



**MINUTES of 269th Day-3 MEETING OF STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT
IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA) A.P., MEETING HELD
ON 25.08.2025 AT VIJAYAWADA A.P.**

269th SEIAA

Day - 3

25.08.2025



**MINUTES of 269th Day-3 MEETING OF STATE LEVEL
ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA) A.P.,
MEETING HELD ON 25.08.2025 AT VIJAYAWADA A.P.**

Present:

The following members were present. (Through Hybrid Mode Conference)

1.	Shri Bandla Siva Sankar Prasad, I.F.S. (Retired).	Chairman
2.	Dr. Muduru Srimurali Professor of Civil Engineering, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati	Member
3.	S. Sri Saravanan, I.F.S. Special Secretary to Govt., Environment, Forests, Sciences and Technology Department, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh & Member Secretary, SEIAA, A.P.	Member Secretary

269.01 269.01	21.247 Ha Mining of Limestone, Dolomite, Steatite, White Shale, Natural Clay & Serpentine of Sri C. Lakshminarayana Reddy at Sy.No.491/B (P) of Goddummarri Village, Yellanur Mandal, Ananthapuramu District – Environmental Clearance - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/404618/2022
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1. The proposed project is for Mining of Limestone, Dolomite, Steatite, White Shale, Natural Clay & Serpentine in an area of 21.247 Ha. with a proposed production capacity of Limestone – 16,010 TPA, Dolomite – 2,776 TPA, Steatite – 3,173 TPA (HG – 397 TPA & LG – 2,776 TPA), White Shale – 1,190 TPA, Natural Clay – 397 TPA and Serpentine – 397 TPA with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented the case and the committee noted that: - The project proponent has obtained Violation TOR with public hearing on 01.07.2020. The Public hearing was held on 21.08.2021. - Public Hearing issues: DK patta lands, crop damages, drinking water facilities, developmental activities and employment to villagers, greenbelt development, and social welfare activities are the main issues raised. - Response of the proponent during the PH: Sri. C. Lakshmi Narayan Reddy (Lessee) informed that they have stopped the mining activity in the year 2004 due to changes in the Government Rules & Regulations and in course of stoppage of mine, some of the workers working in the mine has started doing cultivation in the lease area. They have also allowed the cultivation as it is vacant and informed that they are not aware of DK pattas issued to villagers. He informed that the quarry lease is in force as per GO upto 2037 and informed that they are paying dead rent/tax of about 4-5 lakh every year to the Government though the mine was not in operation. He also assured the gathering that will carry out the mining duly complying with guidelines given by the EC committee for carrying the mining operations.

- d. The base line data was collected from Dec, 19 to Feb.2020. The predominant wind direction is observed to be East to West. The maximum concentration of SPM is observed to be 66 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The incremental concentration is 3.50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The GLC of SPM will fall at a distance of 0.51KM towards Western side.
- e. The committee noted that a temple is existing nearby and the proponent has to submit the modified mining plan demarcating the area falling within 200 mts distance from the temple as non-mining zone to meet NGT norms.
- f. This proposal was placed in 203rd SEAC meeting and recommended **to raise ADS** for submission of modified mining plan by leaving 3.10 Ha demarcated area as non-mining zone from temple to comply with the NGT norm of 200m from habitation or public buildings.
- g. Accordingly, the project proponent has furnished Modified Mining plan dt. 19.01.2023 duly ear-marking 3.10 Ha by leaving 200mtrs i.e., from Temple as per the order of the Hon'ble NGT. Life of the mine is 42 years.
- h. This proposal was earlier, placed in 217th SEAC meeting and the SEAC recommended **to raise ADS** for uploading of
 - A. Proof of submission of bank guarantee for Rs. 9,36,100/- at SPCB as a part of Remediation and resource augmentation plan.
 - B. Receipt of payment of penalty amount of Rs. 53753/- levied as per OM 07.07.2021.
 - C. Forest NOC.
 - D. Clarification letter from Tahsildar regarding existing DK patta Lands in the mine as raised in the public hearing.
- i. Accordingly, the project proponent has furnished proof of submission Bank Guarantee and receipt of payment of Penalty and clarification letter dt. 07.09.2021 from Tahsildar regarding existing DK Patta lands and also submitted Forest NOC dt. 22.09.2023.
- j. Again, this proposal was placed in 230th SEAC meeting and the details are as follows:
S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:
 - A. Credible action was initiated vide CC No 997/2022 in the court of Junior Civil courts of Anantapur
 - B. The project proponent submitted undertaking on 16.08.2019 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
 - C. The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs. 9,36,100/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Anantapur on 27.02.2023

- D. The project proponent submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG, Tadiparthi vide letter dt. 03.12.2022
- E. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and details are as follows:

Sl.No	Name of the Project	Total cost incurred during violation period as reported by mining department letter dated 03.12.2022	Cost of the mineral as during reported by the mining department as reported by letter dated by the mining department vide letter dated 03.12.2022	1% of project cost	0.25 % of total turn over during violation period in Rs	Penalty amount to be levied as per OM dt. 07.07.2021 in Rs
1.	Sri Lakshminarayana Paddy 31.247 Ha	45.0 Lakhs	1) Limestone is 4,800 MT 2) Shale is 8,800 MT 3) White Shale is 700 MT	Rs. 45,000/-	Rs. 8753/-	Rs. 53753/-

- k. The Tahsildar, vide letter dt. 07.09.2021 submitted the enquiry report regarding the objections raised by the villagers in the public hearing stating that proposed land for mining has been assigned to local farmers by then tahsildar and requested that not to dispose them from the said land.
- l. The Tahsildar reported that "In view of the above circumstance and as per the sketch given by the Mandal Deputy surveyor, it is revealed that one new survey number had been splitted from 491-B as Sy. NO. 850 with the sub-divisions as 850-1, 850-2& 850-3 with extent 1.53, 0.45, 2.27 acres respectively on dated 08.10.2012. And also submit that, assignment was made in the year 2012. But the assignees were not in possession and enjoyment for the said land."
- m. The Dadithota Reserve Forest is located about 143 M from the proposed subject mine. The SEAC noted that DFO, Anthapuramu vide letter dt. 22.09.2023 issued No objection certificate stipulating conditions.
- n. The proponent volunteered to provide water treatment plant & health camps to the Goddumari village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
- o. This proposal was placed in 230th SEAC meeting and the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations,

mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs, EIA report, PH minutes and detailed deliberations, recommended to issue Environmental clearance for mining of Limestone – 16,010 TPA, Dolomite – 2,776 TPA, Steatite – 3,173 TPA (HG – 397 TPA & LG – 2,776 TPA), White Shale – 1,190 TPA, Natural Clay – 397 TPA and Serpentine – 397 TPA with following conditions:

1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA report.
2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.
3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
4. The project proponent shall carryout the mining with proper benches.
5. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
6. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
7. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
8. The project proponent shall not use village road for mineral transportation purpose.
9. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
10. The project proponent shall carryout any mining activity as per the modified mining plan.
11. The project proponent shall comply conditions mentioned in the Forest NOC dt.- 22.09 2023.
12. The project proponent shall comply assurance/ promises given in the public hearing.

- p. The proposal along with recommendation of the SEAC was placed in 229th SEIAA meeting and decision of SEIAA is as follows: "Refer to SEAC to constitute a sub committee to examine the issues raised in the public hearing regarding cultivation of lands".
- q. Again, this proposal was placed in the 234th SEAC meeting and the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs, EIA report, PH minutes and detailed deliberations, recommended to **constitute** a subcommittee with the following members 1) Prof. Sri Matli, Chandra Sekhar, 2) Prof. C. Sasidhar and 3) Dr. M. Sunandana Reddy to examine the issues raised in the public hearing regarding cultivation of lands as per the decision of the SEIAA.

7. Accordingly, the subcommittee have inspected the subject mine on 28.01.2024 and the recommendation of the sbb-committee is as follows:

- As per the NOC from Tahsildar, dt: 07.09.2021, the agriculture lands are encroached into the subject mine lease area.
- Proponent is suggested to provide compensation to the agriculture activities like crop damages, bore holes etc.
- It is recommended to maintain approach roads with dust suppression.
- It is proposed to plant trees all along the approach road.
- It is also suggested to implement the promises made in the public hearing for the benefit of village.

6. This proposal was placed in 242nd SEAC meeting and then the Committee after re-examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

1. Again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The consultant attended the meeting, but PP did not.
- During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to

	reject the proposal.
269.03 269.02	6.19 Ha Mining of Colour Granite of M/s. Krishna Merchants Pvt. Ltd. Sy. No. 27, Meelasathiwada (V), Tekkali (M), Srikakulam District – EC - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/436221/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 . The proposed project for mining of Colour Granite in an area of 6.19 Ha with a production capacity – 1,000 m³/annum with a condition that total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representation of the project and their consultant, M/s. S.V Enviro Limited attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Srikakulam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine. - The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 22.06.2023 issued 1st renewal of Letter of Intent (LOI) for a period of 10 years w.e.f. 01.04.2023. - As per the approved mining plan dt. 22.06.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 83,600 m³ of Colour Granite. The proponent proposed to excavate Colour Granite – 1,000 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 83 years. - The project proponent has obtained violation TOR with public hearing on 13.07.2022 and the Public hearing was held on 17.02.2023. - Public Hearing issues: Over loading of trucks, road damages, water sprinkling issues, dust pollution issues and high-speed issues are the main issues raised. - Response of the proponent during the PH: Sri. D.V.R.S. Mallikarjuna representative of Lease Holder. He thanked all the participants and the surrounding villagers for expressing their views and supporting for their quarry lease, and gave clarification on issues raised by the public as follows: - He clarified that management had already financially supported the physical handicapped rally, provided CC cameras at the request of the police department, and donated funds for the development of the Ravivalasa

temple.

- ii. He assured the villagers that they would address all of their concerns and would develop the surrounding villages using a CSR budget. He assured that locals will be given jobs based on their skills, and those development activities in response to the request for village roads and health clinics will be carried out. They would follow all government regulations while operating the quarry.
- g. The base line data was collected from Feb, 2022 to April, 2022. The predominant wind direction is observed to be Southwest to Northeast and the maximum concentration of SPM(PM₁₀) is observed to be 65.8 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 3.74 µg/m³. The GLC of SPM will falls within mine lease area.
- h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 9.5 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 5.94 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide water treatment plant/ Health camps to the Meghavaram village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
- i. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:
 - i. Credible action was initiated vide CC No.71/2023 in the court of Jr Civil Court Tekkali.
 - ii. The project proponent has submitted affidavit dt.12.04.2022 stating that they will not to repeat violation in future.
 - iii. The project proponent submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs.5,30,248/- and also submitted the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Srikakulam on 16.05.2023.
 - iv. The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by Divl., MG, Tekkali, Srikakulam vide letter dt.17.05.2023.
 - v. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CCGoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and details are as follows:

Project cost in Rs.	Total production during violation period as reported by mining department letter dt.17.05.2023	Turnover during violation period in Rs as reported by the mining department vide letter dt.17.05.2023	1% of project cost	0.25 % of turnover during violation period in Rs.	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM 07.07.2021 in Rs
Rs. 55,00,000/-	2679.902 Cum	Rs. 4,42,90,746/-	Rs. 55,000/-	Rs. 1,10,727/-	Rs. 1,65,727/-

- vi. The project proponent has submitted the acknowledged payment

of penalty amount of Rs 1.65,727/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 10.07.2023.

- j. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report, PH minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** Environmental Clearance for mining of Mining of Colour Granite - 1,000 m³/annum with following conditions:
 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental Management Plan and EIA.
 2. The project proponent shall develop native plants along approach roads & village Road sides.
 3. This EC recommended for issue of mining of Road Metal, Building Stone & Gravel only.
 4. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
 5. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
 6. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
 7. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
 8. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
 9. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
 10. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
 11. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
 12. The project proponent shall provide 500m trench on Southern side to prevent surface runoff.
- k. Decision of SEIAA:- Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases.
- l. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.
- m. This proposal was placed 240th SEAC meeting and then he

	Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant attended the meeting, but PP did not. • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.04 269.03	4.23 Ha, Mining of Colour Granite of M/s. Krishna Merchants Pvt. Ltd, at Sy. No. 27, Meelasathiwada (V) Tekkali (M), Srikakulam District – EC - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/436494/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B₂ at par with B₁ (Minor mineral). The proposed project is for mining of Quartz in an area of 4.23 Ha with a proposed production capacity – 800 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine

lease).

The representation of the project and their consultant, M/s. S.V Enviro Limited attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Srikakulam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine.
- b. The quarry leaseover an extent of 5.0 Ha located at Sy. No. 27 of Meelasathiwada Village, Tekkali Mandal, Srikakulam District was transferred from M/s Gallop Granites Limited to M/s. Krishna Merchants Pvt. Ltd by DM&G, Hyderabad for unexpired portion upto 05.04.2028 vide Proceeding. No. 6442/R1-1/2010; dt: 31.03.2010 and the same was executed by ADM&G, Tekkali for a period of 20 years an un-expired portion upto 05.04.2028 vide Proceeding. No. 2974/RQ/2007, Dated: 15.05.2010.
- c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 22.06.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 66,580 m³ of Colour Granite. The proponent proposed to excavate Colour Granite – 800 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 83 years.
- d. The project proponent has obtained Violation TOR with public hearing on 22.08.2022. The Public hearing was held on 17.02.2023.
- e. Public Hearing issues: Over loading of trucks, road damages, water sprinkling issues, dust pollution issues and High speed issues are the main issues raised.
- f. Response of the proponent during the PH: Sri. D.V.R.S.Mallikarjuna representative of Lease holder. He thanked all the participants and the surrounding villagers for expressing their views and supporting for their quarry lease, and gave clarification on issues raised by the public as follows:
 - i. He clarified that management had already financially supported the physical handicapped rally, provided CC cameras at the request of the police department, and donated funds for the development of the Ravivalasa temple.
 - ii. He assured the villagers that they would address all of their concerns and would develop the surrounding villages using a CSR budget. Assured that locals will be given jobs based on their skills, and those development activities in response to the request for village roads and health clinics will be carried out. They would follow all government regulations while operating the quarry.
- g. The base line data was collected from Feb. 2022 to April, 2022. The predominant wind direction is observed to be Southwest to

Northeast and the maximum concentration of SPM (PM_{10}) is observed to be 65.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The incremental concentration is 3.74 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The GLC of SPM will fall within mine lease area.

- h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 7.2 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 4.74 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide medical facilities to Tekkali PHC as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.

- i. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:

- i. Credible action was initiated vide CC No.255/2016 in the court of Judi Magistrate of 1st class, tekkali.
- ii. The project proponent has submitted affidavit dt.12.04.2022 stating that they will not to repeat violation in future.
- iii. The project proponent submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs. 4,19,412/- and also submitted the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Srikakulam on 16.05.2023.
- iv. The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by Divl., MG, Tekkali, Srikakulam vide letter dt.17.05.2023.
- v. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and details are as follows:

Project cost in Rs.	Total production during violation period as reported by mining department letter dt.17.05.2023	Turnover during violation period as reported by the mining department vide letter dt.17.05.2023	1% of project cost in Rs	0.25 % of turnover during violation period in Rs.	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM 07.07.2021 in Rs
Rs. 47,00,000/-	5164.326 Cum	Rs. 8,66,97,699/-	Rs 47,000/-	Rs. 2,01,745/-	Rs. 2,48,745/-

- vi. The project proponent has submitted the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs 2,48,745/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 10.07.2023.

- j. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & Orms, EIA Report, PH minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** Environmental Clearance for mining of Mining of Colour Granite - 800 m^3 /annum with following conditions:

1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental Management Plan and EIA.

2. The project proponent shall develop native plants along approach roads & village Road sides.
3. This EC recommended for issue of mining of Road Metal, Building Stone & Gravel only.
4. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
5. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
6. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
7. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
8. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
9. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
10. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
11. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
12. The project proponent shall provide 500m trench on Northern side to prevent surface runoff.

k. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.06.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

i. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

m. This proposal was placed in 240th SEAC meeting and then the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

n. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

	• The consultant attended the meeting, but PP did not. • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.05	1.50 Ha. Road Metal & Building stone of M/s Rockline Mining Products
269.04	Pvt. Ltd. at Sy. No. 1, Marturu Village, Anakapalli Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh - EC-EIA - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/442384/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral): The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal & Building stone in an area of 1.50 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Road Metal & Building stone – 30,000 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i), Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representative of the project and their consultant, M/s. Hubert Enviro Care Systems Private Limited, Chennai have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology has submitted Visakhapatnam DSR. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and the present mine lease details are mentioned at S.No 155 of Page No. 205 in the DSR.
- b. The Asst. Director of mines and Geology, Anakapalli vide letter dt.24.12.2016 transferred the quarry lease in favour of M/s. Rock Line Mining Products (P) Ltd., Dlr. Sir Ramineni Satyanarayana for the unexpired up to 28.05.2024.
- c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 09.05.2022, the total provided mineral reserves are 4,41,609 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building stone – 30,000 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 14.72 years.
- d. The project proponent has obtained violation TOR on 12.04.2022 and Public hearing was held on 30.11.2022.
- e. Major Issues raised in the public hearing: Road/ Bridge damage issues, dust nuisance, blasting issues, request for carrying out Sivalayam Temple Renovation works, insurance to workers and Employment issues are the main issues raised during the public hearing.
- f. Response of the management during the public hearing: Sri. N. Vamsi Krishna, Consultant, EIA Coordinator, HECS Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, on behalf of project proponent informed that project proponent committed to carryout mining activity out as per guidelines, development of green belt, support will be given to the local villagers, control of dust pollution, employment opportunities will be given to the local villagers, provide support for Sivalayam renovation works, health camps will be conducted and local species will be planted.
- g. The base line data was collected from October, 2021 to December, 2021 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be North to South. The maximum concentration of SPM(PM₁₀) is observed to be 68.52 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 1.46 µg/m³ and GLC of SPM will fall at a distance of 03 Km on Southern direction from the mine lease area.
- h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 9.0 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 5.15 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to health equipment to PHC with supply of medicine to the Maturu PHC as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
- i. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:
 - Credible action was initiated vide CC No 165/2023 in the court of Junior Civil courts of Anakapalli
 - The project proponent submitted undertaking on 24.07.2023 stating that the violation will not be repeated.

- The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 2,00,000/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Vizianagaram on 28.07.2023.
- The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG (FAC) Vizianagaram vide letter dt.01.07.2023.
- The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost during violation period as reported by mining department	Turnover during violation period as reported by mining department vide letter dt. 01.07.2023	1% of project cost in Rs	0.25 % of turnover during violation period in Rs	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM 07.07.20 21 in Rs
Rs. 30 lakhs Mining Road metal & Building stone 147180 Cum	Rs. 1,36,40,020/-	Rs 30,000/-	Rs. 34,100/-	Rs. 64,100/-

- The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 64,500/-at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 07.08.2023.
- The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report, PH minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue Environmental Clearance** for mining of Road Metal & Building stone – 30,000 m³ /annum with following conditions:
 - The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA.
 - The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.
 - The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
 - The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
 - The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
 - The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.

7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
11. The project proponent shall comply with the commitments & promises made/given in the public hearing.
12. The project proponent shall obtain Buffer zone removal permission.

i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- m. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.
- n. This proposal was placed in 240th SEAC meeting and then the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.
- o. again, this proposal placed in 259th meeting and noted that:
 - The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
 - The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and

	Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.06	1.0 Ha, Road Metal & Building stone of Sri S. Mohan Rao. Survey No. 269.05
269.05	04, Thummapala Village, Anakapalli Mandal, Visakhapatnam District – EC - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/447596/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2. The proposed project is for mining of Road metal & Building stone in an area of 1.0 Ha with a proposed production capacity of Road Metal & Building stone – 49,000 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i), Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representative of the project proponent and their consultant Hubert Enviro Care Systems have attended the meeting and presented the case. The SEAC committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of Anakapalli District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine (mentioned at paragraph No. 1.8 of Page No.70 of DSR Report). The same details were also available in DSR 2023 which is under process at SEIAA for approval. - The Department of Mines and Geology issued work order dt. 31.08.2018 to subject mine for 10 years with effect from 01.07.2014 to 30.06.2024. - As per the approved mining plan, the total provided mineral reserves are 3,82,142 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building stone – 49,000 m³/annum The Life of the mine is 7.8 years. - The project proponent has obtained TOR without public hearing on 27.04.2023. - The base line data was collected from January 23 to Mar, 2023

and the predominant wind direction is observed be to South West to North East. The maximum concentration of SPM(PM₁₀) is observed to be 58.91 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 0.95 µg/m³ and GLC of SPM will fall at a distance of 0.41 Km towards NE direction from the mine lease area.

- f. The project proponent allocated Rs. 12.8 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 8.47 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to health equipment to PHC with supply of medicine to the Thumpala PHC as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
- g. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:
 - i. Credible action was initiated vide CC No 620/2023 in the court of Junior Civil courts of Anakapalli
 - ii. The project proponent submitted undertaking on 17.07.2023 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
 - iii. The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 1,00,000/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Visakhapatnam on 21.07.2023.
 - iv. The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG (FAC) Anakapalli vide letter dt.27.05.2023.
 - v. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project Total production cost including violation period as reported by mining department letter dt. 27.05.2023	Turnover during violation period in Rs as reported by the mining department vide letter dt. 27.05.2023	1% of project cost including violation period in Rs	0.25 % of turn over amount during violation period in Rs	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM dt. 07.07.2021 in Rs
Rs. 20 Mining Road metal & Building stone 53,309 Cum	Rs. 73,50,820/-	Rs. 20,000/-	Rs. 18,472/-	Rs. 38,500/-

- h. The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 38,500/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 25.07.2023.
- i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue Environmental Clearance** for mining of Road Metal & Building stone – 49,000 m³/annum with following conditions:

1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA.
 2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.
 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
 9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
 10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
 11. The project proponent shall obtain Buffer zone removal permission from Mines and Safety Department.
 12. The project proponent shall adopt for controlled blasting.
 13. The project proponent shall restrict the load of the transport vehicles not more than 24 tons to avoid road damages and dust nuisance to surroundings.
- j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".
- k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.
- l. This proposal was placed in 240th SEAC meeting and then the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to

	consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. m. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.07 269.08	4.10 Ha, Mining of Road Metal & Building Stone of M/s. Sri Sri Himani Stone Crusher, at Sy. No. 01 of Kanchugummala Village, Rolugunta Mandal Ankapalli District, Andhra Pradesh – EC-EIA – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/449403/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral): The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone in an area of 4.10 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Road Metal and Building Stone –35,766 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representative of the project and their consultant, M/s. SV Enviro Labs & Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that: a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Visakhapatnam District. The same is reviewed in the

- SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in Unguturu Mandal at page no. 188 of S.No 263 in DSR.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide letter dt. 10.07.2014 transferred mine lease in favour of M/s. Sri Sri Himani Stone Crusher, Mg. Ptr. Dr. K. Nirmala Hrudaya with lease period valid upto 11.12.2024.
 - c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 09.05.2022, the total provided mineral reserves are 4,41,609 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building stone – 30,000 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 14.72 years.
 - d. The project proponent has obtained violation TOR without Public hearing on 18.08.2023.
 - e. The base line data was collected from March, 2023 to May, 2023 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be South West to North East. The maximum concentration of SPM(PM₁₀) is observed to be 66.8 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 5.82 µg/m³ and GLC of SPM will fall within the mine lease area.
 - f. The project proponent allocated Rs. 6.8 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 5.5 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide water treatment plant & Health camps with supply of medicine to the Kanchugummala village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
 - g. **S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:**
 - A. Credible action was initiated vide CC No 1191/2023 in the court of IInd Additional Junior Civil Judge, Narsipatnam.
 - B. The project proponent submitted undertaking on 15.04.2021 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
 - C. The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 1,85,605/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Visakhapatnam on 13.10.2023.
 - D. The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG (FAC) Visakhapatnam vide letter dt.29.04.2023.
 - E. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost in Rs.	Total production during violation period as reported by mining department letter dt. 29.04.2023	Turnover during violation period in Rs as reported by the mining department vide letter dt. 29.04.2023	1% of cost in Rs	0.25 % of turnover during violation period in Rs.
---------------------	---	--	------------------	---

Rs 30 lakhs	Mining Road metal & Building stone 88.208 Cum	Rs. 1,19,48,510/-	Rs.30,000/-	Rs. 29,872/-
h. The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. Rs. 59,872/- 03 at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 16.10.2023. i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Reports, and detailed deliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone –35,786 m³/annum with following conditions: 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA. 2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides. 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents. 9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms. 10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners. 11. The project proponent shall obtain Buffer zone removal permission. j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows: "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases". k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ				

	Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. l. This proposal was placed in 240th SEAC meeting and then, the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. m. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant attended the meeting but the PP did not. • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.08	3.496 Ha Mining of Earth/Ballast, Road Metal & Boulders of M/s. Balaji
269.07	Metal Traders, Sy. No. 309/1 & 309 Duddukuru (V), Devarapalli East Godavari District (Formerly West Godavari), A.P. – EC - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/451202/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral)

The proposed project is for mining of Earth/Ballast, Road Metal & Boulders in an area of 3.496 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Ballast, Road Metal & Boulders – 25,000 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The project proponent and their consultant, M/s SV Enviro Labs & Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of East Godavari District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine (mentioned at S.No.66 & 67 of Page No.69 of DSR Report). The same details were also available in DSR 2023 which is under process at SEIAA for approval.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 18.08.2014 issued work order to the subject mine for a period of 15 years.
- c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 30.10.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 11,48,772.74 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Ballast, Road Metal & Boulders – 25,000 m³/annum. The Life of the mine is 46 years.
- d. The project proponent has obtained standard TOR on 12.04.2022 and public hearing was held on 18.08.2022.
- e. Issues raised in PH: There are no specific issues raised during the public hearing.
- f. Response of the Management during the PH: Sri K Ramakrishna Reddy, Consultant of M/s. Global Environment & Mining Services on behalf of M/s. Sri Balaji Metal Traders (MgP: Sri M Rama Raju) explained the public regarding the proposed prevention & control measures for mining activity:
 - Wet drilling will be adopted and controlled sequential blasting will be carried out. Due to controlled sequential blasting, the vibrations in the surrounding areas will be minimal.
 - The dust may get generated due to transport vehicles that carry the mined mineral. It will be suppressed by water spraying with tankers continuously.
 - The vehicles carrying the mines material will be covered with tarpaulins to prevent dust.
 - It is proposed to take-up plantation of 1000 medicinal trees in the

vacant mine land and government land and also on both sides of the approach road. The protection and maintenance of these trees will be responsibility of the quarry management.

- Once the mining operations gets started, half-yearly monitoring of Ambient Air Quality, Noise Levels, water quality testing etc., will be carried out and the reports will be submitted to MoEF&CC Regional office, APPCB and SEIAA.
- In the proposed mine, around 30 people will get direct employment and another 45 persons get indirect employment. In addition, opportunities will be given to locals, in various supporting indirect activities like transportation of mined materials, water spraying on the approach roads, tree plantation and their maintenance etc.
- The proponent is proposed to provide tables-2Nos, Chairs-2 Nos & Fans – 04 Nos to Grama Panchayat Office at Duddukuru and also proposed to arrange annual health camps, for the benefit of the people from surrounding villages under CSR funds.
- All pre-cautionary measures will take-up for Environment Protection.

g. The base line data was collected from Dec. 2021 to Feb. 2022 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be North East to South West. The maximum concentration of SPM (PM_{10}) is observed to be $67.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The incremental concentration is $2.78 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and GLC of SPM will falls at a distance about 1.01 towards south west direction.

h. The committee directed the project proponent to develop thick greenbelt on western side of the boundary lease area as the approach road is adjacent to the subject mine.

i. The committee directed the project proponent to dump 5% of road metal waste at buffer zone area to recover the 7.5 mts buffer zone area.

j. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:

- i. Credible action was initiated vide CC No 633/2023 in the court of Junior Civil courts of West Godavari.
- ii. The project proponent submitted undertaking on 11.11.2022 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
- iii. The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 2,70,214/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Kakinada on 04.08.2023.
- iv. The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG Eluru vide letter dt. 15.02.2023.
- v. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost during violation period	Total production as reported by mining department letter dt. 15.02.2023	Turnover as reported by the mining department letter dt. 15.02.2023	1% of project cost during violation period	0.25 % of turn over during violation period	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM 07.07.20 21 in Rs
Rs. lakhs	Mining Road metal & Building stone 16.923 Cum	Rs. 46,66,450/-	Rs. 90,000/-	Rs. 11,666/-	Rs. 1,01,666/-

- v. The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 1,01,666/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 11.08.2023.
- k. The project proponent informed that they have allocated Rs. 7.1 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 6.12 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide Water treatment plant to Duddukuru village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
- l. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report, Public Hearing minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended **to issue** Environmental Clearance for mining of Ballast, Road Metal & Boulders – 25,000 m³/annum with following conditions:
 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA.
 2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.
 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during

- the peak hours to avoid accidents.
 9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
 10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
 11. The project proponent shall comply with the commitments & promises made/given in the public hearing.
 12. The project proponent shall dump 5% of road metal waste at buffer zone area to recover the 7.5 mts buffer zone area.
 13. The project proponent shall develop thick greenbelt on western side of the boundary lease area as the approach road is adjacent to the subject mine.
 14. The project proponent shall restrict the load of the transport vehicles not more than 21 tons to avoid road damages and dust nuisance to surroundings.
- m. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".
- n. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.
 - o. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **Furter consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.
 - p. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:
 - The consultant attended the meeting but the PP did not .
 - During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023.
 - The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum

	states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.09 269.08	1.0 Ha. Mining of Road metal & Building stone of Smt. Kalindi Lakshmi at Sy.No.43, Ippalavala Village, Seethanagaram Mandal, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh – Environmental Clearance - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/452740/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral): The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone in an area of 1.0 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Road Metal and Building Stone –45,000 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i), Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representative of the project and their consultant, M/s. Ecomen labs have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Vizianagaram District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available at page no. 77 of S.No 33 in DSR. - The SEIAA, A.P., issued violation TOR without public hearing to Sri K. Sudheer Varma vide order dt. 20.09.2022 for mining of Road metal & Building Stone – 10,038 m³/annum and the valid of TOR order is valid for 4 years. - The Department of mines and Geology vide order dt. 27.04.2023 declared Smt. Kalindi Lakshmi, Mother of Late K Sudheer Varma as a successor (Legal Heir) to lessee and permitted Smt. Kalindi Lakshmi to carry out mining operations in the subject mine for

- the unexpired portion of the lease period up to 21.02.2025.
- The SEIAA, A.P issued TOR amendment dt.03.11.2023 for enhancement of capacity of Road Metal & Building Stone from 10,038 m³/annum to 45,000 m³/annum in favour of Smt. K. Lakshmi (Lessee).
 - As per the approved mining plan dt. 31.08.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 1,71,350 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building stone – 45,000 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 4.0 years.
 - The Base line data was collected from oct, 2022 to Dec, 202 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be North West to South East. The maximum concentration of SPM(PM₁₀) is observed to be 62.0 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 1.28 µg/m³ and GLC of SPM will fall within the mine lease area.
 - The project proponent allocated Rs. 6.43 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 5.6 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide water treatment plant & Health camps with supply of medicine to the Kanchugummala village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
 - S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:
 - Credible action was initiated vide CC No 797/2023 in the court of IInd Additional Junior Civil Judge, Bobbili.
 - The project proponent submitted undertaking on 23.08.2022 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
 - The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 1,7400/- and uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Vizianagaram on 13.12.2023.
 - The project proponent submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG (FAC) Vizianagaram vide letter dt.16.05.2023.
 - The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost in Rs.	Total production during violation period as reported by mining department letter dt.16.05.2023	Turnover during violation period in Rs as reported by the mining department vide letter dt.16.05.2023	1% of project cost in Rs	0.25 % of turnover during violation period in Rs	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM dt.07.07.2021 in Rs
Rs.	Mining Road	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs

20,00,000/-metal	84,47,560/-	30,000/-	1,119/-	21,119/-
Building stone				
277t Cum				
i. The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. Rs. 21,119/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 12.12.2023. j. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Reports, and detailed deliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone -45,000 m³/annum with following conditions: 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental Management Plan and EIA. 2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides. 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents. 9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms. 10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners. 11. The project proponent shall provide 385m trench on Southern, Eastern side to prevent surface runoff. 12. The project proponent shall obtain Buffer zone removal permission. k. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases". l. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ				

	Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. m. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. n. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.10 269.09	7.44 Ha. Mining of Road Metal, Building Stone quarry of M/s. Sri Venkatasasi Stone Crusher at Survey No: 75 Villages: Bowlavada village Mandal: Anakapalli District: Anakapalli (erstwhile Visakhapatnam) State: Andhra Pradesh-EC-EIA- Reg. STA/AP/MIN/453968/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1. The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal, Building Stone in an area of 7.44 Ha with a proposed production capacity of Road Metal & Building Stone - 2,50,056 m³/Annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity

as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. Hubert Enviro Care Systems Private Limited, Chennai, have attended the meeting and presented the case. The SEAC committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR for the erstwhile Visakhapatnam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting. The present mine lease details are mentioned in the DSR at S No 55 of page No. 197 of DSR.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt.24.12.2016 issued work order for 15 years.
- c. As per the approved mining plan, the total provided mineral reserves are 27,31,520 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate 2,50,000 m³/annum. The Life of the mine is 9.83 years.
- d. The project proponent has obtained TOR with public hearing on 21.06.2021 and subsequently the project proponent has obtained TOR amendment on 22.02.2022 for enhancement of production.
- e. Public Hearing details: Employment, Health camps, crop compensation issues, blasting issues etc., are specific issues raised in the public hearing. The project proponent has furnished undertaking dt. 13.12.2023 regarding the objections raised in the public hearing.
- f. Reply of the proponent during the public hearing is as follows: Sri N Vamsi Krishna Senior Consultant, EIA Coordinator, Hubert Enviro Care Systems Pvt Ltd informed that 19 people have given their views, suggestions, and objections on the project and the same are recorded. The same will be summarized and taken into consideration for implementation. He said that in case of blasting activity, the sequential Control Blasting Technology proposed in the project is different from the old technology. The dispersion of the rocks due to the new technology is less and blast point limited to 50 meters. The suggestion regarding greenbelt development will be implemented by the project proponent. The dust pollution from the quarrying and crushing operations will be controlled by sprinkling of water by tankers. The project proponent will take required steps for constitution of the village coordination committee to implement the development activities mentioned in the project proposal. He said that annual health camps proposed and allocated Rs.1,50,000/- amount for conducting health camps. He said that damaged RO Plant will be notified. He said that 75 no. of direct & indirect employment

opportunities due to the proposed project and employment provided for local villagers in water sprinkling & Green Belt development activities. He stated that intimation about the public hearing was given to the public as per the guidelines and the project proposal were made available at various places.

- g. The base line data was collected from Oct, 2021 to Dec, 2021 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be North East to South West. The maximum concentration of SPM is observed to be $68.46 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The incremental concentration is $3.56 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and GLC of SPM will fall at a distance of 0.42Km towards South West direction from the mine lease area.
- h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 25.0 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 9.0 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to health equipment to PHC with supply of medicine to the Thumpala PHC as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
- i. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:

- Credible action was initiated vide CC No 988/2023 in the court of Junior Civil courts of Anakapalli
- The project proponent submitted undertaking on 22.11.2023 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
- The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 6,00,000/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Visakhapatnam on 23.11.2023.
- The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG (FAC) Anakapalli vide letter dt 19.08.2023.
- The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost during violation period Rs.	Total production as reported by mining department letter dt.	Turnover during violation period in Rs as reported by the mining department vide letter dt.	1% of project cost during violation period Rs.	0.25 % of turnover during violation period Rs.	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM dt 07.07.2021 in Rs
Rs 80 lakhs	Mining Road metal & Building stone 504407 Cum	Rs 5,19,39,865/-	Rs 80,000/-	Rs 1,29,825/-	Rs 2,09,825/-

- j. The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 2,10,000/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 24.11.2023.
- k. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report, Public Hearing minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended **to issue** Environmental Clearance for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone - 2,50,056 m³/annum with following conditions:
 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA.
 2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.
 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
 9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
 10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
 11. The project proponent shall comply with the commitments & promises made/given in the public hearing.
 12. The project proponent shall obtain Buffer zone removal permission.
- l. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".
- m. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th. July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil)

	No(s). 1394/2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to Further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt. 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. n. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP attended the meeting but their Consultant did not. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.11	4.047 Ha Mining of Road Metal, Building stone & Gravel of M/s.
269.10	Sanjana Granites at Sy. No. 01, Krishnapuram (V), Padmanabham (M), Visakhapatnam (D), Andhra Pradesh - EC - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/454066/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 (Minor mineral). The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal, Building stone & Gravel in an area of 4.047 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Mining of Road Metal and Building Stone: 1,00,000 m³/annum, Gravel: 50,000 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The project of proponent and their consultant, BS Envitech (P) Ltd, have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Vishakhapatnam District and the same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting. The present mine details are mentioned at S. No. 49 of Page no. 133 in DSR.
- b. The Asst. Director of Mines & Geology vide letter dt.21.06.2014 issued 1st renewal of quarry lease to M/s. Sanjana Granite, Prop: Sri Ratnalatha for a further period of 15 years W.e.f. 25.06.2013.
- c. The project proponent obtained Violation TOR without PH vide order dt. 15.03.2023 for mining of Mining of Road Metal and Building Stone: 100000 m³/Annum, Gravel: 50000 m³/Annum.
- d. As per the approved mining plan dt.05.10.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 4,80,740 m³ of Road Metal & Building Stone and Gravel of 1,00,400 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal and Building Stone: 1,00,000 m³/annum, Gravel: 50,000 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 05 years.
- e. The base line data was collected March 23 to May 2023 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be Southeast to Northwest. The maximum concentration of SPM(PM10) is observed to be 68.50 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 2.7 µg/m³ and GLC of SPM will falls within mine lease area.
- f. The committee noted that there is a one existing stone crusher on North western side of the subject mine lease area and the project proponent applied for Environmental clearance only for mining activity. Hence, the committee recommended EC for mining of Road Metal, Building Stone & Gravel only.
- g. The committee noted that the project proponent carried out mining activity without maintaining 7.5 mts of buffer zone. Hence, the committee directed the project proponent to obtain buffer zone removal permission from Directorate-General of Mines Safety.
- h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 4.01 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 4.58 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to Computer Systems to near village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
- i. The project proponent filed petition in Hon'ble High court vide W.P.No. 9578 of 2021 against Mines and Geology Department and others. The Hon'ble High court issued interim orders dt. 07.05.2021 and is as follows:

"Accordingly, there shall be interim suspension as prayed for pending further orders"

j. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:

- Credible action was initiated vide CC No 377/2023 in the court of XIV ADDL Metropolitan Magistrate courts of Bheemunipatnam.
- The project proponent submitted undertaking on 29.11.2023 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
- The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 2,00,000/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Vishakhapatnam on 02.11.2023.
- The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by DMG Vishakhapatnam vide letter dt.15.07.2023.
- The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost in Rs.	Total production during violation period as reported by mining department letter dt. 15.07.2023	Turnover during violation period as reported by the mining department vide letter dt. 15.07.2023	1% of project cost during violation period in Rs.	0.25 % of turnover penalty amount to be levied as per OM dt. 07.07.2021 in Rs	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM dt. 07.07.2021 in Rs
Rs.25 lakhs	Mining Road metal & Building stone- 2,10,897 Cum	Rs. 1,60,66,125/-	Rs. 25,000/-	Rs. 40,165/-	Rs. 65,165/-

- The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 65,165/- at APPCB Head Office Vijayawada.

k. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report, PH minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** Environmental Clearance without pre judice to the outcome of W.P. No. 9578 of 2021 for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone: 1,00,000 m³/annum, Gravel: 50,000 m³/annum with following conditions;

1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental Management Plan and EIA.
2. The project proponent shall develop native plants along approach roads & village Road sides.
3. The EC recommended only for mining of Road Metal, Building

Stone & Gravel only and project proponent shall obtain all approvals/clearances for the operation of the stone crusher in the subject mine lease area.

4. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
5. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
6. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
7. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
8. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
9. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
10. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
11. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
12. The project proponent shall provide 385m trench on Southern, Eastern side to prevent surface runoff.
13. The project proponent shall obtain buffer zone removal permission from Directorate-General of Mines Safety.
14. This EC order is recommended without any prejudice to the final orders of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 9578 of 2021 on this subject mine and the EC order shall be revoked without any further notice in case of adverse orders of the Hon'ble High court on the subject mine if any.

- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- m. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay

	imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. n. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.12	8.710 Ha, Road metal & Building stone of Sri Sathi Venkata Sathya
269.11	Narayana Reddy located at Sy.No.84 & 382, Kothapalem Village, B.N. Kandriga Mandal, Tirupathi District (Formerly Chittoor District)- EC - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/456755/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1. The proposed project is for mining of Road metal & Building stone in an area of 8.710 Ha with a proposed production capacity of Road Metal & Building Stone -134032 m³ /Annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented the case. The SEAC committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of Tirupathi District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine (mentioned at paragraph No. 2.3 of Page No.77 of DSR Report). The same details were also available in DSR 2023 which is under process at SEIAA for approval.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 02.08.2023 issued Extension of Letter of Intent (LOI) for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 3 years from the date of issue of LoI.
- c. As per the approved mining plan, the total provided mineral reserves are 19,58,805 m³. The project proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building Stone –1,34,032 m³ /annum. The Life of the mine is 16 years.
- d. The project proponent has obtained TOR with public hearing on 13.07.2022 and public hearing held on 24.03.2023.
- e. Public Hearing details: Road damages, Greenbelt, D. Pattasi, Crop damages, water sprinkling and stone crushers issues are the main issues raised during PH public hearing.
- f. Reply of the proponent during the public hearing is as follows: Sri G Srinivasulu Naidu, Managing Partner of Sri Sathi Venkata Sathyan Narayana Reddy, Road Metal & Building Stone mine while addressing the concerns raised by the public have informed that, all the suggestions made by the public representative, NGOs will be taking into consideration and main issues viz., damages to the roads and dust generation will be addressed. More plantations will be done at vacant places and also at approach roads. He further promised any genuine concerns brought to the notice of the management they will try to solve the same at their level best.
- g. The base line data was collected from March, 2022 to May, 2022 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be South West to North East. The maximum concentration of SPM(PM₁₀) is observed to be 64.8 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 4.53 µg/m³ and GLC of SPM will falls at a distance of 0.23 Km towards North East direction from the mine lease area.
- h. The committee directed the project proponent that they shall not use canal bund road for mineral transportation purpose and also directed to take dust suppression measures to avoid falling of dust particulars in the canal.
- i. The project proponent allocated Rs. 13.2 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 7.52 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to health equipment to PHC with supply of medicine to the B.N. Kandriga PHC as a part of Corporate

Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.

j. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:

- i. Credible action was initiated vide CC No 718/2023 in the court of Junior Civil courts of Srikalasthi.
- ii. The project proponent submitted undertaking on 22.04.2022 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
- iii. The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 1,95,781/- and also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Tirupathi on 18.12.2023.
- iv. The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG, Chittoor vide letter dt 06.04.2023.
- v. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost during violation period as reported by mining department letter dt.	Total production Turnover during violation period as reported by mining department vide letter dt.	1% of project cost in Rs	0.25 % of turn over penalty amount to be levied as per OM dt. 07.07.20 21 in Rs
Rs 35 lakhs Mining Road metal & Building stone 25,500 Cum	Rs. 35,85,000/-	Rs 35,000/-	Rs. 8963/-

k. The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 43,963/-at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 19.12.2023.

l. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report, Public Hearing minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** Environmental Clearance for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone –1,34,032 m³ /Annum with following conditions:

1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA.
2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.
3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the

CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.

5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.
8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
11. The project proponent shall comply with the commitments & promises made/given in the public hearing.
12. The project proponent shall restrict the load of the transport vehicles not more than 21 tons to avoid road damages and dust nuisance to surroundings.

- m. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows: -

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- n. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.
- o. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.
- p. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:
 - The consultant have attended the meeting but their pp did not.
 - During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as

	per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.13	2.0 Ha Mining of Road metal & Building stone of Sri G. Mallikarjuna
269.12	Naidu at Sy.No.210 of Thangellapalem Village, Thottembadu Mandal, Tirupathi (Formerly Chittoor District) District – EC – EIA – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/457085/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1. The proposed project is for mining of Road metal & Building stone in an area of 2.0 Ha with a proposed production capacity of Road metal & Building stone – 24,790 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i), Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented the case. The SEAC committee noted that: The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of Tirupathi District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine (mentioned at Paragraph 2.3 of Page No.77 of DSR Report). The same details were also available in DSR 2023 which is under process at SEIAA for

- approval.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 16.06.2023 issued extension of Loi to the subject mine for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE.
 - c. As per the approved mining plan dt.19.11.2022, the total provided mineral reserves are 4,95,021 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road metal & Building stone – 24,790 m³/annum. The Life of the mine is 20.0 years.
 - d. The project proponent has obtained Violation TOR with public hearing on 25.01.2023 and Public Hearing held on 03.05.2023.
 - e. Public Hearing details: There are no specific issues raised in during the public hearing.
 - f. Reply of the proponent during the public hearing is as follows: Sri M Malikarjuna Naidu Managing Partner informed to the public that, he is in this business for the last 30 years and further informed that, he is not establishing any new mines and only renewing the mining leases of existing mines with a requirement of Environmental Clearance. He further informed that, he already developed 10,000 nos of trees at the mine lease area and assured to the public of supplying of drinking water at surrounding villages and also will extend his cooperation for developmental works at surrounding villages.
 - g. The base line data was collected from Nov, 2022 to Jan, 2023 and the predominant wind direction is observed to be North West to South East. The maximum concentration of SPM is observed to be 67.3 µg/m³. The incremental concentration is 3.75 µg/m³ and GLC of SPM will falls within mine lease area.
 - h. The committee noted that Telugu Ganga Canal is at a distance about 300 meters on eastern side. Hence, the committee directed the Project proponent that they shall not use canal bund road for mineral transportation purpose and also directed to take dust suppression to avoid falling of dust particulars in canal.
 - i. The project proponent allocated Rs. 6.9 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 5.32 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The project proponent shall provide adequate funds to the Thangeilapalem PHC as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine.
 - j. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance:
 - i. Credible action was initiated vide CC No 719/2023 in the court of Junior Civil courts of Sri Kalahasti.
 - ii. The project proponent submitted undertaking on 24.11.2022 stating that the violation will not be repeated.
 - iii. The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 1,58,840/-and

also uploaded the acknowledged BG submitted at APPCB, Regional office, Tirupathi on 17.07.2023.

- iv. The project proponent has submitted production dispatch letter issued by ADMG Chittoor vide letter dt. 28.02.2023.
- v. The Penalty was calculated in compliance with SOP issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide OM dt. 07.07.2021 and the details is as follows:

Project cost in Rs.	Total production during violation period as reported by mining department vide letter dt. 28.02.2023	Turnover during violation period as reported by the mining department vide letter dt. 28.02.2023	1% of project cost in Rs.	0-25 % of turnover during violation period in Rs.	Total penalty amount to be levied as per OM 07.07.20 21 in Rs.
Rs. 35 lakhs	Mining Road metal & Building stone 40604 Cum	Rs. 78,23,325/-	Rs. 35,000/-	Rs. 19,558/-	Rs. 54,558/-

- k. The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 54,558/- at APPCB, Board Office, Vijayawada on 19.12.2023.

- l. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's EIA Report, Public Hearing minutes, and detailed deliberations, recommended **to issue** Environmental Clearance for mining of Road metal & Building stone – 24,790 m³/annum with following conditions:

1. The project proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA.
2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.
3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.
4. The project proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.
5. The project proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.
6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during vehicular movement.
7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose.

8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents.
9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding agricultural lands as per the norms.
10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners.
11. The project proponent shall comply with the commitments & promises made/given in the public hearing.
12. The project proponent shall restrict the load of the transport vehicles not more than 21 tons to avoid road damages and dust nuisance to surroundings.

m. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- n. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **Further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

- o. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The consultant have attended the meeting but their pp did not .
- During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the

	Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.140.480 Ha, Mining of Road Metal of M/s. Murali Krishna Constructions, 269.13 Sy. No. 160, Peddasankarlapudi Village, Prathipadu Mandal, Kakinada District Erstwhile East Godavari District -TOR - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/415436/2023	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (violation). The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal in an area of 0.480 Ha, with a proposed production capacity of Road Metal – 17,500 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant M/s. Prithvi Envirotech (P), Ltd., have attended the meeting and presented the case. The committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile East Godavari District and the same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in Peddasankarlapudi village in DSR. - The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 14.09.2023 issued renewal of letter of Intent (LOI) to the subject mine for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 03 years from the date of issue of LOI. - As per cluster letter dt. 01.12.2022 issued by Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Rajamahendravaram, there are 11 existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mts area. The total cluster area is > 5.0 Ha. - And whereas, pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble Supreme

Court dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease; And whereas, all mine lease holders are required to obtain prior environment clearance.

- e. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So, under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF& CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- f. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine from after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- g. This proposal was earlier apprised in 214th SEAC meeting and the committee recommended to **raise ADS** for submission of modified mining plan as per the DGPS survey as the total area of the subject mine in the KML was observed to be 0.480 Ha as against 0.809 Ha mentioned in the LOI.
- h. The project proponent has submitted the ADS reply on 18.11.2023 with modified mining plan as per the DGPS survey.
- i. Again, this proposal was apprised in 236th SEAC meeting and verified modified mining plan dt. 17.10.2023. As per the modified mining plan the total area is 0.480 Ha.
- j. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Road Metal— 17,500 m³/annum over an extent of 0.480 Ha with Public Hearing with following additional conditions:

1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
3. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No 144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee

submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021

j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows: -
"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

l. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.

269.15 1.0 Ha. of Colour Granite Quarry OF M/s Madhucon Granites Ltd. Sy
 269.14 No. 510/1 of Veerakanellore (V), SPSR Nellore (M), Chittoor (Dt) –
 Violation TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/428606/2023

Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025

Category: B2at par with B1 (Minor mineral)

The proposed project is for mining of colour granite in an area of 1.0Ha. with a proposed production capacity of 2400 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The Proponent and their consultant, M/s. SV Enviro Labs & Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their proposal.

The SEAC committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Chittoor District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting. The present mine lease details are mentioned at S.No. 121 of page No.101 in the DSR.
- b. As per the approved mining plan dt. 05.03.2021, the total provided mineral reserves are 29,800m³. The proponent proposed to excavate 2400 m³/annum and the Life of the mine is 12 years.
- c. As per the cluster letter dt. 28.11.2022 issued by the Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Chittoor, there are 01 existing quarry lease within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is < 5 ha.
- d. This is an existing lease area and obtained work order issued by the Mines & Geology Department on 20.07.2012 for 20 years.
- e. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
- f. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ) : So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i)The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as

normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.

- g. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- h. This proposal was earlier apprised in 225th SEAC meeting and committee recommended to **raise ADS** for submission of latest cluster letter and production details from Mines Department.
- i. Accordingly, the project proponent furnished ADS reply with latest cluster letter dated 07.08.2023 issued by the District Mines & Geology office, Chittoor. The committee observed that there is one existing lease within the 500 mts radius with an extent of 1.0 Ha. Hence, the total cluster area including subject mine is 2.0 Ha which is < 5.0 Ha.
- j. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Colour Granite – 2,400 m³ /Annum without Public Hearing with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare EIA & EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 3. The project proponent shall submit waste management plan.
 4. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 5. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 6. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the

calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.

7. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
8. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
9. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
10. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
11. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
12. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

k. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- i. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th. July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final

	outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt. 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. m. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant have attended the meeting but their pp did not . • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.16	2.724 Ha. Limestone Slabs (Black) of S.Konda Reddy at Sy.No. 269.15/272/Part of Palkur Village, Banaganapalle Mandal in Nandyal (old Kurnool) District, Andhra Pradesh – TOR – Reg. Si/AP/MIN/433713/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1. The proposed project is for mining of Limestone Slabs (Black) in an area of 2.724 Ha. of production capacity of Limestone Slabs (Black) - 22,951 TPA with a condition that total production during a scheme

should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The representative of the project and their consultant, M/s VVN Technologies Pvt Ltd have attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Kurnool District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine.
- b. As per the approved mining plan dt. 05.07.2022, the total provided mineral reserves are 2,79,499 Tonnes. The proponent proposed to excavate Limestone Slabs (Black) - 22,951 TPA and Life of the mine is 11.6 years.
- c. The committee noted that this is a patta land and the project proponent not furnished patta land documents. Hence, committee recommended to raise ADS for submission of Patta Land documents.
- d. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 26.04.2023 issued by the Asst. Director of Mines & Geology (FAC), Banaganapalle, there are 20 existing quarry lease within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is > 5.0 Ha.
- e. The project proponent submitted production & despatch particulars issued by Divisional Mines & Geology Officer (FAC), Banaganapalle vide Letter dated 15.06.2023 for the period from 2017-18 to 2023-24 (upto 05/2023).
- f. The committee noted that the lessee has excavated 4,44,500 Sqmts of Limestone Slabs (Black) during the period from 2017-18 to 2023-24 (upto 05/2023).
- g. From the above, committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- h. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior

environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.

- i. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- j. While examining the KML file, the committee observed workings are going on and the project proponent excavated beyond the boundary lease area. The project proponent informed that they have not carried out any excavations beyond the boundaries of the lease area. The SEAC committee recommended to constitute subcommittee for the field visit to verify the workings beyond the mine lease area and also the ownership details of the land of the subject mine.
- k. This proposal was placed in 233rd SEAC meeting and then the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, ADS reply, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **constitute subcommittee** for the field visit to verify the workings extended beyond the mine lease area from the subject mine area and also the ownership details of the land of the subject mine.
- l. Again, this proposal was placed in 244th SEAC meeting and noted that:
- m. The project proponent and their consultants are attended to meeting.
- n. The committee noted that the MoEF&CC, GoI issued an OM dated 08.01.2024, informing that the Hon'ble Supreme Court had imposed a stay on the implementation of the SOP dated 07.07.2021 and the OM dated 28.01.2022.

In light of stay imposed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on the implementation of the SOP dated 7th July 2021 and the OM dated 28th January 2022, the committee decided to consider violation proposals only after the final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition(s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023 and further orders from MoEF&CC, GoI. Therefore, the committee recommended to **raise an**

	ADS. e. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.17	0.809 Ha, Mining of Gravel, Building Stone, Ballast, Road Metal &
269.16	Boulders of Sri G Lakshmana Rao, at Sy No. 53, Chinasankarapudi Village, Prathipadu Mandal, East Godavari District- Violation TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/415483/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral). The proposed project is for mining of Gravel, Building Stone, Ballast, Road Metal & Boulders in an area of 0.809 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Mining of Road Metal and Building Stone: 15,950 m³/annum, Gravel: 6,913 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The Project proponent and their consultant, Prudhvi Enviro tech Pvt Ltd, have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that: a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of

- Erstwhile East Godavari District and the same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting. The present mine details are mentioned at S. No. 63 of Page no. 69 in DSR.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 14.09.2023 issued renewal of letter of Intent (LOI) to the subject mine for a period of 10 years, subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 03 years from the date of issue of LOI.
 - c. As per cluster letter dt. 08.11.2023 issued by the Director of Mines & Geology officer, Kakinada, there are 13 existing quarry leases and 03 LOI issued areas within a radius of 500 mts from periphery of the present quarry leased area. The total cluster area (11.113 Ha) and is > 5 Ha.
 - d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 16.10.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 1,59,500 m³ of Road Metal & Building Stone and 42,488m³ Gravel. The proponent proposed to excavate Mining of Road Metal and Building Stone: 15950 m³/Annum, Gravel: 6,913 m³/Annum and Life of the mine is 10 years.
 - e. And whereas, pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease; And whereas, all mine lease holders are required to obtain prior environment clearance.
 - f. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So, under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF & CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
 - g. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine from

after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021

- h. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone: 15950 m³/annum, Gravel : 6913 m³/annum with Public Hearing with following additional conditions:
1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 3. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
 6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
 7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
 8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic

benefit derived due to violation.

9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

- k. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting .
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

	In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.18 269.17	2.00 Ha. Mining of Black Granite of M/s. Yashwanth Granites, Sy No. 82 of Hill, Gap Area of 81, Upparapalli Village, Somala Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh – TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/436816/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral) The proposed project is for mining of Black Granite in an area of 2.00 Ha. with a proposed production capacity of Black Granite – 2,100 m³/Annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant M/s SV Enviro Labs & Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Chittoor District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine. - As per the approved mining plan dt. 14.06.2023 the total provided mineral reserves are 21,788 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Black Granite – 2,100 m³/Annum and Life of the mine is 10 years. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter issued by the Divisional Mines & Geology Officer, Palamaner vide Letter dated: 11.05.2023 there are no existing quarry lease within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha. - The Department of Mines and Geology has issued Letter of Intent (LOI) vide order dt. 13.04.2023 for a period of 10 years with subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and

- CFE within a period of 3 year.
- e. This is an existing lease and obtained work order on 28.11.2006 for 20 years.
 - f. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in LA. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
 - g. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So, under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
 - h. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
 - i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Black Granite - 2100 m³/Annum without Public Hearing** with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 3. The project proponent shall submit waste management plan.
 4. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.

5. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 6. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
 7. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
 8. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
 9. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
 10. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
 11. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
 12. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No 804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.
- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024

issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s), 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s), 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s), 1394/2023.

again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The consultant have attended the meeting but PP did not.
- During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject the proposal**.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to

	reject the proposal.
269.19 269.18	1.242 Ha, Mining of Limestone Slabs (Black) of SRI Y. VISWESWARA REDDY Sy. No. 822, Petrikota Village, Kolimigundla Mandal, Nandyal District (erstwhile Kurnool District), Andhra Pradesh – TOR - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/437180/2023 Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 on par with B1 (Minor Mineral) The proposed project is for mining of Limestone Slabs (Black) in an area of 1.242 Ha with a proposed production quantity of Limestone Slabs (Black) – 25.538 TPA with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The representative of the project and their consultant, M/s SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Kurnool District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and the present mine lease details are mentioned at page No.174 in the DSR. - The Mining Department vide proceeding dt. 15.02.2023 issued LOI for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining plan, EC and CTE from APPCB within in a period of 1 years. - As per the approved mining plan dt. 31.12.2021, the total provided mineral reserves are 232620T. The proponent proposed to excavate Limestone Slabs (Black) – 25538 TPA and Life of the mine is 9 years. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 23.05.2023 issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Nandyal, there are 07 existing quarry leases within the radius of 500mtrs area. The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha. - This is an existing lease area and obtained 1st renewal lease on 25.01.2021 for 10 years. - The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number

S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.

- g. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ) : So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- h. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Limestone Slabs (Black) – 25,538 TPA without Public Hearing** and with following additional conditions:
 - The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
 - The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 - The project proponent shall submit LOI extension letter at the time of applying for EC as the present LOI is going to expire by 25.01.2024.
 - The project proponent shall submit surface runoff prevention measures plan.
 - The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 - The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 - The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and

clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.

- Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
- The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
- The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
- The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
- The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
- The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

- j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day 1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP

	dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on Implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant has attended the meeting and their PP did not. • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.20	1.68 Ha Road Metal & Building Stone mining of Sri D. Venkata Rao,
269.19	Sy.No.211/Part, Vooderu Village, Anakapalli Mandal, Anakapalli District – TOR – Violation - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/453687/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 The committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all

	violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to raise ADS in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. a. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant attended the meeting but the PP did not. • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.21	1.11 Ha Road Metal & Building Stone mining of Sri D. Venkata Rao,
269.20	Sy.No.211/Part, Vooderu Village, Anakapalli Mandal, Anakapalli District. – TOR – Violation - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/453601/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 The committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **raise ADS** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

a. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The consultant attended the meeting but the PP did not
- During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to **reject** the proposal.

269.22 11.661 Ha Mining of Road Metal & Building Stone of Sri. P.
269.21 Rammohan Reddy, Survey No. 709/2, Bommalahgaripalli Village
Rompicherla Mandal, Chittoor District - TOR - Reg.
SIA/AP/MIN/453870/2023

Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025

Category: B2 at par with B1 (violation).

The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone in an area of 11.661 Ha. with a proposed production capacity of Road Metal & Building Stone – 56,500 m³/Annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The project proponent and their consultant M/s. Right Source Industrial Solutions Pvt Ltd., have attended the meeting and presented the case. The committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of Chittoor District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine at S.No.241 of Page No.109 of DSR Report). The same details were also available in DSR 2023 which is under process at SEIAA for approval.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 30.05.2015 issued work order to the subject mine for amalgamation of 5983 Ha + 5.678 Ha and the same is valid up to 22.01.2027.
- c. As per cluster letter dt. 22.11.2023 issued by District Mines & Geology Officer, Chittoor, there are no existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mts area. The total applicable cluster area is >5.0 Ha.
- d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 29.11.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 46,20,644 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building Stone 56,500 m³/Annum. The Life of the mine is 81 years.
- e. The committee noted that there are sheds existing on south east side. The project proponent informed that the sheds are constructed for labour working their mine and adjacent stone crusher. Hence, the committee directed the project proponent to submit photographs of existing sheds GPS co-ordinates.
- f. The committee noted that water body is existing at a distance about 90 mts on south eastern side. Hence, the committee directed the project proponent to submit protection measures plan for existing water body.
- g. The project proponent submitted production & despatch details vide letter dated 22.11.2023 and the committee noted that the proponent excavated 38,144 cbm of Road Metal & Building Stone without obtaining Environmental Clearance. Hence, the subject mine falls under violation category.
- h. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine from after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt. 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- i. And whereas, pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble Supreme

Court dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease; And whereas, all mine lease holders are required to obtain prior environment clearance.

- j. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So, under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF& CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- k. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone – 56,500 m³/annum with Public Hearing with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall submit photographs of existing sheds GPS co-ordinates.
 3. The project proponent shall submit protection measures plan for existing water body.
 4. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 5. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 6. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.

7. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
8. Credible action to be initiated through concerned regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
9. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
10. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
11. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
12. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
13. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

1. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- m. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme

	Court dated 02.01.2024 Imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on Implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. n. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant and their PP did not attended the meeting . • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.23 269.22	1.0 Ha. Road Metal, Building Stone quarry lease of Smt K. LAKSHMI, Survey No. 43 of Ippalavalasa Village, Seethanagaram Mandal, Parvathipuram Manyam District – TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/452776/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2. The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone in an area of 1.00 Ha. with a proposed production capacity of Road Metal and Building Stone – 45,000 m³/Annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved

quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The representative of the project and their consultant, M/s. Ecomen Laboratories, have attended the meeting and presented the proposal and the SEAC committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR Report for erstwhile Vizianagaram District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting. The present mine lease details are mentioned at S.No.33 in Page No. 77 of DSR.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt.17.10.2023 issued 1st renewal Letter of Intent (LOI) for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 03 years from the date of issue of LOI.
- c. As per the cluster letter dated 02.11.2023 issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Vizianagaram, there are 03 existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mts. The total cluster area of the surrounding mines is < 5 ha.
- d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 08.09.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 3,56,720 m³ of Road Metal & Building stone and the proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building stone – 45,000 m³/annum and the Life of the mine is 8 years.
- e. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
- f. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ) : So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is

directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.

- g. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine from after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- h. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone – 45,000 m³/Annum without Public Hearing with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare Cluster EIA & EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 3. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
 6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
 7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing

and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.

8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

- k. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.

	The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.24 269.23	2.445 Ha. Mining of Limestone Slabs (Black) of Sri. T. Malla Reddy, Sy. No. 822, Petrikota Village, Kolimigundla Mandal, Nandyal District (erstwhile Kurnool District), Andhra Pradesh. – TOR - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/436721/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral) The proposed project is for mining of Limestone Slabs (Black) in an area of 2.445 Ha. of production capacity of Limestone Slabs (Black) – 33,268 TPA with a condition that total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant, M/s. SV Enviro Labs & Consultants have attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Kurnool District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village / cluster to the subject mine. - As per the approved mining plan dt. 31.12.2021, the total provided mineral reserves are 472891T. The proponent proposed to excavate Limestone Slabs (Black) – 33,268 TPA and Life of

- the mine is 14 years.
- c. The Department of Mines and Geology issued Extension of Letter of Intent (LOI) vide order dt. 15.02.2023 for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 01 Months from the date of issue of LOI.
 - d. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Nandyal, vide Letter dated: 23.05.2023, there are 09 existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mtrs area. **The total cluster area is > 5.0 Ha.**
 - e. This is an existing lease and obtained 1st renewal lease on 25.01.2021.
 - f. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
 - g. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ) : So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.03.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
 - h. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
 - i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Limestone Slabs (Black) – 33,268 TPA with Public Hearing** with following additional conditions:

1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
3. The project proponent shall the project proponent shall submit LOI extension letter at the time of applying for EC as the present LOI is going to expire on 14.02.2024.
4. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
5. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
6. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.26.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
7. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
8. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
9. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
10. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
11. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India

and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.

12. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No 804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

- j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day¹ SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

- l. again, this proposal placed in 259th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.

269.25 269.24	2.390 Ha. Mining of Road Metal, Building Stone & Gravel of Sri. L. Dastagiri Reddy at Sy. No. 2267 (old No.1910) of Nandyalameta Village, Mydukur Mandal, Y.S.R Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh – TOR - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/445055/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 on par with B1 (Minor Mineral). The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal, Building Stone & Gravel in an area of 2.390 Ha with a proposed production quantity of Road Metal, Building Stone - 1,01,133 m³/annum & Gravel - 55,951 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/plan. The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant, M/s SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile YSR Kadapa District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and the present mine lease details are mentioned at page No.101 of S No 95 in the DSR. - This is an existing lease area and the Department of Mines and Geology issued 1st renewal Letter of Intent (LOI) vide order dt. 14.08.2023 for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 01 year from the date of issue of LOI. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 29.08.2023 issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Kadapa, there is one existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha. - As per the approved mining plan dt.25.08.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 6,91,015 m³ of Road metal and building stone and Gravel of 3,62,801 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal and building stone of 1,01,133 m³/annum and Gravel - 55,951 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 6.83 years. - From the timeline in the KML file, the committee noted that the

proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.

- f. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O 141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
- g. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- h. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Road Metal, Building Stone- 1,01,133 m³/annum & Gravel – 55,951 m³/annum without Public Hearing and with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a detailed plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt and also allocate specific place to develop green belt at surrounding villages.
 3. The project proponent shall submit surface runoff prevention measures plan.
 4. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 5. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of

restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.

6. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
7. Credible action to be initiated through concerned regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
8. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
9. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
10. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
11. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
12. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No 804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

I. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

	j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on Implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. k. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, Issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.26	1.0 Ha, Road Metal and Building Stone of M/s. SRI RAJA PROJECTS,
269.25	at Sy. No. 01, Marturu Village, Anakapalli Mandal & District, Andhra Pradesh – TOR– Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/452079/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025

Category: B2 on par with B1 (Minor Mineral)

The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone in an area of 1.0Ha with a proposed production quantity of – 1,02,976m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The project proponent and their consultant, M/s SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Visakhapatnam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and the present mine lease details are mentioned at S.No 98 of page No.175in the DSR.
- b. This is an existing lease area and obtained work order in favour of K. Ramana Reddy on 23.11.1995 and later the K. Ramana Reddy, lessee has obtained 2nd and 3rd renewal lease on 12.07.2004 and 27.07.2015. The latest renewal lease was granted on 27.07.2015 for a period of 15 years.
- c. The Mining Department vide proceeding dt.21.07.2023issued grant for transfer of quarry lease for Road metal and building stone over an extent of 1.0 Ha in Sy. No. 01 of Marturu village, Anakapalli Mandal & District (Erstwhile Visakhapatnam District) heldby Sri. K. Ramana Reddy in favour of M/s. Sriraja Projects, Prop. Sri. V.Venkataramarajuwith a validity upto 22.12.2029 subject to submission of Approved Mining plan, EC and CTE from APPCB.
- d. As per the approved mining plan dt.11.08.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 10,21,864 M³. The proponent proposed to excavate Road metal and building stone of1,02,976 m³/annumand Life of the mine is 10 years.
- e. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 07.11.2023 issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, (FAC), Anakapalli, there are 28 existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is > 5.0 Ha.
- f. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior

- environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
- g. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- h. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Road Metal and Building stone- 1,02,976 m³/annum with Public Hearing and with following additional conditions:
- The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
 - The project proponent shall prepare a detailed plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt and also allocate specific place to develop green belt at surrounding villages.
 - The project proponent shall submit surface runoff prevention measures plan.
 - The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 - The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 - The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the

calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.

- Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional Office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1985.
- The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research Institution working in the field of environment.
- The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
- The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
- The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
- The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

- j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee

recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that-

- The consultant have attended the meeting and their PP did not.
- During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to **reject** the proposal.

269.27	0.50 Ha, Mining of Road Metal & Building Stone of Sri A.V.S.S. Rama
269.28	Raju at Sy. No. 259/1, Rolugunta Village, Rolugunta Mandal, Anakapalli District- Violation TOR - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/415314/2023

Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025

Category: B2.

The proposed project is for underground mining of Road Metal & Building Stone in an area of 0.50 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Road Metal & Building Stone – 5,482 m³ /annum with a

condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The project representative and their consultant, SV Enviro Labs & Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Visakhapatnam District and the same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting. The present mine details are mentioned at S No. 284, of Page no. 215 in DSR.
- b. As per cluster letter dt. 01.11.2023 issued by the Divisional Mines & Geology, Anakapalli, there are 02 existing quarry leases within a radius of 500 mts from periphery of the present quarry leased area. The total cluster area is < 5 Ha.
- c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 24.01.2020, the total provided mineral reserves are 73,160 m³ of Road Metal & Building Stone. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building Stone – 5,482 m³ /annum and Life of the mine is 13.0 years.
- d. This is an existing lease and the project proponent submitted production and despatch details, issued by Department of Mines and Geology vide letter dated 29.11.2022 from 2008.09 to 2022-23 (upto 16.11.2022).
- e. And whereas, pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease; And whereas, all mine lease holders are required to obtain prior environment clearance.
- f. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ) : So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed

applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF& CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.

- g. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine from after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- h. This proposal was earlier appraised in 215th SEAC meeting and the committee recommended to **raise ADS** for submission LOI extension letter from Mines and Geology Department.
- i. Accordingly, the project proponent submitted renewal of letter of Intent (LOI) vide order dt. 27.10.2023 issued by the Department of Mines and Geology for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 03 years from the date of issue of LoI.
- j. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue specific (Violation) Terms of Reference** for mining of Road Metal and Building Stone: 15,950 m³/Annum, Gravel: 6,913 m³/Annum without Public Hearing with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 3. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
 6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.

7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No 804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021
- k. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".
- l. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations,

	mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. m. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant have attended the meeting and but PP did not. • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.28 269.27	0.866 Ha. Mining of Mosaic Chips of V. Balaram at Survey No.: 185/1 Kolhapalli Village Bethamcherla Mandal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh –TOR – Violation - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/413372/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 (violation). The proposed project is for mining of Mosaic Chips in an area of 0.866 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Mosaic Chips – 10,638 TPA with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The project proponent and their consultant M/s. **Ampl Environ Pvt Ltd**, have attended the meeting and presented the case. The SEAC committee noted that:

- a. The Committee noted that as per cluster letter issued by Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Kurnool, vide Lr. dated: 31.12.2022, there are no existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mts area. **The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha**. This is a First renewal lease.
- b. And whereas, pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease; And whereas, all mine lease holders are required to obtain prior environment clearance.
- c. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF& CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- d. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine from after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- e. This proposal was placed earlier in 214th SEAC meeting and recommended to issue specific **(Violation) Terms of Reference without Public Hearing and following conditions:**
 1. The project proponent shall prepare EIA& EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including

- no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
3. The project proponent shall submit surface runoff prevention measures plan.
4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No 144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No 804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

i. This proposal was placed in 211th SEIAA meeting and the decision of the SEIAA is as follows: "Refer to SEAC to constitute the committee to visit the mine area as the broken-up area (Mined area) appears to be very large."

g. Again, this proposal was placed in 227th SEAC meeting and agreed with recommendations of SEIAA to **constitute the committee to visit the mine** with the following members.

1. Prof. K. Thyaga Raju
2. Dr.Sunandana Reddy.
3. RO, APPCB, Kurnool

h. Accordingly, the SEAC has constituted Subcommittee and the subcommittee inspected site on 05.11.2023 and the summary of the subcommittee report is as follows:

Based on observations of filed. The committee recommended the following conditions:

- It is strongly recommended to establish mine lease boundary along with proper green belt.
- It is proposed to plant trees all along the approach road and nearby vacant lands in the village.
- Proponent is suggested to prepare a plan for Restoration of benches.
- As mine operated without proper EC, can be treated as violation case.

i. Again, this proposal was placed 233rd SEAC meeting and the Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & Oms, Site visit report and detailed deliberations, **recommended to violation ToR without Public hearing with point no. E** in addition to following conditions:

1. Proponent is suggested to prepare a plan for Restoration of benches.
2. It is proposed to plant trees all along the approach road and nearby vacant lands in the village.
3. It is strongly recommended to establish mine lease boundary along with proper green belt.

ii. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows: -

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 Issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting

and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

1. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to **reject** the proposal.

269.29 4.0 Ha. Mining of Road metal by Sri S.V. Prasad Reddy at Sy. No. 01
269.28 of Mulagapudi Village, Rowthulapudi Mandal, East Godavari District-
Violation Terms of Reference - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/81632/2022

Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025

Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor mineral).

The proposed project is for mining of Mining of Road metal in an area of 4.0 Ha. with a proposed production capacity of 1,22,400 m³/annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan.

The proposed project falls under item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The Representative of the project and their consultant M/s. HECS have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile East Godavari District and the same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting. The present mine lease details are mentioned the at S.No. 259 of page No145 in the DSR.
- b. The Dy. Director of Mines & Geology, Kakinada issued work order on 13.07.2015 for a period upto 18.06.2025.
- c. As per cluster letter dated 18.04.2023 issued by the Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Rajamahendravaram, there are 11 existing quarry leases within a radius of 500 mts from periphery of the present quarry leased area. The total cluster area is > 5 Ha.
- d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 18.11.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 12,64,383 m³ of Road Metal. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal – 1,22,400 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 13.0 years.
- e. The Sarugudu Reserve Forest is existing at a distance about 400 meters proposed site and project proponent not submitted the NOC for the subject mine from Forest Department.
- f. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
- g. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So, under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the

- authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81. (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- h. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- i. This proposal was earlier appraised in 226th SEAC meeting and the Committee recommended to raise ADS for following:
- The project proponent shall submit modified mining plan as per NGT norms.
 - The project proponent shall submit latest cluster letter.
 - The project proponent shall submit forest NOC.
 - The project proponent shall upload Change of consultancy letter.
- j. Accordingly, the project proponent submitted the following ADS reply:
- As per the modified approved mining plan dt. 18.11.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 12,64,383 m³ of Road Metal. The proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal – 1,22,400 m³/annum and Life of the mine is 13.0 years. The project proponent submitted Modified mining plan duly earmarking 0.1149 Ha non-mining zone towards habitation to comply NGT norms.
 - As per cluster letter dated 18.04.2023 issued by the Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Rajamahendravaram, there are 11 existing quarry leases within a radius of 500 mts from periphery of the present quarry leased area. The total cluster area is > 5 Ha.
 - The Sarugudu Reserve Forest is existing at a distance about 400 meters from the subject mine and project proponent obtained NOC dt:17.04.2023 from District Forest Officer, Kakinada.
 - The project proponent submitted change of consultancy letter.
- k. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue specific (Violation) Terms**

of Reference for mining of Road Metal: 1,22,400 m³/annum with Public Hearing with following additional conditions:

1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
3. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research Institution working in the field of environment.
8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No 144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India

and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.

11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- m. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th. July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

- n. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to **reject** the proposal.

269.30 269.29	1.603 Ha of China Clay of M/s Coral Mineral Products Pvt. Ltd, Survey No.: 419, Dharmavaram Village, Prathipadu Mandal, Kakinada District, Erstwhile East Godavari District, A.P. – Violation TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/426284/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 (Minor Mineral) The proposed project is for mining of China Clay in an area of 1.603 Ha. of production capacity of China Clay – 21,008 TPA with a condition that total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i), Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant, M/s. Hubert Enviro Care Systems (P) Ltd have attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that: - The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile East Godavari District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and the SEAC noted that the present mine lease details are mentioned at S.No. 161 of page No. 136 in the DSR. - The Department of Mines and Geology issued work order vide order dt. 01.02.2008 for a period of 20 years. - As per the approved mining plan dt. 24.03.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 1,62,618 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate China Clay – 21,008 TPA and Life of the mine is 7.74 years. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter issued by the Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Rajamahendravaram, vide Letter dated: 04.04.2023, there are no existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha. - The project proponent submitted production and despatch details issued by the Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Rajamahendravaram vide order dated 03.04.2022 and proponent excavated 4,270 Tonnes of China Clay from 2016 to 2023. - The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the

Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.

- g. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.03.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- h. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 (from 09.07.2020 to 2022 – 2023) without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.
- i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to issue** specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of China Clay – 21,008 TPA without Public Hearing with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare EIA & EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 3. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No 28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
 6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office,

APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.

7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

	The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. I. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.31 269.30	2.429 Ha. Black Galaxy Granite of M/s. Haripriya Granite at Sy.No. 53/P, 54/1P & 54/2P RL Puram Village, Chimakurthy, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh – TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/450296/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 (Minor Mineral). The proposed project is for mining of Black Galaxy Granite in an area of 2.429 Ha. of production capacity of Black Galaxy Granite –16,020 m³ /Annum with a condition that total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).

The project proponent and their consultant, M/s. SV ENVIRO LABS & CONSULTANTS have attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Prakasam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and the SEAC noted that the present mine lease details are mentioned at S.No. 42 of page No. 101 in the DSR.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology issued 1st Renewal Letter of Intent (LOI) vide order dt. 11.09.2023 for a period of 20 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 3 years from the date of issue of Loi.
- c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 07.10.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 225481 m³. The proponent proposed to excavate Black Galaxy Granite –16020 m³ /Annum and Life of the mine is 14 years.
- d. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Ongole, vide Letter dated: 19.10.2023, there are 25 existing quarry leases, of which 20 mines were granted prior to 09.09.2013, 2 mines were granted after 09.09.2023 and 3 LoIs were issued within the radius of 500 mtrs area. **The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha (1.234 Ha + 2.429 Ha = 3.663 Ha).**
- e. This is an existing lease and the project proponent obtained grant order from Mines & Geology department vide order dated 01.04.2002 for a period of 20 years and lease was executed vide order dated 27.02.2002 with a validity upto 19.07.2022.
- f. The project proponent has obtained EC vide order dated 10.07.2013 with a production capacity of Black Galaxy Granite – 25,097 m³/annum with a validity upto 09.07.2020.
- g. The project proponent has obtained 1st renewal lease on 25.01.2021.
- h. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
- i. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ): So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i)The applications which are pending as on 31.03.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the

j. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 (from 09.07.2020 to 2022 – 2023) without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case as per OM 07.07.2021.

k. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **issue specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Black Galaxy Granite – 16,020 m³/Annum without Public Hearing** with following additional conditions:

1. The project proponent shall prepare cluster EIA& EMP.
2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
3. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
4. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
5. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
6. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
7. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation

plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.

8. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
9. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.
10. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
11. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.
12. The project proponent shall submit Certified compliance report from IRO, Vijayawada.

- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- m. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider

	violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023. n. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The consultant has attended the meeting but PP did not. • During the meeting, the consultant stated that they had informed their client about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as per the judgment dated 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.32 269.31	1.875 Ha. Black Granite of M/s. Chandra Granites at Sy.No. 117/1A(P), 117/1B (P) & 118/P Machavaram, H/o Sankavaram Village, Kanigiri Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh – Violation TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/446709/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor Mineral). The proposed project is for mining of Black Granite in an area of 1.875 Ha. of production capacity of Black Granite – 11,115 m³/Annum with a condition that total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant, M/s. SV ENVIRO LABS & CONSULTANTS have attended the meeting and presented the

proposal. The committee noted that:

- a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Prakasam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the village and the same is reported at S.No.10 of Page No. 182 of the DSR Report.
- b. The Department of Mines and Geology issued work order dated 30.04.2011 for 20 years.
- c. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Prakasam, vide Letter dated: 11.09.2023, there are 05 existing quarry leases and 5 nos. of Lol within the radius of 500 mtrs area. **The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha.**
- d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 08.09.2023, the total provided mineral reserves are 1,34,600 m³ of Black Granite and the proponent proposed to excavate Black Granite – 11,115 m³/Annum and Life of the mine is 12 years.
- e. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated the 27th February, 2012 in I.A. No.12-13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.19828-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc., prior environmental clearance has now become mandatory for mining of minor minerals and the Central Government has published notification number S.O.141(E), dated the 15th January, 2016 making prior environment clearance mandatory for all minerals (major as well as minor) irrespective of size of the mine lease.
- f. NGT order in OA No 136 of 2017 (SZ) : So under these circumstances, the application can be disposed of, giving the following directions: (i) The applications which are pending as on 31.3.2016 for Environment Clearance have to be treated as normal applications and not violation applications and the authorities are directed to dispose of those applications in accordance with law. 81 (ii) The persons who have not filed applications on or before 31.3.2016 and filed thereafter, can be treated as violation applications and the MoEF&CC /SEIAA is directed to dispose of those applications as violation cases in accordance with law. (iii) It is also made clear that all mining leases, either major or minor, even less than 5 hectares area, has to apply and get Environment Clearance as per the amended EIA Notification dated 15.1.2016. This will apply to the existing mining leases as well. The points are answered accordingly.
- g. The committee noted that the proponent operated mine after 2016 without obtaining environmental clearance as required under EIA notification 2006 after promulgation of S.O. 141 (E) dt 15.01.2016 and hence to be treated as identified violation case

as per OM 07.07.2021.

- h. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **Issue specific (Violation) Terms of Reference for mining of Black Granite – 11,115 m³/Annum without Public Hearing** with following additional conditions:
 1. The project proponent shall prepare Cluster EIA & EMP.
 2. The project proponent shall prepare a plantation plan including no. of species and type of species and area of land allocated for greenbelt.
 3. The project proponent shall submit waste management plan.
 4. The project proponent shall submit a transportation plan for mineral transportation.
 5. The project proponent shall submit plan for Restoration of benches and buffer zone in remediation plan and the cost of restoration of benches and buffer zone should be included in Bank guarantee.
 6. The proponent is liable to pay the penalties as levied by the concerned competent authority, as per the OM No.28.1.2022 and clause No 12 of SOP dated 07-07-2021, duly incorporating the total production during the violation period and submit the calculation of penalties based on the project cost and the total turnover during the violation period.
 7. Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
 8. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
 9. The project proponent shall ensure implementation of Environmental Management Plan, comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation.
 10. The project proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee

equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board.

11. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in writ petition (Civil) No.144 of 2014 in the matter of common cause verse union of India and Ors. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
12. The project proponent shall submit proof of Bank Guarantee submission as per S.O.No.804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 and payment of penalty amount as per OM dated 07.07.2021.

- l. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th. July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.

- k. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide

judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to **reject** the proposal.

269.33 The Chintalapudi Lift Irrigation Scheme is envisaged to create an
269.32 irrigation potential of 80938.75 Ha and to Stabilize an ayacut of 113314.25 Ha spread in East Godavari, Eluru, NTR, and Krishna Districts of Andhra Pradesh besides providing drinking water facilities to 25.94 Lakh people, mostly the Scheduled tribes living in 410 villages of the said districts. The project takes off from River Godavari near Tadipudi village of Tallapudi Mandal, East Godavari District - TOR – Reg. SIA/AP/RIV/435733/2023

Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025

Category: B

The proposed project is for Terms of Reference for construction of Chintalapudi Lift Irrigation Scheme (CHLIS) in Command Area: 1,94,253 Ha. at Tadipudi Village, Tallapudi Mandal, East Godavari District.

The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(c) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - "1(c)" (ii) Irrigation Projects:

Project or Activity		Category with threshold limit		Conditions if any
1	2	A	B	
1	2	3	4	5
1(C) As per S.O No. 1533 (E) dt.14.09.2006	River valley Projects	(ii) >10,000 ha. of culturable command area	(ii) <10,000 ha. of culturable command area	General Conditions shall apply
1 (C) As per S.O No.3977(E) dt.14.08.2018	(ii) Irrigation projects	(ii) >50,000 ha. of culturable command area	(ii) > 2000 ha. and < 50,000 ha. Of culturable command area.	
			Irrigation Requirement System of EC	Note: - (i) Category 'B' river valley projects falling in more than one state shall be appraised at the central Government Level
			a) Minor Irrigation	
			Exempted	

				system is 2000 Ha)		(i) Change in irrigation technology having environmental benefits (eg. From flood irrigation to Drip irrigation etc.) by an existing project leading to increase in
				(b) Medium irrigation system (> 2000 and < 10,000 ha.)	Required to prepare EMP and to be dealt at State Level (B2 category).	
				(c) Major irrigation system (≥10,000 to < 50,000 ha.)	Required to prepare EIA/EMP and to be dealt at State Level (B1 category)	Culturable Command Area but without increase in dam height and submergence, will not require amendment/revision of EC.)
1 (C) As per S.O. No.1886(E) dt.20.04.2022	(II) Irrigation Projects	(II) the entries relating thereto shall be omitted	(i) > 2000 ha. and < 50,000 ha. shall be omitted	Note:- (i) Category 'B' river valley projects falling in more than one state shall be appraised at the central Government Level		
				Irrigation System	Requirement of EC	
				(a) Minor irrigation system (≤ 2000 Ha)	Exempted	(i) Change in
				(b) Medium irrigation system (> 2000 and < 10,000 ha.)	Required to prepare EMP and to be dealt at State Level (B2 category).	irrigation technology having environmental benefits (eg. From flood irrigation to Drip irrigation etc.) by an existing project leading to increase in
				(c) Major irrigation system (≥10,000 to < 50,000 ha.) shall be omitted.	Required to prepare EIA/EMP and to be dealt at State Level (B1 category)	Culturable Command Area but without increase in dam height and submergence, will not require amendment/revision of EC.)
						(ii) Irrigation projects involving Inter-State issues shall be appraised

				at Central level without change in category II.
The Superintending Engineer Pulichintala Project Circle Water Resources Department and their consultant M/s. Pragathi labs & Consultants Private Limited, have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Committee noted that: 1. The project is located at distance of 12 Km on D/s of Polavaram Project and 27.20 Km on U/s of SAC Barrage near Tadipudi Village in Tadipudi Mandal of East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh. 2. The Government of Andhra Pradesh accorded administration sanction vide G.O MS No:207 Dt: 24.10.2008 for construction of Chintalapudi Lift Irrigation Scheme, West Godavari District under Indira Sagar Right Right Main Canal to provide water to an ayacut of 2.00 lakh acres in West Godavari and Krishna Districts. 3. This Scheme envisages to create an Irrigation potential of 80,938.75 Ha and stabilizes the distressed ayacut of 113,314.25 Ha under 'Nagarjunasagar Project Left Canals & 3 other medium irrigation projects' by pumping 194.52 Cumecs from River Godavari in two stages to main canal. 4. The total length of the CHLIS project canal is 106.25 KM and proposed construct under two stages, i.e., 0 to 82 KM under stage- I and 82 KM to 106.25 Km under stage -II. 5. This project in connection with 5 Ayacuts i.e., i). CHLIS New Ayacut- 80939 Ha, ii). Kovvada Kalva Ayacut- 6880 Ha, iii). Yerra Kalva Ayacut- 9713 Ha, iv). Tammileru Ayacut- 11736 Ha and v). NSLC Ayacut- 84986 Ha and total Ayacut of the project is 1,94,253 Ha. 6. The project area falls under Seismic Zone-III and categorized under Moderate Risk zone. 7. The project is originally contemplated during the year 2008-09 to create an IP of 80939 Ha (2,00,000 Acres) of New Ayacut by lifting 56 Cumecs of Godavari Surplus Water at a cost of Rs.1701.00 Crores. 8. Subsequently, after bifurcation of the erstwhile Andhra Pradesh, the tail-end ayacut of Nagarjuna Sagar Left Canal (NSLC) is distressed as it is not getting its due share due to over-tapping in upstream locations in Telangana state. 9. The Government of Andhra Pradesh formulated the Phase-II of the Scheme for stabilisation of 1,13,314 Ha (2,80,000 Acres) which includes the tail-end Ayacut of NSLC and the ayacut under three other Medium Irrigation Projects of the region by enhancing the lifting discharge to 194.52 Cumecs.				

10. The project is resumed with revised scope of work in the year 2017 with an enhanced discharge of 194.52 Cumecs, with a revised estimate cost of Rs. 4909.80 Crores, which includes the Stage-IA & IIA Lift Systems.
11. The works were under progress till 2022 and are halted as per the Hon'ble NGT orders in O.A. No. 175 of 2018 (SZ). The Hon'ble NGT vide order dt. 02.12.2021 imposed Rs. 73,635 Crores (i.e., 1.5% of Rs. 4909 Crores) as Environmental Compensation to Chintalapudi LIS, as the works were started without obtaining statutory clearances. Hence, it can be considered as a violation case.
12. The project proponent has not paid the Environmental Compensation. Instead, the proponent moved to the court of law and the court proceedings are still going on.
13. The proposal involves lifting of water from the River Godavari directly. In such case whether the water to be lifted is within the allocated water to the state from River Godavari or not? Clarity has not been provided by the proponent. If the water to be lifted falls beyond the allocated share of water to the state of AP, the proposal attracts interstate issue and falls in category A.
14. The project proponent has conducted hydrology survey report and observed that 3000 to 4000 TMC of flood water flowing unused to Bay of Bengal, every year and 75% dependability yield as approved by CWC is 972.13 TMC.
15. The total demand of Polavaram Irrigation Project and other projects is 532.45 TMC & Net availability is 439.68 TMC, whereas the demand of the scheme is 53.50 TMC and the project proponent proposed to utilize 9.583 TMC for Paddy, 2.536 TMC for Chillies, 20.358 TMC for Ground nut, 2.550 TMC for Drinking water supply, 14.0 TMC for Future requirements and 4.483 TMC of water for Supplementation of MI Tanks.
16. The project proponent proposed for 352.40 Ha Forest land acquisition (Land Diverted- 347.64 Ha & Land to be diverted- 4.76 Ha) and also 3398.22 Ha of Private land out 1755.97 Ha of land acquired and 1642.255 Ha of land to be acquired.
17. There are 20 WPs pending in Hon'ble High Court praying for Higher compensation for an extent of 114.93 Ha and the compensation paid as per LAR & R-2013 Act and so far, the expenditure is Rs. 678.01 Crores on acquisition of Lands.
18. The project proponent has not furnished details of resettlement and rehabilitation.
19. The SEAC committee directed the project proponent to furnish i) all statutory G.O pertaining to this project; ii) submit forest Clearance & CWC approvals, iii) submit Hydrological clearances, iv) submit affidavit for stating that No Earthens dams and No reservoirs are proposed to be constructed in the project, v) submit details of rivers/canals crossing/ passing through this project, vi) compliance on the Hon'ble NGT order dt. 02.12.2021 and vii) justification on

- taking up of the project at SEIAA level as category – B in light of the irrigation projects involving Inter-State issues shall be appraised at Central level as category – A.
20. The committee also recommended to request the SEIAA to obtain Legal opinion from their standing council at NGT, Chennai for appraisal of the present application in light of the orders of the Hon'ble NGT dt. 02.12.2021 and other writ petitions pending in Court of Law on this project.
 21. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, it is recommended
 1. to request the SEIAA to obtain Legal opinion for the appraisal of the present application considering the orders of the Hon'ble NGT dt. 02.12.2021 and other writ petitions pending in Court of Law on this project.
 2. to request the SEIAA to obtain justification from the project proponent on status of project i.e., either category B or A. (due to the status mentioned at para no: "m" above).
 3. it is further recommended to obtain the following information from the proponent for further processing of the application if the application is categorized under 'B' category.
 22. The project proponent shall furnish the all-statutory G.O pertaining to this project
 23. The project proponent shall submit clarity on Stage-I & Stage-II work progress.
 24. The project proponent shall submit Forest Clearance & CWC approvals of the project.
 25. The project proponent shall submit Hydrological clearances of the project.
 26. The project proponent shall submit affidavit for stating that No Earthen dams and No reservoirs are proposed to be constructed in the project.
 27. The project proponent shall submit details of rivers/canals crossing/ passing through this project.
 28. The project proponent shall furnish the compliance on the Hon'ble NGT order dt. 02.12.2021.
 29. The project proponent shall furnish justification on taking up of the project at SEIAA level as category – B in light of the irrigation projects involving Inter-State issues shall be appraised at Central level as category – A.
 30. The Recommendations of SEAC was placed in 233rd & 253rd SEIAA meeting and decision of SEIAA is as follows: Refer to SEAC to examine with reference to representation submitted by the project proponent and recent MoEF&CC Notifications and Court cases.
 31. Again, this proposal is placed in 261st SEAC meeting and then the

committee noted that:

- The committee directed the project proponent to upload representation which is submitted physically to SEIAA and also recommended to raise ADS for uploading the following documents:
 1. The project proponent shall furnish the all-statutory G.O pertaining to this project
 2. The project proponent shall submit clarity on Stage-I & Stage-II work progress.
 3. The project proponent shall submit Forest Clearance & CWC approvals of the project.
 4. The project proponent shall submit Hydrological clearances of the project.
 5. The project proponent shall submit affidavit for stating that No Earthen dams and No reservoirs are proposed to be constructed in the project.
 6. The project proponent shall submit details of rivers/canals crossing/ passing through this project.
 7. The project proponent shall furnish the compliance on the Hon'ble NGT order dt. 02.12.2021.
 8. Accordingly, the project proponent submitted ADS reply and again, this proposal was placed in 262nd SEAC meeting and noted that:
 - As per the Memo dt.03.10.2024, this proposal is for construction of phase -I components only i.e.,
 - a. Pump Houses & Pressure mains of 1 & 1 A.
 - b. Leading Channel from KM 0.00 to 13.22 KM.
 - c. Pump Houses & Pressure Mains of 2 & 2 A.
 - d. Saddle bunds.
 - e. Main Canal from KM: 0.00 to 106.25 including its Branch canals.
 - f. Stabilization of 0.7 lakh acres under three medium, irrigation projects viz; Kovvada kalava, Yerra Kalava & Thammileru by constructing 3 link canals.
 - g. Distributary network for creation of new ayacut of 2,00,000 Ac and other associated civil works.

26. The project proposed to Execute phase-I without Jalleru Reservoir

27. The project proponent submitted following compliance on the Hon'ble NGT order dt. 02.12.2021.

NGT orders	Compliance
Environmental compensation Rs.73.635 Cr to be paid (1.5 % of	In obedience of the Hon'ble NGT court orders, works are stopped since March 2022. The Environmental compensation imposed as 1.5 % of Project cost (Rs. 73.60 Cr) appears to be arbitrary and

Rs.4909 Cr)	does not reflect the actual damage. As such, the GoAP filed a civil appeal in WP NO. 3863 of 2022 before the Hon'ble supreme court with a plea that the actual damage may please be assessed by joint committee of experts constituted by CPCB. The project authorities agree to pay the compensation amount as decided by the Joint committee.
Obtain all statutory clearances/Consents/NoCs	In obedience of Hon'ble NGT court orders, the project authorities approached SEIAA for acceptance of ToR for preparation of EIA & EMP. There is some delay due to Hon'ble supreme court stay orders in processing violation cases, which relaxed recently.
Compliance Report submission MoEF&CC and CPCB	MoEF&CC (HQ, Vijayawada and CPCB, Bangalore were requested by the Project proponent to respond to the NGT court instructions regarding submission of Compliance report vide Lr. No. EE/PP37/VJA/84m, dt.06.06.2024, (Annexure-8). The reply is awaited.

28. Proper Cross Drainage structures like Under Tunnels/Aqueducts/Viaducts are provided for safe passage while crossing rivers and canals and Crossings of Rivers/Canals:

S No	Chainage	Component	Crossing name	Proposed structure
1.	KM 10.420	Leading channel	Polavaram Right Main Canal	Inlet & Outlet
2.	KM 9.400	Main Canal	Turpu Kaluva	Under Tunnel
3.	KM 16.815	Main Canal	Bayaneru Vagu	Under Tunnel
4.	KM 25.800	Main Canal	Jalleru Vagu (Left Arm)	Under Tunnel
5.	KM 30.990	Main Canal	Jalleru Vagu (Right Arm)	Viaduct
6.	KM 82.545	Main Canal	Thammileru River	Aqueduct

29. The Superintending Engineer, Pulichintala Project circle, Vissannapeta, submitted affidavit dt.24.02.2025 duly stating that no Earthen dams or Reservoirs are proposed to be constructed under phase-I proposal of the project for which TOR is placed before SEAC.
30. The total project cost of phase-I proposal is 7601. 74 Crs.
31. During the meeting the Chief Engineer informed that Andhra Pradesh, being a tail-end state, has rights to utilise surplus flood waters of River Godavari as per the Inter-State Agreement between the States of

Maharashtra, And Erstwhile states of Madhya Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh, endorsed by Godavari Water Dispute Tribunal (GWDT) in its final award. And also informed that the average annual flood waters join sea is more than 3000 TMC.

32. This phase-I proposal is to lift 15.5 TMC of flood water.
33. The Project proponent vide letter dt.20.11.2017 obtained Stage-I forest Clearance and vide letter dt.28.11.2018 obtained stage-II clearance from MoEF&CC, Govt New Delhi. (Forest Conservation Division).

The Committee re-after examining the project proposals, ADS replies, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended **to issue Violation Terms of reference with Public hearing** with the following additional TOR conditions:

- a. The project proponent shall prepare EIA & EMP.
- b. This TOR is issued without any prejudice to the final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition(s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023.
- c. The final court orders to be complied by the proponent.
- d. The project proponent shall submit tree enumeration.
- e. The project proponent shall submit greenbelt development plan in 1:2 ratio.
- f. The project proponent shall submit Endemic species if any in subject area.
- g. The project proponent shall submit Endangered species if any in subject area.
- h. R&R Plan/compensation details for the Project Affected People (PAP) should be furnished. While preparing the R&R Plan, the relevant State/National Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy should be kept in view. In respect of SCs /STs and other weaker sections of the society in the study area, a need based sample survey, family-wise, should be undertaken to assess their requirements, and action programmes prepared and submitted accordingly, integrating the sectoral programmes of line departments of the State Government. It may be clearly brought out whether the village(s) located in the project will be shifted or not. The issues relating to shifting of village(s) including their R&R and socio-economic aspects should be discussed in the Report.
- i. Details of Flora and Fauna reported in submergence area. Nos. of tree along with their density and nomenclature of the tree species required to be felled

for project and other project component.

- j. The project proponent shall carry assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as an independent chapter in the environment impact assessment report by the accredited consultants. The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research institution working in the field of environment.
- k. **This Violation Terms of Reference (ToR) is being issued in accordance with the Office Memorandum (OM) dated 26th March 2025, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India.**

Decision of SEIAA:- Refer to SEAC to examine with the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dt.16.05.2025 in W.P.No.1394/2023.

i. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to

	reject the proposal.
269.34 269.33	2.55 Ha Road Metal & Building Stone Mine of M/s. Rock Sand Minerals Pvt Ltd. at Sy. No. 84, Vetajangapalem Village, Anakapalli Mandal, Anakapalli District (erstwhile Visakhapatnam District), A.P. – TOR Amendment - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/299552/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 - The project proponent vide order dated 12.01.2023 obtained violation TOR with public hearing for production of Road Metal & Building stone -12,270 m³/annum. - Now, the proponent applied for amendment to TOR in the parivesh portal requesting to issue violation TOR without Public Hearing as the subject mine was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and to change production capacity as 1,22,700 m³/annum as per mining plan dated 18.11.2022 and TOR application dated 26.11.2022. - The committee verified mining plan, TOR application and noted that the production capacity of Road Metal & Building Stone was mentioned as 12,270 m³/annum instead of 1,22,700 m³/annum in the TOR dt. 12.01.2023, due to typographical error. - The committee verified cluster letter dt. 30.08.2022 and S.O No. 2269 (E) dt 01.07.2016 issued by MoEF&CC, Govt for the cluster applicability. - The committee noted that the Hon'ble NGT vide its order dt. 13.09.2018 in O.A. No. 186 of 2016 directed as follows: “(iii) if a cluster or an individual lease size exceeds 5 Ha. the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance;” - From the above it is evident that subject mine shall be considered for the cluster situation and the committee reiterated earlier stand of SEAC that the applicability of cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letters 30.08.2022 issued by the Asst., Director of Mines & Geology, Anakapalli, there are 38 existing quarry leases with a total cluster area of 32.65 Ha and the applicable cluster area is of 12.25 Ha (which includes subject mine of 2.55 Ha) within the radius of 500 mtrs area. Therefore, the project proponent has to go for Public Hearing, as per S.O. No. 2269 (E) dt. 01.07.2016. - Hence, the committee recommended to issue Amendment to the TOR dt. 12.01.2023 for change in the production of Road Metal & Building stone from 12,270 m³/annum to 1,22,700 m³/annum.

The committee also recommended that earlier TOR dt. 12.01.2023 issued with public hearing holds good as the cluster area is more than 5 ha. (includes subject mine).

i. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, the committee recommended to **issue** Amendment to the TOR dt. 12.01.2023 for change in the production of Road Metal & Building stone from 12,270 m³/annum to 1,22,700 m³/annum. The committee also recommended that earlier TOR dt. 12.01.2023 issued with public hearing holds good as the cluster area is more than 5 ha. (includes subject mine).

j. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-
 "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- k. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

- l. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O.

	804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.35 269.34	2.0 Ha Road Metal & Building Stone Quarry of M/s. Padmavathi Stone Crusher at Sy. No: 20, Ramaswamipeta, Vepada Mandal, Vizianagaram District - TOR Amendment - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/303991/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 - The proponent obtained violation TOR with public hearing for Road Metal & Building stone with production capacity of 76,765 m³/annum vide order dated 18.08.2023. - Now, the proponent applied for amendment to TOR in the parivesh portal requesting to issue violation TOR without Public Hearing as the subject mine was granted prior to 09.09.2013. - The committee verified cluster letter dt. 31.03.2023 and S.O No. 2269 (E) dt.01.07.2016 issued by MoEF&CC, Govt for the cluster applicability. - The committee noted that the Hon'ble NGT vide its order dt. 13.09.2018 in O.A. No. 186 of 2016 directed as follows: "(iii) if a cluster or an individual lease size exceeds 5 Ha, the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance;" - From the above, it is evident that subject mine shall be considered for the cluster situation and the committee reiterated earlier stand of SEAC that the applicability of cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letters 31.03.2023 issued by the Asst., Director of Mines & Geology, Vizianagaram, there are 05 existing quarry leases with a total cluster area of 10.44 Ha and the applicable cluster area is of 7.94 Ha (which includes subject mine of 2.00 Ha) within the radius of 500 mtrs area. Therefore, the project proponent has to go for Public Hearing, as per S.O. No. 2269 (E) dt. 01.07.2016. - Hence, committee treated that it is a violation case and hence committee opined that earlier TOR issued vide order dated 18.08.2023 holds good. - The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and

	detailed deliberations, recommended to reject the request of the project proponent for TOR Amendment without Public Hearing as cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area and the previous TOR dated 18.08.2023 holds good. i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:- "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases" j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant have attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.35	8.798 Ha of Mica, Quartz, Feldspar & Vermiculite Mine of M.Hemanth Kumar, Sy. No.109,110/1,111,125/1 of Chimadide village, Gudur

Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh-Terms of Reference-Amendment - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/304225/2023

Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025

The Project proponent and their consultant, M/s. Global Enviro labs have attended the meeting and presented their case.

- a. The project proponent has obtained violation TOR vide order dt. 12.04.2022 for production capacity of Mica, Quartz, Feldspar & Vermiculite - 24166 TPA and the same is valid for three years.
- b. The project proponent has applied for amendment to the TOR to include Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional Office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
- c. The SEAC committee verified LOI, Mining plan, violation TOR application and noted that the project proponent has applied for violation TOR and SEAC issued violation TOR without mentioning that Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional Office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
- d. Hence, the committee recommended to issue Amendment of TOR by including Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional Office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
- e. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to issue** Amendment of TOR by including Credible action to be initiated through concerned Regional Office, APPCB under section 15 read with section 19 of E(P) Act 1986.
- f. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- g. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed

	deliberations, recommended to further consideration in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil No(s). 1394/2023 again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that: • The PP and their consultant have not attended the meeting. • The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.37 269.38	3.30 Ha Road Metal & Building Stone Quarry of M/s. SGX Minerals Pvt Ltd at Sy. No: 01, Marturu village, Anakapalli Mandal, Anakapalli District – TOR Amendment - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/306261/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 a. The proponent obtained violation TOR with public hearing for Road Metal & Building stone with production capacity of 59,472 m³/annum vide order dated 18.08.2023. b. Now, the proponent applied for amendment to TOR in the parivesh portal requesting to issue violation TOR without Public Hearing as the subject mine was granted prior to 09.09.2013. c. The committee verified cluster letter dt. 28.04.2023 and S.O No. 2269 (E) dt 01.07.2016 issued by MoEF&CC, GoI for the cluster applicability. d. The committee noted that the Hon'ble NGT vide its order dt. 13.09.2018 in O.A. No. 185 of 2016 directed as follows: “(iii) if a cluster or an individual lease size exceeds 5 Ha. the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance;”

- e. From the above it is evident that subject mine shall be considered for the cluster situation and the committee reiterated earlier stand of SEAC that the applicability of cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area.
- f. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letters 28.04.2023 issued by the Asst., Director of Mines & Geology, Ankapalli, there are 32 existing quarry leases with a total cluster area of 115.262 Ha and the applicable cluster area is of 24.06 Ha (which includes subject mine of 3.30 Ha) within the radius of 500 mtrs area. Therefore, the project proponent has to go for Public Hearing, as per S.O. No. 2269 (E) dt. 01.07.2016.
- g. Hence, committee treated that it is a violation case and hence committee opined that earlier TOR issued vide order dated 18.08.2023 holds good.
- h. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **reject** the request of the project proponent for TOR Amendment without Public Hearing as cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area and the previous TOR dated 18.08.2023 holds good.
- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-
"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

- k. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:
 - The PP and their consultant have attended the meeting.
 - The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued

	an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021. In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.38 269.37	3.0 Ha Road Metal, Building Stone & Gravel of M/s. SGX Minerals Pvt Ltd at Sy. No: 01, Marturu village, Anakapalli Mandal, Anakapalli District – TOR Amendment - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/306270/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 - The proponent obtained violation TOR with public hearing for Road Metal & Building stone with production capacity of 53,419 m³/annum and Gravel - 5905 m³/annum vide order dated 17.10.2023. - Now, the proponent applied for amendment to TOR in the Parvesh portal requesting to issue violation TOR without Public Hearing as the subject mine was granted prior to 09.09.2013. - The committee verified cluster letter dt. 28.04.2023 and S.O No. 2269 (E) dt 01.07.2016 issued by MoEF&CC, Govt for the cluster applicability. - The committee noted that the Hon'ble NGT vide its order dt. 13.09.2018 in O.A. No. 186 of 2016 directed as follows: “(iii) if a cluster or an individual lease size exceeds 5 Ha. the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance;” - From the above it is evident that subject mine shall be considered for the cluster situation and the committee reiterated earlier stand of SEAC that the applicability of cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letters 28.04.2023 issued by the Asst., Director of Mines & Geology, Anakapalli, there are 32 existing quarry leases with a total cluster area of 115.262

Ha and the applicable cluster area is of 24.06 Ha (which includes subject mine of 3.0 Ha) within the radius of 500 mtrs area. Therefore, the project proponent has to go for Public Hearing, as per S.O. No. 2269 (E) dt. 01.07.2016.

- g. Hence, committee treated that it is a violation case and hence committee opined that earlier TOR issued vide order dated 17.10.2023 holds good.
- h. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to reject** the request of the project proponent for TOR Amendment without Public Hearing as cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area and the previous TOR dated 17.10.2023 holds good.
- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is -

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases"

- j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day 1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

- k. again, this proposal placed in 259th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide

	Judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that SEIAA to reject the proposal. Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the proposal.
269.39 269.38	2.0 Ha, Mining of Road Metal & Building stone of M/s Vigneswara Granite Metal Industries at Survey No.: 01 Marturu Village Anakapalli Tehsil, Visakhapatnam District Andhra Pradesh –TOR- Amendment - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/306472/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1 - The proponent obtained violation TOR with public hearing for Road Metal & Building stone with production capacity of 68,612 m³/annum vide order dated 20.10.2023. - Now, the proponent applied for amendment to TOR in the Parvesh portal requesting to issue violation TOR without Public Hearing as the subject mine was granted prior to 09.09.2013. - The committee verified cluster letter dt. 17.12.2022 & 14.11.2023 and S.O No. 2269 (E) dt 01.07.2016 issued by MoEF&CC, Govt for the cluster applicability. - The committee noted that the Hon'ble NGT vide its order dt. 13.09.2018 in O.A. No. 186 of 2016 directed as follows: “(iii) if a cluster or an individual lease size exceeds 5 Ha, the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance;” - From the above it is evident that subject mine shall be considered for the cluster situation and the committee reiterated earlier stand of SEAC that the applicability of cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area. - The Committee noted that as per the cluster letters 17.12.2022 & 14.11.2023 issued by the Asst. Director of Mines & Geology, Ananthpuramu, there are 27 existing quarry leases with a total cluster area of 60.07 Ha and the applicable cluster area is of 7.50 Ha (which includes subject mine of 2.00 Ha) within the radius of 500 mtrs area. Therefore, the project proponent has to go for Public Hearing, as per S.O. No. 2269 (E) dt. 01.07.2016. - Hence, committee treated that it is a violation case and hence committee opined that earlier TOR issued vide order dated

20.10.2023 holds good.

- h. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended **to reject** the request of the project proponent for TOR Amendment without Public Hearing as cluster situation includes the subject mine to calculate the cluster area and the previous TOR dated 20.10.2023 holds good.

- i. The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:-

"Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases".

- j. Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended **to further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s). 1394/2023.

- k. again, this proposal placed in 259th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to

	reject the proposal.
269.40 269.39	2.50 Ha Road Metal & Building Stone of M/s. High Yield Estates Pvt Ltd., Sy. No: 7, Pedadungada Village, Vepada Mandal, Vizianagaram District- TOR Amendment - Reg. SIA/AP/MIN/307331/2023
	Recommendation of SEAC on 31.07.2025 Category: B2 at par with B1. The Project proponent and their consultant Ecomen Laboratories Private Limited, have attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The SEAC committee noted that: - The project proponent obtained violation TOR with public hearing vide order dt. 26.04.2022 to Sri K Srinivasa Rao for mining of Road metal & Building Stone – 93,872 m³/annum and the valid of TOR order is valid for 3 years. - Now the project proponent applied for amendment of TOR for enhancement of production capacity (average production) and to change name of the mine lease. - The Department of Mines & Geology, vide order dated 20.10.2023 transferred quarry lease in favour of M/s. High Yield Estates Pvt Ltd., Mg Ptr: Sri S. Appala Naidu. - The project proponent submitted approved mining plan dt. 01.12.2023 in the name of M/s. High Yield Estates Pvt Ltd., Mg Ptr: Sri S. Appalanaidu and the total proved mineral reserves of Road Metal & Building Stone – 10,65,410 m³. The project proponent proposed to excavate Road Metal & Building Stone – 1,05,000 m³/annum and the Life of the mine is 10 years. - The committee verified the application, approved mining plan, TOR order dt. 26.04.2022 and recommended to issue TOR amendment for enhancement of Road Metal & Building Stone 1,05,000 m³/annum in favour of M/s. High Yield Estates Pvt Ltd., Mg Ptr: Sri S. Appala Naidu. - The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to issue TOR amendment for enhancement of Road Metal & Building Stone – 1,05,000 m³/annum in favour of M/s. High Yield Estates Pvt Ltd., Mg Ptr: Sri S. Appala Naidu. - The project proposal along with recommendation of SEAC was placed in SEIAA meeting and Decision of SEIAA is as follows:- "Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated 08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases". - Again, this proposal was placed in 240th day1 SEAC meeting

and the committee noted that the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 02.01.2024 imposing stay with reference to the SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 vide Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s), 1394/2023. Hence, the committee recommended to consider all violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s), 1394/2023.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OM's and detailed deliberations, recommended to **further consideration** in light of stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on implementation of SOP dt. 07th, July 2021 and OM dt 28th January 2022 and to consider violation proposals after final outcome of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (s) Civil) No(s), 1394/2023.

i. again, this proposal placed in 269th meeting and noted that:

- The PP and their consultant not attended the meeting.
- The committee noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India, issued an Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025. The memorandum states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023, has quashed Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017, along with the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021.

In light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dt. 16.05.2025 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No. 1394/2023 and Office Memorandum dated 26.05.2025 wherein Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017 and the Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) dated 07.07.2021 were struck down, the Committee recommended that **SEIAA to reject** the proposal.

Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to **reject** the proposal.

SPECIAL SECRETARY TO GOVT

S. Sri Saravanan, I.F.S.

D r
Srimurali

Muduru Shri Bandia Siva Sankar
Prasad, I.F.S. (Retired).

Special Secretary to Govt.,
Environment, Forests, Sciences
and Technology Department,

Professor of Civil
Engineering,
Sri Venkateswara

Chairman,
SEIAA, A.P

Govt. of Andhra Pradesh & University, Tirupati
Member Secretary, SEIAA, A.P.