

State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority-Karnataka

(Constituted by MoEF, Government of India, under section 3(3) of E(P) Act, 1986)

Proceedings of the 229th SEIAA Meeting held on 21st February 2023 at 11:00 AM at Room No. 709, 7th Floor, Gate IV, M.S Building, Bangalore-560001.

Members present: -

1. Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha -

Chairman, SEIAA

2. Shri. K. N. Shivalinge Gowda -

Member, SEIAA

3. Shri. Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS

Member Secretary, SEIAA

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The subjects discussed and the decisions made on each of the agenda points are as follows:

229.1. Fresh Projects (Recommended for EC):

Construction Projects:

229.1.1. Residential Apartment with club house Project at Sy. Nos.32, 33, 34, 35, 36/3, 36/4 and 42 of Alahalli Village, Uttarahalli Hobli, Bangalore South Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. NCC Urban Infrastructure Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/407188/2022 (SEIAA 165 CON 2022)

M/S. NCC Urban Infrastructure Ltd have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment with Club house Project on a plot area of 19,120.81 Sqm. The total built up area is 73,190.41 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 272 No's Units with 2B+G+17UF and Club house having G+2UF. Total water consumption is 190 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 162 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 170 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 157.5 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

51. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the	
1	Project Proponent	M/S. NCC Urban Infrastructure Ltd
		At "NCC Urban Windsor" 3rd Floor,
		Opposite to Jakkur Aerodrome, New
		Airport Road, Bengaluru-560064.
2	Name & Location of the	Residential Apartment with Club house
	Project	Sy. Nos.32, 33, 34, 35, 36/3, 36/4 and 42 of
	,	Alahalli Village, UttarahalliHobli,

Room No. 706, 7th Floor, 4th Gate, M.S. Building, Bangalore - 560 001 Phone : 080-22032497 Fax : 080-22254377 Website : http://environmentclearance.nic.in http://seiaa.karnataka.gov.in e-mail : msseiaakarnataka@gmail.com

	·		Bangalore South Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District.	
	3	Type of Development		
	a. Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other		Residential Apartment with Club house Category 8(a) as per EIA Notification 2006.	
!	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not Applicable	
	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New	
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Avalahalli Lake is adjacent to the project site	
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	19,120.81 Sqm	
	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	73,190.41 Sqm.	
	8	FAR	2.25	
		 Permissible 	2.24	
		 Proposed 		
	9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	2B+G+17UF Club house: G+2UF	
	10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	272 No's	
	11	Height Clearance	As per CCZM permissible top elevation is 1035m AMSL and proposed top elevation is 962.50m AMSL	
	12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	157.5 Crores.	
	13	Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth	NA	
	14_	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	5,489.43Sqm	
	b.	Kharab Land		
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother	6,309.87Sqm	
		Earth for projects under 8(a)		

Drafted by

1. 2

	of the schedules of the EIA					
<u> </u>	notification, 2006					
d.	Paved area	4,546.23 Sqm				
e.	Others Specify	Road widening area - 677.68 Sqm				
		Service and Open areas - 2,098.60 Sqm				
f.	Parks and Open space in					
	case of Residential					
1	Township/ Area	LE CONTRACTOR DE				
	Development Projects					
g.	Total	19,120.81 Sqm	1			
15	WATER					
I.	Construction Phase	······				
a.	Source of water	STP treated v	vater for construction purpose			
		& Tanker wat	ter for domestic purpose.			
b.	Quantity of water for	10 KLD				
	Construction in KLD					
c.	Quantity of water for	5 KLD				
	Domestic Purpose in KLD					
d.	Wastewater generation in	4 KLD				
	KLD					
e.	Treatment facility proposed	Mobile STP.				
	and scheme of disposal of					
	treated water					
II.	Operational Phase					
a.	Total Requirement of Water	Fresh	126 KLD			
	in KLD	Recycled	64 KLD			
		Total	190KLD			
b.	Source of water	BWSSB				
c.	Wastewater generation in		· -			
	KLD					
d.	STP capacity	170 KLD				
e .			ch Reactor (SBR) Technology			
	Treatment		,			
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Available trea	ated water - 154 KLD (95% of			
~	treated water if any	sewage water	•			
	House was 1 any	For flushing - 64 KLD				
		For gardening – 32 KLD				
		For Car washing – 15 KLD				
11		Other construction purpose - 43 KLD				
16	Infrastructure for Rainwater		autori punpoor av autori			
a.	Capacity of sump tank to		Days storage)			
a.	store Roof run off	Can (2 L	-,			
b.	No's of Ground water	32no's	-			
"	recharge pits					
<u> </u>	- serme de pro-	L.,				

Drafted by Le

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}$

17	Storm water management	Congresso and indonondont vointrates		
17	Storm water management	Separate and independent rainwater drainage system will be provided for		
	plan	collecting rainwater from terrace and		
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	paved area, lawn & roads.		
	Construction Phase			
_		Orantite: 10Va/dos:		
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of	Quantity - 10Kg/day		
	Disposal as per norms	Solid waste will be generated and collected manually and handed over to local body for		
	Disposar as per norms	further processing		
II.	Operational Phase	Turdier processing		
		Organitar 256Va/day		
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable	Quantity -256Kg/day		
	waste generation and mode	Organic wastes will be segregated &		
	of Disposal as per norms	collected separately and processed in		
		organic waste converterSludge generated		
		from STP of capacity 8.1Kg/day will be		
		reused as manure for greenery development		
1 _h	Quantity of Non-	purposes.		
b .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Quantity – 383kg/day		
	Biodegradable waste	Recyclable waste will be given to the waste		
	generation and mode of	collectors for recycling for further		
	Disposal as per norms	processing.		
c.	Quantity of Hazardous	Waste oil of 462.52 l/annum will be		
	Waste generation and mode	generated from the DG sets will be collected		
	of Disposal as per norms	in leak proof barrels and handed over to the		
d.	Quantity of E waste	authorized waste oil recyclers. E-Wastes will be collected & stored in bins		
u.	generation and mode of			
	Disposal as per norms	and disposed to the authorized & approved KSPCB E-waste processors.		
19	POWER	RSPCB E-waste processors.		
a.	Total Power Requirement -	RECCOM 1000 LVA		
4.	Operational Phase	DIESCOVI - 1700 KVA		
b.		1X500 kVA and 1X 380 kVA		
"	capacity in KVA for	17,000 KYZ MIM 17,000 KYZ		
	Standby Power Supply			
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG	Diacol		
`	Set	Diesel		
d.		Energy conservation devices such as Solar		
"		energy, Copper wound transformer are		
		proposed in the project and total savings is		
	utilization of solar energy as			
	per ECBC 2007	<u> </u>		
20	PARKING	<u> </u>		
a.	Parking Requirement as per	590 ECS		
"	norms	070 100		
	11011110			

Drafted by

Y4

b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	LoS: B
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8m wide
21	CER Activities	Beautification of Avalahalli Lake by implementing stone pitching and plantation around the lake.
22	EMPConstruction phaseOperation Phase	Construction phase - 12.06 lakh Operational Phase - 267 lakh

The proposal is for construction of Residential building in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for drain and water body as per village map, proposed provisions for rainwater harvesting. The proponent informed that as per village map 30mtr buffer from the edge is proposed for the water body in east and for the drains passing inside the plot area proponent informed that as per RTC no B Kharab in the proposed site area and A Kharab is regularized. For harvesting rain water, they have proposed tank of 350cum for runoff from rooftop and for runoff from landscape and paved areas 32nos recharge pits proposed within the project site area. Further the committee informed the proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed to grow total of 262 trees in the project site area. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall submit a detailed scheme for Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) with BNR system.
- 2. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 3. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 4. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 5. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 6. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 7. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

Mining Projects:

229.1.2. Sand Block No. BLY OSB 13 Project at Sy. No. 505 (PART) of Hacholli Village, Siraguppa Taluk, Ballari District (34-00 Acres) by M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/406939/2021 (SEIAA 422 MIN 2021)

M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Sand Block No. BLY OSB 13 Project at Sy. No. 505 (PART) of Hacholli Village, Siraguppa Taluk, Ballari District (34-00 Acres)

6

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No.	F	PARTICULARS	1	INFORMATIO	ON		
1		Address of the	1 '	M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation			
2		Proponent Location of the Projec	ct Sand Blo 505 (PAI	Ltd. Sand Block No. BLY OSB 13 Project at Sy. No. 505 (PART) of Hacholli Village, Siraguppa Taluk, Ballari District (34-00 Acres)			
			Points	Longitude	Latitude		
			Α	E-76° 59' 11.2"	N-15º 47' 49.2"		
	ļ		В	E-76º 59' 14.9"	N-15º 47' 46.4"		
			С	E-76º 58' 55.9"	N-15º 47' 22.6"		
			D	E-76º 58' 51.9"	N-15º 47' 26.0"		
3	Type Of		Sand Blo	ck			
4		expansion /	New				
<u> </u>		ation / Renewal	Governm				
5	1 -	.and [Forest, nent Revenue, Gomal,		ient			
	Private / Patta, Other]						
6	Area in		34-00 Acı	34-00 Acres			
7	1	Production (Metric		69,744 Tons/annum for 3 years &1,04,618			
		um) Per Annum		Tons/annum for 2 years(including waste)			
8		Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Crore (Rs. 100 Lakhs)			
9	Ł	Quantity of mine/ Cu.m / Ton	2,09,2361	ons(including waste	e) 		
10	 	d Quantity Per	68,349To	ns/annum for	3 years		
		- Cu.m / Ťon	&1,02,526	Tons/annum for	2 years(excluding		
			waste)				
11	CER Act	tivities:					
••	Year		Locat	lon (CER)			
	2022	Afforestation on 1	ooth side o	f TB river toward	s north of		
	-23	Hacholli sand blo			1 1		
	2023	Afforestation on l			lacholli sand		
	-24	block no 13 for 1	kms (5m e	each side)			
12	EMP Bu	doot Rs 150	I akhs (Cani	tal Cost) & Rs. 1.50L	akhs (Recurring		
12	LIVII DU	cost)	такив (сарі	un Cost, & 16. 1.00L	man (receiting		
13	Forest N)22				
14	Quarry	plan 19.01.20	19.01.2022				
15	Cluster	Certificate 25.03.20)21	1			
			*	1	()		

Drafted by

16	Notification	17.08.2020
17	DTF	31.07.2020
18	P.H.	07.06.2022
19	JIR	3 mtrs
20	Irrigation NoC	06.01.2022

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 07.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 470 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details. Further the committee sought clarification for dry weather flow, for which the proponent submitted google earth images of February, March and April 2022 showing dry weather flow and informed the committee mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,09,236 Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 69,744 Tons/annum for 3 years & 1,04,618 Tons/annum for 2 years (including waste), after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and

Drafted by

- 8

Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 the proponent to be held responsible.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
- 4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.
- 5. The PP shall adhere to the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022.
- 229.1.3. Nagalapura Sand Block Project at Sy. No.145 (P) of Nagalapura Village, Siraguppa Taluk, Ballri District (15-00 Acres) by M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation Ltd. Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/406504/2021 (SEIAA 423 MIN 2021)

M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Nagalapura Sand Block Project at Sy. No.145 (P) of Nagalapura Village, Siraguppa Taluk, Ballri District (15-00 Acres)

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.		PARTICUL	ARS	T	INFORMATI	ON	
1	Name &	Address of	the Projects	e Projects M/s. Karnataka State Minerals Corporation			
	Propone			Ltd.			
2	Name &	Location of	the Project	Nagalapura Sand Block Project at Sy. No.14			
				1 ' '	agalapura Village,	Siraguppa Taluk,	
				Ballri Dis	strict (15-00 Acres)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				Points	Longitude	Latitude	
				A	E-76º 56' 41.2"	N-15º 40' 56.9"	
				В	E-76º 56' 44.4"	N-15º 40' 58.3"	
				С	E-76º 56' 53.0"	N-15º 40' 41.8"	
		,		D	E-76º 56' 49.8"	N-15º 40' 40.4"	
3		Mineral			ura Sand Block		
4			Modification	New			
	/ Renew				<u> </u>		
5		Land [Forest, nent Revenue		Governn	nent		
6	Private / Patta, Other] Area in Acres			15-00 Acres			
7			Metric Ton /	28,535 Tons/annum for 3 years &42,802			
		er Annum 🗋	,		num for 2 years(inc		
8	Project C	Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 0.50 C	Crores (Rs. 50 Lakh	s)	
9		Quantity of n	nine/		ns(including waste		
		Cu.m / Ton		10004 m /			
10		ed Quantity F	er Annum -	27,964	Tons/annum		
	Cu.m /	Ion			ons/annum for 2	! years(excluding	
11	CER Act	hivitiae		waste)			
**	Year	T THES.		Location	(CBD)		
			<u> </u>		u (Cerj		
	2022				lagari river near	Nagalapura	
	-23				de = 0.5 Ha)		
	2023				lagari river near	Nagalapura	
	-24						
40		<u> </u>	I=		<u> </u>	,	
12	EMP Bu	dget		s (Capital	Cost) & Rs. 1.50 lal	khs (Recurring	
13	cost)						
14	Forest NOC 08.12.2021 Quarry plan 19.01.2022						
15	Quarry plan 19.01.2022 Cluster Certificate 25.03.2021		_	, .			
16	Notificat		17.08.2020			-	
			11.00.2020	<u> </u>			

Drafted by ke

17	DTF	31.07.2020
18	P.H.	07.06.2022
19	JIR	3 mtrs
20	Irrigation NoC	06.01.2022

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 07.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 830 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details. Further the committee sought clarification for dry weather flow, for which the proponent submitted google earth images of March and April 2022 showing dry weather flow and informed the committee mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 85,604 Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 28,535 Tons/annum for 3 years &42,802 Tons/annum for 2 years(including waste), after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 the proponent to be held responsible.

Drafted by Kein

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023. The details are as follows;

"The mining site is located within a distance of 10 meters from a residential property which is in the west direction. This means that the household is in very close proximity to the mining activities, which can potentially have negative impacts on their living environment, health, and safety. The noise, dust, and vibration generated by the mining operations can cause disturbance and discomfort to the residents, as well as pose risks to their physical well-being."

The Authority after discussion and examination of the documents decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

229.1.4. Suguru Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.2(P) to 12(P) of Suguru Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadgiri District (50-00 Acres) by M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404170/2021 (SEIAA 420 MIN 2021)

M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Suguru Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.2(P) to 12(P) of Suguru Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadgiri District (50-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No.	PARTICULARS		INFORMATI	ION	
1	Name & Address of the	M/s. Hut	ti Gold Mines Com	pany Ltd.	
	Projects Proponent				
2	Name & Location of the Project	Suguru Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.2(P) to 12(P) of Suguru Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadgiri District (50-00 Acres)			
		Points Longitude Latit		Latitude	
		A	E-76º 49' 29.57"	N-16º 25' 34.34"	
		В	E-76º 49' 30.38*	N-16 ⁰ 25' 30.78"	
		С	E-76º 48' 33.43"	N-16° 25' 21.69"	
		D	E-760 48' 32.08"	N-16º 25' 25.43"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Suguru Sa	nd Block		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New			
<u> </u>	Mounication / Renewal		<u> </u>	$ \sim$	

Drafted by

5	Type of Land []	Forest,	Government			
	Government Re	evenue, Gom	al, · ·			
	Private / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres		50-00 Acres			
7	Annual Produ	ction (Metric	2,08,818 Tons/ Annum (including waste)			
	Ton / Cum) Pe	er Annum				
8	Project Cost (R	s. In Crores)	Rs. 1.75 Crores (Rs. 175 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quanti	ity of mine/	2,08,818 Tons(including waste)			
	Quarry-Cu.m	/ Ton				
10	Permitted Qua	intity Per	1,87,936 Tons/ Annum (excluding waste)			
	Annum - Cu.n	n / Ton				
11	CER Activities	9:				
	Year	:	Location (CER)			
	2022-23		tion on either side of Krishna river for			
			mtrs on each side = 1.00 Ha)			
	2024-25		tion on either side of Krishna river for			
ĺ	<u>.,. , ,,,,</u> ,,,,,,	1 kms (5	mtrs on each side = 1.00 Ha)			
10	T (D D 1 1	n- 0	00 Laber (Carried Cost) & Ro. 2 25Labbe (Recurring			
12	EMP Budget		.00 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.25Lakhs (Recurring			
	7 (1)100	cost)	2021			
13	Forest NOC		.2021			
14	Quarry plan		02.02.2022			
15	Cluster Certifi		19.02.2021			
16	Notification		09.11.2020			
17	DTF		06.11.2020			
18	TOTT	114 04	14.06.2022			
	P.H	14.00				
19	JIR	3 mt				

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 14.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1500 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated

Drafted by

15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details. Further the committee sought clarification for dry weather flow, for which the proponent submitted google earth images of March and April 2022 showing dry weather flow and informed the committee mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,08,818 Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,08,818 Tons/annum for 5 years (including waste), after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 the proponent to be held responsible.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Drafted by

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
- 4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.
- 5. The PP shall adhere to the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022.
- 229.1.5. Gugal Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.7, 8, 9 & 10 of Gugal Village, Devadurga Taluk, Raichur District (25-00 Acres) by M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404179/2021 (SEIAA 428 MIN 2021)

M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Gugal Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.7, 8, 9 & 10 of Gugal Village, Devadurga Taluk, raichur District(25-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the	M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd.				
	Projects Proponent		<u></u>			
2		Gugal Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.7, 8, 9 & 10 of Gugal Village, Devadurga Taluk, raichur District(25-00 Acres)				
	Name & Location of the Project	Points	Longitude	Latitude		
		Α	E-77º09'14.35"	N-16º 28' 08.75"		
ľ		В	E-77009' 19.42"	N-16º 28' 10.60"		
		С	E-77°09′30.35″	N-16 ⁰ 27' 52.94"		
		D	E-77º 09' 25.26"	N-16° 27′ 51.08″		
3	Type Of Mineral	Gugal Sar	nd Block			
4	New / Expansion /	New				
	Modification / Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Government				
	Government Revenue, Gomal,					
	Private / Patta, Other]			1		

Drafted by

J

6	Area in Acre	PS		25-00 Acres
7	+	Annual Production (Metric		1,04,409 Tons/ Annum (including waste)
1		nual Production (Metric		1,04,407 Totis/ Airitain (including waste)
8		(Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 1.00 Crores (Rs. 100 Lakhs)
9	Proved Qua			1,04,409Tons(including waste)
´	Quarry- Cu.	•	-	1,04,409101B(IllClucing Waste)
10	Permitted Q			83,527 Tons/ Annum (excluding waste)
10	Annum - Cu	-		(o),527 Toris/ Artifulii (excluding waste)
11	CER Activit			<u> </u>
**	Year	1		Location (CER)
	rear			Location (CER)
	2022-23	Planta	tion on be	oth sides of river Krishna for 1 km (5 m
				ha) towards south of Gugal sand block.
	2023-24	Planta	tion on bo	oth sides of river Krishna for 1 km (5 m ha) towards south of Gugal sand block.
	2024-27		s mainten	
		<u> </u>		
12	EMP Budge		Rs 200 La	ikhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.00Lakhs (Recurring
		•	cost)	adas (Capital Cost) & RS. 2.00Eakils (Reculting
13	Forest NOC	_	10.01.2022	
14	Quarry plan		11.11.2020	
15	Cluster Cert		18.02.2021	
16	Notification		30.07.2020	
17	DTF			
18	00.07.2020		14.06.2022	
19	IIR	<u> </u>	3.15 mtrs	
20	Irrigation No	~	26.04.2022	
				
21	LoI		19.08.2020	

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 14.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1000 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the

Drafted by

proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details. Further the committee sought clarification for dry weather flow, for which the proponent submitted google earth images of February and March 2022 showing dry weather flow and informed the committee mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,04,409Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,04,409 Tons/annum for 5 years (including waste), after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 the proponent to be held responsible.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023. The details are as follows;

"It appears that there are JCB and Hitachi machines present to the south of the site boundary, while mining activity is ongoing within the site itself during the month of April 2022. These circumstances suggest that the mining is being conducted without the necessary permits, which would make it an illegal operation."

The Authority after discussion and examination of the documents decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

229.1.6. Choudeshwarhal Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.27/8, 31/4, 31/5, 31/6, 32/3, 33/2, 34/2 of Choudeshwarahal Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadagiri District

Drafted by

(35-00 Acres) by M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404141/2021 (SEIAA 429 MIN 2021)

M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Choudeshwarhal Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.27/8, 31/4, 31/5, 31/6, 32/3, 33/2, 34/2 of Choudeshwarahal Village, Shorapur Taluk, Yadagiri District (35-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PAR	TICULARS		INFORMATIO	ON	
1	Name & Ad	dress of the M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd.			npany Ltd.	
	Projects Proj	onent				
2	Name & Loc	ration of the Project Choudeshwarhal Sand Block Project at S				
•				3, 31/4, 31/5, 31/6,		
			of Choudeshwarahal Village, Shorapur			
			Taluk, Yadagiri District (35-00 Acres)			
			Points	Longitude	Latitude	
			A	E-76º 51' 50.91"	N-16° 27' 44.12'	
			В	E-76° 51' 55.59*	N-16° 27' 42.29"	
	: -		С	E-76° 51' 43.93"	N-16º 27' 10.68"	
			L D	E-76º 51' 4011"	N-16º 27' 12.33"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Sand Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /		New	.	-	
	Modification			<u></u>		
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Government			
	Government Revenue, Gomal,					
 _ 	Private / Pat					
6	Area in Acre		35-00 Acr			
7		uction (Metric	1 ,46,17 2 T 	ons/ Annum (incli	ading waste)	
8	Ton / Cum)		D 150.0		<u></u>	
9		(Rs. In Crores)		rores (Rs. 150 Lakh		
	Quarry- Cu.	ntity of mine/	1,40,1/2 [ons(including was	te)	
10	Permitted Qu		1 31 555 T	ons/ Annum (excl	uding wests)	
"	Annum - Cu.	-	1,01,000 1	orby Amium (exch	during waste)	
11	CER Activiti		<u> </u>			
	Year	Location (CER)				
	0000 00	4.00	* · *			
	2022-23	Afforestation on either side of Choudeshwarhal sar block for 1 kms (5 mtrs on each side = 1 Ha)				
	2023-24			side of Choude		
	.1	block for 1 kms (5 mtrs on each side = 1 Ha)				

Drafted by ______

12	EMP Budget	Rs. 4.0 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.25Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	12.11.2021
14	Quarry plan	22.12.2020
15	Cluster Certificate	19.02.2021
16	Notification	09.11.2020
17	DTF	06.11.2020
18	JIR	3 mtrs
19	P.H.	14.06.2022
20	Irrigation NoC	08.08.2022
21	LoI	26.11.2020

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 14.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1000 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details. Further the committee sought clarification for dry weather flow, for which the proponent submitted google earth images of March & April 2022 showing dry weather flow and informed the committee mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply

Drafted by

with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and not to disturb existing irrigation pumps and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,46,172Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,46,172 Tons/annum for 5 years (including waste), after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 the proponent to be held responsible.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
- 4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.
- 5. The PP shall adhere to the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022.

Drafted by te

/ 20

229.1.7. Haranagiri Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos. 170(P), 171 & 172 of Haranagiri Village, Ranebennur Taluk, Haveri District.(12-00 Acres) by M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404215/2021 (SEIAA 432 MIN 2021)

M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Haranagiri Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos. 170(P), 171 & 172 of Haranagiri Village, Ranebennur Taluk, Haveri District (12-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTI	CULARS INFORMATION					
1	Name & Addr	ess of the	M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd.				
	Projects Propo	nent	The state of the s				
2		ion of the Project	Haranagiri Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos.				
		,	170(P),	171 & 172 of Ha	ranagiri Village,		
			Raneben	nur Taluk, Have	eri District(12-00		
			Acres)				
			Points Longitude Latitude				
			A	E-75º 43' 00.54"	N-14º 46' 36.59"		
			В	E-75° 43° 05.25*	N-14º 46' 34.16"		
1		'	С	E-75° 43' 11.42"	N-14º 46' 29.16"		
1			D_	E-75º 43' 09.19"	N-14º 46' 26.04"		
			E	E-75° 43' 02.97"	N-14° 46' 30.81"		
			F	E-75° 42' 58.16"	N-14º 46' 33.42"		
3	Type Of Mine	pe Of <u>Mineral</u>		Chandapura Sand Block			
4	New / Expansion /		New				
	Modification ,	/ Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Government				
1	Government Revenue, Gomal,]				
	Private / Patta	ı, Other]			<u></u>		
6	Area in Acres		12-00 A	res			
7	Annual Produ	ction (Metric	50,116 T	ons/ Annum (inclu	ading waste)		
	Ton / Cum) F	-					
8	Project Cost (1		Rs. 0.75	Crores (Rs. 75 Lak	ns)		
9	Proved Quan		50,116 Tons(including waste)				
_	Quarry- Cu.m		- ,	, 0	r		
10	Permitted Qu		45.104T	ons/ Annum (exclu	iding waste)		
10	Annum - Cu.	_		- ,	,		
11	CER Activitie		<u> </u>				
11	Year	Location (CER)					
	2022-23	Afforestation on opposite side of Haranagiri sand					
		block for 1 km	ns (5 mt	rs on each side	= 1 Ha}		
	2024-25	Afforestation	on oppo	site side of Har	anagırı sand		
		block further	tor l kn	ns (5 mtrs on ea	ach side = 1 Ha)		

Drafted by

	"	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 2.0 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 1.25Lakhs (Recurring Cost)
13	Forest NOC	12.03.2022
14	Quarry plan	07.12.2020
15	Cluster Certificate	27.07.2021
16	Notification	17.08.2020
17	DTF	13.08.2020
18	P.H.	29.06.2022
19	JIR	3 mtrs
20	Irrigation NoC	21.04.2022

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 29.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 2000 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details. Further the committee sought clarification for dry weather flow, for which the proponent submitted google earth images of February& April 2022 showing dry weather flow and informed the committee mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of

Drafted by

50,116Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 50,116Tons/annum for 5 years(including waste), after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 the proponent to be held responsible.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 21st February 2023. The details are as follows;

"The location in question is situated partly on privately owned land, and it has not been ensured that the necessary buffer of at least 10% of the width of the river has been established. This lack of a buffer zone could potentially have negative impacts on the ecological health of the river and its surrounding ecosystem.

Additionally, the location is situated within a distance of 10 kilometers from the Ranebennur Wildlife Sanctuary. This means that there is a risk that the activities on the site could impact the wildlife and their habitat in the sanctuary. It is important to take into account the potential impacts of any activities in the area, and to consider measures to mitigate any negative effects on the environment and wildlife in the region.."

The Authority after discussion and examination of the documents decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaint received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification.

229.1.8. Chandapura Sand Block Project at Sy. No. 2(P) of Gramathana & Sy.No.47 Chandapura of Gramathana & Chandapura Village, Ranebennur Taluk, Haveri District (30-00 Acres) by M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404396/2021 (SEIAA 433 MIN 2021)

M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Chandapura Sand Block Project at Sy. No. 2(P) of Gramathana & Sy.No.47 Chandapura of Gramathana & Chandapura Village, Ranebennur Taluk, Haveri District (30-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the	M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Company Ltd.				
l .	Projects Proponent					

Drafted by Land

2	Name & Lo	cation of the	e Project	2(P) of Gran	ura Sand Block Pr ramathana & Sy.No mathana & Chan nur Taluk, Haveri	.47 Chandapura dapura Village,	
				Points	Longitude	Latitude	
				A	E-77º 09' 14.35"	N-16º 28' 08.75	
				В	E-77009'19.42"	N-16º 28' 10.60	
				С	E-77º 09' 30.35"	N-16º 27' 52.94	
				D	E-770 09' 25.26"	N-16° 27′ 51.08	
3	Type Of Mi				ura Sand Block		
4	New / Expa	•	•	New			
<u> </u>	Modification / Renewal		al	C			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal,		Governm	ent			
	Private / Patta, Other]						
6	Area in Acres		30-00 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric		1,65,522 Tons/ Annum (including waste)				
	Ton / Cum) Per Annum		, ,				
8	Project Cost	(Rs. In Cro	res)	Rs. 1.50 Crores (Rs. 150 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/		ne/	1,65,522 Tons(including waste)			
	Quarry-Cu			1.00 5005			
10	Permitted C	•	r	1,32,522 Te	ons/ Annum (exclu	iding waste)	
11	Annum - Cu			1			
11	Year Year	1168:		Locatio	on (CER)		
	2022 23	Afforesta	tion on l		of Tungabhadra	river	
	2022-23	southeas	t of Cha	ndapura	sand block for 1.		
	2024-25			e = 1.50 F both side	la) of Tungabhadra :	river	
		northwes	st of Cha	ındapura	sand block for 1.		
		mtrs on	each side	e = 1.50 F	ia)		
12	EMP Budge	t F	Rs. 2.00 La	khs (Capit	al Cost) & Rs. 1.00L	akhs (Recurring	
			Cost)	\ I	···,		
13	Forest NOC 12.03.2022		2.03.2022	2			
14	Quarry plan 09.11.2020		9.11.2020	0			
15	Cluster Certificate 27.07.2021		-				
16	Notification 17.08.2020						
17	DTF		3.08.2020	· - ··			
18	P.H.	2	7.06.2022	•		<u> </u>	
19	JIR		mtrs	··· · -			
20	Irrigation N		1.04.2022		<u> </u>		
21	LoI	0	6.10.2020	·		>\	

Drafted by

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining and SEIAA had issued ToR on 06.12.2021 and Public hearing was conducted on 27.06.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 2000 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard norms and the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for excavation of sand as per Hon'ble NGT (SZ) Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and also not to carry out in-stream mining and the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details. Further the committee sought clarification for dry weather flow, for which the proponent submitted google earth images of February, March & April 2022 showing dry weather flow and informed the committee mining operations would be carried out only in dry weather conditions.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing and the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,65,522 Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,65,522 Tons/annum for 5 years (including waste), after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 the proponent to be held responsible.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

J.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
- 4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.
- 5. The PP shall adhere to the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020 and adhere by the Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022 and any violation against the Directions of Hon'ble NGT Directions in O.A 194/2020 dated 15.09.2022.
- 229.1.9. Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Sy. Nos.118/1, 118/2, 119/1, 119/2 & 120 of Manneri Village, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District (5-20 Acres) by Sri Chetankumar V Naikar Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/408739/2022 (SEIAA 532 MIN 2022)

Sri Chetankumar V Naikar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Sy. Nos.118/1, 118/2, 119/1, 119/2 & 120 of Manneri Village, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District (5-20 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION					
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Chetankumar V Naikar					
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Sy. Nos.118/1, 118/2, 119/1, 119/2 & 120 of Manneri Village, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District (5-20 Acres)					

Drafted by ke

		<u> </u>	<u></u>	Corner Point No	Latitude	Longitude	
				A A	N 15° 50' 11.5"	E 75° 41' 51.9"	
				B	N 15" 50" 126"	E 75° 41' 56.0°	
				С	N 15° 50' 13,0"	E 75° 41' 55.9"	
	1			D	N 15" 50' 12.2"	E 75° 41' 52.3*	
				E	N 15° 50' 13.1"	E 75° 41' 53.9"	
				F	N 15" 50" 20.1"	E 75° 42' 06.9"	
				6	N 15" 50' 18.7"	E 75° 42' 05.4*	
				н	N 15" 50" 17.5"	E 75° 42' 03.5*	
				1	N 15" 50" 15.0"	€ 75" 42' 00.5"	
				1	N 15" 50" 12.9"	E 75° 41' 58.9"	
	}			K	N 15" 50" 11.9"	E 75° 41' 583"	
				<u> </u>	N 15" 50" 10.8"	E 75" 41" 53.3"	
2	Turne	W Minaual		Ondinama Ca	and Outsman		
3		Of Mineral		Ordinary Sa	ind Quarry		
4		Expansion /	1	New			
		cation / Rene		D 46	· - -		
5		Land [Forest,		Patta			
	Govern	ment Revenue	e, Gomal,				
	Private	/ Patta, Other	r]				
6	Area in Acres			5-20 Acres(2.529Ha)			
7	Annua	l Production (Metric	22,704 Tons/ Annum (including waste)			
	Ton / 0	Cum) Per Ann	num		,		
8	Project	Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 1.40 Crores (Rs. 140 Lakhs)			
9	Proved	l Quantity of r	nine/	68,112 Tons	(including waste)		
i	Quarry	r-Cu.m ∕ Ton	•				
10	Permit	ted Quantity I	Per	22,704 Tons/ Annum (including waste)			
	1	n - Cu.m / Ťo			•	,	
11	+	ctivities:					
	Year	Corporate En	vironmenta	l Responsibili	ty (CER)		
	1st				Health camp in ne	earby commun	
		places to the	=	_			
	2nd	Conducting E	-waste driv	e campaigns i	in the Manneri vill	age	
	3rd				S of Manneri villag		
		<u></u>					
12	EMP B	udget	Rs. 51.67 l	Lakhs (Capita	al Cost) & Rs. 6.46 I	Lakhs	
		0	(Recurring		,		
13			19.03.2021	<u> </u>		. .	
14			11.10.2022				
15	Cluster Certificate 07.10.2022						
16		ue NOC	10.03.2021				
17	DTF		13.07.2021		,		
		•				<u> </u>	
17 18	DTF JIR		13.07.2021 3 mtrs				

Drafted by

The proposal is for sand quarry project in patta land and as per the DMG letter dated 04.01.2022 there is no river bed sand mining in a radius of 5km from the proposed site area.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease and the total area of the present lease is 5-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 870 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms and to strictly implement mine closure plan effectively after mining operation and also to grow trees all along the approach road/both sides of halla during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 68,112 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 3 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 22,704 Tones/annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

Ji-

2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.

229.1.10. Kuknoor Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy.No. 84/2 of Kukanoor Village & Taluk, Koppal District (2-20 Acres) by Sri Ramesh Raju Vegesna - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404405/2022 (SEIAA 507 MIN 2022)

Sri Ramesh Raju Vegesna have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Kuknoor Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy.No. 84/2 of Kukanoor Village & Taluk, Koppal District (2-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Ramesh Raju Vegesna	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Kuknoor Grey Granite Quarry Project Sy.No. 84/2 of Kukanoor Village Taluk, Koppal District (2-20 Acres)	at &
		DEPS READINGS OF BOUNDARY MILLIAS	†
		PORT NAME LATITUDE LONGIT	VOE
		BAA HIS'2847.38562" E7F'0841.	1256
		84-8 N35'28'47,19445' [76'90'42.	1745
		BP-C N15"25"40,20127" E76"90"41.	
		80-0 M15"28"40.15952" E76"05"41.	902
3	Type Of Mineral	Grey Granite Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	_
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	
6	Area in Acres	2-20 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	7,467 Cum/ Annum (including waste))
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.15 Crores (Rs. 15 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	52,656 Cum (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	2,240 Cum/ Annum (Recovery) 5,227 cum/annum (waste)	
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 500	No. of additional plantation on either rry location to Kukanoor Village Road	

Drafted by

26)

12	EMP Budget	Rs. 2.87 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 1.40Lakhs (Recurring Cost)
13	Forest NOC	17.08.2021
14	Quarry plan	17.10.2022
15	Cluster Certificate	14.10.2022
16	Revenue	19.04.2021
17	DTF	28.09.2021

As per the cluster sketch there are 04 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 02 leases are exempted from cluster as the leases were granted prior to 09.09.2016 and the total area of remaining lease including the present lease is 6-30 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 980 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 52,656 Cum(including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 8 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 7,467 Cum/ Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection/

Drafted by

Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229,1.11. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 149 (P) of Hosagadde Village, Sakleshpur Taluk, Hassan District (2-38 Acres) by Sri Lakshmi Enterprises - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/405025/2022 (SEIAA 463 MIN 2022)

Sri Lakshmi Enterprises have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 149 (P) of Hosagadde Village, Sakleshpur Taluk, Hassan District (2-38 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS PARTICULARS		INFORM	ATION			
1	Name & Address of the	Sri Lakshmi Enterprises					
	Projects Proponent		<u></u>				
2	Name & Location of the			Project at Sy. No			
	Project	` '	•	ge, Sakleshpur T	ľaluk,		
		Hassan I	District (2-38 Acı	res)	_		
		Point	Latitude	Longitude			
		A	N 12' 54' 43.9"	E 75' 52' 46.2"			
		В	N1254'61'	E 75' 52' 49.6"			
		C	N12°54′39 <i>7</i> ″	£ 75'52'498°			
		D	N 12" 54" 40.0"	F 75'52'463'			
3	Type Of Mineral	Building	Stone Quarry				
4	New / Expansion /	New	Otoric Quarry				
4	Modification / Renewal	1464					
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta					
	Government Revenue,						
 	Gomal, Private / Patta,						
	Other]				_A		
6	Area in Acres	2-38 Acr	es				

Drafted by

7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		77,454 Tones/annum (including waste).	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		5,62,163 Tones (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		75,905 Tones/annum (excluding waste).	
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 300 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Hosagadde Village Road			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 17.05 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 4.37 Lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	03.05.2022		
14	Quarry plan	26.09.2022		
15	Cluster certificate	27.09.2022		
16	Notification	29.08.2022		
17	Revenue	11.01.2022		

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 2-38 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 470 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to undertake plantation around the project boundary, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 5,62,163 Tones(including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 08 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 77,454 Tones/Annum (Including waste)

Drafted by

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- 1. There are nearby settlements within 200m buffer from the project site, thus proper buffer should be left inside the site for blasting.
- 2. The north-west part of project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are varying from 2021 to 2022. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. Therefore, the SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

1. Complaint: There are nearby settlements within 200 m buffer from the project site, thus proper buffer should be left inside the site for blasting.

Reply: The proponent informed that, there is no public structure within 200m and as per KML, S-Report issued by DMG there are scattered houses present in 250mtr from the applied area and also from Revenue NoC there is no objection from villagers.

2. Complaint: The north-west part of project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are varying from 2021 to 2022. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006

Reply: The proponent informed that, no working is carried out, however, outside the applied area some soil is removed (in the year 2017) as per the historical google image, for site clearance and levelling was done in 2021-22 for approach road to visiting officers from various departments.

The committee noted the clarification given by proponent and informed that the committee had received a complainton 12.01.2023 signed by local villagers of

Drafted by

Honkaravalli village Hosakote Hobli Alluru Taluk Hassan District, requesting not to give permission for the proposed projectbecause of below mentioned reasons. The committee served a copy of the complaint to the proponent for which the proponent submitted the following reply,

1. Complaint: For the proposed quarry and crusher in Hosagadde village sy. No 82 and 149 is adjacent to our Honkaravalli village and within a distance of 25mt to 75mtr there are 10 houses and within a distance of 200mtr there are 22 houses and within 500mtr there are about 40 to 50 houses and all the families residing in these houses are basically depending on agriculture.

Reply: The proponent informed that, there are no residential houses, within 200m radius from the boundary of the above proposed quarry. They have some industrial sheds, within 200m radius and all these industrial sheds are in their patta land. As per the inspection report (Form-S) issued by the Dept. of Mines & Geology, some scattered houses are present at a distance of 250m from the applied area. Further, as per Revenue NOC, there are no objections from the villagers. Also, they have already obtained Form B1 and CFE from KSPCB, for installation of Stone Crusher, towards south side of the proposed quarry area. As per the Safer Zone guidelines for stone crushers, it shall be min. 500m away from revenue village, temple and schools. Sy. No. 82, is located on North Side of the proposed quarry area, and is a govt. land, part of which belongs to forest.

2. Complaint: The proposed quarry and crusher location is proposed adjacent to, road from Hoskote to Sakaleshpura Taluk Bandihalli Village boundary via Honnaravalli to join Nidanuru, as per village map.

Reply: The proponent informed that, there are no public roads, within 200m from the boundary of the above proposed quarry. There are some mud roads, leading to coffee estates, agricultural patta lands etc and they have already obtained Form B1, and CFE from KSPCB. As per the Safer Zone guidelines for stone crushers, it shall be min. 200m away from NH/ SH and min. 100m away from MDR and other roads.

3. Complaint: The waste water from the proposed crusher reaches to the agriculture tank which is at a distance of 100mtr and excess water from this tank reaches to government reservoir (tank) and all domestic animals and farmers depend on this.

Reply: The proponent informed that, in the proposed stone crusher and M-Sand unit (towards south of the proposed quarry area) water requirement for manufacturing process, as per the CFE issued by KSPCB, in only 10 KLD and/waste water will be completely recycled through the steeling tanks. Further, for

Drafted by

J.

the domestic sewage, they are constructing a septic tank & soak pit (as mentioned in the CFE). Hence, there will not be any kind of water outflow from the crusher & M-Sand unit.

4. Complaint: From the proposed project location within a distance of 400mtrs there are Anganwadi Kendra and temples used by locals.

Reply: The proponent informed that, As per KMMCR, the minimum distance required for carrying-out blasting is only 200m and the said Anganwadi Kendra is at about 400m which is greater that 200m, from the quarry area.

5. Complaint: At a distance of about 10mtr to 500mtr from the proposed project site area, there are around 80-100 farmers work in agriculture fields.

Reply: The proponent informed that, they are proposing wet drilling and controlled blasting in proposed quarry, to reduce the dust generation, As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP). Further, surrounding the above proposed quarry, they have our own patta land of approx. 16 acres.

6. Complaint:From the proposed site area at a distance of about 10mtr there is Shri Kadu Malleshwara temple and villagers of Honkaravalli and Hiduvanahalli celebrate (Jathara) every year during Basavajayanthi.

Reply: The proponent informed that the temple shown in the photographs, is in their own patta land. It is not any public temple and doing jathra is not at all practiced.

7. Complaint:In Hosagadde village sy. No. 82, there is more than 100acres of Forest Area having varieties of important plants and trees and this area is modified into Elephant resting place(proposed crusher area is about Forest land is at a distance of 10-50mtrs)

Reply: The proponent informed that, As per the NOC issued by the Forest Dept., Hosagadde Section-4 forest is at 36m from the proposed quarry. Also, the Forest NOC is given stipulating the conditions like, 1) if the movement of elephants increases, then NOC will be withdrawn; 2) quarrying shall not be carried-out beyond 6 PM and no electric lights shall be used; 3) only controlled blasting shall be carried-out. They are going to abide by these conditions.

8. Complaint:In Hosagadde village sy. No. 149 there is about 8Acres of Government land and the propose project proponent is illegally planning to start crusher and quarry operations.

Reply: The proponent informed assure that, they will not encroach any Govt. land.

Drafted by

9. Complaint: Already in regions of Allur – Sakaleshpura there is Elephant menace and due to the proposed crusher operations in sy. No. 82 adjacent to Forest land, Elephant menace will increase.

Reply: The proponent informed that, as per the NOC issued by the Forest Dept., Hosagadde Section-4 forest is at 36m from the proposed quarry. Also, the Forest NOC, is given stipulating the conditions like, 1) if the movement of elephants increases, then NOC will be withdrawn; 2) quarrying shall not be carried-out beyond 6 PM and no electric lights shall be used; 3) only controlled blasting shall be carried-out. They are going to abide by these conditions and restrict quarrying activities, between 8 AM to 5 PM only.

Further the proponent submitted markings on google map indicating temporary shed present at a distance of 150mtr – 200mtr on their own lands and informed that they will be removed and justified the distance based on joint inspection report carried on 25.11.2021 by Revenue, Forest, DMG and KSPCB in respect to proposed crusher location which is adjacent to proposed quarry location in sy.no 149 and informed that there are no NH/SH, MDR/OR, village, temple, school within 500mtrs and submitted undertaking for the same. The proponent informed that had not carried out any quarrying activities in the proposed survey number which can be confirmed from the google images.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent. The committee after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

ctly followed.

229.1.12. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos. 432/1, 432/3 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (3-00 Acres) by Sri S. Hanumanthappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/403078/2022 (SEIAA 426 MIN 2022)

Sri S. Hanumanthappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos. 432/1, 432/3 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara ict (3-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri S. Ha	Sri S. Hanumanthappa			
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos. 432/1, 432/3 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (3-00 Acres)				
		P. No.	Latitude	Longitude		
		A	N14°33'55.9"	E 76°01'37.4"		
		В	N14°33′51.7″	E 76°01′37.2″		
		С	N14°33′51.8″	E 76°01′34.2″		
		D	N14°33′56.0″	E 76°01′34.2″		
3	Type Of Mineral	Building	Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New				
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta				
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,10,976 Tones/annum (including waste) for I year 94,742 Tones/annum (including waste) for II year 82,711 Tones/annum (including waste) for III year 74,309 Tones/annum (including waste) for IV year 76,299 Tones/annum (including waste) for V year				
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 3 Lakhs)				
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,39,361 Tones (including waste)				
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,10,976 Tones/annum (including waste) for I year				

		94,742 Tones/annum (including waste) for II year 82,711 Tones/annum (including waste) for III year 74,309 Tones/annum (including waste) for IV year 76,299 Tones/annum (including waste) for V year			
11	CER Activities: Contribution to Gudekote WLS and to grow500 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Ucchangidurga Village Road				
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.45 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 4.85 Lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NOC	11.01.2019			
14	Quarry plan	12.03.2019			
15	Cluster certificate	04.08.2022			
16	Notification	04.02.2019			
17	Revenue	17.01.2019			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 07 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 03 leases are exempted from cluster as EC were granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of remaining leases including the present lease is 7-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 350 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 4,39,361 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 5 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production 1,10,976 Tones/annum (including waste) for I year, 94,742 Tones/annum (including waste) for III year,

Drafted by

74,309 Tones/annum (including waste) for IV year, 76,299 Tones/annum (including waste) for V year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- 1. The project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are visible. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006 and must be submitted under violation category.
- 2. If we search the proponents name in the portal, One more file under same name and same location and same sy nos was submitted and was delisted by the SEIAA stating in ADS as "The committee 262nd Meeting informed the proponent that the proposal needs to be considered as B1 category and TORs need to be issued. However the proponent did not agree for conducting EIA. Hence the committee decided to reject the proposal" the Proposal No is SIA/KA/MIN/198671/2021 and File No is SEIAA 80 MIN 2021. And this proposal cluster sketch is different than that the old uploaded one

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint diligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt. departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

 Complaint: The project site is worked before obtaining the Environmental Clearance as In the Historical satellite image the workings are visible. Hence this project is in violation to the EIA Notification, 2006 and must be submitted under violation category.

Reply: The proponent informed that the proposed area is patta land and surrounded by several operating quarries. There is no working carried out in the proposed area, however some soil / mined material were dumped by the adjoining quarries in 2020 and it was removed later and some mining equipment are parked in the applied area as per historical satellite image.

2. Complaint: If we search the proponents name in the portal, One more file under same name and same location and same Sy. Nos. was submitted and was delisted by the SEIAA stating in ADS as "The committee 262nd Meeting informed the proponent that the proposal needs to be considered as B1 category and TORs need to be issued. However the proponent did not agree for conducting EIA. Hence the committee decided to reject

Drafted by ta

the proposal" the Proposal No is SIA/KA/MIN/198671/2021 and File No is SEIAA 80 MIN 2021. And this proposal cluster sketch is different than that the old uploaded one.

Reply: The proponent informed that as per cluster sketch dated 03.10.2020 there are 18 quarries including the proposed area. Of the 18 quarries, 11 quarry leases are existing and remaining 7 are newly notified areas, out of this 11 existing leases, 6 leases with total extent of 12.25 Acres have obtained EC prior 15.01.2016 and remaining 5 leases, 1 lease with area of 1.00 Acre has stopped working from 08.01.2019, as it is more that 3 years exempted from cluster, for the 4 leases including the propose lease total extent is 11.40 Acres, which is less than the threshold of 5 Ha and hence considered as B2 project.

Further, the proponent submitted letter from DMG dated 12.01.2023, informing that no mining activities are carried out in Sy. No. 432/1, 432/3 for extent of 3.00 Acres.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent. The committee after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.1.13. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bennanayakanahalli Village, Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur District (5-20 Acres) by M/s. Tirumala Enterprises - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/402452/2022 (SEIAA 417 MIN 2022)

M/s. Tirumala Enterprises have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 127 of Bennanayakanahalli Village, Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur District (5-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	5	INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of Projects Proponent	the	M/s. Tirumala Enterprises			
2	Name & Location of Project	of Bennanayakanahalli Village, Tiptur Talu Tumkur District (5-20 Acres)			age, Tiptur Taluk, s)	
			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	
			Α	N 13' 18' 25.40"	E 76° 31′ 55,40″	
			В	N 13" 18' 25.30"	E 76° 32′ 00.70″	
	1		С	N 13' 18' 20.60"	E 76° 32′ 00.40″	
			D	N 13" 18' 20.60"	E 76° 31′ 55.60″	
3	Type Of Mineral	:	Building	Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /		New			
	Modification / Rene					
5	Type of Land [Fores Government Revent Gomal, Private / Par Other]	ıe,	,			
6	Area in Acres		5-20 Acres			
7	Annual Production		2,43,012 Tones/ Annum (including waste)			
	(Metric Ton / Cum) Annum			, ,	U	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.45	Crores (Rs. 45 Lakt	ıs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.r. Ton	n /	14,58,07	2 Tones (including	waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Annum - Cu.m / To		2,38,152	Tones/ Annum (ex	xcluding waste)	
11	CER Activities:					
		ional r	oom to n	ear by Govt. Schoo	ol and to grow 600	
	No. of additional	plantat	tion on e	ither side of the ap	pproach road from	
	quarry location to l					
12	EMP Budget			s (Capital Cost) & 6	5.79 Lakhs	
10	Famout NICC	(Recurring cost)				
13	Forest NOC			<u> </u>		
14	Quarry plan	23.05.2022				
15	Cluster certificate	18.08.2022				
16	Revenue NOC	13.12.2021				
17	Notification	24.01	24.01.2022			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 03 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease and as per the cluster sketch issued by DMG, lease period of M/s KGCIPL GSC joint venture QL No. 913 was ended on 16.08.2022 and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 11-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 670 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 14,58,072 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 6years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,43,012 Tones/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- 1. The actual extent of the area is 05-20 Acres, but in the cover page of Per-feasibility report the area is mentioned as 2-00 Acres. The extent of area is mentioned as different in different documents.
- 2. There is a Police firing practise ground in the east of the applied area, the distance between the ground and project area is less than 200m. Thus, the buffer zone for blasting should be left inside the site in the eastern side of the applied area. We can also see there is a dam in the north of the applied area, both the dam and Police firing ground using the same approach road for transportation.
- 3. In the forest NOC 1st point it is written that the site is a land bank and there is no confirmation from Tahsildar and still no sketch has been prepared which means that the land might be a land bank area. Need to be confirmed

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

1. Complaint: The actual extent of the area is 05-20 Acres, but in the cover page of Per-feasibility report the area is mentioned as 2-00 Acres. The extent of area is mentioned as different in different documents.

Reply: The proponent informed that, by oversight in the Pre-feasibility report cover page was mentioned as 2-00 Acres, instead of 5-20 Acre and submitted Pre-feasibility report covering page.

2. Complaint: There is a Police firing practice ground in the east of the applied area, the distance between the ground and project area is less than 200m. Thus, the buffer zone for blasting should be left inside the site in the eastern side of the applied area. We can also see there is a dam in the north of the applied area, both the dam and Police firing ground using the same approach road for transportation.

Reply: The proponent informed that as per the NOC issued by the Revenue Dept., there are no public structures, rivers, bridges, tanks/ reservoirs, streams, temples, public roads, railway track, cart tracks, pedestrian track, school/ colleges, power lines and village, urban area and any type of government structures at a distance 200m from the applied area.

Further the proponent submitted join inspection report of DMG, KSPCB, Forest and Revenue departments dated 09.06.2022, related to the proposed stone crusher towards east next to the proposed site, which informs that there are no NH/SH, MDR/OR, villages, temples, schools within 200mtrs from the proposed lease area.

 Complaint: In the forest NOC 1st point it is written that the site is a land bank and there is no confirmation from Tahsildar and still no sketch has been prepared which means that the land might be a land bank area. Need to be confirmed.

Reply: The proponent informed that, as per the Forest NOC, the total extent of the Sy. No. 127, is 357-00 Acres, out of which the land bank area is only 33.60 Ha. i.e. 83-01 Acres, the remaining area of 273-39 Acres is non-forest

land. Further, in the Forest NOC dated 26.11.2021, Point No. 3, its mentioned that the proposed extent of 5-20Acres in Sy. No. 127, there are noNeduthopu, Reserve Forest, Deemed Forest, C&D lands etc. Proposed Area is Patta Land and land conversion has been obtained from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, on 25-03-2022.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent. The committee after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287^{th} SEAC meeting.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.1.14. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 95/2(P) of Hosagadde Village Sakleshpur Taluk Hassan District (10-01 Acres) by Sri Shiva Stone Crusher & M-sand Unit - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/402498/2022 (SEIAA 418 MIN 2022)

Sri. Shiva Stone Crusher & M-sand Unit have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 95/2(P) of Hosagadde Village Sakleshpur Taluk Hassan District (10-01 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the	Sri Shiva Stone Crusher & M-sand Unit
	Projects Proponent	_

2	Name & Location of the	Buildin	g Stone Quarry P	roject at Sv. No.	
	Project	95/2(P) of Hosagadde Village Sakleshpur			
		, ,	lassan District (10-		
		Point	Latitude	Longitude	
		A	N 12" 55" 14.5"	E 75' 52' 40.5"	
		8	N 12" 55' 14.8"	E 75' 52' 42.3'	
		C	N 12° 55′ 13.7°	E75' 52' 44.1"	
		1 0	N 12° 55′ 15.2°	E 75" 52" 45.9"	
		E	N 12' 55' 15.1"	E 75' 52' 46.3'	
			¥12°55′15.6°	E 75' 52' 46.8"	
		G	N 12"55" 16.0"	E 75° 52' 46.6°	
		H	N 12' 55' 15.1"	E 75' 52' 47.A"	
			N 12*55' 15.9"	E 75" 52" 48.3"	
		J	N 12" 55" 15.5"	£ 75° 52′ 48.5°	
		K	N 12" 55" 14.9"	£75°52'495'	
		ļ <u> </u>	N 12" 55" 13.0"	E 75' 57' 50.0"	
		M	N 12" 55" 12.7"	E 75° 52′ 50.6°	
ı		H	N 12' 55' 12.4"	E 75' 52' 50.6"	
		0	N 12'55'12.3"	E 75° 52' 50.0"	
		P	N 12' 55' 12.1'	£ 75° 52′ 49.8°	
		Q	N 12' 55' 11.8'	E75'52'47.4'	
		R	N 12" 55" 12.1"	E75"52"46.5"	
		Ş	N 12" 55" 10.7"	E 75' 52' 46.3'	
		Ţ	N 12' 55' 108"	E75' 52' 45.2'	
		ij	N 12' 55' 08.9"	E75'52'44.7'	
		V	N 12' 55' 07.1"	E75' 52' 44.2"	
		₩	H 12" 55" 07.6"	E 75' 52' 48.3"	
ļ		X	N 12" 55" 08.2"	E75'52'4B3'	
		<u> </u>	N 12' 55' 08.2"	E 75' 52' 41.4"	
	Town Of Minnes	Desilelia	a Chana Orramaz		
3	Type Of Mineral		g Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /	New			
	Modification / Renewal				
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta			
	Government Revenue,				
	Gomal, Private / Patta,				
	Other]				
6	Area in Acres	10-01 A	cres		
7	Annual Production	<u> </u>	4 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
l <i>'</i>		ילנקטטקט	TOIRD FRIENITII (manuming waste)	
	(Metric Ton / Cum) Per	1			
	Annum	 			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Ks. 0.90) Crores (Rs. 90 Lal	khs)	
L	Crores)				
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	20,80,9	88 Tones (including	g waste)	
	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		` `	- •	
10	Permitted Quantity Per	3.30.39	4 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
10		0,00,00	2 201103/ 1111101111 (
	Annum - Cu.m / Ton	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

11	CER Activities: To provide additional room and infrastructure facilities to near by Govt. School. And to grow 1250 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Hosagadde Village Road				
12	EMP Budget Rs. 30.25 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &9.25 Lakhs (Recurring cost)				
13	Forest NOC	27.04.2022			
14	Quarry plan	an 29.08.2022			
15	Cluster certificate	20.08.2022			
16	Revenue NOC 24.03.2022				
17	Notification	05.08.2022			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 10-01 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1200 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, to provide three tier plantation all along the boundary and to manage top soil, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 20,80,988 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 7 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,30,394 Tones/Annum (Including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

The shape of the notified area is not matching with the notified sketch, points via; N, O &
P are showing mismatches. Along with this the extent of the site is also varying. Corrected
GPS points should be attached and the surface plans should be changed accordingly.

- 2. In production cross section the pink colour bench line and the block hatch shows that the mining is proposed inside the buffer zone (ref Section A-A green lines)
- 3. In the production cross section, the benches are overlapping with each other which is unscientific
- 4. In forest NOC para 6 they have mentioned that there is Elephant corridor nearby the site and hence wildlife clearance must be obtained.

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

1. Complaint: The shape of the notified area is not matching with the notified sketch, points via; N, O & P are showing mismatches. Along with this the extent of the site is also varying. Corrected GPS points should be attached and the surface plans should be changed accordingly.

Reply: The proponent informed that they have rectified GPS Points, and revised plans & sections and obtained approval from DMG.

l. P. Ro.	Latituda	Longitude	
A	N 12" 55" 14.44"	E 75" 12" 40.4	
8	N 12" 55" 14.80"	E 75' 52' 42.30	
C	N 12" 55" 13.79"	E 75' 52' 44.10	
D	N 12" 55" 15.26"	E 75' 52' 44.17	
Ē	N 12" 55" 15.10"	E 75" 52" 46.34	
F	N 12" 55" 15.60"	E 75' 32' 46.90	
Q	N 12" 55' 16.06"	E 75" 52" 46.50	
H	N 12" 55' 16.19"	E 75' 53' 47.6	
į	N 12" 55" 15.87"	E 75" 52" 48.2	
~J~~	N 12" 55" 15.57"	E 75' 52' 48.8	

K	N 12' 55' 14.68"	E 75* 52* 49.32*
t	N 12" 55" 13,76"	E 75° 52° 49.69°
M	N 12" 55" 12.55"	8 78° 52° 50.27°
71	N 12" 55" 12.48"	E 75" \$2" 49.17"
O	N 12" 55" 11.79"	E 75° 52° 48.86°
P	N 12' 55' 12.35'	£ 75° 52' 47.44"
Q	N 12" 55" 11.58"	R 75" 52" 46.99"
K	N 12" S6' 11.73"	8 75° 52° 46.45°
9	N 12" 55' 10.51"	E 75' 52' 45.94"
T	N 12" 55" 10.70"	E 75' 52' 45.17"
U	N 12" 55" 08 90"	E 75" 52" 44,20"
٧	H 12' 55' 07 10"	E 781 521 44,207
w	M 12" 55' 07.60"	E 75' 52' 43 30"
X	N 12" 55" 06.20"	£ 75° 52° 43.30°
Y	N 12° 55' 08.20°	£ 75° 53° 41,40°

2. Complaint:In production cross section the pink colour bench line and the block hatch shows that the mining is proposed inside the buffer zone (ref Section A-A green lines).

Drafted by Ke

Reply: The proponent informed that Production sections are correct and no changes are required.

3. Complaint:In the production cross section, the benches are overlapping with each other which is unscientific.

Reply: The proponent informed that there is no overlapping of the benches and due to Black & white colour during printing, its seen like benches are overlapping. In colour print-out there is clarity.

4. Complaint: In forest NOC para 6 they have mentioned that there is Elephant corridor nearby the site and hence wildlife clearance must be obtained.

Reply: The proponent informed that, they will abide to the conditions mentioned in Forest NoC.

The committee noted the clarification given by proponent and informed that the committee had received a complaint on 12.01.2023 signed by local villagers of Honkaravalli village Hosakote Hobli Alluru Taluk Hassan District, requesting not to give permission for the proposed project because of the below mentioned reasons. The committee served a copy of the compliant to the proponent for which the proponent submitted the following reply,

1. Complaint: For the proposed quarry and crusher in Hosagadde village sy. No 82 and 149 is adjacent to our Honkaravalli village and within a distance of 25mt to 75mtr there are 10 houses and within a distance of 200mtr there are 22 houses and within 500mtr there are about 40 to 50 houses and all the families residing in these houses are basically depending on agriculture.

Reply: The proponent informed that, the said sy. No. 82 & 149 are more than 500m away form our above proposed quarry hence not applicable for the present proposal.

2. Complaint: The proposed quarry and crusher location is proposed adjacent to, road from Hoskote to Sakaleshpura Taluk Bandihalli Village boundary via Honnaravalli to join Nidanuru, as per village map.

Reply: The proponent informed that the boundary of K Hosakote village is more than 700mtr away from our above project quarry and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

Drafted by

3. Complaint: The waste water from the proposed crusher reaches to the agriculture tank which is at a distance of 100mtr and excess water from this tank reaches to government reservoir (tank) and all domestic animals and farmers depend on this.

Reply: The proponent informed that, in the proposed stone crusher and quarry location is more that 700mtr away from our proposed quarry and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

4. Complaint: From the proposed project location within a distance of 400mtrs there are Anganwadi Kendra and temples used by locals.

Reply: The proponent informed that, as per KMMCR, the minimum distance required for carrying-out blasting is only 200m and the said Anganwadi Kendra is located at about 400m which is greater that 200m, from the quarry area and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

5. Complaint: At a distance of about 10mtr to 500mtr from the proposed project site area, there are around 80-100 farmers work in agriculture fields.

Reply: The proponent informed that, the issue is about sy. No. 82 & 149, which is more than 500m away from our above proposed quarry location and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

6. Complaint: From the proposed site area at a distance of about 10mtr there is Shri Kadu Malleshwara temple and villagers of Honkaravalli and Hiduvanahalli celebrate (Jathara) every year during Basavajayanthi.

Reply: The proponent informed that the temple is more than 700mtr away from proposed project and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

7. Complaint:In Hosagadde village sy. No. 82, there is more than 100acres of Forest Area having varieties of important plants and trees and this area is modified into Elephant resting place(proposed crusher area is about Forest land is at a distance of 10-50mtrs)

Reply: The proponent informed that, the sy. No. 82 is more than 500m away from the proposed project site and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

8. Complaint:In Hosagadde village sy. No. 149 there is about 8Acres of Government land and the propose project proponent is illegally planning to start crusher and quarry operations.

Drafted by te

1 - 49

Reply: The proponent informed that, the sy. No. 149 is more than 700m away from the proposed project site and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

9. Complaint: Already in regions of Allur – Sakaleshpura there is Elephant menace and due to the proposed crusher operations in sy. No. 82 adjacent to Forest land, Elephant menace will increase.

Reply: The proponent informed that, as per the NOC issued by the Forest Dept., Hosagadde Section-4 forest is at 650m from the proposed quarry. Also, the Forest NOC, is given stipulating the conditions like, 1) if the movement of elephants increases, then NOC will be withdrawn; 2) quarrying shall not be carried-out beyond 6 PM and no electric lights shall be used; 3) only controlled blasting shall be carried-out. They are going to abide by these conditions and restrict quarrying activities, between 8 AM to 5 PM only.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent and after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting with a condition to abide by the conditions mentioned in Forest NoC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.1.15. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 144/4 (P) of Hosagadde Village, Sakleshpur Taluk, Hassan District (1-12 Acres) by Sri Shiva Stone Stusher

Drafted by

& M-sand Unit - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/402500/2022 (SEIAA 419 MIN 2022)

Sri Shiva Stone Crusher & M-sand Unit have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 144/4 (P) of Hosagadde village, Sakleshpur Taluk, Hassan District (1-12 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.N	PARTICULARS			INFORMATIC	ON
1	Name & Address of the Pro Proponent	ojects	cts Sri Shiva Stone Crusher & M-sand Ur		M-sand Unit
2	Name & Location of the Project		144/4	g Stone Quarry Pro (P) of Hosaga pur Taluk, Hassan	dde village,
			Point	Latitude	Longitude
			A	N 12" 55' 07.5"	E 75' SZ' 41.6
			B	N 12° 55′ 07.5°	E 75*52'43.1
			C	N 12" 55' 07.1"	E 75' 52' 44.1
			0	N 12" 55" 05.6"	E 75° 52′ 45.6
			E	N 12° 55' 04.1"	E 75° 52′ 46.3
			F	N 12" 55" 03.9"	E 75° 52′ 46.1
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal		New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Patta		
6	Area in Acres		1-12 Ac	res	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		26,826	Tones/ Annum (in	cluding waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.25	Crores (Rs. 25 Lak	chs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		1,60,956	Tones (including	waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum		26,289	fones/ Annum (ex	cluding waste)
11	- Cu.m / Ton CER Activities: To grow 250 No. of additional plantation on either significant of the approach road from quarry location to Hosagadde Village Road				
12	EMP Budget Rs. 13		3.65 Lakh	s (Capital Cost) &:	3.21 Lakhs
10	Forest NOC	(Recurring cost) 29.04.2022			
13	Forest NOC				
14	Quarry plan	24.08.2022			
15	Cluster certificate	20.08.2022			

52

16	Revenue NOC	24.02.2022
17	C & I Notification	05.08.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 1-12 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1000 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 1,60,956 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 6 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 26,826 Tones/Annum (Including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- 1. There are ponds near the site area within 200m buffer zone in the south-west and eastern side of the project site. Thus, proper buffer inside the site should be taken for blasting and mining purpose.
- 2. In forest NOC para 6 they have mentioned that there is Elephant corridor nearby the site and hence wildlife clearance must be obtained

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt departments as necessary.

Drafted by tein

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained point wise clarification as below for the complaint received from project proponent / consultant,

1. Complaint: There are ponds near the site area within 200m buffer zone in the southwest and eastern side of the project site. Thus, proper buffer inside the site should be taken for blasting and mining purpose.

Reply: The proponent informed that, the irrigation Pond on SW side in 230m away from lease boundaryand in 470m away on Eastern side.

2. Complaint:In forest NOC para 6 they have mentioned that there is Elephant corridor nearby the site and hence wildlife clearance must be obtained.

Reply: The proponent informed that, they will abide to the conditions mentioned in Forest NoC.

The committee noted the clarification given by proponent and informed that the committee had received a complaint on 12.01.2023 signed by local villagers of Honkaravalli village Hosakote Hobli Alluru Taluk Hassan District, requesting not to give permission for the proposed project because of the below mentioned reasons. The committee served a copy of the compliant to the proponent for which the proponent submitted the following reply,

1. Complaint: For the proposed quarry and crusher in Hosagadde village sy. No 82 and 149 is adjacent to our Honkaravalli village and within a distance of 25mt to 75mtr there are 10 houses and within a distance of 200mtr there are 22 houses and within 500mtr there are about 40 to 50 houses and all the families residing in these houses are basically depending on agriculture.

Reply: The proponent informed that, the said sy. No. 82 & 149 are more than 500m away form our above proposed quarry hence not applicable for the present proposal.

2. Complaint: The proposed quarry and crusher location is proposed adjacent to, road from Hoskote to Sakaleshpura Taluk Bandihalli Village boundary via Honnaravalli to join Nidanuru, as per village map.

Reply: The proponent informed that the boundary of K Hosakote village is more than 700mtr away from our above project quarry and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

3. Complaint: The waste water from the proposed crusher reaches to the agriculture tank which is at a distance of 100mtr and excess water from this tank reaches to government reservoir (tank) and all domestic animals and farmers depend on this.

Reply: The proponent informed that, in the proposed stone crusher and quarry location is more that 700mtr away from our proposed quarry and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

4. Complaint: From the proposed project location within a distance of 400mtrs there are Anganwadi Kendra and temples used by locals.

Reply: The proponent informed that, as per KMMCR, the minimum distance required for carrying-out blasting is only 200m and the said Anganwadi Kendra is located at about 400m which is greater that 200m, from the quarry area and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

5. Complaint: At a distance of about 10mtr to 500mtr from the proposed project site area, there are around 80-100 farmers work in agriculture fields.

Reply: The proponent informed that, the issue is about sy. No. 82 & 149, which is more than 500m away from our above proposed quarry location and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

6. Complaint: From the proposed site area at a distance of about 10mtr there is Shri Kadu Malleshwara temple and villagers of Honkaravalli and Hiduvanahalli celebrate (Jathara) every year during Basavajayanthi.

Reply: The proponent informed that the temple is more than 700mtr away from proposed project and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

7. Complaint:In Hosagadde village sy. No. 82, there is more than 100acres of Forest Area having varieties of important plants and trees and this area is modified into Elephant resting place(proposed crusher area is about Forest land is at a distance of 10-50mtrs)

Reply: The proponent informed that, the sy. No. 82 is more than 500m away from the proposed project site and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

8. Complaint: In Hosagadde village sy. No. 149 there is about 8Acres of Government land and the propose project proponent is illegally planning to start crusher and quarry operations.

Drafted by

Reply: The proponent informed that, the sy. No. 149 is more than 700m away from the proposed project site and hence not applicable to the proposed project.

9. Complaint: Already in regions of Allur – Sakaleshpura there is Elephant menace and due to the proposed crusher operations in sy. No. 82 adjacent to Forest land, Elephant menace will increase.

Reply: The proponent informed that, as per the NOC issued by the Forest Dept., Hosagadde Section-4 forest is at 650m from the proposed quarry. Also, the Forest NOC, is given stipulating the conditions like, 1) if the movement of elephants increases, then NOC will be withdrawn; 2) quarrying shall not be carried-out beyond 6 PM and no electric lights shall be used; 3) only controlled blasting shall be carried-out. They are going to abide by these conditions and restrict quarrying activities, between 8 AM to 5 PM only.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent and after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting with a condition to abide by the conditions mentioned in Forest NoC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.1.16. Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 49 & 50 of Kakkihalli Village, Kukanoor Taluk, Koppal District (4-06 Acres) by Sri

Drafted by ke

\$5

Mahendra Kumar Naik - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/403020/2022 (SEIAA 425 MIN 2022)

Sri Mahendra Kumar Naik have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 49 & 50 of Kakkihalli Village, Kukanoor Taluk, Koppal District (4-06 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMA	TION
1	Name & Address of the	Sri Mahendra Kumar Naik		
	Projects Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the	Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry		
	Project	Project	at Sy. Nos. 49 8	& 50 of Kakkihalli
			•	k, Koppal District
		(4-06 Acres)		
		C. P	Latitude	Longitude
		A	N 15*30'31.80"	E 769 01' 26.60"
]		В	N 15º30'30.20"	E 76º 01' 26.70"
		<u> </u>	N 15*30'30.80"	E 76º 01' 30.30"
		D	N 15º30'32.30"	E 76º 01' 29.90"
		<u>E</u>	N 15º30'33.40"	E 76º 01' 36.90"
		6	N 15º30'31.90" N 15º30'32.50"	E 76º 01' 37.10" E 76º 01' 40.80"
		 	N 15430'33.20"	E 76° 01' 40.70"
		'''	N 15430'34.00"	E 76º 01' 40.50"
3	Type Of Mineral	Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /	New	cincar Granate (Gr	cy Grantic, Quarry
1	Modification / Renewal	140.17		
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta		
	Government Revenue, Gomal,			
	Private / Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres	4-06 Ac	roc	
7	Annual Production (Metric			uding waste) I Year
′	Ton / Cum) Per Annum			
ļ	Ton / Cumi Ter Adminit	Year	ranty Attitudit (1	including waste) II
				1 1
			um/ Annum (i	ncluding waste) III
		Year		
			ium/ Annum (ii	ncluding waste) IV
		Year		
		6,668 C	Cum/ Annum (i	ncluding waste) V
		Year		
<u> </u>	D 1 (0 (0)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Crores (Rs. 45 La	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	1,20,925	Cum (including	waste) /
i	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton	<u>-</u>		

Drafted by

10	Permitted Quantity Per		1,200Cum/ Annum (excluding waste) I Year
	Annum - Cu.m / Ton		1,500 Cum/ Annum (excluding waste) I
			Year
			2,000 Cum/ Annum (excluding waste) II
			Year
			2,000 Cum/ Annum (excluding waste) IV
			Year
			2,000 Cum/ Annum (excluding waste) V
			Year
11	•	_	No. of additional plantation on either side of
			y location to Shivapura Village Road and to
	provide addition	nal room and	infrastructure to Govt. School in Kakkihalli
	village.		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 18.00 La	khs (Capital Cost) &4.88 Lakhs (Recurring
		cost)	
13	Forest NOC	21.09.2021	
14	Quarry plan	23.09.2022	
15	Cluster	27.09.2022	
	certificate		
16	Revenue NOC	31.08.2021	
17	C&I	07.09.2022	
	Notification		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 4-06 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 1,20,925 Tones(including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 8 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 4,001Cum/ Annum (including waste) I Year, 5,000 Cum/ Annum

(including waste) II Year, 6,668 Cum/ Annum (including waste) III Year, 6,668 Cum/ Annum (including waste) IV Year and 6,668 Cum/ Annum (including waste) V Year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- 1. The DMG has not represented the Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry of Sri. R Gururaj in 500m buffer in the cluster sketch, which is not exempted. This Sri. R Gururaj file was uploaded in the portal and has proposal number SIA/KA/MIN/203647/2021 and File No. SEIAA 143 MIN 2021 EC was granted on 20.11.2021 and this site is within 500m from the proposed site
- 2. There is some litigation in the site and as per the district task force committee decision once the court issue is resolved then the whole area can be considered for quarrying. In the form 1 point no. 24 also there is no mention of the litigations.
- 3. Also the site is worked towards South east portion if we check the latest google image

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

1. Complaint: The DMG has not represented the Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry of Sri. R Gururaj in 500m buffer in the cluster sketch, which is not exempted. This Sri. R Gururaj file was uploaded in the portal and has proposal number SIA/KA/MIN/203647/2021 and File No. SEIAA 143 MIN 2021 EC was granted on 20.11.2021 and this site is within 500m from the proposed site

Reply: The proponent informed that pursuant to the 227th SEIAA meeting, they had sought clarification from the Dept. of Mines &Geology, Koppal on the status of the quarry of Sri. R. Gururaj, and DMG have issued an endorsement dated 19.12.2022 stating that, due to some technical issues, the quarry license of Sri. R. Gururaj hasnot been sanctioned and there is no change in cluster letter and cluster map issued earlier.

2. Complaint: There is some litigation in the site and as per the district task force committee decision once the court issue is resolved then the whole area can be considered for quarrying. In the form 1 point no. 24 also there is no mention of the litigations.

Reply: The proponent informed that earlier they had given permission (GPA) in favour of one of our relatives viz. Sri. ThukaramPatteppa L, to utilize 2-00 Acres of the above proposed land, for extraction of granite. Based onthat, he had obtained the Quarrying License from the Dept. of Mines & Geology, vide QL No.656, for a period of 10 years, w.e.f. 04-06-2004 (valid until 03-06-2014). The said QL No. 656, wascancelled by the Director, Dept. of Mines & Geology, vide their order dated 30-09-2013, for non-payment of dues payable to the DMG. The said Sri. Thukaram Patteppa L, has submitted a revision petition with theHon'ble Revision Authority, Secretary to Govt., C & I Department (Mines), GoK, vide Revision Petition No. Rev. Pet. No: Cl 19 MRC 2014 and the Hon'ble Revision Authority has upheld the decision of the Director, Dept. of Mines & Geology.

Further, Sri. Thukaram Patteppa L, has challenged the decision of the Director of the Dept. of Mines & Geology and the Hon'ble Revision Authority, with the Hon'ble HighCourt of Karnataka, vide W.P. No. 19170/2014 (GM-MM-S) and WRIT PETITIONNo.28232E014 (GM-MM-S). Both these WPs were given judgement on 10th July 2014, wherein the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka and dismissed the WPs filed by Sri.Thukaram Patteppa L, and stated that the decision of the Director of Dept. of Mines &Geology and the the Hon'ble Revision Authority, are as per law.

3. Complaint: Also the site is worked towards South east portion if we check the latest google image

Reply: The proponent informed that in the proposed area, over an extent of 2-00 Acres, quarry working permission was granted for extraction of granitic gneiss, in favour of one of our relatives viz. Sri. Thukaram Patteppa L, vide QL No. 656, for a period of 10 years, w.e.f. 04-06-2004 (valid until 03-06-2014). The said QL No. 656, was cancelled by the Director, Dept. of Mines & Geology, vide their order dated 30-09-2013.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent and after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting subject to the condition as per final court orders as mentioned in C&I notification.

Drafted by

____ 59

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.1.17. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 26(Part) H.Thimmapura village Tarikere Taluk & Chikkamagaluru District (1-15 Acres) by M/s. Ashoka Buildcon Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404556/2022 (SEIAA 453 MIN 2022)

M/s. Ashoka Buildcon Limited have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 26(Part) of H. Thimmapura village Tarikere Taluk & Chikkamagaluru District (1-15 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Ashoka Buildcon Limited
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 26(Part) of H.Thimmapura village Tarikere Taluk & Chikkamagaluru District (1-15 Acres)

Drafted by

			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	
			A	N 13'45'18.1"	E 75*46′38.0°	1
			В	N 13"45"17.8"	E 75°46′39.0°	1
			С	N 13'45'14.6"	E 75'46'38.9"	1
			D	N 13"45"14.5"	E 75'46'36.5"]
İ			E	N 13'45'16.0"	E 75'46'36.7"	
			F	N 13'45'16.0"	E 75°46′37.7″]
3	Type Of Mineral			Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /	<u> </u>	New			
	Modification / Rene	wal				
5	Type of Land [Fores	t,	Gover	nment		
	Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres		1-15 Acres			
7	Annual Production	(Metric	2,24,339 Tones(including waste) for 1st year,			
	Ton / Cum) Per Annum			2,26,838 Tones (including waste) for 2nd& 3rd		
			year.			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of	_	6,78,016 Tones (including waste)			
	Quarry- Cu.m / Tor					
10	Permitted Quantity			52 Tones(exclud	•	
•	Annum - Cu.m / To	'n	2,22,301Tones (excluding waste) for 2 nd & 3 rd			
			year			
11	CER Activities:			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 (11	
	To grow 250 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road					
<u> </u>	·	ocation to H. Thimmapura Village Road.				
12	12 EMP Budget Rs. 11.60 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.30 La				(S, 5.30 Lakis	
10	Toward NIOC	(Recurring cost)				
13	Forest NOC	28.02.2022				
14	Quarry plan	21.10.2022				
15	Cluster certificate	21.10.2022				
16	C & I Notification	30.09.2022				
17	Revenue	22.02.2022				

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 43 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 09 lease is exempted from cluster as the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and 18 leases were exempted as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of remaining leases including the present lease is 5-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the

quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 6,78,014 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 3years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,24,339 Tones (including waste) for 1st year, 2,26,838 Tones (including waste) for 2nd & 3rd year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received vide email (Premkumar332sd@gmail.com) dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

- Distance between the project site and the Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary is 7.90km, proper buffer of 10km should be kept from the Wildlife Sanctuary as this wildlife sanctuary is in draft stage proper distance from the Bhadra range officers must be obtained.
- 2. In forest NOC there is no mention of the type of land of the proposed site and also there is no mention regarding the deemed, reserved, state forests in the survey no 26.
- 3. There are many leases already proposed in the Thimmapura village in this agenda itself and the cluster sketch of all the proposals in the Thimmapura village are not matching.

The Authority perused the Complaint and noted the contents. Further, the Authority also verified the documents and it was observed the there are some discrepancies in the cluster certificates (SEIAA 176 MIN 2022, SEIAA 95 MIN 2022, SEIAA 144 MIN 2022 and SEIAA 453 MIN 2022). Therefore, the Authority decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall examine the issues raised in the complaint deligrntly and obtain requisite clarification/documents from Project Proponent /Govt. departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

Drafted by

Complaint: Distance between the project site and the Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary is 7.90km, proper buffer of 10km should be kept from the Wildlife Sanctuary as this wildlife sanctuary is in draft stage proper distance from the Bhadra range officers must be obtained.

Reply: The proponent informed that as per Forest NOC dated 17.11.2022, Bhadra wildlife sanctuary is at 11.15km from the applied area, which is more than the default ESZ of 10 km. Hence distance certificate from Chief Wildlife Warden is not required.

Complaint: In forest NOC there is no mention of the type of land of the proposed site and also there is no mention regarding the deemed, reserved, state forests in the survey no 26.

Reply: The proponent informed that as per recent forest NOC dated 17/11/2022, it is mentioned that it is not a deemedforest area and not any kind of forest land.

Complaint: There are many leases already proposed in the Thimmapura village in this agenda itself and the cluster sketch of all the proposals in the Thimmapura village are not matching.

Reply: The proponent informed that as per Cluster Sketch issued by Dept. of Mines & Geology, vide letter dated 21-10-2022, there are 28 existing leases and 2 newly notified areas. Of the 28 existing leases, 27 leases are exempted from cluster effect, due to the fact that, the leases are executed prior to 09th Sept. 2013 or have obtained the EC before 15th Jan. 2016. Only 1 existing lease and the 2 newly notified areas (incl. the present proposed area of 1-15 Acres), have a combined area of 4-15 acres, which is less than the threshold of 5 Ha. Hence, categorized as under B2. The different cluster sketches for different quarries were considered in the same SEIAA meeting, as per the cluster letter/sketch issued on 29-10-2021, in respect of Sri. Halesh Kumar (SEIAA 144 MIN 2022), Sri. D B Manjunath (SEIAA 95 MIN 2022) and Sri. R. Shanmugam (SEIAA 176 MIN 2022), there were 28 existing leases and difference is that, the 2 newly notified areas were not mentioned, as the notifications for the same were issued on 30-09-2022, i.e. in the year 2022 and also submitted cluster sketch dated 10.01.2023 issued from DMG informing the above.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent and after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting.

Drafted by Ce

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.1.18. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 77/10 (P) of Mallappanahalli village Hassan Taluk & District (1-35 Acres) by Sri Vikram B. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/404716/2022 (SEIAA 464 MIN 2022)

Sri Vikram B have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 77/10 (P) of Mallappanahalli village Hassan Taluk & District (1-35 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Vikram B.				
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 77/10 (P) of Mallappanahalli village Hassan Taluk & District (1-35 Acres)				
		P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	7	
		A	N 12"55"41.7"	E 76"04"44.5"	1	
'		В	N 12"55'39.7"	E 76"04"43.0"		
		С	N 12"55'39.8"	£76°04'47.2"		
		D	N 12'55'37.8"	E 76"04"45.8"		
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry				
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New		1	7	
	· ''-	\ .			$\neg \neg$	

Drafted by ea-

5	Type of Land [Fore	st,	Patta		
	Government Reven				
	Private / Patta, Other]				
6	Area in Acres		1-35 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		71,694 Tones/annum(including waste).		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/		4,57,620 Tones (including waste)		
	Quarry-Cu.m / To	n			
10	Permitted Quantity	Per	70,260 Tones/annum(including waste).		
	Annum - Cu.m / To	on			
11	CER Activities:				
	To grow 200 No. of additional plantation on either side of them approach				
	road from quarry location to Mallappanahalli Village Road				
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 14.60 L	akhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.56 Lakhs		
		(Recurring	cost)		
13	Forest NOC	11.08.2022			
14	Quarry plan	21.10.2022			
15	Cluster certificate	25.10.2022			
16	Notification	19.10.2022			
17	Revenue	20.09.2022	& 01.10.2022		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 9-35Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 650 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to grow three tier plantation towards crusher, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 4,57,620 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 07 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 71,694 Tones/Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received (Sri. Mahalingayya) vide letter dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

"There are 2 proposals uploaded in the PARIVESH 2.0 portal of Sri Vikram Bhadrappa one having Proposal No, and file number shown above in reference and one more file is uploaded which is pending for reply from PP. The cluster sketch uploaded in the Parivesh of the pending file which has proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022 and file no. SEIAA 491 MIN 2022 is completely different from the cluster sketch uploaded in the proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404716/2022 and File No. SELAA 464 MIN 2022 even though both the projects are within 500m from the site. In the cluster sketch of proposal no. 404719 there are 7 fresh proposals and the total extent of all these leases including the Rajkamal Builders will exceed 5 Ha and hence this proposal no. 404716 which is considered in this SEIAA meeting must also be considered under the cluster situation as one file of Tumkur named Sri Palaksha SEIAA 07 MIN 2021 and Sri Jayamma SELAA 08 MIN 2021 was also consider under cluster situation even though there was no existing leases in the cluster sketch and all proposed leases were considered under cluster.

This proposal of Sri Vikram Bhadrappa must also be considered under cluster as all the 7 proposals which are mentioned in cluster sketch of proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022 and file no. SELAA 491 MIN 2022 are already submitted for EC and Hence ToR must be issued for all these projects."

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

Complaint: There are 2 proposals uploaded in the PARIVESH 2.0 portal of Sri Vikram Bhadrappa one having Proposal No, and file number shown above in reference and one more file is uploaded which is pending for reply from PP. The cluster sketch uploaded in the Parivesh of the pending file which has proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022 and file no. SEIAA 491 MIN 2022 is completely different from the cluster sketch uploaded in the proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404716/2022 and File No. SELAA 464 MIN 2022 even though both the projects are within 500m from the site. In the cluster sketch of proposal no. 404719 there are 7 fresh proposals and the total extent of all these leases including the Rajkamal Builders will exceed 5 Ha and hence this proposal no. 404716 which is considered in this SEIAA meeting must also be considered under the cluster situation as one file of Tumkur named Sri Palaksha SEIAA 07 MIN 2021 and Sri Jayamma SELAA 08 MIN 2021 was also consider under cluster situation even though there was no existing leases in the cluster sketch and all proposed leases were considered under cluster.

This proposal of Sri Vikram Bhadrappa must also be considered under cluster as all the 7 proposals which are mentioned in cluster sketch of proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022 and file no. SELAA 491 MIN 2022 are already submitted for EC and Hence ToR must be issued for all these projects.

Reply: The proponent informed that initially there were 7 notifications issued including the present proposal and we had applied for EC for all the 7 proposals. After consulting other proponents, they had withdrawn the following proposals, (01) Sri. Nithyananda M D SIA/KA/MIN/404634/2022, SEIAA 460 MIN 2022 (02) Sri. Nithyananda M D SIA/KA/MIN/404657/2022, SEIAA 461 MIN 2022 (03) Sri. Yogisha R SIA/KA/MIN/404682/2022, SEIAA 462 MIN 2022 (04) Sri. Somasekhar H P SIA/KA/MIN/404721/2022, SEIAA 468 MIN 2022 (05) Sri. Vikram B SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022, SEIAA 491 MIN 2022, after which the total cluster area is 11-30Acres less that the threshold of 5Ha, hence categorized as B2.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent and after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.1.19. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.77/6(P) of Mallappanahalli Village, Hassan Taluk & District (1-35 Acres) by Sri R. Yogish - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/404722/2022 (SEIAA 465 MIN 2022)

Sri R. Yogish have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 77/6(P) of Mallappanahalli village Hassan Taluk & District (1-35 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULA	ARS	INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of	the	Sri R. Yogish			
	Projects Proponent					
2	Name & Location of	the Project	77/6(P	ng Stone Quart) of Mallappar & District (1-35 Latitude N12'55'45.50" N12'55'44.20" N12'55'44.20" N12'55'44.20" N12'55'44.70"	nahalli village	•
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /			New		
	Modification / Rene	wal				
5						
	Private / Patta, Othe	er]				
6	Area in Acres		1-35 Acres			
7	Annual Production	(Metric	72,325Tones/annum(including waste).			
	Ton / Cum) Per Anı	num		•	-	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	s. In Crores)		Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of	· .		4,62,880 Tones (including waste)		
	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton			·		
10	Permitted Quantity	Per	70,879 Tones/annum(excluding waste).			
	Annum - Cu.m / Ton				•	,
11			No. of additional plantation on either side of the			
	approach road from	quarry locat	tion to N	lallappanahalli	Village Road	
12				pital Cost) & R		
		(Recurring	cost)			
13	Forest NOC	11.08.202				
14	Quarry plan	21.10.2022				
15	Cluster certificate	25.10.2022				
16	Notification	19.10.2022			-	
17	Revenue	20.09.2022		·		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 17th, 18th & 19th November 2022 The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is one more lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and including the area of proposal for EC with proposal no.

Drafted by

SEIAA/464/MIN/2022 the total area of the leases including the present lease is 11-30Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 650 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and to grow three tier plantation towards crusher, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 4,62,880 Tonnes (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 07 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 72,325Tones/Annum (including waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the meeting held on 8th December 2022. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received (Sri. Mahalingayya) vide letter dated 08th December 2022. The details are as follows;

"There are 7 proposals uploaded in the PARIVESH 2.0 portal of the same village from which one file is having Proposal No. and file number shown above in reference has come to the SEIAA meeting for B2 category and one more file is uploaded which is pending for reply from PP. The cluster sketch uploaded in the Parvesh of the pending file which has proposal no: SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022 and file no. SEIAA 491 MIN 2022 is completely different from the cluster sketch uploaded in the proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404722/2022 and File No.: SEIAA 465 MIN 2022 even though both the projects are within 500m from the site. In the cluster sketch of proposal no. 404719 there are 7 fresh proposals and the total extent of all these leases including the Rajkamal Builders will exceed 5 Ha and hence this proposal no. 404722 which is considered in this SEIAA meeting must also be considered under the cluster situation as one file of Tumkur named Sri Palaksha SEIAA 07 MIN 2021 and Sri Jayamma SEIAA 08 MIN 2021 was also consider under cluster situation even though there was no existing leases in the cluster sketch and all proposed leases were considered under cluster.

This proposal of Sri Raju Yogisha must also be considered under cluster as all the 7 proposals which are mentioned in cluster sketch of proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404682/2022 and file no. SEIAA 465 MIN 2022 are already submitted for EC and Hence ToR must be issued for all these projects."

The Authority perused the complaint and noted the contents of the same. The Authority also examined the documents of this proposal in the light of the compliant received and decided to refer the file back to SEAC. The SEAC shall look into the issues

Drafted by ta

raised in the complaint deligently and obtain requisite clarifications/documents from the Project Proponent or any other Govt departments as necessary.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 12th and 13th January 2023. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC. The committee in the meeting obtained clarification as below from project proponent / consultant for the complaint received,

Complaint: There are 7 proposals uploaded in the PARIVESH 2.0 portal of the same village from which one file is having Proposal No. and file number shown above in reference has come to the SEIAA meeting for B2 category and one more file is uploaded which is pending for reply from PP. The cluster sketch uploaded in the Parvesh of the pending file which has proposal no: SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022 and file no. SEIAA 491 MIN 2022 is completely different from the cluster sketch uploaded in the proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404722/2022 and File No.: SEIAA 465 MIN 2022 even though both the projects are within 500 m from the site. In the cluster sketch of proposal no. 404719 there are 7 fresh proposals and the total extent of all these leases including the Rajkamal Builders will exceed 5 Ha and hence this proposal no. 404722 which is considered in this SEIAA meeting must also be considered under the cluster situation as one file of Tumkur named Sri Palaksha SEIAA 07 MIN 2021 and Smt.Jayamma SEIAA 08 MIN 2021 was also consider under cluster situation even though there was no existing leases in the cluster sketch and all proposed leases were considered under cluster.

This proposal of Sri Raju Yogisha must also be considered under cluster as all the 7 proposals which are mentioned in cluster sketch of proposal no. SIA/KA/MIN/404682/2022 and file no. SEIAA 465 MIN 2022 are already submitted for EC and Hence ToR must be issued for all these projects.

Reply: The proponent informed that initially there were 7 notificsation issued including the present proposal and we had applied for EC for all the 7 proposals. After consulting other proponents, they had withdrawn the following proposals, (01) Sri. Nithyananda M D SIA/KA/MIN/404634/2022, SEIAA 460 MIN 2022 (02) Sri. Nithyananda M D SIA/KA/MIN/404657/2022, SEIAA 461 MIN 2022 (03) Sri. Yogisha R SIA/KA/MIN/404682/2022, SEIAA 462 MIN 2022 (04) Sri. Somasekhar H P SIA/KA/MIN/404721/2022, SEIAA 468 MIN 2022 (05) Sri Vikram B SIA/KA/MIN/404719/2022, SEIAA 491 MIN 2022, after which the total cluster area is 11-30 Acres less that the threshold of 5Ha, hence categorized as B2.

The committee after discussion accepted the clarification given by the proponent and after discussion decided to reiterate the decision taken in 287th SEAC meeting.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

229.2. Additional Agenda (With the permission of Chairman)

Miscellaneous Projects:

229.2.1. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 77 of Dodderi Village, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s Venkateshwara Stone, Sri. Yogasagar S/o Janardhan - SEIAA 1294 MIN 2015 - Request for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Venkateshwara Stone Managing Partner: Sri. B Balaji.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 1294 MIN 2015 dated 30.12.2015 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 77 of Dodderi Village, Bengaluru South Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District to M/s Venkateshwara Stone, Sri. Yogasagar S/o Janardhan.

The project proponent vide letter dated 20.01.2023 have requested this Authority to transfer of EC granted to M/s Venkateshwara Stone, Sri. Yogasagar S/o Janardhan in favour of M/s Venkateshwara Stones Managing Partner: Sri. B Balaji as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Venkateshwara Stones Managing Partner: Sri. B Balaji through the Dept. of Mines and Geology vide letter dated 27.12.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Venkateshwara Stones Managing Partner: Sri. B Balaji and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Venkateshwara Stones Managing Partner: Sri. B Balaji subject to the following conditions

i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Venkateshwara Stones Managing Partner: Sri. B Balaji relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by

Drafted by

Authorized Signatory of M/s Venkateshwara Stone, Sri. Yogasagar S/o Janardhan.)

- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.2. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No.29 of Huluvenahalli Village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by Sri. N Gopalakrishna SEIA 312 MIN 2015 Request for transfer of EC dated 08.08.2015 in favour of M/s Sri. Chamundeshwari M-Sand.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 312 MIN 2015 daed 08.08.2015 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No.29 of Huluvenahalli Village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District to Sri. N Gopalakrishna.

M/s Sri. Chamundeshwari M- Sand vide letter dated 15.02.2023 requested this Authority for transfer of EC granted to Sri. N Gopalakrishna in favour of M/s Sri. Chamundeshwari M- Sand as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Sri. Chamundeshwari M- Sand through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Sri. Chamundeshwari M- Sand and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Sri. Chamundeshwari M- Sand subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Sri. Chamundeshwari M-Sand relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. N Gopalakrishna.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.3. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 45 of Chikkanayakanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District by M/s Annapoorneshwari Enterprises SEIAA 575 MIN 2015 Request for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Vengamamba Stone Crusher.

Environmental clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 572 MIN 2015 dated 16.12.2015 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 45 of Chikkanayakanahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District to M/s Annapoorneshwari Enterprises.

The project proponent vide letter dated 25.01.2023 have requested this Authority to transfer of EC granted them in favour of M/s Vengamamba Stone Crusher as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Vengamamba Stone Crusher through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Drafted by

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Annapoorneshwari Enterprises and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Vengamamba Stone Crusher subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Vengamamba Stone Crusher relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Annapoorneshwari Enterprises.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.4. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 43, Somashettyhalli Village, Arisikere Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka by Sri. M. H. Keshavamurthy SEIAA 152 MIN 2022 Request for transfer of EC in favour of Sri. Maruthi Stone Crusher.

Environmental clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 152 MIN 2022 dated 14.06.2022 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 43, Somashettyhalli Village, Arisikere Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka to Sri. M. H. Keshavamurthy.

The project proponent vide letter dated 07.02.2022 have requested this Authority for transfer of EC granted to Sri. Krishnamurthy in favour of Sri. Maruthi Stone Crusher as the quarry lease has been transferred to Sri. Maruthi Stone Crusher through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. M. H. Keshavamurthy and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. Maruthi Stone Crusher subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Sri. Maruthi Stone Crusher relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. M. H. Keshavamurthy.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.5. Quarrying of Building Stone (M-Sand) at Sy. No. 61/6 in Ambewadi Village, Belagavi Taluk & District, Karnataka by Sri. Kuber Basavanneppa Benakatti SEIAA 40 MIN 2020 Request for transfer of EC in favour of Sri. Manjunath Basavaraj Garag.

Environmental clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 40 MIN 2020 dated 07.03.2020 for Quarrying of Building Stone (M-Sand) at Sy. No. 61/6 in Ambewadi Village, Belagavi Taluk & District, Karnataka to Sri. Kuber Basavanneppa Benakatti.

Sri. Manjunath Basavaraj Garag S/o Basavaraj vide letter dated 08.02.2023 has requested this Authority for transfer of EC granted to Sri. Ruber Basavarapeppa Benakatti in favour of Sri. Manjunath Basavaraj Garag as the quarry lease as been

Drafted by

//' ___

transferred to Sri. Manjunath Basavaraj Garag through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. Manjunath Basavaraj Garag S/o Basavaraj and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. Manjunath Basavaraj Garag S/o Basavaraj subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Sri. Manjunath Basavaraj Garag S/o Basavaraj relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Kuber Basavanneppa Benakatti)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.6. Request for Transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to M/s Udupi Metals Navayuga Engineering Company Ltd, for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 133/P1 of Sooda Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District by DEIAA Udupi over an extent of 1.80 Acres in favour of Sri. Dinesh Shetty. SEIAA 49 MISC 2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Udupi vide letter No. DEIAA UDP 15 MIN 2016-17 99-103 dated 16.02.2017 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 133/P1 of Sooda Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District to M/s Udupi Metals Navayuga Engineering Company Ltd.

The project proponent vide letter requested this Authority to transfer the EC granted to M/s Udupi Metals Navayuga Engineering Company Ltd. in favour of Sri, Dinesh Shetty as the quarry lease has been transferred to Sri. Dinesh Shetty through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to concerned district office to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 49 MISC 2022 dated 26.09.2022. Original File has been received by this office on 25.11.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Udupi Metals Navayuga Engineering Company Ltd and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri, Dinesh Shetty subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Sri, Dinesh Shetty relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Udupi Metals Navayuga Engineering Company Ltd.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.7. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to \$7i, L Sriharsha S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T for quarrying of Building

Drafted by

1

Stone at Sy. No. 43 of Hosadoddi Village, Ramanagara Taluk, Ramanagara District by DEIAA Ramanagara District over an extent of 10.00 Acres in favour of M/s Enrich Granites. – SEIAA 35 MISC 2022.

Environmental CLerarance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Ramanagara vide letter No. DEIAA/012/2016/B.S/RMN dated 08.02.2018 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 43 of Hosadoddi Village, Ramanagara Taluk, Ramanagara District to Sri. L Sriharsha S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T.

The project proponent vide letter requested this Authority to issue transfer of EC granted to Sri. L Sriharsha S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T in favour of M/s Enrich Granites as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Enrich Granites through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to concerned district office to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 35 MISC 2022 dated 29.06.2022. Original File has been received by this office on 14.12.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. L Sriharsha S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T. and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Enrich Granites subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Enrich Granites relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. L Sriharsha S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.8. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 43 of Hosadoddi Village, Ramanagara Taluk, Ramanagara District by DEIAA Ramanagara District over an extent of 10.00 Acres in favour of M/s Grasil Granites SEIAA 36 MISC 2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Ramanagara vide letter No DEIAA /013/2017 RMN dated 08.02.2018 quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 43 of Hosadoddi Village, Ramanagara Taluk, Ramanagara District by DEIAA Ramanagara District to Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T.

The project proponent vide letter requested this Authority to issue transfer of EC granted to Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T in favour of M/s Grasil Granites as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Grasil Granites through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to concerned district office to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 36 MISC 2022 dated 29.06.2022. Original File has been received by this office on 14.12.2022.

Drafted by

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T. and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Grasil Granites subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Grasil Granites relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.9. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 38 of Kurubarahalli Village, Ramanagara Taluk, Ramanagara District by DEIAA Ramanagara District over an extent of 10.00 Acres in favour of M/s Prosperous Granites. SEIAA 37 MISC 2022.

Environmental CLerarance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Ramanagara vide letter No DEIAA /014/2017 RMN dated 08.02.2018 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 38 of Kurubarahalli Village, Ramanagara Taluk, Ramanagara District by DEIAA Ramanagara District to Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T.

The project proponent vide letter requested this Authority to issue transfer of EC granted to Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T in favour of M/s Prosperous Granites as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Prosperous Granites through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to concerned district office to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 37 MISC 2022 dated 29.06.2022. Original File has been received by this office on 14.12.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T. and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Prosperous Granites subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Prosperous Granites relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. L Manu S/o Lakshminarayanaswamy S. T.
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.10. Request for Transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Smt. T Bharti W/o T. Raghava Reddy for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 54 (P) of Burhanapura Village, Manvi Taluk, Raichur District by DEIAA Raichur

Drafted by take

over an extent of 2-00 Acres in favour of Sri. Dhaparthi Lakshminarasimha Rao. – SEIAA 50 MISC 2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Raichur district vide letter No DEIAA/MIN/NS-1/2016-17/1843 dated 30.12.2016 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 54 (P) of Burhanapura Village, Manvi Taluk, Raichur District to Smt. T Bharti W/o T. Raghava Reddy.

The project proponent vide letter requested this Authority to issue transfer of EC granted to Smt. T Bharti W/o T. Raghava Reddy in favour of Sri. Dhaparthi Lakshminarasimha Rao as the quarry lease has been transferred to Sri. Dhaparthi Lakshminarasimha Rao through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to concerned district office to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 50 MISC 2022 dated 13.10.2022. Original File has been received by this office on 10.02.2023.

The Authority perused the request made by Smt. T Bharti W/o T. Raghava Reddy and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. Dhaparthi Lakshminarasimha Rao subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Sri. Dhaparthi Lakshminarasimha Rao relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Smt. T Bharti W/o T. Raghava Reddy.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.

229.2.11. Quarrying of Shahabad Stone at Sy No. 67/3 of Gandinagar Village, Chittapur Taluk, Kalaburagi District by Sri. Thimmayya S/o Huligappa - Reques for transfer of EC dated 25.07.2016 in favour of Smt. Shantabai W/o Late Thimmayya. - SEIAA 18 MISC 2023.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Kalaburagi vide letter No. DEIAA0037MIS2016/CHT/13/2016-17/050dated 25.07.2016 for quarrying of Shahabad Stone at Sy No. 67/3 of Gandinagar Village, Chittapur Taluk, Kalaburagi District to Sri. Thimmayya S/o Huligappa.

Smt. Shantabai W/o Late Thimmayya vide letter dated 20.12.2022 have informed that her husband Sri. Thimmayya died on 06.09.2021 and therefore The Department of Mines and Geology have held Smt. Shantabai W/o Late Thimmayya as the legal heir for continuing the quarrying business of Sri. Thimmayya S/o Huligappa due to his demise. Smt. Shantabai W/o Late Thimmayya have requested this Authority for transfer of Environment Clearance dated 25.07.2016 granted by DEIAA, Kalaburagi District in favour of her husband Sri. Thimmayya S/o Huligappa to her name to facilitate continuing the quarry business.

Original File has been received by this office on 25.01.2023.

Drafted by La

,, , The Authority perused the request made by Smt. Shantabai W/o Late Thimmayya and decided to transfer the EC in favour Smt. Shantabai W/o Late Thimmayya subject to the following conditions

- i. Notorized Copy of EC
- ii. Notorized copy of the Death certificate of Late. Sri. Thimmayya S/o Huligappa
- 229.2.12. Quarrying of Shahabad Stone at Sy No. 275/1 of Ravoor Village, Chittapur Taluk, Kalaburagi District by Sri. Hussain Sab S/o Nabisab Musamile Request for transfer of EC in favour of Sri. Imamsab SEIAA 19 MISC 2023.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Kalaburagi vide letter No. DEIAA0022MIS2016/CHT/03/2016-17 dated 25.07.2016 for quarrying of Shahabad Stone at Sy No. 275/1 of Ravoor Village, Chittapur Taluk, Kalaburagi District to Sri. Hussain Sab S/o Nabisab Musamile.

Sri. Imam Sab S/o Late Sri. Hussain Sab vide letter dated 20.12.2022 have informed that his father Sri. Hussain Sab died on 23.05.2016 and therefore The Department of Mines and Geology have held Sri. Imam Sab S/o Late Sri. Hussain Sab as the legal heir for continuing the quarrying business of Sri. Hussain Sab S/o Nabisab Musamile due to his demise. Sri. Imam Sab S/o Late Sri. Hussain Sab have requested this Authority for transfer of Environment Clearance dated 25.07.2016 granted by DEIAA, Kalaburagi District in favour of his father Sri. Hussain Sab S/o Nabisab Musamile to his name to facilitate continuing the quarry business.

Original File has been received by this office on 25.01.2023.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. Imam Sab S/o Late Sri. Hussain Sab and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. Imam Sab S/o Late Sri. Hussain Sab subject to the following conditions

- i. Notorized Copy of EC
- ii. Notorized copy of the Death certificate of Late. Sri. Hussain Sab.
- 229.2.13. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. H S Girish for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 538/2 of Kothalavadi Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District by DEIAA Chmarajanagara District over an extent of 4-29 acres in favour of M/s SDS Stone Crusher SEIAA 15 MISC 2023.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Chamarajanagara District vide letter No. DEIAA /CHN/11/MIN/2017 dated 05.07.2017 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 538/2 of Kothalavadi Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District to Sri, H S Girish.

Drafted by te

M/s SDS Stone Crusher vide letter dated 11.01.2023 have requested for transfer of EC granted to Sri. H S Girish in favour of M/s SDS Stone Crusher as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s SDS Stone Crusher through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to concerned district office to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 15 MISC 2023 dated 02.02.2023. Original File has been received by this office on 15.02.2023.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s SDS Stone Crusher and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s SDS Stone Crusher subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s SDS Stone Crusher relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. H S Girish.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.14. Request for Transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Vishwa Bandu Stone Quarries Work for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 56 of Hiretogalere Village, Davanagere Taluk & District by DEIAA Davanagere over an extent of 8-04 Acres in favour of Sri. T G Siddesh. SEIAA 54 MISC 2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA, Davanagere District vide letter No. DEIAA/DVG/Kallu.gani/CR/29/17-18 dated 09.10.2017 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 56 of Hiretogalere Village, Davanagere Taluk & District to Vishwa Bandu Stone Quarries Work.

Sri. T G Siddesh vide letter dated 15.09.2022 requested this Authority for transfer of EC granted to Vishwa Bandu Stone Quarries Work in favour of Sri. T G Siddesh as the quarry lease has been transferred to Sri. T G Siddesh through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to concerned district office to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 54 MISC 2022 dated 14.10.2022. Original File has been received by this office on 12.12.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. T G Siddesh and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. T G Siddesh subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Sri. T G Siddesh relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Vishwa Bandu Stone Quarries Work.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.

Drafted by

229.2.15. Proposed Expansion of Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant (from 0.9 MTPA to 5.0 MTPA) by M/s. R.B. Seth Shreeram Nassingdas, at Sankalapuram, Hospet Taluk, District Bellary, Karnataka – SEIAA 52 MISC 2022.

The project proponent vide his letter requested this Authority that "Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant located at Sy No.185, Sankalapuram Village, Hosapete Taluk, in our Amalgamated Mining Lease No. 2682 (erstwhile adjacent Mining Leases ML No. 2524 & ML No. 2576) was accorded EC dated 24.12.2009, cited under ref (1), in favour of M/s R.B.Seth Shreeram Narsingdas (Partnership Firm).

M/s R.B.Seth Shreeram Nasingdas (Partnership Firm) was the Mining Lease Holder (Lessee) for both the erstwhile Mining Leases ML No. 2524 & ML No. 2576 and the owner of the Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant located in the erstwhile Mining Leases ML No. 2524 & ML No. 2576.

Subsequently, both the above stated Mining Leases and Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant was transferred from R.B.Seth Shreeram Narsingdas (Partnership Firm) to Rai Bahadur Seth Shreeram Narasingdas Private Limited (Company incorporated under the companies act 1956) because this firm was taken over by "RAI BAHADUR SETH SHREERAM NARASINGDAS PRIVATE LIMITED "ie from a Partnership Firm to a Private Limited Company with the same management.

The main objective of the incorporation of the RAI BAHADUR SETH SHREERAM NARASINGDAS PRIVATE LIMITED as described in the Memorandum of Association (MoA) is "To take over the existing partnership firm M/s R.B. SETH SREERAM NARSINGDAS (RBSSN) on going concern basis along with assets consist of mining lease No 2524 extent of 188 Acres, mining lease No 2576 extent of 78 Acres, beneficiation plants, wind mills and all other assets and liabilities of the said firm".(Copy of the MoA & AoA is enclosed for your kind perusal and ready reference).

Subsequently, in the interest of Mineral Conservation our erstwhile adjacent Mining Leases ML No. 2524 & ML No. 2576 were amalgamated into a single Mining Lease. The EC for Amalgamation was accorded vide EC No. J11015/304/2006-JA-II (M) dated 16.08.2016 and the Lease Deed was executed on 16.09.2016.

Accordingly, letter has been addressed to MoEF&CC to procure original file vide letter No. SEIAA 52 MISC 2022 dated 19.10.2022. Original File has been received by this office on 20.01.2023.

The project proponent vide his letter requested this Authority to changing the name from 'M/s R. B. Seth Shreeram Narasingdas' to "Rai Bahadur Seth Shreeram Narasingdas Private Limited" and incorporate location of Iron Ore Beneficiation plant at Amalgamated ML.No: 2682, Sy. No.185, Sankalapuram Village, Hospet Taluk, Vijayanagar District.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s R. B. Seth Shreeram Narasingdas and decided to transfer the EC in favour Rai Bahadur Seth Shreeram Narasingdas Private Limited Drafted by -____

subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Rai Bahadur Seth Shreeram Narasingdas Private Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s R. B. Seth Shreeram Narasingdas)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 229.2.16. Proposed Expansion of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) & Intermediates in existing Manufacturing Unit at Plot No.18, 56, 57-58 & 1 of Nanjangud KIADB Industrial Area, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District, Karnataka by M/s. Jubilant Generics Ltd SEIAA 54 IND 2020 Request for change of name of company in the EC dated 05.09.2022.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 54 IND 2020 dated 05.09.2022 for Proposed Expansion of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) & Intermediates in existing Manufacturing Unit at Plot No.18, 56, 57-58 & 1 of Nanjangud KIADB Industrial Area, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District, Karnataka to M/s. Jubilant Generics Ltd.

The project proponent vide letter dated 05.08.2022 requested this Authority for issue amendment to EC. The Company name has been changed from M/s Jubilant Generics Ltd to M/s Jubilant Pharmova Limited.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents and decided to issue corrigendum to EC.

229.2.17. Proposed Residential Project at SY No. 13/2, 30/1(P), 30/2, 32, 33/1, 33/2, 33/3, 34/1, 36(P), 38/2, 39/2, 40/1, 40/2, 40/3, 41/1, 41/2, 41/3, 42, 43/1, 43/2, 43/3, 44/1A, 44/1B, 44/2, 44/3, 45/1, 45/2, 46/1A, 46/1B, 46/1C, 46/1D, 46/2, 47/1, 47/2, 47/3, 47/4, 48/1, 48/2, 48/3, 49, 50/1 TO 5, 51, 52/1 TO 4, 53/1, 53/1B, 53/2, 54, 59/1, 70/2, 70/4, 70/5, 71/1, 71/2, 73/1, 73/2, 73/3, 73/4, 74/3, 75/1, 75/2, 76, 77, 78, 79/2, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87 of Chikkabanahalli Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengalore East Taluk, Banglore by M/s Prestige Projects Private Limited - SEIAA 153 CON 2022 - Request for Amendment to Auto ToR dated 24.11.2022.

The Auto generated Terms of Reference letter has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 153 CON 2022 dated 24.11.2022 for Proposed Residential Project at SY NO. 13/2, 30/1(P), 30/2, 32, 33/1, 33/2, 33/3, 34/1, 36(P), 38/2, 39/2, 40/1, 40/2, 40/3, 41/1, 41/2, 41/3, 42, 43/1, 43/2, 43/3, 44/1A, 44/1B, 44/2, 44/3, 45/1, 45/2, 46/1A, 46/1B, 46/1C, 46/1D, 46/2, 47/1, 47/2, 47/3, 47/4, 48/1, 48/2, 48/3, 49, 50/1 TO 5, 51, 52/1 TO 4, 53/1, 53/1B, 53/2, 54, 59/1, 70/2, 70/4, 70/5, 71/1, 71/2, 73/1, 73/2, 73/3, 73/4, 74/3, 75/1, 75/2, 76, 77, 78, 79/2, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87 of Chikkabanahalli Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengalore East Taluk, Banglore to M/s Prestige Projects Private Limited.

Drafted by ta

/81

The project proponent vide letter dated 16.01.2023 has requested this Authority for issue Amendment to Auto ToR letter. The project proponent had submitted application and obtain the standard ToR for Plot area of 2,99,872.03 Sqm (74 A, 4 G), total BUA of 8,87,018.11 Sqm and 3,664 number of Apartments units, 88 number of villas with Club House.

Due to change in plan the number of apartments decreased from 3664 to 3627 and increase in BUA from 8,87,018.11 Sqm to 8,95,032.4 Sqmt. The plot area increased from 2,99,872.03 Sqmt to 2,99,878.99 Sqmt. Therefore, the project proponent requested to issue amendment to ToR.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents and decided to issue corrigendum to ToR as requested.

229.2.18. Construction of Residential Development project at Survey Number 124, Vibhuthipura Village, K R Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. Shubha Chandhi Griha Nirman Pvt. Ltd - SEIAA 62 CON 2018 - Request for transfer of EC in favour of M/s Godrej Projects Development Limited.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 62 CON 2018 dated 15.06.2018 for Construction of Residential Development project at Survey Number 124, Vibhuthipura Village, K R Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District to M/s. Shubha Chandhi Griha Nirman Pvt. Ltd.

The project proponent vide letter received on 18.01.2023 for transfer of EC issued to M/s. Shubha Chandhi Griha Nirman Pvt. Ltd in favour of M/s Godrej Projects Development Limited as the whole project facility and land have been sold to M/s Godrej Projects Development Limited.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s. Shubha Chandhi Griha Nirman Pvt. Ltd and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Godrej Projects Development Limited subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Godrej Projects Development Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s. Shubha Chandhi Griha Nirman Pot. Ltd)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.

229.2.19. Proposed Development of Non-Residential Building (IT/BT) project at Khatha No. 402/1 to 9 of Thanisandra, Ward No. 6, (Survey Numbers 86p, 87/1, 82/2Ap, 87/2B, 87/2C, 87/2D, 87/3p, 103/6p) of Nagawara Village,

Drafted by

l_

Kasaba Hobli, Bangaiore North Taluk, Bangalore District by Smt. Sarada - SEIAA 164 CON 2018 - Request for transfer of EC in favour of Ebisu Tech Park Private Limited and Ebisu IT Park LLP.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 164 CON 2018 dated 16.01.2019 for Development of Non-Residential Building (IT/BT) project at Khatha No. 402/1 to 9 of Thanisandra, Ward No. 6, (Survey Numbers 86p, 87/1, 82/2Ap, 87/2B, 87/2C, 87/2D, 87/3p, 103/6p) of Nagawara Village, Kasaba Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore District to Smt. Sarada.

Smt. Sarada vide letter dated 03.02.2023 stated that "The land was originally owned in the name of Mrs. Sarada under whose name the environmental clearance was issued on 16.01.2019. Metro rail network is proposed and hence part of the land was acquired by BMRCL. Subsequently, Mrs. Sarada gifted 30% of undivided portion in the land to Dr. Raghu Rushi, son of Mrs. Sarada vide Gift Deed dated 21-05-2022.

Mrs. Sarada and Dr. Raghu Rushi have then contributed undivided share in the land to Ebisu Tech Park Private Limited and Ebisu IT Park LLP in the ration of 68.33%:31.67% respectively vide Contribution Deed dated 21-09-2022.

The land is currently jointly owned by Ebisu Tech Park Private Limited and Ebisu IT Park LLP having undivided share of 68.33% and 31.67% respectively. Ebisu Tech Park Private Limited and Ebisu IT Park LLP wish to jointly develop commercial IT project ".

Hence Smt. Sarada requested this Authority to transfer the Environmental Clearance in the name of Ebisu Tech Park Private Limited and Ebisu IT Park LLP.

The Authority perused the request made by Smt. Sarada and decided to transfer the EC in favour Ebisu Tech Park Private Limited and Ebisu IT Park LLP. subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Ebisu Tech Park Private Limited and Ebisu IT Park LLP. relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Smt. Sarada.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.

229.2.20. Payment of legal Charges to Shri D Nagaraj Advocate - regarding.

Shri D Nagaraj Advocate of the Authority have submitted a request letter dated 29.11.2022 and 11.01.2023 along with detail of the case attended, professional charges, appearance charges and the travelling charges that need to be reimbursed.

Drafted by

The details are as follows,

Sl	Case No.	Amount in Rs.
No.		
01	SEIAA 484 MIN 2015 - Legal Opinion	20,000
02	WP No. 52348/2019 (GM-MMS)	15,000
03	WP No. 52235/2019 (GM-MMS)	15,000
04	WP No. 2012/2020 (GM-MMS)	15,000
05	WP No. 2764/2020 (GM-MMS)	15,000
06	WP No. 52311/2019 (GM-MMS)	15,000
07	WP No. 52327/2019 (GM-MMS)	15,000
08	WP No. 52207/2019 (GM-MMS)	15,000
	Total	1,25,000

The total amount claimed by Shri D Nagaraj Advocate is Rs. 1,25,000/-

The Authority perused the above details and decided to accord approval for payment of legal charges as mentioned above to the advocate, Shri D Nagaraj

Meeting concluded with thanks to the Chair.

(Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha) Chairman,

SEIAA, Karnataka

(K. N. Shivalinge Gowda)

Member,

SEIAA, Karnataka

(Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS)

Member Secretary,

SEIAA, Karnataka