

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

<p>114- F. 433/2010</p>	<p>Construction of Residential Building Complex entitled "OSIAN CHLOROPHYLL" by M/s. SPR & RG Construction Private Limited at S.F.No. 137/1, 138/1, 148/5A & 148/7A of Karambakkam Village, Maduravoyal Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu – Activity 8(a) & Category "B2"- Building & Construction Projects – Environmental Clearance to be issued under violation notification dated: 08.03.2018 of MoEF & CC – Regarding.</p>
	<p>The Project Proponent M/s. SPR & RG Construction Private Limited has applied for Environment Clearance to SEIAA-TN for the construction of Residential Building Complex entitled "OSIAN CHLOROPHYLL" with a total built up area of 1,66,480 Sq.m at S.F.No. 137/1, 138/1, 148/5A & 148/7A of Karambakkam Village, Maduravoyal Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu, on 28.01.2011.</p> <p>The developments that followed are listed below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. After the scrutiny of Form-1, Form-IA, proposed ToR and Annexures, certain additional details were called for this office letter No. SEIAA-TN/F.433/2011 dt.14/6/2013. 2. The project proponent in his letter dt.15/7/13 furnished the Letter of Apology / Commitment, duly resolved by the Board of Directors for the violation of EIA Notification, 2006, as the construction activities have already been started without obtaining the mandatory prior Environmental Clearance from the Competent Authority. The letter of apology furnished by the Project Proponent was forwarded to Govt. of Tamilnadu, Environment & Forests Department to initiate credible action against violation committed by Project Proponent in this office letter No.SEIAA-TN/F.433/2011 dated: 19.07.2013. 3. The Govt. of Tamilnadu, Environment & Forests Department directed the TNPCCB to initiate legal action against the M/s.SPR&RG constructions P.Ltd. vide letter no.18281/EC.3/2013-1 dated: 26.08.2013. The TNPCCB filed a case in JM, Ambattur. 4. The proposal was placed before the 44th SEAC meeting. The SEAC

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

	<p>decided to recommend the proposal for the grant of standard ToR to conduct EIA study. In addition certain details were also to be incorporated in ToR. The ToR was granted vide letter No. SEIAA-TN/F.433/SEAC-44/TOR-158/2012 dated: 07.10.2013. The Project proponent submitted the EIA report on 28.04.2014.</p> <p>5. The EIA report was placed before the 57th SEAC meeting. The SEAC decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA-TN, for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to certain conditions.</p> <p>6. Mean while, Hon'ble NGT (SZ), in application no. 135/2014 filed by Thiru.S.P.Muthuraman on 21.05.2014 stayed the OM dated 12.12.2012 of MoEF & CC. After hearing the case on various dates, the Hon'ble NGT, Southern Bench transferred the case to Principal Bench of NGT, New Delhi, which is registered as O.A. No. 37/2015.</p> <p>7. While the hearing was in progress in the Hon'ble NGT, New Delhi, seven project proponents (M/s. SSM Builders & Promoters, M/s Jones Foundation Pvt. Ltd, M/s. Y.Pondurai, M/s Dugar Housing Ltd., M/s SAS Realtors Pvt. Ltd, M/s Ruby Manoharan Property Developers Pvt. Ltd and M/s. SPRRG Constructions Private Ltd.) impleaded in the NGT, New Delhi for immediate relief. After hearing their plea, the Hon'ble NGT, New Delhi quashed the OM's dated: 12.12.2012 & 27.06.2013 on 07.07.2015 which involves the process of regulating the violation cases and constituted a committee to inspect the sites of all these 7 project proponents and report the stage of environmental damages etc. Further on 01.09.2015, the NGT New Delhi appointed Thiru.A.K.Mehta, I.A.S., Joint Secretary to Government of India, MoEF& CC as the Chairman of the Committee. The Committee constituted by Hon'ble NGT submitted the report. The proponent M/s. SPR& RG paid Rs. 1.50 Crores to TNPCB out of Rs. 12.5505 Crores levied as Environmental Compensation by the Hon'ble NGT, PB, New Delhi (order dated:07.07.2015).</p> <p>8. Meanwhile, the six proponents M/s. Dugar housing limited, M/s. SPR & RG constructions P.Ltd, M/s. Jones Foundations Ltd., M/s SAS</p>
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>Realtors Pvt. Ltd, M/s Ruby Manoharan Property Developers Pvt. Ltd & M/s. Y.Pondurai, filed civil appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Hon'ble Supreme Court stayed the Order(s) and Judgement(s) passed by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No. 37/2015 based on the appeal preferred by them . Now the OM dated: 12.12.2012 became applicable for the above said proposals.</p> <p>9. Further based on the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement, the SEIAA-TN sought clarification from MoEF&CC (vide Letter no.37/NGT/SEIAA-TN/2015 dated:29.09.2015) stating "whether Environmental Clearances may be issued to such cases where credible action has already been initiated by State Government with a condition that the Project Proponent shall comply the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in C.A.No.7191-7192/2015 and 7193-7194/2015 or in light of the stay order issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court ".</p> <p>10. The MoEF&CC, Gol, vide letter no. J-11013/97/2007-IA.II(I) dated 08.10.2015 provided clarification and informed the SEIAA-TN that "there is no Legal Impediment or restrictions on the implementation of the provisions of the OM dated: 12.12.2012 and 27.06.2013, in the treatment of the cases for consideration of Environmental Clearances having Violations and to consider the request of M/s. Dugar Housing for Environmental Clearance in accordance with the provisions of the said OM's immediately".</p> <p>11. Further, the MoEF&CC, Gol clarified (vide letter No. F.No.J-11013/97/2007-IA-II(I) dated: 17.11.2015) that " the SEIAA, Tamilnadu should grant Environmental Clearance in accordance with the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 based on merits of the cases as sought by M/s.Dugar Housing Limited (CA No.7193), M/s.SPR&RG Constructions P.Ltd.(CA No. 7194) and M/s.Jones Foundations P.Ltd (CA No.9108)-this also being the case in which supreme court has stayed the impugned order of NGT".The Clarification as sought by SEIAA, Tamilnadu (vide their letter dated: 29.09.2015) on the treatment of other cases under consideration of Environmental</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

Clearance involved in cases of Violation will be issued separately.

12. And also the MoEF & CC, Gol, (vide letter no. J-11013/97/2007-IA.II(I) dated 07.12.2015) informed that "the SEIAA, Tamilnadu should grant Environmental Clearance in accordance with the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 based on facts and merits of the case as sought by Thiru.Y.Pondurai, Chennai, M/s. Ruby Manoharan Property Developers Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, M/s. SAS Realtors Pvt. Ltd., Chennai.
13. Based on the clarification furnished by MoEF & CC, Gol. SEIAA-TN requested the proponents to furnish required details for the consideration of Environmental Clearance. On receipt of the additional particulars, and recommendations from the SEAC, SEIAA-TN and after obtaining the indemnity bond from the proponent, conditional Environment Clearance was issued to M/s.SPR & RG Constructions P.Ltd., on 19.11.2015, stating that the "Project proponent shall abide by whatever the directions/Legal outcome of the cases in Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench and their respective Judicial Magistrate Court. If the above affirmation is proved as incorrect/wrong at a later date, I may be punished according to law".
14. In the Environmental Clearance order, condition no. xxxix of Part C- Conditions for Operation Phase/Post Construction Phase/Entire Life of the Project, is as follows:

"Failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986".
15. In the meantime, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated: 04.07.2016 in civil Appeal No(s): 1119-1120/2016, called for other appeals viz C.A.No.7193-7194/2015 (M/s. SPR&RG constructions P.Ltd.), C.A no.: 13844-13845/2015 (M/s. Ruby Manoharan Property Developers P.Ltd.) , C.A no.: 7191-7192/2015 (M/s. Dugar housing Ltd.), C.A. No: 5618/ 2015 (M/s. SAS Realtors), C.A.9108/2015 (M/s.

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

	<p>Jones Foundations P.Ltd.), C.A. Diary No. 38168 (Thiru. Y. Pondurai) and gave a direction that “ the parties shall be free to urge the Tribunal for their relief”.</p> <p>16. The parties approached Hon'ble NGT. The Hon'ble NGT (PB) New Delhi, among other things ordered through the Counsel Advocate to withdraw all the Seven Environmental Clearance (ECs) issued to the proponents related in the said O.A .</p> <p>18. Accordingly, SEIAA-TN withdrew the EC stating that the Project Proponents have not communicated the compliance status of the EC conditions Nos. 1, 4 & 14 in the Pre-Construction phase- however the construction was going on. Also the Project Proponent have not communicated the compliance status of the EC conditions Nos.16 & 28 in the Construction phase, which are required to be complied before taking further construction activity.</p> <p>19.It was further observed from the reports of the Committees constituted by the Hon'ble NGT (PB), New Delhi and SEIAA-TN, that the project Proponents have not complied the Environment Clearance (EC) Conditions.</p> <p>20.In this regard, the SEIAA-TN, in its 179th meeting held on 11.07.2016, resolved to withdraw the Environmental Clearance issued. Accordingly, the Environmental Clearance issued vide Letter No. SEIAA /TN /F.433 /EC /8(b)/426 /2015 dt:19.11.2015 was withdrawn vide T/O Letter No. SEIAA/TN/F.433/ 8(b)/2016 dt:.14.07.2016.</p> <p>21.As per the MoEF & CC Notification dated: 14.03.2017, the cases of violation will be dealt strictly as per the procedures specified in the following manner:</p> <p>“In case the project or activities requiring prior EC under EIA Notification, 2006 from the concerned regulatory authority are brought for Environmental Clearance after starting the construction work or have undertaken expansion, modernization and change in product mix without prior EC, these projects shall be treated as cases of violations and in such cases, even Category B projects which are granted EC by the SEIAA shall be appraised for grant of EC only by the EAC and Environmental Clearance will be granted at Central level only”. Accordingly, the proponent was</p>
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

MEMBER SECRETARY, SEAC


CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

	<p>addressed to submit the proposal to MoEF & CC for EC under violation category vide SEIAA letter dated: 19.06.2017.</p> <p>17. Then, the proponent has filed the application to MoEF & CC under violation on 25.07.2017.</p> <p>18. The MOEF & CC has addressed a letter dated: 19.01.2018 to the Member Secretary SEIAA-TN, in which it was stated that</p> <p>“As per the order dated: 16.01.2018 of Hon’ble NGT, PB at New Delhi in M.A. 23 of 2018 in Appeal no.40 of 2016 and M.A. 24 of 2018 in Appeal no.41 of 2016, directed the MOEF & CC to dispose the applications of the appellants for the grant of EC on considering the said recommendations in light of the notification dated: 14.03.2017 in accordance with law within one month. In compliance of the above directions of the Hon’ble NGT, the proposal was placed in the 4th EAC meeting related to Violation of EIA notification, 2006 , held on 19-21 February 2018”.</p> <p>19. The Committee noted that the project was granted EC by SEIAA-TN vide letter dated: 19.11.2015 after payment of the Environmental Compensation as per the orders of the Hon’ble NGT, even after having been identified under Violation category and no exact provisions to deal with such cases at that stage. Further the said EC was revoked by SEIAA-TN vide letter dated: 17.07.2016, apparently due to no valid reasons on record and/or no orders of Hon’ble Courts/NGT. The EAC after deliberations and in view of legal interventions prior to grant of EC and even after that , the EAC asked the PP to provide complete details of the matter for better understanding of the case, and thus to comply with the directions of Hon’ble NGT in letter and spirit. The Committee also desired for opinion of the Ministry on applicability of the notification dated: 14.03.2017 in such cases to facilitate the further consideration of the proposal.</p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

20. Meanwhile, the Ministry vide Notification No. S.O. 1030 (E) dated: 08.03.2018 followed by OM's dated: 15th & 16th March, 2018 for implementation of said notification inter-alia provides that the projects/activities covered under Category B shall be considered by the SEAC/SEIAA in respective states / UTs.

The above said proposal has already been transferred online to SEIAA – TN, with the recommendations that the proposal of M/s. SPR & RG Constructions private Limited may be considered in pursuance of the Notification No. S.O. 1030 (E) dated: 08.03.2018 followed by OM's dated: 15th & 16th March, 2018 for implementation of said notification and in compliance of the order dated: 16.01.2018 of Hon'ble NGT, PB, New Delhi."

The proposal was placed in the 111th SEAC meeting held on 15.05.2018. The proponent made a presentation about the project proposal.

The Committee noted that the project proposal is to be appraised under violation category as per MoEF & CC notification S.O. 1030 (E) dated: 08.03.2018. Since the project has been considered under violation category, the Committee felt that it is necessary to make an on the spot assessment of the status of the project execution for deciding the further course of action.

As per the order Lr. No. SEAC-TN/F.No.433/2013 dated: 17.05.2018 of the Member Secretary, SEAC, a Technical Team comprising of the SEAC Members was constituted to inspect and study the field conditions. The technical team inspected the project site on 23.05.2018 and submitted the report to SEAC on 31.05.2018.

The report of the technical team was placed before the 113th SEAC Meeting held on 04.06.2018.

A summary of the observations/recommendation of the technical team are as follows:

MEMBER SECRETARY, SEAC


CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

	<p>(i) The existing land use for the site is Industrial and Primary residential zone as per CMDA Notification. The project category is residential. The proponent is directed to obtain the necessary land use certificate to justify the construction of residential complex at the chosen site and must obtain necessary certificate from the CMDA.</p> <p>(ii) The Technical Team learnt that the "violation" attributed to the project is that the construction activity was started before getting the Environmental Clearance.</p> <p>(iii) There will be totally 9 towers, all completed (98%) and only finishing & landscaping work remaining to be done. Regarding utilities, STP & DG set have been installed and in operation.</p> <p>(iv) The water balance diagram is to be revised taking into consideration the use of treated sewage for OSR green belt development.</p> <p>(v) The recharge covers installed for the recharge pits should have adequate openings to allow rain water inflow. The recharge well should have 1.5m depth.</p> <p>(vi) There will be totally 1050 apartments in 9 towers. 150 apartments have been handed over to the buyers. About 90-95 apartments have been occupied by the buyers. Thus, the project has to be categorized as the project under operation.</p> <p>(vii) During construction, 18 trees were cut and compensation trees (180 trees) have not been planted. For green belt, 5368 sq.m area will be required and the proponent has earmarked 5380 sq.m of green belt. Totally 448 trees of approved species should be planted and 237 trees have been planted already. However, only 137 trees are under the approved species. Considering all this, the proponent should plant 311 trees more for the normal green belt and 180 trees as part of the compensation green belt.</p> <p>(viii) The proponent should discontinue the practice of using treated sewage for growing edible varieties like brinjal.</p> <p>(ix) Rain water harvesting structures with 4 numbers of recharge wells are in place. In addition, 3 sumps of 70 cu.m capacity have been</p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

	<p>constructed. Excess storm runoff will be disposed into the existing storm water drain near the project site.</p> <p>(x) A WTP will be installed.</p> <p>(xi) The stacks attached to the DG sets are low in height and they should have height as per CPCB norms.</p> <p>(xii) The proponent should segregate the MSW at the source and manage the segregated portions as per the scientific principles. The present fact is of collecting the waste from the apartments in one common place and segregating the same, was not in order as observed during the inspection.</p> <p>(xiii) The inspection team also noted the channel constructed as part of the diversion channel as per the PWD approval.</p> <p>(xiv) OSR land has been provided as per norms.</p> <p>(xv) Excess treated sewage of 345 KLD will be disposed to Nesapakkam STP.</p> <p>(xvi) For CER activities, an amount of Rs. 125.51 Lakhs (0.5% of Rs. 251.01 Crores) should be earmarked. This amount should be utilized for creating infrastructure facility for the local Government schools and villages nearby. The proponent should furnish a detailed proposal in the EIA report to cover Rs. 125.51 Lakhs.</p> <p>(xvii) The proponent was asked to furnish the updated information with respect to the following checklist provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i. Site plan showing all detailsii. Fire NOC/ Airport NOC/ Traffic NOCiii. Planning permission from CMDAiv. Green belt planv. Environmental Management Cellvi. Certificate for structural safety from Anna University/IITvii. Land use certificate <p>The proponent was asked to furnish the particulars as discussed above and as per the check list already provided, to the Technical Team on 28.05.2018. Accordingly the proponent has submitted the revised check list with</p>
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

MEMBER SECRETARY, SEAC


CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

enclosures on 28.05.2018.

The proponent submitted the revised check list with enclosures on 28.05.2018. The annexure contains the extract of the revised checklist. The revised checklist contains old and supplementary data/information. The proponent has completed the following activities after the inspection.

- i. The depth for the collection cum recharge well has been increased to 1.5 m.
- ii. Perforated manual covers have been provided for the storm water drain.

From the perusal of the original proposal of the proponent, initial checklist submitted by the proponent, site inspection of the construction site, revised checklist submitted by the proponent, the technical team made the following observation:

1. The proponent has made a procedural violation in the sense that the proponent has started construction of the Residential project before getting the Environmental Clearance from the competent authority.
2. When the technical team assessed whether the proponent has actually followed in the past, the normal condition stipulated in the EC for all conditions, pre-construction & construction stages, the team is of the opinion that the proponent has not violated any conditions that are verifiable now. But there are certain conditions such as possible air pollution, noise pollution and soil pollution that could have been caused at the time of construction which cannot be verified now.
3. The technical team recommended the proposal to SEAC to favourably process proposal for recommendation to SEIAA for the grant of ToR. However, it was pointed out that this proposal was not a "regular" project seeking EC but a special project to be covered under "violation category". There are guidelines set forth by MoEF & CC on how to proceed with such cases. The SEAC may decide further course of action in the light of the MoEF & CC notification for violation cases.
4. The proponent should complete the following activities/submit

necessary documents by the time of submitting the EIA report:

- a) Common green belt & compensation green belt should be completed before submission of the EIA.
- b) Stack of adequate height should be installed.
- c) Proposals for CER activities should be submitted
- d) Land use certificate should be submitted for permissible activities.

The SEAC accepted the recommendations of the technical team and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for considering issue of ToR in 3 parts as annexed for conducting the EIA study for the project. The SEAC recommendation along with the proposal for ToR was placed in the 312th SEIAA meeting held on 07.06.2018. The Authority issued the ToR on 07.06.2018.

Based on the ToR, the proponent submitted the EIA report to SEIAA-TN on 19.06.2018. The EIA report was placed in the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20.06.2018. The proponent made a presentation about the project proposal. Among other things, the SEAC noted that the 4 activities that the proponent should have completed before submitting the EIA report, have actually been completed.

The SEAC as per the MoEF & CC notification assessed the project based on Ecological damage, remediation plan and natural & community resource augmentation plan furnished as an independent chapter in the Environment Impact assessment report by the proponent. The extract from the report is as follows:

- a. About Ecological damage created by the proponent, Remediation plan proposed and cost-
 1. Loss of Top soil – no loss of top soil
 2. Loss of vegetation habitats – 18 trees cut during construction and 180 trees planted as compensation.
 3. Diverting course of natural drainage – Nullah present in the project has been re-routed as per PWD recommendations
 4. Loss of area for ground water recharge – During construction it

MEMBER SECRETARY, SEAC


CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

was estimated that 96 cu.m of recharge has been lost annually. As a remediation plan 210 cu.m capacity of roof run off collection sump & 273 cu.m capacity of surface run off. Collection and recharge well constructed.

5. Particulate matter emission and pollution caused by vehicles- No increase of emission

6. Noise emission from the equipment/machinery – No increase of noise.

b. Natural resource augmentation plan and cost:

- Soil conservation – amount spent –Rs. 371.20 Lakhs (Soil re-used within the site)
- Water conservation - Amount to be spent – Rs. 9.74 Lakhs (O & M in STP)
- Energy Conservation - Amount to be spent – Rs. 2.04 Lakhs (Maintenance of lighting in common and basement area)
- Prevention and control of Emission - Amount to be spent – Rs. 2.16 Lakhs (Maintenance of stack and emission monitoring)
- Recycling of Waste - Amount to be spent – Rs. 1.87 Lakhs (O & M of OWC)
- Use of fly ash - amount spent –Rs. 156.33 Lakhs (fly ash substituted in Cement)
- Vegetable garden - Amount to be spent – Rs. 3 Lakhs (Maintenances of Vegetable garden)
- Safety/ security of human resources - amount spent –Rs. 198.61 Lakhs (sanitary ,medical facility and other facilities for Labours during construction phase)

c. Community resource augmentation plan and cost

S. No	Beneficiary Organization	Description of CER activity	Amount to be spent (in Lakhs)
1	Government Girls Higher Secondary	Construction of Toilets	5.0
		Renovation of Class rooms	11.0

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

		School, Karambakkam.	Development of Playground	5.0
			Painting works	5.0
	2	Government Boys Higher Secondary School, Karambakkam.	Construction of Toilets	5.0
			Renovation of Class rooms	10.1
			Development of Playground	5.0
			Painting works	5.0
	3	Government Primary School, Chettiar Agaram, Porur.	Construction of Toilets	5.0
			Renovation of Class rooms	15.0
			Development of Playground	5.0
			Painting works	5.0
	4	Government Primary Health Centre, Porur	Installation of Cardio Unit	20.0
	Total Amount			Rs. 101.1 Lakhs

Based on the inspection report and the violation notification, the SEAC classified the level of damages by the following criteria:

1. Low level Ecological damage:
 - a. Only procedural violations (started the construction at site without obtaining EC)
2. Medium level Ecological damage:
 - a. Procedural violations (started the construction at site without obtaining EC)
 - b. Infrastructural violation such as deviation from CMDA/local body approval.
 - c. Non operation of the project (not occupied).
3. High level Ecological damage:
 - a. Procedural violations (started the construction at site without obtaining EC)
 - b. Infrastructural violation such as deviation from CMDA/local body approval.

MEMBER SECRETARY, SEAC


CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

c. Under Operation (occupied).

As per the OM of MoEF & CC dated: 01.05.2018, the SEAC deliberated the fund allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility which shall be to a maximum of 2% of the project cost.

In view of the above and based on the inspection report & the Ecological damage, remediation plan and natural & community resource augmentation plan furnished by the proponent, the SEAC decided the fund allocation for Ecological remediation, natural resource augmentation & community resource augmentation and penalty by following the below mentioned criteria.

Level of damages	Ecological remediation cost (% of project cost)	natural resource augmentation cost (% of project cost)	community resource augmentation cost (% of project cost)	CER (% of project cost)	Total (% of project cost)
Low level Ecological damage	0.25	0.10	0.15	0.25	0.75
Medium level Ecological damage	0.35	0.15	0.25	0.5	1.25
High level Ecological damage	0.50	0.20	0.30	1.00	2.00

The Committee observes that the project of M/s. SPR & RG Construction Private Limited at S.F.No. 137/1, 138/1, 148/5A & 148/7A of Karambakkam Village, Maduravoyal Taluk, Thiruvallur District, comes under the "High level Ecological damage category". The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for grant of post construction EC subject to the following conditions in addition to the normal conditions:

1. The amount prescribed for Ecological remediation(Rs. 125.50 lakhs), natural resource augmentation(Rs. 50.20 lakhs) & community resource augmentation (Rs. 75.30 lakhs), totalling Rs. 251 lakhs (Rs.2.51 Crores) shall be remitted in the form of bank guarantee to Tamil Nadu Pollution Control board, before obtaining Environmental Clearance and submit the


Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

acknowledgement of the same to SEIAA-TN. The funds should be utilized for the remediation plan, Natural resource augmentation plan & Community resource augmentation plan as indicated in the EIA/EMP report.

2. The amount specified for CER activities is Rs. 2.51 Crores. The proponent has paid Rs. 1.50 Crores to TNPCB out of Rs. 12.5505 Crores levied as Environmental Compensation by the Hon'ble NGT, PB, New Delhi order dated: 07.07.2015. The Environmental Compensation fund of Rs.1.50 Crores already paid is permitted to be adjusted against the Rs. 2.51 Crores to be paid, thus leaving a balance of Rs. 1.01 Crores as the net amount to be paid. For Rs. 1.01 Crores, the amount shall be remitted in the form of DD before issue of EC for the following activities and submit the acknowledgement of the same to SEIAA-TN:

Sl.No	Activities	Name and address of the beneficiary	Amount & DD favouring	Purpose
1.	Forest conservation and protection	District Forest Officer, Hosur Forest Division, Hosur Cattle Farm Mathigiri (P.O) Hosur - 635110	Rs.1.01 Crores, DD favouring "Forest Development Agency", payable at Hosur	Steel wire rope fencing / stone wall fencing along the reserve forest of Cauvery Wildlife Sanctuary to mitigate Human and Animal especially Elephant Conflict







3. The SEAC recommends that SEIAA may look into any other legal and regulatory issues that are applicable before issuing the post construction EC.

S.No	Name	Designation	Signature
1	Dr. K. Thanasekaran	Member	

MEMBER SECRETARY, SEAC

CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the 114th SEAC Meeting held on 20th June 2018

2	Dr.K.Valivittan	Member	
3	Dr.Indumathi M. Nambi	Member	
4	Dr. G. S. Vijayalakshmi	Member	
5	Dr. M. Jayaprakash	Member	
6	Shri V. Shanmugasundaram	Member	
7	Shri B. Sugirtharaj Koilpillai	Member	
8	Shri. P. Balamadeswaran	Co-opt Member	
9	Shri. M.S. Jayaram	Co-opt Member	