

**MINUTES OF THE 44th MEETING OF
STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE,
(SEAC), TELANGANA STATE
HELD ON 06.09.2019, 10:00 A.M.**

MINUTES OF THE 44th MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC) HELD ON 06.09.2019 AT TSPCB, PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, A-3, I.E., SANATHNAGAR, HYDERABAD.

The following members were present:

| S. No. | Name of the Expert | Position |
|--------|---|-----------|
| 1. | Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy, H.No: 2-2-20/L/7, #401. Golden towers – II, Raja Rajeshwari BLPG, D.D. Colony, Hyderabad. Ph: 9866629265 | Chairman. |
| 2. | Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi Plot No.110, Siddartha Nagar, S.R. Nagar Post, Hyderabad-500038. Ph: 9440896661 | Member |
| 3. | Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri H.No: 3-5-44/1, Flat No. 301, Areadia Apartments, Edengaden Road, Hyderabad- 500001. Ph:9491145160 | Member |
| 4. | Shri Suresh, B-106, Vertex prime, Nizampet Road, Kukatpalli, Hyderabad. Ph: 9177037785 | Member |
| 5. | Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud, H.No. 6-156, Sridurga Estates, Deepthisri Nagar, Madinaguda, Hyderabad-500049. Ph:9440386945 | Member |
| 6. | Dr.K.Shivakumar, Plot No. 328, Flat No: 302, Mehar Ninan, KPHB 6 th phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072 Ph: 9951701067 | Member |
| 7. | Prof.B.Reddya Naik, Department of Zoology, University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007. Ph: 9290491044 | Member |
| 8. | Prof.C.Venkateshwar, Department of Botany, University College of Science. OU. Hyd. Flat No. 117, 'C' Block, Janapria castle, Ramnagar, Vidyanagar – Hyderabad Ph:9440487742 & 8096754604 | Member |
| 9. | Dr.P.Radha Krishna, H.No. 9/40, Bahar 'B', Sahara States, Mansoorabad, LB Nagar, Hyderabad-500068 Ph:9848555242 | Member |

After general introductory remarks by the Chairman, SEAC, the Committee took up items agenda-wise. The decisions of the SEAC on each case are recorded below.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

DECLARATION

It is hereby declared that the Chairman and members of SEAC, T.S., do not have conflict of interest with any project proponent pertaining to the items discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 06.09.2019.

| S. No. | Name of the Expert | Signature |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy | Sd/- |
| 2. | Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi | Sd/- |
| 3. | Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri | Sd/- |
| 4. | Shri Suresh | Sd/- |
| 5. | Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud | Sd/- |
| 6. | Dr.K.Shivakumar, | Sd/- |
| 7. | Prof.B.Reddya Naik | Sd/- |
| 8. | Prof.C.Venkateshwar | Sd/- |
| 9. | Dr.P.Radha Krishna | Sd/- |

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 01 | 2.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Sri Matha Granites, Sy. No. 363, Gondriyala (V), Kodad (M), Suryapet District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The representative of the project proponent Sri N. Prabhakar Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the proponent has not uploaded Form-1, Pre-feasibility report and Approved mining plan and the proposal was inadvertently accepted. Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit all required documents.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 02 | M/s. Abacus Real Estate Private Limited, Premises No. 8-4-300/1/A, Survey No. 78, Circle No. 10, Fathenagar, Balanagar, Rangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The SEAC noted that the EC was already issued to the project vide order dt.26.04.2018 and the present proposal is a duplicate proposal.

Hence, the SEAC decided to return the application.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 03 | M/s VITP Private Limited, Survey No. 7, Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Agenda Item:04 | M/s. Sumadhura Infracon Pvt Ltd., Sy. No. 147, 148 (part), 149, 150, 151 (part), 152, 153, 154, 157, Kondapur (V), Serilingampally (M), Rangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|-----------------------|--|

The SEAC noted that the EC was already issued to the project vide order dt.26.04.2018 and the present proposal is a duplicate proposal.

Hence, the SEAC decided to return the application.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 05 | 13.787 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Pokarna Limited, Sy. No. 116/1, Kamanpur (V), Karimnagar (M), Karimnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The representative of the project proponent Sri J. Swamy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 13.787 Ha. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 21.08.2004 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2004 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, keeping in view of the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI, the proponent obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt. 10.06.2013 for mining 2,688 m³/annum of Colour Granite. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of the project from 2,688 m³/annum to 12,000 m³/annum of Colour Granite. But, the proponent exceeded the production capacity without obtaining prior EC for expansion.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 06 | 4.07 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Pokarna Limited, Sy. No. 111 & 97, Odyaram (V), Gangadhara (M), Karimnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The representative of the project proponent Sri J. Swamy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 4.07 Ha. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 12.08.2008 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2008 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, keeping in view of the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI, the proponent obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt. 26.02.2013 for mining 2,109 m³/annum of Colour Granite. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of the project from 2,109 m³/annum to 12,000 m³/annum of Colour Granite. But, the proponent exceeded the production capacity without obtaining prior EC for expansion.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 07 | 4.000 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Pokarna Limited, Sy. No. 552, Thogari (V), Sultanabad (M), Karimnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The representative of the project proponent Sri J. Swamy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 4.00 Ha. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 20.10.2008 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2008 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, keeping in view of the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI, the proponent obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt. 26.02.2013 for mining 2,025 m³/annum of Colour Granite. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of the project from 2,025 m³/annum to 7,200 m³/annum of Colour Granite. But, the proponent exceeded the production capacity without obtaining prior EC for expansion.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.

- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 08 | 9.308 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. Thirumala Mines and Minerals, Sy. No. 162, Cherikonda (V), Amangal (M), Mahabubnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Kartheek Reddy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Quartz & Feldspar Mine with Mine Lease Area of 9.308 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 8,666 TPA of Quartz & 2,140 TPA of Feldspar. It was informed that the mine lease was initially granted to M/s. Bowen Mines on 15.04.1997. Later, the lease was transferred to proponent on 07.03.2008 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2008 without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 09 | 12.149 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. Continential Minerals, Sy. No. 468/P Maisigandi (V), H/o.Kadthal, Amangal (M), Mahabubnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Kartheek Reddy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Quartz & Feldspar Mine with Mine Lease Area of 12.149 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 30,000 TPA of Quartz & 35,000 TPA of Feldspar. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 09.05.2000 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2000 without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 10 | 5.38 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. Sibelco India Minerals Pvt. Ltd. (formerly M/s. Shri Vijaya Gimpex Mining Pvt. Ltd.), Sy. No. 64/A to 64/ F Kakular (V), Shabad (M), Rangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The representative of the project proponent Sri M.M. Krishna Murthy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Quartz & Feldspar Mine with Mine Lease Area of 5.38 Ha. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 24.07.2008 and the proponent obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt.08.05.2009 for mining 2,925 TPA of Quartz. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of Quartz from 2,925 TPA to 58,320 TPA and also a mine 60,000 TPA of Feldspar. But, the proponent exceeded the production capacity without obtaining prior EC for expansion.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 11 | 8.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Gayatri Granite Industries, Sy. No. 134, Chinnanagaram (V), Nellikuduru (M), Warangal District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The representative of the project proponent Sri T. Rajender Reddy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Black Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 8.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 3,600 m³/annum of Black Granite. It was informed that earlier the lease was operated by M/s. Mdiwest Granites Pvt. Ltd., for 20 years and subsequently it has been transferred in the name M/s. Achyutha Stones on 24.06.2010 and later it is transferred to the proponent. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 04.01.2014 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2014 without obtaining prior EC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, the State Government vide Ir.dt.02.07.2016 informed that Credible action has been initiated against the proponent under the provisions of E(P) Act, 1986 by filing a case vide Complaint Case No 240 of 2016 before the Hon'ble First Class Magistrate Court, Warangal.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 12 | 7.83 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s Sibelco India Minerals Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 146/ P, 126/ P of Balanagar (V) & Sy. No. 21/p, 22/p, 23/p of Gouthapur (V), Balanagar (M), Mahaboobnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. M. Krishna Murthy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Quartz & Feldspar Mine with Mine Lease Area of 7.83 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 6,072 TPA of Quartz & 26,970 TPA of Feldspar. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 06.04.2006 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2006 without obtaining prior EC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, Complaint Case No 520 of 2016 was filed in the Court of Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Mahaboobnagar. The case was heard on 19.06.2017 and judgment has been given with a penalty Rs.25,000/- to be paid by the project proponent.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 13 | 6.30 Ha. Quartz Mine of M/s. Sibelco India Minerals Pvt. Ltd. (formerly M/s. Shri Vijaya Gimpex Mining Pvt. Ltd.), Sy. No. 171 Gundmal (V), Kosagi (M), Mahabubnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. M. Krishna Murthy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Quartz Mine with Mine Lease Area of 6.30 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 24,000 TPA of Quartz. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 17.01.2001 and the proponent started mining operations from the year 2001 without obtaining prior EC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, Complaint Case No 327 of 2016 was filed in the Court of Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Mahaboobnagar. The case was heard on 06.06.2017 and judgment has been given with a penalty Rs.25,000/- to be paid by the project proponent.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 14 | 12.95 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. Srimex Mines & Minerals, Sy. No. 22, Cheepunthala (V), Talakondapalli (M), Mahaboobnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Janga Reddy and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Quartz & Feldspar Mine with Mine Lease Area of 12.95 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 56767.5 TPA of Quartz & 63612 TPA of Feldspar. It was informed that initially the lease was granted to Sri T.Ashok Kumar on 23.02.1996 and subsequently it was transferred to M/s. Trimex Industries Ltd., on 19.07.2005. Subsequently, the lease again transferred in the name of M/s. Srimex Mines & Minerals on 27.11.2014 and the proponent started mining operations without obtaining prior EC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, the State Government vide Ir.dt.08.02.2017 informed that Credible action has been initiated against the proponent under the provisions of E(P) Act, 1986 by filing a case vide Complaint Case No 123 of 2017 before the Hon'ble First Class Judicial Magistrate Court, Kalwakurthy.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 15 | 2.966 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Imperial Granites Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 101/A, Kothagattu (V), Kesavapatanam (M), Karimnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The representative of the project proponent Sri V. Mahender and Sri M. Venkatesh of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 2.966 Ha. It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 12.05.2008 and obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt. 10.06.2013 for mining 500 m³/annum of Colour Granite. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of the project from 500 m³/annum to 18,004 m³/annum of Colour Granite. But, the proponent exceeded the production capacity without obtaining prior EC for expansion.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 16 | 4.0971 Ha. Dorepally Quartz & Feldspar Mine of Sri P. Sridhar Reddy, Sy. No. 289 & 308, Dorepally (V), Maddur (M), Mahabubnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 17 | 9.28 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. Venkateshwara Mining Corporation, Sy. No. 74 & 75/2, Murali Nagar (V), Kandukur (M), Rangareddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 18 | 4.047 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of Sri M Satyanarayana, Sy. No. 155/P, 156/P & 157/P, Siddapur (V), Kothur Mandal, Rangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 19 | 1.214 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. Trimex Industries Limited, Survey No. 392/A, Mallareddypalli (V), Chintapalli (M), Nalgonda District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 20 | 1.214 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. TRIMEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED, Survey No. 381, Mallareddypalli (V), Chintapalli (M), Nalgonda District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 21 | Development of Industrial Park by M/s. TSIIC at Sy. No. 408 - 412 , 418 - 435, 437 - 445, 452 - 459 of Polepally (V) of Jadcherla (M) & Sy. No. 588 - 630 of Rajapur (V) of Balanagar (M), Mahaboobnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The representative of the project proponent Sri D. Srinivasulu; and Smt. Kavitha, Smt. Shaheeda Begum & Smt. Reshma Thakur of M/s. EPTRI, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

During presentation, the proponent informed that:

- TSIIC initiated the process of environmental clearance for the erstwhile IP, Jadcherla, with an area of 386.2 Ha. (Ac. 954.23) with certain areas already under development.
- The development of the IP at Jadcherla had commenced in 2007. Individual Industries have obtained CFE & CFO from the State SPCB before starting up the industry.
- The industrial park is allotted to 92 units out of which around 19 units are under operation.
- The industrial park include following Orange category industries:
 - Pharma Formulation SEZ: Pharmaceutical Formulation production of Tablets, Capsules & Injectables, Pellets, Capsules tablets and liquid formulation.
 - Industries Otsite SEZ: Frozen desserts, Ice creams, Blade stainless steel, blades, shavings other than stainless steel Razors Thin Films Liquid Nitrogen, Liquid Oxygen and Oxygen gas.
- Keeping in view of the S.O. 804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI, they have submitted application for EC, as their comes under Violation of EC.

The SEAC informed the TSIIC to ensure that atleast 33% of the total area is to be developed with greenbelt. Accordingly, the TSIIC has submitted the revised the layout plan.

The proponent informed that they have already collected the baseline data during the period March to May 2018 and requested to consider the same for preparation of EIA report based on the Standard Terms of Reference for the project. The SEAC considered the request of the proponent for utilizing the baseline data during the period March to May 2018 for preparation of EIA report.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the site, verify records and submit report on greenbelt, existing industries, adequacy of EMP measures proposed and being practiced, impacts of the project on nearest human habitation, waterbody, surrounding environment, etc.,

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Prof. Ch. Krishna Reddy.
2. Sri. K. Shiva Kumar.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 22 | 2.02 Ha. Quartz and Feldspar Mine of K. Aruna, Sy. No. 77 & 78, Chellampally village, Kadtal mandal, Rangareddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 23 | 4.0 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Global Minerals, Sy. No. Sy.no.157 Kottagattu (V), Shankarpatnam (M), Karimnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 24 | 1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. V.R.G. Granites, Sy.no.549/RU3 & 550/EE3 of Pindiprolu (V), Thirumalayapalem (M), Khammam District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The proponent vide Lr. Dt. 06.09.2019 informed that they could not able to attend the meeting due to health ground. Hence, it was requested to consider their proposal in the next meeting.

In view of the above, the SEAC deferred the project.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 25 | 1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Sree Balaji Granites, Sy.no.171/1, Maddhulapally (V), Khammam Rural & Mandal, Khammam District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The proponent vide Lr. dt. 06.09.2019 informed that they unable to attend the meeting as they are tavelleing out of state. Hence, it was requested to consider their proposal in the next meeting.

In view of the above, the SEAC deferred the project.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 26 | 1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Sree Balaji Granites, Sy.no.171/1, Maddhulapally (V), Khammam Rural & Mandal, Khammam District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The proponent vide Lr. dt. 06.09.2019 informed that they unable to attend the meeting as they are tavelleing out of state. Hence, it was requested to consider their proposal in the next meeting.

In view of the above, the SEAC deferred the project.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 27 | Multi - Storied Residential Building By M/s Narne Homes Pvt. Ltd., Sy.no. 74, 76(P), 117 to 120(P), 125, 124, 126, 127, 129, 132, 133, 134(P), 138(P), 139(P), 14 to 144, 145(P), 146(P), 147(P), 150(P), 151(P), 152(P) Khajaguda (V) Serilingampally(M), RR District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The SEAC noted that the proponent has not uploaded Form-1A & Conceptual Plan and the proposal was inadvertently accepted. Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit all required documents.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 28 | 13.15 Ha. Quartz Mine of M/s. Penguin Minerals Pvt. Ltd, Survey No. 71, Ammapuram (V), Chinnachintakunta (M), Mahabubnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 29 | 3.642 Ha. Quartz Mine of V. Ratnamala at Survey No.438/1 of Mudvin (V), Amangal (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 30 | 3.0 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Yeshaswini Sai Granites, Sy.no.230, Sarvareddypally (V), Gangadhara (M), Karimnagar District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The representative of the project proponent Sri S.S. Syam and Sri Mohan Reddy of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 3.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 19,995.60 m³/annum of Colour Granite. It was informed that the quarry lease was initially granted in the name of M/s. G.R. Industries on 13.10.2003 and later it is transferred in the name of the proponent on 17.08.2010. The mining operations were started in the mine lease area from 2007 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 06.09.2019

- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item: 31 | 4.048 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of Sri Aziz Khan, Sy. No. 50, Badampally Village, Doma Mandal, Vikarabad District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|--|

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item: 32 | M/s. CAPGEMINI Technology Services India Limited, IT Park, Plot No.1, Sy.Npo.115/32 & 35, Nanakramguda, Serilingampally, RR District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg. |
|------------------------|---|

The SEAC noted that another proposal with same project details was earlier considered by the SEAC in its meeting held on 29.08.2019 (Agenda no.41) and the present proposal is a duplicate proposal. Hence, the SEAC decided to return the application.


CHAIRMAN, SEAC

