.Venkat Reddy for a period upto 24.05.2019. Then, the lease was transferred on 02.12.2015 in favour of Sri. Pandi Swamy. Later, Extension of quarry lease was granted on 24.05.2019 for a period upto 23.05.2024. Then, again the lease was transferred on 20.06.2022 in favour of the proponent for a period upto 23.05.2024. It may be noted that the Mine Lease was granted before 09.09.2013. Hence, cluster is not applicable to the project. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 2.0 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. The project has to be considered under B2 category, but since it is a violation case, the project is considered under B1 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT.

Nearest human habitation is Harijanwada exists at a distance of 1.20 km; Nearest water body exists at a distance of 0.30 km; Nearest RF is Chikod RF exists at 0.43 km from the mine lease area.

It is proposed to mine 77,041 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Road metal and Building Stone and life of mine is reported as 4.5 years.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 40.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs.7.90 Lakhs and recurring cost: Rs.4.73 Lakhs/annum.

The proponent submitted undertaking letter stating the following:

- They will develop plantation over an extent of Ac. 1.0 with 1000 plants in their own Patta land which is located nearby the mining site Sy.No. 690/2, Chillapur Village, Dubbak Mandal, Siddipet District a compensatory afforestation.

The proponent informed that State Government has initiated credible action against the proponent vide CC No. 212/2023 filed in the Hon'ble Judicial First class Magistrate Court, Dubbak and the case is posted on 09.10.2023 for his appearance.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 05.07.2023**

Accordingly, the proponent submitted Compliance of criteria mentioned for processing violation proposals w.r.t. mining projects. The SEAC examined the same and found that the level of damage for the present project is Low. Accordingly, the amount of Bank Guarantee to be submitted for Implementation of Remediation plan; Natural Resource Augmentation Plan; and Community Resource Augmentation Plan is worked out to be Rs. 1.00 Lakh and the details are as following:

S.No	Activity proposed	Amount
1	Ecological remediation cost (0.2 Lakhs/Ha)	Rs. 0.40 Lakhs
2	Natural resource Augumantation plan and Budgetary provisions (0.15 Lakhs/Ha)	Rs. 0.30 Lakhs
3	Community welfare Augumantation (0.15 Lakhs/Ha)	Rs. 0.30 Lakhs
<b>Total in (0.5 Lakhs/Ha)</b>		<b>Rs. 1.00 Lakh</b>

The SEAC considered the project as per the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017; S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 & O.M. dt: 07.07.2021 & O.M. dt: 28.01.2022 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI w.r.t. Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification., 2006. The SEAC noted that as per SOP, the total Penalty (where operations have commenced without EC) is 1% of the total project cost incurred up to the date of filing of application along with EIA/EMP report PLUS 0.25 % of the total turnover during the period of violation. Further, the percentage rates, as above, shall be halved if the project proponent *suo-moto* reports such violations without such violations coming to the knowledge of the Government either on inquiry or complaint.

The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 2.0 Ha and the rationalized project cost Rs. 20.0 Lakhs considering Rs. 10.0 Lakhs/Ha. for Road metal & Building stone, But, the project cost is 40.00 Lakhs ( Considering the higher of project cost and rationalized project cost). Hence, the project cost of the quarry is considered as Rs.40.00 Lakhs as per Methodology for calculating Penalty. Further, the SEAC considered total turnover as 2 times the Seignorage fee and hence, the turnover is Rs.21,72,552/-.

Description	Amount (Rs.)
<b>Penalty on Project Cost:</b> 1% of Total Project Cost = 1% of Rs.40,00,000/-	40,000.00
<b>Penalty on Turnover during violation period:</b> 0.25% of Turnover = 0.25% of Rs. 21,72,552/-	5,431.38
<b>Total Penalty:</b>	<b>45,431.38</b>

Since, the proponent suo-moto reported violations, the above percentage rates are halved and therefore the **Total Penalty is worked out to be Rs. 22,715.69/-**

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC Clearance subject to approval by the SEIAA for submission of Bank Guarantee for an amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- and Penalty of Rs. 22,715.69/-.

STATE OF TEXAS

County of ...

...	...
...	...
...	...
...	...
...	...

...

...

...	...
...	...
...	...
...	...
...	...

...

...