

Minutes of 661st SEAC-1 Meeting Dated 07/06/2022

The 661st meeting of SEAC-1 was held in the Directorate of Environment, U.P. through dual-mode (physically/virtually) at 10:00 AM on 07/06/2022. Following members participated in the meeting:

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. | Shri Rajive Kumar, | Chairman, SEAC-1 |
| 2. | Dr. Brij Bihari Awasthi, | Member, SEAC-1 |
| 3. | Shri Umesh Chandra Sharma, | Member, SEAC-1 |
| 4. | Dr. Ratan Kar, | Member, SEAC-1 (through VC) |
| 5. | Shri Ashish Tiwari, | Member-Secretary, SEAC-1 |

The Chairman welcomed the members to the 661st SEAC-1 meeting which was conducted via dual-mode (virtually/physically). Nodal Officer, SEAC-1 informed the committee that the agenda has been approved by the Member Secretary, SEAC-1/Director Environment. Nodal Officer, SEAC-1 placed the agenda items along with the available file and documents before the SEAC-1.

1. Stone, Khanda, Ballast (Gitti) (Granite) & Hilly Red Morrum” at Araj No.- 1969, Village Makarbai, Tehsil Sadar & District- Mahoba, Shri Daljeet Singh, Area 0.567 Ha. File No. 6948/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72411/2022

The committee noted that the matter was earlier listed in 639th SEAC meeting dated 25/03/2022 and the project proponent requested to defer the matter in upcoming SEAC meeting and the committee agreed with the requested made by the project proponent. The project proponent through online portal on 09/05/2022 has requested to list the matter in next SEAC meeting and the matter was listed in 661st SEAC meeting dated 07/06/2022.

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Environmental Research and Analysis. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The terms of reference is sought for Building Stone, Khanda, Ballast (Gitti) (Granite) & Hilly Red Morrum Mining at Araj No. 1969, Village- Makarbai, Tehsil- Sadar, District- Mahoba, U.P., (Leased Area- 0.567 ha.).
2. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1. On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/72411/2022
2. File No. allotted by SEIAA, U.P	6948
3. Name of Proponent	Shri Daljeet Singh S/o - Shri Kalyan Singh
4. Full correspondence address of proponent and mobile no.	R/O- 56 Hari Singh Thok, Matondh, District: Banda (U.P.)
5. Name of Project	Building Stone, Khanda, Ballast (Gitti) (Granite) & Hilly Red Morrum Mining Project
6. Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Arazi No. 1969
7. Name of River	NA
8. Village	Makarbai
9. Tehsil	Sadar
10. District	Mahoba (U.P.)
11. Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone, Khanda, Ballast (Gitti) (Granite) & Hilly Red Morrum Mining Project
12. Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	0.567 Ha.
13. Mineable Area (in Ha.)	0.343 Ha. (Safety Margin 0.224 Ha.)

14. Zero level mRL	159 mRL		
15. Max. & Min. mRL within lease area	Maximum & Minimum mRL is 161 & 159 mRL respectively.		
16. Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Pillar	Latitude(N)	Longitude(E)
	A	25°20'59.701"N	80°03'17.395"E
	B	25°20'58.247"N	80°03'21.095"E
	C	25°20'57.448"N	80°03'21.779"E
	D	25°20'56.954"N	80°03'21.358"E
	E	25°20'57.703"N	80°03'16.387"E
17. Total Geological Reserves	69,900 m ³		
18. Sanction Quantity as per LOI	11,340 m ³ per year as per LOI		
19. Total Proposed Production (in five year)	56,700 for five years		
20. Proposed Production/year	11,340 m ³ per year		
21. Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	10 Years as per LOI		
22. Total quantity issued for lease period of 10 years as per LOI	1,13,400 m ³ for lease period of 10 years as per LOI		
23. Method of Mining	Open cast, semi-mechanized		
24. No. of working days	250		
25. Working hours/day	8 hours/day		
26. No. Of workers	Approximately 20		
27. No. Of vehicles movement/day	Approx. 3-4 Vehicles movement/day		
28. Type of Land	Government Land (Rocky Barren)		
29. Ultimate Depth of Mining	15.0 m for 5 year		
30. Nearest metalled road from site	NH-35 is about 4.30 km towards North-West direction from the project site.		
31. Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)	
	Drinking water	0.30 KLD	
	Dust suppression	1.44 KLD	
	Plantation	1.0 KLD	
	Others (if any)	-	
	Total	2.74 KLD approx. 2.7 KLD	
32. Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No And period of validity.	Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P) Certificate No. NABET/ EIA/ 1922/RA 0200 Valid up to 30 Dec, 2022		
33. Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No		
34. Details of 500 m Cluster Map & certificate issued by Mining Officer	Letter No. 2071/एम०एम०सी०-30/2021-22 Date- 26/10/2021		
35. Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Amendment Letter no: 8471/M.M.C-30-Khanij/2020-21) dated 10/03/2021 ,Page No.-1, S.No.-08		
36. Proposed EMP cost	Rs 7,95,420/-		
37. Proposed Total Project cost	Rs. 98,00,000/-		
38. Length and breadth of Haul Road	160 m length and 6.0 m width		
39. No. of Trees to be Planted	1000		

- The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
- This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
- The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
- There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
- The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 03/06/2022 mentioning is as follows:

1. I Dr. Vishnu Kumar Awasthi S/o Lt. R G Awasthi is EIA Coordinator of M/s Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P.), Accreditation Certificate No- NABET/ EIA/ 1922/RA 0200, valid till- 30/12/2022.
2. I have prepared TOR Project for the File No.- 6948, Online proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72411/2022 Proponent - Shri Daljeet Singh S/o - Shri Kalyan Singh of “Building Stone, Khanda, Ballast (Gitti) (Granite) & Hilly Red Morrum Mining Project” located at Arazi No. 1969 (Area- 0.567 Ha.) in Village: Makarbai, Tehsil: Sadar, District: Mahoba, Uttar Pradesh with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal.
4. I have satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required for TOR Project presentations are true and correct.
5. I certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
6. I certify that this project has been uploaded for this first time on Parivesh portal. In case the project has been uploaded again due to any reason, the withdrawals of previous project have been accepted by SEIAA, UP on Parivesh Portal.
7. I certify that there is no mismatch between information/data provided on online application and hard copy/presentation submitted.

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 01

The committee discussed the matter and recommended to issue the standard terms of reference for the preparation of EIA as annexed at Annexure-1 to the minutes. The committee also stipulated following additional TOR Points:

Additional TOR:

1. To ensure proper monitoring, the project proponent/consultant should provide evidence in form of (A) Raw Data (B) Logbook of their site visit along with activities carried out during monitoring (C) Real time photographs showing monitoring machine, public, lab person etc.
2. EIA coordinator & FAE should give a photo affidavit during EIA presentation that they have personally visited the site & they have also taken all the mitigating measures for any critical issues involved in the project.
3. Combined KML of all mines in a cluster should be submitted at the time of EIA.
4. The details of equipment used for baseline monitoring alongwith its photograph mentioning date, time and geo coordinates for preparation of EIA report should be clearly displayed to the people present during public hearing and the complete details related to monitoring period must be mentioned in the minutes of public hearing.
5. The project proponent/Consultant should identify the core & buffer zone (2.5 km) of the mining site.
6. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
7. Proponent/ Consultant should submit the plan/information along with technology (photographs of water sprinklers/ tankers) to be implemented for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operation activity/vehicular movement. Technology should be displayed at the time of EIA presentation.
8. Proposed plantation plan with area specific plant species, number of plants to be planted and place of plantation along with a proper map to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
9. Water requirement details along with source of water and the permission/ agreement with the concerning authority/ person to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
10. Proponent/consultant shall present TOR specific/additional conditions compliance, observation/suggestions raised during the public hearing and commitment made by the project proponent in a tabular form with a time bound plan at the time of EIA presentation.

2. Riverbed Sand Mining at Gata No.-593, Village Khaira Khas, Tehsil- Belthara Road, District- Ballia, Shri Krishn Nagar Yadav M/s Shiva Enterprises, Area – 11.9 ha. File No. 6949/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72407/2022

The committee noted that the matter was earlier listed in 639th SEAC meeting dated 25/03/2022 and the project proponent did not appear in the meeting. The project proponent through online portal on 10/05/2022 has requested to list the matter in next SEAC meeting and the matter was listed in 661st SEAC meeting dated 07/06/2022.

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s PARAMARSH (Servicing Environment and Development), Lucknow, UP. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The terms of reference is sought for Ordinary Sand Mine from the riverbed of Ghaghara river at Araj/Gata no.-593, Village-Khaira Khas, Tehsil-Belthara road, District-Ballia, U.P., (Leased Area-11.90 ha.), M/s Shiva Enterprises Maharajganj.
2. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/72407/2022		
2.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	6949		
3.	Name of Proponent	M/s Shiva Enterprises Maharajganj Shri Krish Nagar Yadav S/o Late Rambriskh Yadav		
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent and mobile no.	M/s Shiva Enterprises Maharajganj Shri Krish Nagar Yadav S/o Late Rambriskh Yadav R/o Village- Darhata, Thana- Chauk, Tehsil- Sadar, District- Ballia, U.P.		
		Mobile no.-		
		E-mail ID- shivaenterprises5418@gmail.com		
5.	Name of Project	Ordinary Sand Mining from Riverbed of Ghaghara River		
6.	Project Location (Plot.Khasra/Gata No.)	Gata No. –593,Village –Khaira Khas, Tehsil- Belthara Road, District–Ballia, Uttar Pradesh		
7.	Name of River	Ghaghra		
8.	Name of Village	Khaira Khas		
9.	Tehsil	Belthara Road		
10.	District	Ballia		
11.	Name of Minor Mineral	Ordinary Sand Mining from Riverbed of Ghaghara River		
12.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	11.90 ha.		
13.	Max. & Min mRL within lease area	Highest- 82 mRL Lowest- 65mRL		
14.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Pillars	Latitude	Longitude
		A	26°10'33.00"N	83°51'27.30"E
		B	26°10'36.50"N	83°51'36.60"E
		C	26°10'10.40"N	83°51'43.50"E
		D	26°10'9.80"N	83°51'41.50"E
15.	Total Geological Reserves	3,29,864 m ³		
16.	Total Mineable Reserve in LOI/Mine Plan	1,19,000 m ³		
17.	Total Proposed Production (In 5 Years)	5,95,000 m ³ (In 5 Years)		
18.	Proposed Production /year	1,19,000 m ³		
19.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	5 Years		
20.	Method of Mining	Opencast, Semi-Mechanized		
21.	No. of working days	260		

22.	Working hours/day	8	
23.	No. of worker	52	
24.	No. of vehicles movement/day	33	
25.	Type of Land	Govt. revenue land	
26.	Ultimate of Depth of Mining	1.2m	
27.	Nearest metalled road from site	590m	
28.	Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)
		Drinking	0.52 KLD
		Suppression of dust	2.36 KLD
		Plantation	0.3 KLD
		Others (if any)	-
		Total	3.18 KLD
29.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	PARAMARSH (Servicing Environment and Development) Lucknow UP Certificate no. NABET/EIA/2124/RA 0224 Valid Till May 01,2024	
30.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No	
31.	Details of 500 m Cluster Certificate verified by Mining Officer	vide letter no. 219 dated 19/02/2022	
32.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	11.90 ha..	
33.	Proposed CER cost	Rs. 4.8 Lakhs	
34.	Proposed EMP cost	Total project cost- Rs 2.4 Crore	
35.	Length and breadth of Haul Road	590m & 6m	
36.	No. of Trees to be Planted	300	

- The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
- This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
- The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
- There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
- The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 02/06/2022 mentioning is as follows:

- I Surendra Vikram Ghavri S/o Shri Pratap Kumar is EIA Coordinator of M/s PARAMARSH (Servicing Environment and Development, Lucknow).
- I have prepared TOR application report for the (Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72407/2022, Riverbed ordinary sand mine by M/s Shiva Enterprises Maharajganj, Shri Krishn Nagar Yadav with my team.
- I have personally visited the site of proposal.
- I have satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required for TOR application preparation are true and correct.
- I certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
- I certify that this project has been uploaded for this first time on Parivesh portal. In case the project has been uploaded again due to any reason, the withdrawals of previous project have been accepted by SEIAA, UP on Parivesh Portal.
- I certify that there is no mismatch between information/data provided on online application and hard copy/presentation submitted.

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 02

The committee discussed the matter and recommended to issue the standard terms of reference for the preparation of EIA as annexed at Annexure-1 to the minutes. The committee also stipulated following additional TOR Points:

Additional TOR:

1. To ensure proper monitoring, the project proponent/consultant should provide evidence in form of (A) Raw Data (B) Logbook of their site visit along with activities carried out during monitoring (C) Real time photographs showing monitoring machine, public, lab person etc.
2. EIA coordinator & FAE should give a photo affidavit during EIA presentation that they have personally visited the site & they have also taken all the mitigating measures for any critical issues involved in the project.
3. Combined KML of all mines in a cluster should be submitted at the time of EIA.
4. The details of equipment used for baseline monitoring alongwith its photograph mentioning date, time and geo coordinates for preparation of EIA report should be clearly displayed to the people present during public hearing and the complete details related to monitoring period must be mentioned in the minutes of public hearing.
5. The project proponent/Consultant should identify the core & buffer zone (2.5 km) of the mining site.
6. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
7. Proponent/ Consultant should submit the plan/information along with technology (photographs of water sprinklers/ tankers) to be implemented for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operation activity/vehicular movement. Technology should be displayed at the time of EIA presentation.
8. Proposed plantation plan with area specific plant species, number of plants to be planted and place of plantation along with a proper map to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
9. Water requirement details along with source of water and the permission/ agreement with the concerning authority/ person to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
10. Proponent/consultant shall present TOR specific/additional conditions compliance, observation/suggestions raised during the public hearing and commitment made by the project proponent in a tabular form with a time bound plan at the time of EIA presentation.

3. Riverbed Ordinary Sand mine at Gata No.-659/11, Village Leelapur, Tehsil- Sadar, District- Ghazipur, Shri Krishn Nagar Yadav, M/s Shiva Enterprises, Area – 5.115 ha. File No. 6950/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72405/2022

The committee noted that the matter was earlier listed in 639th SEAC meeting dated 25/03/2022 and the project proponent did not appear in the meeting. The project proponent through online portal on 10/05/2022 has requested to list the matter in next SEAC meeting and the matter was listed in 661st SEAC meeting dated 07/06/2022.

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Paramarsh (Servicing Environment and Development), Lucknow, UP. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The terms of reference is sought for Ordinary Sand Mine from the riverbed of Ganga river at Araj/Gata no. 659/11, Village-Leelapur, Tehsil-Sadar, District-Ghazipur, U.P., (Leased Area- 5.115 ha.), M/s Shiva Enterprises.

2. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/72405/2022			
2.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	6950			
3.	Name of Proponent	M/s Shiva Enterprises Shri Krish Nagar Yadav S/o Late Rambriskh Yadav			
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent and mobile no.	M/s Shiva Enterprises Shri Krish Nagar Yadav S/o Late Rambriskh Yadav R/o Village- Ballo khas, Post- Shikarpur, District- Maharajganj, U.P.			
		Mobile no.-			
		E-mail ID- shivaenterprises5418@gmail.com			
5.	Name of Project	Ordinary Sand Mining from Riverbed of Ganga River			
6.	Project Location (Plot.Khasra/Gata No.)	Gata No. –659/11 Village –Leelapur, Tehsil- Sadar, District–Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh			
7.	Name of River	Ganga			
8.	Name of Village	Leelapur			
9.	Tehsil	Sadar			
10.	District	Ghazipur			
11.	Name of Minor Mineral	Ordinary Sand Mining from Riverbed of Ganga River			
12.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	5.115 ha.			
13.	Max. & Min mRL within lease area	Highest- 60 mRL Lowest- 59mRL			
14.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)		Pillar No.	Latitude	Longitude
			A	25°27'45.50"N	83°26'29.00"E
			B	25°27'39.60"N	83°26'39.84"E
			C	25°27'35.90"N	83°26'36.60"E
			D	25°27'41.20"N	83°26'26.00"E
15.	Total Geological Reserves	76,884 m ³			
16.	Total Mineable Reserve in LOI/Mine Plan	45,000 m ³			
17.	Total Proposed Production (In 5 Years)	2,25,000 m ³ (In 5 Years)			
18.	Proposed Production /year	45,000 m ³ /Year			
19.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	5 Years			
20.	Method of Mining	Opencast, Semi-Mechanized			
21.	No. of working days	260			
22.	Working hours/day	8			
23.	No. of worker	52			
24.	No. of vehicles movement/day	02			
25.	Type of Land	Govt. revenue land			
26.	Ultimate of Depth of Mining	1.0m			
27.	Nearest metalled road from site	1.0 km			
28.	Water Requirement	PURPOSE		REQUIREMENT (KLD)	
		Drinking		0.52 KLD	
		Suppression of dust		4.0 KLD	
		Plantation		0.3 KLD	
		Others (if any)		-	
		Total		4.82 KLD	
29.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	PARAMARSH (Servicing Environment and Development) Lucknow UP Certificate no. NABET/EIA/2124/RA 0224 Valid Till May 01,2024			
30.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No			
31.	Details of 500 m Cluster Certificate verified by Mining Officer	vide letter no. 2092/12-khanij/2022 dated 19/01/2022			

32.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	5.115 ha.
33.	Proposed CER cost	Rs. 4.8 Lakhs
34.	Proposed EMP cost	Total project cost- Rs 2.4 Crore
35.	Length and breadth of Haul Road	1000m & 6m
36.	No. of Trees to be Planted	300

3. The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
4. This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
5. The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
6. There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
7. The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 02/06/2022 mentioning is as follows:

1. I Surendra Vikram Ghavri S/o Shri Pratap Kumar is EIA Coordinator of M/s PARAMARSH (Servicing Environment and Development, Lucknow).
2. I have prepared TOR application report for the (Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72405/2022, Riverbed ordinary sand mine by M/s Shiva Enterprises Maharajganj, Shri Krishn Nagar Yadav with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal.
4. I have satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required for TOR application preparation are true and correct.
5. I certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
6. I certify that this project has been uploaded for this first time on Parivesh portal. In case the project has been uploaded again due to any reason, the withdrawals of previous project have been accepted by SEIAA, UP on Parivesh Portal.
7. I certify that there is no mismatch between information/data provided on online application and hard copy/presentation submitted.

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 03

The committee discussed the matter and recommended to issue the standard terms of reference for the preparation of EIA as annexed at Annexure-1 to the minutes. The committee also stipulated following additional TOR Points:

Additional TOR:

1. To ensure proper monitoring, the project proponent/consultant should provide evidence in form of (A) Raw Data (B) Logbook of their site visit along with activities carried out during monitoring (C) Real time photographs showing monitoring machine, public, lab person etc.
2. EIA coordinator & FAE should give a photo affidavit during EIA presentation that they have personally visited the site & they have also taken all the mitigating measures for any critical issues involved in the project.
3. Combined KML of all mines in a cluster should be submitted at the time of EIA.
4. The details of equipment used for baseline monitoring alongwith its photograph mentioning date, time and geo coordinates for preparation of EIA report should be clearly displayed to the people present during public hearing and the complete details related to monitoring period must be mentioned in the minutes of public hearing.
5. The project proponent/Consultant should identify the core & buffer zone (2.5 km) of the mining site.
6. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.

7. Proponent/ Consultant should submit the plan/information along with technology (photographs of water sprinklers/ tankers) to be implemented for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operation activity/vehicular movement. Technology should be displayed at the time of EIA presentation.
 8. Proposed plantation plan with area specific plant species, number of plants to be planted and place of plantation along with a proper map to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
 9. Water requirement details along with source of water and the permission/ agreement with the concerning authority/ person to be submitted at the time of EIA presentation.
 10. Proponent/consultant shall present TOR specific/additional conditions compliance, observation/suggestions raised during the public hearing and commitment made by the project proponent in a tabular form with a time bound plan at the time of EIA presentation.
4. **JBM Hospital at Plot No.2, Sec-22E, Yamuna Expressway, Gautam Budh Nagar, Shri Guru Dutt, M/s Hans Vahini Shiksha Samiti. File No. 7074/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/272083/2022**

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 04

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the representative of project proponent along with their consultant M/s Grass Roots Research and Creation India (P) Ltd. The committee discussed the matter and directed the project proponent to submit structural stability certificate issued by IITs/reputed institution. The committee also directed that president or any senior officer of the society should come at the time of presentation to explain the project.

The matter shall be discussed after submission of online information on prescribed portal.

5. **Proposed T-5 Commercial Project at Plot No. 5(T-5) at International Business Bay-1, Sushant Golf city, Hi-Tech Township, Sultanpur Road, Lucknow, U.P.Shri Rohit Agarwal, M/s SJP Hotel & Resort Pvt. Ltd. File No. 7079/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/272355/2022**

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 05

The committee noted that the project proponent/director of the company was not present in the meeting. Therefore, the committee did not entertain the case. Hence, the committee directed to defer the matter for upcoming meeting and the matter shall be listed after online request received from project proponent.

6. **Stone Khanda,Boulder, Bailast (Gitti)” Mining at Gata No.-841Mi (Khand No.-01), Village- Paswara, Tehsil- & District- Mahoba, U.P. Shri Dhaneswar Rai., Area : 4.00 ha. File No. 7082/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/76901/2022**

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO-06

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online request on prescribed online portal.

7. Sand Mining from Yamuna River bed at Gata No.- 638, 637Mi, 635, 636Mi, 632Mi, 631Mi, 633, 634, 614Mi, 612Mi, 615, 611Mi, 602Mi, 603Mi, 604, 605Mi, 606Mi Village-Jhuppa, Tehsil-Jewar, District-Gautam Buddha Nagar, Shri Pravendra Singh., M/s Garvit Hospitality and Infracon Pvt Ltd, Area: 17.159 ha. File No. 7086/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/76947/2022

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO-07

The project proponent/consultant did not present in the meeting and requested to defer the matter for next meeting. The SEAC directed to defer the matter for next SEAC meeting proposed on 21/06/2022.

8. Sand/ Moram Mining at Yamuna River Bed at Khand No. 16/23 to 16/25, Village-Mahewa, Tehsil-Manjhanpur, District- Kaushambi., Shri Adarsh Kumar Singh, M/s. Addija Mines & Construction Pvt. Ltd., Area-16.50 Ha. File No. 6538/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/65259/2021

RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO-08

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online request on prescribed online portal.

(Dr. Brij Bihari Awasthi)
Member

(Umesh Chandra Sharma)
Member

(Dr. Ratan Kar)
Member

(Shri Ashish Tiwari)
Member-Secretary, SEAC-1

(Rajive Kumar)
Chairman

Nodal, SEAC-1

MoM prepared by Secretariat in consultation with
Chairman & Members on the basis of decisions
taken by SEAC-1 during the meeting.

Annexure-1

Standard Terms of Reference for the Mining Project prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI

- 1) Year-wise production details since 1994 should be given, clearly stating the highest production achieved in any one year prior to 1994. It may also be categorically informed whether there had been any increase in production after the EIA Notification 1994 came into force, w.r.t. the highest production achieved prior to 1994.
- 2) A copy of the document in support of the fact that the proponent is the rightful lessee of the mine should be given.
- 3) All documents including approved mine plan, EIA and Public Hearing should be compatible with one another in terms of the mine lease area, production levels, waste generation and its management, mining technology etc. and should be in the name of the lessee.
- 4) All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a High Resolution Imagery/ toposheet, topographic sheet, geomorphology and geology of the area should be provided. Such an Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone).
- 5) Information should be provided in Survey of India Toposheet in 1:50,000 scale indicating geological map of the area, geomorphology of land forms of the area, existing minerals and mining history of the area, important water bodies, streams and rivers and soil characteristics.
- 6) Details about the land proposed for mining activities should be given with information as to whether mining conforms to the land use policy of the State; land diversion for mining should have approval from State land use board or the concerned authority.
- 7) It should be clearly stated whether the proponent Company has a well laid down Environment Policy approved by its Board of Directors? If so, it may be spelt out in the EIA Report with description of the prescribed operating process/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/deviation/ violation of the environmental or forest norms/ conditions? The hierarchical system or administrative order of the Company to deal with the environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the EC conditions may also be given. The system of reporting of non-compliances / violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the Company and/or shareholders or stakeholders at large, may also be detailed in the EIA Report.
- 8) Issues relating to Mine Safety, including subsidence study in case of underground mining and slope study in case of open cast mining, blasting study etc. should be detailed. The proposed safeguard measures in each case should also be provided.
- 9) The study area will comprise of 10 km zone around the mine lease from lease periphery and the data contained in the EIA such as waste generation etc. should be for the life of the mine / lease period.
- 10) Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. Land use plan of the mine lease area should be prepared to encompass preoperational, operational and post operational phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
- 11) Details of the land for any Over Burden Dumps outside the mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, should be given.
- 12) A Certificate from the Competent Authority in the State Forest Department should be provided, confirming the involvement of forest land, if any, in the project area. In the event of any contrary claim by the Project Proponent regarding the status of forests, the site may be inspected by the State Forest Department along with the Regional Office of the Ministry to ascertain the status of forests, based on which, the Certificate in this regard as mentioned above be issued. In all such cases, it would be desirable for representative of the State Forest Department to assist the Expert Appraisal Committees.
- 13) Status of forestry clearance for the broken up area and virgin forestland involved in the Project including deposition of net present value (NPV) and compensatory afforestation (CA) should be indicated. A copy of the forestry clearance should also be furnished.

- 14) Implementation status of recognition of forest rights under the Scheduled Tribes and other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 should be indicated.
- 15) The vegetation in the RF / PF areas in the study area, with necessary details, should be given.
- 16) A study shall be got done to ascertain the impact of the Mining Project on wildlife of the study area and details furnished. Impact of the project on the wildlife in the surrounding and any other protected area and accordingly, detailed mitigative measures required, should be worked out with cost implications and submitted.
- 17) Location of National Parks, Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, Wildlife Corridors, Ramsar site Tiger/ Elephant Reserves/(existing as well as proposed), if any, within 10 km of the mine lease should be clearly indicated, supported by a location map duly authenticated by Chief Wildlife Warden. Necessary clearance, as may be applicable to such projects due to proximity of the ecologically sensitive areas as mentioned above, should be obtained from the Standing Committee of National Board of Wildlife and copy furnished.
- 18) A detailed biological study of the study area [core zone and buffer zone (10 km radius of the periphery of the mine lease)] shall be carried out. Details of flora and fauna, endangered, endemic and RET Species duly authenticated, separately for core and buffer zone should be furnished based on such primary field survey, clearly indicating the Schedule of the fauna present. In case of any scheduled- I fauna found in the study area, the necessary plan alongwith budgetary provisions for their conservation should be prepared in consultation with State Forest and Wildlife Department and details furnished. Necessary allocation of funds for implementing the same should be made as part of the project cost.
- 19) Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' or the Project areas likely to come under the 'Aravali Range', (attracting court restrictions for mining operations), should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the SPCB or State Mining Department should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.
- 20) R&R Plan/compensation details for the Project Affected People (PAP) should be furnished. While preparing the R&R Plan, the relevant State/National Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy should be kept in view. In respect of SCs /STs and other weaker sections of the society in the study area, a need based sample survey, family-wise, should be undertaken to assess their requirements, and action programmes prepared and submitted accordingly, integrating the sectoral programmes of line departments of the State Government. It may be clearly brought out whether the village(s) located in the mine lease area will be shifted or not. The issues relating to shifting of village(s) including their R&R and socio-economic aspects should be discussed in the Report.
- 21) One season (non-monsoon) [i.e. March-May (Summer Season); October-December (post monsoon season) ; December-February (winter season)] primary baseline data on ambient air quality as per CPCB Notification of 2009, water quality, noise level, soil and flora and fauna shall be collected and the AAQ and other data so compiled presented date-wise in the EIA and EMP Report. Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area and justified keeping in view the pre-dominant downwind direction and location of sensitive receptors. There should be at least one monitoring station within 500 m of the mine lease in the pre-dominant downwind direction. The mineralogical composition of PM10, particularly for free silica, should be given.
- 22) Air quality modeling should be carried out for prediction of impact of the project on the air quality of the area. It should also take into account the impact of movement of vehicles for transportation of mineral. The details of the model used and input parameters used for modeling should be provided. The air quality contours may be shown on a location map clearly indicating the location of the site, location of sensitive receptors, if any, and the habitation. The wind roses showing pre-dominant wind direction may also be indicated on the map.
- 23) The water requirement for the Project, its availability and source should be furnished. A detailed water balance should also be provided. Fresh water requirement for the Project should be indicated.
- 24) Necessary clearance from the Competent Authority for drawl of requisite quantity of water for the Project should be provided.
- 25) Description of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the Project should be given.
- 26) Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in the Project, if any, should be provided.

- 27) Impact of the Project on the water quality, both surface and groundwater, should be assessed and necessary safeguard measures, if any required, should be provided.
- 28) Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater.
- 29) Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided. In case the working will intersect groundwater table, a detailed Hydro Geological Study should be undertaken and Report furnished. The Report inter-alia, shall include details of the aquifers present and impact of mining activities on these aquifers. Necessary permission from Central Ground Water Authority for working below ground water and for pumping of ground water should also be obtained and copy furnished.
- 30) Details of any stream, seasonal or otherwise, passing through the lease area and modification / diversion proposed, if any, and the impact of the same on the hydrology should be brought out.
- 31) Information on site elevation, working depth, groundwater table etc. Should be provided both in AMSL and bgl. A schematic diagram may also be provided for the same.
- 32) A time bound Progressive Greenbelt Development Plan shall be prepared in a tabular form (indicating the linear and quantitative coverage, plant species and time frame) and submitted, keeping in mind, the same will have to be executed up front on commencement of the Project. Phase-wise plan of plantation and compensatory afforestation should be charted clearly indicating the area to be covered under plantation and the species to be planted. The details of plantation already done should be given. The plant species selected for green belt should have greater ecological value and should be of good utility value to the local population with emphasis on local and native species and the species which are tolerant to pollution.
- 33) Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Project should be indicated. Projected increase in truck traffic as a result of the Project in the present road network (including those outside the Project area) should be worked out, indicating whether it is capable of handling the incremental load. Arrangement for improving the infrastructure, if contemplated (including action to be taken by other agencies such as State Government) should be covered. Project Proponent shall conduct Impact of Transportation study as per Indian Road Congress Guidelines.
- 34) Details of the onsite shelter and facilities to be provided to the mine workers should be included in the EIA Report.
- 35) Conceptual post mining land use and Reclamation and Restoration of mined out areas (with plans and with adequate number of sections) should be given in the EIA report.
- 36) Occupational Health impacts of the Project should be anticipated and the proposed preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP. The project specific occupational health mitigation measures with required facilities proposed in the mining area may be detailed.
- 37) Public health implications of the Project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocations.
- 38) Measures of socio economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by the Project Proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frames for implementation.
- 39) Detailed environmental management plan (EMP) to mitigate the environmental impacts which, should inter-alia include the impacts of change of land use, loss of agricultural and grazing land, if any, occupational health impacts besides other impacts specific to the proposed Project.
- 40) Public Hearing points raised and commitment of the Project Proponent on the same along with time bound Action Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project.
- 41) Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the Project should be given.
- 42) The cost of the Project (capital cost and recurring cost) as well as the cost towards implementation of EMP should be clearly spelt out.
- 43) A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report.
- 44) Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project shall clearly indicate environmental, social, economic, employment potential, etc.

45) Besides the above, the below mentioned general points are also to be followed:-

- a) Executive Summary of the EIA/EMP Report
- b) All documents to be properly referenced with index and continuous page numbering.
- c) Where data are presented in the Report especially in Tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.
- d) Project Proponent shall enclose all the analysis/testing reports of water, air, soil, noise etc. using the MoEF&CC/NABL accredited laboratories. All the original analysis/testing reports should be available during appraisal of the Project.
- e) Where the documents provided are in a language other than English, an English translation should be provided.
- f) The Questionnaire for environmental appraisal of mining projects as devised earlier by the Ministry shall also be filled and submitted.
- g) While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the Proponents and instructions for the Consultants issued by MoEF&CC vide O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry, should be followed.
- h) Changes, if any made in the basic scope and project parameters (as submitted in Form-I and the PFR for securing the TOR) should be brought to the attention of MoEF&CC with reasons for such changes and permission should be sought, as the TOR may also have to be altered. Post Public Hearing changes in structure and content of the draft EIA/EMP (other than modifications arising out of the P.H. process) will entail conducting the PH again with the revised documentation.
- i) As per the circular no. J-11011/618/2010-IA.II(I) dated 30.5.2012, certified report of the status of compliance of the conditions stipulated in the environment clearance for the existing operations of the project, should be obtained from the Regional Office of Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, as may be applicable.
- j) The EIA report should also include: (i) surface plan of the area indicating contours of main topographic features, drainage and mining area, (ii) geological maps and sections and (iii) sections of the mine pit and external dumps, if any, clearly showing the land features of the adjoining area.