

**MINUTES OF THE 43rd MEETING OF
STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE,
(SEAC), TELANGANA STATE
HELD ON 29.08.2019, 10:30 A.M.**

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

MINUTES OF THE 43rd MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC) HELD ON 29.08.2019 AT TSPCB, PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, A-3, I.E., SANATHNAGAR, HYDERABAD.

The following members were present:

S. No.	Name of the Expert	Position
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy, H.No: 2-2-20/L/7, #401. Golden towers – II, Raja Rajeshwari BLPG, D.D. Colony, Hyderabad. Ph: 9866629265	Chairman.
2.	Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi Plot No.110, Siddartha Nagar, S.R. Nagar Post, Hyderabad-500038. Ph: 9440896661	Member
3.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri H.No: 3-5-44/1, Flat No. 301, Areadia Apartments, Edengaden Road, Hyderabad- 500001. Ph:9491145160	Member
4.	Shri Suresh, B-106, Vertex prime, Nizampet Road, Kukatpalli, Hyderabad. Ph: 9177037785	Member
5.	Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud, H.No. 6-156, Sridurga Estates, Deepthisri Nagar, Madinaguda, Hyderabad-500049. Ph:9440386945	Member
6.	Dr.K.Shivakumar, Plot No. 328, Flat No: 302, Mehar Ninan, KPHB 6 th phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072 Ph: 9951701067	Member
7.	Prof.A.Panasa Reddy, H.No. 4-7-17/5/1, Ragharendra Nagar, Nacharam, Hyderabad-500076. Ph: 9849957268	Member
8.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar, Department of Botany, University College of Science. OU. Hyd. Flat No. 117, 'C' Block, Janapria castle, Ramnagar, Vidyanagar – Hyderabad Ph:9440487742 & 8096754604	Member
9.	Prof.B.Reddy Naik, Department of Zoology, University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007. Ph: 9290491044	Member

After general introductory remarks by the Chairman, SEAC, the Committee took up items agenda-wise. The decisions of the SEAC on each case are recorded below.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

DECLARATION

It is hereby declared that the Chairman and members of SEAC, T.S., do not have conflict of interest with any project proponent pertaining to the items discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 29.08.2019.

S. No.	Name of the Expert	Signature
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy	Sd/-
2.	Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi	Sd/-
3.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri	Sd/-
4.	Shri Suresh	Sd/-
5.	Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud	Sd/-
6.	Dr.K.Shivakumar,	Sd/-
7.	Prof.A.Panasa Reddy	Sd/-
8.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar	Sd/-
9.	Prof.B.Reddya Naik	Sd/-

Agenda Item: 01	1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Navarathna Granites, Sy.No. 110, Theegalaveni (V), Gudur (M), Mahabubabad District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri A. Hari Prasad and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Black Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 1.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 1554.6 m³/annum of Black Granite. It was informed that earlier the lease was granted on 13.07.2007 and mining operations were started from the year 2007 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, the quarry lease was transferred in the name of the proponent on 02.05.2013. But, the proponent did not obtain EC and carrying out mining operations.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period December 2018 to February 2019 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (viii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (x) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (xi) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xii) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 02	10.52 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Khammam Granite Private Limited, Sy.No. 162, Polepally (V), Khammam District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri Sunil Saraf and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Black Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 10.52 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 3219 m³/annum of Black Granite.

The SEAC noted that the Mine lease was sanctioned in the year of 1971 which was prior to issue of EIA Notification, 1994. Further, the project did not require EC under EIA Notification, 1994 as Granite is not a Major Mineral. Subsequently, the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 and observed that the proponent is continuing mining operations without obtaining EC even though the mining lease was Renewed in the year 2011.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period December 2018 to February 2019 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (viii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (x) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (xi) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xii) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 03	M/s. Granules India Limited. Plot No. 15A/1, Phase III, IDA Jeedimetla, Quthubullapur (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri K. Balaji Mahesh and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Expansion of APIs manufacturing unit with production capacity from 22.2 TPM to 725.0 TPM. In this regard, the SEAC noted that industry was earlier setup with the EC order dt.15.07.2005 issued by the MoE&F, GoI. Subsequently, the unit is reported to be in operation with Consent to Operate renewed from time to time by the APPCB/ TSPCB. The industry is presently operating with the CFO dt. 01.05.2018 (valid upto 31.12.2022) issued by the TSPCB.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

The proponent submitted a copy of Certified compliance report dt.30.03.2015 issued by the Director, Southern Regional Office. It was reported that presently the unit is producing completely new products (Guaifenesin, Methocarbamol, Metformine HCl without prior permission from MoEF&CC and thus change in product mix is observed. Further, the unit has exceeded the production during the year 2014–2015 than the consented quantity.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, Complaint Case No 20/2017 was filed in the Court of VI Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Medchal. The case was heard on 24.07.2017 and judgment has been given with a penalty Rs.50,000/- which was paid by the project proponent on 24.07.2017. In this regard, the proponent submitted copies of Docket Order and Counter Foil receipt of the same.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Budget of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage shall be completed within three years and to prepare accordingly.
- (vii) Base line data collected during the period March to May 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (viii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 04	M/s. Enal Drugs Pvt. Ltd., Phase - I, IDA, Jeedimetla Tehsil, Qutubullapur (M), Rangareddy Dist. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri V. Anjaneyulu and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Expansion of APIs manufacturing unit with production capacity from 0.15 TPM to 6.0 TPM. In this regard, the SEAC noted that industry was earlier setup with the EC order dt.21.06.2005 issued by the MoE&F, GoI. Subsequently, the unit is reported to be in operation with Consent to Operate renewed from time to time by the APPCB/TSPCB. The industry is presently operating with the CFO dt. 15.05.2018 (valid upto 31.12.2022) issued by the TSPCB. The proponent submitted a copy of Certified compliance report dt.02.07.2015 issued by the Director, Southern Regional Office. It was reported in the compliance report that based on Excise Returns filed by the industry for the month of March 2015, observed that the industry manufactured Non-Consented Products (15 No.) and thus change in the scope /product mix is observed, which is violation under E(P) Act, 1986. It was further reported that the unit does not have ZLD facilities and the unit does not have adequate space for the same. Presently, the effluents generated from the unit is being sent to CETP of M/s. JETL.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, Complaint Case No 20/2017 was filed in the Court of VI Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Medchal. The case was heard on 06.05.2017 and judgment has been given with a penalty Rs.50,000/- which was paid by the project proponent on 06.05.2017. In this regard, the proponent submitted copies of Docket Order and Counter Foil receipt of the same.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (vi) Budget of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage shall be completed within three years and to prepare accordingly.
- (vii) Base line data collected during the period March to May 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (viii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 05	4.0 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Saileela Stone Sand Pvt. Ltd., Sy.No. 97/13 & 72, Thirumalagiri (V), Bommalaramaram (M), Yadadri Bhuvangiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri K. Chandrakanth and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 4.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 2,50,000 m³/annum of Rough Stone & Road Metal. It was informed that the mining operations were started in the mine lease area from December 2011 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, the quarry lease was transferred in the name of the proponent on 16.03.2016. But, the proponent did not obtain EC and carrying out mining operations.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period December 2018 to February 2019 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (viii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (x) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (xi) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xii) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 06	4.0 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Saileela Stone Sand Pvt. Ltd., Survey No. 97/13, Kondamadugu Village, Bibinagar Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvangiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri K. Chandrakanth and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 4.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 2,80,000 m³/annum of Rough Stone & Road Metal. It was informed that the quarry lease was executed vide order dt.22.09.2014 and mining operations were started in the mine lease area from December 2014 without obtaining prior EC.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period December 2018 to February 2019 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (viii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (x) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (xi) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xii) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 07	3.0 Ha. Building Stone & Road Metal Quarry of Sri K. Venkatesh Goud, Sy.No. 144, Khajipally (V), Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri Gouri Shanker and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Building Stone & Road Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 3.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 82,486 m³/annum of Building Stone & Road Metal. It was informed that 1st renewal of Quarry lease was executed on 02.09.2010 and the mining operations were started in the mine lease area from October 2010 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

The proponent informed that another quarry lease of Sri A. Narsimuhulu (4.5 Ha.) exists adjacent to their mine lease area with the same survey no. It was requested to consider their mining lease under cluster so that they may be allowed to have a common Public Hearing as cluster.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period December 2018 to February 2019 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (viii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing (common for cluster) in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (x) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (xi) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xii) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 08	4.5 Ha. Stone & Metal Quarry of Sri A. Narasimulu, Sy.No. 144, Khajipally (V), Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District- Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri A. Ravinder and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Stone & Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 4.5 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 60,000 m³/annum of Stone & Metal. It was informed that lease was granted on 05.03.2007; subsequently 1st renewal of Quarry lease was executed on 29.08.2017 and the mining operations were started in the mine lease area from April 2007 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

The proponent informed that another quarry lease of Sri K. Venkatesh Goud (3.0 Ha.) exists adjacent to their mine lease area with the same survey no. It was requested to consider their mining lease under cluster so that they may be allowed to have a common Public Hearing as cluster.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period December 2018 to February 2019 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (viii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (ix) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing (common for cluster) in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (x) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (xi) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xii) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 9	M/s. Cyberabad Citizens Health Services Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 215/A, 215/AA, 216, 217, 219, 220/E, 297, 297/A, 297/AA, 298, 298/A, 298/E and 299, Nallagandla (V), Serilingampally (M), Rangareddy Dist. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri J. Prabhu Kumar and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of Hospital Building (293 No. of Beds) in a total plot Area of 34,398.0 Sq.m. with total builtup area of 50,098.6 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in the year August 2015 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, Complaint Case No 753/2016 was filed in the Court of Hon'ble Megistrate XIX Metropolitan Megistrate, Cyberabad. The case was heard on 16.11.2016 and judgment has been given with a penalty Rs.1,00,000/- which was paid by the project proponent on 16.11.2016. In this regard, the proponent submitted copies of Docket Order and Counter Foil of the same.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 10	Building No. 19. by M/s Inorbit Mall India Private Limited Sy. No. 2-4 (87), 6-9 & 10P, Annojiguda & Pocharam (Villages), Ghatkesar (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of Commercial Mall in a total plot Area of 6.58 Ha. with total builtup area of 37,545.99 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in September 2011 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 11	Building No.16 of M/s Trion Properties Private Limited, Sy. No. 64 (Part), Madhapur (V), Serilingampally (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that they have mentioned the total builtup area wrongly in the application and hence it was requested to raise ADS so as to submit the proposal with the modified production capacity.

In view of the above, the SEAC informed the proponent to correct the total builtup area and submit the application.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 12	Saket Bhusattva by M/s Saket Engineers Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 407(P), 426(P), 427(P), 428(P), 429(P), Gowdavelly, Medchal (M), Medchal District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri N. V. Rao and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of Residential Project in a total plot Area of 8.98 Ha. with total builtup area of 61,784.8 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in September, 2011 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 13	United Hospital by M/s RDB Hyd Infrastructure Pvt. LTD. Sy. No. 12 (P), Kondapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri S.Sekhar and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of Hospital Project in a total plot Area of 6,174.6 Sq.m. with total builtup area of 34,665.7 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in August, 2013 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed the project is under construction.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 14	Oracle Hyderabad Campus by M/s Oracle India Private Limited, Plot No. 18, 19 & 21, Sy. No. 64 (Part), Hitech City Layout, Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri Sai Kirtani and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Campus in a total plot Area of 3.93 Ha. with total builtup area of 72,319.4 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in August, 2007 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 15	RDB Harmony M/s RDB Hyd Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. Sy No. 102, Serilingampally (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri Sanka Sekhar and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of Residential Apartments in a total plot Area of 2.608 Ha. with total builtup area of 1,71,017.1 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in August, 2013 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed the project is under construction.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 16	Building 4A & 4B by M/s K. Raheja IT Park (Hyderabad) Limited, Sy. No. 64 (P), Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Commercial Space in a total plot Area of 1.378 Ha. with total builtup area of 52,024.6 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in December, 2009 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 17	Building 1A & 1B by M/s K. Raheja IT Park (Hyderabad) Limited, Sy. No. 64 (P), Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Commercial Space in a total plot Area of 1.268 Ha. with total builtup area of 39,462.6 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in January, 2007 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 18	Building 3A & 3B by M/s K. Raheja IT Park (Hyderabad) Limited, Sy. No. 64 (P), Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Commercial Space in a total plot Area of 1.637 Ha. with total builtup area of 44,121.89 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in January, 2007 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 19	Building 2A & 2B by M/s K. Raheja IT Park (Hyderabad) Limited, Sy. No. 64 (P), Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Commercial Space in a total plot Area of 2.368 Ha. with total builtup area of 1,01,870.31 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in January, 2007 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied the project.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 20	Building 10 by M/s K. Raheja IT Park (Hyderabad) Limited, Sy. No. 64 (P), Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Commercial Space in a total plot Area of 1.257 Ha. with total builtup area of 54,435.57 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in January, 2007 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 21	Building 5B by M/s Intime Properties Limited, Sy. No. 64 (P), Madhapur, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Raja Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Commercial Space in a total plot Area of 0.717 Ha. with total builtup area of 33,904.0 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in March, 2009 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity of the project and occupied the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 22	M/s. Pioneer Holiday Resorts Limited, Sy. No. 8-2-268,268/A/1/2/B, 268/a/L, 268/1/K, 268/1/M, 268/A/1, 268/A/1/1, 268/1/1/A, 268/A/1/F, 268/A/1/A & 268, A/1/2/D/2, Road No.2, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri K. M. Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the proponent obtained EC vide order dt.28.08.2008 for construction of Hotel with total builtup area of 21,970.6 Sq.m. Subsequently, the proponent modified the project profile due to change in market demands by increasing the site area, no. of floors & builtup area. The present project is for Expansion of Five Star Hotel Construction project in a total plot Area of 7,770.4 Sq.m. with total builtup area of 32,184.6 Sq.m. But, it was observed that the proponent started construction of the project in December, 2016 without obtaining prior EC for Expansion. It was also informed that the project is under construction.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 23	M/s. Microsoft India (R & D) Private Limited, Sy. No. 210/1 & 203/P, Manikonda Jagir, Gachibowli (V), Rajendranagar (M), Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy Dist – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Sai Kiran and Smt. T. Reshma & Sri Thirumalesh of M/s. KKB Envirocare Consultants Private Limited, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

During presentation, the proponent informed that Ac. 55.08 of land was allotted by APIIC to them on 05.09.2003 and subsequently, they started construction in the year 2003 and completed the Building-1 in 2005 & Building-2 in 2006 before the EIA Notification, 2006. They have obtained CFO for Building-1 & Building -2 from APPCB. It was also informed that construction for Building-3 & MLCP was started in August, 2006 and completed in the year 2008. They have also obtained occupancy certificate for the same from APIIC on 17.04.2008. However, it was informed that as no approvals for Building-3 & MLCP were obtained unlike Building-1 & Building-2, they have submitted the application as a violation case. Further, it is noted that technical approval of building permissions for Building-3 & MLCP were issued vide lrs. dt.26.03.2008 by the Cyberabad Development Authority.

The SEAC noted that the present project is for Building-3 & MLCP Construction project in a total plot Area of Ac.9.5 with total builtup area of 92,917.0 Sq.m. But, it was observed that the proponent started construction of the project in August, 2006 without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the period October to December 2018 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 24	5.261 Ha. Stone & Road Metal Quarry M/s Sri Sammakka Sarakka Rock Sand Industry, Sy.No.33, Ravolkole (V), Medchal Mandal and District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri D.S.N. Raidu and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech (P) Ltd, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Stone & Road Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 5.261 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 4,00,000 TPA of Stone & Road Metal. It was informed that mine lease was granted on 18.07.2013 and the proponent started mining operations in the year 2013 without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.

- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 25	3.237 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Midwest Granite Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 18, Arpanapally (V), Keasamudram (M), Warangal District - Environmental Clearance (Expansion) - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri M.L.N Sharma and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech (P) Ltd, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Black Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 3.237 Ha. It is noted that the proponent obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt.22.12.2012 for mining 2,250 m³/annum of Black Granite. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of the project from 2,250 m³/annum to 16,162.80 m³/annum of Black Granite. It was informed that the proponent exceeded the production capacity in the year 2015 without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 26	4.234 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Midwest Granite Pvt Ltd, Sy.No. 187, 188, 189 & 192(Part), Theegalaveni (V), Gudur (M), Warangal District – (Expansion) Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri M.L.N Sharma and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech (P) Ltd, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Black Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 4.234 Ha. It is noted that the proponent obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt.25.10.2013 for mining 966 m³/annum of Black Granite. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of the project from 966 m³/annum to 16,925 m³/annum of Black Granite. It was informed that the proponent exceeded the production capacity in the year 2014 without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 27	1.640 Ha. Black Granite mine of M/s. Midwest Ganite Pvt. Ltd., Sy.No. 201, Thegalaveni (V), Gudur (M), Mahububabad District - (Expansion) Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri M.L.N Sharma and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech (P) Ltd, Hyderabad attended before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that they have mentioned the proposed production capacity wrongly in the application and hence it was requested to raise ADS so as to submit the proposal with the modified production capacity.

In view of the above, the SEAC informed the proponent to correct the production capacity and submit the application.

Agenda Item: 28	3.06 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Midwest Ganite Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 499 to 503, 506, 509 to 512, Vilasagar (V), Boinpally (M), Rajanna Siricilla District - (Expansion) Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri M.L.N Sharma and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech (P) Ltd, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 3.06 Ha. It is noted that the proponent obtained EC from the SEIAA, AP vide order dt.21.05.2013 for mining 2,975 m³/annum of Colour Granite. The proponent informed that the proposal is for enhancement of production capacity of the project from 2,975 m³/annum to 19,994.20 m³/annum of Colour Granite. It was informed that the proponent exceeded the production capacity in the year 2015 without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 29	M/s. Indus Crest of Software Enigneers Employees Housing & Welfare Association, Sy.No. 5/AA, 5/E, 5/R, 5/RVV/6/A, 6/AA, 7/A & 7/AA, Osman Nagar (V), Ramachandrapuram (M), Sangareddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri Uday Kumar and Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech (P) Ltd, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that they have mentioned the total builtup area wrongly in the application and hence it was requested to raise ADS so as to submit the proposal with the modified production capacity.

In view of the above, the SEAC informed the proponent to correct the total builtup area and submit the application.

Agenda Item: 30	M/s. Vivin Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited (Formerly Eytan Labs), Sy.No. 10 and its Parts, IDA, Gaddapotharam (V), Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri J. Murali Mohan and Sri Prasad of M/s. Right Source Industrial Sollutions, Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted the following:

- M/s. Vivin Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Ltd., (formerly known as M/s. Eytan abs Ltd.,) they have purchased the following 2 units which are located adjacent:
 - M/s. Matrix Laboratories Ltd., Unit-6 Sy.No.10/A, IDA Gaddapotharam, Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District is having an EC bearing No. J-11011/141/2005-IA II(I) dt.07.07.2005.
 - M/s. Biotech Pharma Ltd., Sy.No.10, IDA Gaddapotharam, Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District being an intermediate manufacturing company established prior to September 2006).
- After complete renovation they have obtained renewal of Consents vide Orders dt. 28.07.2012 with a name change ie., M/s. Eytan Labs Ltd.
- To meet their market demand and business expansion they had submitted their expansion proposal to SEIAA, Telangana State for issue of EC.
- During October 2015 Dr. T. Karuppaiah, Scientist-C, MoEF&CC, Regional Office (South Eastern Zone), Chennai has inspected their project and issued compliance report on existing EC vide No.F.No.EP/12.1/2015-16/15/TE/1749 dt.07.09.2016 in which a Non-Compliance was mentioned as below:

“No expansion activity was carried out by the unit, it was observed that as per EC, permission was accorded for manufacturing of only one product namely Ciprofloxacin HCL (60 TPA), where as in the present CFO permission was accorded for manufacturing 3 groups comprising of 4 products in each group with the restriction to manufacture One group of products. (315 kg/day) in a time, which is two times higher than the permitted quantity of EC and thus change in the scope has been observed. Permitted quantity in the EC and CFO are not in consistent.”
- Their application proposed expansion and applied for EC at SEIAA, TS and was reviewed in the 27th SEAC meeting held on 07.04.2017. Subsequently, keeping in view of the S.O.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 they have submitted their proposal to MoEF&CC, New Delhi on 20.04.2017. Accordingly, their project was considered in the EAC meeting held on 15.01.2018.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal, noted that the unit M/s. Eytan Labs has been in operation since July, 2012 without any Environmental Clearance, which amounts to violation of the EIA Notification, 2016. Accordingly, the MoEF&CC, GoI, vide Ir. dt. 08.06.2018 requested the State Government to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the E (P) Act, 1986 and further no Consent to operate to be issued or renewed till the project is granted EC by the concerned regulatory authority.
- The proponent informed that even though there is no violation in their case, as EAC committee mentioned that there is violation in their project, they applied to SEAC-TS on 11.04.2018 for regularization of EC, keeping in view of the S.O.1030 (E) dt. 08.03.2018 and OM dt. 15.03.2018 and the application was placed before the SEAC on 20.04.2018 and the project was deferred.
- Meanwhile, aggrieved by orders of the MoEF&CC, GoI, the proponent approached the Hon'ble NGT, New Delhi in Appeal No.111 of 2018. The Hon'ble NGT disposed the Appeal vide order dt.11.07.2018 and issued following order:

“This appeal has been preferred against the Ir.dt.08.06.2018 addressed by the Government of India to the Environment Secretary of the State of Telangana directing action to be taken for production in the industry without taking Environment Clearance. According to the Appellant the Environment Clearance already exists and the State Authority must hear the applicant before taking any punitive action. Needless to say it is open to the applicant / appellant to move the Respondent No.3 the Telangana State Pollution Control Board and if the appellant makes such a request, the said control Board shall proceed with in accordance with law after considering the objections of the Appellant”.

- Accordingly, the proponent informed that they have approached the TSPCB for revision of consent orders as per previous EC.

The proponent vide Ir.dt.29.08.2019 informed that “we had submitted our application for TOR at the MoEF&CC, GoI on 11.04.2018 under Industrial Projects-2 (Violation category). Now, State Pollution Control Board has revised our consent orders as per previous EC and now we came out from violation category. Now we would like to withdraw the above referred TOR proposal”. It was requested to drop their proposal with immediate effect.

In view of the above and after detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to return the application, as it is withdrawn by the proponent.

Agenda Item: 31	M/s. Virupaksha Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., (Unit - I), Plot No. F-7, IDA, Kukatpally, Quthbullapur (M), Medchal-Malkajgiri District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
----------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri I. Ravinder Reddy and Sri Prasad of M/s. Right Source Industrial Solutions, Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the industry obtained CFE vide Order dt. 30.01.2003 issued by the APPCB for manufacture of Calcium Gluconate – 80 kg/day, Sodium Succinate – 802 kg/day, Capsaicin Natural – 0.5 kg/day & Curcumin – 40 kg/day. The proponent is operating the unit with latest CFO dt.01.05.2018 (valid upto 30.09.2022) issued by the TSPCB.

Now, the proponent proposed Expansion of the unit by increasing the production capacity to 12.515 TPM.

The SEAC noted the G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 and G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

The SEAC noted that ZLD System is not proposed in the projectd for treatment & reuse of effluents. Hence, the applicability of G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 and G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP to the proposed project for expansion is to be ascertained. However, the proponent proposed to dispose the effluent to the combined ZLD system proposed outside the industry premises. In this regard, the proponent informed that a combined ZLD system is being proposed by the same management to treat the effluents generated from their Units-I & II located at IDA, Kukatpally. The SEAC noted that the proposed site for CETP is at a distance of about 74.78 mtr. within the IDA.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Project modification
- ii) Project cost
- iii) ZLD System & its adequacy
- iv) ETP modifications
- v) Products : Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vi) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted as per ER-I/GST.
- vii) Raw material : Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- viii) Solid waste : Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Impact on surroundings
- x) Details of violations as per S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xi) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri K. Shiva Kumar
2. Dr. P. Radha Krishna
3. Prof. Ch. Krishna Reddy

Agenda Item: 32	M/s. Virupaksha Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., (Unit - II), Plot No. F-10, IDA, Kukatpally, Quthubullarpur (M), Medchal-Malkajgiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri I. Ravinder Reddy and Sri Prasad of M/s. Right Source Industrial Sollutions, Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the proponent is operating the unit with latest CFO dt.20.06.2018 (valid upto 30.09.2019) issued by the TSPCB for manufacture of Fexofenadine HCL. Now, the proponent proposed Expansion of the unit by increasing the production capacity to 14.0 TPM.

The SEAC noted that it was mentioned in the CFO order that "the industry shall not manufacture Tramadol HCl as committed vide Ir.dt.07.06.2018". Hence, applicability of S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI to the project is to be examined.

The SEAC noted the G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 and G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP.

The SEAC noted that ZLD System is not proposed in the projectd for treatment & reuse of effluents. Hence, the applicability of G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 and G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP to the proposed project for expansion is to be ascertained. However, the proponent proposed to dispose the effluent to the combined ZLD system proposed outside the industry premises. In this regard, the proponent informed that a combined ZLD system is being proposed by the same management to treat the effluents generated from their Units-I & II located at IDA, Kukatpally. The SEAC noted that the proposed site for CETP is at a distance of about 157.27 mtr. within the IDA.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Project modification
- ii) Project cost
- iii) ZLD System & its adequacy
- iv) ETP modifications
- v) Products : Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- vi) Verify Production details w.r.t. permitted as per ER-I/GST.
- vii) Raw material : Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- viii) Solid waste : Comparison of existing and proposed (which are going for expansion)
- ix) Impact on surroundings
- x) Details of violations as per S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017 & S.O. 1030 (E) dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xi) Justification of project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019.

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri K. Shiva Kumar
2. Dr. P. Radha Krishna
3. Prof. Ch. Krishna Reddy

Agenda Item: 33	Virupaksha Group Combined Effluent Treatment Plant (ZLD Scheme) by M/s. Virupaksha Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 42, Alinagar H/O. Chatlapotharam (V), IDA, Gaddapotharam (V), Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri I. Ravinder Reddy and Sri Prasad of M/s. Right Source Industrial Solutions, Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the proponent proposed to treat the effluents generated from their Units-I & II located at IDA, Kukatpally in the name of M/s. Virupaksha Laboratories Pvt. Ltd.(VLPL). The SEAC noted that the proposed site of CETP is located at a distance of about 74.78 mtr and 157.27 mtr. from Unit-I and Unit-II of VLPL respectively within the IDA.

The total site area of the proposed CETP is Ac.1.0. The details of the effluent generation proposed to be treated in CETP with ZLD System are as following:

Indsutry	HTDS	LTDS	Total
VLPL (Unit-I)	10.5 KLD	14.3 KLD	24.8 KLD
VLPL (Unit-II)	10.0 KLD	15.5 KLD	25.5 KLD
Total	20.5 KLD	29.8 KLD	50.3 KLD

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with following members to inspect the site, verify records and submit reports on proximity of proposed CETP to the Unit-I & Unit-II of VLPL adequacy of treatment systems proposed in CETP with ZLD System, comments on viability of the project legally w.r.t. Expansion of Unit-I & Unit-II of VLPL keeping in view of the G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019, impacts of the projects on surrounding environment, etc.,

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri K. Shiva Kumar
2. Dr. P. Radha Krishna
3. Prof. Ch. Krishna Reddy

Agenda Item: 34	Synergy Park by M/s. Tata Consultancy Services Ltd., Sy. No. 26, Gachibowli (V), Serilingampally (M), RR District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri Raghu Naik and Dr. Rekha Singh of M/s. Voyants Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

The SEAC noted that the CMC (Computer Maintenance Corporation of India) obtained EC for an area of 5.08 Ha. surrounding ODC-IV and MLCP. It was informed that the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh & Telangana States promulgated orders for amalgamation of CMC with Tata Consultancy Services Ltd. So, on receiving the Court order, TCS found that the project is a violation case of Environment Clearance.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT/ITES Commercial Office Buildings in a total plot Area of 1,36,600.0 Sq.m. with total builtup area of 1,02,548.0 Sq.m. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity and occupancy the project without obtaining prior EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Base line data collected during the post monsoon period of 2019 may be used for EIA/EMP preparation, as requested.
- (vii) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 35	1.0 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry of Sri. J. Veerender Reddy, Sy.No.31 & 32, Srinivasapuram (V), Alair (M), Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Mohan Reddy and Sri Chandra Sekhar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 1.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 19,160 m³/annum of Rough Stone & Road Metal. It was informed that Quarry lease was granted on 01.11.2007 and the mining operations were started in the mine lease area from the year 2007 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

The proponent informed that another quarry lease of M/s. S.N. Infra (4.30 Ha.) exists adjacent to their mine lease area with the same Survey Numbers. It was requested to consider their mining lease under cluster so that they may be allowed to have a common Public Hearing as cluster.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing (common for cluster) in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 36	4.30 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal of M/s. S.N.Infra, Sy.No. 31 & 32, Srinivasapuram (V), Alair (M), Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Mohan Reddy and Sri Chandra Sekhar Reddy of M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 4.30 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 87,500 m³/annum of Rough Stone & Road Metal. It was informed that Quarry lease was executed on 27.04.2014 and the proponent started mining operations without obtaining prior EC.

The proponent informed that another quarry lease of Sri J. Veerender Reddy (1.0 Ha.) exists adjacent to their mine lease area with the same Survey Numbers. It was requested to consider their mining lease under cluster so that they may be allowed to have a common Public Hearing as cluster.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing (common for cluster) in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 37	0.82 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Universal Granites, Sy.No. 508, Kadambapur (V), Sulthanabad (M), Peddapally District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item: 38	1.030 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Sunny Enterprises, Sy.No.189 & 191, Odiyaram (V), Gangadhara (M), Karminagar District - Environmental Clearance (Expansion) - Reg.
------------------------	---

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item: 39	3.0 Ha Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Sunny Enterprises, Sy.No: 192, Odyaram (V), Gangadhara (M), Karimnagar District - Environmental Clearance (Expansion) - Reg.
------------------------	--

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 40	1.0 Ha. Building Stone & Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Sai Ram Stone Crusher, Sy.No. 1551, Madikonda (V), Kazipet (M), Warangal Urban District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------	---

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item: 41	M/s. Capgemini Technology Services India Limited (formerly Kanbay Software India Pvt. Ltd.) IT Park, Plot No.1, Sy.No.115/32 & 35, Nanakramguda (V), Serilingampalli (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri Ravi Kumar and Sri T. Laxmi Kanth Reddy of M/s. Vison Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of IT Office Buildings in a total plot Area of 6.0705 Ha. with total builtup area of 48,439.93 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in the year 2007 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that they have completed the construction activity and occupied the project.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 42	1.40 Ha. Basalt Stone Mine of M/s. Rock Wool India Pvt. Ltd., Sy.No.54 Kothur (V), Kohir (M), Sangareddy District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item: 43	M/s. Mudra Properties, Sy. No. 115, Kokapet (V), Rajendra Nagar (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item: 44	"Warehousing and Industrial Park" of M/s. Rudrapratap Indian Logistics (Hyderabad) Pvt. Ltd., Sy.No. 518/P, 527/P, 528, 529 & 530, Kistapur (V), Medchal (M), Ranga Reddy Dist. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri C. Narendra and Dr. Sreekanth Desi of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of Warehouse in a total plot Area of 1,13,311.97 Sq.m. with total builtup area of 65,163.69 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in the year 2015 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that the construction activity of the project is under progress.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, Complaint Case No 86 of 2017 was filed in the Court of Hon'ble VI Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal. The case was heard on 03.03.2017 and judgment has been given with a penalty Rs.25,000/- which was paid by the project proponent on 03.03.2017. In this regard, the proponent submitted copies of the same.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.

Agenda Item: 45	“Luxury Villas” of M/s. Sark Projects, Sy.No. 72, 73, 74 & 75, Mokila (V), Shankerpally (M), Ranga Reddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri A. Mahesh and Dr. Sreekanth Desi of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for construction of Residential Villas in a total plot Area of 87,512.54 Sq.m. with total builtup area of 55,641.34 Sq.m. It was informed that the proponent started construction of the project in the year 2013 without obtaining prior EC. It was also informed that the construction activity of the project is under progress.

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the Act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, the State Government vide Ir. dt. 22.02.2017 informed that credible action has been initiated against the proponent by invoking powers under Section 19 of E(P) Act, 1986.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.

During presentation, the SEAC observed from the photographs that though the proponent has earmarked Ac. 2.27 as Green Area (i.e., 10.435 % of Total site area), but the same is not found in the photographs. Hence, the SEAC decided to constitute a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the site, verify records & submit report on present status of the project, greenbelt proposed & developed, impacts of the project on surrounding environment, etc.,

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Sri K. Shiva Kumar
2. Prof. Ch. Krishna Reddy

Agenda Item: 46	1.679 Ha. Quartz Mine of Sri T. Krishna Prasad, Sy. No. 115/1, Karegaon (V), Varini (M), Nizambad District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri V. N Reddy and Smt T. Srilatha & Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Quartz Mine with Mine Lease Area of 1.679 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 7,000 TPA of Quartz. It was informed that the mine lease was granted vide Prcds. dt. 13.08.2012 and the proponent started mining operations in the year 2012 without obtaining prior EC.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining. The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 47	3.5 Ha. Stone & Metal Quarry of M/s. Sivam Shankaram, Sy. No.441, Ghanpur (V), Toopran (M), Medak District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------	---

The representative of the project proponent Sri R. Raju and Smt T. Srilatha & Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Stone & Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 3.5 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 30,000 m³/annum of Stone & Metal.

It was informed that the mining lease was granted in the name of M/s. Sahithi Projects Pvt. Ltd., on 18.09.2008 and mining operations were started in the mine lease area from the year 2008 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, the quarry lease was transferred in the name of the proponent on 25.08.2015. But, the proponent did not obtain EC and carrying out mining operations.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 48	4.0 Ha. Stone & Metal Quarry of M/s. Sivam Shankaram, Sy. No.393, Ghanpur (V), Toopran (M), Medak District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri R. Raju and Smt T. Srilatha & Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Stone & Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 4.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 42,000 m³/annum of Stone & Metal.

It was informed that the mining lease was granted in the name of M/s. Sahithi Projects Pvt. Ltd., on 16.06.2008 and mining operations were started in the mine lease area from the year 2008 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, the quarry lease was transferred in the name of the proponent on 08.08.2014. But, the proponent did not obtain EC and carrying out mining operations.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

Agenda Item: 49	1.5 Ha. Stone & Metal Quarry of M/s. Anantha Sai Stone Crushing Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 486, Malkapur (V), Choutuppal (M), Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri Anil and Smt T. Srilatha & Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Stone & Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 1.5 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 35,000 m³/annum of Stone & Metal.

It was informed that the mining operations were started in the mine lease area from the year 2008 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, the quarry lease was transferred in the name of the proponent on 03.01.2009. But, the proponent did not obtain EC and carrying out mining operations.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

The proponent informed that another quarry lease of the proponent (5.0 Ha.) exists at a distance about 100 mtr. from their mine lease area with the same survey no. It was requested to consider their mining lease under cluster so that they may be allowed to have a common Public Hearing as cluster.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing (common for cluster) in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 50	5.0 Ha. Stone & Metal Quarry of M/s. Anantha Sai Stone Crushing Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 486, Malkapur (V), Choutuppal (M), Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri District - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
------------------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri Anil and Smt T. Srilatha & Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Stone & Metal Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 5.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 2,40,000 m³/annum of Stone & Metal.

It was informed that the mining operations were started in the mine lease area from the year 2008 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, the quarry lease was transferred in the name of the proponent on 03.01.2009. But, the proponent did not obtain EC and carrying out mining operations.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

The proponent informed that another quarry lease of the proponent (1.5 Ha.) exists at a distance about 100 mtr. from their mine lease area with the same survey no. It was requested to consider their mining lease under cluster so that they may be allowed to have a common Public Hearing as cluster.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing (common for cluster) in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item: 51	4.0 Ha. Mosaic Chips Quarry of M/s. G.R.Industries, Sy. No. 318 Raghunadapalem (V), Mattampally (M), Suryapet District- Environmental Clearance - Reg.
-----------------	--

The representative of the project proponent Sri P. Venkateswara Rao and Smt T. Srilatha & Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Mosaic Chips Quarry with Mine Lease Area of 4.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 3,25,470 m³/annum of Mosaic Chips.

It was informed that the mine lease was granted on 23.06.2007 for a period of 10 years and the mining operations were started in the mine lease area from December 2011 without obtaining prior EC, as it was not required then as per EIA, 2006 Notification. Subsequently, the quarry lease was expired on 18.09.2017 and renewal of quarry lease for a further period of 10 years was issued w.e.f. 19.09.2017. It was informed that they have applied for EC at the DEAC and the file was under process till 2018. The proponent informed that in the meantime, they were informed by the DEAC to apply to MoEF under violation as per S.O.1030 (E), dt. 08.03.2018. It was further informed that later they have applied to MoEF and then to the SEIAA as per OM dt. 15.03.2018. It was also informed that there is no increase in area and production and hence they requested to consider their project for EC as fresh project.

In this regard, the SEAC noted that the MoE&F, GoI issued Circular dt.02.07.2007 on Clarification regarding applicability of EIA Notification, 2006 on Mining Leases of 5.0 Ha. (Major minerals) and mining leases of (Minor Minerals) which have been operating before 14.09.2006. As per the Circular, all such projects which have been operating without any EC would obtain EC at the time of their Lease Renewal even if there is no increase either in terms of lease area or production. The SEAC also noted that as per the OM dt.18.05.2012 issued by the MoE&F, GoI on implementation of the Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court, it is understood that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal irrespective of size of lease area are required to obtain EC to carry on mining.

The SEAC examined the proposal keeping in view of the above Circular dt.02.07.2007 & OM dt.18.05.2012 and observed that mining operations were carried out in the mine lease area without obtaining EC.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 29.08.2019

- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.


CHAIRMAN, SEAC