

Common minute 526 SEAC meeting

- 1. Case No 7964/2020 M/s. Hardaoul Granite Pro. Shri Ashok Singh Yadav, 108, Bankers Colony, Thatipur, Dist. Gwalior Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (150000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 56/2, New - 132, 133), Village - Rafadpur, Tehsil - Dabra, Dist. Gwalior (MP) Env. Consultant Aseries Envirotek India Pvt. Ltd., Noida (U.P.)**

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 56/2, New - 132, 133), Village - Rafadpur, Tehsil - Dabra, Dist. Gwalior (MP) 4.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. QL-74 dated: 13/7/2015 has reported that there are 17 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 30.061 ha., including this mine.

Earlier this case was scheduled for presentation and discussion in 473th SEAC dated 07/01/2021 wherein ToR was recommended.

PP has submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 08/09/2021 which was forwarded through SEIAA vide letter no.1900 dated 14/9/21 and the same was scheduled in the agenda.

The EIA was presented by Env. Consultant Shri Amar Singh Yadav from M/s. Aseries Envirotech India Pvt. Ltd. Noida, U.P. on behalf of PP in the 519th SEAC meeting dated 12-10-21. During presentation PP submitted that it's a case of capacity expansion of stone from 65,740 cum per annum to 1,50,000 cum per annum. During presentation, PP submitted following salient features of the project:-

Particular	Details
Area & Lease period of mine	10 year
Cluster Situation (B1 or B2- please justify)	B1

Toposheet no. & Khasra No.	54J/8	
Total Geological Reserve	12,00,000 cum	
Available Minable Reserve	8,69,950 m ³	
Production/year & Vehicle movement/day	1,50,000 m ³ /year (maximum)	
Expected Life of Mines	6 Years	
Method Of Mining	Opencast semi-mechanized method	
Drilling & Blasting	Controlled Blasting/drilling with sand bags	
Elevation Profile of mine site	251 mRL to 220 mRL (as per kml)	
Conceptual Pit Depth	249 m RL to 224 m RL (25m)	
Height & width of bench	No. of bench : 4, Bench height- 6m , The width of the bench will be more than height of the bench.	
Pit Bottom area at conceptual level	X-X' = 149m (width), Y-Y' = 179m (Length), Area= 26671 SQM	
General ground water table (post monsoon and Pre level)	46-51mbgl and 52-57 mbgl	
Does ground water intersection proposed	No	
Man Power (Skilled & Un-skilled)	40	
Project Cost as per PFR	Rs. 30 lakhs	
Proposed EMP Cost (Rs in Lakhs)	Capital-Rs. 15,23,500	Recurring-Rs. 7,52,000
Any litigation pending (if yes, provide details)	No any	
Located in critically polluted area (yes/no)	No	

PP further submitted that they have obtained MoEF&CC compliance report issued vide letter no. 302 dated 06.04.2021 wherein 03 Non Compliances Registration of health records, Records of CER and Construction of 10 nos. of toilets were observed. During presentation committee observed that earlier EC was issued by DEIAA in the year 2018 and PP was unable to display the commitment made for plantation and actual plantation done by PP in response to the commitment. Since SEAC is appraising cases on the basis of documents and details uploaded online, thus all the mandatory information shall be precisely uploaded online for appraisal of case and to avoid unnecessary delay.

After presentation committee asked PP to submit response on following issues and revised/updated information in Form-II, such as:

1. In point no. 6 d. Copy of previous letter not uploaded.
2. In point no. 9.1 – ii & vii- copies of all consent to operate & copy of valid CTO is not attached.
3. In point no. 13.1 – Needs correction as in raw material details – Stone mentioned.
4. In point no. 19.0- DG Set proposed – disposal of waste oil/used oil.
5. Like that in point no. such as 14.6, 35-5, 35-8-i, details are not appropriately filled please, submit revise information.
6. In EIA ground water table is mentioned as 57 m bgl while in form-II point no. 14.6 it is 25 m bgl – please clarify the factual situation.
7. Revised CER as suggested by committee and issues raised during public hearing.
8. Commitment letter from PP for water drawl as uploaded letter is unsigned.
9. Year wise plantation done since 2018 till date w.r.t. to given target in EC/Mine Plan and achievement made with documentary and photographic evidences.
10. Response of PP w.r.t. non compliance reported by MoEF&CC issued vide letter no. 302 dated 06.04.2021 and further action plan for reported NC's.

PP uploaded online query reply and hard copy as well as on “Parivesh Portal”. The point wise query reply was presented by PP & their Env. Consultant & RQP authorized by PP. Committee observed that PP has made necessary corrections in the proposal/documents and form-II. PP has submitted that:

- Regarding Year wise plantation done since 2018 till date- PP submitted that as per approved mine plan and EC condition number of plantation is not mentioned. However, Shri Ashok Singh Yadav has planted 800 trees from 2018 to till date around the crusher area.
- Response of PP w.r.t. non compliance reported by MoEF&CC issued vide letter no. 302 dated 06.04.2021 and further action plan for reported NC's - in which PP submitted that now we have complied the EC conditions which are given and attached.
- PP addressed issues raised during public hearing under CER.

The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production shall be as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding for Stone -1,50,000 cum per annum cum per annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 15.23 Lakh as capital and Rs. 7.72 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.
3. As proposed, a minimum of 6000 trees shall be planted as per the submitted plantation scheme.

2. Case No 7940/2020 Shri Pushpraj Singh, Village - Upani, Tehsil - Gopadbanas, Dist. Sidhi, MP - 486661 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 1.920 ha. (14700 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 1662/2/2, 1664/2), Village - Upani, Tehsil - Gopadbanas, Dist. Sidhi (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 1662/2/2, 1664/2), Village - Upani, Tehsil - Gopadbanas, Dist. Sidhi (MP) 1.920 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 681 dated: 09/8/2020 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 2.920 ha., including this mine.

The case was scheduled in 469th meeting dated 17/12/2020 for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. During presentation it was observed that a Kachcha road is crossing within lease hence, PP shall be

provided right to access to local villagers and a settlement at a distance of >340 meter. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Proposal for protection wall.
- Show HT line with safe distance on surface map.
- Undertaking for right to access to local villager as Kachcha road passing within lease.
- Some trees within the lease thus their plan and inventory shall be provided.

PP's reply not received till date. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09th April, 2021 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The query reply was presented by RQP Swati Namdeo on behalf of PP and after presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Revised CER- Propose proposal of include cost of printer as suggested by committee during presentation.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 11.11.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that the PP has left setback of 50 meters on the both sides of HT line as safe zone and demarcated the mining area which was found satisfactory. Committee also suggested that in CER activity with computer printer shall also be given for which PP was agreed and submitted commitment. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee after deliberation found that The EMS and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production shall be as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding for Stone 14,700 cum/annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs.13.42 Lakh as capital and Rs. 01.17 Lakh/year.

3. As proposed, a minimum of 1900 trees shall be planted as per the submitted plantation scheme and under CSR Rs. 1.00 Lakh/years is proposed.