

**Minutes of the 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal (2023-2026) held on March 20, 2024 at 14:00 hr at the Conference Room, Paribesh Bhawan, Bidhannagar.**

---

The 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), West Bengal (2023-2026) was held on Wednesday the 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 at 14:00 hr at the Conference Room, Paribesh Bhawan, Bidhannagar. The following members participated:

1)	Dr. Rajesh Kumar, IPS	Secretary, SEAC	Present
2)	Prof. (Dr.) Anirban Gupta	Chairman, SEAC	Present
3)	Prof. Sampa Chakrabarti	Member, SEAC	Present
4)	Prof. (Dr.) Pradip Kumar Sikdar	Member, SEAC	Present
5)	Prof. (Dr.) Indranath Sinha	Member, SEAC	Present
6)	Prof. (Dr.) Aniruddha Mukhopadhyay	Member, SEAC	Present
7)	Shri Shubhendu Bandyopadhyay	Member, SEAC	Present

**1) Technical Presentation :-**

**1.1) Terms of Reference :**

**1.1.1) Mining of Minerals :**

- I. **Proposed River Bed Sand Mine over an area of 7.25 ha (17.91 Acres) on the Mahananda River at JL No- 9, Plot No.- 2194 (P), Mouza - Barabilla, P.S.- Chopra, District - Uttar Dinajpur, West Bengal.**

**Proposal No.**

**SIA/WB/MIN/444475/2023**

**Project Proponent -**

**Shri Bidyut Thakur.**

**Environmental Consultant -**

**M/s. RSP Green Development & Laboratories Pvt. Ltd.**

***Activities:***

- This is a proposal for riverbed sand mining over an area of 7.25 ha (17.91 Acres) on the Mahananda River at JL No- 9, Plot No.- 2194 (P), Mouza - Barabilla, P.S.- Chopra, District - Uttar Dinajpur, West Bengal.
- **The project is falling within the DSR potential zone code UD\_CP\_MH\_3.**
- The PP has uploaded the pre-feasibility report for the proposed project.
- The PP has uploaded the copy of valid LOI.
- The PP has uploaded non-cluster certificate from the competent authority. **The sand block is not forming any cluster with adjacent sand blocks.**
- The PP has submitted the need-based EMP.
- As required under the West Bengal Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 2016, the PP got a composite 'Mining Plan' prepared for proposed sand Mine at the site by an RQP. The

Plan has been approved by the State Government on 26.04.2023 and the approved plan has been uploaded at the PARIVESH portal by the PP.

- The production details as mentioned in the Mining Plan is given below :-

#### Geological reserves of the sand deposit

Year	Total area (ha)	Thickness (m)	Geological Reserve in (m <sup>3</sup> )
1	7.25	1.5	108750.00
2	7.25	1.12005	81203.625
3	7.25	1.12005	81203.625
4	7.25	1.12005	81203.625
5	7.25	1.12005	81203.625
<b>Total Geological resources</b>			<b>433564.50</b>

Considering 74.67% (as per DSR of Uttar Dinajpur) replenishment rate for the river from the second year onwards.

#### Mineable reserves of the sand deposit

Year	Total area (ha)	Thickness (m)	Mineable reserves in (m <sup>3</sup> )	Mineable reserves (Cft)
1	6.64	1.5	99600.00	3517340.8
2	6.64	1.12005	74371.32	2626398.3794
3	6.64	1.12005	74371.32	2626398.3794
4	6.64	1.12005	74371.32	2626398.3794
5	6.64	1.12005	74371.32	2626398.3794
<b>Total Geological resources</b>			<b>397085.28</b>	<b>14022934.3176</b>

#### Chronology of Events

- The PP applied for ToR in prescribed format and uploaded their proposal in the PARIVESH portal on 18.09.2023.
- The PP was called for the ToR presentation in the 26<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB held on 24.01.2024.
- Based on the submission and presentation made by the PP, the SEAC observed that **the plot area** for the proposed project as per the geo-coordinates mentioned in the approved Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan **falls within the potential mining zone** recorded in the approved District Survey Report (DSR) of Uttar Dinajpur district.
- The PP did not appear before the SEAC for ToR presentation. The SEAC decided that the PP should explain the reasons for its absence. If the reasons are found to be satisfactory, the PP may be allowed to present its case in a subsequent meeting.
- The project proponent uploaded their reply in PARIVESH Portal on 12.03.2024 requesting to present their proposal in the next SEAC meeting.
- The PP was once again called for a presentation of their project in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.03.2024 and the PP was present in this meeting.

**SEAC observations and recommendations:**

- Based on the presentation and submission made by the PP, the SEAC **recommended** issuance of **Standard Terms of Reference** for EIA preparation for the project with the following additional conditions :-
  - 1) The reserves as per approved DSR should be incorporated in the revised Mine Plan.
  - 2) Cluster/Non-cluster certificate to be uploaded.
  - 3) Photographs of the site mentioning the geo-coordinates.
  - 4) Standard practice of management of the intermediate storage area should be submitted.
  - 5) Means of access and egress between the embankment and the sand quarry may be clearly earmarked. The Project Proponent must commit that no hard toping or paving of any haulage route within the riverbed will be attempted.
  - 6) A plan on the management and handling of sand during the period of intermediate stockpiling should be submitted.
  - 7) A Progressive Greenbelt Plan may be prepared. The project area being entirely on the riverbed, afforestation/ vegetation should be attempted alongside the village roads or other public land. This may be done with prior approval of the local self-governing bodies. If no public land is available for the purpose the Project Proponent shall arrange for land with his personal means. To enhance success/ survival rate the plantation shall be attempted during the first two years of the project life and the plantation so done shall be taken care of during the rest of the project life. Species of the plant selected should be self-sustaining in that particular region. Spatial year wise progressive plantation programme to be submitted.
  - 8) Plan showing spatial year wise distribution of the proposed greenbelt has to be submitted along-with supporting documents of administrative approval/s.
  - 9) Being a mine in operation, the plantation created so far may be submitted with geotagged photographs.
  - 10) EIA should also include detailed study of the baseline condition and impact on aquatic flora and fauna.
  - 11) The project cost may include the auction bid value, estimated royalty to be paid, cost of any infrastructure built like office space, stockyard, etc. The calculation/documents to estimate the project cost should be submitted. The planned expenditure for components like need-based activities may be derived based on the project cost.
  - 12) A need-based EMP may be prepared in accordance with the MoEF&CC Office Memorandum vide F. No. 22-65/2017.IA.III dated 30.09.2020. Record of communications made in this regard with the identified/ intended beneficiaries (schools/ institutions etc) may also be uploaded. Evidence of the activities already done should be provided by photographs with geo-coordinates. The activities should be completed within the first two years of the project life.
  - 13) A study report on base flow level measured at 4 points with date and supporting photographs should be submitted. It should be committed that mining will be done at

least 1m above the base flow level. Accordingly, if required, the excavation plan may also be revised.

- 14) Management plan including the final closure plan of haul road to be submitted.
- 15) Sieve analysis report for grain size distribution should be provided.
- 16) Study and protection plan of the aquatic life available both during the mining and non-mining seasons should be provided.

**The PP shall, – while applying for environmental clearance, upload in the PARIVESH portal, the EIA/EMP report along with the documents/ submissions/ clarifications sought above.**

All the documents should be duly signed both by the project proponent and environmental the consultant.

## 2) Reconsideration proposals :-

### 2.1) Terms of Reference :

#### 2.1.1) Mining of Minerals :

- I. **Proposed Ghoradanga sand mine of Sand Block 0121DM023 over an area of 4.75 ha (11.73 Acres) on the Damodar River at J. L. No. – 29, Plot No. 766(P), Vill & Mouza – Ghoradanga, PS & Block - Patrasayer, District – Bankura, West Bengal.**

<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/WB/MIN/421901/2023</b>
<b>Project Proponent -</b>	<b>M/s. JHM Import Export Pvt. Ltd.</b>
<b>Environmental Consultant -</b>	<b>M/s. Ultra-Tech.</b>

#### **Activities:**

- This is a proposal for Ghoradanga sand mine of Sand Block 0121DM023 over an area of 4.75 ha (11.73 Acres) on the Damodar River at J. L. No. – 29, Plot No. 766(P), Vill & Mouza – Ghoradanga, PS & Block - Patrasayer, District – Bankura, West Bengal.
- **The project is falling within the DSR potential zone code BNK\_DA\_PS\_27.**
- As required under the West Bengal Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 2016, the PP got a composite 'Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan' prepared for riverbed sand mining at the site by an RQP. The Plan has been approved by the State Government on 24.05.2018 and the approved plan has been uploaded at the PARIVESH portal by the PP.
- The production details as mentioned in the Mining Plan is given below :-

Year	Production of sand in cubic meter / year	Production of sand in cubic feet / year	Production of Sand in Tons/year	Production of Sand in cu.m/day	Production of Sand in cubic feet/day
1	114000	4025864.40	193800.00	570.00	20129.32
2	114000	4025864.40	193800.00	570.00	20129.32
3	114000	4025864.40	193800.00	570.00	20129.32

4	114000	4025864.40	193800.00	570.00	20129.32
5	114000	4025864.40	193800.00	570.00	20129.32

- The PP has uploaded pre-feasibility report for the proposed project.
- The **PP has not uploaded valid Lol.**
- The **PP has not uploaded cluster certificate** from the competent authority.
- The PP has informed that there is no litigation pending against the project.

#### ***Chronology of the Events:***

- The PP applied in prescribed format for Terms of Reference and uploaded the application in the PARIVESH portal on 20.03.2023.
- The PP was called for the ToR presentation in the 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB held on 07.06.2023 and the PP presented their proposal in this meeting.
- Based on the submission and presentation made by the PP, the committee observed that the plot area for the proposed project (geo-coordinates) as reported in the approved Mining cum Progressive Mine Closure Plan **falls totally within the potential mining zone** recorded in the approved District Survey Report (DSR) of Bankura district. But since the PP has not submitted requisite mandatory documents, therefore, the SEAC recommended that the following submission / clarifications should be uploaded in the PARIVESH portal by the project proponent for further consideration :-
  - 1) Original Lol along with all subsequent letters of validity-extension from the competent authority should be submitted.
  - 2) Cluster certificate from the competent authority should be submitted.
  - 3) Taking into consideration the replenishment rates reported in the approved DSR for Bankura, both geological and mining reserves for second year (of production) onwards may be re-estimated and the annual production levels may be modified accordingly.
  - 4) A properly revised Mining cum Progressive Mine Closure Plan, duly approved by the competent authority must be submitted. There should not be any mismatch of data in the Lol and the Mining Plan.
  - 5) Means of access and egress between the embankment and the sand quarry may be clearly earmarked. The Project Proponent must commit that no hard toping or paving of any haulage route within the riverbed will be attempted.
  - 6) A plan on management and handling of sand during the period of intermediate stock piling should be submitted.
  - 7) A Progressive Greenbelt Plan may be prepared. The project being a riverbed project afforestation/ vegetation should be attempted alongside the village roads or other public land. This may be done with prior approval of the local self governing bodies. If no public land is available for the purpose the Project Proponent shall arrange for land with his personal means. To enhance success/ survival rate the plantation shall be attempted during the first two years of the project life and the plantation so done shall be taken care of during the rest of the project life.

- 8) A need-based EMP may be prepared in accordance with the MoEF&CC Office Memorandum vide F. No. 22-65/2017.IA.III dated 30.09.2020. Record of communications made in this regard with the identified/ intended beneficiaries (schools/ institutions etc) may also be uploaded.
- 9) A study report on base flow level measured at 5 points with date and supporting photographs may be submitted. It should be committed that mining will be done at least 1m above the base flow level. Accordingly, if required, the excavation plan may also be revised.
- The project proponent uploaded their reply in PARIVESH Portal on 07.09.2023, which was considered in the 14<sup>th</sup> meeting SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 13.09.2023.
  - Based on the submission made by the PP, the committee after careful consideration and detailed deliberation observed that the PP has not submitted satisfactory reply with respect to the queries raised. The PP is requested to submit the mandatory documents mentioned in the earlier query raised.
  - The project proponent uploaded their reply in PARIVESH Portal on 07.03.2024, which was considered in the 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 20.03.2024.

**SEAC observations and recommendations:**

- The SEAC observed that the PP had submitted the revised Mining Plan including Mining Closure Plan dated 22.02.2024.

The production details as mentioned in the revised Mining Plan is given below :-

Total Area (ha)	Safety Zone (ha)	Production Area (ha)	Thickness (m)
4.75	0.95	3.80	3.0

**Geological Reserves of the sand deposit**

Year	Total Area (ha)	Thickness (m)	Geological Resource (Cum)
1	4.75	3.00	142500.00
2	4.75	2.202	104595.00
3	4.75	2.202	104595.00
4	4.75	2.202	104595.00
5	4.75	2.202	104595.00
<b>Total Geological Resource (Cum)</b>			<b>560880.00</b>

**Mineable Reserves of the sand deposit**

Year	Total Area (ha)	Thickness (m)	Mineable Reserve (Cum)
1	3.80	3.00	114000.00
2	3.80	2.202	83676.00
3	3.80	2.202	83676.00
4	3.80	2.202	83676.00
5	3.80	2.202	83676.00
<b>Total Mineable Reserve (Cum)</b>			<b>448704.00</b>

- The PP has uploaded cluster certificate from the competent authority. **The sand block is forming a cluster with the adjacent sand block having ID 2017\_WB\_347, Bankura – by M/s. K and K Minerals Private Limited.**
- The SEAC scrutinized the documents submitted by the PP in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.03.2024 and after careful consideration and detailed deliberation the committee observed that :
  - 1) The PP has not uploaded valid Lol from the competent authority. Valid Lol should be uploaded.
  - 2) M/s. Ultra-Tech, accredited environmental consultant of the project as shown in the PARIVESH portal has submitted in their letter dated 20.03.2024 that they are not the present consultant for this project. Present consultant along with the valid accreditation certificate should be uploaded in the portal.
  - 3) The query regarding baseflow - A study report on base flow level measured at 5 points with date and supporting photographs may be submitted. It should be committed that mining will be done at least 1m above the base flow level. Accordingly, if required, the excavation plan may also be revised, has not been properly addressed. Proper report regarding the above should be submitted.
  - 4) A Progressive Greenbelt Plan may be prepared. The project area being entirely on the riverbed, afforestation/ vegetation should be attempted alongside the village roads or other public land. This may be done with prior approval of the local self-governing bodies. If no public land is available for the purpose the Project Proponent shall arrange for land with his personal means. To enhance success/ survival rate the plantation shall be attempted during the first two years of the project life and the plantation so done shall be taken care of during the rest of the project life. Species of the plant selected should be self-sustaining in that particular region. Spatial year wise progressive plantation programme to be submitted.
  - 5) A need-based EMP may be prepared in accordance with the MoEF&CC Office Memorandum vide F. No. 22-65/2017.IA.III dated 30.09.2020. Record of communications made in this regard with the identified/ intended beneficiaries (schools/ institutions etc) may also be uploaded. Evidence of the activities already done should be provided by photographs with geo-coordinates. The activities should be completed within the first two years of the project life.

The SEAC recommended that the above documents may be submitted in the PARIVESH portal for further consideration of the application.

**All the documents should be duly signed both by the project proponent and the environmental consultant.**

The SEAC will further consider the case on submission of satisfactory reply on the above-mentioned queries only through "PARIVESH" portal.

## 1.2) Terms of Reference :

### 1.2.1) Construction Sector

- I. Proposed construction of 3 Nos. G+1 Storied Building at Block A, B, C, Under Group - D Mercantile, MC – 9, Kolkata West International City, R.S. Plot No. :20 to 21, 23 to 24, 39, 41 to 45, 47 to 52, 54 to 73, 79 to 87, 89 to 91, 93 to 97, 112, 116 to 118, 121, 126 to 128, 150 to 160, 163 to 164, 216 to 218, 222 to 223, 835 to 843, 845 to 848, 60/1068, 61/1069, 62/1070, 65/1071, 66/1072 150/1073, 1305 to 1307, 1311, L.R. Plot No. : 24 to 25, 27 to 28, 37, 39 to 43, 45 to 50, 52 to 76, 82 to 90, 92 to 99, 118 to 119, 122 to 123, 126, 131 to 133, 155 to 166, 169 to 170, 222 to 224, 228 to 229, 849 to 853, 856 to 857, J.L No. : 54 and 106, Khatian No. : 985 and 1004, Mouza-Kona, Balitikuri, Bankra, Pakuria, Tetulkuli, Khalia & Salap, PO & PS-Domjur, Dist.-Howrah, West Bengal.

Proposal No.:

SIA/WB/INFRA2/441704/2023

Project Proponent:

M/s. Kolkata West International City Private Limited.

Environmental Consultant -

M/s. Ind Tech House Consult.

#### Activity:

- This is a proposal for construction of 3 Nos. G+1 Storied Building at Block A, B, C, Under Group - D Mercantile, MC – 9, Kolkata West International City, Howrah over the land of 81463.220 sqm. Total built-up area of the project 63863.019 sqm.

#### Salient Features of the Project:

- Salient features of the proposed project as uploaded in the PARIVESH portal by the PP is given below –

Plot Area	81463.22 sqm.
Block details	3 Nos. G + One Storied Building at Block A, B, C
Expected Population (as per NBC, 2016)	2339 Nos.
Total Water requirement (as per NBC, 2016)	120.4 kLD
Fresh Water requirement	59.2 kLD
Wastewater generated	83 kLD
Wastewater recycled	During Dry season 61.2 kLD During Wet Season 44.7 kLD
Wastewater discharged	During Dry season 13.6 kLD During Wet Season 30.1 kLD
Solid waste generation & disposal (as per NBC, 2016)	0.66 TPD
Total Built-up Area	63863.019 sqm.
Ground Coverage with percentage of the total land area	32176.036 sqm. (39.5 %)
Service Area (Elec. Station, Pump Room, Security Room) with percentage of the total land	353.640 sqm. (0.43 %)

area	
Waterbody Area (if any), with percentage of the total land area	1379.287 sqm. (1.7 %)
Exclusive Tree Plantation Area with percentage of the total land area	16505.696 sqm. (20.26%)
Total Paved Area with percentage of the total land area	4654.095 sqm. (5.71 %)
Area for services (Deck Area)	1061.285 sqm. (1.30 %)
Other area, if any. (Over Ground Water Tank)	493.630 sqm. (0.61 %)
Open Car Parking	3303.399 sqm. (4.59 %)
Open Truck Parking	3739.066 sqm. (0.61 %)
Area For SWM	133.820 sqm. (0.16 %)
Area for STP	100.128 sqm. (0.12 %)
Roads	17563.138 sqm. (21.56 %)
Peak power demand load for the project	792 KW
Solar power plant generation in KW & % of the connected load	8 KW and more than 1 % of connected Load
No. of Parking spaces proposed	Car Parking 319 Truck Parking 83
No. of Trees proposed	700 Nos.
Backup Power	2 @ 500 KVA each
Project Cost (Rs.)	Rs.158.65 Crores

#### **Chronology of Events:**

- M/s. Kolkata West International City Pvt. Ltd. (KWIC), Howrah obtained EC vide No. 572/EN/T-II-1/126/2008 dated 09-03-2016 for their township project for a built up area 2922411.278 sqm. on a land parcel of 367.811 acres.
- The present project proposal is within the above township.
- The Project Proponent (PP) applied in prescribed format for Environmental Clearance and uploaded the application in the PARIVESH portal on 20.09.2023.
- The PP was called for the EC presentation in the 16<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB held on 04.10.2023 and the PP presented their proposal in this meeting.
- Based on the application made, documents uploaded / submitted, and the presentation made by the PP/Consultant, the SEAC made the following observations:

#### **Mandatory documents:**

- 1) Complete land documents showing individual dag nos. and their status of conversion and mutation in the name of the project proponent.
- 2) The sanction plan by KMDA shows existence of a private land measuring about 0.33 acres completely enclosed within the land parcel. It is observed that there is

no means of access for that parcel of land. The PP shall provide necessary means of public access to that land plot. The sanction plan should be revised accordingly.

- 3) The sanction plan is not in consonance with the SEIAA Notification vide no. 2495/EN/T-II-1/011/2018 dated 17.12.2019. Scaled up drawings of the STP and the rainwater harvesting tank should be shown in the sanction plan.
- 4) Concurrences regarding water supply, treated waste water and storm water discharge, solid waste disposal from the Competent Authority.
- 5) The Power of Attorney for the project has been given to M/s. Kona Logistics Park Private Limited. However, the project applicant is M/s. Kolkata West International City Private Limited. Also, M/s. Kolkata West International City Private Limited has subleased the land for the proposed project to M/s. Kona Logistics Park Private Limited. Necessary clarification regarding the above should be submitted.
- 6) LR Plot No. 119 and 45 is yet to be mutated. Mutation in the name of the PP should be submitted.
- 7) The land parcel as per the lease deed is 19.959 acres, as per ROR 20.435 acres and as per KMDA approved sanction plan it is 20.13 acres. Reasons for the discrepancies should be submitted.
- 8) Individual floor plans should be submitted.
- 9) The PP should submit a declaration regarding there will be no manufacturing or storage of chemical or any other hazardous products.
- 10) Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Plan should be submitted.
- 11) Salient features of the project to be submitted.

#### **Green Belt Development**

- 12) The plantation plan has been certified by the Forest Range Officer. DFO approved plantation plan should be submitted.

#### **Water and wastewater:**

- 13) The STP capacity mentioned in the sanction plan is of 80 kLD. However, the STP proposal submitted is 100 kLD. Necessary clarification regarding the above should be submitted. Scaled up drawings for STP should be submitted. Expected water quality at inlet and outlet should be submitted.
- 14) Discharge of treated wastewater into the canal should be permitted by competent authority.
- 15) Separate water balances are required for dry and rainy season.
- 16) It appears that there is a mixing/ confusion over rainwater and storm water management. It should be properly addressed and to be clarified.
- 17) Piezometer should be installed to continuously monitor the groundwater level by installing automatic water level meter. The lithological log of the piezometer and ground water level should be submitted with compliance reports.
- 18) Smart flow water meter with totalizer at inlet for fresh water, for inlet, recycle and discharge of wastewater/ treated wastewater with provision for water quality monitoring at all such points.
- 19) As per NBC, 2016, in the schedule water supply, for mercantile buildings the population calculation has been given as 1 person of 3 m<sup>2</sup> of street floor and

sales basement areas + 1 person per 6 m<sup>2</sup> of upper sale floors. However, the population calculation submitted is given as 30 persons per sqm. Revised population calculation should be submitted as per NBC, 2016.

- 20) Environmental Management Plan for waterbodies should be submitted. Embankment preservation should be done as per guidelines.

**Rainwater Harvesting :**

- 21) Detailed design with pit-log of rainwater harvesting recharge structure should be submitted. A clear description of the rainwater harvesting plan vis-a-vis the storm water management plan should be submitted.
- 22) Scaled up drawings of the rainwater harvesting tank and borewell should be uploaded.
- 23) Only rooftop area should be considered for rainwater harvesting. Storm water from the yard should not be taken to any rainwater recharge structure for possible recharge. Calculation for the quantity of rainwater to be harvested should be recast.
- 24) First flush divertor for rainwater harvesting to be installed.

**Solar:**

- 25) Roof plan with solar PV array should be submitted.

**Need-based activities**

- 26) EMP as per Office Memorandum of MoEF & CC vide F. No. 22-65/2017.IA.III dated 30.09.2020 to be submitted. Consents from the beneficiaries of the social part of EMP should be furnished. Any other local need should be identified.

The SEAC recommended that the above documents may be submitted in the PARIVESH portal for further consideration of the application.

- The project proponent uploaded their reply in PARIVESH Portal on 14.11.2023, which was considered in the 19<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 22.11.2023.
- Based on the submission made by the PP, the SEAC observed that the PP has not submitted specific replies to the queries raised. Therefore, the PP is requested to submit specific and proper replies to the above-mentioned queries.
- The project proponent uploaded their reply in PARIVESH Portal on 19.12.2023, which was considered in the 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 03.01.2024.
- The SEAC scrutinized the documents submitted by the PP in the 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 03.01.2024. After careful consideration and detailed deliberation, the committee deferred the proposed project for further consideration in the next SEAC meeting.
- The SEAC scrutinized the documents submitted by the PP in the 25<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 17.01.2024. After careful consideration and detailed deliberation, the committee observed that the LR Plot Nos. 119 and 45 is yet to be mutated. Mutation in the name of the PP should be submitted.
- The project proponent uploaded their reply in PARIVESH Portal on 16.02.2024, which was considered in the 30<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 28.02.2024.
- The SEAC scrutinized the documents submitted by the PP in the 30<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 28.02.2024 and observed that the mutation in the name of the PP is yet to be

done. Therefore, the PP is once again requested to submit the mutation of all the plots in the name of the PP.

- The project proponent uploaded their reply in PARIVESH Portal on 07.03.2024, which was considered in the 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEAC, WB (2023-2026) held on 20.03.2024.

**SEAC observations and recommendations:**

- The SEAC scrutinized the documents submitted by the PP in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.03.2024 and after careful consideration and detailed deliberation the committee noted that the PP has not submitted any specific and proper reply to the query raised. The PP is requested to submit specific and proper reply to the above and the documents may be submitted in the PARIVESH portal for further consideration of the application.
- The gazette notification regarding the ownership should specifically be uploaded to the portal.

**All the documents should be duly signed both by the project proponent and the environmental consultant.**

The SEAC will further consider the case on submission of satisfactory reply on the above-mentioned queries only through "PARIVESH" portal.

**Table-1 : List of the projects which were placed before the SEAC, WB (2023-2026) in the thirty-third meeting held on 20.03.2024 and the Summary Decisions thereof:**

Sl. No.	Name of the unit and Project address	Summary Decision
1. Reconsideration proposals		
1.1) Terms of Reference		
1.1.1) Mining of Minerals		
I.	Shri Bidyut Thakur Proposed River Bed Sand Mine over an area of 7.25 ha (17.91 Acres) on the Mahananda River at JL No- 9, Plot No.- 2194 (P), Mouza - Barabilla, P.S.- Chopra, District - Uttar Dinajpur, West Bengal. (Proposal No. SIA/WB/MIN/444475/2023)	Recommended for Terms of Reference.
I.	M/s. JHM Import Export Pvt. Ltd. Proposed Ghoradanga sand mine of Sand Block 0121DM023 over an area of 4.75 ha (11.73 Acres) on the Damodar River at J. L. No. – 29, Plot No. 766(P), Vill & Mouza – Ghoradanga, PS & Block - Patrasayer, District – Bankura, West Bengal. (Proposal No. SIA/WB/MIN/421901/2023)	Additional Details Sought
1.2) Environmental Clearance		
1.2.1) Construction Sector		
I.	M/s. Kolkata West International City Private Limited. Proposed construction of 3 Nos. G+1 Storied Building at Block A, B, C, Under Group - D Mercantile, MC – 9, Kolkata West International City, R.S. Plot No. :20 to 21, 23	Additional Details Sought

Sl. No.	Name of the unit and Project address	Summary Decision
	to 24, 39, 41 to 45, 47 to 52, 54 to 73, 79 to 87, 89 to 91, 93 to 97, 112, 116 to 118, 121, 126 to 128, 150 to 160, 163 to 164, 216 to 218, 222 to 223, 835 to 843, 845 to 848, 60/1068, 61/1069, 62/1070, 65/1071, 66/1072 150/1073, 1305 to 1307, 1311, L.R. Plot No. : 24 to 25, 27 to 28, 37, 39 to 43, 45 to 50, 52 to 76, 82 to 90, 92 to 99, 118 to 119, 122 to 123, 126, 131 to 133, 155 to 166, 169 to 170, 222 to 224, 228 to 229, 849 to 853, 856 to 857, J.L No. : 54 and 106, Khatian No. : 985 and 1004, Mouza-Kona, Balitikuri, Bankra, Pakuria, Tetulkuli, Khalia & Salap, PO & PS-Domjur, Dist.- Howrah, West Bengal. (Proposal No.: SIA/WB/INFRA2/441704/2023)	

There being no other agenda the Chair thanked the members present for their fruitful participation and deliberations. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Prof. (Dr.) Anirban Gupta)

Chairman

State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal

(Dr. Pradip Kumar Sikdar)

Member

State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Prof. (Dr.) Aniruddha Mukhopadhyay)

Member

State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal

(Prof. (Dr.) Sampa Chakrabarti)

Member

State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Prof. (Dr.) Indranath Sinha)

Member

State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal

(Shri Shubhendu Bandyopadhyay)

Member

State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal

Sd/-

(Dr. Rajesh Kumar, IPS)

Secretary

State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal