

Online Processing of Applications

- 1. Proposed Modification & Expansion of Bulk Drug and Intermediates Unit Project at Plot Nos.55, 56, 71 & 72 of KIADB Industrial Area, Raichur Growth Centre, Chikasugur Village, Raichur Taluk &Raichur District By M/s. Sparkvee Fine Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. (SEIAA 46 IND 2018) (175.4.1)**

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 175thMeeting.

M/s. Sparkvee Fine Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for modification & expansion of Bulk Drug and Intermediates Unit Project at Plot Nos.55, 56, 71 & 72 of KIADB Industrial Area, Raichur Growth Centre, Chikasugur Village, Raichur Taluk &Raichur District on a plot area of 8,094 Sqm. The proposed project envisages manufacturing of 22 products with a quantity of 21,000 Kg/month and 4 By products. The project cost is Rs.4 Crores.

List of existing products produced with quantity

Sl. No.	Name Of The Product	Existing Quantity Kg/month)
1	Polystyrene Hydantoin	4000.00
2	Hydantoin	4000.00
3	2-Phenyl Benzimidazole-5-Sulphonic acid (PBSA)	2000.00
4	Cyclohexanone Tetra Propionic Acid (T4)	2000.00
5	Ethyl Hexyl Triazone (EHT)	2000.00
6	4-Chloromethyl-2-(Amino methyl) Thiazole Hydrochloride (DMATA/Ni4)	1000.00
7	Iohexol	1000.00
8	Pregabalin	500.00
9	Atrovastatin Calcium	500.00
10	GemcitabinHCl	500.00
	Total	17500.00

CONSOLIDATED LIST OF PRODUCTS WITH CAPACITY AFTER EXPANSION

S.No	Product	Intermedate Quantity in Kg/Month	After Expansion Finish Product Quantity in Kg/Month
1	Hydantoin		3000.00

2	Cyclohexanone Tetra Propionic Acid (T4)		2000.00.
3	2-Phenyl Benzimidazole-5-Sulphonic acid (PBSA)		1000.00
4	4-Chloromethyl-2-(Amino methyl) Thiazole Hydrochloride (Ni4)		500.00
	1) N,N - Dimethyl Amino ThioAcetamide Hydrochloride (DMATA HCl)	356	
5	Ethyl Hexyl Triazone (EHT)		1000.00
	1) 2-ethylhexyl 4-aminobenzoate (Stage -2)	1066	
6	PiractoneOlamine (PO)		3000.00
	1)Methyl-3-methylbut-2-enoate (Stage -1)	1950	
	2)Methyl(2E)-3,7,9,9-tetra methyl-5-oxodec-2-enoate (Stage -2)	4030	
	3)Stage -3 ((4-methyl-6(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)pyran-2-one))	3180	
7	Para Isopropoxy Ethyl Benzoate (PIPEB)		1000.00
	1)Stage -1 (Ethyl Paraben)	938	
8	DiethylhexylButamidoTriazone (HEB)		1000.00
	1) 4-Nitrobenzoyl chloride (stage-1)	1128	
	2)N-tert-butyl-4-nitrobenzamide (stage-2)	410	
	3) Dichlorobutamidotriazine (Stage -IV) (monamide)	520	
	4) 2-ethylhexyl-3-aminobenzoate (Side Chain)	764	
9	2-Phospho-L-Ascorbic Acid Trisodium salt (SAP)		3000.00
	1) 2-Phospho-L-Ascorbic Acid (SAP Crude)	10000	
10	Styrene Phosphonic Acid (SPA)		8000.00
11	Bis-EthylhexyloxyphenolMethoxyphenylTriazine (Tinosorb-S)		4000.00
	1) 2,4-Dichloro-6-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-Triazine (Stage -1)	1672	
	2) Bis-(2,4-Dihydroxy Phenyl)-6-(4-Methoxy Phenyl)-1,3,5-Triazine (Stage -2)	2632	
12	5-Butyl Benzotriazole (5 BBT)		2000.00
	1)Stage -IV (4-Butyl OPDA)	2111	
13	KeterolacTromethamine		800.00
14	Bis (2,4,6 -Trimethyl Benzoyl) Phenyl Phosphine Oxide		1000.00
15	Chlorantraniliprole		3000.00

	1)3-bromo-1-(3-chloro-2-pyridinyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid	1485	
	2)2-Amino-5-chloro-3,N-dimethyl benzamide	2160	
16	Pinaxaden		3000.00
	1). 2 (2,6-diethyl-4-methylPhenyl) propane diamide	2429	
	2)Hexahydro-1,4,5-oxadiazopane Dihydrochloride	2000	
17	Cyantraniliprole		2000.00
	1)3-Bromo-1-(3-Chloro-2-pyridinyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid	2000	
	2)2-Amino-5-cyano-N, 3-dimethyl benzamide (AC/ACB)	1314	
18	Zinc Pyrethrin (ZPTO)		2000.00
19	5-Chloro Indanone		3000.00
20	2,2'-Methylenebis[6-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol] (Tinosorb-M)		1000.00
	1).2-Diazoyl-2-nitrophenyl-4-Octylphenol	652	
	2).6-(2-H-Benzothioazo-2-yl)4(2,4,4-Trimethyl)Pentan-2-yl)Phenol	545	
21	2,5-bis(5-Tertiary Butyl-2-benzoxazolyl)Thiophene		1000.00
	1).Thiophene -2,5-Dicarboxylic acid	416	
22	Custom Synthesis of Organic Compound From Pilot Plant		500.00
	Total(Worst Combination of any five Products at any given Point of time)		21000.00

LIST OF BY-PRODUCTS

S. No	Name of the Product	Name of the By-Product	Quantity In Kg/Day
1	2-Phenyl Benzimidazole-5-Sulphonic acid (PBSA)	Spent Sulphuric acid	122.07
	5-Butyl Benzotriazole (5-BBT) and its intermediates 4-Butyl OPDA		309.67
		Total	431.74
2	Bis-EthylhexyloxyphenolMethoxyphenylTriazine (TINOSORB-S) and its Intermediates	Aluminium chloride Solution	102.13
	PiractoneOlamine (PO) and its Intermediate Pyran		635.67
		Total	1601.28

3	4-Chloromethyl-2-(Amino methyl) Thiazole Hydrochloride (DMATA/Ni4)	Sodium Sulfide (Na ₂ S)	33.35
4	Styrene Phosphonic Acid (SPA)	HCL	1000

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 19th January 2019. The Committee after discussion had decided to appraise the proposal as B1 and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs to conduct the EIA studies along with additional ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 25.1.2019 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 21.02.2019. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 18.07.2019.

The proposal was placed before the committee for EIA appraisal during the meeting held on 6-8-2019 for EIA appraisal. The proponent remained absent and submitted a letter during the meeting requesting to consider their subject in forthcoming meeting, Hence the committee decided to defer the appraisal.

Further, the proponent was invited for SEAC meeting held on 27-8-2019 for EIA appraisal. The proponent and Environment consultant attended the meeting for EIA presentation. The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- a) Justification with regard to sufficiency of capacity of MEE/80FD and other pollution control measures to take care of the proposed expansion and modification.
- b) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

2. Proposed establishment of 10,000 TCD Sugar Unit, 38 MW/Hr Cogeneration Unit and 90 KLPD Distillery along with Incineration Boiler to generate 3

MW/Hr located at Sy.No.24,25/4,25/5,25/6,32/1,32/2 of Byalihal Village, 219,221,222/1A, 222/1B,223/1,223/2,224/1,224/2,224/3,224/4,224/5 of BK Yeragal, Sindagi Taluk, Vijapura District by M/s. Sangamnath Sugar Limited(SEIAA 27 IND 2019) (174.3.1)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 174th Meeting.

M/s Sangamnath Sugars Ltd have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for establishment of 10,000 TCD Sugar Unit, 38 MW/Hr Cogeneration Unit and 90 KLPD Distillery along with Incineration Boiler to generate 3 MW/Hr. on a plot area of 97.2 Acres at BK Yeragal, Sindagi Taluk, Vijayapura District.

The proposal was placed before the committee during the meeting held on 6th August 2019 for appraisal. The committee noted that the application for this project has been made out to SEIAA on 16-7-2019. The proponent has stated that he has made out application earlier to MoEF& CC as this nature of projects was under Category-A as per the prevalent rules then. Based on his application MoEF& CC has issued ToRs and subsequent to this public hearing was also carried out. At that stage MoEF& CC has issued an amendment to Notification categorizing this type of project under Category-B and consequent to this proponent has said that he made out an application to SEIAA. The studies and EIA report are all based on the studies conducted as per the ToRs issued from MoEF and CC. Since the ToRs were issued and further action has been taken consequent to these ToRs, proponent claimed that the studies made and report prepared earlier holds good now also since ToRs issued earlier were not withdrawn. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance subject to submission of the following information to the Authority.

- 1) Scheme to provide minimum basic amenities to the truck drivers and attendants in the adjacent project area may be detailed and submitted.
- 2) Scheme to have a separate parking area for the loaded and unloaded trucks in order to avoid traffic and environment hazards to the local public as well as road users may be detailed and submitted.
- 3) Scheme to convert press mud into compost may be worked out and submitted.
- 4) Details of MOU with the nearby brick manufacturers to use entire fly ash generated in the unit may be worked out and submitted. "

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of an action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

3. Proposed Modification & Expansion of Bulk Drug & Intermediates Unit Project at Plot No.4/A-D, KIADB Industrial Area, Bidar Taluk, Bidar District by M/s. Vani Organics Pvt. Ltd. (SEIAA 20 IND (VIOL) 2018) (185.5.1)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 185th Meeting.

M/s. Vani Organics Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for expansion of Bulk drug and Intermediates manufacturing unit on a plot area of 34,528 Sqmt at Plot No.4/A-D, KIADB Industrial Area, Bidar Taluk, Bidar District.

List of Existing products:

Sl. No.	Name of the product	Quantity in MTPM
1	Analgin/ Analgin intermediates Or Combination of Analgin and intermediates	13
2	Sodium Bi Sulphite As 100%	208
3	Ice blocks	Captive consumption only

List of proposed products

Sl. No.	Name of the product		Quantity in MTPM
1	Phenyl Methyl Pyrazolone	Product	60
2	Phenyl Hydrazine Oil	Product	20
3	Phenyl Hydrazine Hcl	Product	5
4	Di Sodium Salt of Antipyrine	Product	30
5	Sodium Bi Sulphite As 100%	product	208
6	Intermediate of Pentaprazole sodium (1-2 Stage) Only	Product	15
7	Intermediate of Clorosulone	Product	5
8	Intermediate of Bendimidazole 2-NITRO-4-THIOCYANO ANILINE (CL MASS)	Product	10
9	Sodium Sulphate from pmp Mother Liquor	Bi-product	400
	Total		753

The SEAC during the meeting held on 19.5.2018 appraised the proposal as per the Notification dated: 8-3-2018 issued by MoEF& CC and have decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional

ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 1.6.2018 opined that it is a case of violation and therefore decided to issue ToR for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study with public consultation following the procedure laid down in the Notification No. S.O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017, Notification No S.O.1030 (E) dated 8th March 2018. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 15-06-2018. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 11-9-2019.

The subject was placed before the Committee during the meeting held on 26-9-2019 for EIA presentation. The committee noted that as per the records and analysis carried out the proponent has stated that no damages have been done for Air, Water and soil by operating his unit without valid EC. But, however with abundant responsibility he carried out retribution analysis according to which he has quantified retribution cost to Rs.7,46,381/-. The committee also noticed that there is an average 15% excess production over the permitted quantity and the value of this excess production comes to nearly 60.00 lakhs. For this the proponent has pleaded that the remediation cost should not be worked out on the value of excess production and also the unit was run under loss and also requested the committee to take into consideration the appreciation letter issued by the Forest Department for having carried out afforestation within the premises which they categorized it as a model to others. The committee after discussion and deliberation felt that Rs.25.00 lakhs towards the remediation charges can be levied on the proponent based on the above retribution analysis and also keeping in view the excess production made over and above the permitted quantity and hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for delisting the same from violation category and issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority during the meeting held on 6th November 2019 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority observed that this proposal is located in Bidar industrial area which is identified as severely polluted and therefore the mechanism circulated vide CPCB letter dated 25.10.2019 would be applicable to this project also. The Authority therefore decided to consider this proposal after the receipt of the clarification sought from MoEF&CC as per the decision at Agenda point No. 177.4.1.

The Authority during the meeting held on 17.12.2019 noted that while clarification was being sought from the MOEF&CC the following orders/mechanism came to be issued.

1. Letter of CPCB bearing No. CPCB/IPC-VII/CEPI/NGT/2019, dated 25.10.2019 regarding Mechanism for environmental management in critically and severely polluted areas and consideration of activities /projects in such areas in compliance of Hon'ble NGT order dated 23.08.2019 in O.A. No. 1038/ 2018.
2. Office Memorandum issued by MoEF&CC vide F. No. 22-23/ 2018-IA.III (Pt) regarding compliance of Hon'ble NGT order dated 19.08.2019 (published on 23.08.2019) in O.A. No. 1038/ 2018.
3. Orders of the Hon'ble NGT dated 14.11.2019 in O.A. No. 1038/ 2018.

While going through the above orders the Authority noted that the MOEF&CC vide the O.M dated 31st October 2019 have clarified that in cases pertaining to critically and severely polluted areas where the Environmental Clearance proposal has already been recommended by the EACs /SEACs and the proposal was kept on hold, the mechanism may now be considered by the concerned sectors of the Ministry/SEIAAs and after due diligence the concerned sector of the Ministry/SEIAAs may prescribe additional conditions as incorporated in the mechanism for Environmental protection while issuing ECs.

The Authority also noted that this proposal is one such proposal which get covered under the mechanism directed by the MOEF&CC in para 3(b) of the O.M dated 31st October 2019. However in view of the observations made by the Hon'ble NGT the Authority decided to get a report from the KSPCB regarding the level of compliance to the Environmental safety norms by the Industry for further consideration.

Accordingly, addressed a letter to Member Secretary, KSPCB on 18.12.2019 with a request to get the issue examined and cause a report with respect to level of compliance to the environmental safety norms. The Member Secretary, Karnataka State Pollution Control Board have submitted the report vide letter No. PCB/134/17 Cat/2019/465, dated 21st December 2019 in this regard.

The Authority during the meeting held on 20.01.2020 perused the report and noted that M/s Vani Organic is presently not working. Whereas, representatives of the industry submitted a letter stating that the industry is currently working. The Authority decided to send a copy of representation to KSPCB for their remarks for further consideration.

The Karnataka State Pollution Control Board vide letter dated 15.02.2020 reported that the industry was in operation during the visit of the Regional Officer, Bidar on 5.2.2020.

The Authority while going through the details during the meeting held on 17th February 2020 opined that, considering the proposal that is already working and issuing Environmental Clearance based on merit along with mandating the compliance to the mechanism evolved by MoEF&CC/CPCB would not add to the present level of CEPI of the Kolhar Industrial Area and strict compliance would reduce CEPI. The Authority therefore decided to consider the proposal that is presently working for issue of environmental clearance based on merit mandating the mechanism suggested by MoEF&CC/CPCB.

The Authority during the meeting held on 6.3.2020 considered the proposal in terms of the mechanism evolved by MoEF&CC vide O.M. F. No. 22-23/ 2018-IA.III (Pt), Dated: 31st October, 2019 along with recommendation made by SEAC and other relevant information and took the following decisions:

- 1) Filing a complaint before jurisdictional court of law for the alleged violation under section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act 1986.
- 2) Issue of Environmental Clearance after submission of the following information/documents:

- a) Bank guarantee for an amount of Rs.25 Lakhs with the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board, Bengaluru along with details of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan and the time frame for execution of the same.
- b) An undertaking to bear the cost of remediation that would be imposed by the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board pursuant to the submission of final report by NEERI, Nagpur in terms of direction issued by the Karnataka State Government vide direction bearing No. FEE 55 EPC 2016, dated 29.07.2016.
- c) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority also decided to authorize Shri H.K.Vasanth, Advocate and Shri Ravikumar J.K., Scientific Officer, Department of Forest, Ecology and Environment for filing the complaint.

The Authority also decided that this Environmental Clearance is subject to the mechanism proposed in the O.M. F. No. 22-23/ 2018-IA.III (Pt), Dated: 31st October, 2019 and impose additional conditions included in the mechanism.

However the EC has not been granted as the complaint against the proponent could not be filed before the concerned court of law due to COVID 19 crisis and consequential lockdown.

The subject was considered by the Authority in the light of the Notification No. S.O 1223(E) dated 27th March, 2020 and O M bearing F.No. 22-25/2020-IA.III dated 13th April, 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, GOI.

The Authority opined that owing to the spirit of the above said Notification and O.M. issued by the MoEF&CC and the importance attached to the Pharmaceutical industries, it is necessary to modify the decision already taken due to the current need for faster clearances to the Pharmaceutical industries.

The Authority therefore decided to issue Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following:

- a) Bank guarantee for an amount of Rs.25 Lakhs with the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board, Bengaluru along with details of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan and the time frame for execution of the same.
- b) An undertaking to bear the cost of remediation that would be imposed by the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board pursuant to the submission of final report by NEERI, Nagpur in terms of direction issued by the Karnataka State Government vide direction bearing No. FEE 55 EPC 2016, dated 29.07.2016.

- c) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority also decided to file a complaint against the project proponent before jurisdictional court of law for the alleged violation under section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act 1986, later that is after the relaxing of the lockdown situation due to COVID 19. The Authority also decided to authorize Shri H.K.Vasanth, Advocate and Shri Ravikumar J.K., Scientific Officer, Department of Forest, Ecology and Environment for filing the complaint.

The Authority also decided that this Environmental Clearance is subject to following addition conditions:

1. The mechanism proposed in the O.M. F. No. 22-23/ 2018-IA.III (Pt), Dated: 31st October, 2019 and impose additional conditions included in the mechanism.
2. The Environmental Clearance will be subject to the final outcome of O.A. No. 34/2019 (SZ) of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, Chennai.

4. Proposed Sugarcane Juice Based Distillery / Ethanol Plant Project at Sy.Nos.51/4 & 51/5 of Kukkawada Village, Davanagere Taluk & District by M/s Davanagere Sugars Co Ltd (SEIAA 02 IND 2020) 188.4.9

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 188th Meeting.

M/s Davanagere Sugars Co Ltd have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Establishment of Proposed Sugarcane Juice Based Distillery / Ethanol Plant Project at Sy.Nos.51/4 & 51/5 of Kukkawada Village, Davanagere Taluk & District Karnataka

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 19-06-2020. The Committee noted that at the outset the committee member Dr Vinodkumar has recused himself from appraisal proceedings in order to avoid conflict of interest.

As per the statement of proponent the company was established in 1970 and based on the EC issued by Secretary Forest, Environment & Ecology, GoK during the year 2002, he continued to operate the unit since then. The proponent has also stated that he is submitting EC compliance to Secretary Forest, Environment & Ecology, GoK for the above EC regularly every 6 months, since then till date. In this regard the proponent has stated that he has not obtained any certification of any compliance as it was not mandated when EC was issued in 2002 i.e. before 2006 when EIA notification was issued by MoEF& CC, GoI.

As per the records the proponent has stated that he has obtained EC for expansion proposal increasing the crushing capacity from 4750TCD to 7500TCD and Co-Gen from 24MW to 54MW and regarding this he has stated that this expansion has not been completed and operationalized and hence he claimed that he is not filing any half yearly EC compliance.

The proponent has stated that he has applied for EC to MoEF& CC, New Delhi because at that point of time molasses based distilleries were not in the ambit of B1 category and they were under A category. And based on this EAC have issued TORs and studies and Public Hearing have been taken up based on these TORs. By the time the report was readied a policy decision was taken categorizing molasses based distilleries less than 100KLPD under B1 category. In view of this changed policy the proponent has stated that he has made out this application to SEIAA for further appraisal of the EIA report prepared thereon.

The committee after discussion decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

- 1) Details of proper composting of press mud to be submitted.
- 2) Trend analysis for the basic data collected between 2017-2019 may be carried out and submitted.
- 3) In the process chart the Ethanol generation may be detailed and submitted.

The replies submitted by the proponent on 17-03-2020 were perused by the SEAC meeting held on 20.06.2020. The committee after discussion and deliberation accepted the replies submitted by the proponent and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental clearance.

- 1) List of existing and proposed plant species including aromatic plants may be submitted.
- 2) Detailed program to absorb radial emissions from Iodine and other isotopes will be submitted.
- 3) Risk assessment will be modeled for all the 3 scenarios and superimposed on google map and submitted.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion, decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of an action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

5. Proposed Ethanol Plant Project at Hanagawadi Village, Harihar Taluk, Davanagere District by M/s. Mangalore Refinery and Petrochemicals Ltd. (SEIAA 38 IND 2019) (187.5.8)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 187th Meeting.

M/s. Mangalore Refinery and Petrochemicals Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Establishment of Ligno based cellulosic Second Generation (2G) Ethanol Plant Project at Hanagawadi Village, Harihar Taluk, Davanagere District at Sy. No. 82/1,82/2, 83/P, 84/1A, 84/1B, 84/2, 85/1 & 85/2, Hanagawadi Village, 2nd stage, KIADB land at Harihar Taluk, Davanagere District Karnataka.

The proponent has stated that he has made out application at MoEF&CC New Delhi for 60KLPD 2G Ethanol plant and based on this MoEF&CC have issued TORs 24.08.2017 and studies and Public Hearing have been taken up based on these TORs. By the time the report was readied a policy decision was taken categorizing Non molasses based Ethanol plant less than 200KLPD under B1 category. In view of this changed policy the proponent has stated that he has made out this application to SEIAA for further appraisal of the EIA report prepared thereon.

Further it was noticed that Form-1 has not been furnished with full information, study area considered is 10KM but whereas Environmental sensitive issues within 15KM has not been furnished, the list of Flora and fauna furnished is incomplete, List of tree species proposed for green belt is not forthcoming. Odour management details, Material mass balance, Characterization of Biomass, Solar energy & Rainwater harvesting, GLC of PM2.5 and PM10 are not forthcoming. Schematic of Biochemical cellulosic ethanol production process should be reworked and submitted. For this the proponent and consultant have agreed to comeback with the updated data within a month. Hence the committee decided to defer.

The Proponent and Environment Consultant attended the 244th meeting held on 12-06-2020 to provide clarification/additional information. The committee observed that the proponent has prepared biodiversity action plan amounting to Rs 1 crore and the proponent stated that getting certification for the same is under progress.

As far as harvesting of rain water is concerned it is noticed that the storage tank of capacity 35mx32mx3m has been built which amounts to effective capacity of 3360cum which will be utilized for the process with suitable treatment if necessary. As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he has earmarked Rs7crores and he has agreed to contribute Rs 3.5crores to PM care fund and Rs 3.5crores to CM cares fund.

The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to recommend the proposal for issue of EC with the condition that the proponent would submit the biodiversity protection plan in consultation with forest authorities and authenticated by PCCF wild life along with Budget back up with time frame.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC along with the request made by the project authorities. The Authority after discussion decided clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information.

- i) Justification for proposing to avail required water from Shantisagar (Sulekere) Tank at a distance of 40.62 km when the water is available from the nearby sources.
- ii) biodiversity protection plan in consultation with forest authorities and authenticated by PCCF wild life along with Budget back up with time frame.
- iii) an action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

6. Proposed Change in product mix in existing manufacturing facility Project at Plot No.8C & 9A of Bashettihalli Village, Doddaballapur Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by M/s. Resonance Laboratories Pvt. Ltd (SEIAA 15 IND (VIOL) 2018) (186.4.1)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186thMeeting.

This is a project from M/s. Resonance Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. seeking Environmental clearance for change in product mix of active Pharmaceutical ingredients with the production capacity of 10.29 TPA with 9 No's of APIs to 19 No's of APIs with same capacity in existing manufacturing facility having plot area of 32374.9 Sq.m.

The details of the APIs are as follows:

Sl. No.	Products	Existing Quantity (TPA)	Proposed Qty (TPA)	Variation in quantity	Change in productmix scenario
1.	Imipramine Hydrochloride	1.00	1.00	0	No change
2.	Mepyramine Maleate / Base	2.24	0.70	-1.54	Decrease
3.	Oxyphenonium Bromide	0.50	0.50	0	No change
4.	Oxybutynin Chloride	2.00	2.00	0	No change
5.	Clidinium Bromide	2.00	2.00	0	No change
6.	Isopropomide Iodide	0.50	0.50	0	No change
7.	Mebrophenhydramine Hydrochloride	1.00	1.00	0	No change
8.	Carbinoxamine Maleate	1.00	0.43	-0.57	Decrease
9.	Buprenorphine Hydrochloride	0.05	0.05	0	No change

10.	Atropine Sulfate	-	0.5	0.5	New Product
11.	Baclofen	-	0.3	0.3	New Product
12.	Glycopyrrolate	-	0.05	0.05	New Product
13.	HomatropineHydrobromide	-	0.15	0.15	New Product
14.	HomatropineMethylbromide	-	0.5	0.5	New Product
15.	Methylphenidate HCl	-	0.05	0.05	New Product
16.	Naloxone Hydrochloride	-	0.02	0.02	New Product
17.	Naltrexone Hydrochloride	-	0.02	0.02	New Product
18.	Pramoxine Hydrochloride	-	0.5	0.5	New Product
19.	Ipratropium Bromide	-	0.02	0.02	New Product
	Total	10.29	10.29		

The committee meeting held on 19.5.2018 appraised the proposal as per the Notification dated: 8-3-2018 issued by MoEF& CC considering the information provided in the statutory application-Form I, pre-feasibility report, proposed TORs and clarification/additional information provided during the meeting. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Standard TORs along with additional TORs to conduct the EIA studies in accordance with the EIA Notification 2006 and relevant guidelines and to conduct public hearing. The Authority during the meeting held on 1.6.2018 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

The Authority during the meeting held on 1.6.2018 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority noted that the proponent have stated that they had Consent for Establishment/ Operation for 9 products with at total capacity of 9.29 TPA and they have subsequently added 10 products after the inception of EIA Notification, 2006 for manufacture without increase in the total quantity of products. However, no prior Environmental Clearance has been obtained for change in the product-mix. The Authority therefore opined that the activity in the industry is in violation of the provision of the EIA Notification, 2006 having undertaken manufacturing of bulk drugs without prior Environmental Clearance as required under EIA Notification, 2006. In view of the above facts and circumstances the Authority decided to issue ToR for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study with public consultation following the procedure laid down in

the Notification No. S.O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017, Notification No S.O.1030 (E) dated 8th March 2018.

Accordingly TORs were issued on 15-06-2018. The proponent has submitted the EIA report on 28-04-2020.

The proposal was placed before 242nd SEAC online meeting for EIA appraisal.

The proponent and consultant attended 242nd SEAC meeting held on 07-05-2020 for EIA appraisal. The Committee noted that as seen from the records the project was started in 90s and continues to operate based on the CFE and CFO issued by KSPCB and the plant is operating till today. This has been categorized under violation category for the fact that the proponent has not obtained EC as per the EIA notification 2006. As per the EIA report the proponent is proposed to take effluents to CETP and for this he has agreed to convert into ZLD. The proponent has also agreed that he will go for alternatives to toluene solvent.

As far as damages due to violation the proponent has stated that all the parameters are within the permissible norms and hence he reiterated no damages have been caused due to the operation of the plant in the absence of EC. However in this regard he came forward to earmark Rs15 lakhs towards the remediation measures and he has also agreed to submit the detailed damages caused due to this plant as per Kyoto protocol.

As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he will contribute Rs 10Lakhs to PM care account. The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to recommend the project for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information to SEIAA.

- 1) Revised EMP incorporating proposed ETP along with flow chart in order to achieve ZLD may be worked out and submitted.
- 2) Revised land use and land cover analysis of study area based on high resolution satellite imagery may be prepared and submitted.
- 3) Detailed damages and remediation measures caused due to this plant may be worked out and submitted as per Kyoto protocol.

The committee also imposed the following condition.

- 1) Toluene solvent may be replaced by alternatives.
- 2) Install separate ETP instead of sending effluents to CETP in order to achieve ZLD.
- 3) For boiler fuel biomass briquettes may be used.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following:

- a) Bank guarantee for an amount of Rs.15 Lakhs with the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board, Bengaluru along with details of remediation

plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan and the time frame for execution of the same.

- b) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority also decided to impose an additional condition that the project authority should establish ETP with zero liquid discharge ZLD) facility before commencing proposed expansion/modification.

The Authority also decided to file a complaint against the project proponent before jurisdictional court of law for the alleged violation under section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act 1986, later that is after the relaxing of the lockdown situation due to COVID 19. The Authority also decided to authorize Shri H.K.Vasanth, Advocate and Shri Ravikumar J.K., Scientific Officer, Department of Forest, Ecology and Environment for filing the complaint.

7. Proposed Navalutti Iron Ore mining (M.L No.2483) at Donimalai Range, Navalutti Village, Sandur Taluk, Bellari District by M/s. H.R Gaviappa & Co (SEIAA 62 MIN (VIOL) 2018)

This Subject was deferred in 225th SEAC meeting.

8. Proposed VibhutiGudda Iron Ore Mine (VGIOM) Project in Bellary Reserve Forest - M.L.No.2469 at Sy.No.90(P) of Belagal Village, Bellary Taluk and Bellary District (55 Ha) By M/s. Vibhutigudda Mines Pvt. Ltd. (SEIAA 76 MIN (VIOL) 2018) (171.5.40)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 171st Meeting.

M/s. Vibhutigudda Mines Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for Iron Ore Mine at Belagal Village, Bellary Taluk and Bellary District in an area of 55 Ha.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 1st March 2019. The committee noted that the mining lease for this project was granted initially in the year 1954 and it was extended periodically upto 06.04.2014 and as per the amendment of MMDR Act 2015 the lease period has been extended upto 31.03.2020 and will be terminated on 31.03.2020 on the basis that further grant of leases is through E-auction. Thus effective period of further mining will be about 12 months only. The forest clearance was issued on 22.07.1999 and is in force till the end of mine lease.

Further the proponent has made out an application for expansion in the year 2003 and consequent to this public hearing was held on 24.02.2004 and EC was issued on 24.01.2006 for a production capacity of 2,75,000 TPA and the total mineable

reserves estimated was 55,00,000 tonnes. The overall mined quantity from the date of issue of EC in 2006 is 10,09,082 tonnes as per IBM returns. The mining was stopped from May 2010 to till date prior to blanket ban on the mining activities by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. In the intervening period the CEC appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court has categorized this mine under category Band a penalty of 11.39 crores was levied and proponent stated that he has paid the penalty. After paying the penalty, R & R plan was prepared by ICFRE and the same has been implemented satisfactorily. The CEC has fixed the permissible annual production limit to 0.19MTPA on 06.09.2018. In pursuance to this, modified mining plan was approved by IBM on 26.10.2018 for a period of two years and is valid upto 31.03.2020. The proponent has produced a letter dated 22.10.2018 addresses by the Chairman, Monitoring Committee to the Chairman, Central Empowered Committee (CEC) and the same was obtained by making out an application under RTI in which the monitoring committee has stated that the R & R works pertaining to the project were found to have executed satisfactorily.

The SEAC further noted that to execute the mining lease, Director, DMG directed project proponent to submit all the statutory clearances. The project was earlier submitted to MoEF&CC, New Delhi, after examining the project proposal, MoEF&CC has transferred the proposal to SEIAA, Karnataka.

In the light of the above facts, the proponent has requested to waive public hearing on the following grounds.

1. The public hearing has been done on 24.02.2004 for a mineable reserves of 55,00,000 tonnes and annual production of 2,75,000 TPA and the production at present & for future period of about 12 months envisaged is much less than the production for which public hearing was done and hence no extra pollution and rather there will be decreased pollution.
2. No violation has been done and all activity are as per the guidelines issued from time to time.
3. The mining operations stopped from May 2010, prior to blanket ban on mining activities by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and mining operations not yet resumed in the mine.
4. The future of present mining lease being about 12 months short period going for public hearing within this short period will drastically reduce further the lease period.

The proponent has also stated that, the base line data has been collected starting from December-2018, January-2019 and February-2019, as he has filed application to the MoEF& CC as per the guidelines prevailing then on 25th April 2018 and he has requested for permission to adopt the same data for EIA preparation and the committee accepted the same. In view of the above, the committee after due deliberation opined that this project does not fall under violation category and also in view of much less time available to carry out mining activity (less than 12 months) the committee opined to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to delist the project under violation category and issue ToRs by exempting public hearing.

The Authority during the meeting held on 2nd March 2019 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation made by SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to get the details of mining activity undertaken by the proponent and the date on which the mining activity was stopped for further consideration.

The Authority during the meeting held on 29th March 2019 perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated 19.3.2019. The Authority noted that Environmental Clearance has been granted by the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India vide letter No. J-11015/118/2005-IA.II (M), dated 24th January 2006. Mining operations have been conducted upto May 2010. Subsequently, the mine operations was stopped due to non-issuance of Permits and Passes by DDMG & DCF and orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court suspending the mining operations in the iron ore mines located in Bellary District. The Authority further noted the following:

- i) The proponent have made an application to MoEF&CC portal on 09.05.2018 in accordance with the Notification dated 06.04.2018 and subsequently to SEIAA, Karnataka on 9th May 2018 in accordance with the Notification dated 14.08.2018 as the Mining lease area is < 100 Ha.
- ii) As per Notification No. S.O. 1530(E) dated 6th April 2018, issued by MoEF&CC, "all such cases involving validity of the environmental clearance and expansion of mining projects vis-à-vis the base production, shall make application within six months from the date of issue of this notification in Form-1 as given in Appendix-II of the EIA Notification, 2006, for grant of environmental clearance under the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006, and all such applications shall be considered by the concerned Expert Appraisal Committee or the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee, as the case may be, who shall decide on the due diligence necessary including preparation of Environmental Impact Assessment Report and public consultation and the application shall be appraised accordingly for grant of environmental clearance".
- iii) Now that the SEAC during the meeting held on 1st March 2019 made the following recommendations:

"In view of the above, the committee after due deliberation opined that this project does not fall under violation category and also in view of much less time available to carry out mining activity (less than 12 months) the committee opined to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to delist the project under violation category and issue ToRs by exempting public hearing."

The Authority after discussion and duly noted the recommendation of SEAC decided to delist the proposal from the list of violation cases and to issue ToR for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006 exempting public hearing. Accordingly ToR were issued on 8-5-2019. The proponent has submitted the EIA report vide letter dated:1-6-2019.

The committee appraised the proposal during thr meeting held on 26-6-2019 and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance for extraction of iron ore as per approved mining plan, subject to submission of an action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

9. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.17 (P) of Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District By Sri Siddhalingaswamy S. NavaliHiremth (SEIAA 453 MIN 2019)(173.4.44)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 173rd Meeting.

Sri Siddhalingaswamy S. NavaliHiremth have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of building stone in 8-00 Acres at Sy.No.17 (P) of Sulthanpur Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25th July 2019. The Committee noted that this is a fresh lease involving building stone mining in patta land. The proponent has stated that he has obtained NOCs from Forest, Revenue Dept., and also obtained land conversion order. The lease has been notified on 15-12-2018. The Committee also noted from the quarry plan that there is a level difference of 7.67 meters within the mining area and taking this into consideration the committee opined that 80% of the proposed quantity of 5,93,588cum or 15,78,945 tons including waste can be mined safely and scientifically to a quarry pit depth of 20 meters for a plan period of five years.

As per the extended cluster sketch approved by DMG there are no other leases within the 500 meter radius and area of this lease being less than the threshold limit of 5 Hectares, the committee decided to categorise this proposal under B2 category and proceeded with the appraisal accordingly. He has also stated that his project does not fall within the 10 KM radius from the boundary of any Wildlife sanctuary/National Park. As far as approach road is concerned, the proponent has stated that, there is a existing cart track road to a length of 223 meters connecting lease area to all weather black topped road. As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated, that he will earmark Rs.24.00 lakhs to take up rejuvenation of Bandiharlapurtank which is at a distance of 3.19 KM from the lease area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance for extraction of 80% of the building stone proposed to be extracted as per approved quarry plan, subject to submission of the following information:-

- 1) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

10. Limestone Mining Project, Sy.Nos.115/1, 116/1 &130/3 of Lokapur Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot Dist. (4.92 Ha) By Sri Venkappa R.B. Patil/Jalikatti B.K. (SEIAA 484 MIN 2015), [SIA/KA/MIN/43635/2015] (196.5.15)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 196th Meeting.

This is a Renewal and production Expansion proposal submitted by Sri Venkappa R.B. Patil, seeking Environmental clearance for quarrying of Limestone in an area of 4.92 Ha at Sy.Nos.115/1, 116/1 &130/3 of Lokapur Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot District. It is a Patta Land.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 20.01.2021. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

It is stated that the project does not attract General conditions of EIA Notification of 2006.

The Quarry plan has been prepared by RQP Dr.S.K.Myageri approved by Indian Bureau of Mines. Capacity of mining is Avg. 1,00,000 TPA.

The Proponent and the RQP/Environment Consultant had attended the 143rd meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 29th July 2015 to give clarification/additional information.

The Committee had noted that many proposals have been cleared in this area and if the proposed area is likely to result in to a cluster situation with a total lease area of 25 Ha or more as defined in the O.M dated 24.12.2013 issued by the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India then the proposal had to be appraised as category B1. The committee therefore directed the proponent to get the details of all the leases of Lakapur village with the extent of lease area, lease Nos., latitude & longitude and distance between the boundaries (OUTER) of each lease area and get marked on combined sketch plotted on a village map which should be attested by a competent authority.

The committee observed that the proponent has not submitted the land conversion order. The proponent stated that they have not applied for NA. Therefore, the committee directed the proponent to get the NA.

The committee after discussion had decided to recall the proponent after submission of the above information. The proponent had submitted the reply vide letter dated 09.11.2015. The proponent was invited for the 153rd meeting of SEAC held on 17th and 18th November 2016 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent.

The committee observed that the proponent had not submitted the combined sketch sought by the committee. The committee appraised the proposal considering the information provided in the statutory application-Form I, Pre Feasibility Report, approved mining plan. The committee opined that the appraisal cannot be completed for want of the above information and since the proponent also remained absent to provide the required clarification.

The committee therefore had decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for closure.

The Authority during the meeting held on 17th December 2015 had perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority had decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

Subsequently, it was noticed that by oversight representation dated 4.12.2015 submitted by the proponent requesting not to close the file that could not be placed before the Authority. The proponent had stated that the delay was due to non-receipt of combined sketch from the Department Mines and Geology.

The subject was therefore placed before the Authority for consideration. The Authority perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated 4.12.2015.

The Authority after discussion decided to refer the file back to SEAC for appraisal following the due procedure of law.

The committee took note of the decision of the Authority and also reviewed the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated 28.03.2016 during the 161st meeting of SEAC held on 28th and 29th March 2016.

The committee noted that as per the Gazette Notification No. S.O. 423 (E) dated 10.02.2015, the central Government declares the list of minerals as minor minerals. The lime stone does not come under minor minerals. The committee therefore had decided to appraise the proposal as B1 category and also decided to invite the proponent to receive the standard TORs and additional site specific TORs if any.

The Proponent attended the meeting of SEAC to present the TORs.

The committee appraised the proposal considering the information provided in the statutory application-Form I, pre-feasibility report, and proposed TORs and clarification/additional information provided during the meeting.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Standard TORs along with the following additional TOR's.

1. Compliance to KSPCB CFE conditions.
2. Dust mitigation measures adopted.

The Authority perused the proposal and recommendation made by SEAC during the meeting held on 17th June 2016. The Authority after discussion decided to issue standard ToR along with additional ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

Accordingly, TORs were issued on 05.07.2016. Further the TORs validity period extended till 04.07.2020 by SEIAA on 24.10.2019. The proponent has submitted the EIA report on 18-10-2019 and the same was placed before the committee for EIA appraisal.

The proponent was invited for the 236th meeting held on 17-12-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent have submitted a letter during the meeting and requested to re-schedule in the next meeting.

Hence the committee decided to defer the proposal.

The Proponent and Environmental Consultant attended the 240th SEAC meeting held on 25-02-2020 to provide clarification/additional information. The lease for this proposal has been granted in the year 2003 and mining activity has been carried out continuously since then till date. The proponent has stated that he has obtained state EC issued during 2010 by Department of Environment and Ecology, GoK and he has also stated that he has not obtained any EC under EIA notification 2006. When this issue was pointed out to the proponent the proponent has stated that he will comeback with proper clarification in this regard as to why this project should not be categorized under violation category.

Hence the committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project. The proponent was invited for the 249th meeting held on 30-07-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent with intimation and requested to defer his project, since consultant was under COVID-19 quarantine.

The committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation for appraisal of the project based on merit and deferred the appraisal of the project proposal.

The Proponent and Environmental Consultant attended the 255th SEAC meeting held on 20.01.2021 to provide clarification/additional information.

Subsequent to 240th SEAC meeting held on 25.02.2020, during appraisal the proponent submitted chronological events of this project since the lease execution. It is observed by the committee that the proponent submitted application for EC on 22.04.2015 i.e the window period given by Hon'ble NGT vide order dated 13.01.2015. Further the proponent has submitted an audit report certified by concerned Authorities, wherein it is mentioned that from 2003-04 to 2020-2021 mining activity has been done. From this the committee noted that the proponent have not stopped the mining activity after the window period given by Hon'ble NGT vide order dated 13.01.2015. The committee observed that EC issued by State Environment Clearance Certificate (SECC) dated:01.10.2010 for an annual production of 3,400TPA to 20,000TPA as per approved mining IBM plan. The proponent stated that the quantity extracted is as per approved mining plan and EC issued by SECC.

Hence the proponent requested that his proposal may not be considered as violation. The Committee after discussion and deliberation decided to seek clarification from SEIAA with respect to the request made by the proponent not to consider his proposal as violation.

The Authority during the meeting held on 22nd February 2021 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to defer the subject for further consideration.

The Authority perused the reply received from the proponent. The Authority noted that the proponent did not avail the window period available to him. Further it is also noted that the Proponent continued to operate the mine even after he was expected not to do so.

Hence Authority resolves the case as a violation of EIA Notification 2006 (as amended till date) and shall be dealt accordingly.

11. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.199/3 of Teerthkunde Village, Khanapur Taluk, Belagavi District (5-26 Acres) By Sri Ramesh Z. Naik (SEIAA722MIN2019)

This proposal was deferred in 247th SEAC meeting

12. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.381(P) of Hirekoppa K.S. Village, Ramdurga Taluk, Belagavi District (4-00 Acres) by Sri KutubuddinKashimsabDabadi (SEIAA 761 MIN 2019) (180.4.21)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 180th Meeting.

Sri KutubuddinKashimsabDabadihave applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 4-00 acres, Govt. Land. at Sy.No.381(P) of Hirekoppa K.S. Village, Ramdurga Taluk, Belagavi District

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 4th December 2019. The Committee noted that this is a fresh lease involving building stone mining in Govt land. The proponent has stated that he has obtained NOCs from Forest, Revenue Dept. The lease has been notified on 08-01-2019 for 20 years. As seen from the quarry plan there is a level difference of 4 meters within the mining area and taking this into consideration and also taking into consideration undisturbed area, the committee opined that 45% of the proposed proved quantity of 653555tons or 248500cum can be mined safely and scientifically to a quarry pit depth of 12meters for a lease period.

As per the extended combined sketch prepared by DMG there are 6 leases including this lease within 500 meter radius from this lease. Out of these 4 leases ECs were issued prior to 15.01.2016 and based on this the proponent has claimed exemption for these leases from cluster effect. The total area of the remaining 2 leases including this lease is being 8Acre and which is being less than the threshold limit of 5 Ha. committee decided to categorise this project under B2 and proceeded with the appraisal accordingly. As far as approach road is concerned, the proponent has stated that, there is a existing cart track road to a length of 500meters connecting lease area to all weather black topped road.As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated, that he will earmark Rs.6.00 lakhs to take up rejuvenation of Mugalihalkere which is at a distance of 2.07KM from the lease area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance with the following conditions:

1. Safe drinking water has to be provided at the quarry site.
2. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
3. Only registered labours should be employed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority observed that there are Nalas cutting across the project site as per the Google imaginary provided by the project proponent. The details regarding impact of the proposed quarrying activity on such Nalas and protection/Conservation measures along with buffer zone maintained are not forthcoming from the proposal.

The Authority after discussion decided to get the following information for further consideration.

- 1) Copy of the village map duly marking the project site Vis a Vis the water bodies in the surroundings of the proposed project site
- 2) Details of water courses in and around the project site along with the details buffer zone maintained

- 3) Impact of the proposed activity on the water courses and the measures proposed to prevent such impacts
- 4) Clarification as to why the information provided under para 8(III) (2) should not be treated as concealment of facts and action initiated under the provisions of law
- 5) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 6) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

13. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.276 of Marne Village, Hebri Taluk, Udipi District (Q.L.No.3038) (0.50 Acres) of Sri UmeshHegde (SEIAA 765 MIN 2019) (184.4.11)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 184th Meeting.

Sri UmeshHegde have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 0.50 acres, Govt. Land at Sy.No.276 of Marne Village, Hebri Taluk, Udipi District

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 4th December 2019.. The Committee noted that this is a proposal for old lease involving building stone mining in Govt. Land. The proponent has stated that he has obtained NOCs from Forest, Revenue Dept. The lease has been granted earlier on 11.08.2005 for a period of 5 Years i.e up to 2010. The renewal notification is notified on 12.02.2019. The proponent has stated that he has carried out mining up to 2009-10 and the same has been reflected in the audit report prepared by DMG. The proponent has stated that the lease period will automatically gets extended for 20 years i.e up to 2025 as per the amendment to KMMCR Rules.

As seen from the quarry plan there is a level difference of 4 meters within the mining area and taking this into consideration and also the fact that he has mined 4600tons the committee opined that 50% of the proposed proved quantity of

33475tons or 12875cum can be mined safely and scientifically to a quarry pit depth of 6meters for a lease period. As per the extended combined sketch prepared by DMG there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease and this lease also exempted from cluster effect because of the fact that this lease is granted prior to 9.9.2013. In view of the above the committee decided to categorise this project under B2 and proceeded with the appraisal accordingly. As far as approach road is concerned, the proponent has stated that, there is a existing cart track road to a length of 0.5KM connecting lease area to all weather black topped road. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance with the following conditions:

1. Safe drinking water has to be provided at the quarry site.
2. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
3. Only registered labours should be employed.

The Authority during the meeting held on 23.12.2019 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to get the following information for further consideration.

- 1) Copy of the village map duly marking the project site Vis a Vis the water bodies in the surroundings of the proposed project site
- 2) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park(**Kudurekukha National Park**)/ Sanctuary(**Someshwara**)/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 3) Copy of the Audit report issued by the Dept. of Mines and Geology from the year 2015-16 till date.
- 4) Justification for continuation of mining activity in an area of 0.50 Acres with details of the quantity extraction of inception(2005)and the estimated minable resources available
- 5) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority perused the reply furnished by the proponent.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance for extraction of 50% of the building stone proposed to be extracted as per approved

quarry plan with a condition that the quarrying should be undertaken manually with approval of the modified quarry plan.

14. Building Stone Quarry Project in Govt. Land at Sy.No.340/1 of Belle Village, Udipi Taluk & District (0.50 Acres) (0.2024 Ha) (Q.L.No.200) by Sri Ronald Sequera (SEIAA 141 MIN 2019) (184.4.4)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 184th Meeting.

Sri Ronald Sequera have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 0.2024 Ha in Govt. Land at Sy.No.340/1 of Belle Village, Udipi Taluk & District

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25.04.2019. The Committee noted from the presentation material that there is a difference of 1° in longitude in the approved quarry plan for which the proponent has stated that he will come back after getting the quarry plan modified. Hence the committee decided to defer the subject.

The proponent and consultant attended the SEAC meeting on 14.11.2019 and he has stated that the discrepancies in latitude and longitude have been corrected in the quarry plan and submitted. As per the Extended combined sketch certified by DMG that there are 5 leases including this lease within the 500 meter radius from this lease. The total area of these five leases is 4.50Acres and this being less than the threshold limit of 5 Ha. committee decided to categorise this project under B2 and proceeded with the appraisal accordingly. He has also stated that his project does not fall within the 10 KM radius from the boundary of any Wildlife sanctuary/National Park.

The committee noted that this is a lease involving building stone mining in Govt land. The proponent has stated that he has obtained NOCs from Forest, Revenue Dept.,. The lease has been notified on 23-9-2015. As seen from the quarry plan there is a level difference of 15meters within the mining area and taking this into consideration and also the fact that he has already mined 4569tons as per the audit report the committee opined that 35% of the proposed proved quantity of 58136tons or 22360cum can be mined safely and scientifically to a quarry pit depth of 6meters for a lease period. The proponent has also stated that he will go for manual mining involving chiseling and wedging. As far as approach road is concerned, the proponent has stated that, there is a existing cart track road to a length of 400meters connecting lease area to all weather black topped road. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance with the following conditions:

- 1) Safe drinking water has to be provided at the quarry site.
- 2) Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 3) Only registered labours should be employed.

The Authority during the meeting held on 17.12.2020 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided get the following information for the further consideration

- 1) Justification and the provisions under the Mineral concision rules for the leasing of land Building Stone in an area less than one Acre.
- 2) Copies of the audit report issued by the Dept. of Mines and Geology since 2015-16 till date.
- 3) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 4) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority perused the reply furnished by the proponent on 09.01.2020.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance for extraction of 35% of the building stone proposed to be extracted as per approved quarry plan with a condition that the quarrying should be undertaken manually with approval of the modified quarry plan.

15. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.368 of Kuthanur village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagara District (Q.L No.247 & 249) (0-30 Acres & 0-20 Acres) by Sri. Siddha Shetty & Sri Rama Shetty (SEIAA 147 MIN 2019) (184.4.5)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 184th Meeting.

Sri. Siddha Shetty & Sri Rama Shetty have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of building stone in 0.5058 Ha., Government land at Sy.No.368 of Kuthanur village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagara District

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25-4-2019. The Committee noted that this is a cluster proposal containing two leases of 0-20 Acres and 0-30 Acres standing in the name of different persons. The cluster proposal has been approved by the DMG. As far as the lease locations with reference to Bandipura National Park, the certificate issued by the RFO and ACF of the forest department this lease area situated at 8.8 KM from the Bandipur National Park and there is a discrepancy about the distance from the sensitive zone. Further the committee opined that the officers who have issued the certificate are not competent to issue such type of certificate for which the proponent has agreed to come back after getting the certificate from the competent authority. Hence the committee decided to defer the subject.

The proponent and Environment consultant attended the 231st meeting held on 26-9-2019 after obtaining NOC from forest department wherein it is mentioned that lease areas proposed are at a distance of 2.342 KM and 2.302 KM respectively from the boundary of eco-sensitive zone. The committee noted that this is a proposal involving mining of building stone in government land and this proposal is for the cluster of two leases whose leases were granted on 27-1-2011. According to audit reports the mining activity has been done from 2011-12 to 2014-15 and the total quantity mined is 21,880 tons & 2,789 tons respectively. The proponent has stated that he has obtained NOCs from Forest and Revenue Dept.

The Committee further noted from the quarry plan that there is a level difference of 7 meters within the mining area and taking this into consideration and also the fact that he has already mined 21,880 & 2,789 tons or 8,225 & 1,048 cum, respectively, the committee opined that 30% & 60% of the proposed quantity of 43,964 tons or 16,527 cum and 26,744 tons or 10,054 cum respectively can be mined safely and scientifically to a quarry pit depth of 6 meters for a plan period of five years.

As per the cluster sketch approved by DMG there are no other leases within the 500 meter radius from mining area and also these were granted prior to 9-9-2013, the proponent has claimed exemption from the cluster effect. As far as approach road is concerned, the proponent has stated that, there is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.40 KM connecting lease area to all weather white topped road. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance.

The Authority in the meeting held on 6.11.2019 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority observed that the proposal involves two quarry leases each with 0-30 Acres and 0-20 Acres and have made a joint application. The proposal do not involve cluster approach. The Authority also observed that the information regarding permissibility of these leases under the provisions of Mineral Concession Rules is not forthcoming.

The Authority after discussion decided to seek clarification from the DMG with regard to permissibility of these leases under the provisions of Mineral Concession Rules for further consideration.

The Authority perused the reply furnished by the proponent vide letter dated 11.12.2019.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance for extraction of 30% & 60% of the proposed quantity of 43,964 tons or 16,527 cum and 26,744 tons or 10,054 cum of building stone in respective leases, subject to formation of cluster association in accordance with law and submission of the following information:-

- 1) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

240.1 Proposed Expansion of “Multi Colour Granite Quarry” over an extent of 20-00 Acres at Sy.No.298, Nalahalli Village, UyyamballiHobli, Kanakapurataluk, Ramanagara District, Karnataka by **M/s. Evershine Monuments (SEIAA 74 MIN 2018)**

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s Evershine Monuments No 15, Vittal Mallya Road, Bangalore-560001 Karnataka.
2	Name & Location of the Project	“Multicolour Granite quarry” of M/s Evershine Monuments,over an extent of 20-00 acres at Sy No: 298, Nalahalli Village,Kanakapura Taluk,Ramanagara District, Karnataka
3	Co-ordinates of the Project Site	Latitude: N 12°25'30.9" & N 12° 25'23.0" Longitude:E 77°26'05.7" & E 77°26' 02.2"
4	Type of Project	Multi Colour Granite quarry
5	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion
6	Type of Land [Forest,	Government Land

	Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]	
7	Whether the project site fall within ESZ/ESA	No
8	Area in Ha	8.093 Ha
9	Actual Depth of sand in the lease area in case of River sand	NA
10	Depth of Sand proposed to be removed in case of River sand	NA
11	Rate of replenishment in case of river sand mining as specified in the sustainable sand mining guideline 2016	It's Multi Colour Granite quarry
12	Measurements of the existing quarry pits in case of ongoing/expansion/modification of mining proposals other than river sand	585MSL is the existing pit level
13	Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum	12,048 Cum/annum
14	Quantity of Topsoil/Over burden in cubic meter	There is no Top soil in the Quarrying Lease area
15	Mineral Waste Handled (Metric Tons/ CUM)/ Annum	16,064 Cu.m (40%)
16	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	13.78
17	Environmental Sensitivity	
	a. Nearest Forest	Betta Hallivade Forest - 4.40 kms(E)
	b. Nearest Human Habitation	NayakaradoddiVillage- 0.60Kms(N)
	c. Educational Institutes, Hospital	The nearest post and telegraph office, hospital, schools, police station is situated inKanakapura.
	d. Water Bodies	Arakavathi River - 0.80 kms (E)
	e. Other Specify	Cauvery Wildlife Sanctuary ESZ boundary - 4.33 kms(S)
18	Applicability of General Condition of the EIA Notification, 2006	NA
19	Details of Land Use in Acres	
	a. Area for Mining/ Quarrying	3-10
	b. Waste Dumping Area	3-00
	c. Top Soil yard	--
	d. Mineral Storage Area	1-00
	e. Infrastructure Area	1-20
	f. Road Area	
	g. Green Belt Area	2-00

	h.	Unexplored area	9-10	
	i.	Others Specify	--	
20		Method of Mining/ Quarrying	Opencast Other method by Semi-Mechanized	
21		Rate of Replenishment in case River sand project	Not Applicable	
22		Water Requirement		
	a.	Source of water	Borewell from the village	
	b.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Dust Suppression	9.60 KLD
			Domestic	1.62KLD
			Other	4.00 KLD
			Total	15.22 KLD
23		Storm water management plan	Drains will be constructed along the boundary of activity area	
24		Any other information specific to the project (Specify)	--	

The proposal was placed before the committee for appraisal as per the above furnished information by the proponent.

The Proponent and Environment Consultant attended the 214th meeting held on 28th December 2018 to provide clarification/additional information. The Hon'ble NGT order dated: 13-9-2018, 11-12-2018 and OM dated: 12th December 2018 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI was brought to the notice of the committee and read before the committee. In view of the above orders and OM the various DEAC/DEIAAs are sending the pending files to SEIAA, Karnataka. Hence the Authority directed the SEAC to appraise the proposals accordingly.

The OM dated: 12th December 2018 issued by MoEFF & CC, GoI was brought to the notice of the committee which says that the projects with an area above 5 hectares and within 25 hectares are to be treated on par with B1 projects with EIA including public consultation. The committee after due deliberation and discussion on the said OM opined that the OM is silent about the projects that are being dealt at the SEIAA level. The concluding part of the OM just states that the Notification issued on 15-1-2016 which deals with the formation of DEAC/DEIAA is kept in abeyance and whereas regarding the powers vested with the SEAC/SEIAA the OM being silent, the SEAC has construed that it is not limiting the powers vested with SEAC/SEIAA in the Notification dated: 15-1-2016 and proceeded with the appraisal.

The committee appraised the proposal considering the information provided in the statutory application-Form I, Form-1A, approved mining plan, prefeasibility report and clarification/additional information provided during the meeting. The committee noted that as per the location plan the proponent has stated that the lease area is 15.6 KM from Bannerghatta National Park and 4.33 KM from the boundary of Cauvery Wildlife Eco sensitive zone, the width of the ESZ is 1.3 KM at this point. As per approved ESZ for cauvery wildlife the width of ESZ varies from 1 KM to 14.5 KM. As regard to compliance to earlier EC conditions the Regional officer, MoEF

has issued a letter stating that he has visited the spot on 29-11-2018 and other contents of the letter are not tallying with this project for this the proponent has stated that some inadvertent mistakes have crept into this and said that he will get the same corrected and submit. As per the audit report for the mined quantity submitted the audit report covers from 2008 to 2017 and it is silent about the quantity mined earlier from the year 2000 to 2008 for which the proponent has stated that he will get the audit report for these periods and submit the same. Also as far as the mining quantity is concerned for 2018-19, the proponent has produced MDP available online. In the absence of audit report for the period from 2000 to 2008, the mined quantities have been assumed to be 5000 cum/year in order to assess the scientific mining procedures adopted. Since there is a level difference of 40 meters within the mineable area of 12 acres 20 guntas the quantities projected in the modified quarry plan can be achieved. As per the quarry plan recovery is 60% and wastage is 40%. For handling of waste the proponent has earmarked 3 acres of land within the lease area and it appears to be quite sufficient to handle the entire waste.

The committee after discussion decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

- 1) To submit the corrected certified EC compliance report from Regional office, MoEF, GoI.
- 2) Documents pertaining to declaration of ESZ (Eco sensitive zone) of Cauvery Wildlife Sanctuary.
- 3) Certificate from the competent authority regarding the distance of the boundary from the quarry site.

The proponent has submitted the reply vide letter dated: 19-1-2019 during the ongoing meeting. The Proponent and Environment Consultant attended the meeting to provide clarification/additional information. The OM dated: 12th December 2018 issued by MoEFF & CC, GoI was brought to the notice of the committee and after due deliberation/discussion committee opined that OM has stated that the projects with an area above 5 hectares and within 25 hectares are to be treated on par with B1 projects with EIA including public consultation. But whereas for the projects that are being dealt at the SEIAA level the OM is silent about that. The concluding part of the OM just states that the Notification issued on 15-1-2016 which deals with the formation of DEAC/DEIAA is kept in abeyance and whereas regarding the powers vested with the SEAC/SEIAA the OM being silent the SEAC has construed that it is not limiting the powers vested with SEAC/SEIAA in the Notification dated: 15-1-2016 and proceeded with the appraisal.

The circular dated: 7-9-2017 issued by MoEF & CC GoI regarding the mandatory submission of certified compliance report by the proponent at the time of appraisal before considering any expansion projects for issuance of EC and also the general conditions of EIA Notification 2006 which states that any project/activity specified in category-B will be appraised at the central level as category A, if located in whole or in part within 5 KM from the boundary of ecosensitive areas as notified under subsection-2 of section-3 of the Environment (Protection) Act 1986, protected

areas notified under the wildlife(Protection) Act 1972, etc was brought to the notice of the committee. The committee perused the replies and it was observed that they are satisfactorily except the certified EC compliance report for which the proponent has reported that he has already submitted the report to the Regional Officer, MoEF, GoI and inspection on the site has been carried out by the Regional office staff and certification is expected shortly. Regarding general conditions the proponent submitted that as per the MoEF & CC Notification dated: 15-1-2016, general conditions shall apply except for project or activity of mining of minor minerals of category B2 (upto 25 Hectares of mining lease area). Hence the committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment clearance with the following conditions.

1. The proponent shall obtain prior clearance from Forestry and Chief Wildlife angle including clearance from the Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife as applicable.
2. The proponent shall submit the certified compliance report from Regional Office, MoEF.
3. Safe drinking water has to be provided at the quarry site.
4. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
5. The drilling machines employed shall be fitted with dust extraction unit while taking up quarrying activity.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC during the SEIAA meeting held on 25th January 2019.

The Authority while discussing observed that this project proposal is being considered by Authority as per directions of the MoEF, GOI issued vide O.M dated 12th December 2018. As per this direction all the individual leases and clusters having total lease area of 5 to 25 Ha also have to be appraised as B1 category activity. While going through the proceedings of the SEAC, the Authority observed that the lease area of this project is 8.093Ha. The Authority therefore opined that this proposal as to be B1 category project/activity.

The Authority after discussion decided to refer the file back to SEAC to undertake screening, scoping, public consultation and appraisal of the proposal strictly in accordance with law and in the spirit of the orders of the Hon'ble NGT dated 4th September 2018, 13th September 2018 and the O.M dated 12-12-2018 issued by MoEF&CC Government of India and sending recommendation deemed fit based on merit.

The Proponent and Environmental Consultant invited to the 240th SEAC meeting held on 25-02-2020 to provide clarification/additional information.

The proponent remained absent and requesting through consultant for some more time. In view of the above the committee decided to give one more opportunity to the proponent. Hence the committee decided to defer the appraisal of the project.

Action: Secretary, SEAC to put up the proposal before SEAC in Subsequent meeting.

16. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project in Govt. Revenue Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (Q.L.No.29) By M/s. Sri Vinayaka Rock Crystal (SEIAA 70 MIN 2019) (186.6.1)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting.

Sri Vinayaka Rock Crystals have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 1.618 Ha, Government Revenue Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District

The proponent was invited for the 219th meeting held on 25-3-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent and submitted a letter dated:15-3-2019 intimating that they are currently applying for DGMs approval for getting common boundary buffer exemption of their 3 quarries and will not be able to attend meeting. Hence, the committee after discussion decided to defer the subject.

Further, the proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming and also the proponent has made out a letter stating that he is trying for amalgamation of leases on 15.03.2019. In spite of this he has not turned up with the required details and in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC along with letter submitted by the project proponent on 27.5.2020. The proponent have requested SEIAA not to close the file as they have submitted a letter dated 8.2.2019 to MoEF & CC requesting for issuance of report of compliance on the EC conditions. The proponent have also requested to get a status of compliance from KSPCB as it is already more than 30 days since they have submitted the report to MoEF & CC.

The Authority after discussion decided not to close the file and to address a letter to Member Secretary, KSPCB for a report of compliance on the EC conditions in accordance with the O.M. dated 7th September 2017.

17. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project in Govt. Revenue Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District (Q.L.No.30) (4-00 Acres) By M/s. Sri Vinayaka Rock Crystal (SEIAA 74 MIN 2019) (186.6.2)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

Sri Vinayaka Rock Crystals have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 1.618 Ha, Government Revenue Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District

The proposal was placed before the committee for appraisal during the meeting held on 25-3-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent and had submitted a letter dated:15-3-2019 by intimating that they are currently applying for DGMs approval for getting common boundary buffer exemption for their 3 quarries and hence will not be able to attend the meeting. Hence, the committee after discussion decided to defer the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming and also the proponent has made out a letter stating that he is trying for amalgamation of leases on 15.03.2019. In spite of this he has not turned up with the required details and in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC along with letter submitted by the project proponent on 27.5.2020. The proponent have requested SEIAA not to close the file as they have submitted a letter dated 18.2.2019 to MoEF & CC requesting for issuance of report of compliance on the EC conditions. The proponent have also requested to get a status of compliance from KSPCB as it is already more than 30 days since they have submitted the report to MoEF & CC.

The Authority after discussion decided not to close the file and to address a letter to Member Secretary, KSPCB for a report of compliance on the EC conditions in accordance with the O.M. dated 7th September 2017.

18. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project in Government Gomala Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (Q.L.No.155) (1-00 Acre) By M/s. Citrus Rock (SEIAA 95 MIN 2019) (186.6.3)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

Sri Vinayaka Rock Crystals have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 1.517 Ha, Government Revenue Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25-3-2019. The proponent remained absent by submitting a letter dated:15-3-2019 intimating that they are currently applying for DGMs approval and getting common boundary buffer exemption of their 3 quarries and hence not able to attend the meeting. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

19. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project in Government Revenue Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (Q.L.No.199) (3-30 Acres) By M/s. Sri Vinayaka Rock Crystal (SEIAA 98 MIN 2019) (186.6.4)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

Sri Vinayaka Rock Crystals have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 1.517 Ha, Government Revenue Land at Sy.No.39 of Kanivenarayanapura Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District.

The proposal was placed before the committee during the meeting held on 25-3-2019 for appraisal. The proponent was invited to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent by submitting a letter dated:15-3-2019 intimating that they are currently applying for DGMs approval and getting common boundary buffer exemption of their 3 quarries and hence not able to attend the meeting. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming and also the proponent has made out a letter stating that he is trying for amalgamation of leases on 15.03.2019. In spite of this he has not turned up with the required details and in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

20. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.43(P) of Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (Q.L.No.162) (2-30 Acres) By M/s. Abhinandan Stone Crusher (SEIAA 114 MIN 2019) (186.6.5)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

M/s Abhinandan Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 1.112 Ha, Government Revenue Land at Sy.No.43(P) of Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District

The proposal was placed before the committee during the meeting held on 25-3-2019 for appraisal. The proponent was invited to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming and also audit report certified by DMG is not submitted. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

21. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.16 of Vengalappanahalli Village, Magadi Taluk, Ramanagara District (Q.L.No.2447) (10-00 Acres) By M/s. Sri Siddeshwara Industries (SEIAA 119 MIN 2019) (186.6.6)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

M/s Sri Siddeshwara Industries have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building stone on 4.04 Ha, Government Land. at Sy.No.16 of Vengalappanahalli Village, Magadi Taluk, Ramanagara District

The proposal was placed before the committee during the meeting held on 26-3-2019 for appraisal. The Proponent and Environment Consultant attended the 219th meeting held on 26-3-2019 to provide clarification/additional information. The committee noted that this is an existing quarry for which the lease was granted on 27-3-2006 for ten years and deemed extension is upto 2026. The mining activity is being carried out continuously since 2006 till today except during the year 2016-17 and 2017-2018 and it was observed that during 2015-2016 the proponent has extracted 40,000 tons of building stone and committee opined that if this extraction is beyond 13-4-2015 (including the three months of window period) this amounts to violation of NGT order dated:13-1-2015 for this the proponent has stated that he will come back with the proper details. Hence the committee decided to defer the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 221st meeting held on 24-4-2019 to provide required information/Clarification. In this regard the proponent has stated that he is in possession of EC issued by DEIAA on 6-2-2018 wherein the DEIAA has not considered it as a violation for carrying out mining beyond April 2015 and hence the proponent reiterates that no violation has taken place and he has also stated that he has continued with the mining operations based on the EC issued by DEIAA till today. The mining activity was stopped after June 2015 till March 2018. As per the combined sketch prepared by the DMG there are nine leases within the 500 meter radius from this lease area and in this regard the proponent has stated that the seven leases were granted prior to 9-9-2013 and is reflected in the combined sketch furnished by DMG and hence exempted from cluster effect. Another quarry lease granted in favour of M/s. Kanakashree Buildtech during the year 2016 has been cancelled by DMG and copy of the cancellation letter is also being produced and another quarry lease granted in favour of M/s. Lakshmi granites during the year 2019 is to an extent of 9 Acres 20 guntas. The proponent has stated that this application is made out for increase in the production capacity. As far as compliance to the earlier EC issued by DEIAA the proponent has stated that he has submitted the compliance to the Regional Office, MoEF and they have visited the site 10 days back and certification is yet to be received.

As seen from the quarry plan there is a level difference of 30 meter within the mining area taking this into consideration 70% of the proposed quantity of 8,64,113 cum or 22,98,539 tons can be mined safely and scientifically with a quarry pit depth

of 20 meters which can be achieved since the area of mining lease is 8 Acres 4 guntas. During appraisal it was brought to the notice of the committee that the proponent has not built black topped road as per the EC conditions. Since there are many other lease holders are also depending on the same road, the committee opined that it can proceed further only after noting the comments of the Regional officer, MoEF& CC about the compliance to the formation of road. Hence the committee after discussion decided to defer the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.”

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

22. Proposed Sand Quarry Project in Block No.2 at Sy.Nos.5 & 6 of Hesaruru Village, Mundargi Taluk, Gadag District (Q.L.No.71) (12-00 Acres) By Sri Anand B. Doddamani (SEIAA 122 MIN 2019) (186.6.7)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

Sri. Anand B Doddamani, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of sand on 4.86 Ha, Government Revenue Land at Sy.Nos.5 & 6 of Hesaruru Village, Mundargi Taluk, Gadag District.

The proposal was placed before the committee for appraisal during the meeting held on 24-4-2019. The proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming and also no justification is forthcoming, whether such activity is a permitted activity in view of the Kappathagudda wildlife sanctuary. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

23. Proposed Sand Quarry Project in Block No.4 at Sy.No.73 & 74 of Shingataloor Village, Mundargi Taluk Gadag District (Q.L.No.70) (12-00 Acres) By Sri Eshwarappa S. Hullali (SEIAA 123 MIN 2019) (186.6.8)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

Sri. Eshwarappa S Hullali have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Shingataloor Sand on 4.85 Ha, Government Revenue Land at Sy.No.73 & 74 of Shingataloor Village, Mundargi Taluk Gadag District

The proponent was invited for the 221st meeting held on 24-4-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming and also no justification is forthcoming whether such activity is a permitted activity in view of the Kappathagudda wildlife sanctuary. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

24. Proposed Sand Quarry Project in Block No.3 at Sy.Nos.78 & 79 of Shingataloor Village, Mundargi Taluk, Gadag District (Q.L.No.69) (12-00 Acres) By Sri Ananda B. Doddamani (SEIAA 125 MIN 2019) (186.6.10)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

Sri. Ananda B Doddamani have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Shingataloor Sand on 4.85 Ha Government Revenue Land at Sy.Nos.78 & 79 of Shingataloor Village, Mundargi Taluk, Gadag District

The proponent was invited for the 221st meeting held on 24-4-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent

with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposal is for the expansion of existing mining activity, for which the EC was issued earlier and for appraisal certified EC compliance is required which is not forthcoming and also no justification is forthcoming whether such activity is a permitted activity in view of the Kappathagudda wildlife sanctuary. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

25. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.33 of Kotagallu Village, Kanakapura Taluk, Ramanagara District (6-30 Acres) By Sri B.K. Jayaprakash (SEIAA 149 MIN 2019) (186.6.11)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

M/s. JPT Stones have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 6-30 acres, Govt. Land at Sy.No.33 of Kotagallu Village, Kanakapura Taluk, Ramanagara District.

The proponent was invited for the 221st meeting held on 25-4-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the proposed project location is very near to BNP, Cauvery wildlife sanctuary and Ramadevara betta vulture sanctuary and no justification is forthcoming to take up this activity in this area. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

26. Proposed Building Stone (Basalt) Quarry Project at Sy.No.23 (P) of Sultanpur (J) Village, Bidar Taluk, Bidar District (2-20 Acres)By M/s.KAS M-Sand Industries(SEIAA 150 MIN 2019) (186.6.12)
27.

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting

M/s. KAS M-Sand Industries& allied Cement products have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone (Basalt) on 1.011 Ha, Patta Land at Sy.No.23 (P) of Sultanpur (J) Village, Bidar Taluk, Bidar District.

The proponent was invited for the 221st meeting held on 25-4-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent. As seen from the records the extended combined sketch is required to categorize the project, the same is not forthcoming. And in the absence of this the committee could not proceed with the appraisal. Hence the committee decided to recommend the proposal for closure since lot of time has elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

28. Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.53/3B & 53/7 of Seetalahari Village, Gadag Taluk, Gadag District (2-30 Acres)By Sri Daruka Stone Crusher (SEIAA 153 MIN 2019) (186.6.13)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 186th Meeting.

Shree Daruka Stone Crusher, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone on 1.112 Ha, Patta Land at Sy.Nos.53/3B & 53/7 of Seetalahari Village, Gadag Taluk, Gadag District

The proponent was invited for the 221st meeting held on 25-4-2019 to provide required clarification. The proponent remained absent without intimation. The Committee after discussion decided to provide one more opportunity to proponent with intimation that the proposal will be appraised based on merit, in case he remains absent again and deferred the subject.

The proponent was invited for the 240th SEAC meeting held on 26-2-2020 to provide required clarification. The proponent and consultant remained absent.

The Committee noted from the records and the statement of the proponent that the proposed lease area is at a distance of 3KM from the boundary of Kappathagudda wildlife sanctuary and ESZ of this sanctuary is not yet been notified and in this circumstances 10KM radius will be the ESZ by default. The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to recommend the proposal for closure since the lot of time is elapsed.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist from the pendency.

29. Proposed IWF Campus - Software Unit project st Sy.Nos.91/1, & 91/2 of B.Narayanapur Village and Survey Nos.192, 193/1, 193/2, 193/3, 194, 196/1 & 196/2 of Mahadevapura village, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangaloreby M/s. Hewlett Packard India Software Operation Pvt Ltd., (SEIAA 103 CON 2018) (173.4.7)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 173rd Meeting

M/s. Hewlett Packard India Software Operation Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of 'IWF Campus - Software unit' Project on a plot area of 73,760 Sqm. The total built up area is 1,71,846 Sqmincluding existing software unit of 50,636 Sqm. The existing Software unit consists of Basement, Ground and 5 Upper floors and the proposed project consists of 3 Basements, Ground and 11 Upper floors. Total parking space proposed is for 2,533 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 551 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 496 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 500 KLD.

The SEAC during the meeting held on 28.7.2018 have decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 18.8.2018 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 10-9-2018. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 9-5-2019.

The proponent and Environment consultant attended the 225th meeting held on 26-6-2019 for EIA appraisal. The committee after discussion decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

- 1) Management of earth within the project site may be re-worked to avoid carting out of excess earth and submitted.
- 2) The MOU entered with the forest department may be updated about the plants that are to be planted and also the area over which these plants are

planted including the maintenance period of ten years with the appropriate budget backup may be submitted.

- 3) The details of eco-pond as agreed by the proponent to harness rainwater may be worked out and submitted.
- 4) Carbon foot print during construction and operation phase may be worked out and suitable offsets may be detailed.
- 5) Landuse and land cover analysis of study area based on high resolution satellite image may be prepared and submitted.
- 6) As agreed by the proponent the details of CER may be worked out and submitted.

The committee during the meeting held on 26.6.2019 perused the replies furnished by the proponent vide letter dated:17-7-2019 and did not agree with replies submitted in case of following:

- 1) Land use land cover map with high resolution satellite image has not been prepared for the study area.
- 2) Carbon foot print during construction phase and operation phase with suitable offsets is not submitted.
- 3) 100% compliance to ECBC norms has not worked out.

Hence the committee after discussion and deliberation decided to recommend the proposal to authority subject to submission of the above information to the authority.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority also took note of the submissions made by the proponent vide letter dated 17th August 2019 with regard to the observations of the committee.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

30. Proposed Residential Project at Sy.Nos.115/2, 115/4, 115/5, 115/6, 115/7, 115/8 of Begur Village, BegurHobli, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District By M/s. ISR Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (SEIAA 88 CON 2019) (173.4.2)

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 173rd Meeting

M/s. ISR Constructions Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for construction of ISR "INDRAPRASTHA" Residential Project on a plot area of 20234.28Sq.m. The total built up area is 25064Sq.m. The proposed project consists of 107 villas of G+1 FLOOR with club house & recreational facilities. Total parking space proposed is for 140 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 85 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 68 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 70KLD. The project cost is Rs.110 Crores.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25th July 2019. The Committee noted from the village survey map that there are no water bodies either in the form of lake or natural nalas which attracts buffer as per NGT order. As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he will earmark Rs.1.50 crores and take up the works in Kodagu district in consultation with the competent authorities at a cost of 1.0 crores and rejuvenation of Begur lake at a cost of 50.00 lakhs which at 1.5 KM from the project site. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of the National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 2) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 3) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

31. Proposed Residential Apartment Project at Khata No.916 of Sy.Nos.45/4 & 45/2 of Gunjur Village, VarthurHobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District By M/s. Mohan Builders (SEIAA 89 CON 2019)-173.4.3

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 173rd Meeting

M/s. Mohan Builders have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 8650.08 Sqm. The total built up area is 27,859.73 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 186 No's of Residential units in 2 Blocks, Block A with B+G+4UF & Block B with B+G+4UF and Club House with G+1UF. Total parking space proposed is for 206 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 145 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 130 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 135 KLD. The project cost is Rs.50 Crores.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25th July 2019. The Committee noted from the village survey map there are no water bodies either in the form of lake or natural nalas which attracts buffer as per NGT order. As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he will earmark Rs.1.00 crore to take up the restoration works in rain devastated Kodagu district. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of the National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 2) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 3) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

32. Proposed Expansion of Mixed Use Development Project at Sy.Nos.41P, 40/1, 40/2, 39/3, 39/2, 36, 38/1, 38/2, 38/3, 38/4, 38/5, 37, 32/15, 32/16, 32/17, 32/18, 31/22, 31/23, 31/24, 31/25, 30/9, 30/10, 30/11, 30/13, 30/14, 30/15, 61/1, 61/2, 61/3, 61/6, 61/17,

60/1, 60/2, 60/3, 63/2, 63/3, 63/4, 63/5, 63/6, 64/1, 64/3, 64/4, 64/5, 62, 61/5, 61/4, 64/2, 64/6, 64/7, 64/8, 64/5, 64/9, 65, 70, 72, 69/2, 74/3, 68, 69/1, 76/1, 86/2, 54/3, 54/2, 54/1, 54/7, 54/8, 54/4, 54/6, 76/1, 54/3, 54/9, 54/10, 54/11, 55, 59/4, 59/3, 59/2, 56, 59/1, 59/5, 58, 57, 56, 66/1, 66/2, 66/3, 67, 75/1, 75/2, 75/3, 75/10(P) of Bidarahalli Village, BileshivaleHobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District By M/s.Total Environment Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (SEIAA 151 CON 2018) - 173.4.5

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 173rd Meeting

M/s. Total Environment Constructions Pvt. Ltd. have proposed for Expansion of Mixed Use Development Project comprising of residential, commercial, office, retail, institute "In That Quiet Earth" on a plot area of 2,55,495.55 Sqm. The total built up area is 7,97,126.18 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 2110 No's of Units. The project consists of Residential Block 1, Block 2, Block 3 comprising of 2B+G+38 UF, Commercial Block 1 & Block 2 comprising of 2B+G+19UF and School comprising of G+3UF. Total parking space proposed is for 5620 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 2192 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 2082 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plants for Block 01- 500 KLD, ROW HOUSES - 110 KLD, CLUBHOUSE- 25 KLD, BLOCK 02- 300 KLD, COMMERCIAL BLOCK 01- 100 KLD, COMMERCIAL BLOCK 02- 450 KLD, SCHOOL-100 KLD, AUDITORIUM- 50 KLD. The project cost is Rs.2536 Crores.

The proponent had obtained Environmental Clearance vide letter No.SEIAA 142 CON 2012, dated: 18-12-2015 for Construction of Residential Apartment project on a plot area of 59,642.6 Sq.m and the total built-up area was 1,44,822 Sq m. The project consisted of 560 Nos of Residential units in 4 Towers with 3B+GF+35UF.

The SEAC during the meeting held on 19.11.2018 have decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 7.12.2018 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 21-01-2019. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 22-5-2019.

The committee during the meeting held on 26.6.2019 appraised the proposal and decided to reconsider the proposal after the submission of the following information.

- 1) The buffer areas left may be quantified and also indicating its location in the concept plan.
- 2) Revised interpretation of land use, land cover map using NRSC standard classification may be done and submitted.
- 3) Details of developmental works proposed to be taken under CER commitment of Rs.6.5 crores and specially focused towards Kodagu district as stated by the proponent may be worked out and submitted.

The Committee during the meeting held on 25th July 2019 perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated:11-7-2019 and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

33. Proposed Development of “Prestige Smart City” at Sy.Nos.6/5, 12, 13/1, 13/2, 13/3, 13/4, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24/1, 24/2, 25/1, 25/2, 26/1A, 26/1B, 26/1C, 26/2, 27, 28, 29/1, 29/2, 29/3, 30,31, 32/1, 32/2, 32/3, 32/4, 33, 34/1, 34/2, 35/1, 35/2, 35/3, 35/5, 37/1, 37/2 of Yamare village Sy.Nos.19/2, 19/3, 19/4, 20/1, 20/2, 21/1, 21/2, 21/3, 21/4, 21/5, 22/1, 22/2A, 22/2B, 22/3, 23/2, 23/3, 23/4, 23/5, 24/1, 24/2, 24/3, 25, 26, 29/2, 29/3A, 30/1, 30/2, 31/1, 31/2, 32/1, 32/2, 32/3, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38/2, 38/3, 38/4, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 62, 63/1, 63/2, 63/3, 63/4, 63/5, 63/6, 63/7, 63/8 of ValagereKallahalli Village, SarjapuraHobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru by M/s. Prestige Projects Pvt Ltd., (SEIAA 165 CON 2018) -174.3.13

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 174th Meeting

M/s. Prestige Projects Pvt Ltd have proposed for Development of Residential Apartment Project named “Prestige Smart City” on a plot area of 3,70,638.38 Sqm. The total built up area is 10,50,775.18 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 5,665 Nos. of Apartments comprising of 2B/3B+G+23UF/26UF/27UF, 145 Nos. of Villas comprising of G+1UF, 5 Club Houses comprising of G+2UF/3UF and an Amenity building comprising of 2B+G+4UF. Total parking space proposed is for 7,328 No’s of Cars. Total water consumption is 4,722 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 4,256 KLD. It is proposed to construct Ten Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacities of 140 KLD, 660 KLD, 630 KLD, 480 KLD, 430 KLD, 340 KLD, 460 KLD, 480 KLD, 510 KLD and 160 KLD. The project cost is Rs.1,164.49 Crores.

The SEAC during the meeting held on 4th December 2018 have decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 26th December 2018 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 21-1-2019. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated --16-5-2019.

The committee appraised the proposal during the meeting held on 26-6-2019. The committee observed from the village survey map that there are four natural nalas crisscrossing the project site for which the proponent has stated that he has maintained 9 meter buffer zone on either side of the nalas as per the local planning authority (Anekal Planning Authority). He has also stated that he has maintained 16 meter setback beyond buffer zone as mandated by the local planning authority. In addition to these natural nalas there is one lake on the southeast side of the project site for which the proponent has stated that he has maintained 30 meter buffer zone as mandated by the local planning authority. As per the records submitted, the proponent has stated that the project is at an aerial distance of 5 KMs from the interstate boundary. The committee after discussion decided to reconsider after submission of the following informaitons.

- 1) Scheme to protect and develop 17 guntas of kalayani as eco-pond and scheme to protect and develop 1.17 acres of gunduthopu as an arboretum(botanical garden) by planting woody, medicinal and aesthetic tree species to make a recreation spot for the benefit of general public by providing a separate approach with a sufficient budget by interlinking both with display board at a prominent place in consultation with the competent authorities.
- 2) To study the surface water hydrology of the entire catchment of the sarjapur lake and carrying capacity of the natural nalas has to be worked out and submit.
- 3) All the runoff water after percolation is to be diverted to adjacent kalayani and accordingly a flow diagram may be worked out and submitted.
- 4) As per the proponent's statement the CER for Rs.6.00 crores may be earmarked for rejuvenation of sarjapur lake, in case if it is covered under the tank filling scheme of K.C valley, the alternate proposal may be worked out and submitted in consultation with competent authority.

The committee during the meeting held on 8.8.2019 perused the replies submitted by the proponent vide letter dated:19-7-2019 and accepted the same. The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment clearance with the following conditions:

1. The proponent to conduct energy audit by an accredited agency before operation of the project in accordance with the Bureau of Energy Efficiency.
2. 15% of the parking space shall be reserved for electric vehicles with recharging facility.

3. The proponent shall identify suitable place(KIOSK) for collection and storage of E-Wastes generated within the premises and shall be disposed of regularly only with the KSPCB authorised E-waste recyclers.
4. The proponent shall adopt air cooled HVAC systems instead of water cooled HVAC systems in order to reuse the water saved and reduce the fresh water demand.
5. Triple line plumbing system to be implemented instead of dual line plumbing adopting sullage and sewage treatment separately.
6. Surface water runoff from paved areas to be stored and reused with suitable treatment systems.
7. CO sensors to be installed with suitable exhaust system for double and triple basements.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 2) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 3) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

34. Proposed Modification & Expansion of Office and Hotel Development at New Sy.No.47/6 (Old Sy.No.47/2) Udayagiri Village, KasabaHobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore North, Bengaluru by M/s. Brigade Hotel Ventures Limited(SEIAA 96 CON 2019)-174.34

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 174th Meeting

M/s. Brigade Hotel Ventures Limited have proposed for Modification & Expansion of Office and Hotel Development Project on a plot area of 8,296Sq.m. The total built up area is 36,835.48Sq.m including Existing Built Up area of 31,714.45 Sq.m. The proposed project consists of 4 Star Hotel consisting of 210 Keys, Office Space for IT/ITES, Restaurant, Banquet Hall, Food Court for Office and 20 Number of Service Apartments with 2 Basement + Ground Floor + 13 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor. Total parking space proposed is for 240 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 241KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 217 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 220KLD. The project cost is Rs.6.65 Crores.

The proponent had obtained Environmental Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA 34 CON 2009, dated 30th August 2011 for construction of hotel on a plot area of 9,510 Sqm. The total built up area is 31,714.45 Sq. m and the proposed building consists of 210 Nos of rooms with 3 basements + ground floor + 6 upper floors.

The proposal was placed before the committee during the meeting held on 6-8-2019 for appraisal. The committee noted that this proposal is for modification and expansion of the earlier proposal for which EC was issued during 2011. The scope of the project earlier envisaged was for building a Hotel complex with 210 hotel rooms and other connected areas for running the hotel complex. Earlier the height of the building was restricted to 24 meters as per BIAAPA norms. Now the BIAAPA has clarified that the height restrictions is as per the Airport Authority norms which is 54 meters. Earlier FAR utilized was 2.75 as against the 3.0 permissible. Now the proponent has stated that he has utilized the permissible FAR of 3.0. This proposed project consists of 210 hotel rooms with 20 service apartments and also space for IT/ITeS. Now the total BUA is 36,835.48 sqmts as against 31,714.45 sqmts for which EC was issued earlier. Earlier the project was for 3B+GF+6UF as against the now proposed 2B+GF+13UF. Earlier space for parking provided was for 192 car park. Now this proposal provides for total of 240 Nos of car parking and the proponent has stated that this can be managed within the two basements now proposed by going for stack parking. As seen from the village survey map there are three nalas one on the western side of the project site and two on the eastern side of the project site. The proponent has also stated that the Govt. has acquired 13 guntas of land out of total area of 2 Acres 17 guntas and after which he has been left with 2 acres 4 guntas including 2 guntas of kharab land. In this acquisition process major portion of the nalas on the eastern side of the project site have been acquired and there is only 2 guntas of kharab land in the form of nala on the western side for which the proponent has stated that apart from the 3 meter buffer zone mandated by BIAAPA, he has left 19 meter extra width including 13 meter for set back and 6 meters for parks and open space. The proponent has also stated that actual construction has not yet been taken up except leveling of the ground.

The proponent has stated that he will rework the water balance chart for storing entire water generated from terrace area as well as paved and open area by constructing separate storage tanks. He has also stated that he will change the HVAC from water cooled to air cooled for 100% of their load in order to reuse the 100 KLD saved from HVAC consumption and reduce the fresh water demand by

going for triple line plumbing system. As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he will earmark Rs.1.50 crores to take up rejuvenation of Chikkasanai lake which is at a distance of 1.5 KM from the project site. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of following informations to the Authority.

- 1) Air cooled HVAC to replace water cooled HVAC to conserve water and reuse to reduce the fresh water demand for which revised water balance chart shall be worked out and submitted.
- 2) The water balance chart for storing entire water generated from terrace area as well as paved and open area by constructing separate storage tanks shall be reworked and submitted.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority also decided that the conditions suggested by SEAC relating to triple line plumbing and installation of Co sensors would not be appropriate in this project.

35. Proposed Development of Residential Apartment located at Sy.No.7/1, 7/12, 8/5, 8/6, 8/7, 8/8, and 8/9, Balagere Village, VarthurHobli, Bengaluru East ward, Bengaluru District by M/s. PruthviDevelopers(SEIAA 75 CON 2019)-171.4.3

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 171st Meeting

M/s. Pruthvi Developers have proposed for residential apartment Project on a plot area of 11,027.59 Sqm. The total built up area is 34,749.60 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 225No's of Residential units with B+GF+4F+TF. Total parking space proposed is for 280No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 152 KLD (Fresh

water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 129 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 130 KLD. The project cost is Rs.45 Crores.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 28th June 2019. The Committee noted from the village survey map that, there is one tertiary nala running across the project site for which the proponent has stated that he has left 15 meter buffer zone on either side. The proponent has stated that the portion of the internal road cutting across buffer zone will be built at the higher level leaving the buffer zone undisturbed except by putting up some columns at the elevated level.

As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he has earmarked Rs.80.00 lakhs towards rejuvenation of sheelavanthakere which is at a distance of 2.27 KM from the project site. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Status of underground drainage facility in the area and scheme for scientific disposal of excess treated water.
- 2) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 3) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

36. Proposed Development of Residential Apartment located at Sy.No.1/3, 1/4, 1/5B, Chikkanayakanahally Village, VarthurHobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District by Mr. Santhosh Thazhathu(SEIAA 83 CON 2019)-172.4.7

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 172nd Meeting

Mr. Santhosh Thazhathu have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 9,717 Sqm. The total built up area is 20,994.25 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 168 No's of Residential units with GF+4UF+TF. Total parking space proposed is for 186 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 114 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater

discharge is 97 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 100 KLD. The project cost is Rs.30 Crores.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 11th July 2019. The Committee observed from the village survey map that there are no water bodies either in the form of lake or natural nallas which attracts buffer as per NGT order.

The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

37. Proposed Modification and Expansion of residential Apartment" project at Sy.No.159/1, 159/2, 160/1, 167/1, 167/2, 167/3, 167/4, 168, 169/1, 169/2, 169/3, 169/4 & 171 of Kannamangala Village, BidarahalliHobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. Assetz Whitefield Homes PvtLtd(SEIAA 30 CON 2019) (expansion).-178.4.19

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 178th Meeting.

M/s. Assetz Whitefield Homes Pvt Ltd. have proposed for Modification and expansion of residential apartment Project on a plot area of 1,14,526.04 Sqm. The total built up area is 4,38,971.66Sqm inclusive of the proposed expansion of 3,10,366.2 Sqm. The proposed project under expansion consists of 1927 No's of Residential units in 3 Buildings with 8 Towers and Commercial building with 3B+G+15F and School with GF+3F. Total parking space proposed is for 3321 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 1975 KLD (Fresh water +Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 1777 KLD. It is proposed toconstruct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 1780KLD. The project cost isRs.870 Crores.

The proponent had obtained Environmental Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA 174 CON 2013 dated 03.10.2013 for construction of residential apartment on a plot area of 1,13,680 Sqm. The total built up area is 1,15,624 Sq. m and the proposed

building consists of 649 units with 2B+ upper stilt/lower podium+ upper podium& partial typical floor+ 18 floors+ partial typical floor.

Further, the proponent had obtained Environmental Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA 146 CON 2017, dated 05-03-2018 for modification and expansion of construction of residential apartment on a plot area of 1,13,680 Sqm. The total built up area is 1,28,605.46 Sq. m and the proposed building consists of 547 No's of Residential units in 5 Wings; Wing 1 with 2B+G+13 floors, Wing 2 with 2B+G+9 floors, Wing 3 with B+G+26 floors, Wing 4 with 2B+G+8 floors & Wing 5 with B+G+7 floors and a Club House with 2B+G+2 floors.

The proposal was placed before the committee for appraisal during the meeting held on 9-4-2019. The Committee after discussion had decided to appraise the proposal as B1 and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs to conduct the EIA studies. The Authority during the meeting held on 4.5.2019 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 28-5-2019. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 6-7-2019.

The proposal was placed before the committee for EIA appraisal during the meeting held on 6.8.2019 The proponent was invited for EIA appraisal. The proponent remained absent and submitted a letter during the meeting requesting to consider their subject in forth coming meeting. Hence the committee decided to defer the appraisal.

The proponent was invited for the SEAC meeting held on 27-8-2019 for EIA appraisal. The proponent and environmental consultant attended the meeting of SEAC to provide required clarification/additional information. The committee noted that this proposal is for extension of this project for which EC was issued earlier on 5-3-2018 with a land area of 1,14,526.04 sqmts and BUA of 1,28,605.46 sqmts which itself was a revised EC wherein total BUA envisaged for 1,15,624 sqmts. Now, as far as the land area is concerned the proponent has stated as against the land area of 1,14,526.04 sqmts reflected in the concept plan actual area in possession of the proponent is 1,13,473.85 sqmts. Further proponent has stated that he has relinquished an area of 1959 sqmts for the road widening and the total B-kharab land being 14 guntas i.e., 1,416 sqmts. In the earlier concept plan 5 wings of buildings were proposed out of which 4 wings are complete in all respects and occupied. The work in the 5th wing is under progress and it has come up to 1st floor. Now this proposal is for adding up 3 new wings and modification in the 5th wing. In addition to this the proposal includes clubhouse, commercial building and school building. For the modification of 5th wing the proponent has stated that he has obtained structural stability certificate for vertical expansion from B+G+7UF to B+G+28UF. The SEAC felt that this is a huge vertical expansion and the structural stability certificate has to be got vetted from 3rd party structural design consultant. The committee after discussion decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

- 1) Separate Roof rainwater and hard surface rain water yield has to be worked out and provision for storage has to be detailed realistically and submitted.
- 2) Revise the list of tree species to be planted with design has to be worked out and submitted.
- 3) Structural stability aspect has to be got vetted from the reputed 3rd party consultant and the entire design details are to be shared.

The proponent has submitted the replies on 26-9-2019. The committee during the meeting held on 19.10.2019 perused the replies submitted by the proponent and accepted the same. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Land use details of the project site with percentage of the each of the usage.
- 2) Details of the third party evaluation of the structural design with report thereof
- 3) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 4) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

38. Proposed Residential Development Project at Sy.Nos.41/2, 42/1, 42/2, 43/3, 43/4, 43/5, 43/6, 43/7A, 43/7B, 43/8, 43/9 of Panathur Village, VarthurHobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Shivakar Infra Pvt Ltd (SEIAA 24 CON 2019) -175.4.2

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 175th Meeting

M/s. Shivakar Infra Pvt Ltd have proposed for Residential Development Project on a plot area of 85,590.35 Sqm. The total built up area is 2,98,617.94 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 2,310 No's of Residential units with 2B+G+13UF in 8 Blocks.. Total parking space proposed is for 2,627 No's of Cars. Total water

consumption is 1,558 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 1,192 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 1,350 KLD. The project cost is Rs.578.57 Crores.

The SEAC during the meeting held on 9-4-2019 have decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 4.5.2019 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 31-05-2019. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 25-7-2019.

The proposal was placed before the committee for EIA appraisal during the meeting held on 26-8-2019. The Committee noted that as per the village survey map and revenue records there is 22 guntas of B-Kharab land in the form of cart track and walkpath cutting across the project site for which the proponent has stated that he has obtained permission to reroute these tracks along the periphery of the project site which involves 26.5 guntas of land as against the 22 guntas reflected in the revenue records. Also there is a lake on the western side of the project site for which the proponent has stated that he has left 75 meter buffer zone. The proponent has also stated that he will go for triple line plumbing in order to reduce the demand on the fresh water. The proponent has also stated that he will go for low energy embodied building material for construction. The proponent has stated that he is going for installing individual smart meter for water consumption and he has stated that it will reduce 30% of the water consumption as individual occupant is made accountable for the water consumed.

As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he has earmarked Rs.5.8 crores and after discussion and deliberation committee decided to divert these funds to the recent rain devastated Uttara Kannada District as a special case. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information in addition to the information sought by SEAC:

- 1) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The

funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

39. Proposed Expansion and Modernization of Tech Park & Hotel Project at Sy.Nos.43, 44(P) and 46(P) of Electronic City (Doddathoguru Village) Phase-I, Hosur Road, Bengaluru District by M/s. Velankani Information Systems Limited(SEIAA 72 CON 2019)-185.6.1

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 185th Meeting

M/s. Velankani Information Systems Limited have proposed for Expansion and Modernization of Tech Park & Hotel Project on a plot area of 87,382.12 Sqm. The total built up area is 2,87,708 Sqm inclusive of the proposed expansion of 1,37,859.82Sq.m. The proposed project under expansion consists of Offices, Food Court, Restaurant, Bar, Coffee Shop, Kitchen, Banquet, Conference Hall, Business Centre, Gym, Health Club and 284 Guest Rooms. Total parking space proposed is for 3,067 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 1150KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 985KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 300KLD x 2 Nos. + 475KLD x 1No. The project cost is Rs.178 Crores.

The proponent had obtained EC from MoEF to this project vide letter No. 21-178/2007-IA.III dated 3rd September 2007 for construction of Business Hotel project at Plot No. 43, Electronics City, Hosur Road, Bangalore to M/s. Velankani Information Systems Pvt. Ltd on a plot area of 10,222 Sqm. The Total built up area was 38,297 Sqm The Project consisted of 2 Basements + Ground Floor + 14 Floors and five star Hotel with 257 rooms.

Further, the proponent had obtained Environmental Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA 110 CON 2018, dated 30-10-2018 for proposed Expansion of Velankani Tech park and Hotel project on a plot area of 87,382.12 Sqm and with the total built up area is 1,49,848.185 Sqm.

The SEAC during the meeting held on 28-5-2019 have decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 21.6.2019 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 12-7-2019. The proponent have submitted the EIA report on 28-8-2019.

The subject was placed before the committee for appraisal during the meeting held on 13-9-2019 to present the EIA report. The proponent has stated that the land in which the project is proposed has been acquired by KIADB and allotted to him in the year 1999 and he has also stated that the KIADB has formed the layout forming the roads and the road side drains to take care of proper drainage of the entire area without giving scope for any flooding even during the intense rainfall. Now this area is coming under ELCITA and ELCITA has also certified that the drainage

provided by the side of the road is sufficient. As per village survey map there are certain tertiary and secondary nalas running on the western side of the project site for which the proponent has stated that the nalas are not existing now and rainwater from the drainage area is being drained out from the road side drain and hence no buffer zone has been left as per norms since the nalas are nonexistent now.

As per the concept plan for which EC was issued in 2018 the portion earmarked for food court consisting of G+1UF was proposed for demolition and in that place 1B+GF+4UF was proposed. Now this proposal is to have 4B+G+22UF. The proponent has also stated that he has just demolished the earlier food court and not commenced the construction. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance

The Authority during the meeting held on 19.10.2019 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority after discussion decided to get the following information for further consideration:

- 1) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 2) If the project site is located within 10 km of National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary wherein final ESZ notification is not notified (or) ESZ notification is in draft stage, prior clearance from Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife (SCNBWL) shall be submitted mandatorily.
- 3) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 4) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated 20.03.2020. The Authority noted that the proposed project site is at a distance of 8 Km from the Bannerghatta National Park. The Authority further noted that the Eco-sensitive Zone varies from 100 meters to 1.0 kilometer around the boundary of Bannerghatta National Park as per the Notification No. S.O.1036(E), dated 11th March 2020.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary of the Bannerghatta National Park vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon as the project site is located within 10 Kms from the boundary of Bannerghatta National Park.

40. Amendment in Environmental Clearance to include Red Category Industries at Dobaspet 4th Phase Industrial Area in the Villages of Yedehalli, ChandanaHosahalli, Honnenahalli, KengalKempohalli, Avverahalli, K.G.Srinivasapura, Billanakote, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by KIADB-DABASPET - NELAMANGALA (SEIAA 20 IND 2017) -191.4.8

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 191st Meeting

Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board have applied for amendment in Environmental Clearance issued for establishment of Dobaspet 4th Phase Industrial Area, in the villages of Yedehalli, Chan dana Hosahalli, Honnenahalli, Ken gal Kempohalli, A vverahalli, K G Srinivasapura, Billanakote, Nelamangala Taluk, Bengaluru Rural District including Red Category Industries also.

The Proponent had obtained Environmental Clearance for the above said proposal vide letter No. SEIAA 1 IND 2013 dated 27-08-2015 for establishment of Orange and green category industries on a total plot are of 891.26 Acres (360.68 Ha)

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25.11.2017. While appraising the proposal the proponent has stated that the lands for this project have been acquired beyond 100 meters from the habitat as per the guidelines of C&I Department. The proponent has also stated that in case of water bodies the lands have been acquired up to the edge of water bodies and while preparing the development plan, he has left buffer as per NGT order of dated: 4th May 2016. The committee deliberated on the siting guidelines for setting up of Red category industries and found that the proponent has failed to furnish the required information. The proponent also submitted that while allotting land to the industries they will impose conditions to compulsorily install effluent treatment plant with zero liquid discharge, to maintain the air emission within the prescribed standards of the Central Pollution Control Board and to dispose the hazardous waste such as ETP sludge etc., to the authorised processing agencies. The committee decided to recall the proponent after submission of the following information.

- 1) To furnish the information to meet the siting guidelines for setting up of Red category industries as stipulated by MoEF& CC/CPCB.
- 2) The actual distance between the habitat(minimum/maximum distance) and the acquired lands is to be assessed properly and submitted.
- 3) If any expansion of the village beyond the gramathana limits has taken place, the same has to be reported citing maximum and minimum distances from the expanded portion.

- 4) The list and nature of industries for which the land has been allotted with the pollution potential is to be submitted.
- 5) Baseline studies should be made afresh and to be submitted.
- 6) Submit the compliance to the earlier EC issued.

The committee in the meeting held on 15.03.2018 perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated:5-3-2018 and observed the following:

- 1) The proponent has failed to furnish the information regarding meeting the siting guidelines for establishing Red category industries as stipulated by MoEF& CC /CPCB.
- 2) Non compliance of the earlier E.C conditions dated 27-8-2015, particularly the establishment of CETP.

The Committee after discussion decided to appraise the proposal as B1 and had decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue Standard ToR with additional ToR for conducting EIA study in accordance with EIA Notification 2006 along with relevant guidelines. The Authority during the meeting held on 24.03.2018 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

Accordingly ToRs were issued vide letter dated 3-4-2018. The proponent has submitted the EIA report on 5-4-2019.

The EIA report was placed before the committee for Appraisal in the meeting held on 10-5-2019. As seen from the documents submitted, the concerns expressed by the public during public hearing have not been addressed properly that too the concerns pertaining to environmental concerns are to be reasoned out properly for this the proponent has agreed to come back after addressing these concerns effectively. Hence the committee decided to defer.

The proponent has submitted the replies vide letter dated:11-6-2019. The proponent was invited for the SEAC meeting held on 7.8.2019 to provide additional clarification. The proponent has requested for more time to make a presentation. Hence the committee decided to defer the subject.

The proponent and Environment Consultant attended the 229th meeting held on 28th August 2019 to provide required clarification. The committee noted that as far as the foul smell emanating in the surroundings as expressed by the public, the KIADB has said that this foul smell emanation is from M/s. Ramky Hazardous Waste processing unit which is located in other phase of Dabaspeta and not connected to this layout and KIADB has given an undertaking that they will initiate suitable action if they found any lapses in the operation of the unit. The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

- List the status of fauna and flora found within 10 KMs aerial distance/15 KMs study area as per IUCN and wildlife (protection) Act 1972 and if there are any schedule-I and RET species prepare Biodiversity protection and conservation action plan in consultation

with Forest Authorities and submit along with budget and to implement in a time bound.

The replies submitted by the proponent were placed before the 252nd SEAC meeting held on 27.08.2020 for reconsideration. The Committee perused the replies submitted by the proponent. After discussion and deliberation committee accepted the replies submitted by the proponent and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority noted that the concerns expressed by the public at large and the institutions located in the area are not properly addressed. Given the location sensitivity and keeping the sanctity and importance of protecting Tippagondanahalli Reservoir which is a drinking water source for Bangalore City from any possibility of pollution, the Authority opined that the proponent can think of alternative site for red category activity reserving the industrial area in question only for green and orange category is suggestive.

The Authority therefore decided to refer the file back to SEAC to appraise the proposal considering the above observation and send recommendation deemed fit from the point of view of environmental sustainability.

41. Proposed Residential Apartment Project at Sy.No.48/2A, 49/1, 49/2A, 49/2B and 51/1, Avalahalli Village, YelahankaHobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. Ramky Estates & Farms Ltd(SEIAA 95 CON (VIOL) 2018) - 191.7.7

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 191st Meeting

M/s. Ramky Estate and Farms Private Limited have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 29,390.3 Sqm. The total built up area is 1,09,904.2 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 5 Blocks of 754 Units (Block A, B & C with Basement+ Ground + 13 Floors and Block D & E Basement+ Ground + 4 Floors) and 2 Club Houses (Club House 1 with Basement+ Ground + 2 Floors & Club House 2 with Basement+ Ground + 1 Floor). Total parking space proposed is for 830 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 515 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 475 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 525 KLD. The project cost is Rs.172.76 Crores.

The proposal had earlier obtained Environmental Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA 14 CON 2010, dated 09.12.2011 for construction of residential apartment of 796 units with basement/stilt +ground + 14 upper floors with a built up area of 63,328.29 sqmts.

The committee had noted that earlier EC was issued for a built up area of 63,328.29 sqmts. Earlier to this, CFE was obtained by KSPCB for a built up area of 86,813 sqmts in the year 2010. The proponent has gone ahead with construction as per CFE without obtaining modified EC. Further the proponent has stated that he has acquired land of area one acre five guntas in the adjacent survey number 51/1 and has obtained CFE from KSPCB for a built up area of 18,845.5 sqmts treating this acquired portion as a standalone project. The SEAC after receipt of amalgamated khata, during the meeting held on 4th December 2018 have decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs. The Authority during the meeting held on 10.01.2019 decided to issue ToR under violation category for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly the ToR was issued vide letter dated 28.01.2019. The proponent have submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 28.01.2019.

The proposal was placed before the Committee meeting held on 28-5-2019 for appraisal and the committee noted that as far as the damages to the Environment is concerned the proponent has stated that there is no damage since all the parameters are within the permissible limits. However, he has not quantified the benefits accrued to him by proceeding with the construction without getting modified EC for which the proponent has stated that he will work out and come back with the details. Hence the committee after discussion and deliberation decided to defer the subject.

The proponent and Environment consultant attended the SEAC meeting held on 28-6-2019. In the meantime a complaint has been received by the occupants of the residential complex mainly alleging unscientific method adopted for both operation and maintenance of STP and also the inadequate capacity of STP put up at present.

For this the proponent has made out the following points

- 1) There is no inadequacy as far as capacity of the STP is concerned.
- 2) Consequent to the complaint by the occupants the KSPCB has inspected and suggested certain measures.
- 3) Consequent to KSPCB directions, the proponent has stated that he has provided Ventilation facility for the STP which is located in the basement and now odour menace has been reduced substantially and flow meter, signage boards and flow charts of STP and design details of STP have been displayed. Organic convertor has also been installed.
- 4) Issues connected with housekeeping have also been sorted out.
- 5) As a responsible developer we have assured the occupants that the maintenance of the STP will be carried out by the proponent himself till it is streamlined and handed over to the user association. In addition the proponent has agreed to put up additional aeration system at final treated sewage tank thereby reducing odour and yellow tinge.
- 6) The proponent has also stated that the main problem with occupants is to accept the treated sewage for flushing purpose instead of freshwater.

About the remediation measures, the proponent repeatedly reiterated that he has not caused any environmental damages but however to shoulder the responsibility by violating without observing the procedural norms he came out voluntarily to spend Rs.20.00 lakhs towards the works targeted to enhance the environment.

In view of the above facts, the committee after thorough discussion and deliberation decided to recommend for issue of Environment Clearance subject to condition that the proponent shall submit certified compliance reports from both KSPCB & CPCB to the Authority.

The Authority during the meeting held on 26th July 2019 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority also noted that a compliant has been received from the residents of Ramky One North, Avalahalli, Doddaballapura Road, Yelahanka, Bengaluru on 19.06.2019. The Authority after discussion decided to communicate a copy of the complaint received from the residents of Ramky One North mentioned above and to get clarification of the proponent on each of the issue raised in the complaint apart from getting the following information for further consideration:

- 1) Factors that are considered for estimation of the damage considering the scenario of undertaking construction with prior Environmental Clearance and contrary to undertaking construction without Environmental Clearance.
- 2) Remediation plan with respect to the damage that have been caused as per the estimation at Sl. No. (1) above.
- 3) Cost of remediation of such damages and the time frame required for completion of such remediation measures.

The Authority also decided to invite the proponent with all the relevant information to the meeting of the Authority after receipt of the above mentioned details.

The proponent was called upon to attend the meeting of the Authority vide letter dated 13.08.2019.

The Authority during the meeting held on 27.08.2019 perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated 13.8.2019. The proponent appeared before the Authority and explained the proposal in brief. The Authority opined that the factors considered for estimation of the ecological damage and cost of remediation need to be specific and the estimate should be made based on the scientific principles. The Authority also observed that the proponent have not directly responded on the issues raised by the residents of Ramky One North through the complaint dated 19.6.2019 which has been duly communicated. The Authority after discussion decided to get appropriate replies to the queries raised and communicated vide the Authority letter dated 13.8.2019 apart from clarification / explanation on the issues raised by the residents of Ramky One North for further consideration.

The Authority during the meeting held on 27.09.2019 perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated 20.09.2019. The Authority after discussion decided as follows:

- 1) Filing a complaint before jurisdictional court of law for the alleged violation under section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act 1986.
- 2) Issue of Environmental Clearance with an additional condition that the project authorities should ensure effective operation of STP and the treated water meets the urban standards and after submission of the following information/documents:
 - a) Bank guarantee for an amount of Rs.20,00,000/- equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board, Bengaluru.
 - b) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.F

In the mean time complaints were received from Mr. VidyadharDurgekar and Mrs. Sujatha Durgekar with regard to inadequacy of the STP to treat entire sewage. Whereas the project Authorities claimed that the facilities are adequate. Inspections have been done by the officers of KSPCB and CPCB. Certification by a third party was also suggested in this regard. The Project Authorities engaged M/s Ecotech Engineering Company Pvt. Ltd, Bangalore as third party evaluator. M/s Ecotech Engineering Company Pvt. Ltd., have inspected the STP of the project Authorities and have made certain recommendations to improve the efficiency of the existing STP. The project Authorities vide letter dated 11.08.2020 have submitted report of third party and the actions taken to comply the recommendations made by them. The said letter is placed before the Authority for further decision.

Mr. VidyadharDurgekar have submitted a letter dated 23.08.2020 requesting the Authority to not to issue Environmental Clearance and to prevail upon the builder to complete the pending works as per the third party report.

Mrs. Sujatha Durgekar vide e-mail dated 28.08.2020 have complained that the project Authorities have not complied with condition No.25 of construction phase, Condition No 1,6,10,11, of Operation phase in the Environmental Clearance dated 9.12.2011 apart from inadequacy of the STP Capacity. Mrs. Sujatha Durgekar have requested the authority to issue EC only after the builder addresses the Violations.

The Authority perused the above report submitted by the project Authorities and the complaint received from the Mr. VidyadharDurgekar and Mrs. Sujatha Durgekar. The Authority noted that the project authorities, though claimed to have implemented most of the recommendations of the third party successfully except one or two which will be undertaken in due course. However, no certificate in this

regard is submitted from the said third party. The complainant apart from insisting for complete the pending works as per the third party report requested to resolve other issues related "Grant of Way" and green belt before issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance as decided during the meeting held on 27.09.2019 subject to submission of the following in addition to the action / submission of information sought earlier.

- (1) Certificate from M/s Ecotech Engineering Company Pvt. Ltd. with regard to adequacy of STP and implementation fo the recommendations made in the third party report.
- (2) Clarification on the issues raised by the complainants with regard to "Grant of Way" and maintenance of green belt in compliance to the earlier EC issued.

The Authority also decided to authorize Shri H.K.Vasanth, Advocate and Shri Ravikumar J.K., Scientific Officer, Department of Forest, Ecology and Environment for filing the complaint.

42. Proposed Commercial Development Project at Khata No.146/154-A carved out of Sy.Nos.327/1 & 327/2 of Bommasandra Village, AttibeleHobali, BangaloreDistrict by M/s. The Nilgiri Dairy Farm Pvt. Ltd. (SEIAA 31 CON 2018)-145.3.10

This proposal was considered by SEIAA during 145th Meeting

M/s. The Nilgiri Dairy Farm Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of Commercial Development project on a plot area of 15,175.71 Sqm. The total built up area is 64,758.00 Sqm. The proposed project consists of Commercial Development comprising Retail building, Restaurant & Theatre of 2140 seats with 3B+LG+G+4 UF. Total parking space proposed is for 1025 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 200 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 165 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plant with a capacity of 165 KLD. The project cost is Rs.180 Crores.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13.03.2018. The Committee while appraising the proposal observed from the village survey map that there are no natural nalas or water bodies in the project site or in the vicinity of the site requiring buffer zone as per the NGT order. As per the proposal submitted there will be 40,000 cum of excess earth for which the proponent has stated that he has another 10 acres of land in the same survey number adjacent to this property, wherein there is a small building with a plinth area of 4,000 sqmts and he proposes to utilize the excess earth in this land. The committee after discussion

decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to the following conditions:

1. The proponent to conduct energy audit by an accredited agency before operation of the project in accordance with the Bureau of Energy Efficiency.
2. 5 to 10 % of the parking space shall be reserved for electric vehicles with recharging facility.
3. The proponent shall utilize the excess excavated earth in his own adjacent land.
4. The proponent shall identify suitable place(KIOSK) for collection and storage of E-Wastes generated within the premises and shall be disposed of regularly only with the KSPCB authorised E-waste recyclers.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Submit the original village map duly marking the project site.
- 2) Revised water balance duly justifying the disposal of treated water.
- 3) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 4) Specific social commitment plan with activity, budget and time frame as part of corporate / entrepreneur social responsibility in accordance with O.M. No.J-11013/25/2014-IA.I dated 11th August, 2014.

198.1.1. Residential apartment at Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Bhartiya City Developers Pvt. Ltd (SEIAA 82 CON 2019) [SIA/KA/MIS/107575/2019].

M/s Bharatiya City Developers Pvt Ltd have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 32,374.85 Sq. m (8 Acres). The total built up area is 110791.03 sqm. The proposed project consists of Residential Apartment consisting of 659 units in 2 Buildings with clubhouse facility.

Particulars	Description	Configuration	Height (m)
Block-1	Wing 1	2B + G + 17 UF	53.55
	Wing 2	2B + G + 17 UF	53.55
	Wing 3	2B + G + 17 UF	53.55
Block-2	Wing 4	2B + G + 16 UF	50.6
	Wing 5	2B + G + 16 UF	50.6
Club house	Wing 3	2B + G + 1 UF	9.3

Total water consumption is 518 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 466 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 272KLD & 200KLD KLD, Total: 472KLD. The project cost is Rs. 146.77 Crores

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	Particulars	Details								
1	About the project	Proposed Residential Development project								
1	Name of the project proponent	Bharatiya City Developers Pvt Ltd								
2	Name & Location of the project	Sy. No. 53, Kogilu Village, YelahankaHobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore.								
3	Type of development									
a)	Residential/ Apartment/villas/Row houses/office/IT/ITES/Mall/Hotel/Hospital /others	Residential Apartment								
b)	Residential township/area development projects	Residential Apartment								
4	New /expansion/modification /renewal	New								
5	Water bodies /Nallas at the vicinity of project site	As per village map, there is a nallas pass through the site, sufficient buffers have been provided as per CDP. The list tanks surrounding the project site are as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kogilu Kere-1.0 Km, NE • Jakkuru Kere -1.5 Km, SW • Yelahanka lake-1.9 Km, W • Allasandra lake-2.45 Km, SW • Attur Lake-4.8 Km, W • Kannur lake-4.25Km,E • Rachenahalli Kere-4.5Km,S • Amrutahalli lake-4.92 Km,SE • Bagaluru lake-5.5 Km, NE • Nagavara Kere-6.6 Km, S • Hebbal Kere-6.9 Km, S 								
6	Plot area -Sqm	Plot Area 32981.88 Sq.m (8 acres and 6 Guntas) Plot area for development is 32,374.85 Sq. m (8 Acres)								
7	Built up area -Sqm	110791.03 sqm								
8	Building configuration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No of blocks/Towers • No of basements & Upper floors 	Residential Apartment consisting of 659 units in 2 Buildings with clubhouse facility <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Configuration</th> <th>Height (m)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Block-1</td> <td>Wing 1</td> <td>2B + G + 17 UF</td> <td>53.55</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Particulars	Description	Configuration	Height (m)	Block-1	Wing 1	2B + G + 17 UF	53.55
Particulars	Description	Configuration	Height (m)							
Block-1	Wing 1	2B + G + 17 UF	53.55							

Sl.No	Particulars	Details			
			Wing 2	2B + G + 17 UF	53.55
			Wing 3	2B + G + 17 UF	53.55
		Block-2	Wing 4	2B + G + 16 UF	50.6
			Wing 5	2B + G + 16 UF	50.6
		Club house	Wing 3	2B + G + 1 UF	9.3
9	Project cost – Rs in crores	Rs. 146.77 Crores			
10	Ground coverage area	5,373.50 Sq.m			
11	Disposal of demolition waste and /or excavated earth	Total qty. of excavated earth is 1,31,100 cum. Construction Debris -4800 cum It will be reused / recycled for back filling / sub base work for roads & pavements within project site.			
12	Water-operational phase <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Source • Quantity-KLD • Waste water generation -KLD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BWSSB • 518 KLD (of which fresh water requirement is 342 KLD) • 466 KLD 			
13	STP capacity-KLD	272KLD & 200KLD KLD, Total: 472KLD			
14	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water	No excess treated wastewater (Zero Discharge)			
15	Waste generated -in kg/day	2000 Kg/day			
16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bio degradable waste and disposal • Non-Bio degradable waste and disposal • Hazardous waste and disposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1000 Kg/day • 1000 Kg/day • 290 Kgs/ hr; Used Oil from D.G. Sets will be stored in leak proof sealed barrels and will be given to KSPCB authorized reprocessor / recyclers. 			
17	CER activities proposed	Rs. 2,20,15,772/-			
18	EMP Cost	Capital investment -239.50 Lakhs Recurring investment- 36.26 Lakhs			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 29th May 2021. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The project was appraised in the 233rdSEAC meeting held on 30-10-2019.

As seen from the village survey map there are three nalas within the project site for which the proponent stated that he has provided Buffer zone as mandated. As per the concept plan the two portions of the project which are bifurcated due to Buffer zone will be interconnected through two connectivity roads and the

proponent has stated that he will build these connectivity roads at the elevated level leaving the buffer zone undisturbed except by putting up some columns.

As far as CER is concerned the proponent has earmarked Rs.3.0 crores towards rejuvenation of flood devastated Belgaum District.

The committee decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

- 1) The ground water analysis indicating the presence of heavy metals may be done and submitted.
- 2) Possibility of using CNG gas for Gen sets may be studied and submitted.
- 3) Storage capacity of water tanks to store the rain water from the terrace as well as hard paved areas may be reworked and submitted.
- 4) Identify the 20% Ecofriendly Building materials and details may be submitted.
- 5) Commitment from the proponent to use sewage treated water for the construction phase may be submitted.
- 6) Solar panel layout has to be reworked utilizing the entire terrace area to generate solar power.

The proponent submitted replies to the above observations on 18.03.2021. The committee perused the replies and decided to recommend the proposal for issue of EC with the condition that consent letter from BWSSB should be submitted to SEIAA with regards to supply of water during operational phase and possibility of using CNG gen sets.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

1. The project proponent shall furnish Notorised undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by supreme court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
3. BNR unit for STP along with design calculation/ budgetory allocation for the same should be submitted.
4. STP should be redesigned for Biological Nitrogen Removal. / BNR unit for STP along with design calculation/ budgetory allocation for the same should be submitted.
5. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his

recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

6. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP.

Additional Condition:

Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.

43. Proposed Development of Commercial Building project at Plot No.1A, 1B, 1C, 1C(Part) & 1D, KadugodiSadaramangala Industrial Area, BidarahalliHobli, Whitefield, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Whitefield Developers(SEIAA 123 CON 2018)- 155.4.14

M/s Whitefield Developers have proposed for Development of Commercial Building Project on a plot area of 1,00,846.90Sqm. The total built up area is 1,49,795.98Sqm. The proposed project consists of 2 Wings in Building-A: Wing 1 with 3 Basements + Ground Floor + 13 Upper Floor, Wing 2 with 3 Basements + Ground Floor + 3 Upper Floor and Building 2 comprising of 3 Basements + Ground Floor + 2 Upper Floors. Total parking space proposed is for 1681 No's of Cars. Total water consumption is 540 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater discharge is 500 KLD. It is proposed to construct Sewage Treatment Plants with a capacity of 500 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 250Crores.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 20th August 2018. The Committee observed from the village survey map that the land acquired for formation of KIADB Layout is in revenue village survey map of Kadugodi plantation and it is observed that there are no water bodies either in the form of lake or natural nalas which attracts buffer as per NGT order. The committee also observed that the proponent has submitted the details of study area of 500 meters radius only, but to ascertain the environmental sensitivity, study has to be conducted within 15 KM of aerial distance from the proposed project location boundary for which the proponent has agreed to submit the same. The proponent has submitted only the details of environmental impacts but he has not submitted the EMP budget provisions for taking mitigation measures during construction and operation stages and the proponent has agreed to submit the same. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Justification for calculation of Waste water for construction phase.

- 2) Specific source of treated water for the construction with an undertaking/MoU with the prospective supplier of such treated water and with water quality analysis report to establish that such treated water is fit for construction purpose.
- 3) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority also decided to impose the following additional conditions:

1. The proponent shall submit the details of studies conducted within 15 KM of aerial distance from the project boundary (at 5 KM, 10 KM & 15 KM radius).
2. The proponent shall submit the details of EMP budget provision made during construction and operation stages.
3. The proponent to conduct energy audit by an accredited agency before operation of the project in accordance with the Bureau of Energy Efficiency.
4. 5 to 10 % of the parking space shall be reserved for electric vehicles with recharging facility.

186.4.1. Proposed Change in product mix in existing manufacturing facility Project at Plot No.8C & 9A of Bashettihalli Village, Doddaballapur Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by M/s. Resonance Laboratories Pvt. Ltd (SEIAA 15 IND (VIOL) 2018)

This is a project from M/s. Resonance Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. seeking Environmental clearance for change in product mix of active Pharmaceutical ingredients with the production capacity of 10.29 TPA with 9 No's of APIs to 19 No's of APIs with same capacity in existing manufacturing facility having plot area of 32374.9 Sq.m.

The details of the APIs are as follows:

Sl. No.	Products	Existing Quantity (TPA)	Proposed Qty (TPA)	Variation in quantity	Change in productmix scenario
1.	Imipramine Hydrochloride	1.00	1.00	0	No change
2.	Mepyramine Maleate / Base	2.24	0.70	-1.54	Decrease
3.	Oxyphenonium Bromide	0.50	0.50	0	No change
4.	Oxybutynin Chloride	2.00	2.00	0	No change
5.	Clidinium Bromide	2.00	2.00	0	No change
6.	Isopropomide Iodide	0.50	0.50	0	No change
7.	Mebrophenhydramine Hydrochloride	1.00	1.00	0	No change
8.	Carbinoxamine Maleate	1.00	0.43	-0.57	Decrease
9.	Buprenorphine Hydrochloride	0.05	0.05	0	No change

10.	Atropine Sulfate	-	0.5	0.5	New Product
11.	Baclofen	-	0.3	0.3	New Product
12.	Glycopyrrolate	-	0.05	0.05	New Product
13.	HomatropineHydrobromide	-	0.15	0.15	New Product
14.	HomatropineMethylbromide	-	0.5	0.5	New Product
15.	Methylphenidate HCl	-	0.05	0.05	New Product
16.	Naloxone Hydrochloride	-	0.02	0.02	New Product
17.	Naltrexone Hydrochloride	-	0.02	0.02	New Product
18.	Pramoxine Hydrochloride	-	0.5	0.5	New Product
19.	Ipratropium Bromide	-	0.02	0.02	New Product
	Total	10.29	10.29		

The committee meeting held on 19.5.2018 appraised the proposal as per the Notification dated: 8-3-2018 issued by MoEF & CC considering the information provided in the statutory application-Form I, pre-feasibility report, proposed TORs and clarification/additional information provided during the meeting. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Standard TORs along with additional TORs to conduct the EIA studies in accordance with the EIA Notification 2006 and relevant guidelines and to conduct public hearing. The Authority during the meeting held on 1.6.2018 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

The Authority during the meeting held on 1.6.2018 perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority noted that the proponent have stated that they had Consent for Establishment/ Operation for 9 products with at total capacity of 9.29 TPA and they have subsequently added 10 products after the inception of EIA Notification, 2006 for manufacture without increase in the total quantity of products. However, no prior Environmental Clearance has been obtained for change in the product-mix. The Authority therefore opined that the activity in the industry is in violation of the provision of the EIA Notification, 2006 having undertaken manufacturing of bulk drugs without prior Environmental Clearance as required under EIA Notification, 2006. In view of the above facts and circumstances the Authority decided to issue ToR for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study with public consultation following the procedure laid down in

the Notification No. S.O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017, Notification No S.O.1030 (E) dated 8th March 2018.

Accordingly TORs were issued on 15-06-2018. The proponent has submitted the EIA report on 28-04-2020.

The proposal was placed before 242nd SEAC online meeting for EIA appraisal.

The proponent and consultant attended 242nd SEAC meeting held on 07-05-2020 for EIA appraisal. The Committee noted that as seen from the records the project was started in 90s and continues to operate based on the CFE and CFO issued by KSPCB and the plant is operating till today. This has been categorized under violation category for the fact that the proponent has not obtained EC as per the EIA notification 2006. As per the EIA report the proponent is proposed to take effluents to CETP and for this he has agreed to convert into ZLD. The proponent has also agreed that he will go for alternatives to toluene solvent.

As far as damages due to violation the proponent has stated that all the parameters are within the permissible norms and hence he reiterated no damages have been caused due to the operation of the plant in the absence of EC. However in this regard he came forward to earmark Rs15 lakhs towards the remediation measures and he has also agreed to submit the detailed damages caused due to this plant as per Kyoto protocol.

As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he will contribute Rs 10Lakhs to PM care account. The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to recommend the project for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information to SEIAA.

- 1) Revised EMP incorporating proposed ETP along with flow chart in order to achieve ZLD may be worked out and submitted.
- 2) Revised land use and land cover analysis of study area based on high resolution satellite imagery may be prepared and submitted.
- 3) Detailed damages and remediation measures caused due to this plant may be worked out and submitted as per Kyoto protocol.

The committee also imposed the following condition.

- 1) Toluene solvent may be replaced by alternatives.
- 2) Install separate ETP instead of sending effluents to CETP in order to achieve ZLD.
- 3) For boiler fuel biomass briquettes may be used.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following:

- a) Bank guarantee for an amount of Rs.15 Lakhs with the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board, Bengaluru along with details of remediation

plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan and the time frame for execution of the same.

- b) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

The Authority also decided to impose an additional condition that the project authority should establish ETP with zero liquid discharge ZLD) facility before commencing proposed expansion/modification.

The Authority also decided to file a complaint against the project proponent before jurisdictional court of law for the alleged violation under section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act 1986, later that is after the relaxing of the lockdown situation due to COVID 19. The Authority also decided to authorize Shri H.K.Vasanth, Advocate and Shri Ravikumar J.K., Scientific Officer, Department of Forest, Ecology and Environment for filing the complaint.

178.4.1. Proposed Establishment of manufacturing industry for Pharmaceutical Steroidal, Active Pharmaceuticals Ingredients at Plot No.67, KIADB Industrial Area, Vasanthapura, Tumkuru by M/s. Natural Capsules Ltd(SEIAA 02 IND 2019)

M/s. Natural Capsules Ltd have proposed for establishment of manufacturing industry for Pharmaceutical Steroidal, Active Pharmaceuticals Ingredients at Plot No.67, KIADB Industrial Area, Vasanthapura, Tumkuru and Dist. on a Plot area of 20280 Sqmt. The Project cost is Rs. 36.57 Crores.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13.02.2019. The Committee had decided to appraise the proposal as B1 and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToRs along with additional ToRs to conduct the EIA studies.

The Authority during the meeting held on 2.3.2019 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly ToRs were issued on 27-3-2019 and EIA report has been submitted vide letter dated 02.08.2019.

The proponent and Environment consultant attended the SEAC meeting held on 27-9-2019 for EIA presentation. The committee after discussion decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

- 1) Revise the activities under CER focusing mainly on immediately affected water bodies of the nearest village.
- 2) Resubmit the list of fauna if there are any Schedule-I species, prepare and submit biodiversity action plan.
- 3) To explore and submit the alternative to the phyrophoric substances used in the process.

The committee during the meeting held on 19.10.2019 perused the replies submitted by the proponent on 14-10-2019 and accepted the same. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to submission of the following information:

- 1) Copy of the Environmental Clearance issued for the formation of Vasanth Narasapura Industrial area within which the proposed project site is located and permissibility of the proposed activity within the said industrial area.
- 2) Justification for establishment of pharmaceutical industry amidst food processing industry and the details of safety measures built-in in order to prevent impact of the industry on the existing food processing units.
- 3) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF&CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

Sd/-
(Chairman)
SEIAA, Karnataka

Sd/-
(Member Secretary)
SEIAA, Karnataka