

**Minutes of the 104<sup>th</sup> meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority held on 21<sup>st</sup> June, 2017 under the Chairmanship Sh. Bharat Bhushan IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA held in the meeting room of office of SEIAA Haryana, Sector-2 Panchkula, regarding Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.9.2006.**

The full Authority is present. The project proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda item circulated vide letter No. 394-396 dated 13.06.2017 were discussed following decisions were taken:-

**Item No.[1] Environment Clearance for proposed expansion of Clinker Grinding Unit (1.5 to 2.5 Million TPA) along with installation of Captive Power Plant (10 MW) near village Khukhrana, P.O.Assan Kalan, District-Panipat (Haryana) by M/s Shree Cement Ltd.**

The SEAC has recommended to file this case as withdrawal in its 150<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 06.04.2017 as per the request by the project proponent.

The case was lastly considered in the 102<sup>nd</sup> meeting in the SEIAA. The Authority as gone through the case and related facts, therefore the Authority asked project proponent to submit an affidavit stating that there will not be any Capacity enhancement in the Clinker Grinding Unit and no proposed captive Power plant would be installed. Further, the pp has been asked to submit the units of power consumed in the financial year 2014-15 and 2015-16.

The project proponent submitted the reply on 24.05.2017 which was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority decided to accept the recommendation of SEAC for withdrawal of the application as requested by project proponent.

**Item No.[2] Environmental Clearance for proposed Common Bio Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at Khatoni No. 157, Village BazidaJatan, near railway crossing, Tehsil & District-Karnal, Haryana by M/s. Haat Supreme Wastech Pvt. Ltd.**

The SEIAA approved the "Terms of Reference" in its 100<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01.03.2017 and also decided that the project proponent should present a case to SEIAA stating the rule and regulations whereby public hearing is not required in such cases, well before of the TOR studies.

The project proponent submitted the reply on 01.05.2017 which was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority studied, discussed the reply and the authority listened patiently to the representative of the project proponent and accepted all the documents submitted at the time of hearing. Authority needs some more time to study and discuss the submissions made by project proponent. Therefore, the case has been deferred.

**Item No.[3] Environmental Clearance for proposed Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at Khewat no 1, Khatoni no 1 kite. No 50, Tadadi No 314, village VPO Bagwala, Tehsil Barwala, District Panchkula, Haryana by M/s Esskay Hygienic Services.**

The SEIAA approved the "Terms of Reference" in its 100<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01.03.2017 and also decided that the project proponent should present a case to SEIAA stating the rule and regulations whereby public hearing is not required in such cases, well before of the TOR studies.

The project proponent submitted the reply on 01.05.2017 which was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority studied, discussed the reply and the authority listened patiently to the representative of the project proponent and accepted all the

documents submitted at the time of hearing. Authority needs some more time to study and discuss the submissions made by project proponent. Therefore, the case has been deferred.

**Item No.[4] Environmental Clearance for proposed Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF), at Plot no. 66, Roz KaMeo Industrial area, Sohna, Mewat, Haryana by Synergy Waste Management Pvt. Ltd.**

The SEIAA approved the “Terms of Reference” in its 100<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01.03.2017. The project proponent submits the representation on 01.05.2017 which was taken up for consideration in the today’s meeting. The Authority studied, discussed the reply and the authority listened patiently to the representative of the project proponent and accepted all the documents submitted at the time of hearing. Authority needs some more time to study and discuss the submissions made by project proponent. Therefore, the case has been deferred.

**Item No.[5] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Complex at Sector-36-A, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Bluejays Realtech Pvt. Ltd.**

The case was considered in the 101<sup>st</sup> meeting after the detailed deliberation the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that Sh. A. K.Bhatia and Shri Raj Kumar Sapra, Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection and shall submit the report on the point as indicated above within 15 days period. The site visit report submitted by the committee is as under:

**Site Visit Report**

The sub-committee inspected the site on dated 06.05.2017 in presence of the representative of the project and the matter was discussed with them about the status of site. The following activities were observed by the sub-committee:

1. On one side there was old RCC wall which was separating the premises of adjoining HSIIDC land from the project area. From the local enquiry it revealed that this wall was constructed by HSIIDC since long.
2. A temporary sign board was installed by PP outside the project area for advertisement of the project.
3. A temporary port cabin (guard room cum office) of 20X15 ft was erected in the project area for stay of guards at the site. It was informed by the PP that this will be dismantled as soon as the construction work will start at site.
4. Some excavation was seen along the plot which was done by the local administration to lay the water supply pipe line.
5. No construction activity related to the approved building plan was observed.

The case has been taken up in the today’s meeting and finding no reason to disagree with recommendations of SEAC in this case the Authority decided to de-list this case. It was also decided that the decision of the Authority should be conveyed to the Project Proponent and copy of the same be forwarded to the Haryana State Pollution Control Board, DGTCP, Haryana & R.O. MOEF, GOI for information and necessary action.

**Item No.[6] Environmental Clearance for Commercial Colony Project at Vill-Badshahpur, Sector-67, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Khanna Developers Pvt. Ltd.**

The case was lastly considered in the 103<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 17.05.2017 and the case was deferred.

The project proponent on 29.05.2017 submitted the reply which was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority studied, discussed the reply. It was observed by the Authority that still some clarifications are needed about the following:

**Reply to Query no. 3**

“The re-circulating water doesn't require any further treatment. Tolerance level of any cooling tower as per manufacturing is 50 ppm. Whereas, we are proposing to achieve zero ppm hardness in treated water which will be used in the cooling tower. When the hardness increases to 50 ppm due to constant evaporation process. Then this water will be drained out to softener feed tank for further reduction of hardness by treating through softener”.

Explain the above submission made by project proponent.

The query was “How would you be treating the water to make it fit for HVAC cooling tower?” What is being construed of the above statement is if TDS of circulating water increases beyond 50 ppm, it will be treated by softener. Even the fresh water has more than 50 ppm of TDS and that was the query, this water will be passed through softener or some other device, which are those & what is the plan to handle the rejects & residue ? Submit the Schematic Diagram

**Reply to Query 4**

- 4 KLD for Filter back-wash, very much in excess & back-washing cannot be a daily practice

**Reply to Query 6**

“Moreover the water used is treated water from STP and not fresh water and water is continuously under re-circulation with provision of makeup water only, hence there is no wastage”.

- If there is no wastage & water is continuously in circulation then why 8000 Lts of water is required on daily basis?

**Reply to Query 7**

“The water piping is designed to take care of maintaining the residue, however please note the treated water is under continuous circulation during the working hours of the DG sets”

- Please explain the design of piping & how is it maintaining the residue?

In view of the above, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC and EC would be accorded provided project proponent submits the satisfactory clarification to the above raised observations within 15 days. It was also decided that the project proponent shall supply the copies of information to all the members of SEIAA and after their approval the EC letter shall be issued.

**Item No.[7] Extension from renewal of Environment Clearance for “Freedom Park Life” Group Housing, Sector-57, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd.**

The case was considered in the last meeting and the authority decided to call the authorized representative of the project proponent to appear in person in the next meeting to justify their claim. Accordingly the case was deferred to the next meeting.

The case has been taken up in the today’s meeting in the presence of the representative of the project proponent wherein the main issue was raised with respect to the component of Green area in the Group Housing. The representative of the project proponent informed to the authority that they have providing green area more than the area which was approved in the building plans and also intimated that no specific condition regarding provision for Green to the tune of 30% was mentioned in the EC letter.

After detailed deliberations, the authority decided to defer this case with the decision that Sh. Hitender Singh, Sh. S. N. Mishra, and Sh. R. K. Sapra Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection as indicated above and shall submit the report within 15 days.

**Item No.[8] Environment Clearance for proposed Expansion of Sarvodaya Hospital & Research Centre (A Unit of Anshu Hospital Ltd.) At Site No. 1, Sector-08 at Faridabad by M/s Anshu Hospitals Limited.**

The case was considered in the 103<sup>rd</sup> meeting after the detailed deliberation the authority decided to defer this case with the decision that Sh. A. K. Bhatia and Shri Raj Kumar Sapra, Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection and shall submit the report within 15 days period.

The sub-committee inspected the site on 03.06.2017 in presence of the representatives of the project proponent. The status of project site compliances of EC conditions was discussed with them. The following observations are made:

1. The construction of the project was carried out as per plan. Block-A & B has been constructed, while no construction has been done in block C & D. No extra construction has been carried out (Annexure-I)
2. Two tube wells are under operation for which month monthly charges are paid to MCF (annexure-II).
3. Three water connections from HUDA exist for which monthly charges are being paid. The water consumption is within the prescribed limit (Annexure-III)
4. Hospital has proper waste water disposal connection and receipt of MCF was shown (Annexure-IV).
5. Three rain water harvesting pits have been constructed and are connected to storm water drain.
6. The STP was found under running condition and no re-use of treated waste water was found.
7. Fire NOC from competent authority has been taken and attached (Annexure-V).
8. Disposal of solid waste is being done by M/s Trigun Services (annexure-VI).
9. The disposal of bio-medical waste is being done by M/s Golden Eagle Waste Management Pvt. Ltd, an authorized vendor (annexure-VII).

The matter was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting, the authority studied the site visit report submitted on 13.06.2017 the authority observed that the sub-committee has not reported any violation except no re-use of treated water. Authority unanimously decided that case to be referred back to SEAC for appraisal with the condition that project proponent must re-use the treated water through dual plumbing. The SEAC may recommend the case on merit as per procedure prescribed in the Notification and time to time guidelines issued by MOEF & CC, GOI.

**Item No.[9] Extension of environment clearance for Group Housing Colony project at Village Gwal Pahari, Sector-2, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Jasmine Buildmart Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 30.11.2015 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	49852.01sqm (12.3185 acres)
2.	Built up area	101242.81 sqm
3.	License	Valid up 14.05.2018
4.	Nos. of Towers	5 Towers, 1 basement + G + 24 Floors, Retail area, Residential Dwelling Units and EWS Units.
5.	Dwelling units	176 DU, 32 EWS
6.	Height	88.35 Meter
7.	Green belt	31.10%
8.	Water requirement	230 KLD
9.	Fresh Water	103 KLD
10.	Waste Water	141 KLD
11.	STP Capacity	170 KLD
12.	Power Requirement	2600 KW DHBVN
13.	Solid Waste	676.834 kg/day
14.	Parking	434 ECS
15.	RWH	10 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

**[1] Form 1A**

- The various points under 8.1, 9.1, 9.3, 9.5, & 9.7 under Form-1A not explained properly. Explain & elaborate the same.
- Address mentioned on Form 1 shows above mentioned project is located in sector-2 but AAQ reports submitted on page 100-102 are of sector-3; is it same?
- The samples of air collected to calculate the "Ground Level Concentration" should have been representative in nature but the samples collected on 19.02.2016 show PM<sub>2.5</sub> & PM<sub>10</sub> 44.26/40.85/43.84 & 91.89/82.07/84.20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively definitely below the limits of NAAQS but contradicts the data shown at HSPCB, Gurugram site

<http://hspcb.gov.in/AAQD.html>. The status of ambient air quality in NCR is as such all over the media then why should it be accepted the said AAQ at sector-2/3 of Gurugram?

Explain the contradiction

- Pt.5.1; submitted to install 6 DG Sets capacity totaling to 4000KVA; to calculate additional ground level concentration the individual capacity of DG sets is required then how it has been calculated without knowing the individual capacity of DG sets?

**[2] Calculations of daily water Demand**

- Incorporate the recommendations of MoEF & CC for Water use reduction as given in Table:2.4
- Water demand for cooling of DG sets remained constant i.e. 27 KLD in all three seasons. . The process of cooling involves evaporation of water and the rate of evaporation depends upon the ambient temperature, therefore, the quantity of water required for HVAC cooling in winter would be quite less..
- Secondly, due to evaporation concentration of dissolved salts will increase in circulating water, what mechanism is proposed to treat this water & how to handle the residual/rejects? Submit schematic plan.
- Location of Cooling Tower on lay-out plan

Incorporate the above mentioned suggestions and resubmit the “Water Balance Diagram” of all three seasons separately.

**[3] Installation of DG set:**

- 4000 KVA DG sets are proposed to be installed; transportation, storage & handling of such large volume of HSD; status of statutory compliances & safety measures to be taken.

**[4]** It was also decided that Sh. Hitender Singh, Sh. S. N. Mishra, and Sh. R. K. Sapra Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection of existing project and shall submit report to the SEIAA within 15 days on the following points:

- (i) Mitigation measures taken to reduce Noise & Air pollution.
- (ii) Status of construction of existing project vis-à-vis the approved plans.
- (iii) Status of construction in expansion part, if any.
- (iv) The use of treated water in construction or wherever required and no extraction of ground water.
- (v) Functioning of STP and management of treated waste water in the existing project.
- (vi) Management of Municipal Solid waste & construction waste.
- (vii) The existence of temporary soil bank made out of the excavation.
- (viii) The no. & status of RWH pits in existing project.
- (ix) Provisions & safety measures taken for storage of Diesel.

And may point out any anomaly which can deteriorate environment and comes under the ambit of “Environment Clearance”.

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit satisfactory reply to the above raised observation from Sr. No. 1 to 3 within 15 days and Sh. Hitender Singh, Sh. S. N. Mishra, and Sh. R. K. Sapra Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection and shall submit the report on the points as indicated above within 15 days period.

**Item No.[10] Environment Clearance for mining of (Boulder, Gravel & Sand) at Begampur Block/YNR B-37, Tehsil-Chhachhrauli, District-Yamuna Nagar (Haryana) by M/s Yamuna Infradevelopers Pvt. Ltd,**

The SEAC has recommended this case for Environment Clearance for mining of (Boulder, Gravel & Sand) at Begampur Block/YNR B-37, Tehsil-Chhachhrauli, District-Yamuna Nagar (Haryana) by imposing stipulations stated therein.

1. The project proponent submitted application on 17.08.2016 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance under category 1(a) at category B-1 project.
3. The project proponent has been granted lease through auction by (Boulder, Gravel & Sand) at Begampur Block/YNR B-37, Tehsil-Chhachhrauli, District-Yamuna Nagar (Haryana) for a period of 08 years vide letter dated 19.06.2015.
4. The project proponent has submitted copy of approved Mining Plan, NOC from Forest Department.
5. The proposed production is 7, 11, 000 TPA for first 5 years. The water requirement is 52 KLD.
6. The project proponent has proposed to plant 1000 plants saplings for every year for 5 years along the roads, in barren area.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. It was observed by the Authority that:

- [1] The project proponent should submit the arrangement to be made to ensure that no seepage of diesel/spent oil would take place.
- [2] The project proponent should submit the plan for transportation and storage of diesel and related safety measures.
- [3] The pp should submit a plan to ensure that no fugitive emission would take place due to plying of vehicles/movement of machineries.

In view of the above, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC and EC would be accorded provided project proponent submits the satisfactory clarification to the above raised observations within 15 days. It was also decided that the project proponent shall supply the copies of information to all the members of SEIAA and after their approval the EC letter shall be issued by the office.

**Item No.[11] Environment Clearance for Group Housing colony located at village NangliUmarpur, Sector -62, Gurgaon , Haryana by M/s Emaar MGF Land Limited.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 30.11.2015 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.

2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	56757.15sqm
2.	Built up area	187514.31 sqm
3.	License	Valid up 01.12.2015 and applied of renewal of license (EDC/IDC payment slips attached)
4.	Nos. of Towers	6 Towers, 2 basement + GF + 29 Floors, convenient shopping, community center, club, service personals
5.	Height	100 Meter
6.	Green belt	30%
7.	Water requirement	483 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	242 KLD
9.	Waste Water	317 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	381 KLD
11	Power Requirement	5296 KW (Phase-I – 3369 KW & Phase-II-1927 KW)
12.	Solid Waste	1373.2 kg/day (phase I- 941.33, phase-II - 431.862)
13.	Parking	1273 ECS (phase I- 748, phase-II -525)
14.	RWH	20 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

#### **DG Sets**

The following anomaly has been observed in the given data for DG sets

Form 1A & EIA studies undertaken show the capacity & number of DG sets as Phase-1(2x500 + 2x320) =1640 KVA & Phase-2(3x380)=1140 KVA, total capacity 2780 KVA. But in reply to Query-2 raised by SEAC during its 146<sup>th</sup> Meeting dated 14/12/16; in annexure-II capacity of DG sets shown are Phase-1(2x1500 + 1x500)=3500 KVA & Phase-2(2x1010 + 1x380)=2400 KVA, total capacity 5900 KVA.

Even the Water Demand for Cooling of DG sets has been raised from (9+6)=15 KLD to (19+12.96)=31.96KLD.

- What is the actual proposed capacity of various DG sets proposed to be installed?
- Compute the “water Demand” for cooling on the basis of actual proposed capacity of various DG sets & submit the computation/calculations.
- On what basis (Capacity of DG sets) the “Air Dispersion Modelling” has been done as the stack emission depends upon the burning of fuel or upon capacity?

#### **Calculations of daily water Demand**

- Would Water demand for cooling of DG sets remained constant in all three seasons? The process of cooling involves evaporation of water and the rate of evaporation depends

upon the ambient temperature, therefore, the quantity of water required for HVAC cooling in Winter would be quite less .

- Location of Cooling Tower on lay-out plan
- Secondly, due to evaporation concentration of dissolved salts will increase in circulating water, what mechanism is proposed to treat this water & how to handle the residual/rejects? Submit schematic plan.
- Incorporate the recommendations of MoEF & CC for Water Use reduction as given in Table:2.4

#### **Form-1A**

- The explanation under the headings 5.4, 9.3, 9.5, 9.6 & 9.9 of Form-1A are not proper & well elaborated. Explain & elaborate.

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that Sh. Hitender Singh, Sh. S. N. Mishra, and Sh. R. K. Sapra Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection and shall submit the report on the points as indicated above within 15 days period.

#### **Item No.[12] Environment Clearance for expansion of Group Housing Complex at Sector-88A 89A, Village-Harsaru, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Oasis Buildhome Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 27.04.2016 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	59423.98 sqm (Existing 55680.69 sqm, additional 3743.29 sqm )
2.	Built up area	157098.09 sqm (under construction – 121361.25 sqm, additional 35736.844 sqm)
3.	License	Valid up 09.10.2017
4.	Nos. of Towers	10 Towers + EWS, 2 basement + GF + 31 Floors, Dwelling Units, EWS, Servant Unit, Nursery School, Community Building & Club, Convenient shopping.
5.	Height	94.52 Meter
6.	Green belt	35.27%
7.	Water requirement	739.8 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	420 KLD
9.	Waste Water	548.2 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	4 STPs (310 + 250 + 8 + 75 KLD)
11.	Power Requirement	6400.52 KW
12.	Solid Waste	2133 kg/day 2 nos. of OWC 1493 kg/day
13.	ECS	1363 ECS
14.	RWH	16 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of forest land,

recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

### 1. **ToR**

- Appln. For TOR submitted on 18/04/16
- Base-line data collection from March to May,2016
- ToR approved on 12/07/16

The Authority during its meeting found out that the application for “Terms of Reference” submitted on 18.04.2016 and as per available records; the collection of baseline data has started from March 2016; more than a month and half earlier. Secondly, the mean value of PM<sub>2.5</sub> depicted on pg.no. 184/185 is 52.7 & 57.1 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, well within the permissible limit of NAAQS. The data collected from 01/03/16 to 25/05/16; this being Harvesting season & temperature rises with possibility of high velocity winds with dust. Secondly, as such there is a big concern about the deteriorating ambient quality of NCR region due to increase in particulate matter in the ambient air. Data (2015-17) of VikasSadan, Gurgaon, taken from HSPCB website shows average value of PM<sub>2.5</sub> as 97.25/102.59/96.08 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in March-May,2016 respectively.

<http://hspcb.gov.in/AAQD.html>

This all leads the authority to the conclusion that as season for baseline environment study (March to May) was expiring so the data collection date has been shown 48 days earlier to make up the deficiency. Even the reports submitted contradict the present level of “Particulate matters” with the available data on the HSPCB website “<http://hspcb.gov.in/AAQD.html>”.

On the basis of above written facts authority construes that the samples might have not been drawn and the findings are not of representative in nature. Hence, Authority directs project proponent to recollect the data during coming post monsoon season and resubmit.

### 2. **Water balance Chart**

#### **Construction phase**

- Tyre washing 162 lts/truck, put “Water Trough” to conserve water & for washing of Tyres

#### **Operation phase**

- Canopy area 25 Sq.mt. meaning thereby the radius would be 2.82 mts , therefore the distance between two trees to be at least 5.64 mts. Submit the tree plantation plan
- Proposal is to grow native species, do these require so much of the water on daily basis? Water is scarce & precious source conserve it by meticulous planning
- Wet scrubber, where it is being installed, design & capacity of the same
- Miscellaneous needs of water consumption be explained properly
- Location & carrying capacity of “External Sewer”

[3] It was also decided that Sh. Hitender Singh, Sh. S. N. Mishra, and Sh. R. K. Sapra Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection of existing project and shall submit report to the SEIAA within 15 days on the following points:

- (i) Water balance diagram of existing project and proposed project for expansion.
- (ii) Status of construction of existing project vis-à-vis the approved plans.
- (iii) Status of construction in expansion part if any.
- (iv) The construction of the existing project has been carried out by using treated water.
- (v) Functioning of STP and management of treated waste water in the existing project.
- (vi) Management of Municipal Solid waste.

And may point out any anomaly which can deteriorate environment and comes under the ambit of “Environment Clearance”.

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit satisfactory reply to the above raised observation from Sr. No. 1 to 2 within 15 days and Sh. Hitender Singh, Sh. S. N. Mishra, and Sh. R. K. Sapra Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection and shall submit the report on the points as indicated above within 15 days period.

**Item No.[13] Environment Clearance for construction of Cyber Park Colony in the revenue estate of Village Behrampur, Sector-58, Gurgaon-Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Basic Developers Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 10.08.2016 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	39127.981 sqm (9.6687 Acre)
2.	Built up area	178646.057 sqn
3.	License	Valid up 05.09.2018
4.	Nos. of Towers	06 Blocks , 3 basements + G/S + maximum 26 Floors
5.	Height	124 Meter
6.	Green belt	30.17%
7.	Water requirement	617 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	299 KLD
9.	Waste Water	353 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	424 KLD
11.	Power Requirement	16814 KVA
12.	Solid Waste	2314 kg/day
13.	ECS	2634 ECS
14.	RWH	9 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

**1. Water Balance Diagram**

Six blocks have been proposed in Form 1A with HVAC system installed in each. The quantity of water required as follows

	Block-1	Block-2	Block-3	Block-4	Block-5	Block-6	Total
HVAC(KLD)	8	130	50	80	10	7	285
Filter Back-Wash(KLD)	1	6	5	5	1	1	19

Total water required for HVAC cooling was 285KLD & for filter back-wash 19KLD

- Query no. 6 raised during 151<sup>st</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 02/05/17; PP was supposed to submit detail Computation of HVAC requirement & reduce fresh water requirement. But no computation was provided, fresh water demand was reduced from 392KLD to 299 KLD out of which 173 KLD was proposed for HVAC cooling, initially it was 241 KLD
- Query no. 2 raised during 152<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 30/05/17; PP was supposed to resubmit detail Computation of HVAC requirement & reduce fresh water requirement; again no computations were submitted but fresh water demand was reduced from 299 to 245 KLD by reducing the requirement of fresh water for HVAC cooling from 173 to 119KLD.
- Is there any basis of calculating water demand for HVAC cooling or it is being submitted arbitrarily? Show the calculation and computation on which basis this quantity of water is derived. And the fresh water for the cooling purposes cannot be allowed as the availability of treated water is in penalty but “Fresh/potable water” is very scarce; hence, water being day scarce resource that must be conserve.
- Filter back-wash cannot be a regular phenomenon, where the water after washing will lead to definitely not going to vanish or consumed in process.
- Reply to query 2 raised during the 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 30/05/17 shows 126KLD water needed for HVAC Cooling. The process of cooling involves evaporation of water and the rate of evaporation depends upon the ambient temperature, therefore, the quantity of water required for HVAC cooling in Winter would be quite less than in summer. Secondly, due to evaporation concentration of dissolved salts will increase in circulating water, what mechanism is proposed to treat this water & how to handle the residual/rejects? Submit schematic plan.
- Water is a scarce resource, therefore, why to use 119,000lts of fresh/potable water for cooling purposes that too on daily basis. Use treated water only
- Location of Cooling Tower on Lay-out plan.

Incorporate the above said and resubmit “Water Balance Diagrams” for all three seasons separately.

## 2. Installation of DG:

- 8 DG sets of 1500 KVA are proposed to be installed; transportation, storage & handling of such large volume of HSD; status of statutory compliances & safety measured to be taken

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit satisfactory reply to the above raised observation within 15 days period.

**Item No.[14] Environment Clearance for Revision &Expansion of Group Housing Colony “RahejaRevanta”, Village-Shikohpur, Sector-78, Dist. Gurgaon-Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Raheja Developers Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 14.09.2016 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	75762.293 sqm (18.7213 Acre)
2.	Built up area	297575.867 sqm (Existing 146173.074 + Expansion 151402.793 sqm)
3.	License	<b>Valid up 31.05.2017</b>
4.	Nos. of Towers	03 Towers, Low rise blocks, 2 Basements +GF + maximum 50 floors, Nursery school, EWS Building, Commercial Building, Community Block
5.	Height	204 Meter
6.	Green belt	30.02%
7.	Water requirement	1171 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	659.715 KLD
9.	Waste Water	869.29 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	1000 KLD
11.	Power Requirement	10812 KVA
12.	Solid Waste	3808 kg/day
13.	ECS	1775 ECS
14.	RWH	18 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

- [1] Query no.5; raised by SEAC in its 150<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 06/04/17, “PP should submit revised fresh water requirement & Water Balance Diagram. PP submitted the reply in the form of “Water balance Diagrams” as Annexure-IV

	Main document	Revised plan
Total water requirement	1080KLD	1171KLD
*Total Fresh Water needed	666.1KLD	639.715KLD
Waste Water	811.78KLD	869.292KLD

- \*Reduction in the consumption of “Fresh water Requirement” is achieved on paper by reducing “Total Occupancy” from 6963 to 6523; visitors from 696 to 652 instead it should have been achieved by incorporating the MoEF recommendations for “Water Use Reduction” as given in Table 2.4. How did the projection of occupancy & visitors level dip, any calculations to show?

- Horticulture initially needing 6lts/mt<sup>2</sup>, revised to 7.5 lts/mt<sup>2</sup>, why so? What about winter & monsoon seasons.
- In revision need of “Treated Water” for Car Washing etc. has been removed
- Water required for flushing is raised from 30-33.33% of domestic consumption, how?
- The given data in revision does not lead to reduction in consumption of water which should have been as per the recommendations of MoEF & CC given in Table 2.4
- 20 KLD of Fresh water for swimming pool, water in pool or water bodies is lost due to evaporation so it is needed for replenishment not for replacement as is shown in revised plan. What is the total cross-sectional area of pool/water bodies on its basis calculate the water requirement?

[2] **Form 1A**

- Explain pt. 8.3 , 9.1,9.3,9.5 & 9.7 properly.

[3] The project proponent should submit the copy of valid license.

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit satisfactory reply to the above raised observation within 15 days period.

**Item No.[15] Environment Clearance for construction of Affordable Group Housing located at Villlage-Ullahawas, Sector-62, Gurgaon-Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Pivotal Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 25.01.2017 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	20512.471 sqm (5.06875 Acre)
2.	Built up area	55506.758 sqn
3.	License	Valid up 29.05.2021
4.	Nos. of Towers	The project comprise of Housing, Commercial Area, Aanganwadi and Community area
5.	Height	51.853 Meter
6.	Green belt	20.30%
7.	Water requirement	372 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	253 KLD
9.	Waste Water	305 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	350 KLD
11.	Power Requirement	3080.80 KW DHBVN
12.	Solid Waste	2072 kg/day
13.	ECS	370 ECS or 740 no. of two wheelers
14.	RWH	7 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

### **Water balance Chart**

The query no. 5 raised during the 150<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC to submit detailed “Water Conservation Plan”; PP replied “Same is enclosed in Annexure-V”

Even in reply of Query no.6 “Submit revised Fresh water Requirement & Water balance Diagram”; PP indicated Annexure-V

- Annexure-V has two Water Balance diagrams one “Without conservation”(Not initially submitted) & other “With Conservation”. With conservation is replica of what initially on pg.no. 41 of main document is submitted (65 LPCD). Secondly, Fresh water requirement remained constant at 253 KLD for all the seasons and is same as submitted in Main document. Then, What & Where is the “Revised Fresh water requirement” and what about the “Water Conservation plan”, how it is different from the plan submitted initially
- DG Cooling requires 5 KLD of water, very much on the higher side. Secondly, there will be an increase in concentration of salt in the circulating water due to evaporation. Give Schematic plan to treat this water & to handle the residue/rejects . The capacity of DG sets indicated (200 KVA,125 & 100 KVA) does not require cooling tower; can be Air Cooled
- Sludge & evaporation losses are very much on higher side (30 KLD). Secondly, evaporation losses are temperature (ambient) dependent so cannot be constant throughout the year

### **Form 1A**

- The details of back ground air quality levels with predicted values based on dispersion models taking into account the increased traffic generation not provided
- Explain pt. 8.1,8.3 & 9.1 properly

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit satisfactory reply to the above raised observation within 15 days period.

### **Item No.[16] Environment Clearance for construction of Warehouse Project at Village Pathreri, Tehsil-Manesar, District-Gurgao, Haryana by M/s JKS Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 10.03.2017 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	114547.213 sqm
2.	Built up area	72785.32 sqn
3.	CLU	Valid up 25.08.2018
4.	Ware house	Ware house non agro logistics
5.	Height	20.259 Meter

6.	Green belt	20.48%
7.	Water requirement	50 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	5 KLD
9.	Waste Water	14 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	20 KLD
11	Power Requirement	1500 KVA HVPNL
12.	Solid Waste	83 kg/day
13.	ECS	17986.25 sqm
14.	RWH	26 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

#### **Form 1A**

- The details of back ground air quality levels with predicted values based on dispersion models taking into account the increased traffic generation as a result of the proposed project for ware house. Due to the movement of heavy vehicles the particles will grind & regrind giving rise to increase in PM; how much it is predicted on the basis of study undertaken? What is the back ground value & what would be additional Ground level concentration leading to Total GLC?
- Explain pt. 8.3 , 9.1,9.3,9.5 & 9.7 properly

In view of the above, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC and EC would be accorded provided project proponent submits the satisfactory clarification to the above raised observations within 15 days. It was also decided that the project proponent shall supply the copies of information to all the members of SEIAA and after their approval the EC letter shall be issued.

#### **Item No.[17] Environment Clearance for construction of commercial Colony (3.5035 Acre) namely "Boulevard Project" located at Village Daulatabad, Sector-106, Gurgaon, Manesar Urban Complex by M/s Magic Eye Developers Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 27.04.2017 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	14178.139 sqm (3.5035 Acre)
2.	Built up area	39003.932 sqn
3.	License	Valid up 10.04.2021
4.	Nos. of Towers	2 Basements +GF + 19 floors. The project comprise of Service Apartments (1BHK Apartments-224 Bis, abd 2 BHK apartments - 120 Nos.)
5.	Height	60.85 Meter
6.	Green belt	30.34%

7.	Water requirement	157 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	101 KLD
9.	Waste Water	124 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	150 KLD
11.	Power Requirement	1668 KVA DHBVN
12.	Solid Waste	543 kg/day
13.	ECS	509 ECS
14.	RWH	3 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

#### **Water balance Diagram**

- Incorporate the recommendations of MoEF regarding "Water Use Reduction" as in Table 2.4
- The water required for Horticulture is very much on higher side, it has to be season dependent. Resubmit the water balance chart incorporating the recommendations on "water use Reduction" for different seasons separately
- Location & carrying capacity of "External sewer" where 32 KLD treated water is supposed to be discharged

#### **Form 1A**

- Provide the details & data as asked under pt. 5.1. Test report dtd. 12/04/17 on page no. 174 shows value of PM<sub>2.5</sub>& PM<sub>10</sub> as 47.55 & 98.83 µg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively, well below the norms of NAAQS. Submit some documents to substantiate & corroborate the findings
- Provide the details as asked under pt. 8.1
- Submission under pt. 8.3 is to use "Fly-ash" for road construction. What types of the equipment are proposed to handle, store the same & to prevent the fugitive emission?
- Provide the details as asked under pt. 9.1, 9.3, 9.5, 9.6 & 9.7

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit satisfactory reply to the above raised observation within 15 days period.

#### **Item No.[18] Environment Clearance for construction of Affordable Group Housing Colony located at Village Wazirpur, Sector-92, District-Gurugram, Haryana by M/s GLS Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 05.05.2017 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	25545.74 sqm (6.31 Acre)

2.	Built up area	59557.61 sqn
3.	License	Valid up 07.02.2022
4.	Nos. of Towers	1 Basement +GF + 12 floors. The project comprise of Residential Towers (912 DU), commercial area, Aanganwadi and Club House
5.	Height	39.45 Meter
6.	Green belt	19.96%
7.	Water requirement	463 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	304 KLD
9.	Waste Water	378 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	2 STPs 535 KLD +150 KLD
11.	Power Requirement	4357.46 KVA DHBVN
12.	Solid Waste	2440 kg/day
13.	ECS	474 ECS
14.	RWH	6 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

#### **Water balance diagram**

- Water is a scarce resource, must be conserved; Incorporate the recommendations of MoEF regarding "Water Use Reduction" as in Table 2.4
- DG Cooling requires 22KLD of water, very much on the higher side. Secondly, there will be an increase in concentration of salt in the circulating water due to evaporation. Give Schematic plan to treat this water & to handle the residue/rejects .
- Sludge & evaporation losses are very much on higher side (71KLD). Secondly, evaporation losses are temperature (ambient) dependent so cannot be constant throughout the year
- Location of cooling tower on the lay-out may be shown

#### **Form 1A**

- Provide the details as asked under pt. 9.5

In view of the above, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC and EC would be accorded provided project proponent submits the satisfactory clarification to the above raised observations within 15 days. It was also decided that the project proponent shall supply the copies of information to all the members of SEIAA and after their approval the EC letter shall be issued.

#### **Item No.[19] Environment Clearance for construction of Affordable Group Housing Project at Sector-78, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Conscient Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 09.05.2017 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	29922.409 sqm
2.	Built up area	83850.09 sqn
3.	License	Valid up 25.09.2021
4.	Nos. of Towers	19 Blocks (10 residential + 8 commercial + 1 Community) 1 Basement +GF + 14 floors. DU –1021
5.	Height	44.96 Meter
6.	Green belt	25.04%
7.	Water requirement	835 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	516 KLD
9.	Waste Water	688 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	826 KLD
11.	Power Requirement	5167 KW DHBVN
12.	Solid Waste	2.95 TPD
13.	ECS	570 ECS
14.	RWH	10 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

#### **Water Balance Diagram**

- Provide the water balance diagram for different seasons i.e. Summer, monsoon & winter separately; incorporate the recommendations of MoEF&CC for "Water Use Reduction"
- Location & Carrying capacity of the external sewer

#### **Energy conservation**

- Provide Assumed Power Consumption per sq. ft. of built-up area
- Explain pt. 9.5 & 9.8 properly of Form-1A

In view of the above, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC and EC would be accorded provided project proponent submits the satisfactory clarification to the above raised observations within 15 days. It was also decided that the project proponent shall supply the copies of information to all the members of SEIAA and after their approval the EC letter shall be issued.

#### **Item No.[20] Environment Clearance for construction of Affordable Group Housing Colony "Global Hill View" located at Village Sohna, Sector-11, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s V.K.Motors Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 09.05.2017 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	21903.61sqm (5.4125)

2.	Built up area	57763.98 sqm
3.	License	Valid up 10.06.2019
4.	Nos. of Towers	5 towers, S + 19 Floors. The project comprise of Housing, Commercial Area, Aanganwadi and Community area
5.	Height	60.2 Meter
6.	Green belt	21.48%
7.	Water requirement	363 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	252 KLD
9.	Waste Water	288 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	500 KLD
11	Power Requirement	3390.71 KW DHBVN
12.	Solid Waste	2071 kg/day
13.	ECS	380 ECS or 760 nos. of two wheeler
14.	RWH	6 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

#### **Water balance diagram**

- Water is a scarce resource, must be conserved; 125 & 320KVA DG sets can be air cooled no need of having Cooling Tower & evaporating 3000lts of water per day
- Sludge & evaporation losses are very much on higher side (43KLD). Secondly, evaporation losses are temperature (ambient) dependent so cannot be constant throughout the year
- Incorporate the recommendations of MoEF regarding "Water Use Reduction" as in Table 2.4

#### **Form 1A**

Provide the details as asked under pt. 8.1 & 9.5

In view of the above, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC and EC would be accorded provided project proponent submits the satisfactory clarification to the above raised observations within 15 days. It was also decided that the project proponent shall supply the copies of information to all the members of SEIAA and after their approval the EC letter shall be issued.

#### **Item No.[21] Environment Clearance for construction of Warehouse other than agriculture produce located at Village-Pathreri, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s GLS Logistics Pvt. Ltd.**

1. The project proponent submitted application on 09.05.2017 for seeking environment clearance for the project as indicated above.
2. The SEAC in its 152<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 30.05.2017 recommended this project for environment clearance in the following terms.

Sr. no.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Plot area	102859.13sqm (24.41Acre)

2.	Built up area	77200.73 sqm
3.	CLU	Valid up 03.08.2018
4.	Nos. of Towers	5 Blocks, GF + 03 Floors.
5.	Height	18.25 Meter
6.	Green belt	21.98%
7.	Water requirement	45 KLD
8.	Fresh Water	2 KLD
9.	Waste Water	8 KLD
10.	STP Capacity	10 KLD
11.	Power Requirement	4000 KVA DHBVN
12.	Solid Waste	75 kg/day
13.	ECS	619 ECS
14.	RWH	29 pits

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. Detailed discussions were held regarding water requirement, quality of water, green belt development plan, Ambient Air Quality, NOC regarding non-involvement of Forest land, recycle and reuse of water, parking plan, traffic circulation etc. It was observed by the Authority that:

**Form 1A**

- The details of back ground air quality levels with predicted values based on dispersion models taking into account the increased traffic generation not provided
- Secondly, the proposed project is of "Ware House", will result into increased movement of heavy vehicles and the dust particles will grind & regrind to give rise to increase in air pollution. What steps are being proposed & implemented to mitigate it?
- Submission under pt. 8.3 is to use "Fly-ash" for road construction. What types of the equipment are proposed to handle, store the same & to prevent the fugitive emission?
- Provide the details as asked under pt. 9.1,9.2,9.5 & 9.11

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit satisfactory reply to the above raised observation within 15 days period.

**ADDITIONAL AGENDA:-**

**Item No.[22] Request to transfer Environment Clearance granted in favour of M/s Pyramid Infratech Pvt. Ltd for development of Commercial Colony on an area of 3.15 acres at Village Hayatpur, Sector-93, Gurgaon in the name of M/s Ameya Commercial Projets Pvt. Ltd.**

The SEIAA vide letter dated 09.03.2017 granted Environment Clearance for the construction of Commercial colony on an area of 3.15 acres with (Total plot area 12,747.58 sq. Mtrs and proposed built-up area 38,848.677 sq. Mtrs) in the revenue estate of village Hayatpur, Sector -93, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Pyramid Infratech Pvt. Ltd, 374, UdyogVihar, Phase-4, Sector-18, Gurgaon, Haryana. The project proponent has requested to transfer the environment clearance in the name of M/s Ameya Commercial Projects Pvt. Ltd as the license pertaining to the project has been transferred in the name of M/s Ameya Commercial Projects Pvt. Ltd by Town & Country Planning Department, Haryana.

It is pertinent to mention here that SEIAA vide letter dated 10.03.2017 granted environment Clearance for the construction of Commercial Complex Colony on an area of 2.5 ( Total Plot area 10117.125 Sqm and Total Built-up area 32639.054 Sqm) acres in the revenue estate of village Mewaka, Sector-92, Gurgaon, Haryana to M/s Ameya Commercial Projects Pvt. Ltd. The Total Plot area of the both project is  $12747.58+10117.125=22864.705$  Sqm. and the total built-up area is  $38,848.677+32639.054=71487.731$  Sqm.

As per para 11 of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 there is provision for the transfer of the Environment Clearance letter. The relevant para of EIA Notification is reproduced is as under:-

**11. Transferability of Environmental Clearance (EC):**

A prior environmental clearance granted for a specific project or activity to an applicant may be transferred during its validity to another legal person entitled to undertake the project or activity on application by the transferor, or by the transferee with a written “no objection” by the transferor, to, and by the regulatory authority concerned, on the same terms and conditions under which the prior environmental clearance was initially granted, and for the same validity period. No reference to the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned is necessary in such cases.

The case has been taken up in the today’s meeting and the authority decided to Sh. Hitender Singh, Sh. S. N. Mishra, and Sh. R. K. Sapra Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection and shall submit the ascertain facts of the project within 15 days period.

\*\*\*\*\*