MINUTES OF THE 68th MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE, (SEAC), TELANGANA STATE HELD ON 23.05.2020, 10:30 A.M.



MINUTES OF THE 68th MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC) HELD ON 23.05.2020 AT TSPCB, PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, A-3, I.E., SANATHNAGAR, HYDERABAD.

The following members were present:

| S. No. | Name of the Expert | Position |
|--------|--|-----------|
| 1. | Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy, II.No: 2-2-20/L/7, #401. Golden towers – II, Raja Rajeshwari BLPG, D.D. Colony, Hyderabad. Ph: 9866629265 | Chairman. |
| 2. | Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi Plot No.110, Siddartha Nagar, S.R. Nagar Post, Hyderabad-500038. Ph: 9440896661 | Member |
| 3. | Shri Suresh, B-106, Vertex prime, Nizampet Road, Kukatpalli, Hyderabad. Ph: 9177037785 | Member |
| 4. | Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud, H.No. 6-156, Sridurga Estates, Deepthisri Nagar, Madinaguda, Hyderabad-500049. Ph:9440386945 | Member |
| 5. | Dr.K.Shivakumar, Plot No. 328, Flat No: 302, Mehar Ninan, KPHB 6 th phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072 Ph: 9951701067 | Member |
| 6. | Prof.C.Venkateshwar, Department of Botany, University College of Science. OU. Hyd. Flat No. 117, 'C' Block, Janapria castle, Ramnagar, Vidyanagar – Hyderabad Ph:9440487742 & 8096754604 | Member |
| 7. | Dr.P.Radha Krishna, H.No. 9/40, Bahar 'B', Sahara States, Mansoorabad, LB Nagar, Hyderabad-500068 Ph:9848555242 | Member |

After general introductory remarks by the Chairman, SEAC, the Committee took up items agendawise. The decisions of the SEAC on each case are recorded below.

DECLARATION

It is hereby declared that the Chairman and members of SEAC, T.S., do not have conflict of interest with any project proponent pertaining to the items discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 23.05.2020.

| S. No. | Name of the Expert | Signature |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy | Sd/- |
| 2. | Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi | Sd/- |
| 3. | Shri Suresh | Sd/- |
| 4, | Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud | Sd/~ |
| 5. | Dr.K.Shivakumar, | Sd/- |
| 6. | Prof.C.Venkateshwar | Sd/- |
| 7. | Dr.P.Radha Krishna | Sd/- |
| | | |

| Agenda Item No. 01 | M/s. Divis Laboratories Limited (Unit - 1) Sy.No. 238, 247 to 250, 260 to 279, 289 to 293 & 302 of Lingojigudam (V), and 505 & 506 of Aregudem (Hamlet of Pantagi (V)), Choutuppal (M), Yadadri Bhuvanagiri Dist - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/152244/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri D.V. Prasad Rao & Sri G.K. Subba Rao; and Sri V. Vijay Kumar of M/s. Ramky Enviro Services Private Limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the industry submitted application for expansion of the project and the proposal was placed in the SEAC meeting held on 28.10.2019 constituted a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit a report. Accordingly, the Sub-Committee inspected the unit on 16.12.2019 and submitted the report. Subsequently, the SEIAA issued TORs on 07.02.2020 for preparation of EIA report.

Members of Sub-Committee:

- 1. Sri Ch. Krishna Reddy
- 2. Sri K. Shiva Kumar
- 3. Sri P. Radha Krishna

Now, the proponent again submitted EC application for proposed expansion keeping in view of the S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020. The SEAC considered the same report of the Sub-Committee constituted earlier for the present expansion project.

The SEAC noted that proponent obtained EC vide order dt. 09.01.1996 from the MoE&F, GoI. The proponent obtained Amendment to EC order for change in product mix vide order dt.10.06.2008 from the MoE&F, GoI. Subsequently, the SEIAA issued EC vide order dt.03.02.2017 for Expansion and also issued Amendemnt to EC vide order dt.03.08.2017. The proponent has also submitted certified compliance report dt. 27.04.2020 issued by the TSPCB as per O.M. dt.30.05.2012 & 07.09.2017 of MoE&F, GoI.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 205.31 Ha. (Ac. 507.32), out of which Green area is 99.82 Ha (48.6%).

Nearest human habitation is Lingojigudem @ 2.0 km; Nearest water body is Ityala Cheruvu @ 2.8 km; Choutuppal RF @ 3.2 km.

Project Cost after proposed expansion is Rs.1279.36 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 15950 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 1595 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 448 Lakhs for 5 years.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No | Name of products | Quantity (TPA) |
|-------|----------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Naproxen | 1008 |
| 2 | Dextromethorphan HBr | 372 |
| 3 | Iopamidol | 180 |
| 4 | Nabumetone | 200 |
| 5 | Valacyclovir.HCl | 100 |
| 6 | Levetiracetam | 960 |
| 7 | A – Wing | 25 |
| 8 | B – Wing | 25 |
| 9 | Proguanil HCl | 8.0 |
| 10 | Sulphazine | 5.0 |
| 11 | Quetiapine | 5.0 |

| 12 | Atovaquone | 24 |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--------|
| 13 | Carvedilol | 4.0 |
| 14 | Marcoumar | 2.0 |
| 15 | N-Hydroxy Succinimide | 15 |
| 16 | Niacin | 100 |
| 17 | Free Amine Pivalate Ester | 75.6 |
| 18 | Methoxy Morphinan HCl | 0 |
| 19 | Fosphenytoin Sodium | 0.5 |
| 20 | Diltiazem HCl | 60 |
| 21 | Thiol Acid | 0 |
| 22 | R-amine | 1.0 |
| 23 | Apocarotenal | 5.0 |
| 24 | EF-9 | 0.5 |
| 25 | 2-CL-3, 4-Pmboxy-Benzamide | 0 |
| 26 | N-Boc-Ethylenediamine | 8.0 |
| 27 | Fumaraldehyde Bis (Dimethyl acetal) | 120 |
| 28 | CME | 50 |
| 29 | Bromo OTBN | 900 |
| 30 | ISIO-II | 4.2 |
| 31 | Lamotrigine | 0 |
| 32 | Iohexol | 0 |
| | Iopromide | 300 |
| 34 | Nicotine | 20 |
| 35 | Dolutegravir | 10 |
| 36 | Rivaroxaban | 30 |
| 37 | DL-Naproxen | 1200 |
| 38 | Hydroxy Chloroquine Sulphate | 300 |
| 39 | Remdesvir | 100 |
| 40 | Favipiravir | 100 |
| | R&D Products (10) | 36.5 |
| Tot | al Production | 6354.3 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Boilers (Coal fired): | | |
| | 1 x 24 TPH | 40 m | ESP |
| | 1 x 16 TPH | 40 m | Bag Filter |
| | 1 x 24 TPH (Standby) | 40 m | ESP |
| 2 | Boilers (Oil fired): | | |
| | 2 x 4 TPH | 30 m | |
| 3 | Thermic Fluid Heater | NAMES OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY. | |
| | 6 x 4 lakh K.Cal/hr. | 30 m | |
| 4 | Incinerator (Diesel Fired) | | Multicyclone & |
| | 1 x 9 TPH | 40 m | Venturi Scrubber |
| | DG Sets: | · | |
| | 11 x 1500 KVA | Adequate | Acoustic Enclosure |
| | (Existing 1 x 1500 KVA + | Height | |
| | Proposed 10 x 1500 KVA) | - | |
| | 5 x 1250 KVA | | |
| | I x 750 KVA | | |
| | 1 x 625 KVA | | |
| | 2 x 320 KVA | | 1 |

The process emissions containing Carbon monoxide, Hydrogen Sulphide, Hydrogen Chloride, & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after proposed expansion:

| S.No | Water required for | Fresh (KLD) | Recycled (KLD) | Total (KLD) |
|------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Process Floor & reactor washings | 644 | 350 | 994 |
| 3 | Boiler | 1200 | * | 1200 |
| 4 | Cooling tower | - | 1500 | 1500 |
| 5 | DM/Softener | 150 | - | 150 |
| 6 | Incinerator scrubbing | - | 20 | 20 |
| 7 | Canteen / Domestic | 550 | ** | 550 |
| 8 | Greenbelt | 600 | _ | 600 |
| To | Total | | 1870 | 5014 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| S. No. | Effluent generated from | HTDS (KLD) | LTDS (KLD) | Total (KLD) | Treatment & Disposal | |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---|--|
| 1 | Process & Washings | 619 | 705 | 1324 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., | |
| 2 | Boiler blow down | | 40 | 40 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. | |
| 3 | Cooling bleed of | | 800 | 800 | LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. | |
| 4 | Incinerator Scrubber | 20 | | 20 | Treated effluent to be reused in | |
| 5 | DM/ Softener regeneration | | 150 | 150 | cooling towers, Boiler make-u and Scrubbers. | |
| 6 | Domestic | | 512 | 512 | Treated in STP and Outlet of STP to be connected to Biological ETP. | |
| Tota | 1: | 639 | 2207 | 2846 | | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Description | Quatity | Mode of Disposal |
|-------|---|----------------|--|
| 1 | Process residues (Organic Residue) | | Shall be disposed to Cement units for Co-processing / AFRF facilities of M/s: |
| 2 | Solvent Residue (Distillation bottom residue) | 38485.5 Kg/day | GEPIL Infrastructure Pvt.Ltd., Rakamcherla, Pudur (M), Rangareddy |
| 3 | Spent carbon | 1033.8 Kg/day | Dist (or) M/s: TSDF, Dundigal for pre- processing to be sent to Cement units for Co-processing / TSDF Dundigal for incineration. |
| 4 | Spent solvents | 285 KLD | Solvents shall be recovered to the |
| 5 | Mixed spent solvents | 241 KLD | maximum extent possible and shall be reused. The Spent Solvents which cannot be reused shall be disposed to the End Users / Authorized Cement manufacturing units for Co-processing / AFRF facilities of M/s: GEPIL Infrastructure Pvt.Ltd., Rakamcherla, Pudur (M), Rangareddy Dist (or) M/s: TSDF, Dundigal for pre-processing to be sent to Cement units for Co-processing / TSDF Dundigal for incineration. |

| S. No | Description | Quatity | Mode of Disposal |
|-------|---|---|--|
| 6 | Forced Evaporation salts | 75753.7 Kg/day | TSDF for landfill / Authorized Vendors. |
| 7 | ETP sludge | 4500 Kg/day | - |
| 8 | Incineration ash | 9.0 Kg/day | |
| 9 | Sodium chloride | 108.4 Kg/day | Disposed to authorized recovery units / TSDF, Dundigal for land filling. |
| 10 | Spent catalyst | 142.08 Kg/day | Shall be disposed to TSDF / returned to supplier for recovery. |
| ÌÌ | Spent acids | 24 KLD | Shall be recovered and recycled within industry / disposed to the End users / Authorized units. |
| 12 | Used oil / Waste lubricating oil | 35 Ltrs/day | Shall be disposed to the authorized Reprocessors / recyclers. |
| 13 | Detoxified containers & liners of HW & Haz. Chemicals | 125 Nos/day 76 Nos/day 212 Nos/day 119 Nos/day | After complete detoxification sent back to suppliers / Disposed to outside parties. |
| 14 | E-Waste | 4.0 Kg/day | Shall be disposed to authorized Reprocessors / Recyclers. |
| 15 | Spillage / Rejected material | 20.0 Kg/day | Onsite incineration / Authorized cement manufacturing units for Co-processing / TSDF, Dundigal for incineration. |
| 16 | Waste Insulation / Glass Wool | 320 Kg/day | Shall be disposed to authorized Reprocessors / TSDF. |
| 17 | Used lead acid batteries | 20.0 Kg/day | Disposed to manufacturers / dealers on buy back basis. |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

| Agenda Item No. 02 | 4.046 Ha. Specified Sand Bearing Area of (Manjeera River) Mandarna Sand Reach, Mandarna Village, Bodhan Mandal, Nizamabad District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/150097/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent B. Satyanarayana; and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.046 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. The project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted application along with Scrutinized /Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent informed that the coordinates of proposed sand reach are as following:

| Latitude | Longitude |
|------------------|------------------|
| 18° 41' 51.10" N | 77° 45′ 44.80" E |
| 18° 41′ 51.70" N | 77° 45′ 45.00" E |
| 18° 41' 49.80" N | 77° 45′ 40.20″ E |
| 18° 41' 52.60" N | 77° 45′ 39.60" E |
| 18° 41' 57.50" N | 77° 45' 38.00" E |
| 18° 41′ 54.60" N | 77° 45' 34.50" E |
| 18° 41' 48.00" N | 77° 45' 35.70" E |

The proponent informed that the minimum thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 4.8 m as per Joint Inspection Report. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 1.0 m.

Chairman, SEAC

It is proposed to mine 28,700 m³/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method from the Manjeera River bed. It was informed that they have already excavated 11,760 m³ in the Sand reach as per the EC order dt. 03.02.2018 issued by the DEIAA, Niuzamabad for extracting 37,829 m3/annum of sand. Now, it is proposed to excavate Sand of quantity 28,700 m³ from the sand reach.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Mandarna (V) which exists at a distance of 1.5 km from the boundary of the site.

The proponent proposed the following measures towards control of Air Pollution:

- a. Regular spraying of water by water sprinkling system.
- b. Timely maintenance of vehicles to minimize air pollution due to movement of vehicles.
- c. Dust masks for employees.
- d. Covering the sand carrying vehicles with tarpaulin covers.
- e. Plantation of trees along the roads to reduce the impact of dust in the nearby villages.

The source of water requirement for the proposed project is from nearby villages. Total water requirement is 4.0 KLD. Out of that, 2.0 KLD is used for dust suppression, 1.0 KLD is used for greenbelt development along the road sides and 1.0 KLD for domestic purpose. Wastewater generated from the domestic section is to be disposed into septic tank followed by soak pit.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 1.722 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 1.45 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 0.75 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

| Agenda | 3.739 Ha. of Specified Sand Bearing Area of (Manjeera River) Siddapur Sand |
|--------------|--|
| Item No. 03 | Reach, Siddapur Village, Bodhan Mandal, Nizamabad District - |
| | Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/150078/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent B. Satyanarayana; and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 3.739 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. The project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted application along with Scrutinized /Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent informed that the coordinates of proposed sand reach are as following:

| Latitude | Longitude |
|------------------|------------------|
| 18° 46' 12.90" N | 77° 50' 00.20" E |
| 18° 46' 12.40" N | 77° 49' 53.40" E |
| 18° 46' 06.90" N | 77° 49' 57.90" E |
| 18° 46' 05.40" N | 77° 49' 52.20" E |

The proponent informed that the minimum thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 4.1 m as per Joint Inspection Report. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 1.0 m.

It is proposed to mine 17,607 m³/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method from the Manjeera River bed. It was informed that they have already excavated 19,578 m³ in the Sand reach as per the EC order dt. 03.02.2018 issued by the DEIAA, Nizamabad for extracting 31,530 m³/annum of sand. Now, it is proposed to excavate Sand of quantity 17,607 m³ from the sand reach.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Siddapur (V) which exists at a distance of 1.4 km from the boundary of the site.

The proponent proposed the following measures towards control of Air Pollution:

- a. Regular spraying of water by water sprinkling system.
- b. Timely maintenance of vehicles to minimize air pollution due to movement of vehicles.
- c. Dust masks for employees.
- d. Covering the sand carrying vehicles with tarpaulin covers.
- e. Plantation of trees along the roads to reduce the impact of dust in the nearby villages.

The source of water requirement for the proposed project is from nearby villages. Total water requirement is 4.0 KLD. Out of that, 2.0 KLD is used for dust suppression, 1.0 KLD is used for greenbelt development along the road sides and 1.0 KLD for domestic purpose. Wastewater generated from the domestic section is to be disposed into septic tank followed by soak pit.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 1.056 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 1.45 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 0.75 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

| Agenda Item No. 04 | 4.605 Ha. of Specified Sand Bearing Area of (Manjeera River) Sunkini Sand Reach, Sunkini Village, Kotagiri Mandal, Nizamabad District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/150044/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent B. Satyanarayana; and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.605 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. The project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted application along with Scrutinized /Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent informed that the coordinates of proposed sand reach are as following:

| Latitude | Longitude |
|-------------------|------------------|
| 18° 37' 19.38" N | 77° 44' 53.68" E |
| 18° 37′ 13.06 " N | 77° 44' 55.15" E |
| 18° 37′ 19.69" N | 77° 44' 47.77" E |
| 18° 37′ 12.18 " N | 77° 44' 44.80" E |

The proponent informed that the minimum thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 4.1 m as per Joint Inspection Report. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 1.0 m

It is proposed to mine 16,474 m³/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method from the Manjeera River bed. It was informed that they have already excavated 21,239 m³ in the Sand reach as per the EC order dt. 03.02.2018 issued by the DEIAA, Nizamabad for extracting 22,113 m3/annum of sand. Now, it is proposed to excavate Sand of quantity 16,474 m³ from the sand reach.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Sunkini (V) which exists at a distance of 0.6 km from the boundary of the site.

The proponent proposed the following measures towards control of Air Pollution:

- a. Regular spraying of water by water sprinkling system.
- b. Timely maintenance of vehicles to minimize air pollution due to movement of vehicles.
- c. Dust masks for employees.

Chairman, seac

- d. Covering the sand carrying vehicles with tarpaulin covers.
- e. Plantation of trees along the roads to reduce the impact of dust in the nearby villages.

The source of water requirement for the proposed project is from nearby villages. Total water requirement is 4.0 KLD. Out of that, 2.0 KLD is used for dust suppression, 1.0 KLD is used for greenbelt development along the road sides and 1.0 KLD for domestic purpose. Wastewater generated from the domestic section is to be disposed into septic tank followed by soak pit.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 98.84 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 1.45 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 0.75 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

| 9 | 4.74 Ha. Subbampeta-2 Sand Reach of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Subbampeta Village, Cherla Mandal, Bhadradri Kothagudem District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|--------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/149228/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri N. Yellaiah; and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Enviro Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.74 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. The project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted application along with Scrutinized /Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent informed that the coordinates of proposed sand reach are as following:

| Latitude | Longitude |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 18° 05.00' 17.90" N | 80° 45′ 21.39″ E |
| 18° 05.00′ 25.00" N | 80° 45' 13.05" E |
| 18° 05.00' 21.95" N | 80° 45′ 09.04″ E |
| 18° 05.00′ 15.13" N | 80° 45′ 17.18" E |

The proponent informed that the thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.3 - 9.0 m as per Joint Inspection Report. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0 m.

It is proposed to mine 47,400 m³/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method from Godavari River bed.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Ontichintalagudem (V) which exists at a distance of 0.8 km and Subbampeta RF exists at distance of 1.2 km from the boundary of the site.

The proponent proposed the following measures towards control of Air Pollution:

- a. Regular spraying of water by water sprinkling system.
- b. Timely maintenance of vehicles to minimize air pollution due to movement of vehicles.
- c. Dust masks for employees.
- d. Covering the sand carrying vehicles with tarpaulin covers.
- e. Plantation of trees along the roads to reduce the impact of dust in the nearby villages.

The source of water requirement for the proposed project is from nearby villages. Total water requirement is 4.0 KLD. Out of that, 2.0 KLD is used for dust suppression, and 2.0 KLD for domestic purpose. Wastewater generated from the domestic section is to be disposed into septic tank followed by soak pit.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 16.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection is Rs. 2.2 lakhs.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC with the following specific conditions:

- The proponent shall not extract the sand beyond 2 mts depth.
- The proponent shall not extract the sand beyond the permitted production capacity of 47,400 m³/anumm, as committed.

| Agenda ltem No. 06 | 4.88 Ha. Subbampeta-1 Sand Reach of M/s. Telangana State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Godavari River, Subbampeta Village, Cherla Mandal, Bhadradri Kothagudem District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/149144/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri N. Yellaiah; and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Enviro Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

It is noted that the mine lease area is 4.88 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. The project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted application along with Scrutinized /Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The proponent informed that the coordinates of proposed sand reach are as following:

| Latitude | Longitude |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 18° 05.00′ 40.00″ N | 80° 45′ 33.26" E |
| 18° 04.00′ 55.70″ N | 80° 45′ 39.69" E |
| 18° 04.00' 52.38" N | 80° 45′ 36.00″ E |
| 18° 04.00' 59.64" N | 80° 45′ 28.93″ E |

The proponent informed that the thickness of sand deposited in the proposed sand reach is reported as 8.2 - 9.0 m as per Joint Inspection Report. The depth of proposed sand extraction is 2.0 m.

It is proposed to mine 48,800 m³/annum of Ordinary Sand by manual open excavation method from the Godavari River bed.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Ontichintalagudem (V) which exists at a distance of 1.2 km and Subbampeta RF exists at distance of 2.8 km from the boundary of the site.

The proponent proposed the following measures towards control of Air Pollution:

- a. Regular spraying of water by water sprinkling system.
- b. Timely maintenance of vehicles to minimize air pollution due to movement of vehicles.
- c. Dust masks for employees.
- d. Covering the sand carrying vehicles with tarpaulin covers.
- e. Plantation of trees along the roads to reduce the impact of dust in the nearby villages.

The source of water requirement for the proposed project is from nearby villages. Total water requirement is 4.0 KLD. Out of that, 2.0 KLD is used for dust suppression, and 2.0 KLD for domestic purpose. Wastewater generated from the domestic section is to be disposed into septic tank followed by soak pit.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 18.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection is Rs. 2.4 lakhs.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC with the following specific conditions:

- The proponent shall not extract the sand beyond 2 mts depth.
- The proponent shall not extract the sand beyond the permitted production capacity of 48,800 m³/anumm, as committed.

Chairmán, seac

| Agenda Item No. 07 | M/s. Chemic Life Sciences Private Limited, Sy No. 238 & 239, Dothigudem Village, Pochampally Mandal, Yadadri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/152408/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri K. Nava Teja Reddy; and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Enviro Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SFAC

The proponent informed that the existing unit was manufacturizing Drug Intermediates since 2002 and hence, there is no EC.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 48,886.06 Sq.m. out of which Green area is 16,996.8 (34.7%).

Nearest human habitation is Anthamagudem (V) @ 1.14 km; Nearest water body is Mehar Nagar Tank @ 4.9 km; Nearest RF is Malkapuram RF @ 2.67 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs.9.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 150.0 lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 42.8 Lakhs/annum.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S.No | Product Name | Capacity (TPM) |
|------|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Bupropion hydrochloride | 3 |
| 2 | Clopidogrel Bisulphate | 1 |
| 3 | Hydroxychloroquine | 4 |
| 4 | Losartan Potassium | 3 |
| 5 | Telmisartan | 3 |
| 6 | Olmesartan Medoximal | 1 |
| 7 | Quetiapine Hemifumarate | 7.5 |
| 8 | Tenofovir | 4.5 |
| 9 | Darunavir Ethanolate | 3 |
| | Fenofibrate | 5 |
| | Valsartan | 3 |
| | Lopinavir | 0.5 |
| 13 | 4,7-Dichloro quinoline (Hydroxy chloroquine intermediate) | 1.5 |
| 14 | Hydroxynovaldiamine (5-(N-ethyl-N-2- hydroxyethylamino)-2-pentylamine) | 4 |
| 15 | Lopinavir intermediate(3-Methyl-2-(2-oxo-tetrahydro - pyrimidin-1-yl)-butyric acid) | 4 |
| 16 | 4-O-Methyl-3,5-Dimethyl-1-Chloro Methyl-Pyridine, Hydrochloride (Omeprazole Inter) | 2 |
| 17 | 2-Methyl-4-10H-Thino(2-3-6) (1,5)Benzodiazepine [Olanzepine(Inter)] | 0.5 |
| | SYN.CSA | 30 |
| 19 | Rec CSA | 20 |
| | 4-[(2-trityl tetrazole-5yl) phenyl] benzyl bromide(TTBB) | 15 |
| | TEA. HCl | 20 |
| 22 | 2-Butyl-5-Chloro-5-Formyl Imidazole(BCFI) | / 5 |

| S.No | Product Name | Capacity (TPM) |
|--------------|--|-------------------|
| 23 | Bibenzimidazole(2n-Propyl-4-methyl-6-(1-methyl | 5 |
| | benzimidizole-2-yl) benzimidazole) | |
| 24 | [(,) "hwari") = (hiparazzaz 1)1) | 3 |
| | acetamide(Ranolazine Intermediate) | |
| | OTBA Methyl ester | 5 |
| 26 | N - Propyl Benzimidazole Carboxylic acid | 2 |
| 27 | IF n sulphamyl 3- chloro propionamidine HCl | 10 |
| 28 | 2,3-Dichloro benzoyl cyanide (DCBOC) | 0.2 |
| 29 | Dibenzo-[b,f] [1,4] thiazepine-11 [10H]- one(DBTO) | 5 |
| 30 | Tri fluoro methyl di sulphamyle aniline (TFDSA) | 0.9 |
| 31 | Methyl Isobutyryl Acetate | 20 |
| 32 | Piperaquine | 0.3 |
| 33 | (R)-(1-(6-amino-7H-puri-7-yl)propan-2 | 3 |
| | yloxy)methylphosphonic acid(PMPA) | |
| 34 | Tributyl Tin Chloride | 35 |
| 35 | Trityl Chloride | 60 |
| 36 | (3R)-3-(carbamoylmethyl)-5-methylhexanoic acid (+)- | 2 |
| | phenylethylamine (Pregabalin Inter) | |
| 37 | Trityl losartan | 1.5 |
| 38 | Di Ketone | 2 |
| 39 | 6-Methoxy-8-Nitro Quinoline | 0.5 |
| 40 | 1-(4-benzyloxy-3-hydroxymethyl phenyl)-2-(tert butyl amino)- | 2 |
| | ethanol (Salbutamol Inter) | |
| _ | Cyclo Propane-1,1-Di Carboxylic Acid | 2 |
| 42 | R & D products | 0.03 |
| | Total | 300.0 |

By-products:

| S.No. | By products | Capacity (TPM) |
|-------|------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Sodium acetate | 0.32 |
| 2 | Phosphoric acid | 1.76 |
| 3 | Ethyl bromide | 2.31 |
| 4 | Ammonium acetate | 2.98 |
| | Total | 7.38 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Coal fired Boiler: | | |
| | Existing: 1 x 4.5 TPH | 30 m | Bag filter |
| | Proposed: 1 x 6.0 TPH | 30 m | Bag filter |
| 2 | Thermic fluid Heater | | |
| | Existing: 1 x 2 Lakh K.cal/hr | 10 m | |
| | Proposed:1 x 5 Lakh K.cal/hr | 10 m | |
| 3 | DG Sets: | • | |
| | Existing: 1 x 120 kVA | Adequate stack | Acoustic enclosure |
| | Proposed: 1 x360 kVA | height | |
| | 1 x 500 kVA | | |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride, Bromine, Nitrogen Dioxide & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide, Nitrogen & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No. | Water required for | Quantity (KLD) |
|--------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Process | 37.7 |
| 2 | Washings | 3.0 |
| 3 | Scrubber | 2.0 |
| 4 | Boiler Feed | 85.0 |
| 5 | Cooling Tower | 220.0 |
| 6 | DM Plant | 2.0 |
| 7 | Domestic | 10.0 |
| 8 | Gardening | 40.0 |
| • | Total | 399.7 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| S. No. | Effluent generated from | HTDS (KLD) | LTDS (KLD) | Total (KLD) | Treatment & Disposal |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Process | 46.1 | | 46.1 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., |
| 2 | Washings | 3.0 | | 3.0 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. |
| 3 | Boiler blow down | | 8.5 | 8.5 | LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. |
| 4 | Cooling bleed of | | 11.0 | 11.0 | |
| 5 | Scrubber | 2.0 | | 2.0 | Treated effluent to be reused in |
| 6 | DM Plant | | 2.0 | 2.0 | cooling towers, Boiler make-up |
| 7 | Domestic | | 8.0 | 8.0 | and Scrubbers. |
| Tota | l : | 51.1 | 29.5 | 80.6 | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S.No | Description | Quantity(TPD) | Mode of Disposal | |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| 1 | MEE salts | 4.57 TPD | Sent to TSDF, Dundigal for | |
| 2 | ETP Sludge | 3.0 TPD | secured land fill/ cement industries for co-processing | |
| 3 | Inorganic residue | 1.59 TPD | Tor co-processing | |
| 4 | Distillation bottom residue | 5.04 TPD | Sent to Cement plant for Co- | |
| 5 | Process/ organic Residue | 6.42 TPD | processing/AFRF Facilities of GEPIL Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. | |
| 6 | Spent Carbon | 0.29 TPD | Rakamcherla/ M/s. TSDF | |
| 7 | Stripper waste | 2.9_TPD | Dundigal for pre processing to learn to Cement units for comprocessing/ TSDF Dundigal framework incineration. | |
| 8 | Ash from boilers | 9.0 TPD | Sold to brick manufacturers | |
| 9 | Waste /Used Oil | 3500 LPA | Authorized Recyclers/ Reprocessors | |
| 10 | Spent Mixed solvents | 20.0 TPD | End users/Authorized cement manufacturing units for co-processing/ AFRF Facilities of GEPIL Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd, Rakameherla, Pudur (M), Rangareddy (D)/ TSDF Dundigal for pre processing to be sent to Cement units for co-processing/ TSDF Dundigal for incineration. | |
| 11 | Spent solvents | 45.9 TPD | Recovery and reuse within plant premises | |

| S.No | Description | Quantity(TPD) | Mode of Disposal | |
|------|---|-----------------|---|--|
| 12 | Used batteries | 15 No.s /Annum | Sent to Authorized Recyclers | |
| 13 | container & container liners of hazardous waste & chemicals | 500 No.s/ Month | h After detoxification, disposed outside agencies | |
| 14 | LDPE bags | 600 Kgs/month | Authorized Recyclers | |
| 15 | Insulation waste | 500Kgs/Annum | TSDF Dundigal for secured | |
| 16 | Glass bottles and broken glass ware | 100 Nos/month | landfill or authorized recyclers | |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.
- ii) Project modification
- iii) Project cost
- iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
- v) ETP modifications
- vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I.
- viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- x) Impact on surroundings
- xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI,
- xii) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- xiii) Greenbelt development

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri Vijaya Laxari 2. Sri pandra Aleddy Krishma Aleddy

| Agenda | M/s. Vivin Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited, Sy. No.10 & 10 D, |
|--------------|---|
| Item No. 08 | Gaddapotharam (V), Jinnaram (M) Sangareddy District - Environmental |
| | Clearance - Reg. |
| <u></u> | |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/153367/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Parvathaiah & Sri J. Murali; and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Enviro Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the SEAC noted the following:

- M/s. Vivin Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Ltd., (formerly known as M/s. Eytan abs Ltd.,) they have purchased the following 2 units which are located adjacent:
 - M/s. Matrix Laboratories Ltd., Unit-6 Sy.No.10/A, IDA Gaddapotharam, Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District is having an EC bearing No. J-11011/141/2005-IA II(I) dt.07.07.2005.
 - M/s. Biotech Pharma Ltd., Sy.No.10, IDA Gaddapotharam, Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District being an intermediate manufacturing company established prior to September 2006).
- After complete renovation they have obtained renewal of Consents vide Orders dt. 28.07.2012 with a name change ie., M/s. Eytan Labs Ltd.

Chairman, SEAC

- > To meet their market demand and business expansion they had submitted their expansion proposal to SEIAA, Telangana State for issue of EC.
- During October 2015, Dr. T. Karuppaiah, Scientist-C, MoEF&CC, Regional Office (South Eastern Zone), Chennai has inspected their project and issued compliance report on existing EC vide No.F.No.EP/12.1/2015-16/15/TE/1749 dt.07.09.2016 in which a Non-Compliance was mentioned as below:

"No expansion activity was carried out by the unit, it was observed that as per EC, permission was accorded for manufacturing of only one product namely Ciprofloxacin HCL (60 TPA), where as in the present CFO permission was accorded for manufacturing 3 groups comprising of 4 products in each group with the restriction to manufacture One group of products. (315 kg/day) in a time, which is two times higher than the permitted quantity of EC and thus change in the scope has been observed. Permitted quantity in the EC and CFO are not in consistent."

- > Keeping in view of the above Certified Complaince report, the proposal was considered by the MoEF&CC, New Delhi and subsequently by the SEIΛΛ as a violation case as per S.O.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017. But,
- ➤ Aggrieved by orders of the MoEF&CC, GoI, the proponent approached the Hon'ble NGT, New Delhi in Appeal No.111 of 2018. The Hon'ble NGT disposed the Appeal vide order dt.11.07.2018 and issued following order:

"This appeal has been preferred against the lr.dt.08.062018 addressed by the Government of India to the Environment Secretary of the Staste of Telangana directing action to be taken for production in the industry without taking Evnironment Clearance. According to the Appellant the Environment Clearance already exists and the State Authority must hear the applicant before taking any punitive action. Needless to say it is open to the applicant / appellant to move the Respondent No.3 the Telangana State Pollution Control Board and if the appellant makes such a request, the said control Board shall proceed with in accordance with law after considering the objections of the Appellant".

- > Accordingly, the proponent informed that they have approached the TSPCB for revision of consent orders as per previous EC.
- > The proponent informed that the State Pollution Control Board has revised our consent orders as per previous EC and now we came out from violation category. The proponent subsequently withdrawn the EC application earlier.

Now, the proponent again submitted the proposal for expansion keeping in view of the S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020. Accordingly, the SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019. of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is Ac.13.0 out of which Green area is Ac.4.3 (33%).

Nearest human habitation is Gaddapotharam (V) @ 0.65 km; Nearest water body is near Gaddaportharam @ 0.26 km; Nearest RF is Kistaipally RF @ 0.48 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs.50.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 300.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 73.0 Lakhs/annum.

el. Cest Chairman, seac

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S.No | Product Name | Capacity (Kgs/Day) | Capacity (TPM) | |
|------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1 | Apixaban | 333.33 | 10.0 | |
| 2 | (2R, 5S)-(1R, 2S, 5R)-2-isopropyl-5- | 1666.67 | 50.0 | |
| | methylcyclohexyl 5-(4-amino-2-oxopyrimidin- | | | |
| | 1(2H)-yl)-1, 3-oxathiolane-2-carboxylate (CEI-II). | | | |
| 3 | Dabigatran etexilate mesylate | 50.00 | 1.5 | |
| 4 | Daclatasvir Dihydrochloride | 166.67 | 5.0 | |
| 5 | Darunavir Amorphous | 50.00 | 1.5 | |
| 6 | Dolutegravir Sodium | 50.00 | 1.5 | |
| 7 | Emtricitabine | 400.00 | 12.0 | |
| 8 | Etoricoxib | 66.67 | 2.0 | |
| 9 | Etravirine | 50.00 | 1.5 | |
| 10 | Ezetimibe | 233.33 | 7.0 | |
| 11 | (2R,5S)-5-(4-amino-5-fluoro-2-oxo-2H-Pyrimidine- | 500.00 | 15.0 | |
| | 1-yl)-{1,3}oxathiolane-2-Carboxylic acid, 2S- | | | |
| | isopropyl-5R-methyl-1-R-cyclohexylester (FCE). | | | |
| 12 | Lamivudine | 333.33 | 10.0 | |
| 13 | Ledipsavir | 66.67 | 2.0 | |
| 14 | Linagliptin | 66.67 | 2.0 | |
| 15 | Levetiracetam | 266.67 | 8.0 | |
| 16 | Nadolol | 166.67 | 5.0 | |
| 17 | Sitagliptin Phosphate Monohydrate | 66.67 | 2.0 | |
| 18 | Teneligliptin Hydro Bromide hydrate | 33.33 | 1.0 | |
| 19 | Tenofovir Diisoproxil fumarate | 333.33 | 10.0 | |
| 20 | Vigabatrin | 66.67 | 2.0 | |
| 21 | Vildagliptin | 66.67 | 2.0 | |
| 22 | Lopinavir | 166.67 | 5.0 | |
| 23 | Ritonavir | 166.67 | 5.0 | |
| 24 | Remdesivir | 66.67 | 2.0 | |
| | Total | 5433.33 | 163.0 | |
| | By products | | | |
| 1 | 1,1,3,3,3- Hexamethyl disiloxane (IIMDO) | 2085.00 | 62.55 | |
| | Total | 2085.00 | 62.55 | |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Coal Fired Boilers: | | |
| | Existing: 1 x 3 TPH | $30 \mathrm{m}$ | Bag Filter |
| | Proposed: 1 x 5 TPH | 30 m | Bag Filter |
| | 1 x 6 TPH | 30 m | Bag Filter |
| 2 | Diesel fired Thermic fluid Heaters | | *u* |
| | 1 x 4 Lakh K.cal/hr | 10 m | |
| | 1 x 2 Lakh K.cal/hr | 10 m | |
| 2 | DG Sets: | | |
| | Existing: 1 x 380 kVA | Adequate | Acoustic enclosures |
| | 1 x 300 kVA | height | |
| | Proposed: 3 x 1010 kVA | _ | |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride, Carbon monoxide, Chlorine & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No. | Water required for | After expansion (KLD) |
|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Process | 67.5 |
| 2 | Washings | 10.0 |
| 3 | Scrubber | 7.0 |
| 4 | Cooling Tower | 75.0 |
| 5 | Boiler Feed | 80.0 |
| 6 | DM / Softener | 4.0 |
| 7 | Domestic | 10.0 |
| 8 | Green belt | 10.0 |
| | Total | 263.5 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| S. No | Description | Quantity KLD | Mode of Disposal |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Process | 76.3 | |
| 2 | Washings | 10.0 | Stripper, MEE followed by ATFD |
| 3 | Scrubber | 7.5 | (condensate to ETP & RO) |
| 4 | Cooling tower make up | 7.5 | |
| 5 | Boiler feed/make up | 4.0 | Biological ETP & RO System |
| 6 | DM/ softener | 4.0 | (RO Rejects to MEE) |
| 7 | Domestic | 8.0 | |
| | Total | 117.3 | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Description | Quantity | Mode of Disposal | |
|-------|--|----------------|--|--|
| 1 | MEE salts with 4 % Moisture | 4.35 TPD | Sent to TSDF, Dundigal for secured | |
| 2 | ETP Sludge | 0.2 TPD | land fill | |
| 3 | Inorganic residue | 0.14 TPD | | |
| 4 | Distillation bottom residue | 0.39 TPD | Sent to Cement plant for Co- | |
| 5 | Process/ organic Residue | 2.52 TPD | processing/AFRF Facilities of GEPIL Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. | |
| 6 | Spent Carbon | 0.18 TPD | Rakamcherla/ M/s. TSDF Dundigal. | |
| 7 | Stripper waste | 6.2 TPD | | |
| 8 | Spent Solvents | 15.0 KL/ Month | Authorized recyclers after distillation | |
| 9 | Distillate from stripper | 6.595 TPD | Authorised recyclers after distillation | |
| 10 | Detoxified Containers and Container Liners | 500 Nos/ month | Sale after detoxification | |
| 11 | Used glass bottles from laboratories | 50 Nos/ month | Recyclers after de-contamination | |
| 12 | Used Oil/Waste Lubricating Oil | 200 LPM | Disposal to Agencies authorized b | |
| 13 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 10 Nos/ month | Returned back to dealer/ Supplier | |
| 14 | Boiler Fly Ash | 2.6 TPD | Brick Manufacturers | |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.
- ii) Project modification
- iii) Project cost
- iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
- v) ETP modifications
- vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I.
- viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- x) Impact on surroundings
- xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xii) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- xiii) Greenbelt development

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri Sivakumar 2. Sri Vijaga Zaxmi Krimmalidd

| Agenda | M/s. Corey Organics Private Limited, Kothur, Mahaboobnagar District- |
|--------------|--|
| Item No. 09 | Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/45349/2017 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri D. Raja Kumar Reddy; and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Enviro Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that earlier, TORs were issued on 26.04.2018 for the expansion project. Accordingly, the proponent submitted EIA report.

The proponent informed that the existing unit was manufacturing Drug Intermediates since 2002 and hence, there is no EC.

The SEAC noted the G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019. of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EIA report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is Ac. 10.98 out of which Green area is Ac.3.73 (33.9%).

Nearest human habitation is Anthareddygudem (V) @ 1.6 km; Nearest water body is near Kothur @ 1.23 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs.9.9 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 200.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 34.0 Lakhs/annum.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S.No | Product Name | Capacity Kgs/Day | Capacity (TPM) |
|---------|---|---|----------------|
| | Group-A | | |
| 1 | 4-Nitro Benzenesulfonyl chloride | 16.67 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 2,5 Di chloro pyridine | 6.67 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 2-Chloro-6-Methyl-5-Nitro Pyridine | 50.00 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 2-Chloro-6-Methoxy-3-Nitro Pyridine | 16.67 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 2-Methoxy -5- Nitro Pyridine | 8.33 | 0.25 |
| 6 | 2-Nitro -5-Bromo Pyridine | 8.33 | 0.25 |
| 7 | 2-Nitro -5-Chloro Pyridine | 8.33 | 0.25 |
| 8 | 2,6 Di Bromo Pyridine | 8.33 | 0.25 |
| 9 | 7-Hydroxy-3,4 Dihydro Carbosyril (Aripiprazole) | 16.67 | 0.5 |
| 10 | D-Tryptophan methyl ester hydrochloride (Tadalafil) | 33.33 | 1.0 |
| 11 | Acetamidine HCl | 3.33 | 0.1 |
| 12 | Ethyl-6-Chloro Nicotinate | 3.33 | 0.1 |
| 13 | 4-Methyl amino-3-Nitro benzoic acid | 16.67 | 0.5 |
| | (Dabigatran) | | |
| 14 | 4-Cyano Phenyl Glycine (Dabigatran) | 16.67 | 0.5 |
| 15 | 3-(((2-((4-Carbamimidoyl phenyl)amino)methyl- 1H Benzimidazol-5yl)carbonyl)(Pyridine-2yl- amino) Propanoic acid beta alanine (Dabigatran) | 6.67 | 0.2 |
| 16 | 2-Amino thiophenol | 100.00 | 3.0 |
| 17 | 3-Methyl diphenylamine | 33 | 0.99 |
| 18 | 2-Chloro-4-Methyl-3-Nitropyridine | 13.3 | 0.39 |
| 19 | 5,6-Di chloro nicotinic acid | 13.33 | 0.39 |
| 20 | 2-Hydroxy-5-Methyl pyridine | 16.6 | 0,49 |
| 21 | R& D products | 1 | 0.03 |
| | TOTAL GROUP-A | 397.2 | 11.92 |
| | Group-B | 77 150 200 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | 18-11-41-8151 |
| 1 | 5-Bromo-2-Chloro-3-Nitro Pyridine | 20.00 | 0.6 |
| 2 | 2-Amino-3-Bromo-5-Iodo Pyridine | 10.00 | 0.3 |
| 3 | 2-Bromo-5-Iodopyridine | 16.67 | 0.5 |
| 4 | 3-Furoic acid | 1.67 | 0.05 |
| 5 | 4-Methyl diphenyl amine | 16.67 | 0.5 |
| 6 | Pyridine-3-sulfonic acid | 10.00 | 0.3 |
| 7 | 2-Fluoro-5-Iodo Pyridine | 10.00 | 0.3 |
| 8 | 1-Hydroxy -7aza Benzotriazole (HOAT) | 3.33 | 0.1 |
| 9 | O-Benzotriazol-lyl-N,N,N,N-Tetramethyl uronium tetra Fluoro borate(TBTU) | 3.33 | 0.1 |
| 10 | O-Benzotriazol-1yl-N,N,N,N-Tetramethyl uronium tetra Fluoro Phosphate (HBTU) | 3.33 | 0.1 |
| 11 | 9-Fluorenyl methoxy carbonyloxy amino acids(FMOC amino acids) | 6.67 | 0.2 |
| 12 | T-butyloxy carbonyl amino acids (BOC amino acids) | 6.67 | 0.2 |
| 13 | Benzyloxy cabonyl-amino acids | 6.67 | 0.2 |
| 14 | 2,6-Dichloro-3-Nitropyridine | 13.33 | 0.39 |
| 15 | 5-Bromo nicotinic acid | 13.33 | 0.39 |
| 16 | 4-Acetyl pyridine | 13.33 | 0.39 |
| 17 | 2-Hydroxy-5-Nitropyridine | 13.33 | 0.39 |
| 18 | 3-Acetyl pyridine | 100 | 3.00 |
| 19 | R & D Products | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| | TOTAL GROUP- B | 269.32 | 8.08 |
| <u></u> | Worst case scenario | 397.2 | 11.92 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|---|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Coal Fired Boilers: Existing: 1 x 1.5 TPH Proposed: 1 x 3 TPH | 30 m 30 m | Cyclone Separator |
| 2 | DG Sets: Existing: 1 x 125 kVA Proposed:1 x 250 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic enclosure |

The process emissions containing, Hydrogen Chloride, Sulphur Dioxide & Hydrogen Bromide are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S.No. | Description | Quantity (KLD) |
|-------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Process | 12.0 |
| 2 | Washings | 3.0 |
| 3 | Scrubber | 1.0 |
| 4 | Cooling tower make up | 20.0 |
| 5 | Boiler feed/make up | 30.0 |
| 6 | DM/ softener | 2.0 |
| 7 | Domestic | 4.5 |
| 8 | Green belt | 10.0 |
| | Total | 82.5 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| S. No | Effluent generated from | Quantity (KLD) | Treatment and Disposal | |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| l | Process | 12.8 | | |
| 2 | Washings | 3.0 | Stripper, MEE and ATFD | |
| 3 | Scrubber | 1.0 | (Condensate to RO) | |
| 4 | Cooling tower make up | 2.0 | | |
| 5 | Boiler feed/make up | 1.5 | ETP followed by RO | |
| 6 | DM/ softener | 2.0 | (RO Reject to MEE) | |
| 7 | Domestic | 4.0 | | |
| | Total | 26.3 | | |

It is to establish combined treatment facilities in the project site of M/s. Corey Organics Pvt. Ltd., to treat the effluents from both the units i.e., M/s. Corey Organics Pvt. Ltd., & M/s. Sri Chavadi Pharma Pvt. Ltd., which are sister units located adjacent to each other under same Management. The details are as following:

| S.No. | Description | Corey Organics Effluent (KLD | Sri Chavadi Pharma effluent (KLD) |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ţ | Process | 12.8 | 9.9 |
| 2 | Washings | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| 3 | Scrubber | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 4 | Boiler blow down | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 5 | Cooling tower blow down | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 6 | DM/ softener | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 7 | Domestic | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| | Total | 26.3 | 23.4 |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Description of Waste | Quantity | Disposal Option |
|-------|--|----------------|--|
| 1 | MEE Salts | 449.5 Kgs/day | TSDF, HWMP, Dundigal/ GEPIL |
| 2 | Process Inorganic Salts | 220.1 Kgs/day | |
| 3 | ETP Sludge | 150.0 Kgs/day | |
| 4 | Distillation bottom residue | 10.0 Kgs/day | Authorized cement plants for Co- |
| 5 | Process organic residues | 128.3 Kgs/day | Processing or TSDF Dundigal/ |
| 6 | Spent Carbon | 10.0 Kgs/day | GEPIL |
| 7 | Stripper waste | 26.34 M3/day | Authorized Cement Industries |
| 8 | Spent Solvents | 15.0 KL/ Month | Authorized recyclers after distillation |
| 9 | Distillate from stripper | 6.595 TPD | Authorised recyclers after distillation |
| 10 | Detoxified Containers and Container Liners | 500 Nos/month | Sale after detoxification |
| 11 | Used glass bottles from laboratories | 50 Nos/month | Recyclers after de-contamination |
| 12 | Used Oil/Waste Lubricating Oil | 200 LPM | Disposal to Agencies authorized by pollution Control Board |
| 13 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 10 Nos./annum | Returned back to dealer/ Supplier |
| 14 | Boiler Fly Ash | 2.6 TPD | Brick Manufacturers |

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 09.01.2018 constituted a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit a report.

Members of Sub-Committee:

- 1. Sri Ch. Krishna Reddy
- 2. Sri P. Radha Krishna
- 3. Smt. T. Vijaya Lakshmi

But, the SEAC noted that the report from the sub-committee is not yet received. Hence, the SEAC decided to consider the proposal after submission of report by the Sub-Committee.

| Agenda Item No. 10 | M/s Aurobindo Pharma Limited, Unit-V located at Plot Nos. 68 to 70, 73 to 91, 95, 96, 260 & 261, Industrial Area, Phase-II of IDA, Pashamailaram, Patancheru (M), Sangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/151934/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri JVN Reddy; and Sri Thirumalesh of M/s. KKB Enviro Consultant, Hyderabad, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the industry submitted application for expansion of the project and the proposal was examined by the SEAC in its meeting held on 28.10.2019 constituted a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit a report.

Members of Sub-Committee:

- 1. Sri Ch. Krishna Reddy
- 2. Sri P. Radha Krishna
- 3. Sri R.S. Mantri

Now, the proponent again submitted EC application for proposed expansion keeping in view of the S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020.

The SEAC noted the provisions of G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 & G.O. Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept. The SEAC noted that the project is located in a notified Industrial Estate prior to 2006.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

Chairman, seac

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 8.713 Ha., out of which Green area is 0.67 Ha. However, additional land of 5 Acres (2.02 Ha) and boundary surrounding (0.19 Ha) will be developed and maintained under Greenbelt and urban forestry. Hence total greenbelt aea is 2.88 Ha. i.e., 33.05%.

Nearest human habitation is Pashamailaram (V) @ 0.9 km; Nearest water body is Pond near Isnapur @ 0.32 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs.50.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 500.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 2205.0 Lakhs/annum.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No. | List of Products | Quantity (kg/day) | Quantity (TPA) |
|------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| Reg | ular products | | |
| 1 | Amoxicillin Trihydrate | 3333.33 | 1200.0 |
| 2 | Cephalexin Monohydrate | 337.93 | 121.7 |
| 3 | Cefadroxil | 337.93 | 121.7 |
| 4 | Piperacillin Sodium | 800 | 288.0 |
| 5 | Pivmecillinam Hydrochloride | 73.33 | 26.4 |
| 6 | Cefotaxime Acid | 608.33 | 219.0 |
| 7 | Ampicillin Trihydrate | 1666.67 | 600.0 |
| 8 | Tazobactum | 133.33 | 48.0 |
| 9 | Sulbactam Sodium | 200 | 72.0 |
| 10 | Cloxa Derivatives | 800 | 288.0 |
| 11 | Sterile Crystallized Products | 1938.57 | 697.9 |
| 12 | Sterile Lyophilized Products | 833.33 | 300.0 |
| 13 | Amoxicillin Trihydrate (Synthesis) | 1733.33 | 624.0 |
| 14 | Pencillin G Benzathine | 166.67 | 60.0 |
| | duction capacity of 14 regular ducts | 12962.77 | 4666.6 |
| Car | npaign Products | | |
| 1. | Cefdinir | 42.27 | 15.2 |
| 2. | Cefradine | 76.07 | 27.4 |
| 3. | Cefazidine Dihydrochloride | 33.8 | 12.2 |
| 4. | Cefepime Hydrochloride Hydrates | 33.8 | 12.2 |
| 5. | Cefpirome Dihydro Iodide | 15.23 | 5.5 |
| 6. | Cephalothin Acid | 76.07 | 27.4 |
| 7. | Ertapenem | 16.9 | 6.1 |
| 8. | Doripenem Monohydrate | 16.9 | 6.1 |
| 9. | Sulbactam Pivoxil | 40.57 | 14.6 |
| Maxim campai: | um production capacities for 4 gn products | 234.97 | 84.6 |
| Total product | Production capacity (14 regular s and 4 campaign products out of 9 s) (Total 23 products) | 13197.73 | 4751.2 |
| 1 | R&D products | 10 | 3.6 |
| | al Production capacity | 13207.73 | 4754.8 |

Note: 18 products out of 23 products (i.e., 14 regular products and any 4 campaign products out of 9 products to be manufactured at any point of time.

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Coal Fired Boiler: | | |
| | Existing: 24 TPH | 44.5 m | Multicone Cyclone Separator |
| | Proposed: 12 TPH | 33.5 m | |
| 2 | DG Sets: | | |
| | Proposed: | | |
| | 3 x 1010 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |
| | 4 x 1500 kVA | | |
| | Existing: | | |
| | 4 x 500 kVA | | i |
| | 3 x 750 kVA | | |
| | 2 x 1000 kVA | 1 | |

The process emissions, if any, are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide, Nitrogen & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen gas are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S.No. | Description | Fresh Water (KLD) | Recycled Water (KLD) | Total (KLD) |
|-------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 1. | Process | 196 | | 196 |
| 2. | Washings (reactor, centrifuges, nutch filters, dryers, floor, etc.) | 20 | | 20 |
| 3. | Boiler (24 TPH boiler) | 116 | | 116 |
| 4. | Cooling Tower 13690 TR | 358 | 412 | 770 |
| 5. | DM/Softner Regeneration/ RO back wash | 20 | | 20 |
| 6. | Scrubber | 5 | | 5 |
| 7. | SRS (Solvent recovery System) | 20 | | 20 |
| 8. | Ash Handling | 5 | | 5 |
| 9. | Water required for decontaminer/ container liners | 10 | | 10 |
| 10 | Q.C and R&D | 5 | | 5 |
| 11 | Garment Washing | 15 | <u></u> | 15 |
| 12 | Domestic (1930 nos @50 lpcd) | 97 | | 97 |
| 13 | Greenbelt (7 acres @ 5KL/acre) | 35 | | 35 |
| | Total | 902 | 412 | 1314 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| Description | Quantity (KLD) | Treatment & Disposal |
|---|----------------|---|
| Process | 199 | |
| Scrubber | 5 | |
| SRS (Solvent recovery System) | 20 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., |
| Washings (reactor, centrifuges, nutch filters, dryers, floor, etc.) | 20 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. |
| Boiler (24 TPH boiler) | 19 | - |
| Cooling Tower 13690 TR | 69 | Treated effluent to be reused in cooling towers, Boiler make-up and |
| DM/Softner Regeneration/ RO back wash | 20 | Scrubbers. |
| Water required for decontaminer/ container liners | 10 | |
| Q.C and R&D | 5 | |
| Garment Washing | 15 | |
| Domestic (1930 nos @50 lpcd) | 78 | Treated in STP and Outlet of STP to be connected to Biological ETP. |
| Total | 460 | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| Sl. No. | Description | Quantity (TPD) | Disposal |
|------------|---|---------------------|--|
| 1. | Organic residue from Process | 3.6 TPD | Cement industries / TSDF/Agencies |
| 2. | Spent carbon | 0.65 TPD | listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 3. | Inorganic & Evaporation salt (Process) | 10.6 TPD | Cement industries based on calorific |
| 4. | Evaporation salt (Non-Process) Waste water Evaporation Salts | 1.8 TPD | value / TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 5. | ETP Sludge | 4 TPD | |
| 6. | Boiler ash | 38.4 TPD | Sale to Brick Manufacturers |
| 7. | Recovered Stripper Distillate / Mixed Solvent (from HCOD Waste water Treatment) | 400 KLM | Cement industries / TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 8. | Detoxified Container / Liners drums, HDPE Carboys, Fiber Drums | 10.1 TPM | Internal Use/Sale as Scrap/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB after complete detoxification/decontamination |
| 9. | Mixed Spent solvents | 15 KLD | Sent to SPCB Authorized agencies for recovery (or) cement industries / TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 10. | Spent Catalyst | 0.05 TPD | Sold to suppliers on buy back basis / Authorized Re-processor |
| 11. | Waste oils & Grease/ Used Mineral oil | 10 KL/annum | Sent to SPCB / CPCB Authorized agencies |
| 12. | Used SMF/ Used Lead acid Batteries | 250 Nos. / annum | Sent to suppliers on buy-back basis. |
| 13. | Miscellaneous Waste – Sparkler Filter Pads, Centrifuge Bags, FBD Bags, Nose Masks, Shoc Covers, Head Caps, Hand Gloves, helmets, etc. | 6.5 TPM | TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 14. | Off Specification Raw Materials/ products | L.S. | Control Advantage TODE (Assert |
| 15. | Miscellaneous Wastes – Discarded Molecular Sieves/ Ion-exchange resin | 2.5 TPM | Cement industries / TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 16. | Glass/PP bottles (small volume up to 2.5 L capacity) | L.S. | Sale as Scrap / TSDF/ Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB after decontamination |
| 17. | Asbestos | 6 TPA | |
| 18. | Thermo coal | 20 TPA | |
| 19. | Glass/mineral/insulation wool | 20 TPA | TCDE/A ganging listed (ar) approved by |
| 20. | Cooling tower frills | 10 TPA | TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 21. | Gypsum Boards | 10 TPA | Cr Obibr Ob |
| 22. | Hard Rubber (Electrical Panel Rooms) | 1.5 TPA | |
| 23. | Hardened Latex paints / Varnishes/ glucs/ resins/ plasticizers | 0.25 TPA | Cement industries / TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
| 24. | Waste from first aid centre | 10 kg/annum | CBMWTF |
| 25. | Packing material | 50 TPA | Sale to recyclers/ outsider vendors |
| 26. | Electrical & Electronic Waste | 1.5 TPA | Ramky Enviro Engineers Limited or) any agency listed and approved by TSPCB for recycling |

| 27. | Contaminated cotton rags or other cleaning materials | 1.0 TPA | Cement industries / TSDF/Agencies listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB |
|-----|--|---------|---|
| 28. | Fibre drums/ carton boxes | 130 TPA | Shall be sold to recyclers/ sold to scrap vendors |
| 29. | Spent Resin (DM/Softener Plant) | 2 TPA | Cement industries / TSDF/Agencies |
| 30. | Miscellaneous Materials/ Wastes | 15 TPA | listed (or) approved by CPCB/SPCB agency |

The SEAC noted that the sub-committee constituted by the SEAC has not yet submitted the report. Hence, the SEAC informed the same sub-committee to inspect the unit, verify records and submit report on the following:

- i) Project modification
- ii) Project cost
- ZLD System & its adequacy iii)
- iv) ETP modifications
- Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion) v)
- Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I/GST. vi)
- Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- viii) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- Impact on surroundings
- Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued x) by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xi) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- Greenbelt development
- xiii) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC deferred the project for consideration after receipt of Subcommittee's report.

| Agenda Item No. 11 | Clearance - Reg. | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/153200/2020 (EC) | |

The representative of the project proponent Sri P. Surender Kumar; and Sri Kushal Bodhankar of M/s. KKB Enviro Consultant, Hyderabad, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that proponent obtained NOC dt. 19.02.1997 for establishment of Industry in the year 1997 in the name of M/s. Indian Chemphar Ltd. Subsequently, they also obtained EC (Ex-Post-Facto) in the year 2005 from MoE&F, GoI to the existing industry. It is noted that M/s. Indian Chemphar Ltd. Sold assets to M/s. Ogene System India Pvt. Ltd. in the year 2010 and later the assets were sold by M/s. Ogene System India Pvt. Ltd. to M/s. Therrapiva Pvt. Ltd. in the year 2018. The proponent has not submitted certified compliance report issued by the Regional Office the MoEF&CC, GoI, Chennai, as per O.M. dt.30.05.2012 of MoE&F, GoI.

The proponent submitted proposal seeking EC for Expansion of the project of API Intermediates & Speciality Chemicals manufacturing unit along with Combined ZLD for Unit-1 & only HTDS effluent from Unit-II which is located at about 40km away. Hence, applicability of G.O.s w.r.t. Ban Notifiation to be examined in detail.

The SEAC noted the provisions of G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 & G.O. Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept. The SEAC noted that the project is located in a notified Industrial Estate prior to 2006.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 CHAIRMAN, SEAC and considered the project under B2 Category.

26

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 4.31 Ha., out of which Green area is 1.68 Ha. (39.06%).

Nearest human habitation is Pashamailaram (V) @ 1.52 km; Nearest water body is Pond near Isnapur @ 0.82 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs. 48.69 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 2071.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 744.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 48.69 Lakhs for 5 years.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

| Sl.No. | List of Products | Quantity (kg/day) | Quantity (TPA) |
|--------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| | APIs | | |
| 1 | Montelukast Sodium | 33.33 | 12 |
| 2 | Silodosin | 16.67 | 6 |
| 3 | Olmesartan | 33.33 | 12 |
| 4 | Rosuvastatin Calcium | 66.67 | 24 |
| 5 | Cetrizine Dihydrochloride | 200 | 72 |
| 6 | Etoricoxib | 100 | 36 |
| 7 | Mirabegron | 16.67 | 6 |
| 8 | Lacosamide | 16.67 | 6 |
| 9 | Sitagliptin Phosphate Monohydrate | 100 | 36 |
| 10 | Vildagliptin | 100 | 36 |
| 11 | BaloxavirMarboxil | 16.67 | 6 |
| 12 | Elagolix Sodium | 16.67 | 6 |
| 13 | Ticagrelor | 33.33 | 12 |
| 14 | Levocetrizine Dihydrochloride | 33.33 | 12 |
| 15 | Tenofovir Alafenamide Hemifumarate | 33.33 | 12 |
| 16 | Rivaroxaban | 233.33 | 84 |
| 17 | Macitentan | 16.67 | 6 |
| 18 | Tranexamic Acid | 100 | 36 |
| 19 | Hydroxychloroquine Sulfate | 166.67 | 60 |
| 20 | Cinacalcet Hydrochloride | 455 | 163.8 |
| Any | 6 campaign products from total 20 products | 1255 | 451.8 |
| AP | Intermediates | | |
| 21 | (R)-2-((4-Aminophenethyl) amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol Hydrochloride | 260 | 93.6 |
| 22 | (R)-2-((4-Nitrophenethyl) amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol | 16.67 | 6 |
| 23 | (R)-2-Amino-N-benzyl-3-methoxy propanamide | 16.67 | 6 |
| 24 | (R)-N-Benzyl-2-Boc-amino-3-methoxy propionamide | 733.33 | 264 |
| 25 | (R)-3-Amino-1-(3-(trifluoro methyl)-5,6-dihydro- [1,2,4]-triazolo [4,3-a] pyrazin-7(8H)-yl)-4-(2,4,5- trifluoro phenyl) butan-1-one | 100 | 36 |
| 26 | tcrt-Butyl-(R)-(4-oxo-4-(3-(trifluoro methyl)-5,6-dihydro-[1,2,4] triazolo [4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl)-1-(2,4,5-trifluoro phenyl)butan-2-yl) carbamate | 100 | 36 |
| 27 | (S)-1-(2-Chloroacetyl) pyrrolidine-2-carbonitrile | 100 | 36 |
| 28 | (1s,3r,5R,7S)-3-Amino adamantan-1-ol | 100 | 36 |
| 29 | (R)-7-(Benzyloxy)-12-((R)-tetrahydrofuran-2-carbonyl)-3,4,12, 12a -tetrahydro-1H-[1,4]oxazino [3,4-c]pyrido[2,1-f][1,2,4]triazine-6,8-dione | 513.33 | 184.8 |

| SI.No. | List of Products | Quantity (kg/day) | Quantity (TPA) |
|--------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| 30 | (R)-7-(Benzyloxy)-12-((S)-7,8-difluoro-6,11-dihydrodibenzo [b,e] thiepin-11-yl)-3,4,12,12a-tetrahydro-1H-[1,4]oxazino [3,4-c] pyrido[2,1-f][1,2,4]triazine-6,8-dione | 166.67 | 60 |
| 31 | (R)-3-(2-amino-2-phenylethyl)-5-(2-fluoro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1-(2-fluoro-6-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-6-methylpyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione | 16.67 | 6 |
| 32 | Ethyl (R)-4-((2-(5-(2-fluoro-3-methoxyphenyl)-3-(2-fluoro-6-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-4-methyl-2,6-dioxo-3,6-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-1-phenylethyl)amino)butanoate | 16.67 | 6 |
| 33 | 2-(((3aR,4S,6R,6aS)-6-(7-(((1R,2S)-2-(3,4-Difluoro phenyl) cyclo propyl) amino)-5-(propylthio)-3H-[1,2,3] triazolo [4,5-d]pyrimidin-3-yl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydro-4H-cyclo penta [d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)oxy)ethan-1-ol | 466.67 | 168 |
| 34 | 2-(((3aR,4S,6R,6aS)-6-(7-Chloro-5-(propylthio)-3H-[1,2,3] triazolo [4,5-d]pyrimidin3-yl)-2,2-dimethyl tetrahydro-4H-cyclopenta[d] [1,3]dioxol-4-yl)oxy)ethan-1-ol | 33.33 | 12 |
| 35 | L-Alanine-N-[(S)-[[(1R)-2-(6-Amino-9H-purin-9-yl)- 1-methyl ethoxy] methyl]phenoxy phosphinyl] -1- methyl ethyl ester | 33.33 | 12 |
| 36 | Phosphonic acid-[[(1R)-2-(6-Amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-1-methy ethoxy]methyl]-monophenyl ester | 166.67 | 60 |
| 37 | 4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-6-isopropyl-2-[(N-methyl-n-methylsulfonyl) aminoj pyrimidine-5-yl-methanol | 100 | 36 |
| 38 | tert-Butyl-6-[(1E)-2-[4-(4-fluoro phenyl)-6-(1-methylethyl)-2-[methyl (methylsulfonyl)amino]-5-pyrimidinyl] ethenyl]-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4-acetate | 166.67 | 60 |
| 39 | tert-Butyl-2-((4R,6S)-6-(acetoxy methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate | 100 | 36 |
| 40 | 1-(4-Chloro benzhydryl) piperazinc | 200 | 72 |
| 41 | 2-Chloro-6,7-dimethoxy quinazolin-4-amine | 33.33 | 12 |
| 42 | 4-Chloromethyl-5-methyl-1,3-dioxolene -2-one | 166.67 | 60 |
| 43 | 2-Propyl-5-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl ethyl)-3H-imidazole- 4- carboxylic acid ethyl ester | 33.33 | 12 |
| 44 | (R-1-[(4-Chlorophenyl) phenyl methyl] piperazine | 33.33 | 12 |
| 45 | 5-[(2R)-2-Aminopropyl]-1-[3-(Benzoyloxy)propyl]-2,3-dihydro -1H-indole-7-carbonitrile (2R,3R)-2,3-dihydroxy butanedioate | 16.67 | 6 |
| 46 | 2-(2-(2,2,2-Trifluorocthoxy) phenoxy) ethyl methane sulfonate | 16.67 | 6 |
| 47 | Sodium 1-hydroxy-3-(3(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) propane-1-sulfonate | 33.33 | 12 |
| 48 | cis-4-Aminomethyl cyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid Hydrochloride | 166.67 | 60 |
| 49 | cis-4-Aminomethyl cyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid Sulfate | 233.33 | 84 |
| 50 | (2-Methoxy-3,5-dimethyl pyridin-4-yl) boronic acid | 16.67 | 6 |
| 51 | tert-Butyl-2-amino-5-(4-ethyl piperazin-1-yl)phenyl carbamate | 16.67 | 6 |
| 52 | N-(2-Hydroxyethyl) phthalimide | 66.67 | / 24 / |

28

| Sl.No. | List of Products | Quantity (kg/day) | Quantity (TPA) |
|--------|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| 53. | 4,7-Dichloroquinoline | 166.67 | 60 |
| 54. | 2-((4-Aminopentyl) (ethyl)amino)ethan-1-ol | 166.67 | 60 |
| Any 6 | campaign products from total 34 products | 2406.67 | 866.4 |
| Specia | lty Chemicals | | |
| 55 | 3,4-Ethylene Dioxythiophene (EDOT) | 166.67 | 60 |
| 56 | 3,4-Dimethoxy Thiophene (DMOT) | 166.67 | 60 |
| 57 | 2-Butyl-2,3-dihydrothieno [3,4-b] [1,4] dioxine | 100 | 36 |
| 58 | 2-Ethyl-2,3-dihydrothieno [3,4-b] [1,4] dioxine | 166.67 | 60 |
| | 4 Specialty products | 600.01 | 216 |
| 59 | R & D Products and Validation Products | 5 | 1.8 |
| 6 API | s and 6 Intermediates and 4 Specialty Products along with R & D Products and Validation Products | 4266.7 | 1536 |
| Rec | covery Solvent Distillation | 75000 | 900 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|---|----------------------------|---|
| 1 | Coal fired Boilers: Existing: 1x6 TPH | 30m | Multicone Cyclone Separator & Bag Filters |
| | Proposed: 1x8 TPH 1x10 TPH | 40m (Combined Stack) | |
| 2 | DG Sets: Existing: 1x500 kVA 1x1500 kVA Proposed: 1x1000 kVA 1x2000 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |

The process emissions containing Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| Description | Fresh Water (KLD) | Recycled Water(KLD) | Total (KLD) |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Process | 89.4 | | 89.4 |
| Washings (Reactor, centrifuges, nutch filters, dryers, floor, etc.) | 20 | | 20 |
| Boiler (6, 8 & 10 TPH boilers) | 115 | - | 115 |
| Cooling Tower 3800 TR | 8 | 220 (reuse water) | 228 |
| Scrubber | 12 | | 12 |
| Q.C and R&D | 5 | | 5 |
| Domestic (950 nos @50 lpcd) | 28 | 20 | 48 |
| Greenbelt (3.5 acres @ 5KL/acre) | 18 | | 18 |
| Total; | 295.4 | 240 | 535.4 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| Description | Quantity (KLD) | Treatment & Disposal |
|---|-------------------|---|
| Process | 94.6 | |
| Washings (Reactor, centrifuges, nutch filters, dryers, floor, etc.) | 20 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., HTDS: Stripper, MEE & |
| Boiler (6, 8 & 10 TPH boilers) | 19 (blow down) | ATFD. LTDS: Biological ETP & |
| Cooling Tower 3800 TR | 19 | RO. |
| Scrubber | 12 | Treated effluent to be reused in cooling towers, |
| Q.C and R&D | 5 | Boiler make-up and |
| Domestic (950 nos @50 lpcd) | 38 | Scrubbers. |
| Total: | 207.6 | |

Combined ETP-ZLD Treatment – Unit-1 & 2.

| S.No | Description | Wastewater (Unit-1) (KLD) | Wastewater (Unit-2) (KLD) | Total Wastewater CZLD (KLD) | Segregation type of Wastewater |
|------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | Process | 94.6 | 85.16 | 196.76 | Collection → Equalization |
| 2 | Scrubber | 12 | 5 | to Stripper then to MEE then to ETP | cum Neutralization → Settling → Holding → Steam stripper → MEE along with HTDS effluent → Condensate to ETP |
| 3 | RO rejects | _ | 15 | 15 to MEE then to ETP | (biological treatment) → Concentrate to ATFD MEE & ATFD Condensate to ETP (Biological Treatment) along with domestic wastewater → holding tank → Pressure Sand Filter → Activated Carbon Filter → ETP RO system → RO permeate water reuse in Cooling towers/boilers. ETP RO rejects to MEE. ATFD Salts to TSDF and stripped solvents to SPCB authorized cement industries for co-processing / authorized solvent recovery units |
| 4 | Washings | 20 | - | | |
| 5 | Boiler | 19 | | | Collection → Equalization |
| 6 | Cooling Towers | 19 | - | 101 to ETP | cum Neutralization → ETP (Biological Treatment) |
| 7 | Q.C and R&D | 5 | - | | along with MEE &ATFD Condensate |
| 8 | Domestic | 38 | _ | | Condensate |
| Te | otal | 207.6 | 105.16 | 312.76 | Reuse: 220 KLD Losses: 53.76 KLD (Losses in Stripper, MEE ATFD& ETP) RO Rejects: 39 KLD to MEE |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| Sl. No. | Description | Quantity (TPD) | Disposal |
|---------|--|-------------------|---|
| 1. | Organic residue (Process & Distillation from SRS) | 7.6 | Sent to SPCB Authorized Cement industries or to |
| 2. | Spent carbon | 0.71 | TSDF for Incineration |
| 3. | Isolated Inorganic salt (Process) (10% moisture) | 12.5 | Sent to TSDF for |
| 4. | Evaporation Salts | 5.8 | Incineration / land fill |
| 5. | ETP Sludge & Bio Sludge | 1.5 | |
| 6. | Boiler ash | 38.4 | Sent to Brick Manufacturers |
| 7. | Chemical Sludge | 1 | Sent to SPCB Authorized Cement industries or to TSDF for Incineration |
| 8. | a) Detoxified Container / Liners drums, Fiber drums | 1200 Nos./ month | Disposed to SPCB Authorized agencies after complete detoxification |
| 0. | b) Carboys | 1000 Nos./ month | |
| | c) Plastic Bags | 1000 Kgs/ Month | |
| | d) Glass Bottles | 1000 Kgs/ Month | |
| 9. | Spent solvents (unrecovered solvents) | 19 KLD | Sent to SPCB Authorized |
| 10. | Spent Mixed Solvents (Stripper) (including ZLD) | 40 KLD | agencies |
| 11. | Spent Catalyst | 0.15 TPD | Sent to suppliers on buy back basis |
| 12. | Waste oils & Grease | 600 lts/month | Sent to SPCB Authorized agencies for reprocessing |
| 13. | Used Lead acid Batteries | 80 Nos. / annum | Sent to suppliers on buyback basis. |
| 14 | Spill control waste | 3 TPM | TSDF |
| 15. | Rejects | Lumpsum | 1 (2) |
| 16. | E- waste | Lumpsum | Authorized re-processor or TSDF |
| 17. | Waste papers & other types of packing scrap | Lumpsum | Sold to scrap vendors |
| 18. | Canteen waste | Lumpsum | Composted on site and reused for greenbelt |
| 19. | Bio Medical Waste | 10 TPM | Sent to SPCB authorized Biomedical waste incinerator |
| | Expired Hazardous chemicals | 10 TPM | Sent to TSDF for incineration |
| 21. | Off Specification Products | 15 TPM | Sent to TSDF for incineration |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.
 - ii) Project modification
 - iii) Project cost
 - iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
 - v) ETP modifications

Chairman, SEAC

- vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-1.
- viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- x) Impact on surroundings
- xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xii) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- xiii) Greenbelt development
- xiv) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt.
- 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.
- xv) Feasibility of Combined ZLD system.

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri Livakengar. 2. Sri Lureth Krishna loddy

| Agenda Item No. 12 | M/s. Vanamali Organics Pvt. Ltd., Plot Nos. 24A & 24B, TSHC,,Rangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/152132/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Dr. Sreenivasan; and Sri Thirumalesh of M/s. KKB Enviro Consultant, Hyderabad, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that the existing unit is having its CFE order dt. 18.12.2018 issued by the TSPCB to manufacture Inorganic Chemicals and hence, there is no EC. Thus, they have not submitted copy of compliance report.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 0.4181 Ha., out of which Green area is 0.15 Ha. (35.8%).

Nearest human habitation is Mohabbatnagar (V) @ 1.64 km; Nearest water body is Tumma Cheruvu @ 1.03 km; Nearest RF is Srinagar RF @ 0.45 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs. 8.97 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 105.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 190.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CFR is Rs. 9.0 Lakhs for 5 years.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S.No. | List of Products | Quantity (Kg/day) | Quantity (TPA) |
|-------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Regular Product (CFE permitted Inorganic product) | | |
| 1. | Barium Nitrate | 666.67 | 240 |
| | Campaign Products (Any 5 out of 30 products) | | |
| 2. | 8-Chloro-11-oxo-10,11-dihydro-5H-debenzo-1,4-diazepine | 50 | 18 |
| 3. | Felbinac | 100 | 36 |
| 4. | 4-(1-Hydroxy-2-((4-(4-hydroxy phenyl)butan-2-yl)amino)ethyl) phenol Hydrochloride | 50 | 18 |
| 5. | Permethric acid | 50 | 18 |
| 6. | Cetirizine Hydrochloride | 83.33 | ₹0 N |

| S.No. | List of Products | Quantity (Kg/day) | Quantity (TPA) |
|--------|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| 7. | N,N-Diisopropylethylenediamine | 100 | 36 |
| 8. | 1-(4,5-Dinitro-10-aza-tricyclo [6.3.1.02,7]dodeca-2(7),3,5-trien-10-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoro-ethanone | 5 | 1.8 |
| 9. | N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-phenylenediamine | 50 | 18 |
| 10. | 8-Chlorotheophylline | 10 | 3.6 |
| 11. | 2,3-Dimethyl-6-nitro-2H-indazole | 10 | 3.6 |
| 12. | 2,4-Dichloropyrimidine | 5 | 1.8 |
| 13. | 5-Amino-2-methylbenzene sulfonamide | 10 | 3.6 |
| 14. | 4-[5-[4-(Pentyloxy)phenyl]-3-isoxazolyl]benzoic acid | 5 | 1.8 |
| 15. | 6-Hydroxy-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one | 25 | 9 |
| 16. | 2-[4-(4-Chlorobutanoyl) phenyl]-2-methylpropanoic acid | 53.33 | 19.2 |
| 17. | Ammonium Lactate | 83.33 | 30 |
| 18. | Ethylene Glycol Dibenzoate | 900 | 324 |
| 19. | Sodium-4-(2-methylprop-2-en-1-yl)benzenesulfonate | 250 | 90 |
| | Campaign Products (Any 5 out of 30 products) | | |
| 20. | Carbamic acid, N-Methyl-N-[1-methyl-2-(3-methylphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-1,1-dimethylethyl ester | 10 | 3.6 |
| 21. | 3,5-Diethyl-1,2-dihydro-1-phenyl-2-propylpyridine | 100 | 36 |
| 22. | 4-Chloroindole-3-acetic acid | 50 | 18 |
| 23. | 4-Ethyl-1-naphthalenyl-(1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methanone | 10 | 3.6 |
| 24. | 5-(2-Mcthylaminopropyl) benzofuran Hydrochloride | 10 | 3.6 |
| 25. | 2,3-Dihydro-5-methoxy-1H-inden-2-amine Hydrochloride | 5 | 1.8 |
| 26. | Saccharin | 100 | 36 |
| 27. | 1-Bromo-2,3-dimethylbenzene | 50 | 18 |
| 28. | 4-[(2,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-b]-1,4-dioxin-2-yl)methoxy]-1-butane sulfonic acid | 5 | 1.8 |
| 29. | 3,9-Di-(3-cyclohexenyl)-2,4,8,10-Tetraoxaspiro(5,5) undecane | 100 | 36 |
| 30. | N,N-Diethyl-1,4-phenylene diamine Sulfate | 50 | 18 |
| 31. | 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-benzo[h] quinolin-3-ol | 5 | 1.8 |
| 1 Regi | ular + Maximum any 5 products out of 30 campaign | 2116.67 | 762 |
| - | R & D Products | 5 | 1.8 |
| pro | Regular + Maximum any 5 products out of 30 campaign ducts along with R & D Products (Total 6 out of 31 ducts with R&D) | | 763.8 |
| Ву | Products | Quantity (Kg/Day) | Quantity (TPA) |
| Dil | ute HCl (5% Solution) | 1000 | 360 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|---|----------------------|---|
| 1 | Coal fired Boiler: Consented: 1x3 TPH | 30 m | Multicone Cyclone Separator & Bag filter. |
| 2. | Furnace Oil fired Thermic Fluid Heater: | 30m | |
| | Consented: 1 x 1 Lakh K. Cal/hr. | | |
| 3 | DG Sets: Consented: 1 x 250 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |

CHĂIRMAN, SÉAC

The process emissions containing Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| Description | Fresh Water (KLD) | Recycled Water (KLD) | Total (KLD) |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| Process | 8.6 | | 8.6 |
| Washings (Reactor, centrifuges, nutch filters, dryers, floor, etc.) | 3 | - the | 3 |
| Boiler (3 TPH boiler) | 14.4 | | 14.4 |
| Cooling Tower 600 TR | 14.4 | 21.6 | 36.0 |
| Fresh water RO rejects | 2 | | 2 |
| Scrubber | 2 | #- (L | 2 |
| Q.C and R&D | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Domestic (70 nos @50 lpcd) | 3,5 | 1 | 3.5 |
| Greenbelt (0.37 acres @ 5KL/acre) | 2 | *** | 2 |
| Total: | 50.9 | 21.6 | 72.5 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| Description | Quantity (KLD) | Treatment & Disposal |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Process | 9.5 (10.9) | |
| Washings (Reactor, centrifuges, nutch filters, dryers, floor, etc.) | 3 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., |
| Boiler | 2.4 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. |
| Cooling Tower | 3 | LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. |
| Fresh water RO rejects | 2 | Treated effluent to be reused in cooling |
| Scrubber | 1 | towers, Boiler make-up and Scrubbers. |
| Q.C and R&D | 1 | |
| Domestic | 2.8 | |
| Total: | 24.7 | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Description | Quantity (TPD) | Disposal | |
|-------|--|-------------------|---|--|
| 1. | Organic residue from Process | 1.53 | Sent to SPCB Authorized Cement industries or to TSDF for Incineration | |
| 2. | Spent carbon | 0.04 | | |
| 3. | Isolated Inorganic salt (Process) (10% moisture) | 1.65 | Sent to SPCB Authorized Cement industries or to TSDF for Incineration | |
| 4. | ETP Sludge | 0.06 | | |
| 5. | Boiler ash | 4.8 | Sent to Brick Manufacturers | |
| 6. | a) Detoxified Container / Liners drums, IIDPE Carboys, Fiber drums | | Disposed to SPCB Authorized agencies after complete | |
| | b) PP Bags | 50 Kg/month | detoxification | |
| 7. | Spent Mixed solvents (unrecovered solvents) | 1 KLD | Sent to SPCB Authorized agencies | |
| 8. | Spent Catalyst | 0.002 TPD | Sent to suppliers on buy back basis | |
| 9. | Waste oils & Grease | 1 KL/annum | Sent to SPCB Authorized agencies for reprocessing | |
| 10. | Used Lead acid Batteries | 30 Nos. / annum | Sent to suppliers on buy-back basis. | |
| 11. | Misc. Waste (spill control waste) | Lumpsum | TSDF | |
| 12. | Rejects | Lumpsum | | |
| 13. | E- waste | Lumpsum | Authorized re-processor or TSDF | |
| 14. | Waste papers & other types of packing scrap | Lumpsum | Sold to scrap vendors | |
| 15. | Canteen waste | Lumpsum | Composted on site and reused for greenbelt | |
| 16 | Bio Medical Waste | Lumpsum | Sent to SPCB authorized Biomedical waste incinerator | |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.
 - ii) Project modification
 - iii) Project cost
 - iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
 - v) ETP modifications
 - vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-L
 - viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - x) Impact on surroundings
 - xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, Gol.
 - xii) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
 - xiv) Greenbelt development

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri

2. Sri

Vijaya Laxmi Mantri Krishna Reny

35

| Agenda Item No. 13 | M/s. Ortin Laboratories Limited, (FORMERLY M/S. VINEET LABORATORIES (P) LTD) Survey No: 300, Malkapur Village, Choutuppal Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/151963/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri Murali; and Sri Vamsi Krishna of M/s. Hubert Enviro Care Systems (P) Ltd, Chennai, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that the existing unit was established in the year 2006. The industry is having CFE order dt. 08.07.2013 issued by the APPCB and hence, there is no EC. Thus, they have not submitted copy of compliance report.

The SEAC also noted that the proponent informed that they are operating the unit with CFO order dt. 29.03.2016 with validity upto 31.12.2020.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 27194.88 Sq.m., out of which Green area is 9186.36 Sq.m. (33.78%).

Nearest human habitation is Malkapuram (V) @ 2.47 km; Nearest water body is Chinna Musi River @ 3.63 km; Nearest RF is Malkapuram RF @ 0.28 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs. 30.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs.4.0 Crores and Recurring Cost is Rs. 40 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 0.3 Lakhs for 5 years.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No. | Product Name | Capacity (Kg/Day) |
|--------|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Lovastatin Cyclohexyl amide phenyl boronate(Simvastatin | 31.5 |
| | intermediate) | |
| 2 | N- Butyl lithium | 186.67 |
| 3 | (2S,3S,5S)-2 amino-3-hydroxy-5-(tert-butyloxy carbonyl) amino-1,6-diphenyl hemi succinic acid salt (BDH) [Ritanovir Intermediate] | 333.33 |
| 4 | Carbonic acid -4-nitro phenyl-5-thiazoly methyl ester [NCT] (Ritanovir Intermediate) | 333.33 |
| 5 | [2S,]-3 Methyl-2-([methyl-2-([methyl-([2-(1-methyl ethyl) thiazole-4-yl)methyl) carbonyl) amino) butanoic acid (MTV) (Ritanovir Intermediate) | 333.33 |
| 6 | 2S,3S,5S-2 amino-3-hydroxy-5-(1-tetra hydropyrmid-2-on-yl)-3-methyl butanoyl) amino-1,6-diphenyl hexane-S-pyro glutamate (THP) (Lopinavir Intermediate) | 333.33 |
| 7 | 2,6-Dimethyl phenoxy acetyl chloride (DPC) [Lopinavir Intermediate] | 333.33 |
| 8 | Isopropyl-B-{d}-thiogalactopyranoside(IPTG) | 33.33 |
| 9 | 1-Cyclo propyl-7-chloro-6fluro-4-oxo-3-quinoline carboxylic acid (Ciprofloxacin intermediate) | 16.67 |
| 10 | 6 Chloro -5-(2,3-dichlorophenoxy)-2-methylthiol 1h-benzo imidazole (TriclaBendazole) | 13.33 |
| 11 | Tert-butyl(5R)-6-Cyano-5-hydroxy-1,3-di-oxo hexanoate (Rosuvastatin intermediate) | 36 |
| 12 | Methyl-2-(4-(2-cyano benzyl) benzyl amino)-3- methyl butanoate (Valsartan Intermediate) | 46.67 |

| | Product Name | Capacity (Kg/Day) |
|--|--|--|
| 13 | (S,Z)-5-Amino-2-(dibenzylamino)-1,6-diphenylhex-4-en-3- | 166.66 |
| | one (Lopinavir Intermediate) | |
| 14 | (2S)-(1-Tetrahydropyramid-2-one)-3-methylbutanoic acid (Lopinavir Intermediate) | 333.33 |
| 15 | 5-Hydroy methyl thiazole (Ritanovir Intermediate) | 166.66 |
| 16 | Hexahydrofuro[2,3-b]furan-3-ol (Durnavir Intermediate) | 100 |
| 17 | Palladium on Carbon | 66.66 |
| 18 | 4-[2-(3-Ethyl-4-Methyl-2-oxo-3-Pyrrolin Carboxamide)ethyl BenzenSulfonamide (PPS) | 66.66 |
| 19 | Trans-4-MethylCyclohexyl Isocyanate (ICY) | 66.66 |
| 20 | 2 Benzyl pyridine (2BP) | 500 |
| 21 | 2 Benzoyl Pyridine (BOP) | 333.33 |
| 22 | 1-Methyl-1-Phenyl-1-(2-Pyridyl)Methanol Hydrochloride (MPH) | 166.66 |
| 23 | 5,6 —Dihydro-6-Methyl-4-Oxo-4H-Thieno[2,3-B]Thioppyran-2-Sulfonamide (DOZ) | 166.66 |
| 24 | EthylEster of 4-(Hydroxy-1-MethylEthyl)-2- PropylImidazole-5-Carboxylic acid (Imidazole Ester) | 100 |
| 25 | 5-(4-BromoMethyl-1,1-Biphenyl-2-YI)-1-TriPhenylMethyl-1H-Tetrazole(TTBB) | 166.66 |
| | 4-Chloromethyl-5-Methyl-2-Oxo-1,3-Dioxolene (Chloro derivative of Olmesartan) | 166.66 |
| 27 | 5-Methyl-2-OXO-1,3 DiOxolen-4-Yl Methyl-4-(1-Hydroxy-1-Methylethyl)-2-Propyl-1-{4-(2-Trityltetrazol-5-Yl)Phenyl}Phenyl}Methyl Imidazole-5-Carboxylate (OTL-III) | 100 |
| 28 | Ethyl 5-amino-1-benzofuran-2-carboxylate hydrochloride (or) Amino benzofuran (VIH) | 66.66 |
| 29 | 3-(4-chlorobutanoyl)1-H-indole-5carbonitrile (VHI) | 66.66 |
| 30 | 3-Chloro-2-fluorobenzy Bromide (EGI) | 66,66 |
| 31 | (2R,3S)/(2S,3R)-3-(4-chloro-5-fluoropyrimidin-6-yl)-2- (2,4- difluorophenyl)-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)butan-2-ol hydrochloride (or) ChloroVoriconazole (VRN) | 66.66 |
| 32 | 2-Chloro-1-(2,7-dichloro-9H-fluoren-4-yl)-ethanone (LMN) | 166.66 |
| 33 | 3,3-Dichloro-trans-Octahydro-1H-quinoline2-one (RAM) | 166.66 |
| 34 | 5,8-Dihydro Naphthol (5,8-DHN) | 66.66 |
| 35 | PiroctoneOlamine | 666.66 |
| | | |
| 36 | 2,4,6-Trichlorobenzonitrile (NTB) | 333.33 |
| | | AO THE STATE OF TH |
| 37 | Phenyl boronic acid | 166.66 |
| 37 38 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) | 166.66 166.66 |
| 37 38 39 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) 4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(4-methylphenyl)butanc-1-dione (TFD) | 166.66 166.66 166.66 |
| 37 38 39 40 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) 4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(4-methylphenyl)butanc-1-dione (TFD) Cytosine 4-Nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt | 166.66 166.66 |
| 37 38 39 40 41 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) 4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(4-methylphenyl)butanc-1-dione (TFD) Cytosine 4-Nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt (PNPP) O-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl- uroniumHexafluorophospate | 166.66 166.66 166.66 333.33 |
| 37 38 39 40 41 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) 4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(4-methylphenyl)butanc-1-dione (TFD) Cytosine 4-Nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt (PNPP) O-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl- uroniumHexafluorophospate (HATU) 5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside | 166.66 166.66 166.66 333.33 166.66 |
| 37 38 39 40 41 42 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) 4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(4-methylphenyl)butane-1-dione (TFD) Cytosine 4-Nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt (PNPP) O-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl- uroniumHexafluorophospate (HATU) 5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside (X-GAL) 5-Bromo-4-chloro-1H-indolyl-D-glucuronide | 166.66 166.66 166.66 333.33 166.66 |
| 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) 4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(4-methylphenyl)butanc-1-dione (TFD) Cytosine 4-Nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt (PNPP) O-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl- uroniumHexafluorophospate (HATU) 5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside (X-GAL) 5-Bromo-4-chloro-1H-indolyl-D-glucuronide (X-GLU) | 166.66 166.66 166.66 333.33 166.66 33.33 |
| 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 | Phenyl boronic acid 4-Hydrazina benzene sulfonamide hydrochloride (HSA) 4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(4-methylphenyl)butane-1-dione (TFD) Cytosine 4-Nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt (PNPP) O-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl- uroniumHexafluorophospate (HATU) 5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside (X-GAL) 5-Bromo-4-chloro-1H-indolyl-D-glucuronide | 166.66 166.66 166.66 333.33 166.66 33.33 |

37

| S. No. | Product Name | Capacity (Kg/Day) |
|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| 48 | Chloroquine | 166.66 |
| 49 | Hydroxy chloroquine | 166.66 |
| 50 | Azythromycin | 166.66 |
| 51 | Oseltamivir | 66.66 |
| 52 | Remdesavir | 66.66 |
| 53 | Galidesivir | 66.66 |
| 54 | Camostat | 66.66 |
| 55 | Favipiravir | 66.66 |
| 56 | Darunavir | 66.66 |
| 57 | Fingolimod | 66.66 |
| 58 | Baricitinib | 66.66 |
| 59 | Ruxolitinib | 66.66 |
| 60 | Umifenovir | 66.66 |
| 61 | Thalidomide | 66.66 |
| 62 | Olmesatran | 66.66 |
| 63 | Glimipride | 100 |
| 64 | Doxylamine | 66.66 |
| 65 | Dorzolamide | 100 |
| 66 | Clopidogrel bi sulphate | 100 |
| 67 | Tepentadol HCL | 100 |
| Total | | 9597.2 |
| Total (1 Note: 1 | PA) 2 Products at any point of time | 3464.4 |

By-products:

| S. No. | Product Name | Capacity (Kg/Day) |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------|
| l | 4- Nitro Phenol | 147.33 |
| 2 | Sodium Sulphate | 546.5 |
| 3 | Methyl Sulphate | 28.8 |
| 4 | Sodium Acetate | 79.12 |
| 5 | Lithium Chloride | 455.08 |
| 6 | Lithium Sulphate | 68.16 |
| 7 | Potassium Bromide | 20 |
| 8 | Pottassium Chloride | 5.4 |
| 9 | TEA Hydro chloride | 150 |
| 10 | Ammonium Chloride | 766 |
| 1] | T- Butanol | 49 |
| 12 | Acetic acid | 50 |
| 13 | Hydro chloric acid | 200 |
| Total | | 2565.39 |
| Total (T | PA) | 936.36 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height (mt) | APCE |
|-------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Coal fired Boilers: Proposed: 2x6 TPH | 30 m each | Cyclone Separators |
| 2 | DG Sets: Proposed: 2 x 750 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |

The process emissions containing Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride, Borane, Chlorine & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Nitrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No. | Water required for | Fresh (KLD) | Treated (KLD) | Total (KLD) |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Domestic | 18 | - | 18 |
| 2 | Process | 33.5 | | 33.5 |
| 3 | Washings | - | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | Cooling Tower & Boiler Feed | - | 12 | 12 |
| 5 | DM Plant regeneration & Scrubber | - | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 6 | Green belt | - | 26 | 26 |
| | Total | 51.5 | 43.6 | 95.1 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| S. No. | Outlet Description | After Expansion (KLD) | Treatment & Disposal |
|--------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 1 | HTDS Effluents: Process & Washing | 33 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. |
| 2 | LTDS Effluents: Cooling & boiler blow down + DM Plant regeneration, Scrubber & softner, along with condensate from MEE | 4 | LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. Treated effluent to be reused in cooling towers, Boiler make-up and Scrubbers. |
| 3 | Domestic | 15 | Treated in STP and Outlet of STP to be connected to Biological ETP. |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| Description | Total Proposed | Method of disposal |
|---|---------------------|--|
| Spent carbon | 65.5 (Kg/day) | Sent to cement units for co-processing/ TSDF for incineration. |
| Evaporation slats | 1305.46 (Kg/day) | Disposed to TSDF |
| Organic residue | 4283.70 (Kg/day) | Sent to cement units for co-processing/ TSDF for incineration. |
| Process Inorganic waste | 534.17 (Kg/day) | Disposed to TSDF |
| ETP Sludge | 150.00 (Kg/day) | Disposed to TSDF |
| Waste oil & grease | 90 (lt/annum) | Disposed to authorized re-processors / recyclers. |
| Detoxification Container & Container liners | 250 (nos/month) | After detoxification sent back to suppliers after complete detoxification. |
| Used lead acid batteries | 4 (nos/month) | Sent back to suppliers on buyback basis. |
| Organic MSW waste | 108 kg/day | Dispose to MSW Disposal site. |
| Inorganic MSW waste | 72 kg/day | TSPCB Authorized Recyclers |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.

39 CHAIRMAN, SEAC

- ii) Project modification
- iii) Project cost
- iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
- v) ETP modifications
- vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I.
- viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- x) Impact on surroundings
- xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- Xii) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- Xiii) Greenbelt development

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri Sivalcunar 2. Sri Vined grad Kyirma Reddy.

| Agenda Item No. 14 | M/s. Vasant Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., Plot No's: 91/C, 91/D, 91D/P, Phase-I, IDA Jeedimetla, Qutubullapur Mandal, Medchal-Malkajgiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/152792/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Venkata Sivayya; and Sri Y.V. Prasad of M/s. Rightsource Industrial Solutions Private limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the industry submitted application for expansion of the project and the proposal was examined by the SEAC in its meeting held on 28.10.2019 constituted a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit a report.

Members of Sub-Committee:

- 1. Smt. T.Vijaya Lakshmi
- 2. Sri P. Radha Krishna
- 3. Sri Ch. Krishna Reddy

Now, the proponent again submitted EC application for proposed expansion keeping in view of the S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020.

The SEAC noted the provisions of G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 & G.O. Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept. The SEAC noted that the project is located in a notified Industrial Estate prior to 2006.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

The total site area of the unit is 7,060.4 Sq.m. Out of which, the area of greenbelt is only 425.0 Sq.m. (6.02%). The SEAC observed that the area of greenbelt is not sufficient as it is less than 33% of total site area. In this regard, the proponent informed that due to inadequate land for greenbelt development in the project site, they are proposing to develop greenery in an area of 1440 Sq.m. besides the company in addition to the 425.0 Sq.m. of greenbelt in the plant site. But, even then the area of greenbelt is only 26.4%, which is not sufficient.

Nearest human habitation is Shahpurnagar (V) @ 0.6 km; Nearest water body is Vennelagadda Cheruvu @ 2.15 km; Nearest RF is Dulapalle RF @ 3.0 km.

Chairman, SEAC

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs. 5.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 119.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 13.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 5,0 Lakhs for 5 years.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S.No. | Name of The Product | Quantity (TPA) |
|-------|---|-------------------|
| İ | 1-(Mercapto methyl) cyclopropyl acetonitrile (MAN) | 9.00 |
| 2 | 2,6-difluorobenzenediazonium chloride (2,6-DFBSC) | 20.00 |
| 3 | 2-Amino Bi Phenyl | 20.00 |
| 4 | 3-Ethyl Bi Phenyl | 15.00 |
| 5 | 3-Methyl-1,2-benzothiazol-1,1-diozide (3-MBD) | 15.00 |
| 6 | 4-N, N-Di methyl amino Butanol di methyl acetal (DBM) | 1.00 |
| 7 | Lactum | 20.00 |
| 8 | MANCAT | 35.00 |
| 9 | Me3TACN | 35.00 |
| 10 | Dragon Sulphate 12 % solution in water | 10.00 |
| 11 | P-Nitrobenzene sulphonyl chloride (PNBSC) | 60.00 |
| 12 | TMEM | 6.00 |
| 13 | Pegasus Chloride 15 % solution | 10.00 |
| | Total | 256.00 |

By-products:

| S. No | Name of the Product | Name of the By-Product | Quantity (Kg/Day) |
|-------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | P-Nitrobenzene sulphonyl chloride | Spent Hydrochloric acid | 2236.00 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height | APCE |
|-------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | Boilers: Existing: 1x3 TPH Proposed: 1x3 TPH | 30 m 30 m | Cyclone Separator & Bag Filters |
| 2 | Thermopack Boiler (Coal): 1 x 2 Lakh k.Cal/hr. | 30m | ••• |
| 3 | DG Sets: Consented: 1x380 kVA Proposed: 1 x 500 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Sulphur Dioxide & Hydrogen Chloride are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide, Nitrogen & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No | Purpose | Quantity (KLD) |
|-------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Process | 16.71 |
| 2 | Washings | 1.00 |
| 3 | Boiler make up | 36.00 |
| 4 | Cooling towers make up | 82.00 |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 1.50 |
| 6 | Domestic | 9.00 |
| 7 | Gardening | 3.50 |
| | Total | 149.71 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| Unit | HTDS (KLD) | LTDS (KLD) | Effluent Generation (KLD) | Treatment Method | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Process | 19.57 | 0.18 | 19.75 | Zero Liquid Discharge System | |
| Washings | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | ie., | |
| Boiler Blow down | 0.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & | |
| Cooling towers Bleed off | 0.00 | 11.00 | 11.00 | ATFD. LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. | |
| Scrubbing system | 1.50 | 0.00 | 1.50 | Treated effluent to be reused in | |
| Domestic | 0.00 8.00 | | 8.00 | cooling towers, Boiler make-up | |
| Total | 21.07 | 26.18 | 47.25 | and Scrubbers. | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Name of the Waste | Quantity | Disposal Method |
|---------|--|----------------|--|
| Hazard | lous Waste Details | | |
| 1 | Organic solid waste (Process Residue) | 1824 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries |
| 2 | Spent Carbon | 66 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries |
| 3 | Solvent Distillation Residue | 170 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries |
| 4 | Spent mixed solvents | 1486 Kgs/Day | Shall be sent to SPCB authorized agencies/ Cement industries |
| 5 | Inorganic Solid Waste | 1496 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF |
| 6 | ETP Sludge | 50 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF |
| 7 | MEE Salts | 2683 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF |
| 8 | Organic distillate from MEE Stripper | 340 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Coment Industries |
| 9 | Used Oils | 200 Ltrs/Annum | SPCB Authorized Agencies for Reprocessing/ Recycling |
| 10 | Detoxified Containers/ Container liners | 200 No's/Month | After Detoxification sent to SPCB authorized agencies. |
| 11 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 4 No's/Annum | Send back to suppliers for buyback of New Batteries |
| Solid w | aste details | | |
| 12 | Ash from boiler | 6825 Kg/Day | Will be sent to Brick Manufacturers |
| 13 | Ash from thermo pack boiler | 490 Kg/Day | Will be sent to Brick Manufacturers |

The SEAC noted that the sub-committee constituted by the SEAC has not yet submitted the report. Hence, the SEAC informed the same sub-committee to inspect the unit, verify records and submit report on the following:

- i) Project modification
- ii) Project cost
- iii) ZLD System & its adequacy
- iv) ETP modifications
- v) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vi) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I/GST.
- vii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- viii) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Impact on surroundings
- x) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xi) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- xii) Greenbelt development
- xiii) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC deferred the project for consideration after receipt of Sub-committee's report.

| Agenda Item No. 15 | M/s. DRCMR INDUSTRIES PRIVATE LIMITED, Sy. Nos.: Parts of 203 & 206, Ramannapet Village & Mandal, Yadadri – Bhongir District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/153061/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri M.S. Rajeev Reddy; and Sri Y.V. Prasad of M/s. Rightsource Industrial Solutions Private limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent proposed to establish Bulk Drugs & Intermediates manufacturing unit.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 18,008.51 Sq.m., out of which Green area is 6,081.69 Sq.m.(33.7%).

Nearest human habitation is Janampalli (V) @ 1.34 km; Nearest water body is Lake near Ramannapet @ 1.65 km; Nearest RF is Shivanenigudem RF @ 0.80 km.

Project Cost for proposed project is Rs. 24.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 101.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 14.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 48.0 Lakhs.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No | Product Name | Quantity (TPM) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| <u>l</u> | Cetirizine Di-hydrochloride | 5.0 |
| 2 | Donepezil hydrochloride | 5.0 |
| 3 | Escitalopram Oxalate | 5.0 |
| 4 | Esomeprazole Magnesium Trihydrate | 5.0 |
| 5 | Etravirine | 5.0 |

| 6 | Fexofenadine hydrochloride | 5.0 |
|----|----------------------------|------|
| 7 | Quetiapine Fumarate | 5.0 |
| 8 | Tapentadol hydrochloride | 5.0 |
| 9 | Telmisartan | 5.0 |
| 10 | Verapamil hydrochloride | 5.0 |
| | Total | 50.0 |

By-products:

| S. No | Name of the Product | Name of the By-Product | Quantity (Kg/Day) |
|-------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Dan an anil hudmaahlanida | Methoxy Ethanol | 110.75 |
| 1 | Donepezil hydrochloride | Dimethyl Sulfide | 38.83 |
| 2 | Escitalopram Oxalate | Diparatoluyl D-Tartaric acid | 272.14 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height | APCE |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. | Coal fired Boiler: 1x3 TPH | 30 m | Cyclone Separator & Bag Filter |
| 2 | DG Sets: 1 x 320 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Hydrogen Chloride & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement:

| S. No. | Purpose | Quantity (KLD) |
|--------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Process | 18.16 |
| 2 | Washings | 1.00 |
| 3 | Boiler Make up | 18.00 |
| 4 | Cooling towers Make up | 56.00 |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 1.00 |
| 6 | Greenbelt | 7.00 |
| 7 | Domestic | 2.00 |
| | Total | 103.16 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal:

| S.No | Unit | HTDS (KLD) | LTDS (KLD) | Total (KLD) | Treatment Method |
|------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---|
| 1 | Process | 14.32 | 5.80 | 20.12 | Zero Liquid Discharge |
| 2 | Washings | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | System ie., |
| 3 | Boiler Blow down | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE |
| 4 | Cooling towers bleed off | 0.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | & ATFD. LTDS: Biological ETP |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | & RO. |
| 6 | Domestic | 0.00 | 1.50 | 1.50 | Treated effluent to be |
| | Total | 15.32 | 19.30 | 34.62 | reused in cooling towers, Boiler make-up and Scrubbers. |

Details of Solid Waste:

| S. No | Name of the waste | Quantity | Disposal Method | |
|--------|--|----------------|--|--|
| Hazard | lous Waste Details | | | |
| 1 | Organic solid waste (Process Residue) | 1710 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement | |
| 2 | Spent Carbon | 70 Kg/Day | Industries/ TSDF | |
| 3 | Solvent Distillation Residue | 438 Kg/Day | - 1 | |
| 4 | ETP Sludge | 50 Kg/Day | Ol 111 more | |
| 5 | MEE Salts | 1701 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF | |
| 6 | Organic distillate from MEE Stripper | 310 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries/TSDF | |
| 7 | Used Oils | 80 Ltrs/Annum | Shall be sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies for Reprocessing/Recycling | |
| 8 | Detoxified Containers/ Container liners | 300 No's/Month | After Detoxification will be sent to SPCB authorized agencies. | |
| 9 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 2 No's/Annum | Send back to suppliers for buyback of New Batteries | |
| 10 | Ash from Boiler | 4200 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Brick Manufacturers | |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC deferred the project.

| Agenda Item No. 16 | M/s.Salicylates and Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., (Formerly known as M/s. Tejashrri Intermediates Pvt. Ltd.) Plot No: 133 to 142, Sy. No.: 239/ Part, Phase-II, Industrial Park, Pashamylaram (V), Patancheru (M), Sangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/152719/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri C. Jeevan Reddy; and Sri Y.V. Prasad of M/s. Rightsource Industrial Solutions Private limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the industry submitted application for expansion of the project and the proposal was examined by the SEAC in its meeting held on 28.10.2019 constituted a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit a report.

Members of Sub-Committee:

- 1. Sri P. Radha Krishna
- 2. Smt. T. Viyaja Lakshmi
- 3. Sri Ch. Krishna Reddy

Now, the proponent again submitted EC application for proposed expansion keeping in view of the S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020.

The SEAC noted the provisions of G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 & G.O. Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept. The SEAC noted that the project is located in a notified Industrial Estate prior to 2006.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

The total site area of the unit is 10191.51 Sq.m. Out of which, the area of greenbelt is 3374.88 Sq.m. (33.11%).

45

Nearest human habitation is Isnapur (V) @ 1.18 km; Nearest water body is Kotha Cheruvu @ 1.46 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs.10.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 107.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 15.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 10.0 Lakhs.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No | Product Name | Quantity (TPA) |
|-------|---|-------------------|
| 1 | 1, 2 Hexane diol | 2.00 |
| 2 | 1, 2 Octane diol | 2.00 |
| 3 | Avobenzone | 8.00 |
| 4 | Benzophenone 3 | 20.00 |
| 5 | Benzophenone 4 | 10.00 |
| 6 | Capryloyl Salicylic acid | 10.00 |
| 7 | Chlorhexidine Acetate | 0.25 |
| 8 | Chlorhexidine Hydrochloride | 0.50 |
| 9 | Chlorphenesin | 25.00 |
| 10 | Climbazole | 5.00 |
| 11 | Dimethyl iso sorbide | 2.00 |
| 12 | Ethyl hexyl glycerine | 2.00 |
| 13 | Ethylene glycol methyl salicylate | 2.00 |
| 14 | Methyl Salicylate | 25.00 |
| 15 | Salibact (Chlorhexidine undecylenate) | 2.00 |
| 16 | Salicylic acid | 15.00 |
| 17 | Salidine 20% (Chlorohexidine gluconate 20% solution) | 10.00 |
| 18 | Salisol HS | 10.00 |
| 19 | Salivate CSAP (Sodium L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate) | 2,00 |
| 20 | Tinosorb S | 2.00 |
| 21 | Trolamine salicylates | 1.00 |
| | Total (Any four products will be manufactured at any point of time) | 85.0 |

By-products:

| S. No | Name of the Product | Name of the By-Product | Quantity (Kg/Day) |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Benzophenone 3 | Methyl bisulfate | 345.00 |
| 2 | Ethyl hexyl glycerine | Methyl formate | 44.00 |
| 3 | Salisol HS | Ammonium sulphate | 178.50 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S. No | Unit | HTDS (KLD) | LTDS (KLD) | Total (KLD) | Treatment Method |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Process | 5.91 | 0.82 | 6.73 | |
| 2 | Washings | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | Zero Liquid Discharge |
| . 3 | Boiler Blow down | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | System ic., HTDS: Stripper, MEE & |
| 4 | Cooling towers bleed off | 0.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | ATFD. LTDS: Biological ETP & |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 3.50 | 0.00 | 3.50 | RO. |
| 6 | Domestic | 0.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | Treated effluent to be reused |
| | Total | 9.41 | 21.82 | 31.23 | in cooling towers, Boiler make-up and Scrubbers. |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height | APCE |
|-------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Coal fired Boilers: Existing: 1x1 TPH (Standby) Proposed: 1x3 TPH | 30 m 30 m | Cyclone Separator & Bag |
| 2 | Coal fired Thermic Fluid Heater: 1 x 5 Lakh Kcal/ Hr | 30m | filters |
| 2 | Proposed: 1 x 325 kVA 1 x 500 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Sulphur Dioxide & Hydrogen Chloride are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No. | Purpose | Quantity (KLD) |
|--------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Process | 5.73 |
| 2 | Washings | 3.00 |
| 3 | Boiler make up | 18.00 |
| 4 | Cooling towers make up | 77.00 |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 3.50 |
| 6 | Domestic | 8.00 |
| 7 | Gardening | 5.00 |
| | Total | 120.23 |

47

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Name of the waste | Quantity | Disposal Method | |
|-------|--|---------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Organic solid waste (Process Residue) | 393 Kg/Day | GL-111- Compart Industrian/TCDE | |
| 2 | Spent Carbon | 31 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries/ TSDF | |
| 3 | Solvent Distillation Residue | 64 Kg/Day | | |
| 4 | Inorganic Solid Waste | 571 Kg/Day | | |
| 5 | ETP Sludge | 20 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF | |
| 6 | MEE Salts | 425 Kg/Day | | |
| 7 | Organic distillate from MEE Stripper | 78 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industrics/ TSDF | |
| 8 | Used Oils | 80 Ltrs /Annum | Shall be sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies for Reprocessing/ Recycling | |
| 9 | Detoxified Containers/ Container liners | 300 No's / Month | After Detoxification will be sent to SPCB authorized agencies. | |
| 10 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 2 No's/ Annum | Send back to suppliers for buyback of New Batteries | |
| 11 | Ash from Boiler | 4200 Kg/Day | | |
| 12 | Ash from Thermo pack boiler | 1225 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Brick Manufacturers | |

The SEAC noted that the sub-committee constituted by the SEAC has not yet submitted the report. Hence, the SEAC informed the same sub-committee to inspect the unit, verify records and submit report on the following:

- i) Project modification
- ii) Project cost
- iii) ZLD System & its adequacy
- iv) ETP modifications
- v) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vi) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I/GST.
- vii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- viii) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Impact on surroundings
- x) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xi) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- xii) Greenbelt development
- xiii) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC deferred the project for consideration after receipt of Sub-committee's report.

| Agenda Item No. 17 | M/s. RR Laboratories, Plot No: 205 & 206, Phase – II, IDA Pashamylaram, Patancheru (Mandal), Sangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/152686/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri S.G. Umapathi Rao; and Sri Y.V. Prasad of M/s. Rightsource Industrial Solutions Private limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the industry submitted application for expansion of the project and the proposal was examined by the SEAC in its meeting held on 28.10.2019 constituted a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit a report.

Members of Sub-Committee:

- 1. Sri P. Radha Krishna
- 2. Sri Suresh
- 3. Sri Ch. Krishna Reddy

Now, the proponent again submitted EC application for proposed expansion keeping in view of the S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020.

The SEAC noted the provisions of G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 & G.O. Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept. The SEAC noted that the project is located in a notified Industrial Estate prior to 2006.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 7800 Sq.m., out of which Green area is 2666.72 Sq.m.(34.1%).

Nearest human habitation is Isnapur (V) @ 1.5 km; Nearest water body is Isnapur Tank @ 460 m.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs.10.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 106.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 15.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 10.0 Lakhs.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No. | Product Name | Quantity (TPM) |
|--------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Azacyclonol | 5.00 |
| 2 | Carvedilol | 2.00 |
| 3 | Cetirizine Dihydrochloride | 6.00 |
| 4 | Chlorphenesin | 6.00 |
| 5 | Domperidone | 6.00 |
| 6 | Donepezil Hydrochloride | 2.00 |
| 7 | Dorzolamide hydrochloride | 2.00 |
| 8 | Escitalopram Oxalate | 3.00 |
| 9 | Fexofenadine Hydrochloride | 4.00 |
| 10 | Fluconazole | 3.00 |
| 11 | Guaifenesin | 5.00 |
| 12 | Itraconazole | 3.00 |
| 13 | Levetiracetam | 3.00 |
| 14 | Nebivolol hydrochloride | 2.00 |
| 15 | Olanzapine | 2.00 |
| 16 | Pantoprazole Sodium | 2.00 |
| 17 | Potassium Phthalimide | 5.00 |
| 18 | Ropinirole Hydrochloride | 1.00 |
| | Total | 62.00 |

Lilery.

By-products:

| S. No | Name of the Product | Name of the By-Product | Quantity in Kg/Day |
|-------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Ammonium chloride | 246.00 |
| | Domperidone | Ammonium sulphate | 205.00 |
| [| | Sodium acetate | 231.00 |
| | | Sodium Bromide | 88.00 |
| | Donepezil | Dimethyl sulphide | 19.50 |
| 2 | hydrochloride | Methoxy ethanol | 60.00 |
| 3 | Escitalopram oxalate | Diparatoluyl D-tartaric acid | 163.00 |
| 4 | Olanzapine | Piperazine hydrochloride | 36.50 |
| 5 | Pantoprazole sodium | Sodium di-hydrogen phosphate | 55.00 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height | APCE |
|-------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Coal fired Boilers: Existing: 1x1 TPH (Standby) Proposed: 1x3 TPH | 30 m 30 m | Cyclone Separator & Bag Filter |
| 2 | Diesel fired Thermic fluid heater: Existing: 1x2 Lakh K.Cal/hr. | 18m | |
| 3 | DG Sets: Proposed: 1 x 250 kVA | Adequate Height | Acoustic Enclosure |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Chloromethane, Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No. | Purpose | Quantity (KLD) |
|--------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Process & DM Water | 15.12 |
| 2 | Washings | 3.00 |
| 3 | Boiler make up | 18.00 |
| 4 | Cooling towers make up | 72.50 |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 4.00 |
| 6 | Domestic | 3.50 |
| 7 | Gardening | 4.00 |
| | Total | 120.12 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S. No | Unit | HTDS (KLD) | LTDS (KLD) | Total (KLD) | Treatment Method |
|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Process & DM Water Regeneration | 15.29 | 2.09 | 17.38 | |
| 2 | Washings | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | |
| 3 | Boiler Blow down | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. |
| 4 | Cooling towers bleed off | 0.00 | 8.50 | 8.50 | LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. Treated effluent to be reused in |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | cooling towers, Boiler make-up and Scrubbers. |
| 6 | Domestic | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | - |
| | Total | 19.29 | 19.59 | 38.88 | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Name of the waste | Quantity | Disposal Method |
|-------|--|---------------------|--|
| 1 | Organic Solid waste (Process Residue) | 2077 Kg/day | Sent to Cement Industries |
| 2 | Spent Carbon | 55 Kg/Day | Sent to Cement Industries |
| 3 | Solvent Distillation Residue | 306 Kg/Day | Sent to Cement Industries |
| 4 | Mixed Solvents | 250 Ltrs/Day | Sent to Cement Industries |
| 5 | Inorganic Solid Waste | 342 Kg/Day | Sent to TSDF |
| 6 | ETP Sludge | 20 Kg/Day | Sent to TSDF |
| 7 | Organic Distillate from Stripper | 630 Kg/Day | Sent To Cement Industries |
| 8 | Used Oils | 50 Ltrs/Annum | Sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies for Reprocessing/ Recycling |
| 9 | Detoxified Containers | 600 No's / Month | After Detoxification sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies |
| 10 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 2 No's/ Annum | Send back to suppliers for buyback of New Batteries |
| 11 | Ash from boiler | 4200 Kg/Day | Sent to Brick Manufacturers |

The SEAC noted that the sub-committee constituted by the SEAC has not yet submitted the report. Hence, the SEAC informed the same sub-committee to inspect the unit, verify records and submit report on the following:

- i) Project modification
- ii) Project cost
- iii) ZLD System & its adequacy
- iv) ETP modifications
- v) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vi) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I/GST.
- vii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- viii) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Impact on surroundings

- x) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xi) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- xii) Greenbelt development
- xiii) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC deferred the project for consideration after receipt of Sub-committee's report.

| | M/s. Bhavyas Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No's.: 367/A/1 & 367/A/3, Pedda Gottimukkla Village, Shivampet Mandal, Medak District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|--------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/152610/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri Naresh; and Sri Y.V. Prasad of M/s. Rightsource Industrial Solutions Private limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

During presentation, the proponent informed that they have purchased the unit from M/s. Hiranya Laboratories on 19.02.2020. The SEAC also noted that the CFO dt. 23.08.2019 for the existing unit was issued by the TSPCB for manufacturing Piperzine Anhydrous Product (300 kg/day). Now, it is proposed to Bulk Drugs & Intermediates manufacturing unit by ceasing the existing product in the same premises. Hence, the SEAC considered the project as a fresh / Greenfield project.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 2731.84 Sq.m., out of which Green area is 934.32 Sq.m. (34.2%).

Nearest human habitation is Pedda Gottimukkala (V) @ 0.5 km; Nearest water body is near Pedda Gottimukkla @ 0.66 km; Nearest RF is near Kothapally @ 2.13 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs. 5.50 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 83.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 12.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 5.5 Lakhs.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No. | Product Name | Quantity(TPM) |
|--------|---|---------------|
| 1 | Amisulpride | 2.00 |
| 2 | Clopidogrel bisulfate | 2.00 |
| 3 | Ethopabate | 2.00 |
| 4 | Fluconazole | 2.00 |
| 5 | Lamotrigine | 2.00 |
| 6 | Levosulpride | 2.00 |
| 7 | Metoclopramide Hydrochloride | 2.00 |
| 8 | PAS Acid | 2.00 |
| | (Para Amino Salicylic Acid) | |
| 9 | PAS Sodium | 2.00 |
| | (Para Amino Sodium Salicylate) | |
| 10 | Rabeprazole | 2.00 |
| | Total (Any five products will be manufactured at any point of time) | 10.00 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height | APCE |
|-------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Coal fired Boilers: Proposed: 1x3 TPH | 30 m | Cyclone Separator & Bag Filter |
| 2 | Diesel fired Thermic fluid heater: Existing: 1x50,000 K.Cal/hr. | Adequate height | - |
| 3 | DG Sets: Proposed: 1 x 250 kVA | Adequate Height | Acoustic Enclosure |
| | | 1 | |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Iodide, Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride, Hydrogen Iodide & Dimethyl Amine are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement:

| S. No. | Purpose | Water Input in KLD |
|--------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Process | 4.70 |
| 2 | Washings | 1.00 |
| 3 | Boiler make up | 18.00 |
| 4 | Cooling towers make up | 28.00 |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 1.00 |
| 6 | Domestic | 2.50 |
| 7 | Gardening | 1.50 |
| | Total | 56.70 |

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal:

| S. No | Unit | HTDS (KLD) | LTDS (KLD) | Effluent Generation in KLD | Treatment Method |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Process | 5.23 | 0.72 | 5.95 | |
| 2 | Washings | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | Zero Liquid Discharge System ie., |
| 3 | Boiler Blow down | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. Treated effluent to be reused in cooling towers, Boiler make-up and Scrubbers. |
| 4 | Cooling towers bleed off | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| 6 | Domeștic | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | |
| | Total | 6.23 | 9.72 | 15.95 | 1/1.01 |

Details of Solid Waste:

| S. No | Name of the waste | Quantity | Disposal Method | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Hazardous Waste Details | | | | | |
| 1 | Organic solid waste | 395 Kg/Day | Sent to Cement Industries | | |
| 2 | Spent Carbon | 20 Kg/Day | Sent to Cement Industries | | |
| 3 | Solvent Distillation Residue | 85 Kg/Day | Sent to Cement Industries | | |
| 4 | Organic distillate from MEE stripper | 270 Kg/Day | Sent to Cement Industries | | |
| 5 | Inorganic Solid Waste | 234 Kg/Day | Sent to TSDF | | |
| 6 | MEE Salts | 561 Kg/Day | Sent to TSDF | | |
| 7 | ETP Sludge | 15 Kg/Day | Sent to TSDF | | |
| 8 | Used Oils | 50 Ltrs/Annum | Sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies for Reprocessing/ Recycling | | |
| 9 | Detoxified Containers/ Container liners | 300 No's / Month | After Detoxification sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies | | |
| 10 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 2 No's/ Annum | Send back to suppliers for buyback of New Batteries | | |
| Solid V | Vaste Details | | | | |
| 11 | Ash from boiler | 4200 Kg/Day | Sent to Brick Manufacturers | | |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.
 - ii) Project modification
 - iii) Project cost
 - iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
 - v) ETP modifications
 - vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I.
 - viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - x) Impact on surroundings
 - xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
 - xii) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.

Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.

54

Greenbelt development

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Vijaga Laxmi 2. Sivakungar

Kripma Reny.

| Agenda Item No. 19 | M/s. Viwyn Pharma Private Limited Sy. No's. 69, 70, Jaikesaram Village, Choutuppal Mandal, yadadri Bhongir District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/153282/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri Shesha Reddy; and Sri Y.V. Prasad of M/s. Rightsource Industrial Solutions Private limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that the existing unit is having its first CFE order dt. 31.03.2006 issued by the APPCB ie., before 2006 and hence, there is no EC. Thus, they have not submitted copy of compliance report.

The SEAC also noted that the proponent is operating the unit with latest CFO dt. 09.05.2017 valid upto 31.12.2021 issued by the TSPCB. Now, the proponent proposed expansion of the project.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is Ac. 26.97, out of which Green area is Ac. 9.40 (34.8%).

Nearest human habitation is Sarinenigedem (V) @ 0.42 km; Nearest water body is Ramasamudram Cheruvu @ 1.22 km; Nearest RF is RF near Chinna Kodur @ 6.69 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs. 8.8 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 272.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 30.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 8.80 Lakhs.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S. No | Product Name | Quantity in MT/Month | | |
|-------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| DF | DRUG INTERMEDIATES | | | |
| 1 | (S)-2-Aminobutanamide Hydrochloride | 50.00 | | |
| 2 | 4-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-Tetralone | 50.00 | | |
| 3 | 4-Nitro-3,5-Dimethyl pyridine –N-Oxide | 50.00 | | |
| 4 | 7-Amino Des Acetoxy Cephalosporanic Acid (7-ADCA) | 50.00 | | |
| 5 | D (-) Phenyl Glycine Methyl Ester Hydrochloride | 80.00 | | |
| 6 | D (-)-4-Hydroxy Phenyl Glycine Methyl Ester | 50.00 | | |
| 7 | N-(2-Amino4,6-Dichloro-5-pyrimidinyl)- formamide (FADCP) | 50.00 | | |
| 8 | N,N - Carbonyl diimidazole (CDI) | 20.00 | | |
| 9 | Triphenyl Phosphine | 50.00 | | |
| | (We will be manufacture any three nediates at any given point of time) | 180.00 | | |

el. Leng Chairman, SEAC

| S. No | Product Name | Quantity in MT/Month | | | | |
|-------|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| BU | BULK DRUGS | | | | | |
| 1 | Abacavir | 30.00 | | | | |
| 2 | Ciprofloxacin HCl | 30.00 | | | | |
| 3 | Dextromethorphan Hydrobromide | 30.00 | | | | |
| 4 | Fexofenadine hydrochloride | 30.00 | | | | |
| 5 | Hydroxy Chloroquine Sulfate | 30.00 | | | | |
| 6 | Lamivudine | 30.00 | | | | |
| 7 | Lopinavir | 30.00 | | | | |
| 8 | Losartan Potassium | 30.00 | | | | |
| 9 | Nevirapine | 30.00 | | | | |
| 10 | Olmesartan | 30.00 | | | | |
| 11 | Telmisartan | 30.00 | | | | |
| 12 | Valsartan | 30.00 | | | | |
| 13 | Zidovudine | 30.00 | | | | |
| | Total (We will be manufacture any five drugs at any given point of time) | | | | | |
| Gr | Grand Total 330.00 | | | | | |

By-products:

| S. No | Name of the Product | Name of the By-Product | Quantity in Kg/Day |
|-------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | (S)-2-Aminobutanamide Hydrochloride | Ammonium chloride | 812.70 |
| | 7-Amino Des Acetoxy | Potassium acetate | 1201 |
| 2 | Cephalosporanic Acid (7- | Spent sulfuric acid | 2000 |
| | ADCA) | Phenyl acetic acid | 1231.70 |
| 3 | N,N - Carbonyl diimidazole (CDI) | Tributyl amine hydrochloride | 4053.10 |
| | N-(2-Amino4,6-Dichloro-5- | Ethanol | 1126.50 |
| 4 | pyrimidinyl)-formamide (FADCP) | Phosphoric acid | 872.20 |
| 5 | Abacavir | Disodium tartarate | 894.20 |
| | | Sodium acetate | 575.70 |
| 6 | Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride | Piperazine hydrochloride | 457.00 |
| | | Ammonium acetate | 258.70 |
| 7 | Favofanadina hudnashlarida | Boric acid | 155.26 |
| , | Fexofenadine hydrochloride | Sodium methoxide | 135.66 |
| 8 | Hydroxy chloroquine sulfate | Phosphoric acid | 367.80 |
| | | Triethylamine | 605.45 |
| 9 | Lamivudine | Boric acid | 332.90 |
| | | L-Menthol | 841.40 |

| | | Benzyl alcohol | 574.5 |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| 10 | Lopinavir Losartan potassium Telmisartan Valsartan Zidovudine | Monosodium citrate | 2116.02 |
| 10 | Copinavii | Potassium chloride | 920.64 |
| | | Boric acid | 242.96 |
| | | Succinamide | 326.30 |
| 11 | Losartan potassium | Trityl alcohol | 746.22 |
| | | Sodium bromide | 294.92 |
| 12 | Telmicertan | Sodium Bromide | 258.30 |
| 14 | remisartan | Sodium acetate | 181.10 |
| 12 | Valcartan | Potassium chloride | 242.80 |
| 1.3 | v disditati | Potassium Bromide | 387.60 |
| 14 | Zidovuđine | Triethylamine hydrochloride | 1933.62 |
| | Likuo v dullic | Benzene sulfonic acid | 680.44 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height | APCE |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Coal fired Boilers: | | Cyclone Separator & Bag |
| | Existing: 1 x 2 TPH (Standby) | 30 m | Filter |
| | Proposed: 1 x 5 TPH | 30 m | |
| | 2 x 4 TPH | 30 m | |
| 2 | Diesel fired Thermic fluid | | |
| | heater: | | - |
| | Existing: 1x2 Lakh K.Cal/hr. | 11m | |
| 3 | DG Sets: | Adequate | Acoustic Enclosure |
| † | Existing: 1 x 250 kVA | Height | |
| | Proposed: 2 x 500 kVA | | |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride, Dimethylamine & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Nitrogen & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column. The proponent informed that they propose to solidify the Carbon dioxide.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No. | Purpose | Water Input in KLD |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Process | 107.43 |
| 2 | Washings | 12.00 |
| 3 | Boiler make up | 76.00 |
| 4 | Cooling towers make up | 98.00 |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 25.00 |
| 6 | Domestic | 5.50 |
| 7 | Gardening | 56.50 |
| | Total | 380.43 |

chairman, seac

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| S. No | Unit | HTDS in KLD | LTDS in KLD | Effluent Generation in KLD | Treatment Method |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Process | 110.25 | 8.17 | 118.42 | Zero Liquid Discharge |
| 2 | Washings | 0.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | System ie., |
| 3 | Boiler Blow down | 0.00 | 11.00 | 11.00 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & ATFD. |
| 4 | Cooling towers bleed off | 0.00 | 9.50 | 9.50 | LTDS: Biological ETP & RO. |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 25.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | Treated effluent to be |
| 6 | Domestic | 0.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | reused in cooling towers, Boiler make-up and |
| | Total | 135.25 | 45.67 | 180.92 | Scrubbers. |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Name of the Hazardous Waste | Quantity | Disposal Method |
|-------|--|----------------------|---|
| 1 | Organic solid waste (Process Residue) | 14842 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries |
| 2 | Spent Carbon | 303 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries |
| 3 | Solvent Distillation Residue | 2168 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries |
| 4 | Inorganic Solid Waste | 5531 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF |
| 5 | ETP Sludge | 150 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF |
| 6 | MEE Salts | 11605 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF |
| 7 | Spent mixed solvents | 6575 Ltrs/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries/TSDF |
| 8 | Organic distillate from MEE Stripper | 2920 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries |
| 9 | Used Oils | 150 Ltrs/Annum | Shall be sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies for Reprocessing/ Recycling |
| 10 | Detoxified Containers | 1500 No's / Month | After Detoxification will be sent to SPCB Authorized Agencies |
| 11 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 4 No's/Annum | Send back to suppliers for buyback of New Batteries |
| 12 | Coal ash from boiler | 14000 Kg/Day | Will be sent to Brick Manufacturers |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.

ii) Project modification

- iii) Project cost
- iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
- v) ETP modifications
- vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I.
- viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- x) Impact on surroundings
- xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoL
- xii) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
- xv) Greenbelt development

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri Vijaya karnet 2. Sri guverh Kvirhna Reddy

| Agenda Item No. 20 | M/s. SHREE JAYA LABORATORIES PVT. LTD. Sy No: 299 & 299/AA, Malkapur Village, Choutuppal Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/IND2/153284/2020 (EC) |

The representative of the project proponent Sri V. Vishnu Murthy; and Sri Y.V. Prasad of M/s. Rightsource Industrial Solutions Private limited, attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that the existing unit is having its first CFE order dt. 06.03.2006 issued by the APPCB ie., before 2006 and hence, there is no EC. Thus, they have not submitted copy of compliance report.

The SFAC also noted that the proponent submitted copy of CFO dt. 31.08.2018 issued by the TSPCB valid upto 31.01.2021.

The SEAC examined the proposal as per the provisions laid under S.O.1223 (E), dt.27.03.2020 and considered the project under B2 Category.

The SEAC noted the contents of the EMP report and noted the details of the project after proposed Expansion as follows:

Total area is 35902.47 Sq.m., out of which Green area is 12161.74 (33.87%).

Nearest human habitation is Hyderpur (V) @ 1.7 km; Nearest water body is Chinna Musi River @ 4.4 km; Nearest RF is Malkapuram RF Block-I @ 0.33 km.

Project Cost for proposed expansion is Rs. 30.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs. 214.0 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs. 24.0 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs. 30.0 Lakhs.

The details of Products, by-products & production capacity are as following:

Products:

| S.No. | Name of The Product | Quantity in MT/Month | |
|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|] | Amlodipine Besylate | 5.00 | |
| 2 | Benzyl Magnesium chloride | 10.00 | |
| 3 | Bilastine | 5.00 | ą, r |
| J | Difastine | - th. 0 | ا نی _س ت |

| 4 | Butyl Magnesium Chloride | 10.00 |
|----|--|-------|
| 5 | Candesartan cilexetil | 1.00 |
| 6 | Celecoxib | 5.00 |
| 7 | Doxylamine Succinate | 0.50 |
| 8 | Duloxetine hydrochloride | 5.00 |
| 9 | Eletriptan | 0.50 |
| 10 | Esomeprazole Magnesium Trihydrate | 5.00 |
| 11 | Etoricoxib | 5.00 |
| 12 | Hydroxychloroquine sulfate | 5.00 |
| 13 | Ivacaftor | 0.10 |
| 14 | Loperamide hydrochloride | 2.00 |
| 15 | Metformin hydrochloride | 38.10 |
| 16 | Montelukast sodium | 3.00 |
| 17 | N,N-Dimethyl propyl magnesium chloride | 20.00 |
| 18 | Omeprazole | 50.00 |
| 19 | Paliperidone | 0.50 |
| 20 | Pantoprazole sodium | 25.00 |
| 21 | Pimavanserin tartarate | 0.10 |
| 22 | Risedronate sodium penta hemihydrate | 0.50 |
| 23 | Risperidone | 0.50 |
| 24 | Ritonavir | 3.00 |
| 25 | Sitagliptin | 5.00 |
| 26 | Sodium cromoglycate | 2.00 |
| 27 | Sumatriptan succinate | 1.00 |
| 28 | Tamsulosin hydrochloride | 0.50 |
| 29 | Telmisartan | 3.00 |
| 30 | Teneligliptin hydrobromide hydrate | 3.00 |
| | Total | 214.3 |

By-products:

| S. No | Name of the Product | Name of the By-Product | Quantity in Kg/Day |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Candesartan | Triethylamine hydrochloride | 15.00 |
| | cilexetil | Sodium bromide | 33.50 |
| 2 | Duloxetine hydrochloride | Oxalic acid | 55.00 |
| 3 | Eletriptan | Triethylamine hydrochloride | 15.00 |
| 4 | Etoricoxib | Morpholine | 76.20 |
| 5 | Linezolid | Imidazole | 108.00 |
| 6 | Lumacastor | Triethylamine hydrochloride | 7.00 |
| 7 | N,N-Dimethyl propyl Magnesium chloride | Sodium bromide | 699.00 |

| | | Sodium Nitrate | 814.00 |
|----|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| 8 | Omeprazole Sodium Sulphate | Sodium Sulphate | 1675.50 |
| | | Ammonium chloride | 908.00 |
| 9 | Palbociclib | Sodium bromide | 2.00 |
| | | Potassium sulphate | 812.00 |
| 10 | Pantoprazole Sodium | Ammonium phosphate | 342.00 |
| | | Ammonium chloride | 298.00 |
| 11 | Pregabalin | Ammonium chloride | 429.00 |
| 12 | Sitagliptin | Propylene carbonate | 92.50 |
| | Sumatriptan | Sodium bromide | 14.00 |
| 13 | succinate | Potassium phosphate | 90.00 |

Details of Utilities, Stacks & Air pollution control equipments after proposed expansion:

| S.No. | Utility | Stack Height | APCE |
|-------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Boilers: Existing: 1x3 TPH 1x6 TPH Proposed: 1x10 TPH | 30 m 30 m 36m | Cyclone Separator & Bag Filter |
| 2 | DG Sets: Existing: 1 x 500 kVA Proposed: 1 x 1000 kVA | Adequate height | Acoustic Enclosure |

The process emissions containing Hydrogen Bromide, Hydrogen Flouride, Sulphur Dioxide, Hydrogen Chloride, Dimethylamine, Chloromethane & Ammonia are to be routed through Multi Stage Scrubber system. The process emissions containing derivatives of Carbon dioxide & Oxygen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere. Further, the process emissions containing derivatives of Hydrogen are to be safely dispersed into the atmosphere through water column.

Details of Water requirement after expansion:

| S. No | Purpose | Water Input in KLD |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Process | 35.57 |
| 2 | Washings | 7.00 |
| 3 | Boiler make up | 59.00 |
| 4 | Cooling towers make up | 161.00 |
| 5 | Scrubbing system | 7.50 |
| 6 | Domestic | 18.00 |
| 7 | Gardening | 16.00 |
| | Total | 304.07 |

61

Details of Effluent generation, treatment & disposal after proposed expansion:

| Unit | HTDS KLD | LTDS KLD | Effluent Generation in KLD | Treatment Method | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Process | 38.05 | 6.80 | 44.86 | Zero Liquid Discharge | | |
| Washings | 0.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | System ie., | | |
| Boiler Blow down | 0.00 | 8.50 | 8.50 | HTDS: Stripper, MEE & | | |
| Cooling towers Bleed off | 0.00 | 17.50 | 17.50 | ATFD. LTDS: Biological ETP & | | |
| Scrubbing system | 7.50 | 0.00 | 7.50 | RO. | | |
| Domestic | 0.00 | 17.00 | 17.00 | Treated effluent to be | | |
| Total | 45.55 | 56.8 | 102.36 | reused in cooling towers, Boiler make-up and Scrubbers. | | |

Details of Solid Waste after expansion:

| S. No | Name of the Hazardous Waste | Quantity | Disposal Method | |
|-------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Organic solid waste | 6693 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries | |
| 2 | Spent Carbon | 90 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries | |
| 3 | Solvent Distillation Residue | 762 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries | |
| 4 | Inorganic Solid Waste | 870 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF | |
| 5 | ETP Sludge | 80 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF | |
| 6 | MEE Salts | 4012 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to TSDF | |
| 7 | Organic distillate from MEE Stripper | 1540 Kg/Day | Shall be sent to Cement Industries | |
| 8 | Used Oils | 300 Ltrs/Annum | SPCB Authorized Agencies for Reprocessing/ Recycling | |
| 9 | Detoxified Containers/ Container liners | 800 No's / Month | After Detoxification sent to SPCB authorized agencies. | |
| 10 | Used Lead Acid Batteries | 4 No's/ Annum | Send back to suppliers for buyback of New Batteries | |
| 11 | Coal ash from boiler | 14000 Kg/Day | Will be sent to Brick Manufacturers | |

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Distance of the industry from the nearest boundary of Patancheru and Bollaram Industrial Areas.
 - ii) Project modification
 - iii) Project cost
 - iv) ZLD System & its adequacy
 - v) ETP modifications
 - vi) Products: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - vii) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I.
 - viii) Raw material: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - ix) Solid waste: Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
 - x) Impact on surroundings
 - xi) Applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
 - xii) Implementation of disaster management plan and safety measures in the existing project and proposed expansion.
 - xiii) Greenbelt development

Members of Sub-Committee:

chairman, seac

1. Sri Vijayahaxnei 2. Sri Surekh 3 Evalling Rodon

| 2. [7] | and the state of t |
|--------------|--|
| Agenda | 4.0 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry of Sri Mandadi Nageshwar, Sy. No. |
| Item No. 21 | 22 (G.L), Narsimhulagudem H/o Repala (V), Munagala (M), Suryapet District - |
| | Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/134742/2020 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEIAA in its meeting held on 13.05.2020 and decided to refer back the proposal to the SEAC to ascertain the facts on complaint dt.17.03.2020 received from Sri G. Veeralah & 4 Others.

The SEAC noted the decision of the SEIAA. After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and ascertain the facts on complaint dt.17.03.2020 received from Sri G. Veeraiah & 4 Others.

| Agenda | 2.00 Ha. Corundum Mine of M/s. M.K.Minerals, Sy. No. 858/A/1/2/1 |
|--------------|--|
| Item No. 22 | 858/A/1/2/2, 858/AA/10 & 858/LU/5/2/3(P.L) of Eerlapudi (V). |
| | Raghunadhapalem (M), Khammam District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/36698/2019 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEIAA in its meeting held on 15.05.2020 and decided to refer back the proposal to the SEAC to ascertain the aerial distance (as crow flies) from the boundry of mine lease area to the nearest human habitation.

The SEAC noted the decision of the SEIAA. During the meeting, the Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC informed that the distance mentioned in their report is the Aerial distance

After detailed discussions, the SEAC again recommends the project for issue of EC.

| Agenda | 4.654 Ha. Quartz and Feldspar of Sri P.Kathal, Sy.No 97, Salarpur (V), |
|--------------|--|
| Item No. 23 | Kadthal (M), Rangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/140737/2020 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEIAA in its meeting held on 21.05.2020 and decided to refer back the proposal to the SEAC for obtaining NOC from the concerned DFO of Forest Department.

The SEAC noted the decision of the SEIAA. After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a copy of NOC from the concerned DFO of Forest Department.

| Agenda | 2.0 Ha. Building Stone & Road Metal of M/s. Sri Molugu Gal Reddy, Survey |
|--------------|--|
| Item No. 24 | No. 83, Achampet (V), Yeldurthy (M), Medak District - Environmental |
| | Clearance - Reg. |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/39648/2019 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEIAA in its meeting held on 21.05.2020 and decided to refer back the proposal to the SEAC for obtaining NOC from the concerned DFO of Forest Department.

The SEAC noted the decision of the SEIAA. After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a copy of NOC from the concerned DFO of Forest Department.

| | 2.87 Ha. Rough Stone Quarry of M/s. Vikesh Metal Industries, Survey No.155, Khajipally (V), Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District - Environmental Clearance |
|--------------|---|
| | - Reg. |
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/33468/2019 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEIAA in its meeting held on 21.05.2020 and decided to refer back the proposal to the SEAC for obtaining NOC from the concerned DFO of Forest Department.

The SEAC noted the decision of the SEIAA. After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a copy of NOC from the concerned DFO of Forest Department.

| Agenda Item No. 26 | 1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of Sri P. Krishna Reddy, Survey No. 123, Ennaram Village, Ramanapet Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/45295/1900 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEIAA in its meeting held on 21.05.2020 and decided to refer back the proposal to the SEAC to ascertain position of stream in the mine lease area and impacts of the proposed project on stream, if any.

The SEAC noted the decision of the SEIAA. After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to inform the same Sub-Committee constituted earlier to submit report on Stream.

| Agenda Item No. 27 | "NSL EAST COUNTY" by M/s. NSL (Hyderabad) Pvt. Ltd., Plot No.6, Survey No. I situated at IDA Uppal, Hyderabad - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/NCP/48172/2018 (TOR) |

Earlier, the SEAC constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project, EMP measures, impacts, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 08.02.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

It is an expansion project of Residential Building Arena Town. The proponent proposed expansion of the project with another tower(Tower-2) in the name of East County in the land adjacent to Tower-1.

The total built-up area after expansion is 2,13,133.12 Sq.mt and applied for EC for proposed expansion of 1,12,142.2 Sq.mt. The EC required for 3 cellars + stilt + 26 floors.

The present status of the project is that the Tower-1 is under construction.

Tower-2 is proposed for expansion with total number of flats of 468, 78(4BHK) and 390(3BHK) with an estimated cost of 220 crores, adjacent to Tower-1.

STP of 400 KLD capacity of SBR Technology was proposed to meet the expansion of the project.

It is advised that care must be taken for green area, parking and open area to meet the proposed expansion of the project as per norms.

EC may be recommended subject to the compliance of the conditions proposed in the presentation to the SEAC committee.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC directed the proponent is to prepare EIA report as per the Standard Terms of Reference (TORs) issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI available in their website viz., www.moef.nic.in, under "8(b) Townships & Area Development Projects" and submit EIA report to the SEAC for appraisal.

| Agenda Item No. 28 | "Tech Park" by M/s. Innovative Constructions, Sy.No. 322 and 323, Puppalaguda (V), Gaudipet (M), Rangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIS/134138/2019 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 18.02.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project.

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 19.03,2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

The project is spread over an area of 1.4166 ha in Survey Nos. 322 and 323, Puppalaguda, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District into Commercial office Construction project. The site is surrounded open lands all the directions. An existing 30 m wide in West direction connecting ISB road and financial district.

Built Up Area Statement

| Land Use | No. of | Total Site Area (m²) | Built up area (m²) | | |
|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|
| Luna Ose | floors | | Parking | Office | Total |
| Tower | 4B+G+11 | 6041.0 | 48966.3 | 84044.4 | 133010.7 |
| Surface parking | | 1449.6 | | | |
| Green area | | 1526.8 | | | |
| Road area | | 2904.6 | | | |
| Open area | | 2112.8 | <u> </u> | | |
| Services Area | | 131.9 | | | |
| Total | | 14166.5 | 48966.3 | 84044.4 | 133010.7 |

Present status of the project:

Construction not started. Images showing present status of the project are enclosed.

Recommendation:

No construction activity was found. Based on the above reasons, the EC maybe granted.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

| Agenda Item No. 29 | 1.686 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Srinivasa Granites, Survey. No. 293, Asifnagar Village, Kothapally Mandal, Karimnagar District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Proposal No. | SIA/TG/MIN/43041/2019 (EC) |

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 24.01.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the project on the nearest Village and surrounding environment, adequacy of EMP measures proposed, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 04.03.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. Present status of the mine

The proposed mining site is a private land owned by the proponent himself and it is located in Survey No. 293 of Asifnagar village, Kothapally Mandal in Karimnagar district.

There are nine mine leases within the 500 M radius surrounding the proposed mine. But, except the one lease of M/s Laxmi Granites, which had obtained EC through letter no. 1276/DEIAA/KNR/17-2 dated 27.06.2018, the rest of them were all granted ECs much before 2016. Besides, including this ongoing minewith an area of 1.904 ha and the proposed mine area of 1.686 ha by the proponent, the total area put together is coming to 3.59 ha only. Therefore, this mine does not attract the Cluster guidelines.

The mining activity has not yet started at the proposed land. The pictures of the site taken during the visit are inserted in the report elsewhere below.

65

CHAIRMAN, SEAC

2. Impacts of the project on the nearest Village and surrounding environment

The nearest village to the proposed site is NTR Tamil Colony, which was found to be about 295 M away (Fig. No.1). Since the proponent proposes to follow the wire-saw method of mining and various EMP measures, there may be minimum damage to the village and surrounding environment.

Green belt development

The site is sparsely covered by very small bushes here and there and there is good extent of plain area available between the nearby BT road and the mining site where greenbelt plantation can be taken up. Besides, green belt plantation has to be taken up in 7.5 M all around the mine sitewith multiple tree species to arrest the dust and protect the local environment.

1. Adequacy of EMP measures

The measures under EMP that were shared during the presentation and later during the field visit were found to be adequate if they would be implemented as envisaged during the mining activity.

4. Environmental measures/Additional TORs to be taken up by the Proponent:

- a) Mining method should be non-blasting, wire-saw method.
- b) Construction of the garland drainswith settling pond on the periphery all around the mining site.
- c) Protect as much existing vegetation as possible at the site with due care while mining and develop a green belt of 7.5 M width around the mining site as per the norms.
- d) Install the air pollution equipment and sprinkling of water to contain the dust generated during the mining operations.

Recommendations:

In view of the above observations, Environmental Clearance may be given to the projectwith above conditions and subject to the compliance of the norms and guidelines.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

CHAIRMAN, SEAC

the deary

