



State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority-Karnataka

(Constituted by MoEF, Government of India, under section 3(3) of E(P) Act, 1986)

Proceedings of the 233rd SELAA Meeting held on 18th April 2023 at 11:00 AM
at Room No. 709, 7th Floor, Gate IV, M.S Building, Bangalore-560001.

Members present: -

1. Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha - Chairman, SELAA
2. Shri. K. N. Shivalinge Gowda - Member, SELAA
3. Shri. Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS - Member Secretary, SELAA

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The subjects discussed and the decisions made on each of the agenda points are as follows:

233.1. Fresh Projects (Recommended for EC):

Construction Projects:

- 233.1.1. Residential Development Plan Project at Akkalenahalli -Mallehalli village, Kasaba Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bengaluru Rural District by M/s. BIRLA ARNAA I.L.P - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/416222/2023 (SELAA 145 CON 2022)



M/s. Birla Arnaa LLP have proposed for Residential Development Project on a plot area of 2,10,434.78 Sqmt. The total built up area is 5,39,725 Sqmt. The proposed project consists of 3,096 number of residential units, 24 No's of row houses (Villas) and club house in B+G+14UF Total water consumption is 2,516 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 2264 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 2300 KLD (750 KLD x 2Nos. 500 KLD x 1No. & 300 KLD x 1No.). The project cost is Rs. 880 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:


Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Birla Arnaa LLP G - 2, Pride Elite, 10 Museum Road, Bengaluru- 560 001.

2	Name & Location of the Project	Sy. Nos. 2, 3/2, 6, 7, 8/1, 8/2, 8/3, 9/1, 9/2, 9/3, 10, 11, 12/1, 12/2, 12/3, 13/1, 13/2, 14/1B, 15/1, 16, 17, 19, 20/1, 20/2, 25, 37/4 & 37/7, Akkalenahalli-Malienahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bengaluru Rural.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITFS/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	-
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Residential Development Category 8(b) as per FIA Notification 2006
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Nalaspasing inside the plot area.
6	Plot Area (Sq.m)	2,10,434.78 Sqmt
7	Built Up area (Sq.m)	5,39,725 Sqmt
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.5 1.66
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	B+G+14UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction / Residential Township / Area Development Projects	3,096 No's. 24 no's of row houses (Villas) and club house
11	Height Clearance	AAI NoC dated 30.08.2022
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 880 Crores.
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Total quantity of Excavated earth (in cubic meter) - 1,33,643.79Cum (100%) Building backfilling - 37,865.73 Cum (28.33%) Landscape backfilling - 60,535.2 Cum (45.30%) Roads and walkways - 29,936 Cum (22.39%)

		For site formation - 5306.86 Cum (3.98%)	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
a.	Ground Coverage Area	29,698.62 Sqmt	
b.	Kharab Land	2,959 Sqmt	
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	75,669 Sqmt	
d.	Internal Roads	-	
e.	Paved area	-	
f.	Others Specify	Surface Parking - 10,374 Service area - 9,929.16 Driveway/Paves Area Hardscape - 29,936 Sqmt CA Area - 10,374	
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	41,495	
h.	Total	2,10,434.78 Sqmt	
15	WATER		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Source of water	Tertiary Treated water / External Tanker Water Suppliers	
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	58 KLD	
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	105 KLD	
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	95 KLD	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	The total sewage generated from construction site & labour camp is 95 KLD which will be treated in mobile Sewage Treatment Plant of 100 KLD capacity.	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh Recycled Total	1664 KLD 852 KLD 2,516 KLD
b.	Source of water	BWSSB.	
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	2264 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	2300 KLD	



		(750 KLD x 2Nos, 500 KLD x 1No. & 300 KLD x 1No.)
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	For Flushing - 852 KLD For Landscaping - 937 KLD
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	505 Cum
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	71 Nos. of deep recharge wells have been proposed to recharge the ground water.
17	Storm water management plan	Hardscape runoff of 1340 Cum and landscape runoff of 426 Cum will be reused further after necessary treatment. Surface runoff from hardscape and landscape will be recharged to groundwater through 4 No's of existing open wells & 71 Nos. of deep recharge wells.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Construction Site - 210 kg/day Labour colony - 210 kg/day Solid waste generated from the labour camp and construction site will be collected manually and handed over to BBMP authorized recyclers.
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	3.9 MT/Day. Biodegradable wastes will be segregated at the source and will be processed in proposed Bio-gas.
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	5.9 MT/Day. Non-biodegradable Wastes will be given to the waste recyclers.
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste Oil Generation: 6.6 L/hr. Hazardous wastes like waste oil from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers.
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Minimal E-Wastes will be collected separately & it will be handed over to authorized E-waste recyclers for further processing.




19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	19,500 kVA (15,600 kW)
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2000kVA x 6Nos & 1650kVA x 1No.(13, 650 kVA)
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	2,859.94 L/hr
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Solar heater, Solar Power ➤ 5 STAR Cu. Transformer ➤ LED light ➤ VFDs Energy Savings: 25.8%
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	4,536 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	LOS B&C
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8m
21	CER Activities	To Government Anganawadi in Shettigere Village <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Infrastructure creation for drinking water supply ● Electrification including solar power ● Rain water harvesting ● Rejuvenation of existing borewells in Panchayath limits ● Providing solar street light within the
22	EMP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase 	During Construction: Capital investment - 57.0 lakhs During Construction - 55.7 lakhs/ annum During Operation: Capital investment - 2,636 lakhs Operation Investment - 70.1 lakhs/ annum


The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for agricultural use as per BIAAPA, for which the Proponent informed that they had obtained change of land use to residential from DC.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for cart track and drains as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water and management plan for drainage system around the project site area and handling of treated sewage and sullage water. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DC Order dated 27.01.2022, the cart track is rerouted to different survey number and has been relinquished to government. For the tertiary drains inside the project site, 3 mtrs of buffer on either sides is provided from the edge as per BIAAPA zoning regulation. For harvesting rain water, Proponent submitted revised calculations for two days' storage and informed that they had proposed sump of capacity 1610 cum for roof top rain water and runoff from hardscape and paved areas would be harvested in bio-pond of 3,000 cum capacity along with existing four number of open wells within the site area. Regarding management plan for drainage system, the Proponent informed that they had carried out hydrological study of the surrounding areas and had ascertained the adequacy of the drains accordingly to which runoff discharge capacity in and around the project site in the existing channel is 31.6 cum/sec, which is adequate to discharge the flood flow generated in the area and flow to Doddajala lake as per the drainage pattern in the study area. Further the Proponent submitted undertaking informing that they will provide separate plumbing line for the treated sewage and sullage water and to reuse the same in the proposed project.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and carry out plantation in buffer zone, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 2969 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.


6

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following additional points: -

1. To provide RWH sump of 1610cum capacity, bio pond of 3000cum capacity
2. To provide separate plumbing line for treated sewage and sullage water and to reuse the same.
3. To carry out plantation in drain buffer zone.


The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the email received from Sri. Vyshaak L Anand, Member, SEAC (vyshaak09@gmail.com) dated 18th April 2023. The details are as follows;

"With reference to the above subject, would like to bring to your consideration that this mega project does not have a source of fresh water and needs to be re-appraised in light of the new facts and information available after the SEAC appraisal for the following reasons:

1. *During SEAC 293rd meeting the project proponent mentioned they have BWSSB NOC for the project and thus fresh water source is secured.*
2. *On reviewing the document from BWSSB submitted as NOC, it was a mere reconfirmation issued in 2015 of initial NOC issued in 2005. (Both attached for your review)*
3. *Even after requesting for the Original NOC to understand the conditions, and quantity, the NOC was not forthcoming from the proponent.*
4. *After a detailed review of the original NOC and conditions for reconfirmation, it is evident that BWSSB will not be supplying water to this project.*
5. *Thus without a known viable water source this project cannot be appraised as per MoEF norms and needs to be sent back for re appraisal to SEAC asking for a viable water source.*
6. *Precedence on this matter exists in a adjacent project by the same land owner in JV with TATA developer, where the proponent is not able to secure a viable fresh water source and application is pending before SEAC.*

With these serious issues, I would request your good offices to do the needful to protect the water environment and support sustainable development practices.

Drafted by 





The Authority took note and deliberated on the email received from Sri. Vyshak L Anand, Member, SEAC with respect to assured water supply for the above project. The Authority discussed in detail about the concerns raised by the member and after due considerations opined that in view of the recommendation of the SEAC for issue of Environmental Clearance for the project already received at Authority and the decision that the authority has already taken in similar cases in its 198th SEIAA meeting, wherein it was decided to ensure assured water supply for any project before commencement by imposing an additional condition in the environmental clearance as under:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*

Therefore, the authority reiterating the decision already taken in the matter as above decided to issue Environmental Clearance for the above project by imposing the above condition.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
- 2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
- 3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
- 4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
- 6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*
- 7. The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*



Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

233.1.2. Construction of software Development Park in the name of BLR 11 - URBAN ECO Project at Singahalli Village and Arebinnamangala Village, Jala Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru by M/s. SAP Labs India Private Limited - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/INFRA2/417803/2023 (SEIAA 43 CON 2023)

SAP Labs India Private Limited have proposed for construction of Office / IT/ ITES (Software Development Park) Project on a plot area of 1,66,199 Sq.m. The total built up area is 1,08,137.12 Sq.m. The proposed project consists of Multiple Building with Maximum No. of Floors being 2 Basement + Ground Floor + 4 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor. Total water consumption is 200 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 180 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 180 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 630 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	SAP Labs India Private Limited, 138, Export Promotion Industrial Park, Whitefield, Bengaluru - 560066
2	Name & Location of the Project	BLR-11 Urban Eco Plot Nos 26, 27, 28, 29 and 29-P, Hitech Defence and Aerospace Park (IT Sector) Industrial Area, Sy. No. 6 (Block 70, 71, 76, 77, 78, 79 and 86), Singahalli Village, and Sy. No. 1 (Block 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 24), Arebinnamangala Village, Jala Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/	Office / IT/ ITES (Software Development Park) Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification .

		ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4		New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There are no water bodies within the project site or in the near vicinity
6		Plot Area (Sq.m)	1,66,199 Sq.m
7		Built Up area (Sq.m)	1,08,137.12 Sq.m
8		FAR	
	●	Permissible	3.25
	●	Proposed	0.44
9		Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Multiple Building with Maximum No. of Floors being 2 Basement + Ground Floor + 4 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor
10		Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township / Area Development Projects	Not Applicable
11		Height Clearance	As per CCZM permissible height is 22.71 mtrs and proposed height is 22.65mtrs
12		Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	630 Crores
13		Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	It is estimated that about 87,100 cum of earth shall be excavated using latest hi-tech earth moving machinery. Top earth of about 15,678 cum shall be stored and used for landscaping. About 26,130 cum of excavated soil will be used for Roads and walkways. Remaining 27,872 cum will be used for backfilling, site formation, leveling, etc. No excavated earth is proposed be taken out of the project site for disposal.
14		Details of Land Use (Sq.m)	
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	27,242.03 Sq.m
	b.	Kharab Land	Nil

c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the ELA notification, 2006	56,100.00 Sq.m						
d.	Internal Roads	82,856.97 Sq.m						
e.	Paved area							
f.	Others Specify							
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-						
h.	Total	1,08,137.12 Sq.m						
15	WATER							
I.	Construction Phase							
a.	Source of water	Treated water from STP set-up for Labour camp at or near Project site						
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD						
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD						
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	16 KLD						
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	20 KLD STP						
II.	Operational Phase							
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fresh</td> <td>111KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recycled</td> <td>89KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>200KLD</td> </tr> </table>	Fresh	111KLD	Recycled	89KLD	Total	200KLD
Fresh	111KLD							
Recycled	89KLD							
Total	200KLD							
b.	Source of water	BWSSB, Rooftop Rainwater & Treated Water						
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	180KLD						
d.	STP capacity	180KLD						
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Bio Membrane Reactor Technology						
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Treated water will be used for toilet flushing, landscaping, make-up water for Air conditioning systems, etc.						
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting							
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	1800 cum (900cum x 2Nos.)						
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	22 Nos.+ 4500 cum Storage Sump						

17	Storm water management plan	Garland drains with 22 recharge pits are proposed.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	I. Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	50kg/day of solid waste shall be disposed through local waste management contractors
	II. Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1,208kg/day Bio Methanation Plant
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	483kg/day Local Authorized Recyclers
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1000 kg/ annum Authorized Agencies
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	200 kg/ annum Authorized Agencies
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	2466KVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1010KVA x 2Nos.
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphur High Speed Diesel (HSD) with Sulphur content less than 10ppm
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Timer and Sensor Lights Systems b. BEE 4 & 5 Star rated electromechanical systems shall be used in the development c. Solar PV Plant of 2000KW d. Use of HF ballast for lighting e. Use of LED light fittings f. Building Orientation; g. Energy Efficient Glass h. LEED Platinum Certification i. Energy Efficient Air Conditioning Systems Total Savings - 53.24%
20	PARKING	

	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	1458 ECS
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	SH-104 (Near Kavadedasarahalli) - B SH-104 (Near GAIL Gas CNG Station) - A Shettigere Rd/ Airport Rd - B NH-648/ Devanahalli - B
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0m
21		CER Activities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plantation in near by Reserve Forest by obtaining necessary permission. 2. Jobs for local people during construction and operation phase. 3. Afforestation of vacant site within KIADB Industrial Estates 4. Signage on roads to avoid accidents. 5. Providing Skill Development facilities 6. Infrastructure creation for sanitation systems to control waterborne diseases viz., Malaria, Dengue, Diarrhoea, Dysentery, Cholera, etc. 7. Plantation in community areas 8. Implementation of Environment Management Plan
22		EMP ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase	During Construction Phase: Capital Investment - 105 Lakhs Recurring Cost - 4.69 Lakhs/ Annum During Operation Phase: Capital Investment - 1,441 Lakhs Recurring Cost - 25.0 Lakhs/ Annum

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of IT/ITEs software development building in an area allotted by KIADB.

The Committee during appraisal sought details of e-waste generated and its handling and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee about 200kg per annum of e-waste would be generated and it will be handed over to the KSPCB authorized agencies. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed two tanks of 900cum capacity for runoff from rooftop and an

additional tank of 4500 cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 22 nos recharge pits proposed within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 2100 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following additional points,

1. To provide RWH tanks of 2x900 cum capacity, 1x4500 cum capacity and 22 nos of recharge pits.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*

4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.
7. The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.

Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
4. The PP shall hand over the e-waste to KSPCB authorized agencies.



233.1.3. Expansion of Residential Apartment and a Club House Project at Kodathi Village, Varthur Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. Mana Projects Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/415885/2023 (SEIAA 32 CON 2023)

M/s. Mana Projects Private Limited have proposed for Expansion of "Residential Apartment and a Club House" Project on a plot area of 28,226.59Sqm. The total built up area is 1,42,417.63Sqm. The proposed project consists of Tower 1 & 2 distributed over 3BF+GF+28UF, South Tower 1, 2, 3 & 4 distributed over 2BF+GF+28UF and Club House in 3BF+GF+1UF. Total water consumption is 585 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 527 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 600 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 229.86 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Kishore Kumar, H Vice President - Business Development M/s. Mana Projects Private Limited No. 20/7, "Swamy Legato", 3 rd Floor, Kadubeesanahalli, Marathahalli Outer Ring Road, Bengaluru - 560 103


Drafted by ka

 / 

2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of "Residential Apartment and a Club House" Project.Sy. No. 60/1, Kodathi Village, Varthur Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment& a Club House Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There is a tertiary nala on eastern side of the project site boundary& kunte on northern side of the project site
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	28,226.59Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,42,417.63Sqm
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	3.60 3.379
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Tower 1 & 2 distributed over 3BF+GF+28UF, South Tower 1, 2, 3 & 4 distributed over 2BF+GF+28UF and Club House in 3BF+GF+1UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township / Area Development Projects	NA
11	Height Clearance	87.15 m. (As per CCZM, the permissible height is 102 m AMSL and the height achieved for our proposed building is 87.15 m.
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.229.86 Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Demolition waste debris of quantity 700 m ³ will be used for internal road / driveway & Approach road formation. Total Excavated earth quantity - 41,947 m ³ For Backfilling - 13,249m ³

		For Landscaping - 12,868 m ³ For Driveway- 10,832 m ³ For site formation - 4,998 m ³
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	4,762.95Sqm
b.	Kharab Land	-
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	12,867.72Sqm
d.	Internal Roads	8,331.97Sqm
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	CA area - 1,411.33 Sqm Services area - 852.62 Sqm
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-
h.	Total	28,226.59 Sqm
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	The domestic water requirement will be met by external suppliers and water requirement for construction purpose will be met by STP tertiary treated water.
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	11KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	10 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Domestic sewage generated during construction phase will be collected and treated in mobile STP and treated water will be used for landscaping/dust suppression within the site.
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh 388KLD Flushing 197KLD Total 585KLD
b.	Source of water	Kodathi Gram Panchayath
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	527 KLD
d.	STP capacity	600KLD

e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequential Batch Reactor Technology
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Excess 235KLD for construction works/ Avenue Plantation.
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	205 Cum
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	29Nos.
17	Storm water management plan	Water pond of 200 cum capacity will be provided. Internal garland drains will be provided within the site in order to carry out the storm water into the recharge pits and will be managed within the site, excess runoff will be routed to the external storm water drain on southern side of the project site.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	As there is no provision of labour colony, generation of domestic solid waste will be minimum and will be handed over to local vendors Construction debris -71 m ³ This will be reused within the site for road and pavement formation.
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	855kg/day This will be segregated at household levels and will be processed in proposed organic waste converter.
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1283kg/day Recyclable wastes will be handed over to authorized waste recyclers
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste Oil Generation:375 L/ Annum (0.75 L/ running) hour of DG Hazardous wastes like waste oil from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers.



d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will be collected separately & it will be handed over to authorized E-waste recyclers for further processing.			
19	POWER				
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	2869kVA			
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	400 kVA - 4Nos			
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	335.23 l/hr			
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Cu wound transformer, Solar Lights, solar water heater, LED, high efficiency Pumps and motors in Lifts etc The overall energy savings is around 26 %			
20	PARKING				
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	1075ECS			
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Road	Towards	Existing	Change
		Gopalakrishna Adiga Road		A	B
		Gattahalli Road		B	C
		Sarjapur a main Road	Sarjapura ORR	D	B
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	12.20 m wide road			
21	CER Activities	Rejuvenation of Hegondanahallikere Lake			
22	EMP ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase	During Construction: Capital Investment - 6.19Lakh Construction - 107.60Lakh During Operation: Capital investment - 272.00 Lakh Operation Investment - 26.50 Lakh/annum			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for modification and expansion of residential building project, for which SEIAA had issued EC on 28.07.2022 for BUA of 62,017.70 Sqm in a plot area of 28,226.59 Sqm and now it is proposed for BUA of 1,42,417.63 Sqm with no change in plot

area. The Proponent informed the Committee that no construction has started along with recent photographs of site area after obtaining EC on 28.07.2022 and hence justified for not submitting CCR.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for water body and drain as per village map, details of railway line and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that there is a water body in north and buffer of 30mtr is proposed from the edge of the water body and buffer of 15mtrs from center for the tertiary drain in north east and had proposed 30mtrs of buffer from the railway boundary in east. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent informed that they have made provision for tank of 205 cum capacity for runoff from rooftop and a pond of capacity 200cum for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 29 nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to manage excess drainage water within the site area and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to provide smart metering for individual units and the Proponent agreed for all.


The Proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow a total of 620 trees and to provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following additional points,

1. To provide RWH tanks of 205cum capacity & pond of 200cum capacity and 29 nos of recharge pits.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.



The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *The project proponent shall strictly follow the guidelines of C&D rules with respect to demolition of existing structures in the project site and shall also maintain appropriate records for the disposal of C&D wastes.*
2. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
3. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
4. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
5. *The PP shall maintain adequate buffer from the existing Railway lane on the north eastern side of the project premises.*

233.1.4. Construction of 1BHK Residential flats Project at Thammarasanahalli Village, Hesaragatta Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. RAJIV

**GANDHI HOUSING CORPORATION LTD., - Online Proposal No.
SLA/KA/INFRA2/416879/2023 (SEIAA 40 CON 2023)**

M/s. Rajiv Gandhi Housing Corporation Ltd. have proposed for Construction of 1BHK Residential Flats Project on a plot area of 32,374.9 sqm.. The total built up area is 28,246.44sqm. The proposed project consists of 704 Nos. of units having G+3UF . Total water consumption is 380 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 342 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 345 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 68.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

SL.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Rajiv Gandhi Housing Corporation Ltd. # Cauvery Bhavan, 9 th Floor, E & F Block, K.G. Road, Bangalore-560009
2	Name & Location of the Project	Construction of 1 BHK Residential Flats, at Sy No.10, Thammarasahalli Village, Hesaraghatta Hobali, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ IITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Development Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	NA
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	32,374.9 sqm.
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	28,246.44sqm
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	5.0 0.85

9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	G+3UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	704 Nos. of units
11	Height Clearance	Low rise building
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	68 cr
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	NA
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	7,114.41 Sqmt
b.	Kharab Land	NA
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	5,615.77 Sqmt
d.	Internal Roads	16,242.27 Sqmt
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	CA area 1625 Sqmt 1,777.45Sqm
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
h.	Total	32,374.9 sqm.
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	BWSSB Treated water/ near by STP
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile Sewage Treatment Plant

	II	Operational Phase							
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fresh</td> <td>230KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recycled</td> <td>150KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>380KLD</td> </tr> </table>	Fresh	230KLD	Recycled	150KLD	Total	380KLD
Fresh	230KLD								
Recycled	150KLD								
Total	380KLD								
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB						
	c.	Waste water generation in KLD	342 KLD						
	d.	STP capacity	345KLD						
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR						
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Used for floor washing, given to nearby construction activities						
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting								
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	70 cum of 2 Nos						
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	16nos						
17	Storm water management plan		Excess runoff water to be treated and rerouted to water body.						
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT								
	I.	Construction Phase							
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Given to BBMP authorities						
	II	Operational Phase							
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	760 kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden						
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	507 /kg/day given to KSPCB authorized vendor						
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	50-80 lts given to KSPCB authorized vendor						
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	80 Kg/Year given to KSPCB authorized vendor						
19	POWER								

a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1250 Kw
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	125 KVA X 1 Nos
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	50 lts low sulphur diesel used
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 14.31%
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	126ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	B
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8mt
21	CER Activities	Rejuvenation of water body adjacent to the project area.
22	EMP ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase	Construction phase: 10L+11Lakhs. Operational phase: Capital Investment : 71.5 Lakhs + recurring 11.9 Lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SELAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for agriculture use as per RMP of BDA 2015, for which the Proponent informed that as per Revenue Dept. GO dated 24.06.2021, the land is allotted for the proposed project.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for water body as per village map and provisions being made for harvesting rain water and management of excess water. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the water body in north, buffer of 30mtr is proposed from the edge of water body and for harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed two tanks of 70 cum for runoff from rooftop, landscape and paved areas in addition to 16 nos recharge pits within the project site area. For excess water, Proponent informed that they will reroute to the water body adjacent to the project

area after primary treatment. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 380 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SELAA for issue of EC with following points,

1. To provide RWH tank of 2x70cum capacity and 16number of recharge pits.
2. To reroute the excess surface water after primary treatment to the water body.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*

5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

233.1.5. Residential Apartments Project at Balagere Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Udbhav Builders and Developers - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/INFRA2/414792/2023 (SEIAA 45 CON 2023)

M/s. Udbhav Builders and Developers have proposed for construction of Residential apartments Project on a plot area of 7,891.30 Sqmt. The total built up area is 26,135.08 Sqmt. The proposed project consists of 180 Nos. of units Block - A having B + G + 4 UF and Block -B having B + G + 4 UF. Total water consumption is 122 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 98 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 68KLD. The project cost is Rs. 75.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Udbhav Builders And Developers, No. 34, Renuka Nilaya, Ramapura Main Road, Virgonagar Post, Bangalore-560049
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential apartments Project at Sy. Nos. 73/6 and 73/9, Balagere Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore
3	Type of Development	
	a. Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/	Residential Development Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.


	ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	
	b. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	NA
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	7,891.30 Sqmt
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	26,135.08 Sqmt
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.5 2.36
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Block - A : B + G + 4 UF Block - B : B + G + 4 UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	180 Nos. of units
11	Height Clearance	low rise building
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	75 cr
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	NA
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
	a. Ground Coverage Area	3,890.85 Sqm
	b. Kharab Land	NA
	c. Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	2,944.26 Sqmt
	d. Internal Roads	1,056.19 Sqmt
	e. Paved area	
	f. Others Specify	NA
	g. Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
	h. Total	7,891.30Sqm

15	WATER								
	I.	Construction Phase							
	a.	Source of water	BWSSB Treated water/near by STP						
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	25KLD						
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	3KLD						
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	2KLD						
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile Sewage Treatment Plant						
	II	Operational Phase							
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fresh</td> <td>81 KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recycled</td> <td>41 KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>122 KLD</td> </tr> </table>	Fresh	81 KLD	Recycled	41 KLD	Total	122 KLD
Fresh	81 KLD								
Recycled	41 KLD								
Total	122 KLD								
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB						
	c.	Waste water generation in KLD	98 KLD						
	d.	STP capacity	98 KLD						
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR						
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	will be used for floor washing, given to nearby construction activities						
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting								
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	100 KLD						
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	12 NOS						
17	Storm water management plan		To be used with in the site area.						
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT								
	I.	Construction Phase							
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Handed over to BBMP authorities						
	II	Operational Phase							

	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	243 kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	162/kg/day given to KSPCB authorized vendor
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	50-80 lts given to KSPCB authorized vendor
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	80 Kg/Year given to KSPCB authorized vendor
19	POWER		
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	608 Kw
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	200 KVA X 2 Nos
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	50lts low sulphur diesel used
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	24%
20	PARKING		
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	198 ECS
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	B
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8mtr
21	CER Activities		To provide infrastructure facilities to nearby Govt. School/colleges and Govt. Hospitals.
22	EMP		
	●	Construction phase	45 lakhs
	●	Operation Phase	Capital Investment 136 Lakhs Operational Investment 40 Lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by


30

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for foot kharab as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that there is existing road in the foot kharab demarcated in village map in south and for harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed two tank of 100cum for runoff from rooftop, landscape and paved areas in addition to 12 nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 98 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following points,

1. To provide RWH tank 100cum capacity and 12 number of recharge pits.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall submit a detailed scheme for Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) with BNR system.*
2. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*

3. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
4. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
5. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
6. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
7. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
4. The PP shall leave free access to public for foot Khurab area.

233.1.6. Expansion of Residential Apartment Project at Sarjapura Hobli, Village: Bikkannahalli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Sierra Constructions - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/INFRA2/411752/2022 (SEIAA 15 CON 2023)

M/s. Sierra Construction have proposed for Expansion of Residential Apartment "SIERAA GREENS", Project on a plot area of 8,751.21 sqm. The total built up area is 24,844.8 sq.m. The proposed project consists of 192 nos in SF+ GF+3 UF+TF. Total water consumption is 129.60 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 116.64 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 125 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 49.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:



Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Sierra Construction No. 70, 1 st Cross, 2 nd A Main, Domlur Layout, Bangalore- 560 071

Drafted by ka

2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Residential Apartment "SIERAA GREENS", Sy. No. 16/7, Sarjapura Hobli, Village: Bikkanahalli, Taluk: Anekal, Bangalore Urban District
3	Type of Development	
	a. Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.
	b. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Muthanallur Lake at 4 Km
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	8,751.21 sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	24,844.8 sq.m
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.25 2.24
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	SF+ GF+3 UF+TF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township / Area Development Projects	192 nos
11	Height Clearance	Low rise structure. Max ht. 14.95mtrs
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	49Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	The excavated soil will be stacked properly at site and the same will be utilized for backfilling and green belt development.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
	a. Ground Coverage Area	2,152.09Sqm
	b. Kharab Land	-
	c. Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of	2888Sqm

	the schedule of the ELA notification, 2006							
d.	Internal Roads	2,389.25						
e.	Paved area							
f.	Others Specify	-						
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	1321.87						
h.	Total	8,751.21Sqm						
15	WATER							
I.	Construction Phase							
a.	Source of water	Tankers						
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD						
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD						
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4.5 KLD						
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	DEWATS						
II.	Operational Phase							
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fresh</td> <td>86.4 KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recycled</td> <td>43.2KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>129.60KLD</td> </tr> </table>	Fresh	86.4 KLD	Recycled	43.2KLD	Total	129.60KLD
Fresh	86.4 KLD							
Recycled	43.2KLD							
Total	129.60KLD							
b.	Source of water	Borewell						
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	116.64 KLD						
d.	STP capacity	125KLD						
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR						
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Treated water will be utilized for gardening, flushing						
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting							
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	2x150Cum						
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10Nos.						
17	Storm water management plan	Runoff contamination from the proposed project during construction shall be reduced by providing lined gutter for carrying runoff from construction areas and kerbstones and						

		bunds to prevent runoff contamination. Baffles shall also be provided in the internal storm water drain to reduce the velocity of flowing water. The storm water drain shall be designed for non-scouring velocity.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	I. Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	20kgs/day of Solid waste is generated and it is disposed to solid waste facility.
	II. Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	172.80Kgs / Day - will be taken to an Organic Waste Converter
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	259.20Kgs / Day will be sent to authorised recycler.
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	0.5 TPA of hazardous waste is generated per annum. The spent oil from Diesel generators are sent to authorized recyclers.
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	0.1 TPA of E-waste is generated. The E waste generated is sent to authorized vendors.
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	960 KVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2 X 500 KVA
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 8.01 % Savings
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	197 ECS

	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	B
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6 m
21		CER Activities	Infrastructure and Health care development facilities for nearby Government schools
22		EMP	
		● Construction phase	13 lakhs and 6.5 lakhs (Recurring cost)
		● Operation Phase	160.7 lakhs and 10.9 lakhs (Recurring cost)

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SELAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and as the Proponent remained absent, the Committee had deferred the appraisal of the project.

In the present meeting, the Proponent informed that the proposal is for expansion of residential project in area earmarked for residential use as per Anekal Planning Authority. The Proponent informed that for the existing construction, they had obtained CFE from KSPCB on 23.12.2019 and plan sanction from Anekal Planning Authority on 27.02.2020 for BUA of 19,039.40Sq m in plot area of 8,751.21Sq m and now it is proposed for BUA of 24,844.80Sq m, with no change in plot area and informed that presently they have constructed BUA of 11,463Sq m and have submitted an architect certificate dated 14.03.2023 to justify the BUA already constructed.

The Committee during appraisal sought details about the provisions made for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that for harvesting rain water, the Proponent has proposed 2 x 150cum capacity of tanks for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 4 number of recharge pits proposed within the site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 130 trees in the proposed project area. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following details,

1. To provide RWH tanks 2x150cum capacity and 04 nos of recharge pits.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*

233.1.7. Non-Residential (IT/BT Activities) Office Building Project at Byrasandra Village, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru by M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/INFRA2/415447/2022 (SEIAA 141 CON 2022)


M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of Non-Residential (IT/BT Activities) Office Building Project on a plot area of 91,914.27 Sq.Mts. The total built up area is 2,67,412.08Sq.Mts.. The proposed project consists of 6 Block each having 3 Basements + Ground Floor + 10 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor. Total water consumption is 1200.55 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 1140.52 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 1150 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 52.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd Lake View 'A' Block, 8thFloor, Bagmane Tech Park, C.V. Raman Nagar, Bengaluru - 560093
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Non-Residential (IT/BT Activities) Office Building" project by M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd., at Sy. Nos. 6/1, 6/2, 6/3, 151, 152/1, 152/2, 54/4, 54/3, 54/2, 54/6, 54/5, 54/1, 51/1, 18/2, 16, 15/1, 15/2, 6/4, 14, 21/4, 21/3, 21/2, 21/1, 22/1, 22/2, 13, 12, 26/1, 26/2, 26/3,23, 18/1, 153, 17 & 19/3 of Byrasandra Village K.R. Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District, Karnataka.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses /Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Non-Residential (IT/BT Activities) Office Building Category 8(b) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	●Water body in East

		● secondary drain passing though the site														
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	91,914.27 Sq.Mts.														
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	2,67,412.08 Sq. Mts.														
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.50 2.50														
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	6 Block: 3 Basements + Ground Floor + 10 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor.														
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township / Area Development Projects	Not Applicable														
11	Height Clearance in meters above sea level	Justification, Existing building of M/s Prestige Ivy Terraces is having RL of 890m AMSL and top elevation of 946m AMSL and the proposed project is at RL of 890m AMSL and Top elevation of 933m AMSL, NoC will be obtained from HAL.														
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 52.0 Crores														
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Details</th> <th>Quantity in m³</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Quantity of excavated soil</td> <td>5,39,610.26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Back filling for footings</td> <td>2,69,805.13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site filling required</td> <td>1,92,830.37</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Back filling for retaining wall</td> <td>26,063.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Top soil for Landscaping</td> <td>18,126.73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Filling for internal roads</td> <td>32,784.95</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Details	Quantity in m ³	Quantity of excavated soil	5,39,610.26	Back filling for footings	2,69,805.13	Site filling required	1,92,830.37	Back filling for retaining wall	26,063.08	Top soil for Landscaping	18,126.73	Filling for internal roads	32,784.95
Details	Quantity in m ³															
Quantity of excavated soil	5,39,610.26															
Back filling for footings	2,69,805.13															
Site filling required	1,92,830.37															
Back filling for retaining wall	26,063.08															
Top soil for Landscaping	18,126.73															
Filling for internal roads	32,784.95															
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)															
	a. Ground Coverage Area	30.07%														
	b. Kharab Land	--														
	c. Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of	34.26%														

	the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	
d.	Internal Roads	35.67%
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	-
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
h.	Total	91,914.27 Sq.Mts
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	From nearby treated water suppliers
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	The sewage generated during the construction phase will be treated in the mobile STP
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	1200.55KLD
b.	Source of water	BWSSB
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	1140.52KLD
d.	STP capacity	1150KLD
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	No disposal. The treated water will be reused for toilet flushing, landscaping in the project site, avenue plantation and reuse after treating with ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis.
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	1571 cum
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	94 Nos.
17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected by rainwater harvesting tank of capacity 1574cum capacity and excess to be

Drafted by kan




40

		used for recharging the ground water through recharge pits.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	I. Construction Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms No. of Labours 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 2,679.00 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for Organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste Will be converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will be handed over to Authorized recyclers.
	II. Operational Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms 1600.74 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor.
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms 1067.16kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Nil
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms E-waste generated to be handed over to authorized agencies.
19	POWER	
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase 7750 kVA
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply 4 X 1500 kVA + 1 x 1750 kVA
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set HSD
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 ● In non-monsoon season 2200kWH x 30 x 8 Months = 5,28,000kWH ● In monsoon season 1300kWH x 30 x 4 Months = 1,56,000 kWH ● Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 6.84 L kWH / Annum

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar PV) in a year = 6.84 L / Annum ● Total energy savings = 30.22% 																		
20	PARKING																			
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	1811 ECS																		
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Bairasandra Main Road -LOS - B																		
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.00 mtr																		
21	CER Activities	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Responsibility (CER)</th> <th>Environmental</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td colspan="2">To Rejuvenate water body adjacent to project site.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td colspan="2">Rain water harvesting pits & solar power panels GHPS at Byrasandra</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td colspan="2">Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the GHPS at Byrasandra</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td colspan="2">Health camp in GHPS at Byrasandra</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Corporate Responsibility (CER)	Environmental	1 st	To Rejuvenate water body adjacent to project site.		2 nd	Rain water harvesting pits & solar power panels GHPS at Byrasandra		3 rd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the GHPS at Byrasandra		4 th	Health camp in GHPS at Byrasandra		5 th		
Year		Corporate Responsibility (CER)	Environmental																	
1 st		To Rejuvenate water body adjacent to project site.																		
2 nd		Rain water harvesting pits & solar power panels GHPS at Byrasandra																		
3 rd		Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the GHPS at Byrasandra																		
4 th		Health camp in GHPS at Byrasandra																		
5 th																				
22	EMP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase 	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Operation Phase</th> <th>Construction Phase</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Recurring Cost Per Annum = 112.61 lakhs</td> <td>Recurring Cost Per Annum = 15.75 lakhs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Capital Cost = 1295.91 lakhs</td> <td>Capital Cost = 10.45 lakhs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Operation Phase	Construction Phase	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 112.61 lakhs	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 15.75 lakhs	Capital Cost = 1295.91 lakhs	Capital Cost = 10.45 lakhs												
Operation Phase	Construction Phase																			
Recurring Cost Per Annum = 112.61 lakhs	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 15.75 lakhs																			
Capital Cost = 1295.91 lakhs	Capital Cost = 10.45 lakhs																			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by

Standard ToR has been issued by SEIAA on 08.11.2022. The proposal is for expansion of IT/BT and Office Building project in area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed that they had obtained land conversion orders from DC. The Proponent informed that for the existing construction they had obtained CFE from KSPCB dated 31.12.2021 and plan sanction from BBMP dated 08.12.2017 for BUA of 19,945.68 Sqm in plot area of 91,914.27 Sqm and now it is proposed for BUA of 2,67,412.08 Sqm, with no change in plot area and informed that presently they have not constructed any building and only excavation work is under progress and submitted justification for the present site detail from architect certificate dated 10.03.2023.


The Committee during appraisal sought details for water body and drains as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the water body in east they have proposed buffer of 30mtrs from edge of water body and buffer of 25 mtr on either side from center for the secondary drain passing in the site area and for tertiary drains within the project area is rerouted to the project boundary and leaving buffer of 15mtrs from center for the rerouted drains as per the proposal submitted to Deputy Commissioner from Assistant Commissioner vide letter dated 31.01.2023. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent has proposed 1571 cum capacity of tank for runoff from rooftop and additional tank of 1574 cum for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 94 number of recharge pits proposed within the site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to grow additional plantation in buffer areas, for which the Proponent agreed.


The Proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 1148 trees in the proposed project area and had collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following details,

1. To provide RWH tank 1571 cum capacity, 1574cum capacity and 94 nos of recharge pits.
2. To abide by the conditions stipulated in sensitive zone clearance order.

Drafted by 

 43

3. To obtain permissions to construct culvert/bridge on drains from respective authorities.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CVLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *The project proponent shall provide adequate electrical charging stations/booth for charging E Vehicles commensurate with its usage.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*

233.1.8. Commercial Building Project at Doddanekundi Village, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/415449/2021 (SEIAA 120 CON 2021)

M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd have proposed for Construction of Commercial Building" project on a plot area of 51,395.12sq.m. The total built up area is 1,93,396.09

Sq.Mts.. The proposed project consists of North Block - 3B + G + 8UF and South Block - 3B + G + 8UF. Total water consumption is 731 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 658 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 700 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 391.96 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd Lake View 'A' Block, 8th Floor, Bagmane Tech Park, C.V. Raman Nagar, Bengaluru - 560093
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Construction of Commercial Building" project by M/s. Bagmane Developers Pvt. Ltd., at Sy. No. 78/1 & 78/2 of Doddanekundi Village, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District, Karnataka
3	Type of Development	
	a. Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Commercial Building Category 8(b) as per ELA Notification 2006
	b. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	● Doddanekundi Lake - 440 m South ● Kundalahalli Lake - 1.03 Kms East ● Mahadevapura Lake - 1.98 Kms North-West
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	51,395.12sq.m
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,93,396.09 Sq.Mts.
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	3.00 2.1016
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers /	North Block - 3B + G + 8UF South Block - 3B + G + 8UF

10	Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]															
	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township / Area Development Projects	Not Applicable														
11	Height Clearance in meters above sea level	As per CCZM, Permissible top elevation in AMSL : 928 Site Elevation in AMSL : 889 Difference in meters : 39 Height Proposed : 37.4m														
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 391.96 Crores														
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Details</th> <th>Quantity in m³</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Quantity of excavated soil</td> <td>3,19,583.74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Back filling for footings</td> <td>1,59,791.87</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site filling required</td> <td>37,171.26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Back filling for retaining wall</td> <td>1,02,184.76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Top soil for Landscaping</td> <td>10,330.42</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Filling for internal roads</td> <td>10,105.44</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Details	Quantity in m ³	Quantity of excavated soil	3,19,583.74	Back filling for footings	1,59,791.87	Site filling required	37,171.26	Back filling for retaining wall	1,02,184.76	Top soil for Landscaping	10,330.42	Filling for internal roads	10,105.44
		Details	Quantity in m ³													
		Quantity of excavated soil	3,19,583.74													
		Back filling for footings	1,59,791.87													
		Site filling required	37,171.26													
		Back filling for retaining wall	1,02,184.76													
		Top soil for Landscaping	10,330.42													
Filling for internal roads	10,105.44															
14	Details of Land Use (Sq.m)															
a.	Ground Coverage Area	27.68%														
b.	Kharab Land	-														
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	33.00%														
d.	Internal Roads	39.32%														
e.	Paved area															
f.	Others Specify	-														
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA														
h.	Total	51,395.12Sq.Mts														
15	WATER															
I.	Construction Phase															
a.	Source of water	Treated water suppliers														

	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	The sewage generated during the construction phase will be treated in the mobile STP
	II.	Operational Phase	
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	731KLD
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB
	c.	Waste water generation in KLD	658KLD
	d.	STP capacity	700KLD
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	No disposal. The treated water will be reused for toilet flushing, landscaping in the project site, avenue plantation and reuse after treating with ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis.
16		Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	400.0cu.m
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	51 Nos.
17		Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected by rainwater harvesting pond of 1600cum and excess to be used for recharging the ground water through recharge pits.
18		WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	I.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	No. of Labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 1080.00 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for Organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste Will be converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will be handed over to Authorized recyclers.

II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1260kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor.
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	840kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Nil
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-waste generated to be handed over to authorized agencies.
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	7750 kVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	4 X 1500 kVA + 1 x 1750 kVA
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● About 2500 m² of open roof area will be used for installation of PV solar modules with trackers and sensors to optimize the performance of system to generate energy of about 350 kWh/day. (@1kWh/sq.m/day) ● Solar Power Generation: ● In non-monsoon season 1700kWH x 30 x 8 Months = 4,08,000kWH ● In monsoon season 700kWH x 30 x 4 Months = 84,000 kWh ● Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 4.92 L kWh / Annum ● Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar PV) in a year = 4.92 L Annum

		● Total energy savings = 21.7%										
20	PARKING											
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	2208 ECS										
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Kudalahalli Main Road -LOS - B										
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	18.00 m										
21	CER Activities	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Adoption of nearby Govt. School for all round development.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Rejuvenation of the lake in Kundarahalli Lake.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>To develop tree parks in consultation with forest department.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th 5th</td> <td>Rain water harvesting pits, Solar Panels, Health camps in GHPS Doddanekundi</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1 st	Adoption of nearby Govt. School for all round development.	2 nd	Rejuvenation of the lake in Kundarahalli Lake.	3 rd	To develop tree parks in consultation with forest department.	4 th 5 th	Rain water harvesting pits, Solar Panels, Health camps in GHPS Doddanekundi
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)											
1 st	Adoption of nearby Govt. School for all round development.											
2 nd	Rejuvenation of the lake in Kundarahalli Lake.											
3 rd	To develop tree parks in consultation with forest department.											
4 th 5 th	Rain water harvesting pits, Solar Panels, Health camps in GHPS Doddanekundi											
22	EMP	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">EMP (Construction & Operation)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Operation Phase</th> <th>Construction Phase</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Recurring Cost Per Annum = 32.17 lakhs</td> <td>Recurring Cost Per Annum = 15.75 lakhs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Capital Cost = 1418.0 lakhs</td> <td>Capital Cost = 60.46 lakhs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase 	EMP (Construction & Operation)		Operation Phase	Construction Phase	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 32.17 lakhs	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 15.75 lakhs	Capital Cost = 1418.0 lakhs	Capital Cost = 60.46 lakhs		
EMP (Construction & Operation)												
Operation Phase	Construction Phase											
Recurring Cost Per Annum = 32.17 lakhs	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 15.75 lakhs											
Capital Cost = 1418.0 lakhs	Capital Cost = 60.46 lakhs											

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion of commercial building project in area earmarked for industrial use as per RMP of BDA. The Proponent informed that for the existing construction they had obtained CFE from KSPCB dated 31.02.2021 and plan sanction from KIADB dated 16.04.2018 for BUA of 19,378.92 Sqm in plot area of 51,395.12 Sqm and now it is proposed for BUA of 1,93,396.09 Sqm, with no change in plot area and informed that presently they have constructed BUA of 19,285 Sqm and submitted justification for the

Drafted by

existing BUA through architect certificate dated 23.02.2023 and for proposed expansion they have obtained ToR from SEIAA on 12.01.2022.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for water body per village map in the proposed site area and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that DC has return to Regional Joint Director of Land Records, Bangalore vide letter dated 30.12.2022, mentioning to remove water body legend in Sy. No. 78 of Doddanekundi Village in village revenue map, as the area is a granted land and not Govt. Kharab area. For harvesting rain water, the Proponent has proposed 400cum capacity of tank for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 1600cum for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 51 number of recharge pits proposed within the site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to manage excess water within the site area, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 642 trees in the proposed project area and had collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.


The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following details,

1. To provide RWH tank 400 cum capacity, pond of 1600cum capacity and 51 nos of recharge pits.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*



2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. The project proponent shall provide adequate electrical charging stations/booth for charging E Vehicles commensurate with its usage.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.


233.1.9. Construction of Hostel Blocks - KVS Dynasty Project at Chikkasandra Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/INFRA2/417324/2023 (SEIAA 42 CON 2023)

M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for Construction Of Hostel Blocks - KVS DYNASTY Project on a plot area of 20,234.11 Sqm. The total built up area is 26,420.87 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 234 No's Rooms in Blocks 1,2 and 3: 2BF+GF+3UF. Total water consumption is 64KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 55 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 60 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 35.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. M. R. SHIVASHANKAR CHIKKERI Authorized Signatory M/s. DS Max Properties Pvt. Ltd #1854, 17 th main, 30 th 'B' Cross, HBR Layout, 1 st stage, 5 th Block, Bengaluru-560043

Drafted by

 51

2	Name & Location of the Project	"Construction Of Hostel Blocks - KVS DYNASTY" Sy. No.37, Chikkasandra Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Hostel Blocks Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not Applicable
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Not Applicable
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	20,234.11 Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	26,420.87 Sqm.
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.0 0.774
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Blocks 1,2 and 3: 2BF+GF+3UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction / Residential Township / Area Development Projects	234 No's
11	Height Clearance	Low rise building max height of 13.5mtr
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	35Crores.
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	NA
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	5,571.74Sqm
b.	Kharab Land	-
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedules of the EIA notification, 2006	2,456.29Sqm

d.	Paved area	11,194.08Sqm	
e.	Others Specify	Civic amenity area-1,012.00Sqm	
f.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-	
g.	Total	20,234.11Sqm	
15	WATER CONSUMPTION		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Source of water	STP treated water for construction purpose & Tanker water for domestic purpose.	
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD	
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD	
d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	4 KLD	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Will be treated in Mobile STP	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	42KLD
		Recycled	22KLD
		Total	64KLD
b.	Source of water	Gram Panchayath	
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	55 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	60 KLD	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequence Batch Reactor (SBR) Technology	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Available treated water - 53 KLD (95% of sewage water) For flushing - 22 KLD For gardening - 15 KLD For Car washing - 3 KLD Other construction purpose - 13 KLD	
16	Infrastructure for Rainwater harvesting		
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	700 Cum (2 Days storage)	
b.	Nos of Ground water recharge pits	44 No's	
17	Storm water management plan	● Land is gently sloping terrain and sloping towards Southeast direction.	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Separate and independent rainwater drainage system will be provided for collecting rainwater from terrace and paved area, lawn & roads.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	I. Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 10kg/day Solid waste will be generated and collected manually and handed over to local body for further processing
	II. Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 84 kg/day Organic wastes will be segregated & collected separately and processed in organic waste converter of capacity 100kg/hr Sludge generated from STP of capacity 2.75kg/day will be reused as manure for greenery development purposes.
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity - 127kg/day Recyclable waste will be given to the waste collectors for recycling for further processing.
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste oil of 157.68 l/ annum will be generated from the DG sets will be collected in leak proof barrels and handed over to the authorized waste oil recyclers.
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will be collected & stored in bins and disposed to the authorized & approved KSPCB E-waste processors.
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	BESCOM - 1000 kVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	300 kVA
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Energy conservation devices such as Solar energy, Copper wound transformer are proposed in the project with total savings of 14%.

20	PARKING	
	a. Parking Requirement as per norms	136 ECS
	b. Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	LOS C
	c. Internal Road width (RoW)	14.57 mtr
21	CER Activities	To provide the following facilities to the Government Lower Primary School, Chokkanahalli, Bengaluru 1. Renovation and expansion of existing school building along with providing better sanitary facilities. 2. Installation of RO units for drinking water - 5 Nos. 3. Digital classrooms which includes computers, projectors and laptops. 4. Providing chairs, tables, desks and bookshelves for all classrooms 5. Providing weighing machine, mikes and sporting goods. 6. Furnishing rainwater harvesting system within the school campus.
22	EMP ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase	Construction phase - 27.95 lakhs Operational Phase - 294.15lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SELAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per Hoskote Planning Authority.

The Committee during appraisal sought provisions made for harvesting rain water and management of excess water. The Proponent informed the Committee that for harvesting rain water they have proposed tank of 700cum for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 350 cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 44 nos recharge pits within the project site area and Proponent informed that they will provide lead off drain to the nearest natural drain to manage excess water. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of

water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 450 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SELAA for issue of EC with following detail,

1. To provide RWH tank 700cum capacity, pond of 350cum capacity and 44 number of recharge pits.
2. To provide lead off drains to the nearest natural drain to manage excess runoff water.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*

5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Additional Condition:


1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. The project proponent shall provide adequate electrical charging stations/booth for charging E Vehicles commensurate with its usage.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

233.1.10. Residential Apartment with Clubhouse Building Project at Gunjur Village, Ward No.149, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Mohan Builders - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/INFRA/2/419385/2023 (SEIAA 51 CON 2023)

M/s. Mohan Builders have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment with Clubhouse Building Project on a plot area of 12,621.02 sq.m. The total built up area is 41,030.97 sq.m. The proposed project consists of 238 Units in 3 Blocks: Common Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 12 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor. Total water consumption is 166.01 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 157.70 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 160 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 82.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Ravulapalli Mohan Kumar Partner M/s. Mohan Builders Office at No. 317, 6th Cross, OMBR layout, Bangalore - 560 043
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment with Clubhouse Building by M/s. Mohan Builders at Khatha Nos. 7680/45/5 & 1745/916/237/45/4 & 45/2/1, Sy No. 45/2 & 45/5 of Gunjur Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District. Ward No. 149.
3	Type of Development	

Drafted by 

	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment with Clubhouse Building Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.												
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No												
4		New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New												
5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Tertiary drain in south east												
6		Plot Area (Sqm)	12,621.02 sq.m												
7		Built Up area (Sqm)	41,030.97 sq.m.												
8		FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2,250 2.25												
9		Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	3 Blocks: Common Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 12 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor												
10		Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township / Area Development Projects	238 Units												
11		Height Clearance in meters above sea level	As per CCZM Permissible top elevation in AMSL : 928 Site Elevation in AMSL : 875 Difference in meters : 53 Height proposed : 50.0 m												
12		Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 82.0 Crores												
13		Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Details</th> <th>Quantity in m³</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Quantity of excavated soil</td> <td>78,853.74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Back filling for footings</td> <td>39,426.87</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site filling required</td> <td>6,259.48</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Back filling for retaining wall</td> <td>29,693.57</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Top soil for Landscaping</td> <td>1,921.11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Details	Quantity in m ³	Quantity of excavated soil	78,853.74	Back filling for footings	39,426.87	Site filling required	6,259.48	Back filling for retaining wall	29,693.57	Top soil for Landscaping	1,921.11
Details	Quantity in m ³														
Quantity of excavated soil	78,853.74														
Back filling for footings	39,426.87														
Site filling required	6,259.48														
Back filling for retaining wall	29,693.57														
Top soil for Landscaping	1,921.11														

		Filling for internal roads	1,552.71
14	Details of Land Use (Sq.m)		
a.	Ground Coverage Area	3,298.30 sq.m	
b.	Kharab Land	-	
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	3,154.07 sq.m	
d.	Internal Roads	3,105.41 Sq.m	
e.	Paved area		
f.	Others Specify	3,063.24	
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA	
h.	Total	12,621.02 sq.m.	
15	WATER		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Source of water	Treated water suppliers	
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD	
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD	
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	The sewage generated during the construction phase will be treated in the mobile STP	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	112.46 KLD
		Recycled	53.55KLD
		Total	166.01KLD
b.	Source of water	Gram Panchayat	
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	157.70 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	160 KLD	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	No disposal. The treated water will be reused for toilet flushing, landscaping in the project site, avenue plantation and reuse after treating with ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis.	

16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	178.0 cu.m
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	9 Nos.
17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected by rainwater harvesting tank of 149cum capacity and excess to be used for recharging the ground water through recharge pits.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	No. of Labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for Organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste Will be converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will be handed over to Authorized recyclers.
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	285.60 kg/day. Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor of capacity 5 Tonnes/day
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	190.40 kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Nil
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-waste generation to be handed over to authorized agencies.
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1000 kVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1 X 1000 kVA
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD

	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Energy saved by using Solar water Heater : 50,000kWH/ Year.....(a) ● Solar Power Generation : ● In non-monsoon season 100kWH x 30 x 8 Months= 24,000kWH ● In monsoon season 50kWH x 30 x 4 Months =6,000 kWH ● Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 0.3 LkWH / Annum.....(b) ● Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year =(a)+(b)= 0.5+ 0.3 L KWH = 0.8 L / Annum.....(c) ● Total energy savings = 27.39% 												
20	PARKING														
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	266 ECS												
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	GunjurPalya Road -LOS - B												
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6.00 mtr												
21	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Year</td> <td>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Rainwater harvesting in GHPS at Gunjur village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to GHPS at GunjurVillage</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Gunjurvillage</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td>Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td>Health camp in GHPS at GunjurVillage</td> </tr> </table>			Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1 st	Rainwater harvesting in GHPS at Gunjur village	2 nd	Providing solar power panels to GHPS at GunjurVillage	3 rd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Gunjurvillage	4 th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	5 th	Health camp in GHPS at GunjurVillage
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)														
1 st	Rainwater harvesting in GHPS at Gunjur village														
2 nd	Providing solar power panels to GHPS at GunjurVillage														
3 rd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Gunjurvillage														
4 th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder														
5 th	Health camp in GHPS at GunjurVillage														
22	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td rowspan="2"> EMP ● Construction phase </td> <td colspan="2">EMP (Construction & Operation)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Operation Phase</td> <td>Construction Phase</td> </tr> </table>			EMP ● Construction phase	EMP (Construction & Operation)		Operation Phase	Construction Phase							
EMP ● Construction phase	EMP (Construction & Operation)														
	Operation Phase	Construction Phase													

	● Operation Phase	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 19.09lakhs Capital Cost = 136.17 lakhs	Recurring Cost Per Annum = 16.97 lakhs Capital Cost = 28.03 lakhs
--	-------------------	--	--

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain as per village map, H/T line as per RMP of BDA and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that they have made provision for tertiary drain in south east a buffer of 15mtr from edge and 9 mtrs buffer for HT line in west. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 178cum for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 149cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 09 nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 119 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following additional points,

1. To provide RWH tank 178cum capacity, 149cum capacity and 09 number of recharge pits.
2. To provide lead off drains to the nearest natural drain to manage ~~excess~~ runoff water.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:



1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
4. *The PP shall leave adequate buffer to HT Line.*

233.1.11. Residential Apartment with Club House Project at Chikkadasarahalli Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Signature Dwellings Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/INFRA2/419508/2023 (SEIAA 53 CON 2023)

M/s. Signature Dwellings Private Limited have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment with Club House" Project on a plot area of 9,813.49Sqm The total built up area is 25,417.58Sqm. The proposed project consists of Stilt + Ground + 3UF. Total water consumption is 140 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater

  63

generated is 112 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 130 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 55.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Prashanth Narayanan Managing Director M/s. Signature Dwellings Private Limited No. 21 & 22, 3 rd Floor, 1 st Main Road, 1st Block, Koramangala, Bengaluru-560 034.
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Residential Apartment with Club House" Project.Sy. Nos. 57/1 & 57/9, Chikkadasarahalli Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District-562 125.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential units with Club House category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There is nala running on southern side Channigapura Lake on western side
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	9,813.49Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	25,417.58Sqm
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.00 1.99
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Stilt + Ground + 3UF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential	NA

	Township / Area Development Projects	
11	Height Clearance	14.95 m (As per CCZM map, the permissible height is 152 m AMSL and the height achieved for our proposed building is 14.95 m)
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.55 Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Total Excavated earth quantity -7,883m ³ For Backfilling & site formation - 3,634m ³ For Landscaping - 4,249 m ³
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	5,255.25Sqm
b.	Kharab Land	-
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	3,268.15Sqm
d.	Internal Roads	1,290.09Sqm
e.	Paved area	
f.	Others Specify	-
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-
h.	Total	9,813.49Sqm
15	WATER	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	The domestic water requirement will be met by external suppliers and water requirement for construction purpose will be met by STP tertiary treated water.
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	18KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	4.5KLD
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	3.6 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Domestic sewage generated during construction phase will be collected in collection tank and treated in mobile STP, treated water will be used for dust suppression/ landscaping within the site.
II.	Operational Phase	

a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	93KLD
		Flushing	47KLD
		Total	140KLD
b.	Source of water	Neriga Gram Panchayath	
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	112 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	130KLD	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequential Batch Reactor Technology	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Excess 38KLD for construction works/Avenue plantation.	
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting		
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	175Cum	
	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10Nos.	
17	Storm water management plan	Internal garland drains will be provided within the site in order to carry out the storm water into the recharge pits and will be managed within the site, excess runoff will be routed to the external storm water drain on northeast side of the project site.	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	As there is no provision of labour colony, generation of domestic solid waste will be minimum and will be handed over to local vendors Construction debris -13 m ³ This will be reused within the site for road and pavement formation.	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	164kg/day This will be segregated at household levels and will be processed in proposed organic waste converter of capacity 40 kg/hr	
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	246kg/day Recyclable wastes will be handed over to authorized waste recyclers	

	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Waste Oil Generation:155 L/Annum (0.31 L/ running) hour of DG Hazardous wastes like waste oil from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers.		
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-Wastes will be collected separately & it will be handed over to authorized E-waste recyclers for further processing.		
19	POWER				
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	688kVA		
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	320 KVA - 2 Nos.		
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	134.09l/hr		
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Cu wound transformer, Solar Lights, solar water heater, LED, high efficiency Pumps and motors in Lifts etc The overall energy savings is around 28.7 %		
20	PARKING				
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	220 ECS		
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Road	Existing	Change d
			Approach Road	A	A
			Ittanguru Road	A	A
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	12.19 m wide existing Approachroad		
21	CER Activities		Development of Ittanguru Lake		
22	EMP		During Construction:		
	●	Construction phase	Capital Investment - 5.19 Lakh		
	●	Operation Phase	Construction - 42.69Lakh		
			During Operation:		
			Capital investment - 87.0Lakh		
			Operation Investment - 19.0 Lakh/annum		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by ke

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per Anekal Planning authority.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain, water body as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that they have made provision for primary drain in south with a buffer of 9mtr from edge and the water body in west is away from the buffer zone. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 175cum for runoff from rooftop, landscape and paved areas in addition to 10nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 123trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following additional points,


1. To provide RWH tank 175cum capacity and 10 number of recharge pits.
2. To provide lead off drains to the nearest natural drain to manage excess runoff water.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*

Drafted by



2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*

233.1.12. Residential Apartment Project at Kasavanahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bengaluru by M/s. Saiven Developers and Constructions Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/INFRA2/415361/2023 (SEIAA 28 CON 2023)

M/s. Saiven Developers and Constructions Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for construction of Residential Building Project on a plot area of 10,986.34 sq. m . The total built up area is 24,704.52 Sq m. The proposed project consists of 168 nos in Basement + Ground Floor + 10 Upper Floors+ Terrace. Total water consumption is 114 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 92 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 100 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 80.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Sri. Darshan Krishna Reddy Sudha and Smt. Soumya S Reddy-Authorized Director M/s. Saiven Developers and Constructions Pvt. Ltd.

Drafted by ka

		# 1664, 2 nd Floor, 27 th Main, 2 nd Sector, HSR Layout, Bengaluru - 560 102.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Sy. No's 30/15, 30/16 & 30/17, Kasavanahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru
3	Type of Development	
	a. Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Residential Building Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006
	b. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Lake on Western side of the Project
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	10,986.34 sq. m
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	24,704.52 Sq m
8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.25 2.249
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Basement + Ground Floor + 10 Upper Floors+ Terrace
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	168 nos
11	Height Clearance	As per CCZM the maximum permissible height of the building is 42 m. We have proposed the height 35 m.
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 80 Cr.
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	Demolition Waste: Not Applicable Excavated Earth: Quantity of Earth Work Excavation : 8,273cum

		Backfilling with available earth : 2197cum Top soil requirement for landscape development on natural earth: 1,603cum Earth used for formation of internal roads : 1,877cum Excavated earth of used for site levelling within the site: -2,595cum	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	2,757.97Sq m
	b.	Kharab Land	-
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	3207.68 Sq m
	d.	Internal Roads	3,754.58Sq. m
	e.	Paved area	
	f.	Others Specify-Road Area	1,266.11 Sq. m
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-
	h.	Total	10,986.34Sq m
15	WATER		
	I.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Source of water	Treated water suppliers
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	20KLD
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4KLD
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	mobile STP
	II.	Operational Phase	
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh 76 KLD
			Recycled 38 KLD
			Total 114 KLD
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB
	c.	Waste water generation in KLD	92 KLD
	d.	STP capacity	100 KLD
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR

	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	To be used completely within site area.
16		Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	70 cum
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	16 No's
17		Storm water management plan	The storm water produced within the site will be directed to recharge pits provided around the periphery of the site.
18		WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	I.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity generated to be handed over to authorized agencies
	II.	Operational Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	168 kgs/day of organic waste will be treated in Organic convertor of capacity 100 kgs / day
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	252 kgs/day of inorganic waste will be given to authorized vendors
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity generated to be handed over to authorized recyclers.
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity generated to be handed over to authorized recyclers.
19		POWER	
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	The power requirement is about 985 KVA
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2 No's of capacity 250 KVA.
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total savings of 16%
20		PARKING	

21	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Car Parking Required = 168 Car Parking Provided = 209
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	LoS: C
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	
21		CER Activities	Development of Kasavanahalli Lake & near drainage works
22		EMP ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase	Construction phase: Rs. 14.55Lakhs Operation phase:Rs. 29.3Lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SELAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:


The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for industrial use as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed that they had obtained land conversion for residential use from DC, Bangalore.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for water body, foot kharab as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that they have made provision of 30 meter buffer from the edge of the water body in north west and the foot kharab passing along north-west inside the plot is left for free access to public. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 70 cum for runoff from rooftop, landscape and paved areas in addition to 16 nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 150 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

Drafted by 



The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following additional points,

1. To provide RWH tank 70cum capacity and 16 number of recharge pits.
2. To provide lead off drains to the nearest natural drain to manage excess runoff water.
3. To leave free public access in kharab area.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted*
6. *The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*
4. *The PP shall leave free access to public for foot Kharab area.*



233.1.13. Residential Apartment Project at Chokkanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Ward No.05, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Amigo Properties India LLP - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/INFRA2/417241/2023 (SEIAA 41 CON 2023)

M/s. Amigo Properties India LLP have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 8,194.82 sqm. The total built up area is 26,209.21 sqm. The proposed project consists of 149nos in BF+GF+4UF+TF. Total water consumption is 100.5 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 90 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 100 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 53.23 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Amigo Properties India LLP No.7, 2 nd Floor, "AMS Park View", Clarke Road, Richards Town, Bangalore - 560 005
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment Project at BBMP Khata No. 2119/75/17, Survey No. 75/17, Old Sy. No. 75/3A-1, Chokkanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Ward No. 05, Bangalore Urban District,
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Residential Apartment Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Chokkanahalli lake is 0.7 km towards North
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	8,194.82 sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	26,209.21 sqm

8	FAR ● Permissible ● Proposed	2.25 2.24
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	BF+GF+4UF+TF
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/ Residential Township / Area Development Projects	149nos
11	Height Clearance	Low rise building with max height of 14.95mtr
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	52.23 Crores
13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	The excavated soil will be stacked properly at site and the same will be utilized for backfilling and green belt development.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
	a. Ground Coverage Area	4031.65Sqm
	b. Kharab Land	-
	c. Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	2704.30 sqm
	d. Internal Roads	1,127.35Sqm
	e. Paved area	
	f. Others Specify	-
	g. Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	4,163.17sqm
	h. Total	8,194.82Sqm
15	WATER	
	I. Construction Phase	
	a. Source of water	Treated water Tankers
	b. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD
	c. Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD
	d. Waste water generation in KLD	4.5 KLD

e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile STP / DEWATS	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh	67 KLD
		Recycled	33.5KLD
		Total	100.5 KLD
b.	Source of water	BWSSB	
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	90 KLD	
d.	STP capacity	100 KLD	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Treated water will be utilized for gardening, flushing	
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting		
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	500Cum	
	No's of Ground water recharge pits	5Nos.	
17	Storm water management plan	Runoff water to be stored in additional tank of 100cum capacity and excess water to be used to recharge ground water through recharge pits.	
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I.	Construction Phase		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	20kgs/day of Solid waste is generated and it is disposed to solid waste facility.	
II.	Operational Phase		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	134Kgs / Day - will be taken to an Organic Waste Converter of capacity of 250kgs/day	
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	201Kgs / Day will be sent to authorised recycler.	
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	0.5 TPA of hazardous waste is generated per annum. The spent oil from Diesel generators are sent to authorized recyclers.	

Handwritten signature and initials, including the number 77.

19	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	0.1 TPA of E-waste is generated. The E waste generated is sent to authorized vendors.
	POWER		
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	745KVA
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2 X 300 KVA
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total of 8.01% Savings
20	PARKING		
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	165 ECS
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	LOS: B
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6 mtr
21	CER Activities		To provide infrastructure and Health care facilities to nearby Government schools
22	EMP ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase		Construction phase Rs. : 8.5 lakhs and 3.0 lakhs(Recurring cost) Operation phase Rs. : 148.5 lakhs and 10.9 lakhs (Recurring cost)

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of Residential buildings in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The Committee during appraisal sought clarification for cart track as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rain water. The Proponent informed the Committee that there is existing public road in north east of the project area. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed tank of 500 cum for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 100cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 5 nos recharge pits within the project site area. Further the

Committee informed the Proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 150 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SELAA for issue of EC with following additional point,

1. To provide RWH tank 500 cum capacity, 100cum capacity and 05 number of recharge pits.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The matter was deliberated and it was felt that peak runoff and slope contribute to the net Harvestable rain water. The Project Proponent in their commitment have proposed Rain Water Harvesting. The Authority noted the Same.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.*
4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*

5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

Mining Projects:

233.1.14. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sankanahalli Village, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District (1-20 Acres) by Sri Vinay S. N - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/415244/2023 (SEIAA 67 MIN 2023)

Sri Vinay S. N have applied for Environmental clearance from SELAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.54 of Sankanahalli Village, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.																		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Vinay S. N																		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.54 of Sankanahalli Village, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District (1-20 Acres) <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">GPS READINGS OF CORNER PILLERS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>POINT</th> <th>LATITUDE</th> <th>LONGITUDE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>N 12° 47' 20.58"</td> <td>E 76° 42' 33.66"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>N 12° 47' 17.00"</td> <td>E 76° 42' 33.70"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C</td> <td>N 12° 47' 16.01"</td> <td>E 76° 42' 31.50"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>N 12° 47' 20.85"</td> <td>E 76° 42' 31.86"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	GPS READINGS OF CORNER PILLERS			POINT	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	A	N 12° 47' 20.58"	E 76° 42' 33.66"	B	N 12° 47' 17.00"	E 76° 42' 33.70"	C	N 12° 47' 16.01"	E 76° 42' 31.50"	D	N 12° 47' 20.85"	E 76° 42' 31.86"
GPS READINGS OF CORNER PILLERS																				
POINT	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE																		
A	N 12° 47' 20.58"	E 76° 42' 33.66"																		
B	N 12° 47' 17.00"	E 76° 42' 33.70"																		
C	N 12° 47' 16.01"	E 76° 42' 31.50"																		
D	N 12° 47' 20.85"	E 76° 42' 31.86"																		
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																		



5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government
6	Area in Acres	1-20 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	8,421 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.15 Crores (Rs. 115 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,69,075 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	8,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to common public places to the GHPS school at Sankannahalli Village.
	2nd	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder
	3rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Sankannahalli Village.
	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns at Sankannahalli Village.
	5th	Health camp in the GHPS school at Sankannahalli Village.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 26.78 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 6.24 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	27.11.2020
14	Quarry plan	31.01.2023
15	Cluster certificate	13.01.2023
16	Revenue NOC	29.04.2021
17	Notification	08.02.2022 (manual means)

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 14 other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and 04 leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining leases including the present lease is 8-38 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Drafted by 

 / 

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 430 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,69,075 Tons (including waste) and estimated life of mine to be 20 years. The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 8,421 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per IRC norms*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

Drafted by

233.1.15. Building Stone Quarry Project at Varlakonda Village, Gudibande Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (1-10 Acres) (QL No. 230) by Sri T S Ashwathappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/415018/2023 (SEIAA 70 MIN 2023)

Sri T S Ashwathappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Part of Sy. No. 168 of Varlakonda Village, Gudibande Taluk, Chikkaballapura District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri T S Ashwathappa										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Part of Sy. No. 168 of Varlakonda Village, Gudibande Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (1-10 Acres) (QL No. 230)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 13° 36' 45.0"</td> <td>E 77° 46' 33.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 36' 45.3"</td> <td>E 77° 46' 35.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 36' 42.4"</td> <td>E 74° 46' 35.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13° 36' 42.2"</td> <td>E 74° 46' 33.6"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 13° 36' 45.0"	E 77° 46' 33.3"	N 13° 36' 45.3"	E 77° 46' 35.6"	N 13° 36' 42.4"	E 74° 46' 35.6"	N 13° 36' 42.2"	E 74° 46' 33.6"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 13° 36' 45.0"	E 77° 46' 33.3"											
N 13° 36' 45.3"	E 77° 46' 35.6"											
N 13° 36' 42.4"	E 74° 46' 35.6"											
N 13° 36' 42.2"	E 74° 46' 33.6"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										
6	Area in Acres	1-10 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	98,684 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.05 Crores (Rs. 105 Lakhs)										
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	5,75,039 Tones(including waste)										
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	93,750 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)										

11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Variakonda village
	2nd	
	3rd	Rain water harvesting to the GLPS school at Variakonda village
	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder
	5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Variakonda village
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 25.17 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.22 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	28.09.2018
14	Cluster certificate	25.03.2019
15	CCR from M.S.KSPCB	17.01.2023
16	Audit Report	20.01.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 19.05.2015 and lease was granted on 06.10.2015 with QL no. 230. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG dated 20.01.2023 and CCR from KSPCB on 17.01.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 368 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 5,75,039 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 98,684 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points.

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity

2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.*

233.1.16. Building Stone Quarry Project at Varlakonda, Gudibande Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (1-00 Acre) by Sri T S Venkatareddy - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/415491/2023 (SEIAA 63 MIN 2023)

Sri T S Venkatareddy have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.168 of Varlakonda, Gudibande Taluk, Chikkaballapura District

Details of the project are as follows:

SlNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri T S Venkatareddy

Drafted by 

 85

2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.168 of Varlakonda, Gudibande Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (1-00 Acre)	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 13° 36' 48.4"	E 77° 46' 44.6 "
		N 13° 36' 47.8"	E 77° 46' 47.5 "
		N 13° 36' 46.5"	E 77° 46' 47.9 "
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government	
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	78,947 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.17 Crores (Rs. 117 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,97,090 Tones(including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	75,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)	
11	CER Activities:		
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Varlakonda village	
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GLPS school at Varlakonda village	
	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages	
	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	
5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Varlakonda village		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 18.32 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.88 lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Quarry plan	28.09.2018	
14	Cluster certificate	28.03.2019	
15	CCR from MSKSPCB	17.01.2023	
16	Audit Report	20.01.2023	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 19.05.2015 and lease was granted on 06.10.2015 with QL no. 229. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG dated 20.01.2023 and CCR from KSPCB on 17.01.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 210 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 5,75,039 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.


The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 98,684 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,



1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*

Drafted by 



87

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
4. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.



233.1.17. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nandikurali Village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi District (5-00 Acres) by Sri Mahalingeshwar Stone Crusher & M-Sand - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/416857/2023 (SEIAA 72 MIN 2023)

Sri Mahalingeshwar Stone Crusher & M-Sand have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.206/1K of Nandikurali Village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Mahalingeshwar Stone Crusher & M-Sand										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.206/1K of Nandikurali Village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi District (5-00 Acres)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 16°29'43.6604"</td> <td>E 74°43'51.6010"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16°29'47.2001"</td> <td>E 74°43'41.1077"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16°29'49.2005"</td> <td>E 74°43'42.3019"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16°29'45.7012"</td> <td>E 74°43'52.1003"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 16°29'43.6604"	E 74°43'51.6010"	N 16°29'47.2001"	E 74°43'41.1077"	N 16°29'49.2005"	E 74°43'42.3019"	N 16°29'45.7012"	E 74°43'52.1003"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 16°29'43.6604"	E 74°43'51.6010"											
N 16°29'47.2001"	E 74°43'41.1077"											
N 16°29'49.2005"	E 74°43'42.3019"											
N 16°29'45.7012"	E 74°43'52.1003"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gornal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta										
6	Area in Acres	5-00 Acres										

Drafted by Kaish

7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,12,245 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	15,21,181 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,10,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 800 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Nandikurali Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.25 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 7.81 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	10.05.2022
14	Quarry plan	24.01.2023
15	Cluster certificate	24.01.2023
16	Revenue NOC	12.04.2022
17	Notification	29.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee appraised the proposal on 15.03.2023.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 6-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 470 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 15,21,181 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 14 years.

Drafted by Ka

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,12,245 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLDW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.


233.1.18. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Tumba Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkote District (5-33 Acres) by Shri Durgadevi Prasanna - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/417806/2023 (SEIAA 82 MIN 2023)

Shri Durgadevi Prasanna have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy.Nos. 7/1, 8/1 & 8/3 of Tumba Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkote District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Shri Durgadevi Prasanna

Drafted by kin



2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy.Nos. 7/1, 8/1 & 8/3 of Tumba Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkote District (5-33 Acres)																								
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 03.7"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 13.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 06.0"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 16.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 08.1"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 19.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 09.5"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 21.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 08.8"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 23.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 07.2"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 24.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 05.0"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 24.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 04.4"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 27.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 06.7"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 22.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 05.7"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 19.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 01' 03.7"</td> <td>E 76° 08' 18.8"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 16° 01' 03.7"	E 76° 08' 13.7"	N 16° 01' 06.0"	E 76° 08' 16.1"	N 16° 01' 08.1"	E 76° 08' 19.2"	N 16° 01' 09.5"	E 76° 08' 21.9"	N 16° 01' 08.8"	E 76° 08' 23.9"	N 16° 01' 07.2"	E 76° 08' 24.2"	N 16° 01' 05.0"	E 76° 08' 24.8"	N 16° 01' 04.4"	E 76° 08' 27.8"	N 16° 01' 06.7"	E 76° 08' 22.3"	N 16° 01' 05.7"	E 76° 08' 19.0"	N 16° 01' 03.7"	E 76° 08' 18.8"
Latitude	Longitude																									
N 16° 01' 03.7"	E 76° 08' 13.7"																									
N 16° 01' 06.0"	E 76° 08' 16.1"																									
N 16° 01' 08.1"	E 76° 08' 19.2"																									
N 16° 01' 09.5"	E 76° 08' 21.9"																									
N 16° 01' 08.8"	E 76° 08' 23.9"																									
N 16° 01' 07.2"	E 76° 08' 24.2"																									
N 16° 01' 05.0"	E 76° 08' 24.8"																									
N 16° 01' 04.4"	E 76° 08' 27.8"																									
N 16° 01' 06.7"	E 76° 08' 22.3"																									
N 16° 01' 05.7"	E 76° 08' 19.0"																									
N 16° 01' 03.7"	E 76° 08' 18.8"																									
3	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sand Quarry																								
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																								
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta																								
6	Area in Acres	5-33 Acres																								
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	50,000 Tons for 1 st year, 28,298 Tons for 2 nd year and 20,000 Tons for 3 rd year (including waste)																								
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.48 Crores (Rs. 148 Lakhs)																								
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	98,298 Tons (including waste)																								
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	50,000 Tons for 1 st year, 28,298 Tons for 2 nd year and 20,000 Tons for 3 rd year (including waste)																								
11	CER Activities: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels and Health camp in nearby community places to the GHPS of Tumba village.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Tumba village.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS of Tumba village.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1 st	Providing solar power panels and Health camp in nearby community places to the GHPS of Tumba village.	2 nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Tumba village.	3 rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS of Tumba village.																
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)																									
1 st	Providing solar power panels and Health camp in nearby community places to the GHPS of Tumba village.																									
2 nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Tumba village.																									
3 rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS of Tumba village.																									
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.16 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.78 Lakhs (Recurring cost)																								
13	Forest NOC	21.11.2022																								
14	Quarry plan	18.01.2023																								
15	Cluster Certificate	12.01.2023																								
16	Revenue NOC	14.08.2022																								

17	DTF	20.12.2022
18	JIR	25.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for ordinary sand and as per the cluster sketch there is another lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and total area of the leases is 11-12 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. As per DMG letter dated 25.11.2022 there are no river sand mining projects in the vicinity of 5km from the proposed lease area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 200 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 98,298 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 2 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for 50,000 Tons for 1st year, 28,298 Tons for 2nd year and 20,000 Tons for 3rd year (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
3. To grow trees on the banks of halla and all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site*

is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor).

2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
3. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
4. To grow trees on the banks of hulla and all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

233.1.19. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kuthanur Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (0-20 Acres) (QL-249) by Sri Rama Shetty S/o Gopa Shetty - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/418043/20 (SEIAA 83 MIN 2023)

Sri Rama Shetty S/o Gopa Shetty have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No: 368, Kuthanur Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Rama Shetty S/o Gopa Shetty										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No: 368, Kuthanur Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (0-20 Acres) (QL-249)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 11° 48' 19.00"</td> <td>E 76° 38' 57.10"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11° 48' 17.30"</td> <td>E 76° 38' 53.20"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11° 48' 17.60"</td> <td>E 76° 38' 51.70"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11° 48' 19.10"</td> <td>E 76° 38' 51.70"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 11° 48' 19.00"	E 76° 38' 57.10"	N 11° 48' 17.30"	E 76° 38' 53.20"	N 11° 48' 17.60"	E 76° 38' 51.70"	N 11° 48' 19.10"	E 76° 38' 51.70"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 11° 48' 19.00"	E 76° 38' 57.10"											
N 11° 48' 17.30"	E 76° 38' 53.20"											
N 11° 48' 17.60"	E 76° 38' 51.70"											
N 11° 48' 19.10"	E 76° 38' 51.70"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										



6	Area in Acres	0-20 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	15,306 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.06 Crores (Rs. 106 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,25,111 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	15,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to GHPS in Kuthanur Village.
	2nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Kuthanur Village.
	3rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kuthanur Village.
	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder.
	5th	Health camp in the GHPS school at Kuthanur Village.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 44.19 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.04 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	14.11.2022
14	Cluster certificate	22.11.2022
15	CCR	30.01.2023
16	Audit Report	23.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 04.12.2020 and lease was in effect from 27.01.2011 with QL no. 249. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG dated 23.11.2022 and CCR from KSPCB on 30.01.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1162 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,25,111 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 15,306 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
4. *To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.*

233.1.20. M-Sand Stone Quarry Project at Bedarabudihal Village, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District (3-00 Acres) by Sri Shivanna S Ramadurga - Online Proposal No.SLA/KA/MIN/418398/2023 (SEIAA 84 MIN 2023)

Sri Shivanna S Ramadurga have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Sand Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No. 168(Patta Land), in Bedarabudihal Village, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District

Details of the project are as follows:

SL.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Shivanna S Ramadurga												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Sand Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No. 168(Patta Land), in Bedarabudihal Village, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District (3-00 Acres)												
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 16° 00' 08.9"</td> <td>E 75° 40' 26.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 00' 06.8"</td> <td>E 75° 40' 32.4"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 00' 05.9"</td> <td>E 75° 40' 30.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 16° 00' 05.4"</td> <td>E 75° 40' 26.5"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 16° 00' 08.9"	E 75° 40' 26.1"	N 16° 00' 06.8"	E 75° 40' 32.4"	N 16° 00' 05.9"	E 75° 40' 30.7"	N 16° 00' 05.4"	E 75° 40' 26.5"		
Latitude	Longitude													
N 16° 00' 08.9"	E 75° 40' 26.1"													
N 16° 00' 06.8"	E 75° 40' 32.4"													
N 16° 00' 05.9"	E 75° 40' 30.7"													
N 16° 00' 05.4"	E 75° 40' 26.5"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Sand Stone Quarry												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta												
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,26,316 Tons / year (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.29 Crores (Rs. 129 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	3,84,867.14 Tons(including waste)												
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,20,000 Tons / year (excluding waste)												
11	CER Activities:	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Bedarabudihal village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Rain water harvesting to the GLPS school at Bedarabudihalvillage</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td>Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td>Health camp in the GLPS school at Bedarabudihalvillage</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Bedarabudihal village	2nd		3rd	Rain water harvesting to the GLPS school at Bedarabudihalvillage	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder.	5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Bedarabudihalvillage
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)													
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Bedarabudihal village													
2nd														
3rd	Rain water harvesting to the GLPS school at Bedarabudihalvillage													
4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder.													
5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Bedarabudihalvillage													
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 34.24 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.55 Lakhs (Recurring cost)												
13	Forest NOC	28.12.2018												
14	Quarry plan	10.02.2023												
15	Cluster Certificate	02.02.2022												
16	venue NOC	02.11.2018												
17	Notification	17.01.2023												

Drafted by

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 3-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,84,867.14 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be coterminous with lease period.


The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,26,316 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*



Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

233.1.21. Building Stone Quarry Project at Mudagal Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Davanagere District (3-19 Acres) by Sri Sharanagouda Patil - Online Proposal No.SLA/KA/MIN/418698/2023 (SEIAA 88 MIN 2023)

Sri Sharanagouda Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SELAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy No. 454/*/3, Mudagal Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Davanagere District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Sharanagouda Patil												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy No. 454/*/3, Mudagal Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Davanagere District (3-19 Acres)												
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 15° 59' 35.6"</td> <td>E 76° 26' 59.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 59' 36.4"</td> <td>E 76° 26' 57.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 59' 43.1"</td> <td>E 76° 27' 00.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 59' 43.3"</td> <td>E 76° 27' 02.7"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 59' 41.9"</td> <td>E 76° 27' 02.4"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 15° 59' 35.6"	E 76° 26' 59.2"	N 15° 59' 36.4"	E 76° 26' 57.6"	N 15° 59' 43.1"	E 76° 27' 00.7"	N 15° 59' 43.3"	E 76° 27' 02.7"	N 15° 59' 41.9"	E 76° 27' 02.4"
Latitude	Longitude													
N 15° 59' 35.6"	E 76° 26' 59.2"													
N 15° 59' 36.4"	E 76° 26' 57.6"													
N 15° 59' 43.1"	E 76° 27' 00.7"													
N 15° 59' 43.3"	E 76° 27' 02.7"													
N 15° 59' 41.9"	E 76° 27' 02.4"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta												
6	Area in Acres	3-19 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	76,531 Tones/ Annum (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.31 Crores (Rs. 131 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	22,58,653 Tones(including waste)												

10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	75,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1 st	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Mudgal Village and Strengthening of approach Road
	2 nd	Rain water harvesting pits to GLPS at Mudgal Village
	3 rd	Solar Power Panels in Government Lower primary school at Mudgal Village
	4 th	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages
	5 th	Health camp in GLPS at Mudgal Village.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 43.08 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.87 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	20.05.2022
14	Quarry plan	02.02.2023
15	Cluster certificate	01.02.2023
16	Revenue NOC	13.09.2021
17	Notification	09.01.2023
18	DTF	05.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 03 other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and 01 lease is exempted from cluster as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining leases including the present lease is 12-09 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 530 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 22,58,653 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 30 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 76,531 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to reconsider the project proposal after receipt of the following information:

1. *The PP Shall submit extended cluster certificate from concerned Senior Geologist.*
2. *As per the photos uploaded in quarry plan there seems to be some kind of dump in the site.*
3. *As per the Google image there is a dump inside the site towards the northern side which needs to be clarified.*
4. *It appears that the site is also worked towards the south east boundary.*

233.1.22. Building Stone Quarry Project at Hirikati Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (2-37 Acres) by Sri P. Jayakumar- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/418778/2023 (SEIAA 90 MIN 2023).

Sri P. Jayakumar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.256/2 & 256/3 of Hirikati Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri P. Jayakumar										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.256/2 & 256/3 of Hirikati Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (2-37 Acres)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 11° 59' 02.1"</td> <td>E 76° 39' 29.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11° 39' 05.6"</td> <td>E 76° 39' 29.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11° 59' 04.9"</td> <td>E 76° 39' 33.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11° 59' 01.8"</td> <td>E 76° 39' 33.4"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 11° 59' 02.1"	E 76° 39' 29.2"	N 11° 39' 05.6"	E 76° 39' 29.8"	N 11° 59' 04.9"	E 76° 39' 33.2"	N 11° 59' 01.8"	E 76° 39' 33.4"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 11° 59' 02.1"	E 76° 39' 29.2"											
N 11° 39' 05.6"	E 76° 39' 29.8"											
N 11° 59' 04.9"	E 76° 39' 33.2"											
N 11° 59' 01.8"	E 76° 39' 33.4"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										

Drafted by ka

100

4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	2-37 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	52,632 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.30 Crores (Rs. 130 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	10,47,866 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	50,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to GHPS in Hirikati Village.
	2nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Hirikati Village
	3rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Hirikati Village.
	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder.
	5th	Health camp in the GHPS school at Hirikati Village.
12	EMP Budget	Rs.30.21 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.79 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	30.07.2022
14	Quarry plan	31.01.2023
15	Cluster certificate	06.02.2023
16	Revenue NOC	30.09.2022
17	Notification	04.01.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 2-37 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 420 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the production should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 10,47,866 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 20 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 52,632 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation*

233.1.23. Ordinary Sand Quarry project at Buddinni Village, Maski Taluk, Raichur District (12-00 Acres) by Sri G. Maheshkumar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/418872/2023 (SEIAA 91 MIN 2023)

Sri G. Maheshkumar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Quarry project at Sy. Nos. 1/*/2,3,4,5,6,12,13 in Buddinni Village, Maski Taluk, Raichur District.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri G. Maheshkumar												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Quarry project at Sy. Nos. 1/*/2,3,4,5,6,12,13 in Buddinni Village, Maski Taluk, Raichur District (12-00 Acres) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 15° 55' 59.9"</td> <td>E 76° 47' 43.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 55' 00.4"</td> <td>E 76° 47' 39.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 55' 52.7"</td> <td>E 76° 47' 36.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 55' 50.0"</td> <td>E 76° 47' 43.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 55' 51.3</td> <td>E 76° 47' 43.7"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 15° 55' 59.9"	E 76° 47' 43.1"	N 15° 55' 00.4"	E 76° 47' 39.2"	N 15° 55' 52.7"	E 76° 47' 36.2"	N 15° 55' 50.0"	E 76° 47' 43.3"	N 15° 55' 51.3	E 76° 47' 43.7"
Latitude	Longitude													
N 15° 55' 59.9"	E 76° 47' 43.1"													
N 15° 55' 00.4"	E 76° 47' 39.2"													
N 15° 55' 52.7"	E 76° 47' 36.2"													
N 15° 55' 50.0"	E 76° 47' 43.3"													
N 15° 55' 51.3	E 76° 47' 43.7"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sand Mining												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta												
6	Area in Acres	12-00 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	64,846 Tons / year (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.83 Crores (Rs. 183 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	3,24,230 Tons(including waste)												
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	64,846 Tons / year (including waste)												
11	CER Activities:													

	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1 st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Buddinni Village.
	2 nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Buddinni Village.
	3 rd	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder
	4 th	
	5 th	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 23.53 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.68 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	05.07.2022
14	Quarry plan	12.01.2023
15	Cluster Certificate	11.01.2023
16	Revenue NOC	18.07.2022
17	DTF	05.11.2022
18	JIR	07.01.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for ordinary sand and as per the cluster sketch there is another lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and total area of the leases is 12-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. As per DMG letter dated 07.01.2023 there are no river sand mining projects in the vicinity of 5km from the proposed lease area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 250 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,24,230 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 2 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 64,846 Tons (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms
2. To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*
4. *The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.*
3. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms*
4. *To implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation*
5. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.24. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kotagallu village, Chintamani Taluk Chikkaballapura District (3-19 Acres) by Sri Subhash Gowda - Online Proposal No.SLA/KA/MIN/418867/2023 (SEIAA 92 MIN 2023)

Sri Subhash Gowda have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 157 of Kotagallu village, Chintamani Taluk Chikkaballapura District

[Handwritten signatures and marks]

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.																
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Subhash Gowda																
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 157 of Kotagallu village, Chintamani Taluk Chikkaballapura District (3-19 Acres) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 13°29'37.2021"</td> <td>E 78°03'02.7654"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°29'34.0012"</td> <td>E 78°03'00.9021"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°29'35.8725"</td> <td>E 78°03'00.2201"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°29'35.5521"</td> <td>E 78°02'57.5521"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°29'38.6568"</td> <td>E 78°02'57.5523"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°29'39.5502"</td> <td>E 78°03'00.3105"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°29'38.0001"</td> <td>E 78°03'00.7725"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 13°29'37.2021"	E 78°03'02.7654"	N 13°29'34.0012"	E 78°03'00.9021"	N 13°29'35.8725"	E 78°03'00.2201"	N 13°29'35.5521"	E 78°02'57.5521"	N 13°29'38.6568"	E 78°02'57.5523"	N 13°29'39.5502"	E 78°03'00.3105"	N 13°29'38.0001"	E 78°03'00.7725"
Latitude	Longitude																	
N 13°29'37.2021"	E 78°03'02.7654"																	
N 13°29'34.0012"	E 78°03'00.9021"																	
N 13°29'35.8725"	E 78°03'00.2201"																	
N 13°29'35.5521"	E 78°02'57.5521"																	
N 13°29'38.6568"	E 78°02'57.5523"																	
N 13°29'39.5502"	E 78°03'00.3105"																	
N 13°29'38.0001"	E 78°03'00.7725"																	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta																
6	Area in Acres	3-19 Acres																
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	255,102 Tones/ Annum (including waste)																
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)																
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	15,02,552 Tones(including waste)																
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum -Cu.m / Ton	2,50,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)																
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 500 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Kotagallu Village Road																	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 16.00 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 6.0 Lakhs (Recurring cost)																
13	Forest NOC	17.11.2022																
14	Quarry plan	14.12.2023																
15	Cluster certificate	13.02.2023																
16	Revenue NOC	04.08.2022																
17	Notification	09.02.2023																

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by Kav

The Committee as per the request of Proponent considered the proposal on 15.03.2023.

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the S-report issued by DMG dated 01.02.2023, it is mentioned that the proposed area is fresh land and no workings had been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 9-19 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 710 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 15,02,552 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.


The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 15,02,552 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to reconsider the project proposal after receipt of the following information:

1. *The Project proponent shall submit an extended cluster sketch covering 500m.*



2. It is observed that there is some mismatch between DGPS and contour map elevations which needs to be clarified.
3. It is observed that the site is worked from inside without obtaining prior environmental clearance which needs to be clarified.
4. The Project Proponent shall also submit signed NOC.

233.1.25. Building Stone Quarry Project at Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (2.50 Acres) (QLNO: 101) by M/s. Tulaja Bhavani Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/406383/2022 (SEIAA 54 MIN 2023)

M/s. Tulaja Bhavani Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 1/B1 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Tulaja Bhavani Stone Crusher										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 1/B1 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (2.50 Acres) (QLNO: 101)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 33' 34.34847"</td> <td>E 76° 00' 27.36814"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 33' 31.37319"</td> <td>E 76° 00' 25.64297"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 33' 33.93096"</td> <td>E 76° 00' 23.21879"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 33' 36.68205"</td> <td>E 76° 00' 25.07574"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 33' 34.34847"	E 76° 00' 27.36814"	N 14° 33' 31.37319"	E 76° 00' 25.64297"	N 14° 33' 33.93096"	E 76° 00' 23.21879"	N 14° 33' 36.68205"	E 76° 00' 25.07574"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 14° 33' 34.34847"	E 76° 00' 27.36814"											
N 14° 33' 31.37319"	E 76° 00' 25.64297"											
N 14° 33' 33.93096"	E 76° 00' 23.21879"											
N 14° 33' 36.68205"	E 76° 00' 25.07574"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta										
6	Area in Acres	2.50 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	71,428 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.12 Crores (Rs. 112 Lakhs)										

9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,64,056 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	70,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1 st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Uchchangidurga village.
	2 nd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Uchchangidurga Village & Strengthening of approach road.
	3 rd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Uchchangidurga village.
	4 th	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 26.15 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.12 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	17.12.2021
14	Cluster certificate	15.11.2021
15	CCR from KSPCB	30.01.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 27.02.2016 and lease was granted on 26.02.2019 with QL no. 101. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB on 30.01.2023

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 290 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,64,056 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 71428 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:


1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
4. *To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.*

233.1.26. Pink Granite Quarry Project at Bandragal Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (5-09 Acres) by Sri Balanagouda Police Patil - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/401756/2022 (SEIAA 483 MIN 2022)

Sri Balanagouda Police Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 34/1/10, 34/2/1, 34/2/2 & 34/2/3 of Bandragal Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District.



Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Balanagouda Police Patil
2	Name & Location of the Project	Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 34/1/10, 34/2/1, 34/2/2 & 34/2/3 of Bandragal Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (5-09 Acres) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <p>N15°57'31.80056" & E 76°01'50.91175"</p> <p>N15°57'26.90085" & E 76°02'00.61020"</p> <p>N15°57'25.42116" & E 76°02'00.22133"</p> <p>N15°57'28.90326" & E 76°01'49.92121"</p> </div>
3	Type Of Mineral	Pink Granite Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	5-09 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	6,666 Cum/annum for 1 st & 2 nd year and 9,150 Cum/annum 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th year (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.36 Crores (Rs. 36 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	2,42,117 Cum (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	2,000Cum/annum for 1 st & 2 nd year and 2,500cum/annum for 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th year (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Shall be spend towards CER activities like desilting & rejuvenation a Kappalappana halla, Drinking water etc..	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 5 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	03.02.2021
14	Quarry plan	01.08.2022
15	Cluster Certificate	13.09.2022
16	Revenue	19.04.2021

Drafted by ka

111

17	DTF	14.06.2022
18	C&I Notification	10.10.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the DMG letter dated 14.03.2023, based on the google earth timeline images, it is mentioned that mining operations were carried out till April 2014 and timeline of 2015 images were not available and in April 2016, the worked area has been covered with soil. For illegal mining, a fine of Rs. 9.90 Lakhs has been imposed by DMG, out of which Rs. 2.50 Lakhs has been paid by the Proponent, by submitting undertaking to remit the remaining amount before grant of lease. Further the Proponent submitted that they had purchased the proposed area in 2018 and after 2018 as per google image time line no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the Proponent has not committed any violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project based on the undertaking submitted by Proponent that the present Proponent has not committed violation.

As per the cluster sketch there are 05 other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which 03 leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and 01 lease with area 3-06 Acres is not in operation since 20.09.2018 and the total area of the remaining leases including the present lease is 10-14 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 490 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 2,42,117 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 26years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 6,666 Cum/annum for 1st



& 2nd year and 9,150 Cum/annum 3rd, 4th, 5th year (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To properly handle waste.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*
4. *Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
4. *To properly handle waste.*

233.1.27. Black Granite Quarry Project at Veeranapura Village Chamarajanagara Taluk, & District (3-14 Acres) by Sri B. Murugesh - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/266098/2022 (SELAA 172 MIN 2022)

Sri. B. Murugesh have applied for Environmental clearance from SELAA for quarrying of Black Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 186/2A & 186/2B of Veeranapura Village Chamarajanagara Taluk, & District.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.														
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri B.Murugesh														
2	Name & Location of the Project	Black Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 186/2A & 186/2B of Veeranapura Village Chamarajanagara Taluk, & District (3-14 Acres) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 11°54'25.5"</td> <td>E 76°51'19.5"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11°54'24.8"</td> <td>E 76°51'24.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11°54'26.7"</td> <td>E 76°51'19.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11°54'21.8"</td> <td>E 76°51'23.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11°54'23.4"</td> <td>E 76°51'24.4"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 11°54'24.2"</td> <td>E 76°51'19.4"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 11°54'25.5"	E 76°51'19.5"	N 11°54'24.8"	E 76°51'24.8"	N 11°54'26.7"	E 76°51'19.8"	N 11°54'21.8"	E 76°51'23.8"	N 11°54'23.4"	E 76°51'24.4"	N 11°54'24.2"	E 76°51'19.4"
Latitude	Longitude															
N 11°54'25.5"	E 76°51'19.5"															
N 11°54'24.8"	E 76°51'24.8"															
N 11°54'26.7"	E 76°51'19.8"															
N 11°54'21.8"	E 76°51'23.8"															
N 11°54'23.4"	E 76°51'24.4"															
N 11°54'24.2"	E 76°51'19.4"															
3	Type Of Mineral	Black Granite Quarry														
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New														
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta														
6	Area in Acres	3-14 Acres														
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	10,093.2 Cum/annum (including waste)														
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs. 45 Lakhs)														
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	84,900 Cum (including waste)														
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	3,532.6 Cum/annum (excluding waste)														
11	CER Activities: To grow 500number of trees along the approach road to the quarry.															
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 16.55 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 4.27Lakhs (Recurring cost)														
13	Forest NOC	03.02.2020														
14	Quarry plan	23.11.2021														
15	Cluster Certificate	12.03.2021														
16	Revenue	08.03.2001														

Drafted by ki

17	DTF	29.07.2022
18	Audit Report	12.12.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee as per the request of Proponent considered the proposal on 15.03.2023.

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition as per the KMI. submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per the provisions under Rule 32 of chapter V & Rule 42 of chapter VI of KMMCR, 1994, in old Mysore region, permits were only issued for mining activities and as per DMG audit report dated 12.12.2022 there has been no mining activities post 2001-02 and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 700 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 84,900 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 9 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 10,093.2 Cum/annum (including waste), with following condition,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To properly handle waste.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*
4. *Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
4. *To properly handle waste.*



233.1.28. Building Stone Quarry Project at Jakkanahalli Village, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District (3-17 Acres) by Sri Y. B. Ashokgowda Patil - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/411953/2022 (SEIAA 11 MIN 2023)

Sri Y. B. Ashokgowda Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 56/1, 56/2, 56/5 & 61/3 of Jakkanahalli Village, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Y. B. Ashokgowda Patil
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 56/1, 56/2, 56/5 & 61/3 of Jakkanahalli Village, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District (3-17 Acres)




Drafted by 

		Latitude	Longitude
		N 12°28'29.5"	E 76°47'07.1"
		N 12°28'28.9"	E 76°47'08.2"
		N 12°28'27.9"	E 76°47'08.0"
		N 12°28'27.2"	E 76°47'09.4"
		N 12°28'25.8"	E 76°47'08.9"
		N 12°28'23.9"	E 76°47'07.7"
		N 12°28'22.9"	E 76°47'07.9"
		N 12°28'23.1"	E 76°47'05.1"
		N 12°28'25.9"	E 76°47'05.4"
		N 12°28'26.0"	E 76°47'06.4"
		N 12°28'26.7"	E 76°47'06.5"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	
6	Area in Acres	3-17 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	61,657 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	11,68,343 Tones(including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	60,001 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)	
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 450 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Jakkanahalli Village Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 15.10 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 4.96 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	25.06.2021	
14	Quarry plan	01.12.2022	
15	Cluster certificate	08.12.2022	
16	Revenue NOC	24.05.2021	
17	Notification	28.09.2022	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 3-17 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 490 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 11,68,343 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 19 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for non-blasting method with annual production of 61,657 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To provide mitigative measures to prevent siltation of nearby water body.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per IRC norms.
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
4. To provide mitigate measures to prevent siltation of nearby water body.

233.1.29. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nerugalale Village, Somwarapete Taluk, Kodagu District (2-00 Acres) by Sri R N Belliyappa - Online Proposal No.SLA/KA/MIN/249607/2022 (SEIAA 05 MIN 2022)

Sri R N Belliyappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 48/1 of Nerugalale Village, Somwarapete Taluk, Kodagu District

Details of the project are as follows:

SL.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri R N Belliyappa										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No. 48/1 of Nerugalale Village, Somwarapete Taluk, Kodagu District (2-00 Acres)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 12° 34' 08.2"</td> <td>E 75° 54' 20.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12° 34' 08.9"</td> <td>E 75° 54' 22.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12° 34' 05.3"</td> <td>E 75° 54' 24.5"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 12° 34' 04.6"</td> <td>E 75° 54' 22.6"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 12° 34' 08.2"	E 75° 54' 20.3"	N 12° 34' 08.9"	E 75° 54' 22.2"	N 12° 34' 05.3"	E 75° 54' 24.5"	N 12° 34' 04.6"	E 75° 54' 22.6"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 12° 34' 08.2"	E 75° 54' 20.3"											
N 12° 34' 08.9"	E 75° 54' 22.2"											
N 12° 34' 05.3"	E 75° 54' 24.5"											
N 12° 34' 04.6"	E 75° 54' 22.6"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	78,947 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.12 Crores (Rs. 112 Lakhs)										

9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,65,906 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	75,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to common public places
	2nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the nearby localities
	3rd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at Nerugalale Village & Strengthening of approach road
	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder
	5th	Health camp in nearby community places
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 14.25 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.56 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	02.01.2016
14	Quarry plan	20.09.2021
15	Cluster certificate	30.12.2021
16	Revenue NOC	31.01.2012
17	CCR from KSPCB	16.08.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by DEIAA on 31.03.2017 and lease was granted on 08.05.2017, effect from 31.01.2006 with QI. no. 316. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB on 16.08.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 292 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,65,906 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SELAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 78,947tons/ Annum (including waste), with following condition,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:


1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
4. *To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.*

233.1.30. Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (2-00 Acres) by M/s. Srinivas Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/402878/2022 (SEIAA 433 MIN 2022)

M/s. Srinivas Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.27 of Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District.


 12

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Srinivas Stone Crusher
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.27 of Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (2-00 Acres) 
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,02,041 Tones for 1 st year, 1,27,551 Tones for 2 nd year, 1,02,041 Tones for 3 rd year and 51,020 Tones for 4 th years(including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.18 Crores (Rs. 18 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	3,88,574 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,00,000 Tones for 1 st year, 1,25,000 Tones for 2 nd year, 1,00,000 Tones for 3 rd year and 50,000 Tones for 4 th years (including waste)
11	CER Activities: Shall be spend towards CER activities like desilting & rejuvenation at Kerehalli pond, Drinking water etc..	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 25.00 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 2.5 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	18.08.2022
14	CCR	28.11.2022
15	Forest NOC	24.12.2014

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by 



122

The proposal was earlier considered in 288th SEAC meeting and the Committee had noted that the Proponent has not submitted CCR certified by M.S KSPCB and hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

In the present meeting, the Proponent submitted CCR from MS KSPCB dated 21.02.2023 for the earlier EC issued by SEIAA on 08.12.2015 and the lease was granted on 31.03.2016 with QL number 338. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1620 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,88,574 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 4 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,02,041 Tones for 1st year, 1,27,551 Tones for 2nd year, 1,02,041 Tones for 3rd year and 51,020 Tones for 4th years(including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the*

proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
4. *To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.*

233.1.31. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bandri Village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari District (3-20 Acres) by Sr. N S Channappa - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/410698/2022 (SEIAA 07 MIN 2023)

Sri. N S Channappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 378 Part of Bandri Village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari District.

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.															
	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sr. N S Channappa															
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 378 Part of Bandri Village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari District (3-20 Acres) <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>N14° 59' 08.0282"</td> <td>E76° 26'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>N14° 59' 09.1999"</td> <td>E76° 26'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>N14° 59' 05.0060"</td> <td>E76° 26'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>N14° 59' 03.9697"</td> <td>E76° 26'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RI</td> <td>N14° 59' 09.5091"</td> <td>E76° 26'</td> </tr> </table>	1	N14° 59' 08.0282"	E76° 26'	2	N14° 59' 09.1999"	E76° 26'	3	N14° 59' 05.0060"	E76° 26'	4	N14° 59' 03.9697"	E76° 26'	RI	N14° 59' 09.5091"	E76° 26'
1	N14° 59' 08.0282"	E76° 26'															
2	N14° 59' 09.1999"	E76° 26'															
3	N14° 59' 05.0060"	E76° 26'															
4	N14° 59' 03.9697"	E76° 26'															
RI	N14° 59' 09.5091"	E76° 26'															
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry															
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New															
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government															
6	Area in Acres	3-20 Acres															

7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	5,000 Cu.mt/annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.7 Crores (Rs. 70 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	60,390 Cu.mt.(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	3,000 Cu.mt/annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:To grow additional 500 number of trees for the SH 40 approach road.	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 2.00 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &1.00 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	18.12.2018
14	Quarry plan	25.11.2022
15	Revenue NOC	08.01.2020
16	Notification	11.01.2022(manual)
17	Cluster Certificate	25.11.2022
18	LoI	15.07.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and as the Proponent remained absent without intimation, the Committee had decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

In the present meeting, the Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site details based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed project is a Govt. Land and the old workings were done by the local bonafied villagers for construction of their house by manual means and no workings were done by the Proponent and also the S report issued by DMG informs the same. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and total area of the leases is 8.50 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 60,390 cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,000 cum/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the curhser as per IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:


1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*


Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the curhser as per IRC norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.32 Building Stone Quarry Project at Yalagadahalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (2-00 Acres) (QL No: 234) by Sri J. P. Prakash - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/MIN/286855/2022 (SEIAA 96 MIN 2023)

Drafted by ka



 126

Sri J. P. Prakash have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Part of Sy. No. 404 of Yalagadahalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.												
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri J. P. Prakash												
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Part of Sy. No. 404 of Yalagadahalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (2-00 Acres) (QL No: 234) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 13°36' 30.11"</td> <td>E 77° 46' 51.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°36' 28.6"</td> <td>E 77° 46' 50.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°36' 27.5"</td> <td>E 77° 46' 55.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 13°36' 29.5"</td> <td>E 77° 46' 55.5"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 13°36' 30.11"	E 77° 46' 51.0"	N 13°36' 28.6"	E 77° 46' 50.3"	N 13°36' 27.5"	E 77° 46' 55.1"	N 13°36' 29.5"	E 77° 46' 55.5"		
Latitude	Longitude													
N 13°36' 30.11"	E 77° 46' 51.0"													
N 13°36' 28.6"	E 77° 46' 50.3"													
N 13°36' 27.5"	E 77° 46' 55.1"													
N 13°36' 29.5"	E 77° 46' 55.5"													
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry												
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion												
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government												
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres												
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,57,895 Tones/ Annum (including waste)												
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.21 Crores (Rs. 121 Lakhs)												
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	8,45,258 Tones(including waste)												
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,50,000Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)												
11	CER Activities: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Rain water harvesting pits to the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td>Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td>Health camp in the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder	5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)													
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village													
2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village													
3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages													
4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder													
5th	Health camp in the GLPS school at Jomalakunte village													
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.05 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 8.80 Lakhs (Recurring cost)												

13	Quarry plan	27.06.2019
14	Cluster certificate	30.03.2019
15	Notification	07.01.2015
16	CCR from KSPCB	30.01.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (premkumar231sd@gmail.com) on 15th March 2023 for the present proposal and the Committee at the time of appraisal sought clarification for the following observations from the project Proponent and Consultant,

Compliant: The site is worked in the buffer zone and hence this is a violation of EC conditions.

Reply: The Proponent submitted undertaking and informed the Committee that no mining is carried in buffer zone and as per the CCR issued by KSPCB dated 30.01.2023, in point no. 34, it clearly states that no mining activities were observed in buffer zone of 7.5mtr along the lease boundary.

The Committee accepted the clarification given by Proponent and appraised the project.

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 06.07.2015 and lease was granted on 06.02.2019(w.e.f 16.10.2015) with QL no. 234. The Proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB on 30.01.2023.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 570 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 8,45,258 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SELAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,57,895 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.
3. To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:



1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*
4. *To comply with the observations of CCR of KSPCB.*

233.1.33. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kurki Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Rangaswamy - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/MIN/418412/2023 (SELAA 98 MIN 2023)

Sri Rangaswamy have applied for Environmental clearance from SELAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. Nos. 29/3 & 29/4 of Kurki Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District

  129

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.											
	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Rangaswamy											
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. Nos. 29/3 & 29/4 of Kurki Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-00 Acre)											
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 37.9395"</td> <td>E 75° 59' 29.2450"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 38.4260"</td> <td>E 75° 59' 31.0994"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 37.0087"</td> <td>E 75° 59' 32.5240"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 35.9700"</td> <td>E 75° 59' 30.2276"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 22' 37.9395"	E 75° 59' 29.2450"	N 14° 22' 38.4260"	E 75° 59' 31.0994"	N 14° 22' 37.0087"	E 75° 59' 32.5240"	N 14° 22' 35.9700"	E 75° 59' 30.2276"	
Latitude	Longitude												
N 14° 22' 37.9395"	E 75° 59' 29.2450"												
N 14° 22' 38.4260"	E 75° 59' 31.0994"												
N 14° 22' 37.0087"	E 75° 59' 32.5240"												
N 14° 22' 35.9700"	E 75° 59' 30.2276"												
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry											
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New											
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta											
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre											
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	25,510 Tones/ Annum (including waste)											
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.05 Crores (Rs. 105 Lakhs)											
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	3,81,058 Tones(including waste)											
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	25,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)											
11	CER Activities:	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kurki village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kurki village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td rowspan="2">Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Kurki village.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kurki village	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kurki village	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Kurki village.	5th
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)												
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kurki village												
2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kurki village												
3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages												
4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Kurki village.												
5th													
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 26.51 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.44 lakhs (Recurring cost)											
13	Forest NOC	03.12.2022											
14	Quarry plan	04.02.2023											
15	Cluster certificate	13.02.2023											
16	Revenue NOC	19.10.2022											

Drafted by

130

17	Notification	29.12.2022
----	--------------	------------

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area soil was removed and used by Railway Dept. and no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 05 other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and 01 lease is exempted from cluster as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and one lease has only been notified and hence the total area of the remaining leases including the present lease is 4-15 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,81,058 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 15 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 25,510 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.34. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kabburu Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-06 Acres) by Sri V. V. Tangavelu S/o Veeraswamy - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/419418/2023 (SEIAA 99 MIN 2023)

Sri V. V. Tangavelu S/o Veeraswamy have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No.26/6 of Kabburu Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.														
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri V. V. Tangavelu S/o Veeraswamy														
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No.26/6 of Kabburu Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-06 Acres) <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 17' 49.6"</td> <td>E 76° 02' 02.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 17' 50.2"</td> <td>E 76° 02' 03.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 17' 49.6"</td> <td>E 76° 02' 04.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 17' 49.8"</td> <td>E 76° 02' 04.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 17' 45.8"</td> <td>E 76° 02' 05.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 17' 46.3"</td> <td>E 76° 02' 04.3"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 17' 49.6"	E 76° 02' 02.8"	N 14° 17' 50.2"	E 76° 02' 03.8"	N 14° 17' 49.6"	E 76° 02' 04.0"	N 14° 17' 49.8"	E 76° 02' 04.8"	N 14° 17' 45.8"	E 76° 02' 05.3"	N 14° 17' 46.3"	E 76° 02' 04.3"
Latitude	Longitude															
N 14° 17' 49.6"	E 76° 02' 02.8"															
N 14° 17' 50.2"	E 76° 02' 03.8"															
N 14° 17' 49.6"	E 76° 02' 04.0"															
N 14° 17' 49.8"	E 76° 02' 04.8"															
N 14° 17' 45.8"	E 76° 02' 05.3"															
N 14° 17' 46.3"	E 76° 02' 04.3"															
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry														

4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New											
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta											
6	Area in Acres	1-06 Acres											
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	35,714 Tones/ Annum (including waste)											
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.08 Crores (Rs. 108 Lakhs)											
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	2,01,853 Tones(including waste)											
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	35,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)											
11	CER Activities: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kabburu village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kabburu village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td rowspan="2">Health camp in GHPS school at Kabburu village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kabburu village	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kabburu village	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages	4th	Health camp in GHPS school at Kabburu village	5th
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)												
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kabburu village												
2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kabburu village												
3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages												
4th	Health camp in GHPS school at Kabburu village												
5th													
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 57.66 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.60 lakhs (Recurring cost)											
13	Forest NOC	03.12.2022											
14	Quarry plan	04.02.2023											
15	Cluster Certificate	09.02.2023											
16	Revenue NOC	11.10.2022											
17	Notification	16.01.2023											

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area soil was removed and used by Railway Dept. and no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 03 other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and 01 lease is exempted from cluster as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining leases including the present lease is 7-21 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1441 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 2,01,853 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 35,714 Tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.35. Building Stone Quarry Project at Gujjikonda Village, Mayakonda Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-08 Acres) by Sri V.V. Tanagavelu S/o Veeraswamy - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/419419/2023 (SEIAA 100 MIN 2023)

Sri V.V. Tanagavelu S/o Veeraswamy have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No.13/2 of Gujjikonda Village, Mayakonda Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri V.V. Tanagavelu S/o Veeraswamy										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. No.13/2 of Gujjikonda Village, Mayakonda Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-08 Acres)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 16' 44.5"</td> <td>E 76° 03' 02.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 16' 44.7"</td> <td>E 76° 03' 04.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 16' 41.7"</td> <td>E 76° 03' 05.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 16' 41.4"</td> <td>E 76° 03' 03.6"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 16' 44.5"	E 76° 03' 02.8"	N 14° 16' 44.7"	E 76° 03' 04.6"	N 14° 16' 41.7"	E 76° 03' 05.3"	N 14° 16' 41.4"	E 76° 03' 03.6"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 14° 16' 44.5"	E 76° 03' 02.8"											
N 14° 16' 44.7"	E 76° 03' 04.6"											
N 14° 16' 41.7"	E 76° 03' 05.3"											
N 14° 16' 41.4"	E 76° 03' 03.6"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta										
6	Area in Acres	1-08 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	35,714 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.09 Crores (Rs. 109 Lakhs)										

Drafted by 

 135

9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	2,30,072 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	35,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Gujjikonda village.
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Gujjikonda village.
	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages
	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Gujjikonda village.
	5th	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 17.45 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.73 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	16.12.2022
14	Quarry plan	04.02.2023
15	Cluster certificate	09.02.2023
16	Revenue NOC	11.10.2022
17	Notification	16.01.2023


The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 1-08 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1441 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.



The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 2,30,072 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 7 years. The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 35,714 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:


1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.36. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kurki Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-08 Acres) by Sri Balasubramanya - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/418402/2023 (SEIAA 101 MIN 2023)

Sri Balasubramanya have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. Nos.29/3 & 29/4 of Kurki Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District



Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.											
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Balasubramanya											
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy. Nos.29/3 & 29/4 of Kurki Village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District (1-08 Acres) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 35.9700"</td> <td>E 77° 56' 27.7359"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 37.0087"</td> <td>E 77° 56' 22.7617"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 34.6388"</td> <td>E 77° 56' 22.8296"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 22' 34.0019"</td> <td>E 77° 56' 24.1137"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 22' 35.9700"	E 77° 56' 27.7359"	N 14° 22' 37.0087"	E 77° 56' 22.7617"	N 14° 22' 34.6388"	E 77° 56' 22.8296"	N 14° 22' 34.0019"	E 77° 56' 24.1137"	
Latitude	Longitude												
N 14° 22' 35.9700"	E 77° 56' 27.7359"												
N 14° 22' 37.0087"	E 77° 56' 22.7617"												
N 14° 22' 34.6388"	E 77° 56' 22.8296"												
N 14° 22' 34.0019"	E 77° 56' 24.1137"												
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry											
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New											
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta											
6	Area in Acres	1-08 Acres											
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	25,510 Tones/ Annum (including waste)											
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.07 Crores (Rs. 107 Lakhs)											
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	5,27,372 Tones(including waste)											
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	25,000Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)											
11	CER Activities: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kurki village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kurki village</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road with drainages</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td rowspan="2">Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Kurki village.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kurki village	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kurki village	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road with drainages	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Kurki village.	5th
Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)												
1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Kurki village												
2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Kurki village												
3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road with drainages												
4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Kurki village.												
5th													
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 27.24 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.45 lakhs (Recurring cost)											
13	Forest NOC	03.12.2022											

14	Quarry plan	04.02.2023
15	Cluster certificate	13.02.2023
16	Revenue NOC	19.10.2022
17	Notification	29.12.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area soil was removed and used by Railway Dept. and no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 05 other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and 01 lease is exempted from cluster as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining leases including the present lease is 5-15 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.


There is an existing cart track road to a length of 510 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 5,27,372 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 21 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 25,510 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CVLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To strengthen the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.37. Shedabal Building Stone Quarry Project at Shedabal Village, Kagawad Taluk, Belagavi District (2-00 Acres) by Shri Vasant Bhimappa Gadiwaddar - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/MIN/418877/2023 (SELAA 102 MIN 2023)

Shri Vasant Bhimappa Gadiwaddar have applied for Environmental clearance from SELAA for quarrying of Shedabal Building Stone Quarry Project at 196/3A(P) of Shedabal Village, Kagawad Taluk, Belagavi District (2-00 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Shri Vasant Bhimappa Gadiwaddar
2	Name & Location of the Project	Shedabal Building Stone Quarry Project at 196/3A(P) of Shedabal Village, Kagawad Taluk, Belagavi District (2-00 Acres)

		Points	Latitude	Longitude
		A	N 16° 40' 59.4004"	E74° 47' 28.3010"
		B	N 16° 40' 59.5001"	E74° 47' 25.0007"
		C	N 16° 40' 56.8005"	E74° 47' 24.7019"
		D	N 16° 40' 56.8015"	E74° 47' 28.0003"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta		
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	10,526.31 Tones/ Annum (including waste)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.50 Crores (Rs. 50 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	3,21,052.63 Tones(including waste)		
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	10,000Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)		
11	CER Activities: 500 Saplings / first year Plantation both side of haul roads, in & around Shedabal govt. school, crushing plant area, vicinity of office.			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.90 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 6.85 Lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	16.12.2021		
14	Cluster certificate	13.02.2023		
15	Revenue NOC	01.10.2021		
16	Notification	29.11.2022		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that in the proposed project area there is temporary animal sheds, a small krishi honda and soil removed for agriculture purpose and no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 400 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,21,052.63 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be coterminous with lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 10,526 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*

Drafted by 



142

2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard norms.
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

233.1.38. Building Stone Quarry Project at Mallanakatte Village, Kasaba Hobli, Chitradurga Taluk, Chitradurga District (3-00 Acres) (QL No.: CTA-604) by Sri A M Marularadhya - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/MIN/417173/2023 (SEIAA 103 MIN 2023)

Sri A M Marularadhya have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy No. 03(P) of Mallanakatte Village, Kasaba Hobli, Chitradurga Taluk, Chitradurga District

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.										
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri A M Marularadhya										
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at In Sy No. 03(P) of Mallanakatte Village, Kasaba Hobli, Chitradurga Taluk, Chitradurga District (3-00 Acres) (QL No.: CTA-604)										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 14° 15' 17.4110"</td> <td>E 76° 22' 26.7030"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 15' 17.0690"</td> <td>E 76° 22' 23.9650"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 15' 21.9860"</td> <td>E 76° 22' 23.3600"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 14° 15' 22.1990"</td> <td>E 76° 22' 25.9640"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	N 14° 15' 17.4110"	E 76° 22' 26.7030"	N 14° 15' 17.0690"	E 76° 22' 23.9650"	N 14° 15' 21.9860"	E 76° 22' 23.3600"	N 14° 15' 22.1990"	E 76° 22' 25.9640"
Latitude	Longitude											
N 14° 15' 17.4110"	E 76° 22' 26.7030"											
N 14° 15' 17.0690"	E 76° 22' 23.9650"											
N 14° 15' 21.9860"	E 76° 22' 23.3600"											
N 14° 15' 22.1990"	E 76° 22' 25.9640"											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry										
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion										
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government										
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres										
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,57,895 Tones/ Annum (including waste)										
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.31 Crores (Rs. 131 Lakhs)										
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	11,09,490 Tones(including waste)										

10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,50,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:	
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GHPS school at Mallanakatte Village.
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits to Mallanakatte Village.
	3rd	Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages
	4th	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS at Mallanakatte Village.
	5th	Health camp in GHPS at Mallanakatte Village.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 38.45 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.69 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	14.12.2022
14	Cluster certificate	21.01.2023
15	Revised Notification	15.06.2022
16	Audit Report	15.02.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent submitted clarification informing that the proposed project area is Govt. land and as per google timeline images, mining activities have been carried out prior to 2010 by villagers and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification.

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 07.04.2022 and lease was granted on 17.06.2022 with QL no. 604. The Proponent submitted audit report certified by DMG dated 15.02.2023 informing that no mining activities had been carried out since grant of lease and requested exemption from CCR and Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 640 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 11,09,490 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,57,895 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms before commencing expansion in quantity*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.39. Siddapura Building Stone Quarry Project at Siddapura Village, Nymati Taluk, Davanagere District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Manjunath S/o B N Subramani - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/417643/2023 (SEIAA 104 MIN 2023)

Sri Manjunath S/o B N Subramani have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Siddapura Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 41/1 of Siddapura Village, Nymati Taluk, Davanagere District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Manjunath S/o B N Subramani
2	Name & Location of the Project	Siddapura Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 41/1 of Siddapura Village, Nymati Taluk, Davanagere District (2-00 Acres) Points Latitude Longitude A N 14°08'16.8" E 75°27'59.9" B N 14°08'16.3" E 75°28'03.7" C N 14°08'13.9" E 75°28'03.4" D N 14°08'14.3" E 75°27'59.9" E N 14°08'15.0" E 75°28'00.0"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	26,316 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.50 Crores (Rs. 50 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry - Cu.m / Ton	3,94,737 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	25,000Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: 500 Saplings / first year Plantation both side of haul roads, in & around Nymati govt. school, crushing plant area, vicinity of office.	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 11.20 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 10.45 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	21.12.2022

14	Quarry plan	15.02.2023
15	Cluster certificate	22.02.2023
16	Revenue NOC	01.12.2022
17	Notification	04.02.2023

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SELAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 400 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,94,737 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 15 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SELAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 26,316 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the*

- proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
 3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.40. Building Stone Quarry Project at Siddapura Village, Nyamathi Taluk, Davanagere District (4-07 Acres) by M/s. L. L. M. Infrastructure & Promoters L.L.P - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/419505/2023 (SEIAA 105 MIN 2023)

M/s. L. L. M. Infrastructure & Promoters L.L.P have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.54 of Siddapura Village, Nyamathi Taluk, Davanagere District.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. L. L. M. Infrastructure & Promoters L.L.P
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.54 of Siddapura Village, Nyamathi Taluk, Davanagere District (4-07 Acres)

		Latitude	Longitude
		N 14°08'04.4"	E 75°27'52.7"
		N 14°08'04.5"	E 75°27'52.7"
		N 14°08'04.4"	E 75°27'54.8"
		N 14°08'05.2"	E 75°27'53.1"
		N 14°08'02.0"	E 75°27'56.3"
		N 14°08'00.6"	E 75°27'54.4"
		N 14°08'00.4"	E 75°27'53.5"
		N 14°08'01.0"	E 75°27'52.8"
		N 14°08'01.7"	E 75°27'50.2"
		N 14°08'15.8"	E 75°27'07.3"
		N 14°08'07.7"	E 75°27'02.1"
		N 14°08'10.1"	E 75°27'46.8"
		N 14°08'02.7"	E 75°27'44.6"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	
6	Area in Acres	4-07 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	4,70,770 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs. 40 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	24,32,750 Tones(including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	4,61,354 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)	
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 700 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Siddapura Village Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 18.05 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 6.81 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	31.01.2023	
14	Quarry plan	21.02.2023	
15	Cluster certificate	22.02.2023	
16	Revenue NOC	10.01.2023	
17	Notification	13.02.2023	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and total area of the leases is 4-07 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1000 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 24,32,750 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 4,70,770 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

233.1.41. Building Stone Quarry Project at Ganikoppa Village, Bailhongal Taluk, Belagavi District (3-00 Acres) by M/s. Ok Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/MIN/414886/2023 (SEIAA 77 MIN 2023)

M/s. Ok Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 175/2,6,7(P) of Ganikoppa Village, Bailhongal Taluk, Belagavi District (

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.																																				
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Ok Stone Crusher																																				
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 175/2,6,7(P) of Ganikoppa Village, Bailhongal Taluk, Belagavi District (3-00 Acres) <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Latitude</th> <th colspan="2">Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 35.4492"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 12.6708"</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 32.2902"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 12.8005"</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 29.769"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 13.0493"</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 28.9686"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 13.2591"</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 27.5985"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 13.1996"</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 27.5201"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 11.5307"</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 29.7513"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 11.4007"</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N 15° 48' 35.0186"</td> <td></td> <td>E74° 39' 11.0505"</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude		Longitude		N 15° 48' 35.4492"		E74° 39' 12.6708"		N 15° 48' 32.2902"		E74° 39' 12.8005"		N 15° 48' 29.769"		E74° 39' 13.0493"		N 15° 48' 28.9686"		E74° 39' 13.2591"		N 15° 48' 27.5985"		E74° 39' 13.1996"		N 15° 48' 27.5201"		E74° 39' 11.5307"		N 15° 48' 29.7513"		E74° 39' 11.4007"		N 15° 48' 35.0186"		E74° 39' 11.0505"	
Latitude		Longitude																																				
N 15° 48' 35.4492"		E74° 39' 12.6708"																																				
N 15° 48' 32.2902"		E74° 39' 12.8005"																																				
N 15° 48' 29.769"		E74° 39' 13.0493"																																				
N 15° 48' 28.9686"		E74° 39' 13.2591"																																				
N 15° 48' 27.5985"		E74° 39' 13.1996"																																				
N 15° 48' 27.5201"		E74° 39' 11.5307"																																				
N 15° 48' 29.7513"		E74° 39' 11.4007"																																				
N 15° 48' 35.0186"		E74° 39' 11.0505"																																				
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																																				
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																																				

5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	3.00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	2,47,336 Tones/annum for 2 years, 2,00,031 Tones/annum for 3 rd year & 1,00,015 Tones/annum for 4 th and 5 th year (all including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.75 Crores (Rs. 75 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	10,00,160 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	2,34,970 Tones/annum for 2 years, 1,90,030 Tones/annum for 3 rd year & 95,015 Tones/annum for 4 th and 5 th year (all excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: To grow 1,000 trees in first year Plantation both side of haul roads, in & around Ganikoppa govt. school, crushing plant area, vicinity of office..	
12	EMP Budget	Rs.20.70 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 14.80 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	28.02.2022
14	Quarry plan	02.01.2023
15	Cluster certificate	07.01.2023
16	Revenue NOC	04.12.2021
17	Notification	30.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining activities has been carried out and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 03 other leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 11-28 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the

road leading to the crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 10,00,160 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 2,47,336 Tones/annum for 1st and 2nd year, 2,00,031 Tones/annum for 3rd year & 1,00,015 Tones/annum for 4th and 5th year (all including waste), with following additional points,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per IRC standard norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CVLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

1. *Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.*
2. *To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per IRC standard norms.*
3. *To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.*

233.1.42 Building Stone Quarry Project at Kilagere Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk & District (3-17 Acres) by Sri M Shashikumar - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/413427/2023 (SEIAA 94 MIN 2023)

Sri M Shashikumar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.153/2 & 153/3 of Kilagere Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk & District (3-17 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.																		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri M Shashikumar																		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.153/2 & 153/3 of Kilagere Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk & District (3-17 Acres) <table border="1"> <tr> <td>11° 46'16.2" N</td> <td>76°48'54.8" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'14.8" N</td> <td>76°48'53.5" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'15.0" N</td> <td>76°48'51.4" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'16.1" N</td> <td>76°48'50.3" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'17.2" N</td> <td>76°48'49.8" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'18.2" N</td> <td>76°48'48.7" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'19.3" N</td> <td>76°48'48.9" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'18.1" N</td> <td>76°48'53.7" E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 46'16.5" N</td> <td>76°48'53.4" E</td> </tr> </table>	11° 46'16.2" N	76°48'54.8" E	11° 46'14.8" N	76°48'53.5" E	11° 46'15.0" N	76°48'51.4" E	11° 46'16.1" N	76°48'50.3" E	11° 46'17.2" N	76°48'49.8" E	11° 46'18.2" N	76°48'48.7" E	11° 46'19.3" N	76°48'48.9" E	11° 46'18.1" N	76°48'53.7" E	11° 46'16.5" N	76°48'53.4" E
11° 46'16.2" N	76°48'54.8" E																			
11° 46'14.8" N	76°48'53.5" E																			
11° 46'15.0" N	76°48'51.4" E																			
11° 46'16.1" N	76°48'50.3" E																			
11° 46'17.2" N	76°48'49.8" E																			
11° 46'18.2" N	76°48'48.7" E																			
11° 46'19.3" N	76°48'48.9" E																			
11° 46'18.1" N	76°48'53.7" E																			
11° 46'16.5" N	76°48'53.4" E																			
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																		
5	Type of Land (Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other)	Patta																		
6	Area in Acres	3-17 Acres																		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	42,105 Tones/ Annum (including waste)																		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.15 Crores (Rs. 15 Lakhs)																		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	11,79,070 Tones(including waste)																		
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	40,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)																		
11	CER Activities: Providing drinking water, and smart class facility to Kilagere Government School																			

12	EMP Budget	Rs. 3.18 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 1.06 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	29.07.2022
14	Quarry plan	22.12.2022
15	Cluster certificate	21.12.2022
16	Revenue NOC	05.05.2022
17	Notification	25.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 3-17 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 100 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 11,79,070 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 28 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance with annual production of 42,105 tons/ Annum (including waste), with following additional pints,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.
2. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
2. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road leading to the crusher as per standard IRC norms.
3. To grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

233.1.43. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 508/4 & 508/6 of Harave Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District (2-31 Acres) by Sri Nagaraju M.S. - Online Proposal No. SLA/KA/MIN/408252/2022 (SEIAA 540 MIN 2022)

Sri Nagaraju M. S. S have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 508/4 & 508/6 of Harave Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District

Details of the project are as follows:

SLN o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION																											
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Nagaraju M.S. S																											
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 508/4 & 508/6 of Harave Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District (2-31 Acres) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>P. No.</th> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>N 11°57'36.9"</td> <td>E 76°48'51.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>N 11°57'36.4"</td> <td>E 76°48'56.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C</td> <td>N 11°57'32.4"</td> <td>E 76°48'55.4"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>N 11°57'32.6"</td> <td>E 76°48'53.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>N 11°57'30.2"</td> <td>E 76°48'53.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>F</td> <td>N 11°57'33.6"</td> <td>E 76°48'50.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>N 11°57'36.2"</td> <td>E 76°48'50.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H</td> <td>N 11°57'34.7"</td> <td>E 76°48'52.8"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	A	N 11°57'36.9"	E 76°48'51.1"	B	N 11°57'36.4"	E 76°48'56.1"	C	N 11°57'32.4"	E 76°48'55.4"	D	N 11°57'32.6"	E 76°48'53.8"	E	N 11°57'30.2"	E 76°48'53.9"	F	N 11°57'33.6"	E 76°48'50.9"	G	N 11°57'36.2"	E 76°48'50.2"	H	N 11°57'34.7"	E 76°48'52.8"
P. No.	Latitude	Longitude																											
A	N 11°57'36.9"	E 76°48'51.1"																											
B	N 11°57'36.4"	E 76°48'56.1"																											
C	N 11°57'32.4"	E 76°48'55.4"																											
D	N 11°57'32.6"	E 76°48'53.8"																											
E	N 11°57'30.2"	E 76°48'53.9"																											
F	N 11°57'33.6"	E 76°48'50.9"																											
G	N 11°57'36.2"	E 76°48'50.2"																											
H	N 11°57'34.7"	E 76°48'52.8"																											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																											

Drafted by:

4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	2-31 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	40,973 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	2,53,633 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	40,160 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 300 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Harave Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 13.40 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 3.54 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	27.09.2022
14	Quarry plan	25.11.2022
15	Cluster certificate	25.11.2022
16	Revenue NOC	17.10.2022
17	Notification	22.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 24th, 25th January and 1st February 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 07 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 02 leases are exempted from cluster as the ECs were granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total remaining area including the present lease is 8-17 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry and road

leading to crusher as per IRC norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,53,633 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 7 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 40,973 Tonnes / Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023. The details are as follows;


"It seems that there is an additional lease with the file number SEIAA 527 MIN 2022 belonging to Gurusiddaiah that is not currently displayed in the cluster. This indicates that there may be more information or data related to this lease that is not currently included in the existing cluster sketch. It could be useful to investigate this lease further to understand its potential impact on the cluster."

The Authority after discussion and examination of the documents decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

" In the 293rd SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023 the Proponent/consultant submitted clarification as per below for the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023.

Complaint: It seems that there is an additional lease with the file number SEIAA 527 MIN 2022 belonging to Gurusiddaiah that is not currently displayed in the cluster. This indicates that there may be more information or data related to this lease that is not currently included in the existing cluster sketch. It could be useful to investigate this lease further to understand its potential impact on the cluster.



Reply : Proponent submitted an undertaking and informed that total area of cluster including the newly notified area of Sri. Gurusiddaiah R (SEIAA 527 MIN 2022) with an extent of 2-00Acres, falling within radius of 500mtr of proposed lease will be 10-17Acres (i.e 4.219Ha) which is less than the threshold of 5.00 Ha, hence the proposed proposal remains in B2 category. Further as per DMG letter dated 21.02.2023, confirms that earlier cluster issued on 25.11.2022 remains same.

The Committee accepted the clarification and after discussion reiterate its decision taken in 290th SEAC meeting and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

233.1.44. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 52/*/7 of Hudadhalli Village, Chincholi Taluk, Kalaburagi District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Basayya- Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/410765/2022 (SEIAA 549 MIN 2022)

Sri Basayya have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 52/*/7 of Hudadhalli Village, Chincholi Taluk, Kalaburagi District

Details of the project are as follows:

SLN	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
0		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Basayya

2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 52/*/7 of Hudadhalli Village, Chincholi Taluk, Kalaburagi District (2-00 Acres)															
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>P. No.</th> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>N 17°27'02.0"</td> <td>E 77°21'03.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>N 17°26'59.7"</td> <td>E 77°21'01.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C</td> <td>N 17°27'59.7"</td> <td>E 77°21'54.5"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>N 17°27'01.2"</td> <td>E 77°21'54.4"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	A	N 17°27'02.0"	E 77°21'03.1"	B	N 17°26'59.7"	E 77°21'01.0"	C	N 17°27'59.7"	E 77°21'54.5"	D	N 17°27'01.2"	E 77°21'54.4"
P. No.	Latitude	Longitude															
A	N 17°27'02.0"	E 77°21'03.1"															
B	N 17°26'59.7"	E 77°21'01.0"															
C	N 17°27'59.7"	E 77°21'54.5"															
D	N 17°27'01.2"	E 77°21'54.4"															
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry															
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New															
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta															
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres															
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	81,741 Tones/ Annum (including waste)															
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)															
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,96,346Tonnes (including waste)															
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	80,106 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)															
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Hudadhalli Village Road																
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 12.80 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 3.10 Lakhs (Recurring cost)															
13	Forest NOC	15.11.2022															
14	Quarry plan	29.11.2022															
15	Cluster certificate	02.12.2022															
16	Revenue NOC	28.10.2022															
17	Notification	02.12.2022															

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 24th, 25th January and 1st February 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphaltting the approach road to the quarry standard norms &

should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 4,96,346 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 6 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 81,741 Tons/Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023. The details are as follows;


"Based on the most recent Google image, it appears that there is ongoing excavation and the use of JCB machines in the proposed mining site. The fact that the excavation work is taking place without environmental clearance suggests that proper procedures may not have been followed. This could result in serious environmental consequences and potentially lead to legal action against those responsible."

The Authority verified the Google images and after discussion decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

In the 293rd SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023 the Proponent/consultant submitted clarification as per below for the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023.

Complaint : Based on the most recent Google image, it appears that there is ongoing excavation and the use of JCB machines in the proposed mining site. The fact that the excavation work is taking place without environmental clearance suggests that proper procedures may not have been followed. This could result in serious environmental consequences and potentially lead to legal action against those responsible.

Reply : Proponent submitted clarification from DMG vide letter dated 04.03.2023 and informed the Committee as per DMG letter dated 04.03.2023, the DMG after inspection of proposed area and after reviewing google timeline



images, had informed that there are about 1.5mtr to 2.00mtr depth trail pits to verify the availability of building stone and the removed top soil is used for approach road formation and had confirmed that no mining activities has been carried out in the proposed quarry location.

The Committee accepted the clarification and after discussion reiterate its decision taken in 290th SEAC meeting and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CVLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

233.2. Recommended by SEAC for issue of ToRs

233.2.1. Building Stone Quarry Project at Yadrav Village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi District (1-28 Acres) by Sri R S Patil - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/414900/2023 (SEIAA 66 MIN 2023)

Sri R S Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Yadrav Village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of Standard ToR along with additional ToR and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:



The lease area is 1-28 Acres and total area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha. Hence the project is categorized as B1. The quarry plan is approved on 27.04.2021 and Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 04.07.2019.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional TOR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing. The Proponent informed that they had started collecting Baseline data.

1. To submit justification informing why the proposal do not attract violation.
2. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram should be submitted in detail.
3. Traffic studies.
4. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
5. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
6. Site specific CER and afforestation details.
7. Details of procedure followed during blasting considering adjacent leases.
8. Copy of Revenue NoC and Notification.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation made by SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to issue standard ToR along with additional ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

233.2.2. Hindustan Aeronautics Limited has proposed for "Construction of Residential Building", at located at Sy.No.126 (P) of Vibhutipura Village, Maratahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Hindustan Aeronautics Limited - Online Proposal No. SIA/KK/INFRA2/412961/2023 (SEIAA 14 (VIOL) CON 2023)

M/s. Hindustan Aeronautics Limited have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Construction of Residential Building", at located at Survey No. 126 (P) of Vibhutipura Village, Maratahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 24th, 25th January and 1st February 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue standard ToR

along with the additional TOR and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for grant of EC for already constructed building and the proponent informed the committee that the proposal is submitted under violation category and had applied in B1 violation category to grant ToR as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021, as construction is completed for BUA of 38,045Sqm in a plot area of 34,903Sqm without prior EC and other statutory clearances.

The committee accepted the clarification and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard TOR along with the following additional ToR.


- 1) Estimate and Submit Penalty as per the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) No. bearing F. No. 22-21/2020 -IA.III dated 7th July 2021 from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Impact assessment division.
- 2) To submit damage Assessment, Remedial plan and Community Augmentation plan as per SoP issued by MoEF&CC 7th July 2021.
- 3) To submit the all building-wise area statement and Plan and Elevation Drawings.
- 4) Details of drains, water bodies, kharab details and its position on the village survey map with reference to project area.
- 5) Submit the existing Greenbelt and proposed green belt with species and overlay in Layout plan.
- 6) Submit the proposed organic waste processing facility layout plan and feasibility report of the system.
- 7) To quantify pollution load that has occurred during construction and after occupation.
- 8) Detailed conceptual plan and landscape plan, clearly indicating existing buildings / proposed buildings, approach road and details of Kharab areas with buffers as per bylaws.
- 9) Details of buffer for drains/water bodies/kharab as per zoning regulation
- 10) Details of existing buildings with BUA and extent of construction with reference to plan approvals certified from Architect and complete land documents and conversion documents.
- 11) Surface hydrological study of surrounding area to be carried out and the carrying capacity of the natural drains to be worked out in order to ascertain the adequacy in the carrying capacity of the drains and with details of strengthening of drains.
- 12) Details of quantity and kinds of wastes (e-wastes, hazardous wastes and bio-medical wastes) generated and handling the same.


- 13) Detailed risk and disaster management after construction.
- 14) Quality of nearby lake water and its rejuvenation plan to be detailed.
- 15) Ground water potential and level in the study area
- 16) Sampling locations shall be as per standard norms.
- 17) Implementation of Green building concept, provisions for smart metering concept for individual apartments for water consumption details, utilization of the entire terrace for solar power generation and other methods of power savings, provision for electric vehicle charging facility in the proposed project should be detailed.
- 18) Compliance to ECBC guidelines and incorporation of NCB for proposed project should be detailed.
- 19) Details of processing organic waste in bio-digester and scheme for waste to energy plant to process the entire organic waste generated within the project site and also to process the inorganic waste within the project site
- 20) Scheme for utilizing maximum treated sewage water to reduce the demand on the fresh water.
- 21) NOC from the concerned authorities for the source of water during operation, if any.
- 22) Detailed FAR calculations and detailed parking provisions for all kind of vehicles including charging facility for e-vehicles with reference to local zoning authorities should be defined.
- 23) Detailed Traffic study with methods of improvising.
- 24) Detailed rain water harvesting with respect to annual rainfall (provisions for about 50% of annual rainfall) and provisions for tanks/sumps/ponds for roof top and along with management of excess storm water.
- 25) Height clearance from competent authority.
- 26) Activities such as provisions for rejuvenation for water bodies/drains in the vicinity of the project, Public Health Care unit, etc., to be taken up under CER should be detailed out in physical terms and included as part of EMP.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation made by SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to issue standard ToR along with additional ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

The subject was discussed once again in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of Standard ToR along with additional ToR and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by 



165

The proposal is for grant of EC for already constructed building and the Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is submitted under violation category and had applied in B1 violation category to grant ToR as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021, as construction is completed for BUA of 38,045Sqm in a plot area of 34,903Sqm without prior EC and other statutory clearances.

The Committee in 290th SEAC Meeting decided to have site visit to give additional ToR based on the site conditions. The sub-Committee inspected the site on 27.02.2023 and has recommended the following additional ToR for preparation of EIA report,

- 27) Estimate and Submit Penalty as per the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) No. bearing F. No. 22-21/2020 -IA.III dated 7th July 2021 from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Impact assessment division.
- 28) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL.
- 29) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation as per SoP.
- 30) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- 31) Submit the all building-wise area statement and Plan and Elevation Drawings
- 32) There are two nalahs in south-eastern and North Eastern side of the Project site, Classify the Nalahs and Buffer shall be maintained as per the Norms
- 33) Submit the Kharab details and Land-use plan
- 34) Water sampling shall be collected analyzed at one sample in-let of the Lake, one sample in Middle of the Lake and one sample at outlet of the Vibhutipura Lake.
- 35) Increase green belt area and plant native Tree species and Submit details with species as per MoEF Notification.
- 36) Submit the details of harvesting of Rain water / recharging of Hard Paved surface such that no outflow from project site.
- 37) Justification for ECO building materials used.

In the present meeting the Committee after discussion accepted the additional ToR submitted by the Sub-Committee and decided to recommend the above ToR to SEIAA along with already recommended ToR in 290th SEAC meeting for preparation of EIA report.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation made by SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to issue standard ToR along with additional ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

233.2.3. Formaldehyde: 50 TPD Project at Plot Nos. 412 & 413 of Baikampady Industrial Area, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District by M/s. Akolite Synthetic Resins Unit II- Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/IND3/411472/2022 (SEIAA 09 IND (VIOL) 2023)

M/s. Akolite Synthetic Resins Unit II have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Formaldehyde: 50 TPD Project at Plot Nos. 412 & 413 of Baikampady Industrial Area, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of Standard ToR along with additional ToR and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for production of Formaldehyde of capacity 50TPD a plot area of 6000sqm in KIADB industrial area. The Proponent informed that they had obtained CFE from KSPSC dated 31.05.2013 and have started operation without obtaining EC, hence have applied under violation category as per SoP issued by MoEF&CC dated 07.07.2021.

The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the appraisal to have site visit. Accordingly, the sub-Committee had inspected the site on 03.03.2023 and has submitted its report. The report has been accepted by SEAC. Accordingly, the proposal is recommended to SEIAA for issue of ToR with following additional ToR to prepare EIA report for violation category as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021,

- 1) Estimate and Submit Penalty as per the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) No. bearing F. No. 22-21/2020 -IA.III dated 7th July 2021 from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Impact Assessment Division.

- 2) Submit the details of operation / production quantity start date, last production quantity and last working day with supporting justifications as per SoP clause 12 of b (ii), and calculate penalty accordingly.
- 3) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL.
- 4) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation as per SoP.
- 5) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- 6) Submit the details of anticipated impacts and mitigations measures for storage, emissions of Methanol and Formaldehyde.
- 7) Submit Past records of Analysis of ambient air quality in formaldehyde process with specific reference to VOC, CO and Formic acid in formaldehyde process area.
- 8) Due diligence on impact of adjoining plywood industry on this plant in terms of occupational hazards associated with impact due to airborne particles.
- 9) Submit the Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) audit conducted since the inception of the industry.
- 10) A mangrove is observed at an approximate distance of 200 m towards the East direction. Detailed Ecology and Biodiversity study covering details of mangroves, their status, and rejuvenation of the lake from the industry by duly taking permission from the competent authority.
- 11) Water meter is to be provided for measuring daily effluent generation and submit the details of Analysis of ETP sludge and quantity generation and present use / treatment / disposal.
- 12) Details of funds earmarking for securing mangroves and lake rejuvenation under CER activities.
- 13) Submit the Occupational Health reports of workers.

- 14) Additional to Baseline water sampling points, collect and analyze the water sampling in up-stream and down-stream of Gurupur River and nearby water body as per the Norms.
- 15) Analysis of raw and treated effluent for past one year.
- 16) No RWH was observed, thus share scheme for 100 % rain water harvesting to avoid run off into the fragile mangrove.
- 17) Solar panel to be installed on the entire free area of roof.
- 18) Estimate and Submit Penalty as per the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) No. bearing F. No. 22-21/2020 -IA.III dated 7th July 2021 from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Impact assessment division.
- 19) Submit damage Assessment, Remedial plan and Community Augmentation plan as per SoP
- 20) Water sampling shall be collected analyzed at one sample in-let of the Lake, one sample in Middle of the Lake and one sample at outlet of the Lake and its rejuvenation plan to be detailed.
- 21) Submit the existing Greenbelt and proposed green belt with species and overlay in Layout plan.
- 22) Activities such as provisions for rejuvenation for water bodies/drains in the vicinity of the project, Public Health Care unit, etc., to be taken up under CSR & CER should be detailed out in physical terms and included as part of EMP.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation made by SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to issue standard ToR along with additional ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

233.3. SEAC Sought directions from SEIAA

233.3.1. Goravanakolla Quartzite Mine Project at Goravanakolla Village, Soundatti Taluk, Belagavi District (10-00 Acres) by Sri Shivanand I. Mamadapur - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/416695/2023 (SEIAA 64 MIN 2023)

Sri Shivanand I. Mamadapur have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Goravanakolla Quartzite Mine Project at Goravanakolla Village, Soundatti Taluk, Belagavi District.

Drafted by

 / 

Details of the project are as follows:

SLNo.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Shivanand I. Mamadapur
2	Name & Location of the Project	Goravanakolla Quartzite Mine Project at Sy.No. 137(P) of Goravanakolla Village, Soundatti Taluk, Belagavi District (10-00 Acres)
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Renewal
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	10-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	42,105 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1 Crores (Rs. 100 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	33,71,550 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	40,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:Propose take up 1,500 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Goravanakolla Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 10.37 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 7.97 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	12.11.2021
14	Quarry plan	02.03.2021
15	Cluster certificate	27.07.2021
16	Audit Report	25.10.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for clarification from SEIAA and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition as per the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that, the earlier lease was granted on 13.08.2004 with lease no. 2457 and SEIAA had closed the file on 27.03.2013 informing that major mineral less than 5 Ha does not attract EC. The

Proponent has stated that they have stopped mining from 2015-16 as per the Audit report issued by DMG on 25.10.2022.

The committee noted that quartzite has been declared as minor mineral vide Notification dated 10.02.2015. In the Hon'ble NGT Order in O.A 123/2014 dated 13.01.2015 in para XII of the Order it is stated that,

"In the meanwhile, no State shall permit carrying on of sand mining or minor mineral extraction on riverbed or otherwise without the concerned person obtaining Environmental Clearance from the competent authority."

The Chairman, opined in compliance to the order of Supreme Court in Deepak kumar case, MOEF Vide OM dt 18.5.2012 made EC mandatory for new and at the time of renewal for all minor mineral with lease area less than 5 ha. However, in the said OM there is no mention of its applicability to the existing leases. Subsequently, Hon'ble NGTs at Chennai, New Delhi ordered about applicability for existing leases as well for leases less than 5 ha and fixed different time frames for submission of appls for EC.


To begin with a time frame of 1-year wef 16.12.13 was fixed. Subsequently a time frame of 3 months wef 13th Jan 2015 was fixed for submission of application. Further, it is ordered elsewhere, application received after 31.3.2016 to be treated as violation and to be processed accordingly. Ours Being environment related committee, and with no mining activity mere non submission of appln in time may not be treated as violation.

Hon'ble NGT (OA 171/2013) in an interim order dt 5th August 2013 stated EC is required for existing units and till then mining operation to be stopped. To my knowledge this is the first such order insisting EC for existing leases with area less than 5ha. Subsequently NGT in (OA123/2014) in its final order dt 13 Jan 2015, stated EC for existing units is mandatory.

In the recent NGT order dt 27 th May 2021(OA No 244/2017) in the case of Joseph vs others, reference was made to Notification dt 15.1.2016 and concluded any mining operation without EC post 15.1.2016 to be treated violation.

In the SEAC meeting there was deliberation on the notification dt 15.1.2016 and there was a view to take 15.1.2016 as reference date to insist EC for existing leases with area less than 5 ha.

In the said referred Notification dt 15.1.2016 there is a mention of obtaining EC for leases less than 5 ha and no mention about requirement or other wise of EC for leases existing prior to 15.1.2016. Hon'ble NGT might have quoted the said notification, may be due to mentioning in the petitioner's appeal.



The said OA is related to an individual dispute between Mr Joseph and others and cannot be construed as reference date to decide need of EC for existing leases (minor minerals) with area less than 5 ha. MOEFCC issued said notification dt 15.1.2016 due to formation for the first time of dist EAC committees and delegation of powers for district, State EACs and at central level.

With the issue of OM dt 18.5.2012, there is existence of effective date for fresh leases with leases less than 5ha. The clarification needed / required by the committee is about cut off dates for existing leases to obtain EC. This was not addressed in the Notification dt 15.1.2016.

In my opinion, the notification dt 15.1.2016 was about need of EC for leases with area less than 5 ha. However, this cannot be construed as effective date for insisting EC for existing leases as well with area less than 5 ha.

The earliest clarification about the need of EC for existing leases with area less than 5 ha was vide interim order dt 5 th Aug 2013 and 13.1.2015 both by NGT vide OA123/2014. To be considered by any Govt appointed Official committee, there need to be issue of Official Govt order / Notification to comply with any directions by the court including Hon'ble NGT.

All along committee took different cut off dates to consider violation for existing leases.

Applicability of EC will be with prospective effect. To make retrospective, there shall be a window period for the existing leases to comply with conditions to obtain EC.

To my knowledge cut of dates fixed by this committee in different meetings were 5th August 2013, 13.01.2015 and some members are of opinion to take cut off date as 15.01.2016. By Fixing different cut off dates in different SEAC meetings, the aggrieved lessees / licensee may approach court and it may leads to legal scrutiny.

SEAC is a technical appraisal committee and do not have expertise to go through various circulars, court orders and it's interpretation to decide the cut off date. Further cut off dates should be based on Notifications, OMs issued by MOEF and to be uniform for every state and union territory in the country.

In the light of various court orders, OMs, Notifications issued by MOEFCC, Cut off date to be considered for existing and fresh leases for violation and this to be decided and to be communicated to SEAC by SEIAA or by Environment dept.

There is no clarity whether mining activity carried out after 10.02.2015 should be considered as violation or not. Hence the committee after discussion decided to seek

clarification from SEIAA as per the Hon'ble NGT Order in O A 123/2014 dated 13.01.2015.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to seek the opinion from Advocate, SEIAA. Accordingly, Shri Vasanth H K Advocate SEIAA has given his opinion. Opinion of the Advocate, SEIAA is hereunder,

1. Applications seeking EC for existing lease holders below 5 Hectares as per Notification dated 15/01/2016, which had obtained all other statutory permissions :-

- a) Filed and pending as on 31/03/2016 - To be treated as NORMAL
- b) Filed after 31/03/2016 - To be treated as VIOLATION CASES

This cut off date is as per the judgement dated 30/06/2020 passed by NGT (SZ) in OA 136/2017.

2. Where applications seeking EC for existing mining operations below 5 Hectares have been filed and mining operations were carried out without any kind of permission from other statutory authorities, the same shall be treated as VIOLATION CASE from the beginning of their mining operations as per EIA Notification dated 14/09/2006


3. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 but have not carried out any mining activity due to various reasons, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE but while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

4. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 and have carried on mining activity, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE and while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

5. All the violation cases to be appraised as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021

6. It is after Deepak Kumar's case that the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it mandatory for obtaining EC for all the mining activities of minor minerals irrespective of the area of operation.

Drafted by

Handwritten signature and initials, possibly 'K. Vasanth' and '178', written in black ink.

7. MoEF&CC on 18/5/2012 issued an OM clarifying that existing mine operators doing mining activity in less than 5 Hectares need to apply for EC only at the time of renewal or at the time of expansion of their unit more than the capacity permitted under the lease.

8. It may be noted that the Principle Bench of NGT in its final order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 and other connected cases held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and the existing mining lease holders would also have to comply with the requirement of obtaining EC. It was also stated in the said judgement that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

9. In OA 495/2015 (Jatindar Singh & Others Vs Union of India & Others), the Hon'ble NGT (PB) while disposing of the case vide order dated 19/02/2016 has extended the scope of judgement in Deepak Kumar's case and has held that the judgement is applicable to both minor and major minerals.

10. This aspect was considered by NGT(SZ) in OA no. 136/2017 and by judgement dated 30/6/2020, after considering all the notifications issued in this regard and also the judgement of the Supreme Court and Principal Bench of NGT observed that after 15/1/2016, all existing mining lease holders, whether minor or major mineral irrespective of the area of lease has to obtain EC for continuance of their operation and further held that those who have not filed application prior to 31/03/2016 will be considered as a violation case. The points considered by NGT in the above case are as follows: -

- (i) Whether the mining lease of major minerals having extent of less than 5 Hectares require Environment Clearance after EIA Notification, 2016 dated 15.1.2016 ?
- (ii) Whether the Circular dated 3.4.2017 issued by MoEF & CC is liable to be set aside for any of the reasons stated by the applicants in their application?
- (iii) Whether the applications filed by the members of the applicant federation after 15.1.2016 have to be treated as violation cases or any cut off date has to be fixed by the Tribunal for enabling the parties to file their application in view of the circumstances mentioned by them in this application ?

After considering all aspects, Hon'ble NGT by judgement dated 30/6/2020 has disposed of the case as follows: -

- (i) The applicant is not entitled to get a declaration to quash Circular dated 3.4.2017, as prayed for but can be clarified as detailed as per direction No.(ii) onwards.
- (ii) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law.

- (iii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law
- (iv) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. Without obtaining necessary Environment Clearance irrespective of area, no mining, both minor/major, shall be permitted to operate.

(Please refer Para 26, 27, 53 and 62 of the judgement, which is self-explanatory)

11. Hence, all the applications for EC filed before 31/03/2016 are to be considered as normal applications and applications filed after 31/03/2016 have to be considered under violation category.

12. The MoEF & CC vide notification dated 07/10/2014 has brought mining of major minerals having mining area of less than 5 Hectares under the ambit of EC. A provision was also given for existing lease holders to apply for EC at the time of renewal. But the Hon'ble NGT (PB) vide its order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 has held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

Therefore, the Authority perused the opinion of Advocate, SEIAA and decided to communicate the same to SEAC to appraise mining proposals following due procedure of law based on the merit of the case.

233.3.2. Building Stone Quarry Project at Gudageri Village, Shiggaon Taluk & Haveri District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Dhanapal Ramanna Yegappanavar - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/411590/2022 (SEIAA 16 MIN 2023)

Sri Dhanapal Ramanna Yegappanavar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.23/1B & 23/2B of Gudageri Village, Shiggaon Taluk & Haveri District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Dhanapal Ramanna Yegappanavar

Drafted by ka

175

2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.23/1B & 23/2B of Gudageri Village, Shiggaon Taluk & Haveri District (2-00 Acres) <u>Latitude</u> <u>Longitude</u> N15°03'50.59" E75°06'12.76" N15°03'50.57" E75°06'09.82" N15°03'52.79" E75°06'09.87" N15°03'54.11" E75°06'13.02
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	42,105.26 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.50 Crores (Rs. 150 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,47,368.42 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	42,105.26 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
11	CER Activities: 500 Saplings / first year Plantation in & around Gudageri govt. school,crushing plant area, vicinity of office.	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.05 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 8.80 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	20.11.2021
14	Quarry plan	13.12.2022
15	Cluster certificate	13.12.2022
16	Revenue NOC	23.11.2021
17	Notification	09.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SELAA clarification from SEIAA and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 291st SEAC meeting and the Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that working was carried out between 2014-15. The Committee after discussion had decided to defer the appraisal, as the Proponent requested that they will come back with justification that the proposed project does not attract violation.

In the present meeting the Proponent informed that as per MoEF&CC Notification dated 15.01.2016 and as per NGT Order 2016, the proposal needed to be considered as violation if it has worked after 15.01.2016 without EC, but in the present proposal they had not carried out mining operation after 2015 as per google earth timeline and requested the Committee to consider the justification and to grant EC.

The Committee noted the clarification given by the Proponent and the Committee as per Hon'ble NGT Order in OA 136/2017 dated 30.06.2020, opined that the present proposal is not mining violation but there is a procedural violation which needs clarification whether to treat as violation, for mere non submission of application. Hence the Committee after discussion decided to seek clarification from SELAA as per Hon'ble NGT Order in OA 136/2017 dated 30.06.2020.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to seek the opinion from Advocate, SEIAA, Accordingly, Shri Vasanth H K Advocate SELAA has given his opinion. Opinion of the Advocate, SEIAA is hereunder,

1. Applications seeking EC for existing lease holders below 5 Hectares as per Notification dated 15/01/2016, which had obtained all other statutory permissions :-

- a) Filed and pending as on 31/03/2016 - To be treated as NORMAL
- b) Filed after 31/03/2016 - To be treated as VIOLATION CASES

This cut off date is as per the judgement dated 30/06/2020 passed by NGT (SZ) in OA 136/2017.

2. Where applications seeking EC for existing mining operations below 5 Hectares have been filed and mining operations were carried out without any kind of permission from other statutory authorities, the same shall be treated as VIOLATION CASE from the beginning of their mining operations as per EIA Notification dated 14/09/2006

3. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 but have not carried out any mining activity due to various reasons, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE but while appraising as per Notification

dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

4. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 and have carried on mining activity, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE and while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

5. All the violation cases to be appraised as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021


6. It is after Deepak Kumar's case that the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it mandatory for obtaining EC for all the mining activities of minor minerals irrespective of the area of operation.

7. MoEF&CC on 18/5/2012 issued an OM clarifying that existing mine operators doing mining activity in less than 5 Hectares need to apply for EC only at the time of renewal or at the time of expansion of their unit more than the capacity permitted under the lease.

8. It may be noted that the Principle Bench of NGT in its final order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 and other connected cases held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and the existing mining lease holders would also have to comply with the requirement of obtaining EC. It was also stated in the said judgement that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

9. In OA 495/2015 (Jatindar Singh & Others Vs Union of India & Others), the Hon'ble NGT (PB) while disposing of the case vide order dated 19/02/2016 has extended the scope of judgement in Deepak Kumar's case and has held that the judgement is applicable to both minor and major minerals.

10. This aspect was considered by NGT(SZ) in OA no. 136/2017 and by judgement dated 30/6/2020, after considering all the notifications issued in this regard and also the judgement of the Supreme Court and Principal Bench of NGT observed that after 15/1/2016, all existing mining lease holders, whether minor or major mineral irrespective of the area of lease has to obtain EC for continuance of their operation and further held that those who have not filed application prior to 31/03/2016 will be considered as a violation case. The points considered by NGT in the above case are as follows: -



- (i) Whether the mining lease of major minerals having extent of less than 5 Hectares require Environment Clearance after EIA Notification, 2016 dated 15.1.2016 ?
- (ii) Whether the Circular dated 3.4.2017 issued by MoEF & CC is liable to be set aside for any of the reasons stated by the applicants in their application?
- (iii) Whether the applications filed by the members of the applicant federation after 15.1.2016 have to be treated as violation cases or any cut off date has to be fixed by the Tribunal for enabling the parties to file their application in view of the circumstances mentioned by them in this application ?

After considering all aspects, Hon'ble NGT by judgement dated 30/6/2020 has disposed of the case as follows: -

- (i) The applicant is not entitled to get a declaration to quash Circular dated 3.4.2017 as prayed for but can be clarified as detailed as per direction No.(ii) onwards.
- (ii) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law.
- (iii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law
- (iv) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. Without obtaining necessary Environment Clearance irrespective of area, no mining, both minor/major, shall be permitted to operate.

(Please refer Para 26, 27, 53 and 62 of the judgement, which is self explanatory)

11. Hence, all the applications for EC filed before 31/03/2016 are to be considered as normal applications and applications filed after 31/03/2016 have to be considered under violation category.

12. The MoEF & CC vide notification dated 07/10/2014 has brought mining of major minerals having mining area of less than 5 Hectares under the ambit of EC. A provision was also given for existing lease holders to apply for EC at the time of renewal. But the Hon'ble NGT (PB) vide its order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 has held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

Therefore, the Authority perused the opinion of Advocate, SEIAA and decided to communicate the same to SEAC to appraise mining proposals following due procedure of law based on the merit of the case.

233.3.3. Grey Granite Quarry Project at Benakal Village, Kukanur Taluk, Koppal District (9-22 Acres) by Sri Shekhar Hiremath - Online Proposal No.SLA/KA/MIN/414573/2022 (SEIAA 86 MIN 2022)

Sri Shekhar Hiremath have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 39/2, 53/1 & 77/1 of Benakal Village, Kukanur Taluk, Koppal District.

Details of the project are as follows:

SlNo	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Shekhar Hiremath
2	Name & Location of the Project	Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 39/2, 53/1 & 77/1 of Benakal Village, Kukanur Taluk, Koppal District (9-22 Acres) N 15° 27' 14.27" to N 15° 32' 23.60" E 76° 02' 28.57" to E 76° 02' 38.32"
3	Type Of Mineral	Grey Granite Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	9-22 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	16,000 Cum/annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.50 Crores (Rs. 50 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	96,291 Cum (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	4,000Cum/annum (recovery)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 2000 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Benakal Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 32.80 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.19 Lakhs (Recurring cost)

13	Forest NOC	16.07.2021
14	Quarry plan	08.03.2022
15	Cluster Certificate	07.04.2022
16	Revenue	19.04.2021
17	DTF	27.01.2022
18	C & I Notification	21.03.2022
19	PH	05.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for clarification from SELAA and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per KML google timeline images no mining operation has been carried out after October 2015 and for the illegal mining prior October 2015, they had paid penalty of Rs. 17,66,079 to DMG for illegal mining and requested to consider the proposed project as per Hon'ble NGT Order in OA 244/2017 dated 27.05.2021, as no mining activities were carried out after 15.01.2016. As per Hon'ble NGT order in 123/2014 dated 13.01.2015, all the mining activity needs to be stopped until the time EC is obtained.

As there were no clear cut dates for categorization of mining violation, the Committee decided to defer this project and seek clarification from SEIAA.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to seek the opinion from Advocate, SEIAA. Accordingly, Shri Vasanth H K Advocate SEIAA has given his opinion. Opinion of the Advocate, SEIAA is hereunder,

1. Applications seeking EC for existing lease holders below 5 Hectares as per Notification dated 15/01/2016, which had obtained all other statutory permissions :-

- a) Filed and pending as on 31/03/2016 - To be treated as NORMAL
- b) Filed after 31/03/2016 - To be treated as VIOLATION CASES

This cut off date is as per the judgement dated 30/06/2020 passed by NGT (SZ) in OA 136/2017.

2. Where applications seeking EC for existing mining operations below 5 Hectares have been filed and mining operations were carried out without any kind of permission from

other statutory authorities, the same shall be treated as VIOLATION CASE from the beginning of their mining operations as per EIA Notification dated 14/09/2006

3. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 but have not carried out any mining activity due to various reasons, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE but while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

4. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 and have carried on mining activity, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE and while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

5. All the violation cases to be appraised as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021

6. It is after Deepak Kumar's case that the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it mandatory for obtaining EC for all the mining activities of minor minerals irrespective of the area of operation.

7. MoEF&CC on 18/5/2012 issued an OM clarifying that existing mine operators doing mining activity in less than 5 Hectares need to apply for EC only at the time of renewal or at the time of expansion of their unit more than the capacity permitted under the lease.

8. It may be noted that the Principle Bench of NGT in its final order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 and other connected cases held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and the existing mining lease holders would also have to comply with the requirement of obtaining EC. It was also stated in the said judgement that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

9. In OA 495/2015 (Jatindar Singh & Others Vs Union of India & Others), the Hon'ble NGT (PB) while disposing of the case vide order dated 19/02/2016 has extended the scope of judgement in Deepak Kumar's case and has held that the judgement is applicable to both minor and major minerals.

10. This aspect was considered by NGT(SZ) in OA no. 136/2017 and by judgement dated 30/6/2020, after considering all the notifications issued in this regard and also the judgement of the Supreme Court and Principal Bench of NGT observed that after 15/1/2016, all existing mining lease holders, whether minor or major mineral irrespective of the area of lease has to obtain EC for continuance of their operation and further held that those who have not filed application prior to 31/03/2016 will be considered as a violation case. The points considered by NGT in the above case are as follows: -

- (i) Whether the mining lease of major minerals having extent of less than 5 Hectares require Environment Clearance after EIA Notification, 2016 dated 15.1.2016 ?
- (ii) Whether the Circular dated 3.4.2017 issued by MoEF & CC is liable to be set aside for any of the reasons stated by the applicants in their application?
- (iii) Whether the applications filed by the members of the applicant federation after 15.1.2016 have to be treated as violation cases or any cut off date has to be fixed by the Tribunal for enabling the parties to file their application in view of the circumstances mentioned by them in this application ?



After considering all aspects, Hon'ble NGT by judgement dated 30/6/2020 has disposed of the case as follows: -

- (i) The applicant is not entitled to get a declaration to quash Circular dated 3.4.2017 as prayed for but can be clarified as detailed as per direction No.(ii) onwards.
- (ii) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law.
- (iii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law
- (iv) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. Without obtaining necessary Environment Clearance irrespective of area, no mining, both minor/major, shall be permitted to operate.

(Please refer Para 26, 27, 53 and 62 of the judgement, which is self explanatory)

11. Hence, all the applications for EC filed before 31/03/2016 are to be considered as normal applications and applications filed after 31/03/2016 have to be considered under violation category.

12. The MoEF & CC vide notification dated 07/10/2014 has brought mining of major minerals having mining area of less than 5 Hectares under the ambit of EC. A provision



was also given for existing lease holders to apply for EC at the time of renewal. But the Hon'ble NGT (PB) vide its order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 has held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

Therefore, the Authority perused the opinion of Advocate, SEIAA and decided to communicate the same to SEAC to appraise mining proposals following due procedure of law based on the merit of the case.

233.3.4. Building Stone Quarry Project at Arepura Village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (1-00 Acre) by Sri R M Mahadevappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/405010/2022 (SEIAA 471 MIN 2022)

Sri R M Mahadevappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.125/2 of Arepura Village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagar District.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY P.P.																
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri R M Mahadevappa																
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.125/2 of Arepura Village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (1-00 Acre)																
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>11° 57' 58.3"</td> <td>76° 39' 15.3"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 57' 56.0"</td> <td>76° 39' 15.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 57' 55.3"</td> <td>76° 39' 15.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 57' 55.3"</td> <td>76° 39' 14.6"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 57' 56.7"</td> <td>76° 39' 14.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 57' 56.9"</td> <td>76° 39' 13.4"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11° 57' 58.3"</td> <td>76° 39' 13.4"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Latitude	Longitude	11° 57' 58.3"	76° 39' 15.3"	11° 57' 56.0"	76° 39' 15.6"	11° 57' 55.3"	76° 39' 15.6"	11° 57' 55.3"	76° 39' 14.6"	11° 57' 56.7"	76° 39' 14.8"	11° 57' 56.9"	76° 39' 13.4"	11° 57' 58.3"	76° 39' 13.4"
Latitude	Longitude																	
11° 57' 58.3"	76° 39' 15.3"																	
11° 57' 56.0"	76° 39' 15.6"																	
11° 57' 55.3"	76° 39' 15.6"																	
11° 57' 55.3"	76° 39' 14.6"																	
11° 57' 56.7"	76° 39' 14.8"																	
11° 57' 56.9"	76° 39' 13.4"																	
11° 57' 58.3"	76° 39' 13.4"																	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta																
6	Area in Acres	1-00 Acre																

7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	8,283.6 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.10 Crores (Rs. 10 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,64,889 Tones(including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	8,283.6 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
11	CER Activities: Providing drinking water, bench and table and facility to Begur Government School	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 2.77 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 1.12 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	23.03.2020
14	Quarry plan	17.10.2022
15	Cluster certificate	19.10.2022
16	Revenue NOC	21.03.2020
17	Notification	25.02.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for clarification from SEIAA and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 289th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project for want of clarification from DMG for old workings.

The deliberations of 289th SEAC meeting are as per below,

"The Proposal was earlier considered in 287th SEAC Meeting and the Committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issue of E.C. The authority in its 227th meeting referred back the proposal informing,

" The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

1. *The eastern part of project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are visible. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006*
2. *In forest NOC there is no mention of the type of land of the proposed site and regarding the proposed forests in the survey no 125.*
3. *Bandipura wildlife sanctuary is 4.668 kms.*

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the complaint received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. Therefore, the SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint diligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt. departments as necessary".

The Committee in the 289th meeting obtained clarification as below from project Proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

1. *Complaint: The eastern part of project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as in the Historical satellite image the workings are visible. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006*

The Proponent informed that, eastern part of the worked area belongs to sy.no. 128 of Arepura village which is kharab land and local people have carried out quarrying activity in above said survey number earlier.

East part of the present proposal is also considered to extract the mineral to the depth of 10 feet and later they came to know after podi for the sy. No. 125/2.

2. *Complaint: In forest NOC there is no mention of the type of land of the proposed site and regarding the proposed forests in the survey no 125.*

Reply: The Proponent informed that they have obtained Forest NoC and in annexure 1 of Forest NoC, Sl.no. 4(g) it states that the proposed land is Patta land.

3. *Complaint: Bandipura wildlife sanctuary is 4.668 kms.*

Reply: The Proponent informed that, as per Forest NoC the proposed project site is located outside the Bandipur Tiger Reserve at a distance of 4.668km outside from Bandipur Tiger project D-line and outside the Eco Sensitive Zone of 1.365 Km.

The Committee noted the clarification given by the Proponent. The Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal in want of clarification from DMG with respect to old workings."

The Proponent in the present meeting informed the Committee that as per KML google timeline images no mining operation has been carried out after June 2016 and

requested to consider the proposed project as per Hon'ble NGT Order in OA 244/2017 dated 27.05.2021, as no mining activities carried out after 15.01.2016. As per Hon'ble NGT order in 123/2014 dated 15.01.2015, all the mining activity needs to be stopped until the time EC is obtained.

As there were no clear cut dates for categorization for mining violation, the Committee decided to defer this project and seek clarification from SEIAA.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to seek the opinion from Advocate, SEIAA, Accordingly, Shri Vasanth H K Advocate SEIAA has given his opinion. Opinion of the Advocate, SEIAA is hereunder,

1. Applications seeking EC for existing lease holders below 5 Hectares as per Notification dated 15/01/2016, which had obtained all other statutory permissions

- a) Filed and pending as on 31/03/2016 - To be treated as NORMAL
- b) Filed after 31/03/2016 - To be treated as VIOLATION CASES

This cut off date is as per the judgement dated 30/06/2020 passed by NGT (SZ) in OA 136/2017.

2. Where applications seeking EC for existing mining operations below 5 Hectares have been filed and mining operations were carried out without any kind of permission from other statutory authorities, the same shall be treated as VIOLATION CASE from the beginning of their mining operations as per EIA Notification dated 14/09/2006

3. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 but have not carried out any mining activity due to various reasons, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE but while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

4. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 and have carried on mining activity, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE and while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned

departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

5. All the violation cases to be appraised as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021

6. It is after Deepak Kumar's case that the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it mandatory for obtaining EC for all the mining activities of minor minerals irrespective of the area of operation.

7. MoEF&CC on 18/5/2012 issued an OM clarifying that existing mine operators doing mining activity in less than 5 Hectares need to apply for EC only at the time of renewal or at the time of expansion of their unit more than the capacity permitted under the lease.

8. It may be noted that the Principle Bench of NGT in its final order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 and other connected cases held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and the existing mining lease holders would also have to comply with the requirement of obtaining EC. It was also stated in the said judgement that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

9. In OA 495/2015 (Jatindar Singh & Others Vs Union of India & Others), the Hon'ble NGT (PB) while disposing of the case vide order dated 19/02/2016 has extended the scope of judgement in Deepak Kumar's case and has held that the judgement is applicable to both minor and major minerals.

10. This aspect was considered by NGT(SZ) in OA no. 136/2017 and by judgement dated 30/6/2020, after considering all the notifications issued in this regard and also the judgement of the Supreme Court and Principal Bench of NGT observed that after 15/1/2016, all existing mining lease holders, whether minor or major mineral irrespective of the area of lease has to obtain EC for continuance of their operation and further held that those who have not filed application prior to 31/03/2016 will be considered as a violation case. The points considered by NGT in the above case are as follows: -

(i) Whether the mining lease of major minerals having extent of less than 5 Hectares require Environment Clearance after EIA Notification, 2016 dated 15.1.2016 ?

- (ii) Whether the Circular dated 3.4.2017 issued by MoEF & CC is liable to be set aside for any of the reasons stated by the applicants in their application?
- (iii) Whether the applications filed by the members of the applicant federation after 15.1.2016 have to be treated as violation cases or any cut off date has to be fixed by the Tribunal for enabling the parties to file their application in view of the circumstances mentioned by them in this application ?

After considering all aspects, Hon'ble NGT by judgement dated 30/6/2020 has disposed of the case as follows: -

- (i) The applicant is not entitled to get a declaration to quash Circular dated 3.4.2017 as prayed for but can be clarified as detailed as per direction No.(ii) onwards.
- (ii) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law.
- (iii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law
- (iv) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. Without obtaining necessary Environment Clearance irrespective of area, no mining, both minor/major, shall be permitted to operate.

(Please refer Para 26, 27, 53 and 62 of the judgement, which is self explanatory)

11. Hence, all the applications for EC filed before 31/03/2016 are to be considered as normal applications and applications filed after 31/03/2016 have to be considered under violation category.

12. The MoEF & CC vide notification dated 07/10/2014 has brought mining of major minerals having mining area of less than 5 Hectares under the ambit of EC.

A provision was also given for existing lease holders to apply for EC at the time of renewal. But the Hon'ble NGT (PB) vide its order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 has held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

Therefore, the Authority perused the opinion of Advocate, SEIAA and decided to communicate the same to SEAC to appraise mining proposals following due procedure of law based on the merit of the case.

233A. Recommended by SEAC for Closure

233A.1. Salapur Lift Irrigation Scheme Project at Near Chikkur Tanda Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot District by Karnataka Neeravari Nigam Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/RIV/82247/2020 (SEIAA 65 IND 2020).


Karataka Neeravari Nigam Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Salapur Lift Irrigation Scheme Project at Near Chikkur Tanda Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for Reject the proposal and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 290th SEAC meeting and as the Proponent remained absent the Committee had deferred the appraisal of the project.

The proposal is a lift irrigation project to lift 1.8TMC of water from Ghataprabha river to irrigate a command area of 13,000Ha covering 18villages. SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.03.2021 and as the proposed project falls in two districts, Public hearing was conducted in Belagavi and Bagalkot districts on 22.04.2022 and 25.05.2022 respectively.

The Committee initially sought clarification for the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed that site preparations for the headworks and initial reaches of raising main was under progress. The Committee informed that as per EIA Notification 2006, EC is a prior clearance and as the Proponent has already started the activity without obtaining EC, it is a violation case. Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to reject the proposal and informed the Proponent to apply for EC in violation category as per MoEF&CC OM dated 07.07.2021.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist the file from the pendency.

233.4.2. Manufacturing Biological products along with R & D activity Project at Plot No. 284-A of Bommasandra Jigani Link Road, Bommasandra Industrial Area, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Aragen Life Sciences Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/IND3/412749/2023 (SEIAA 08 IND 2023)


M/s. Aragen Life Sciences Pvt. Ltd have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Manufacturing Biological products along with R & D activity Project at Plot No. 284-A of Bommasandra Jigani Link Road, Bommasandra Industrial Area, Bengaluru Urban District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for clarification from SELAA and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for manufacturing of biological products along with R&D activity in KIADB allotted industrial area. The Proponent informed that the existing R&D unit had obtained CFO from KSPCB and now it is proposed for commercial manufacturing of biological products of total of 11 products amounting to 870kg/ annum and maximum of 3 products at any given point of time.

The Committee in 291st SEAC meeting decided to have site visit, as per which the Sub-Committee visited the site on 17.02.2023.

In the present meeting, the Committee asked the Proponent regarding the applicability of General conditions in the EIA Notification 2006, for which the Proponent informed that the proposed project is located in critically polluted area as per Pollution Control Board. Further, the Committee informed the Proponent that as per EIA Notification 2006, projects attracting General Conditions which have been applied as category 'B' needs to be treated as category 'A' and appraised in EAC, MOEF&CC. Accordingly, as the project is located in critically polluted area, the Committee after discussion decided to reject the proposal and informed the Proponent to apply for EC in MoEF&CC.



The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist the file from the pendency.

233.5. Reconsidered projects

233.5.1. Expansion of Mangaluru International Airport Project to enhance the passenger handling capacity upto 22.5 MPPA and cargo handling capacity upto 0.12 MTPA by M/s. Mangaluru International Airport Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/404084/2022 (SEIAA 13 CON 2023)

Mangaluru International Airport Limited (MIAL), have proposed for Expansion of Mangaluru International Airport Project to enhance the passenger handling capacity upto 22.5 MPPA and cargo handling capacity upto 0.12 MTPA on a plot area of 22,56,400 Sq.m The total built up area is 3,66,722 Sqm. Total water consumption is 3200 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 1610 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 1800 KLD.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Chief Airport Officer, Mangaluru International Airport Limited, Mangaluru International Airport, Bajpe Main Road, P.O.Bajpe, Dhakshin Kannada, Mangaluru,
2	Name & Location of the Project	Mangaluru International Airport Limited (MIAL), Bajpe Main Rd, Kenjar HC, Mangaluru - 574142
3	Schedule as per EIA Notification 2006.	7 (a)
4	Type of development - New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal And Cost of project.	Expansion Rs. 2600 Crore
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	Total plot area: 22,56,400 Sq.m

		Total Site Area : 225.64 2256400	
S.No	Landuse (Airside)	Area in Ha	
1	Runway	15.12	
2	Apron & Taxiway	34.75	
3	Terminal Development	6.97	
4	Cargo	3.49	
5	Support & Utility Facilities	3.90	
6	Road & Transportation	16.88	
7	Green/Open Area	87.27	
8	Carved Out Assets	1.56	
	Total Area	~169.94	
S.No	Landuse (Landside)	Area in Ha	Area In Sq
1	Support & Utility Facilities	14.38	14380
2	Road & Transportation	20.74	20740
3	Green/Open Area	15.11	15110
4	Carved Out Assets	5.47	5470
	Total Area	~55.70	5570
		<p>Inline to business needs and requirements, all required infrastructure development will be carried out according to the Master plan, for which TOR was obtained, EIA studies were conducted and submitted for Environment Clearance</p>	
b.	Kharab Land	Nil	
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the	NA	

	schedule of the EIA notification, 2006							
d.	Internal Roads	Details as provided in point 14 (a)						
e.	Paved area							
f.	Others Specify							
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA						
h.	Total	NA						
15	WATER							
I.	Construction Phase							
a.	Source of water	Ground Water / Tanker supply						
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD						
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	90 KLD						
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	80 KLD						
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Existing STP (MBBR)						
II.	Operational Phase							
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fresh</td> <td>1900</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recycle d</td> <td>1300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>3200</td> </tr> </table>	Fresh	1900	Recycle d	1300	Total	3200
Fresh	1900							
Recycle d	1300							
Total	3200							
b.	Source of water	<p>Rain water / Surface Water</p> <p>Total water requirement for MIA operation, as calculated for the FY-2033 will be 3200 KLD, out of which 1900 KLD will be potable water, which will be met through Rain water harvesting and Surface water and remaining 1300 KLD will be recycled water from STP.</p> <p>Primary source of potable water requirement (i.e. 1.9 MLD) for the proposed project will be rainwater, which shall be conserved through providing Rainwater harvesting structure (55000 cum), which will suffice the water</p>						

		demand of 242 days. Remaining Water demand of 123 days, will be sourced through surface water body (Gurupura River). However in the initial phases, MIA will be extracting ground water for which KGWA approval of 219000cum/ annum of Ground water is already available.
c.	Waste water generation in KLD	1610 KLD
d.	STP capacity	1800KLD
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR, further eco-efficient technologies will also be explored.
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Not Applicable
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	MIAL proposed to construct 5nos. of rainwater harvesting ponds and 2nos. of UG Sumps with capacity of 54,000m ³ and 1000 m ³ respectively.
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	NA
17	Storm water management plan	As a part of overall master plan, storm water management is designed in such a way to harvest the maximum water. The entire airport area is delineated into water shed catchments with 13 outfall locations.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Construction waste is estimated as 45275.3 MT. All the waste will be handled inline to 5R principles of waste management (Reduce, Reuse-Recycle-Recover-Reprocess) to avoid the disposal of waste back to the environment, and to be aligned to the vision of Zero Waste to Landfill.
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	3.93 T/Day will be generated. MIAL will be installing 1 ton capacity of Organic Waste converter to handle the

		Biodegradable waste and further, as directed by the committee, MIAL will explore the feasibility of installation of biogas plant, based on the applicable practices and approval in aviation sector.
	b. Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	5.89 T/Day will be generated. All the waste will be handled inline to 5R principles of waste management & in line to SWM rules, 2016
	c. Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	0.5 TPA will be generated and will be handled inline to Hazardous Waste Rule 2016 amended till date.
	d. Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	1 TPA will be generated and will be handled inline to E-waste Rules 2016 amended till date.
19	POWER	
	a. Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	9.2 MVA
	b. Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	38 Nos (100% Power Backup) Ranging from 160KVA to 1250KVA
	c. Details of Fuel used for DG Set	300 KI.
	d. Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<p>MLAL commits voluntarily to be a carbon neutral Airport by the FY 2025.</p> <p>For trial phase, MIAL is underway to install 110 KwP solar roof top on one of its building by December 2023, to evaluate its cost economic potential and its further feasibility.</p> <p>Further wrt MLAL's transition to 100% green energy by FY 2025, we are in the advanced stage of signing the agreement with the developer to install the Hybrid Renewable energy plant in the Western region of India.</p> <p>The renewable Hybrid energy plant, will be developed taking into consideration,</p>

		<p>year wise increased Power demand of Mangaluru International Airport.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Financial Year</th> <th>Green Energy consumption (lac kwh)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FY 2024</td> <td>122</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2025</td> <td>137</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2026</td> <td>148</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2027</td> <td>162</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2028</td> <td>178</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2029</td> <td>196</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2030</td> <td>215</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2031</td> <td>237</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2032</td> <td>260</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY 2033</td> <td>286</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(*) For the year FY 2024 - FY 2027, details are provided based on technical calculation, whereas for the year FY 2028 - FY 2033, details are provide based on proportionate calculation. The above nos may vary, on basis of actual expansion works during the year.</p>	Financial Year	Green Energy consumption (lac kwh)	FY 2024	122	FY 2025	137	FY 2026	148	FY 2027	162	FY 2028	178	FY 2029	196	FY 2030	215	FY 2031	237	FY 2032	260	FY 2033	286
Financial Year	Green Energy consumption (lac kwh)																							
FY 2024	122																							
FY 2025	137																							
FY 2026	148																							
FY 2027	162																							
FY 2028	178																							
FY 2029	196																							
FY 2030	215																							
FY 2031	237																							
FY 2032	260																							
FY 2033	286																							
20	PARKING																							
	a. Parking Requirement as per norms	1125 parking nos, MLCP has overall capacity to handle 1.5 times.																						
	b. Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Proposed LOS at the Airport Entry will be of category B&for Exit it will be of category C.																						
	c. Internal Road width (RoW)	Will be developed as per the Traffic Study outcome.																						
21	CER Activities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Conversion of airport owned conventional vehicles operated on fossil fuels to Electric vehicles & Infrastructures for EV Charging Stations, 2. Carbon Neutrality (Carbon credit purchase offset, Refrigerant transition from R22 to R32, Conversion to ABC stored pressure Fire extinguisher and others activities) 																						

		3. Other activities in the field of Education, Health, Sustainable Livelihood, Community Infrastructure & Skill development within 10 kms of the project area		
22	<p>EMP details with cost.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Construction phase ● Operation Phase 	<p>EMPs: (Eg.: Air Environment, Water Environment)</p>	<p>Capital Cost (Lakhs)</p>	
		Air & Noise Quality Management	80	44
		Waste Water management	375	21
		RWII & Water Conservation	3100	34

	Green Area Development	1810	90	
	Waste management	150	1	
	Environmental Monitoring	35	10	
	Total	5,550	200	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th February 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion of Airport Project to Handle 22.5 MPPA and Cargo handling capacity of 0.12 MTPA. As per the ToR issued, earlier, Environment Clearance was given for "Construction of New Integrated Passenger Terminal Building, Apron, taxiway and associated facilities at MIA by AAI" vide F.No.10-79/2007-IA-III dated 01.11.2007.


A Concession Agreement for Operation, Maintenance, Management & Development of MIA was signed between Airports Authority of India (AAI) and Mangaluru International Airport Limited (MIAL) (Earlier known as Adani Mangaluru International Airport Limited) on 14.02.2020.

As per the concession Agreement, MIAL has been entrusted with the responsibility to operate and manage the existing airport assets and will be responsible for designing, engineering, financing, construction, upgradation and development of future airside, terminal, city side and landside infrastructure for the airport in phases and its subsequent operation and management for a 50 year concession period from the commercial date of operation (COD) 31.10.2020.

Transfer of EC from "Airports Authority of India" (AAI) TO "Adani Mangaluru International Airport Ltd" (AMIAL) has been effected vide F. No.10-79/2007-IA.III dated 3rd August 2021. Further, EC name change order has been effected in the name of "Mangaluru International Airport Ltd." vide letter of even no. dated.16.02.2022 based on Certificate of incorporation upon change of name from Adani Mangaluru International Airport Ltd. to Mangaluru International Airport Ltd. issued by Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide document dated:09.11.2021.

As a part of concession agreement between AAI & MIAL, 236.24 ha has been allotted to MIAL for development of Mangaluru International Airport (MIA). Out of which, 4.04 ha of land will be considered for city Side development, which will be developed phase wise after obtaining required approvals. Two isolated plots with an area

200



of 4.89 ha & 1.66 ha are excluded from this Master Plan. MIAL now proposes expansion of MIA within an area of 225.64 ha, which includes land area of 7.03 ha as a Carved out area, retained by AAI.

The proposal was considered in 290th SEAC meeting and the committee had deferred the appraisal informing the following.

"The proposal is for modification and expansion of Airport project to handle 22.5MPPA and cargo handling capacity of 0.12 MTPA. The proponent informed that they had obtained earlier EC from MoEF on 01.11.2007 and had obtained transfer of EC on 03.08.2021 and 16.02.2022 to MIAL. For the present expansion they had obtained ToR from MoEF&CC on 11.04.2022 and CCR from MoEF&CC on 22.09.2022. As per the MoEF&CC Notification dated 20.04.2022 All expansion projects, including airstrips, which are for commercial use area, under item 7(a) are to be considered as Category B projects.

During appraisal, the committee noted that as per the master plan of MIAL, the entire proposal including calculations/provisions/requirements etc. were made as per the forecast upto year 2068. The committee after discussion decided that the information provided by the proponent was not within the validity period of EC i.e for ten years and informed the proponent to revise the entire feasible details for a period of ten years.

Further the committee informed the proponent to submit clarification for the following observations,

- *To comply with ToR issued by MoEF&CC dated 11.04.2022, informing to provide rain water harvesting ponds to be developed with a capacity of 46 MLD, in an area of 23,000 sqm and used for non-potable purposes.*
- *To recalculate the capacity of STP with reference to total water demand with details of components and process proposed.*
- *Details of utilization in water balance chart clearly indicating the activities and demand instead of potable / non-potable.*
- *The water requirement has been proposed as 14ltrs per passenger per day, which is on higher side and also has not considered bottled water.*
- *The liquid waste from the aircraft is to be treated in Triturator as primary treatment and then to be pumped to STP for secondary treatment. Further the quantity of liquid waste from aircraft is not quantified and not factored in the capacity of STP propose.*
- *For the proposed solid waste of 9.82 TPD, details of quantity and treatment technology is required i.e, a) From Employee b) From Passengersc) From*

Visitorsd) Deplane wastee) Staff quartersf) Run-way waste management (Horticulture)g) Hazardous waste (include if washing is there)i) STP Sludge.

- *To explore the possibilities to become water positive without dependency on ground water, by providing vented barrages, desalination plants and provisions to harvest 25 percent of total annual rainfall in the catchment etc."*

The proponent in the present meeting revised the entire proposal including calculations/provisions/requirements etc. for ten years and has submitted point wise clarification for the above clarifications sought.

- *To comply with ToR issued by MoEF&CC dated 11.04.2022, informing to provide rain water harvesting ponds to be developed with a capacity of 46 MLD, in an area of 23,000 sqm and used for non-potable purposes.*

The proponent submitted the revised details and informed that they had planned to construct 5nos. of RWH ponds with combined capacity of 54,000cum in area of 26,304sqm and 2Nos of UG sumps with combined capacity of 1000cum respectively to be used for non portable purpose.

- *To recalculate the capacity of STP with reference to total water demand with details of components and process proposed.*

Proponent submitted revised STP calculation for 2023-2033 and designed considering passengers of 12.3MPPA, for which total water requirement is 3.2MLD(Potable 1.90MLD and Recycled 1.30MLD), waste water generated is 1.61MLD, to be treated in STP of 1.80MLD capacity(existing STP 0.650 MBBR and Proposed STP of 1.15MLD of SBR technology)

- *Details of utilization in water balance chart clearly indicating the activities and demand instead of potable / non-potable.*

Proponent submitted revised utilization of water balance chart indicating the activities.

- *The water requirement has been proposed as 14ltrs per passenger per day, which is on higher side and also has not considered bottled water.*

Proponent informed the committee that utility components of MIAI. has been calculated by technical consultant M/s STUP Consultants pvt. Ltd. based on the standard benchmarking of major operating airports in India and as per which, potable water requirements is calculated as 14ltr/day per passanger which includes domestic water requirement (including bottled) by passenger at Terminal and Aircrafts.

- *The liquid waste from the aircraft is to be treated in Triturator as primary treatment and then to be pumped to STP for secondary treatment. Further the quantity of liquid waste from aircraft is not quantified and not factored in the capacity of STP propose.*

Proponent informed the committee that waste water generated from aircraft is treated by Triturator as primary treatment and then pumped to STP for secondary treatment and liquid waste from the triturator is considered as a part of total waste water with quantity about 0.12MLD for passenger per day at terminal and aircraft.

- *For the proposed solid waste of 9.82 TPD, details of quantity and treatment technology is required i.e, a) From Employee b) From Passengers c) From Visitors d) Deplane waste e) Staff quarters f) Run-way waste management (Horticulture) g) Hazardous waste (include if washing is there) i) STP Sludge.*

Proponent submitted details of waste generated and details of handling the waste and informed the committee that, 1. Waste generated from passengers, employees and visitors is 6.421TPD, 2. STP sludge waste is 1.274TPD, De-Plane waste is 1.605TPD and Horticulture waste is 0.70TPD and the biodegradable waste to be handled in OWC of 1ton capacity and additionally they will explore the feasibility of installation of biogas plant and the non biodegradable wastes to be handled in 5R (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle, Recover, Reprocess) principles of waste management to avoid the disposal of waste to environment so as to achieve zero waste to landfill and the hazardous wastes to be handled as per HWM Rules 2016.

- *To explore the possibilities to become water positive without dependency on ground water, by providing vented barrages, desalination plants and provisions to harvest 25 percent of total annual rainfall in the catchment etc.*

The proponent informed the committee that by FY 2033 they will be requiring 3.2MLD of total water, out of which 1.9MLD is portable water to be met through rainwater harvesting and surface water and remaining 1.3MLD would be from recycled water from STP. Apart from the water harvested in RWH structures, additional water demand for 123day to be sourced through surface water body(Gurupura River).

Further the proponent informed that, only during initial phase MLAL will extract ground water (KGWA approval for 219000 cum/annum) and MLAL will explore the feasibility to recharge the ground water in the nearby areas and also explore the possibility in consultation with concerned department to construct vented barrages and MLAL to adopt various water conservation measures to become water positive.

The committee accepted the clarifications given by the proponent and after discussion and deliberation decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC for 225.64 Ha out of the total area of 236.24 Ha with condition to comply with the submissions made during appraisal and to comply with the observations of MoEF&CC in CCR.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to reconsider the proposal after receipt of the following information:

1. *The PP shall submit details of C & D waste generated and handling the same.*
2. *The average annual precipitation in Mangalore is > 3000 millimetres per year and peaks during May, June July and August. Therefore, PP shall submit detailed drainage Pattern, measures to handle high rain flood and extreme weather events.*
3. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
4. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
5. *The project proponent shall also identify all types of wastes like worn-out tyres, packaging materials, lubricants and other wastes associated with aviation facility and provide a matrix for aspect impact analysis of the same.*

The Authority perused the reply submitted by the project proponent vide letter dated 05.04.2023.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.*
2. *The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.*
3. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the*

proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor) shall be submitted.

4. *The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.*
5. *The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.*
6. *The PP shall utilize the excavated soil/earth within the project site.*
7. *The PP shall submit details about earmark a dedicated area for processing of general solid waste, hazardous waste, plastic waste, packaging waste etc..*

Additional Condition:

1. *Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.*
2. *The project proponent shall provide adequate electrical charging stations/booth for charging E Vehicles commensurate with its usage.*
3. *The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.*

233.5.2. Compliant received from M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd against M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., (SEIAA 47 IND 2019). (Invite the proponent).

Environmental Clearance has been issued letter No. SEIAA47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 for Establishment of Proposed 60 KLPD (Juice/ Syrup based) distillery, 6 TPD Bio CNG, 20 TPD fertilizer powder, 42 TPD CO₂ captive Power generation of 3 MW/hr at Sy No. 106/2 (P), 106/3, 109/1 & 109/3 Alagawadi Village, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District by M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., 150/6, Vidya Nagar, Gokak Road, Harugeri, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka, 591220.

M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd., vide letter dated 13.12.2021 and 21.02.2022 requesting this Authority to restraining of EC No. SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd., have stated that M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., is located just their unit with in a radius of 1 KM. As per the Sugar Cane (Control) Order, 1966, a minimum distance of 15 Kms Radius must be there between two sugar units where Sugar Cane Crushing activities will be taken up.

Further, stated that M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd., is having valid IEM for establishment of Sugar plant whereas M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., does not have any valid IEM or any distance certificate which is mandatory to go for Sugar Cane Crushing to produce Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup to use the same as raw material/Feed Stock for Distillery Unit.

Further stated that the Ministry of the Consumer Affairs, Food and public Distribution made clarification regarding production of ethanol from Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup by standalone distilleries vide F No.4/1/2018- (BP&E) (Part) dated 13.01.2022 stating that only Sugar mills can produce Sugar Juice/Syrup required for Ethanol production and Khandasari units are not allowed to produce sugar juice/Syrup.

In this regard, Commissioner for cane Development and Director of Sugar Wrote a letter to SEIAA stating that M/s Askins Bio Fuels Pvt. Ltd, is not eligible to utilize Sugarcane Juice-Syrup for production of ethanol and requested to cancel the EC SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

In this regard, M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd., requested to Withdraw the EC issued vide SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 to M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,



The Authority perused the request made as above and felt that it is essential to make a proper assessment of facts to place on record. Under these circumstances the Authority decided to refer the matter to SEAC to go through the facts of the case and the complaint received comprehensively and submit the factual report as early as possible.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 24th, 25th January and 1st February 2023. The extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 287th SEAC Meeting and the committee had noted that, as per the Orders of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W P no. 102856/2022 and after discussion decided to maintain status quo until final Hon'ble HC Orders in W P no. 102856/2022. Hence in this regard the committee decided to defer the proposal until Final Orders.

For the present meeting as the SEIAA had referred the final orders of Hon'ble HC Orders in W P no. 102856/2022 dated: 06.01.2023 to the committee to deliberate accordingly, as per the Hon'ble HC Orders,

" 88. Since, it is held from the discussion narrated above that Askins is indeed a Sugar Factory, for the purposes of the Sugarcane (Control) Order, the order passed by the Cane Commissioner directing Askins to stop construction and


206

directing Bhramanandasagar to stop the supply of sugarcane juice or sugar syrup, cannot be found fault with. The Cmc Commissioner has basically ensured that the statutory restriction imposed in Clause 64 of the Sugarcane (Control) Order is adhered to and is not defeated by the setting up an Ethanol Plant by Askins.



89. Learned counsel also tried to construct an argument that the Sugarcane (Control) Order did not use the term "stand-alone Distillery" and therefore, the clarification issued in respect of the Stand-alone Distillery on 13.01.2022 was improper. He submitted that the clarification could not be used to create a restriction which is not available under the Sugarcane (Control) Order.

90. As stated above, since Askins is clearly a Factory or a Sugar Factory as defined under the Sugarcane (Control) Order, the use of the term Stand-alone Distillery in the clarification would be of no relevance and Askins, by virtue of being a Sugar Factory, cannot set up an Ethanol Manufacturing Plant within 15 kilometers of the Factory of Alagawadi.

91. I am, therefore, of the view that there is no merit in this writ petition and the same will have to be dismissed. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed."

For the present meeting M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. had sent letter dated 24.01.2023 requesting for adjournment and to consider this subject in next month and also

Drafted by kei

 |  207

had requested that M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. to be heard before SELAA and later on in SEAC. The committee took note of the request letter of M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. dated:24.01.2023 but the committee after discussion and deliberation decided to reiterate its decision taken in 286th SEAC meeting to withdraw the EC issued to M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. in light of the Orders of Hon'ble HC of Karnataka and decided to recommend the proposal to SELAA for further necessary action.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC

The Authority perused the Environmental clearance issued vide letter No. SELAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 and as per the Hon'ble High Court Orders in W P No. 102856/2022 dated: 06.01.2023 and committee recommendations deserves to be cancelled in terms of para 8 (vi) of the EIA Notification, 2006. Be it so, the Authority decided to provide final opportunity to the project proponent for being heard in accordance with the said provisions of the Notification and also issue show-cause notice as to why the EC granted to them vide letter dated 28.08.2020 should not be cancelled. The Authority also decided to invite the project proponent for upcoming meeting.

Accordingly, project proponent was invited for the meeting vide letter dated 13.04.2023. Accordingly, the proponent along with his Advocate attended the meeting and submitted the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad Bench dated 31st March 2023. The Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad Bench is as follows

"This is an intra court appeal filed at the hands of the writ petitioners being aggrieved of the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.No. 102856/2022. The writ petitioners had approached the learned Single Judge seeking to quash the impugned order dated 25.07.2022 passed by the 4th Respondent-Commissioner for Cane Development and Director of Sugar, Government of Karnataka.

Brief facts of the case are that appellant No.1 M/s Askins Biofuels Private Limited was granted Industrial Entrepreneur Memorandum (for short 'IEM') on 05.12.2019 to establish a standalone distillery, to manufacture ethanol. The Government of India, through the Ministry of Consumer Affair, Food & Public Distribution, had issued a notification dated 08.03.2019 extending financial assistance to molasses based standalone distilleries. The Scheme for extending financial assistance to molasses based standalone distilleries was proposed by the Central Government with an intention to enhance and augment ethanol production capacity. Only molasses based standalone distilleries were eligible to file application under the Scheme. Provision was made in the said notification calling upon eligible applicants to set up

new distilleries, including expansion of the capacity of the existing molasses based standalone distilleries. Accordingly, appellant No.1 filed an application seeking permission and grant of IEM for establishment of a standalone distillery, at the location 106/2, Harugeri Road, Alagawadi, Raibag, Belagavi District. It is the contention of the appellants that after establishment of the distillery in the said action, appellant No.1 started procuring molasses from appellant No.2, which is a factory licensed to produce jiggery. However, subsequently another notification dated 14.01.2021 was issued by the Ministry modifying the Scheme for enhancement of ethanol distillation capacity or to set up distilleries for production of first generation ethanol from feed stocks, such as, cereal (rice, wheat, barlie, corn and sorghum), sugarcane, sugar beet, etc. Provision was made to convert existing molasses based distilleries (whether attached to sugar mills or standalone distilleries) to dual feed and also to convert grain based distilleries to dual feed. Following the said notification permitting standalone distilleries to produce ethanol from dual feeds, including sugarcane, appellant No.1 started procuring sugarcane juice from appellant No.2 for production of ethanol.


3. Respondent No.5-M/s Alagawadi Bireswar Sugars which was holding IEM in the same area, also called reserved area, was aggrieved of the establishment of standalone distillery within the reserved area and procurement of sugarcane juice by appellant No.1 from appellant No.2. Respondent No.5 filed W.P.No. 100915/2022 seeking a writ of mandamus to the respondent authorities to immediately stop appellant No.1 from establishing the factory, to prevent the appellants herein from dealing with sugarcane sugarcane juice procured from the reserved area and to stop production. It was also contended that the appellants herein, put together, were a sugar factory and they violated the provisions contained in the Sugarcane Control Order. However, by order dated 15.03.2022, the said writ petition was disposed off directing the concerned authorities to consider various representation and complaints given by respondent No.5 herein and to pass orders in accordance with law. Since opportunity was required to be given to appellants herein to raise objections and have their say in the matter, the appellants herein gave written representations / objections before the Cane Commissioner. The Cane Commissioner passed an order dated 30.04.2022 holding that appellant No.1 herein is not eligible to utilize sugarcane juice/syrup for production of ethanol. It was held that appellant No.1 can only produce ethanol extracted from molasses. Being aggrieved, the appellants herein filed W.P. No. 101807/2022. The learned Single Judge, by order dated 26.05.2022 set aside the order dated 30.04.2022 and remanded the matter back to the Cane Commissioner to decide the matter afresh, after hearing the appellants herein. In the meanwhile, the Directorate of Sugar and Vegetable Oils issued a communication dated 23.05.2022 to the Cane Commissioner, Karnataka directing that the appellant No.1 herein shall not be permitted to produce ethanol from sugarcane juice purchased from any jaggery / khandasari unit. The appellants herein filed one more writ petition in W.P. No. 101968/2022 calling in question the communication dated 23.05.2022 made by the

Directorate of Sugar to the Cane Commissioner. The learned Single Judge, by order dated 02.06.2022, allowed the writ petition and quashed the communication dated 23.05.2022. The rival parties were directed to appear before the Cane Commissioner on 10.06.2022 and the Commissioner was directed to reconsider the matter without being influenced by the communication dated 23.05.2022 and decide specific issues, such as, whether the petitioners fall under the definition of 'factory' as per the amended provisions of the Sugarcane Control Order; whether the first petitioner/appellant is entitled to receive sugarcane juice / syrup from appellant / petitioner No.2, whether appellant / petitioner no.1 is a standalone distillery not crushing sugarcane and therefore entitled to supply of sugarcane juice/syrup from appellant / petitioner No.2.

4. The Cane Commissioner thereafter passed the impugned order dated 25.07.2022 holding that if appellant No.1 herein is permitted to procure sugarcane juice for manufacture of ethanol, it would be in violation of the Sugarcane Control Order, as amended on 31.05.2021. The appellants herein approached the learned Single Judge in W.P. No. 102856/2022, challenging the order dated 25.07.2022, mainly on the ground that the specific directions issued by the learned Single Judge to consider the issues in terms of the order dated 02.06.2022, viz., whether the petitioners fall under the definition of 'factory' as per the amended provisions of the Sugarcane Control Order; whether the first petitioner / appellant is entitled to receive sugarcane juice / syrup from appellant / petitioner No.2; whether appellant / petitioner no.1 is a standalone distillery not crushing sugarcane and therefore entitled to supply of sugarcane juice / syrup from appellant / petitioner No.2, were not considered and no specific finding is given in that regard.

5. Learned Senior Counsel Sri Gurudas S. Kannur, appearing for the appellants would submit that the standalone distillery was established by appellant No.1 in terms of the notification dated 08.03.2019, although it cannot be denied that such standalone distilleries were permitted to produce ethanol by using molasses only. However, by a subsequent notification dated 14.01.2021 provision was made to convert existing molasses based distilleries (whether attached to sugar mills or standalone distilleries) to produce ethanol by using dual feed and also to convert grain based distilleries to dual feed, including sugarcane. Therefore, it is contended that no fault can be found in the action of appellant No.1 in procuring sugarcane juice / syrup from appellant No.2 to produce ethanol.

6. Learned Senior Counsel would further submit that the Directorate of Sugar, Government of India erred in issuing a communication dated 23.05.2022 clarifying that standalone distilleries are not allowed procure sugarcane juice / syrup from any khandasari/jiggery unit to produce ethanol since it would be in contravention of the Sugarcane Control Order.



Similarly, the clarification issued by the Joint Director, Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food & Public Distribution, Department of Food & Public Distribution, Directorate of Sugar & Vegetable Oils, in terms of communication dated 13.01.2022 in similar terms that in view of the amendment brought to the definition of 'factory' as defined in the Sugarcane Control Order vide notification dated 31.05.2021, standalone distilleries cannot procure sugarcane juice / syrup, is without authority of law. Learned Senior Counsel would submit that a plain reading of the amended provision does not expressly state that a standalone distillery producing ethanol is to be construed as 'factory' for the purposes of the Sugarcane Control Order. Moreover, it is submitted that IEM was issued to the first appellant on 05.12.2019 and the amendment was brought to the Sugarcane Control Order on 31.05.2021. Nothing in the amended provision would suggest retrospective operation of the amended provision and its applicability to standalone distilleries established prior to 31.05.2021. At best, it is submitted that if this Court were to hold that the amended provision would bring within its confines a standalone distillery producing ethanol from sugarcane juice /syrup, even then this Court should clarify that the provision cannot have retrospective effect.

7. Per Contra, learned counsel for the 5th respondent would seek to justify the impugned orders passed by the Cane Commissioner and the learned Single Judge. Learned counsel submits that the authority empowered to issue IEM for establishment of standalone distilleries to produce ethanol has clarified in the communication dated 13.01.2022 that in view of the amendment brought to the definition clause of 'factory' to include any premises manufacturing ethanol from sugarcane juice or sugar or sugar syrup or molasses, any unit manufacturing ethanol accordingly would become a 'factory' within the meaning of the provisions contained in the Sugarcane Control Order. Consequently, from the date when the amendment was brought into effect, appellant No.1 would fall within the confines of the Sugarcane Control Order and its provisions are accordingly made applicable to appellant No.1. In other words, it is contended that even if this Court were to hold that retrospective effect cannot be given to the amended provision, even then, prospectively appellant No.1 would be prohibited from procuring sugarcane / sugarcane juice / sugar syrup or molasses from a reserved area.

8. Learned counsel for the 5th respondent sought to draw attention of this Court to many other aspects, such as, the agreement entered into between the appellants interse; that they are not two different entities and therefore when it is admitted that appellant No.2 is only permitted to supply sugarcane juice / syrup to appellant No.1. It is submitted that what appellant No.2 could not accomplish directly, cannot be permitted to be accomplished indirectly, circumventing the provisions contained in the Sugarcane Control Order. At any rate, it is submitted, that the Sugarcane Control Order commencing from 16.07.1966 has maintained a restriction, barring establishment of a second unit within the reserved area and from procurement of

sugarcane / by -products of sugarcane from the reserved area. Therefore, the Government of India having realized the mistake in permitting standalone distilleries to come up within the reserved area in terms of the notification dated 08.03.2019 and the modified notification dated 14.01.2021, consciously amended the term 'factory' to bring within its confined any unit, including standalone distilleries manufacturing ethanol by using sugarcane juice / syrup and molasses.

9. Heard the learned Senior Counsel for the appellants, learned counsel for the 5th respondent, learned HCGP for respondent No.4 and the learned Central Government Standing Counsel for the Union

10. The crux of the matter is whether the amendment of the term 'factory or sugar factory' in terms of the notification dated 31.05.2021, brought to the Sugarcane Control Order, 1966, a standalone distillery permitted to be established in terms of the notification dated 08.03.2019 would fall within the defined term and that they would be governed by the provisions of the Sugarcane Control Order, 1966. Admittedly, on a plain reading of the amended provision, it does not admit a construction bringing within its confines a standalone distillery. Nevertheless, the Directorate of Sugar, in its communication dated 13.01.2022 issued a clarification to all sugar mills/distilleries that in view of the amendment brought to the Sugarcane Control Order on 31.05.2021, any standalone distillery proposing to produce ethanol from sugarcane juice by crushing sugarcane in its premises shall follow the provisions of the Sugarcane Control Order, including maintaining a distance of 15 kms. From the neighboring existing sugar mills. It was also directed that such distilleries which are procuring sugarcane juice /syrup from other sugar mills are required to adhere to the modalities indicated in the guidelines issued by the Directorate in its letter dated 02.12.2021. It was also clarified that distilleries including standalone distilleries can produce ethanol from sugarcane juice / syrup purchased from sugar mills only for supplying to oil Manufacturing Companies under "ethanol blended with petrol programme." It was clarified that distilleries / standalone distilleries are not allowed to procure sugarcane juice / syrup from any khandasari unit to produce ethanol. Following the same, a subsequent communication was issued by the Directorate on 23.05.2022 to the Cane Commissioner, Karnataka, to preclude appellant No.1 herein from procuring sugarcane juice /syrup from appellant No. 2.

11. The establishment rules of interpretation of a statutory provision would provide that we must construe the language having regard to the object and purpose which the legislature had in view while enacting the provisions and in the context of the setting in which they occur. In *K.P. Varghese Vs. Income Tax Officer, Ernakulam & another*, (1981) 4 SCC 173, the famous words of Judge Learned Hand were beneficially extracted as follows:

"...it is true that the words used, even in their literal sense, are the primary and ordinarily the most reliable, source of interpreting the meaning of any writing: be it a statute, a contract or anything else. But it is one of the surest indexes of a mature and developed jurisprudence not to make a fortress _____ of the dictionary; but to remember that statutes always have some purpose or object to accomplish, whose sympathetic and imaginative discovery is the surest guide to their meaning".

12. *In that view of the matter, we are primarily required to find out from the objective of the amendment as to whether the rule making authority envisaged the establishment of standalone distilleries within the reserved area, protected under the Sugarcane Control Order as a mistake or mischief. If so, whether the rule making authority intended to remove such mischief by amending the definition clause of the term 'factory or sugar factory' and bring within the confines of the Sugarcane Control Order, the standalone distilleries which were permitted to be established within the reserved area.*

13. *We have gone through the notification dated 31.05.2021 and we do not find the 'scope or object' for such amendment. Therefore, we are constrained to say that a plain reading of the amended provision does not suggest inclusion of standalone distilleries within the defined meaning of 'factory or sugar factory.'*

14. *We are also of the considered opinion that if the rule making authority intended to bring within the confines of the Sugarcane Control Order any standalone distillery which was permitted to procure molasses initially and thereafter by a subsequent notification issued on 14.1.2021 permitted to procure sugarcane juice / syrup for manufacturing ethanol, then the rule making authority would have also expressed its intention as to whether the provisions would be made operative prospectively or retrospectively. The rule making authority was required to provide for the established standalone distilleries either by appropriate saving clause or providing a redressal mechanism. Usually, when a vested / accrued interest is sought to be taken away, then appropriate arrangements will have to be made by the legislature or the rule making authority to redress such grievances. It is by now well settled in catena of decisions, including the recent decision in the case of Punjab State Co-operative Agriculture Development Bank Ltd. Vs. Registrar, Co-operative Societies & others, (2022) 4 SCC 363, that an amendment having retrospective operation which has the effect of taking away a benefit already available under the existing rule should be held as arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of the rights guaranteed under Articles 14, 16 and 19 of the Constitution of India. In that view of the matter, we should say that if the rule making authority had indeed consciously drawn the prior existing standalone distilleries within the provisions of the Sugarcane Control Order, depriving them of the accrued right of procuring molasses, sugarcane juice / syrup from the sugar factories, then such standalone distilleries would be entitled to seek a*

declaration that the amendment should not be made operational retrospectively. Such a claim would be justifiably upheld.

15. This Court should also notice that if the provisions of the Sugarcane Control Order are made applicable to standalone distilleries, such as, appellant No.1, then, the existence and continuation of such distilleries would come under immediate threat on the ground that they are established in violation of the express provisions of the Control Order, viz., Clause-6A which prohibits establishment of a second sugar factory within the radius of 15 kms. There cannot be partial application of the provisions of the Control Order. Decommissioning the establishment or cancellation of the IEM granted to appellant No.1 on the ground that the grant of IEM is in contravention of the provisions of the Control Order would be a fertile ground for appellant No.1 to contend that an accrued right cannot be taken away by a subsequent amendment. Therefore, such an amendment, if intended by rule making authority, it would seriously prejudice the interest of such standalone distilleries. On the other hand, if it was the intention of the department to prevent such standalone distilleries from procurement of sugarcane juice / syrup, it could have simply withdrawn the notification dated 14.01.2021, restricting them to procure molasses only. Taking into consideration all these aspects, we are of the opinion that there was not intent of the rule making authority to bring within the sweep of the amended provision standalone distilleries which were licensed by the Department.

16. In the light of the above, we are of the considered opinion that the amended provision vide notification dated 31.05.2021 brought to the Sugarcane Control Order, 1966, will not bring within its sweep the standalone distilleries established consequent to the notification dated 08.03.2019 and 14.01.2021 issued by the Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution. We, therefore proceed to declare that the standalone distilleries such as appellant no.1 herein establishment in terms of the notification dated 08.03.2019 and 14.01.2021 for manufacturing ethanol are not governed by the provisions of the Sugarcane Control Order, 1966.

17. We make it clear that we have not considered the grievance raised at the hands of the 5th respondent as regard appellant No.2 herein. Therefore, respondent No.5 is free to approach the competent authority to redress its grievance as regards appellant No.2 herein if it is of the opinion that appellant No.2 has violated any of the provisions contained in the Sugarcane Control Order or any other law for that matter.

18. Consequently, we allow the writ appeal and set aside the impugned order dated 25.07.2022 passed by the 4th Respondent-Commissioner for Cane Development and the impugned order dated 06.01.2023 in W.P. No. 102856/2022. Ordered accordingly.

In view of disposal of the appeal on merits, pending IAs, if any, also stand disposed off. "

The Authority after detailed discussion decided to communicate the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad Bench's order to the Commissioner for Cane development and Director of Sugar Govt. of Karnataka Bengaluru.

233.6. With Permission of Chair

233.6.1. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.508/2 & 508/3 of Harave Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Gurusiddaiah R- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/408407/2023 (SEIAA 527 MIN 2022)

Sri Gurusiddaiah R. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 508/2 & 508/3 of Harave Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION																											
	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Gurusiddaiah R.																											
2	Name & Location of the Project	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>P. No.</th> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>N 11°57'33.6"</td> <td>E 76°48'50.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>N 11°57'33.2"</td> <td>E 76°48'53.9"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C</td> <td>N 11°57'32.6"</td> <td>E 76°48'53.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>N 11°57'32.4"</td> <td>E 76°48'55.8"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>N 11°57'30.4"</td> <td>E 76°48'55.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>F</td> <td>N 11°57'30.8"</td> <td>E 76°48'53.0"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>N 11°57'31.6"</td> <td>E 76°48'53.1"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H</td> <td>N 11°57'32.0"</td> <td>E 76°48'53.3"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	A	N 11°57'33.6"	E 76°48'50.8"	B	N 11°57'33.2"	E 76°48'53.9"	C	N 11°57'32.6"	E 76°48'53.8"	D	N 11°57'32.4"	E 76°48'55.8"	E	N 11°57'30.4"	E 76°48'55.1"	F	N 11°57'30.8"	E 76°48'53.0"	G	N 11°57'31.6"	E 76°48'53.1"	H	N 11°57'32.0"	E 76°48'53.3"
P. No.	Latitude	Longitude																											
A	N 11°57'33.6"	E 76°48'50.8"																											
B	N 11°57'33.2"	E 76°48'53.9"																											
C	N 11°57'32.6"	E 76°48'53.8"																											
D	N 11°57'32.4"	E 76°48'55.8"																											
E	N 11°57'30.4"	E 76°48'55.1"																											
F	N 11°57'30.8"	E 76°48'53.0"																											
G	N 11°57'31.6"	E 76°48'53.1"																											
H	N 11°57'32.0"	E 76°48'53.3"																											
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry																											
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New																											
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta																											
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres																											
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	26,548 Tones/ Annum (including waste)																											

Drafted by

8	Project Cost (Rs. in Crores)	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	1,56,128 Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	26,021 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Harave Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 12.00 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 2.94 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	29.09.2022
14	Quarry plan	25.11.2022
15	Cluster certificate	25.11.2022
16	Revenue NOC	17.10.2022
17	Notification	22.11.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 24th, 25th January and 1st February 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 07 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 02 leases are exempted from cluster as the EC were granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total remaining area including the present lease is 7.26 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 780 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after concreting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 1,56,128 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 6 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 26,548 Tones/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023. The details are as follows;

" It appears that there is an error with the cluster as the site belonging to Sri. Nagaraju with file number SEIAA 540 MIN 2022, is not displayed within the cluster. This suggests that there may be a discrepancy or oversight in the clustering process, which could affect the accuracy and completeness of the data. It is important to identify and address such issues in order to ensure that the cluster results are reliable and useful for decision-making purposes."

The Authority after examination of the documents during the meeting held on 21.02.2023 and discussion decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

In the 293rd SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th March 2023 the Proponent/consultant submitted clarification as per below for the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023.

Complaint : It appears that there is an error with the cluster as the site belonging to Sri Nagaraju with file number SEIAA 540 MIN 2022, is not displayed within the cluster. This suggests that there may be a discrepancy or oversight in the clustering process, which could affect the accuracy and completeness of the data. It is important to identify and address such issues in order to ensure that the cluster results are reliable and useful for decision-making purposes

Reply : Proponent submitted undertaking and informed that total area of cluster by including the newly notified area of Sri. Nagaraju M S (SEIAA 540 MIN 2022) with an extent of 2-31 Acres, falling within radius of 500 mtr of proposed lease will be 10-17Acres (i.e 4.219Ha) which is less than the threshold of 5.00 Ha, hence the proposed proposal remains in B2 category. Further as per DMG letter dated 21.02.2023, confirms that earlier cluster issued on 25.11.2022 remains same.

The Committee accepted the clarification and after discussion reiterate its decision taken in 290th SEAC meeting and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. *If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).*
2. *Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.*
3. *A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.*

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

233.7. Miscellaneous Projects:

233.7.1. Quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No. 26, H Thimmapura Village, Tarikere Taluk, Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka by Sri. R Shanmugan - SEIAA 1062 MIN 2015 - Requesting for issue amendment to EC dated 24.11.2015.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 1062 MIN 2015 dated 24.11.2015 for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No. 26, H Thimmapura Village, Tarikere Taluk, Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka to Sri. R Shanmugan.

The Project proponent vide letter received on 05.04.2023 have requested the Authority to issue amendment to EC. The Project proponent in his letter stated that during the preparation of S & D (Survey and demarcation) sketch before lease execution the lease area was restricted to 1-00 Acre instead of 1-20 Acres. Accordingly, Dept. of Mines and Geology has executed the quarry lease for 1-00 Acres only. Therefore, the project proponent requested for amendment to EC.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.



- 233.7.2. Quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No. 26, H Thimmapura Village, Tarikere Taluk, Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka by Sri. H Halesh Kumar - SEIAA 1231 MIN 2015 - Requesting for issue amendment to EC dated 16.12.2015**

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 1231 MIN 2015 dated 16.12.2015 for quarrying of Quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No. 26, H Thimmapura Village, Tarikere Taluk, Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka to Sri. H Halesh Kumar.

The Project proponent vide letter received on 05.04.2023 have requested this Authority to issue amendment to EC. The Project proponent in his letter stated that during the preparation of S & D (Survey and demarcation) sketch before lease execution the lease area was restricted to 1-00 Acre instead of 1-20 Acres. Accordingly, Dept. of Mines and Geology has executed the quarry lease for 1-00 Acres only. Therefore, the project proponent requested for amendment to EC.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.

- 233.7.3. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 36/P04, P02 & 36/P20 in Bhudanahalli Village, Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District, Karnataka by Smt. B. K. Rekha - SEIAA 852 MIN 2019 - Request for issue amendment to EC dated 16.03.2020.**

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 852 MIN 2019 dated 16.03.2020 for quarrying of Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 36/P04, P02 & 36/P20 in Bhudanahalli Village, Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District, Karnataka to Smt. B. K. Rekha.

The project proponent vide letter dated 03.04.2023 requested this Authority for extension of validity of EC dated 16.03.2020 for further three more years as there was lot of delay in executing the lease deed, due to Lock Downs declared by the Central Govt. and State Govt. due to Covid -19 breakdown.

The EC was granted for total proved mineral reserve of 6,50,281 Tonnes with a production capacity of 1,25,000 TPA. But the production achieved in the last two years is 41,500 Tonnes (2021-22 to 2022-23) and subsequent lockdowns could not achieve the desired production capacity. Therefore, project proponent has requested to extend the validity of EC for 3 years to achieve the production quantity.

The Authority perused the request made by proponent and decided to extend the validity for a period of three years.



219

233.7.4. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 619 (P) of Sattigeri Village, Savadatti Taluk & Belagavi District by Sri. Basappa P Sangappanavar - SEIAA 375 MIN 2015 - Request for Transfer of EC in favour of Smt. Mahadevi W/o Late Basappa P Sangappanavar.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 375 MIN 2015 dated 13.10.2015 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 619 (P) of Sattigeri Village, Savadatti Taluk & Belagavi District to Sri. Basappa P Sangappanavar.

Smt. Mahadevi W/o Late Basappa P Sangappanavar vide letter dated 02.12.2022 have informed that her husband Sri. Basappa P Sangappanavar died on 03.11.2021 and therefore The Department of Mines and Geology have held Smt. Mahadevi W/o Late Basappa P Sangappanavar as the legal heir for continuing the quarrying business of Sri. Basappa P Sangappanavar due to his demise. Smt. Mahadevi W/o Late Basappa P Sangappanavar have requested this Authority for transfer of Environment Clearance dated 13.10.2015 granted by SEIAA in favour of her Husband Sri. Basappa P Sangappanavar to her name to facilitate continuing the quarry business.

The Authority after discussion decided to transfer the EC dated 13.10.2015 in favour of Smt. Mahadevi W/o Late Basappa P Sangappanavar subject to the following conditions

1. *The project proponent should submit registered / notarized consent from the legal heirs if any.*
2. *Notorised Copy of EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*
4. *Notorized copy of the Death certificate of Late Sri. Basappa P Sangappanavar.*

233.7.5. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 33 of Mallasandra Village, Doddaballapura Taluk & Bangalore Rural District by Sri. N Krishnamurthy - SEIAA 936 MIN 2015 - Request for Transfer of EC in favour of M/s Jyothi Minerals.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 936 MIN 2015 dated 22.02.2023 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 33 of Mallasandra Village, Doddaballapura Taluk & Bangalore Rural District to Sri. N Krishnamurthy.

M/s Jyothi Minerals vide letter dated 29.03.2023 have requested for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s Jyothi Minerals as the said lease has been transferred to M/s Jyothi Minerals by the Dept. of Mines and Geology.



The Authority perused the request made by M/s Jyothi Minerals and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s Jyothi Minerals subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s Jyothi Minerals relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. N Krishnamurthy)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

233.7.6. Proposed Building Stone Quarry at Survey Number 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka by Sri. Kittappa C - SEIAA 52 MIN 2018 -Request for Transfer of EC in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 52 MIN 2018 dated 20.10.2018 for Proposed Building Stone Quarry at Survey Number 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka to Sri. Kittappa C

The project proponent vide letter dated 11.04.2023 have requested for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath.as the said lease has been transferred to M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath by the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. Kittappa C and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath subject to the following conditions.

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Kittappa C)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

233.7.7. Proposed Building Stone Quarry at Survey Number 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka by Sri. Manjunath - SEIAA 53 MIN 2018 -Request for Transfer of EC in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 53 MIN 2018 dated 20.10.2018 for Proposed Building Stone Quarry at Survey Number 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka to Sri. Manjunath.



22/1

The project proponent vide letter dated 11.04.2023 have requested for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath.as the said lease has been transferred to M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath by the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. Manjunath. and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Manjunath.)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*


233.7.8. Proposed Building Stone Quarry at Survey Number 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka by Sri. Manjunath B - SEIAA 54 MIN 2018 -Request for Transfer of EC in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 54 MIN 2018 dated 20.10.2018 for Proposed Building Stone Quarry at Survey Number 33 of Animittanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka to Sri. Manjunath.

The project proponent vide letter dated 11.04.2023 have requested for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath.as the said lease has been transferred to M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath by the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. Manjunath. and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s MM Stone Supply, Managing partner: Sri. B Manjunath relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Manjunath.)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*



233.7.9. Quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No.32, Honnakatta Village, Arasikere Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka by M/s Jenukul Industries, - SEIAA 332 MIN 2019 - Request for extension of validity of EC.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 332 MIN 2019 dated 17.07.2019 for Quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No.32, Honnakatta Village, Arasikere Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka to M/s Jenukul Industries.

The project proponent vide letter dated 17.04.2023 have requested this Authority for extend validity of EC for 5 years due to outbreak of Corona Virus pandemic and subsequent lockdowns could not achieve the desired production capacity. Therefore, the project proponent requested extend the validity of EC for 5 more years.

The Authority perused the request made by proponent and decided & agreed for Extension of Validity the date of validity for three years.

233.7.10. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.59 of Kallugundi Village, Arasikere Taluk, Hassan District by M/s Jenukul Industries - SEIAA 388 MIN 2019 - Request for extension of validity of EC.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 338 MIN 2019 dated 06.02.2020 for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.59 of Kallugundi Village, Arasikere Taluk, Hassan District by M/s Jenukul Industries.



The project proponent vide letter dated 17.04.2023 have requested this Authority for extend validity of EC for 5years due to outbreak of Corona Virus pandemic and subsequent lockdowns could not achieve the desired production capacity. Therefore, the project proponent requested extend the validity of EC for 5 more years.

The Authority perused the request made by proponent and decided & agreed for Extension of Validity the date of validity for three years.

233.7.11. Quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No. 96, Doddaiggalur Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka by Sri. M. M. Sunny - SEIAA 217 MIN 2019 - Request for Transfer of EC in favour of M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 217 MIN 2019 dated 23.07.2019 for Quarrying of Building Stone Quarry at Sy No. 96, Doddaiggalur Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District, Karnataka to Sri. M. M. Sunny.

Drafted by

 223 

M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd. vide letter dated 15.04.2023 have requested this Authority for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd. as the said lease has been transferred to M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd. by the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. M. M. Sunny)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

233.7.12. Construction of office building project at Khatha No. 54/732/450/1, Sy. No.48/4, Hebbal North, Ward No.7, Bangalore North, Bangalore by M/s. GMR Hebbal Towers Pvt. Ltd. - SEIAA 216 CON 2011 - Request for Transfer of EC in favour of M/s Arliga Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd.,

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SELAA 216 CON 2011 dated 30.04.2012 for quarrying of Construction of office building project at Khatha No. 54/732/450/1, Sy. No.48/4, Hebbal North, Ward No.7, Bangalore North, Bangalore to M/s. GMR Hebbal Towers Pvt. Ltd.

M/s Arliga Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd., vide letter dated 16.03.2023 have requested for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s Arliga Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd., as the name of the company has been changed to M/s RMZ Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd., Subsequently, due to commercial reason name of the company has been changed from M/s RMZ Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd., to M/s Arliga Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd.,

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Arliga Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd, and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s Arliga Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd, subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s Arliga Azure Projects Pvt. Ltd, relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s. GMR Hebbal Towers Pvt. Ltd.)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

233.7.13. Proposed horizontal Expansion of Commercial Office Building Project at Sy.Nos.4, 19/1, 19/2, 19/3, 19/4, 20/1, 20/2, 20/3, 21, 22(P), 25(P), 39, 41/3A2, 41/3B2, 41/4 & 56 of Devarabeesanahalli Village and Sy.Nos.96(P), 97(P), 98/1, 98/2, 99, 100, 101 102/1&2, 102/3, 103, 104/1, 104/2, 105(P), 106(P) of Bhoganahalli Village and Sy.Nos.72/1, 72/2(P), 72/5 of Doddakannahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District By M/s RMZ Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd - SELAA 149 CON 2018 - Request for name change in the EC .

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SELAA 149 CON 2018 dated 27.04.2022 for Proposed horizontal Expansion of Commercial Office Building Project at Sy.Nos.4, 19/1, 19/2, 19/3, 19/4, 20/1, 20/2, 20/3, 21, 22(P), 25(P), 39, 41/3A2, 41/3B2, 41/4 & 56 of Devarabeesanahalli Village and Sy.Nos.96(P), 97(P), 98/1, 98/2, 99, 100, 101 102/1&2, 102/3, 103, 104/1, 104/2, 105(P), 106(P) of Bhoganahalli Village and Sy.Nos.72/1, 72/2(P), 72/5 of Doddakannahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District to M/s RMZ Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.

M/s Arliga Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd vide letter dated 14.03.2023 have requested for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s Arliga Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd as the name of the company has been changed from to M/s RMZ Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd to M/s Arliga Eco world Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Arliga Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s Arliga Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s Arliga Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s RMZ Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

233.7.14. Proposed Aerospace Engineering & Technology with Electronics/Avionics Assembly Integration Testing (AIT) Facility project at Plot No. 55-B, 56, 57 and 59 at Hi-Tech Defence and Aerospace Park (Aerospace Sector), Devanahalli Village, Bangalore Rural District by M/s Boeing India Pvt. Ltd. - SELAA 113 CON 2018 - Requesting for issue amendment to EC dated 12.10.2018.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SELAA 113 CON 2018 dated 12.10.2015 for Proposed Aerospace Engineering & Technology with

Electronics/ Avionics Assembly Integration Testing (AIT) Facility project at Plot No. 55-B, 56, 57 and 59 at Hi-Tech Defence and Aerospace Park (Aerospace Sector), Devanahalli Village, Bangalore Rural District to M/s Boeing India Pvt. Ltd.

The Project proponent vide letter have requested for issue amendment Environmental Clearance issued to in favour of M/s Boeing India Pvt. Ltd. Due to change in plan purpose of the building has been changed from Aerospace Engineering & Technology with Electronics/ Avionics Assembly Integration Testing (AIT) Facility to Engineering and Technology Center. Total Plot area has been decreased from 1,73,238.14 Sqm to 1,73,237.93 Sqm. Total BUA decreased from 79,294 Sqm to 77,954.68 Sqm.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.

233.7.15. Proposed Expansion of Herbal extraction, Bulk Drugs and Intermediates Manufacturing Unit at Plot No. 445, Metagalli, KIADB Industrial area, KRS Road Mysore Taluk, Mysore District, Karnataka By MM Herbs - SELAA 33 IND 2020 - Requesting for issue amendment to EC dated 17.08.2020.


Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SELAA 33 IND 2020 dated 17.08.2020 for Expansion of Herbal extraction, Bulk Drugs and Intermediates Manufacturing Unit at Plot No. 445, Metagalli, KIADB Industrial area, KRS Road Mysore Taluk, Mysore District, Karnataka to MM Herbs.

The project Authorities vide letter dated 27.02.2023 have requested this Authority to issue an addendum to the EC issued to facilitate them to handover the effluent generated in their unit to the CETP established by Bangalore Eco Park Pvt Ltd,

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.

233.7.16. Proposed Manufacturing of Galvanized Steel Pipes Project at Plot No. 53-Part-1, 4th Phase, KIADB Industrial Area, Malur, Kolar District by M/s.Apollo Tricoat Tubes Limited - SIA/KA/IND1/416596/2023 - SELAA 12 IND 2023. - Request for issue corrigendum to Auto ToR.

Auto ToR has been generated to this project by SEIAA, Karnataka vide letter No. SELAA 12 IND 2023 dated 02.03.2023 for Proposed Manufacturing of Galvanized Steel Pipes Project at Plot No. 53-Part-1, 4th Phase, KIADB Industrial Area, Malur, Kolar District to M/s.Apollo Tricoat Tubes Limited.


226

The project proponent vide letter dated 31.03.2023 have requested this Authority for issue Amendment to ToR as the name of the company has been change from M/s. Apollo Tricoat Tubes Limited to M/s. APL Apollo Tubes Limited.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.

233.7.17. Construction of Proposed Commercial Development of office space Project at property ID No.-85-79-1-15, ward No.85, Muncipal No.1-15, at Sy. Nos. 4/1B (P), 4/1C(P), 4/1D(P) & 4/1E(P) of Old Madras Road, Binnamangala, Manavarthakaval, K. R. Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s Vagishwari Land Developers Private Limited - SEIAA 36 CON 2020.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 36 CON 2020 dated 28.08.2020 for Construction of Proposed Commercial Development of office space Project at property ID No.-85-79-1-15, ward No.85, Muncipal No.1-15, at Sy. Nos. 4/1B (P), 4/1C(P), 4/1D(P), & 4/1E(P). of Old Madras Road, Binnamangala, Manavarthakaval, K.R.Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District to M/s Puravankara Limited, Subsequently EC has been transferred to M/s Vagishwari Land Developers Private Limited vide letter dated 07.01.2023.

The project proponent vide letter dated 21.02.2023 requested this Authority for issue corrigendum to EC. Due to certain technical and commercial reasons BUA of the project decreased from 1,20,339.81 Sqm to 1,14,882.85 Sqm. Capacity of the STP has been increased from 365 KLD to 470 KLD.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.

233.7.18. Construction of Shridevi Institute of Medical Science & Research Center project at Katha No. 4379 and 2429, Sy. No. 32, 33/1 of Maralenahalli and Sy. No. 48/1 of Honnenahalli Villages, Tumkur Taluk & District by M/s. Sri Shridevi Charitable Trust (R) - SEIAA 10 CON 2013 - Request for issue corrigendum to EC dated 29.07.2013.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 10 CON 2013 dated 29.07.2013 for Construction of Shridevi Institute of Medical Science & Research Center project at Katha No. 4379 and 2429, Sy. No. 32, 33/1 of Maralenahalli and Sy. No. 48/1 of Honnenahalli Villages, Tumkur Taluk & District to M/s. Sri Shridevi Charitable Trust (R).

The project proponent vide letter dated 19.11.2022 have requested this Authority for issue corrigendum to EC. "due to typographical mistake we have entered project name wrongly as "Shridevi institute of Medical sciences & Research center" in the EC instead of

"Shridevi institute of Medical sciences & Research Hospital". Now we would like to request your good office to issue corrigendum to the project name as "Shridevi institute of Medical sciences & Research Hospital" and due to technical and commercial reasons enhancing the bed capacity from 750 to 850.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.

233.7.19. Construction of Commercial Mall & Multiplex Complex Project at Sy. No. 36/5, Doddanakundi Village, Mahadevapura Post, Outer ring road, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bangalore by Soul Space Projects Ltd - SELAA 87 CON 2007 - Request for transfer of EC in favour of KIMS Hospital Bengaluru Pvt. Ltd.,

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SELAA 87 CON 2007 dated 1.10.2008 for Construction of Commercial Mall & Multiplex Complex Project at Sy. No. 36/5, Doddanakundi Village, Mahadevapura Post, Outer ring road, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bangalore by Soul Space Projects Ltd and corrigendum issued on 7.11.2008.



KIMS Hospital Bengaluru Pvt. Ltd vide letter dated 11.04.2023 have requested this Authority for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of KIMS Hospital Bengaluru Pvt. Ltd as the said property was sold by Soul Space Projects Ltd to KIMS Hospital Bengaluru Pvt. Ltd.

The Authority perused the request made by KIMS Hospital Bengaluru Pvt. Ltd and decided to transfer the EC in favour of KIMS Hospital Bengaluru Pvt. Ltd subject to the following conditions

- 1. The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of KIMS Hospital Bengaluru Pvt. Ltd relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Soul Space Projects Ltd)*
- 2. Original Copy EC*
- 3. Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

233.7.20. Expansion of Residential Development project at Survey Numbers 146/1, 147/1, 147/2, 145/1 and 144 of Kudlu Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Harlur Road, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. SNN Properties LLP - SELAA 43 CON 2018 - Request for issue corrigendum to EC.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SELAA 43 CON 2018 dated 24.12.2018 for Expansion of Residential Development project at Survey Numbers 146/1, 147/1, 147/2, 145/1 and 144 of Kudlu Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Harlur Road, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District to M/s. SNN Properties LLP.



228

The project proponent vide letter dated 21.03.2023 requested this Authority for issue corrigendum to EC as there is increase in the BUA. The BUA has been increased from 1,95,636.59 Sqm to 2,04,600 Sqm.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and after discussion decided to issue corrigendum as requested.

233.7.21. Establishment of Manufacturing industry for Pharmaceutical Steroidal, Active Pharmaceuticals Ingredients at Plot No. 67, KIADB Industrial area, Vasanthanarsapura Industrial Area of Tumkuru Taluk, Tumkuru District by M/s. Natural Capsules Limited - SEIAA 02 IND 2019 - Request for transfer of EC in favour of Natural Biogenex Private Limited.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 02 IND 2019 dated 13.01.2020 for Establishment of Manufacturing industry for Pharmaceutical Steroidal, Active Pharmaceuticals Ingredients at Plot No. 67, KIADB Industrial area, Vasanthanarsapura Industrial Area of Tumkuru Taluk, Tumkuru District to M/s. Natural Capsules Limited and subsequently corrigendum has been issued on 04.09.2020.

Natural Biogenex Private Limited vide letter dated 02.03.2023 have requested this Authority for transfer of EC of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of Natural Biogenex Private Limited as M/s. Natural Capsules Limited intends transferring its operation/business manufacturing industry to Natural Biogenex Private Limited.

The Authority perused the request made by Natural Biogenex Private Limited and decided to transfer the EC in favour of Natural Biogenex Private Limited subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of Natural Biogenex Private Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s. Natural Capsules Limited)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

233.7.22. Construction of "GARUDA CENTRE" mixed use project of Commercial, Residential Development, office & multiplex etc. at Sy. Nos. 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 59, 60 & 61, Ejipura, Link Road, Koramangala, Bangalore

by M/s. Maverick Holding & Investment Pvt. Ltd. – SEIAA 103 CON 2012 – Request for extension of validity of EC.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 103 CON 2012 dated 16.04.2013b for Construction of "GARUDA CENTRE" mixed use project of Commercial, Residential Development, office & multiplex etc. at Sy. Nos. 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 59, 60 & 61, Ejipura, Link Road, Koramangala, Bangalore to M/s. Maverick Holding & Investment Pvt. Ltd.

The project proponent vide letter dated 04.04.2023 have requested this Authority for extend the validity of EC. Due to delay in obtaining plan approvals from statutory bodies, litigations and COVID-19 Pandemic situation, the project is partly built and the construction is yet to be completed. Therefore, the project proponent requested to extend the validity of EC.

The Authority perused the request made by proponent and decided & agreed for Extension of Validity the date of validity for three years.

233.7.23. Establishment of Iron ore & Manganese Ore beneficiation plant at Sy No. 89(Part) 97/3, 98 & 99, Jaisinghpur (Venkatagiri) village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari Dist, Karnataka by M/s. Excel Mining And Infra Services - SEIAA 46 IND 2020- Request for transfer of EC dated 11.02.2020 in favour of M/s Sandur Pelletes Private Limited.


Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 46 IND 2020 dated 11.02.2022 for Establishment of Iron ore & Manganese Ore beneficiation plant at Sy No. 89(Part) 97/3, 98 & 99, Jaisinghpur (Venkatagiri) village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari Dist, Karnataka to M/s. Excel Mining And Infra Services.

The project proponent vide letter dated 13.04.2023 have requested for transfer of the above mentioned Environmental Clearance in favour of M/s Sandur Pelletes Private Limited as the project proponent execute the MOU with M/s Sandur Pelletes Private Limited.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s. Excel Mining and Infra Services and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s Sandur Pelletes Private Limited subject to the following conditions

1. *The applicant shall furnish Notorised affidavit of M/s Sandur Pelletes Private Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s. Excel Mining And Infra Services -)*
2. *Original Copy EC*
3. *Notorised Copy of Form-T.*

Drafted by 



230

185.7.1. Complaint against Project proponents of residential apartment M/s Arya Griha Pvt. Ltd. SEIAA 13 CON 2011)

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA 13 CON 2011, dated 23rd September 2011 Construction of residential apartment project with 245 units called "Arya Hamsa" at Sy. No. 28/1 (P), Kothanur Village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore.

A complaint has been received from Shri Shailesh Charati, Bengaluru against the project authorities of "Ary Hamsa", Residential Apartment of M/s Arya Gruha Pvt. Ltd alleging that the EC has been taken by integrating two separate and independent projects.

The Authority during the meeting held on 17.12.2019 perused the representation made by Shri Shailesh Charati, Bengaluru and decided to communicate a copy to proponent seeking clarification in this regard.



Accordingly, the complaint copy was communicated to the project authority for clarification vide the Authority dated 21.1.2020. The project authorities have submitted the reply vide letter dated 20.02.2020 and at the outset have denied the allegations in the complaint frivolous. The Authority perused the reply submitted by the project authorities and opined that the project authorities have not satisfactorily addressed all the issues raised in the complaint.

The Authority therefore decided to call for the explanation of the project authorities for the alleged non-compliances/ violations and issue show cause notice as to why action should not be initiated for the alleged violations/ non-compliances.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to project proponent vide letter dated 21.01.2020. The project proponent has submitted reply on 20.02.2020. Further, letter has been addressed to Project proponent on 25.08.2020 since reply given by pp on 20.02.2020 is not satisfactory.

Further, vide D O Letter SEIAA 13 CON 2011 dated 18.03.2021 requested the APCCF, MoEF&CC, Regional Office South Zone, Koramangala to send the factual report in this regard for further needful.

The Residents of the Aryahamsa vide their various emails requested this Authority to resolve the issues. The Authority perused the compliance and decided to get the report from regional office MoEF&CC and KSPCB. Further Authority Authorized MS, SEIAA to address a letter to regional office MoEF&CC.

  231

233.7.24. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No.47 of Makenahalli Village, Nelamagala Taluk, Bengaluru Rural District by M/s Fastwell Dealcom Pvt Ltd. - SEIAA 287 MIN 2014 - Request for transfer of EC dated 12.12.2014 in favour of M/s Sri Vittala Sulthanapura S/o Siddappa.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 287 MIN 2014 dated 01.10.2018 for "Building Stone Quarry" over an extent of 2.02 Ha at Sy. No. 47 of Makenahalli Village, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District to M/s. Krishna Enterprises. Later the environmental clearance was transferred to M/s. Fastwell Dealcom Pvt. Ltd on 01.10.2018.


M/s. Fastwell Dealcom Pvt. Ltd vide letter received on 13.02.2023 requested for transfer of EC granted to M/s. Fastwell Dealcom Pvt. Ltd in favour of Sri. Vittala Sulthanapura S/o Siddappa as the lease was transferred to Sri. Vittala Sulthanapura S/o Siddappa.

A complaint was also received alleging non-compliance with the conditions of the EC, including failure to leave a 7.5 meter buffer zone around the mining area, production beyond permitted limits, and transportation of materials without necessary permits.

The proponent had submitted a detailed response outlining their compliance with all relevant regulations and conditions, including obtaining necessary approvals, complying with labor laws, remitting royalties and other levies to the government, and operating within the scope of their approved quarrying plan. They had also provided information about a legal dispute over the lease and a court order that allows them to carry out quarrying activities for the purpose and condition shown in their agreement.

The SEIAA considered the proponent's response and noted their compliance with all relevant regulations and conditions and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. Vittala Sulthanapura S/o Siddappa subject to the following conditions. The Authority also directed the PP to adhere to the EC conditions.

- i. *The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s. Fastwell Dealcom Pvt. Ltd relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Vittala Sulthanapura S/o Siddappa)*
- ii. *Notarised Copy of EC.*


232

233.7.25 Discussion regarding River Sand mining proposals of M/s KSMCL & M/s HGML:

The Committee discussed about all the river sand mining projects submitted by the Govt. agencies namely M/s KSMCL & M/s HGML subsequent to the Orders passed by Hon'ble NGT (SZ) in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022, wherein SEAC had initially recommended a few projects with a condition to comply with Hon'ble NGT (SZ) in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and subsequently deferred a few projects asking the Proponent to submit a proposal involving the method of excavation of sand without any machinery as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022.

The Hon'ble NGT (SZ) in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 has directed in Para 38 as follows:

Para 38 "ii. The State of Karnataka is directed to strictly implement the prohibition of mechanized mining and in-stream mining wherever mining leases are granted for extraction of sand from riverbed in the State of Karnataka"


In the light of the above directions, the Committee observed that 'Semi-mechanized' method of mining has been approved in the mining plan (an integral part of EIA/EMP), which is contrary to the Orders passed by Hon'ble NGT in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022. Approved mining plan is an essential document for appraisal of mining proposals as stipulated in MoEF&CC Notification dated 15.01.2016.

Further, it was observed by the Committee that out of 29 proposals received for appraisal by SEAC subsequent to the Orders passed by Hon'ble NGT, initially 13 proposals were recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to comply with Hon'ble NGT Order. Subsequently, during appraisal it was observed that M/s KSMCL & M/s HGML have tendered for extraction of sand using heavy machinery which is contrary to the Orders of Hon'ble NGT. Since then, 16 proposals have been deferred by SEAC asking the Proponent to submit a proposal involving the method of excavation of sand without any machinery as per Hon'ble NGT Orders in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022.

The following proposals have been recommended to SEIAA with a condition to comply with the Hon'ble NGT Orders in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022.

1. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/289182/2022 (SEIAA 101 MIN 2022)

Drafted by 



233 

2. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/403814/2022 (SEIAA 446 MIN 2021)
3. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Limited Kormangala Bangalore - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/226869/2021 (SEIAA 421 MIN 2021)
4. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404155/2021 (SEIAA 416 MIN 2021)
5. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404031/2021 (SEIAA 419 MIN 2021)
6. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404172/2021 (SEIAA 417 MIN 2021)
7. M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/406939/2021 (SEIAA 422 MIN 2021)
8. M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/406504/2021 (SEIAA 423 MIN 2021)
9. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404170/2021 (SEIAA 420 MIN 2021)
10. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404179/2021 (SEIAA 428 MIN 2021)
11. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404141/2021 (SEIAA 429 MIN 2021)
12. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404215/2021 (SEIAA 432 MIN 2021)
13. M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404396/2021 (SEIAA 433 MIN 2021)

Further, the Member Secretary informed the Committee that in the meeting held on 13.03.2023 under the Chairmanship of ACS & Development Commissioner in which Secretary C&I, DMG, MD KSMCL & HGML were present, the Director, Mines & Geology agreed to revise the method of mining and approve the quarry plan as per the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and it was decided in the meeting to seek legal opinion from the Law Department, GoK by Secretary, C&I whether usage of JCB & excavators for extraction of sand would be considered as Mechanized mining or not.

In the light of above discussion, the Committee decided to request SEIAA not to issue EC for the already recommended 13 proposals until the Project Proponent submits a revised approved mining plan in compliance with the Hon'ble NGT Orders and an

opinion is received from the Law Department, GoK regarding whether sand can be extracted using JCB and excavators.

This matter was deliberated and it was decided to form a senate committee to look into the matter. Therefore, the Authority decided to form a Senate committee comprising of expert members from SEIAA and SEAC. Further, the Authority authorized MS, SEIAA to issue appropriate order.

233.7.26 Opinion regarding applicability of cut off dates for appraising proposals for mining activities.

With regard to applicability of cut off dates for fresh and existing mining leases below 5 Hectares to categorize as violation cases during appraisal, after going through various judgements, notifications and OMs by MoEF, the Authority decided to seek the opinion from Advocate, SEIAA, Accordingly, Shri Vasanth H K Advocate SEIAA has given his opinion. Opinion of the Advocate, SEIAA is hereunder,

1. Applications seeking EC for existing lease holders below 5 Hectares as per Notification dated 15/01/2016, which had obtained all other statutory permissions :-

- a) Filed and pending as on 31/03/2016 - To be treated as NORMAL
- b) Filed after 31/03/2016 - To be treated as VIOLATION CASES

This cut off date is as per the judgement dated 30/06/2020 passed by NGT (SZ) in OA 136/2017.

2. Where applications seeking EC for existing mining operations below 5 Hectares have been filed and mining operations were carried out without any kind of permission from other statutory authorities, the same shall be treated as VIOLATION CASE from the beginning of their mining operations as per EIA Notification dated 14/09/2006

3. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 but have not carried out any mining activity due to various reasons, the same may be treated as VIOLATION CASE but while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

4. Where applications seeking EC have been filed by the existing lease holders after the cut off date of 31/03/2016 and have carried on mining activity, the same may be treated as

VIOLATION CASE and while appraising as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021, reports may be sought from the concerned departments like DMG, PCB while assessing damage to environment, remedial measures, imposing penalty etc.

5. All the violation cases to be appraised as per Notification dated 14/03/2017 and OM dated 07/07/2021

6. It is after Deepak Kumar's case that the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it mandatory for obtaining EC for all the mining activities of minor minerals irrespective of the area of operation.

7. MoEF&CC on 18/5/2012 issued an OM clarifying that existing mine operators doing mining activity in less than 5 Hectares need to apply for EC only at the time of renewal or at the time of expansion of their unit more than the capacity permitted under the lease.

8. It may be noted that the Principle Bench of NGT in its final order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 and other connected cases held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and the existing mining lease holders would also have to comply with the requirement of obtaining EC. It was also stated in the said judgement that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.

9. In OA 495/2015 (Jatindar Singh & Others Vs Union of India & Others), the Hon'ble NGT (PB) while disposing of the case vide order dated 19/02/2016 has extended the scope of judgement in Deepak Kumar's case and has held that the judgement is applicable to both minor and major minerals.

10. This aspect was considered by NGT(SZ) in OA no. 136/2017 and by judgement dated 30/6/2020, after considering all the notifications issued in this regard and also the judgement of the Supreme Court and Principal Bench of NGT observed that after 15/1/2016, all existing mining lease holders, whether minor or major mineral irrespective of the area of lease has to obtain EC for continuance of their operation and further held that those who have not filed application prior to 31/03/2016 will be considered as a violation case. The points considered by NGT in the above case are as follows: -

- (i) Whether the mining lease of major minerals having extent of less than 5 Hectares require Environment Clearance after EIA Notification, 2016 dated 15.1.2016 ?

- (ii) Whether the Circular dated 3.4.2017 issued by MoEF & CC is liable to be set aside for any of the reasons stated by the applicants in their application?
- (iii) Whether the applications filed by the members of the applicant federation after 15.1.2016 have to be treated as violation cases or any cut off date has to be fixed by the Tribunal for enabling the parties to file their application in view of the circumstances mentioned by them in this application ?


After considering all aspects, Hon'ble NGT by judgement dated 30/6/2020 has disposed of the case as follows: -

- (i) The applicant is not entitled to get a declaration to quash Circular dated 3.4.2017 as prayed for but can be clarified as detailed as per direction No.(ii) onwards.
- (ii) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law.
- (iii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law
- (iv) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. Without obtaining necessary Environment Clearance irrespective of area, no mining, both minor/major, shall be permitted to operate.

(Please refer Para 26, 27, 53 and 62 of the judgement, which is self explanatory)

11. Hence, all the applications for EC filed before 31/03/2016 are to be considered as normal applications and applications filed after 31/03/2016 have to be considered under violation category.

12. The MoEF & CC vide notification dated 07/10/2014 has brought mining of major minerals having mining area of less than 5 Hectares under the ambit of EC. A provision was also given for existing lease holders to apply for EC at the time of renewal. But the Hon'ble NGT (PB) vide its order in OA No. 123/2014 dated 13/01/2015 has held that even the mining activity having an area of less than 5 hectares need EC and that till the existing lease holders get EC, mining operations need to be stopped immediately.



Therefore, the Authority perused the opinion of Advocate, SEIAA and decided to communicate the the same to SEAC to appraise mining proposals following due procedure of law based on the merit of the case.


Meeting concluded with thanks to the Chair.



(Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha)
Chairman,
SEIAA, Karnataka



(K. N. Shivalinge Gowda)
Member,
SEIAA, Karnataka



(Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS)
Member Secretary,
SEIAA, Karnataka