201st meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee for Projects related to Infrastructure Development, Industrial estate/parks/complexes/areas, Export Processing Zones, Special Economic Zones, Biotech Parks, Leather Complexes and National Highways projects to be held on 1st November, 2018 at Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi.

Time: 10:00 AM

1

Г

- 1. Opening remarks of the Chairman.
- 2. Confirmation of the minutes of the 199th meeting held on 15th October, 2018 in the Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi.
- 3. Consideration of Proposals:

3.1	Expansion of notified Multi-product SEZ by adding 1840 Ha notified SEZ with existing approved area of 6641.2784 ha. to make it 8481.2784 ha at Mundra by M/s Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Ltd Further consideration for Environmental and CRZ Clearance.
	[Proposal No. IA/GJ/MIS/75351/2018] [F. No. 10-138/2008-IA.III]
3.1.1	The proposal for amendment was first considered during 191 st meeting of EAC. The details of the proposal, as per the documents submitted by the project proponent and also as informed during the above said EAC meeting along with EIA Consultant M/s Aditya Environmental Services Private Limited, Mumbai, are reported to be as
	 under: (i) Expansion of notified Multi-product SEZ by adding 1840 ha notified SEZ with existing approved area of 6641.2784 ha to make it 8481.2784 ha at Mundra by APSEZ. The project is located in Village Mundra, Tehsil Mundra, District Kutch, Gujarat. (ii) The proposed project envisages addition of 1840 ha notified SEZ in existing approved area of 6641.2784 ha to make it 8481.2784 ha. (i.e. expansion proposal).1840 ha land proposed for the project is diverted for development of SEZ by MoCl vide their Notification dated 11.12.2015. (iii) Facilities like Processing Zones, Non-processing Zones, Warehousing Zones, Road Network (Trunk as well as Internal), Bridges or Culverts over natural drain, Rail Network, IT-Telecommunication Network, Effluent
	 Collection Network and Utilities & Supporting Infrastructure will be developed within the proposed project area. (iv) Whether the project is in a Critically Polluted Area: No (v) Details of Tor and EC issued under EIA Notification, 2006: ToR was issued on31stMarch, 2009. Public Hearing was conducted on5th October, 2010 at Ganesh temple, Luni, Mundra, Kachchh, Gujarat. Major issues include Legal status of land and location of the project site, blockage of creeks/CRZ area, destruction of vegetation including mangroves, effects on fishermen livelihood, effects on agriculture/farmers/animal husbandry,

possibility of environmental pollution, environmental monitoring, and employment generation.

The Expert Appraisal Committee considered the proposal in its meetings dated 16th April, 2012 (111th meeting), 4th June, 2012 (113th meeting) and 9th July, 2012 (114th meeting) and recommended for the grant of EC & CRZ clearance to Multi-product SEZ spread over an area of 8481.2784 ha. However, the Ministry issued EC for 6481.2784 ha only after reducing 1840 ha, vide letter no. 10-138/2008-IA.III dated 15thJuly, 2018.

- (vi) If the Project involves diversion of forest land: Forest Clearance for the proposed project area, i.e., 1840 ha was obtained vide MoEF&CC's letter No. 8-2/1999-FC (pt.) dated 30th September, 2009.
- (vii) **If the project falls within 10 km of eco-sensitive zone:** Not applicable as there is no eco-sensitive area within 10 km of the project site.
- (viii) Water requirement, source, status of clearance: Water requirement for the proposed project will be to the tune of 95 MLD. Source of water will be desalination plant / Narmada water supplied through APSEZ's utility division. Requisite permissions for the desalination plant are already obtained by APSEZ. No groundwater will be extracted for the proposed project.
- (ix) Waste water quantity, treatment capacity detail: Sewage and effluent generated will be treated in decentralized STPs (total capacity of 62 MLD) and decentralized CETPs (total capacity of 67 MLD) respectively. Permissions for mentioned capacities of STP and CETP are already obtained as part of the EC and CRZ clearance for the existing Multi-product SEZ project (6641.2784 ha).
- (x) Recycling / reuse of treated water and disposal: Treated water will be utilized for development of greenbelt within the project site.
- (xi) Solid waste management: Municipal wastes in the form of canteen wastes will be converted to manure. Other solid wastes such as papers, plastic, cardboard etc. will be segregated and send to authorised recyclers. Disposal of municipal solid waste will be carried out as per prevailing norms.
- (xii) Hazardous waste management: Hazardous waste generated will be disposed through authorized vendors / registered recyclers under the preview of Hazardous and other wastes (management and transboundary movement) rules, 2016.

(xiii) If the Project is in CRZ Area:

- Components in CRZ Area: Only activities permitted within the CRZ area (as per the CRZ notification 2011 and its subsequent amendments) will be carried out after obtaining due approvals. No other activities will be carried out within the CRZ areas.
- Recommendation of Coastal Zone Management Authority: CRZ recommendation for the entire area of 8481.2784 ha (including the sea water intake and outfall facility) is obtained from GCZMA vide their letter no. ENV-10-2010-1601-E dated 27.03.2012. Further, recommendation for addition of 1840 ha land into presently approved SEZ area of 6641.2784 ha (so that total is 8481.2784 ha) is also obtained from GCZMA vide their letter no. ENV-10-2010-1601-E dated 04.01.2017.
 - Layout on CRZ Map of 1:4000 scale prepared by authorised agency:

(xiv) (xv) (xvi) (xvii)	MoEF Cost of th Employm Benefits Infrastruct	maps in the respective scale ar &CC as per the requirements. The Project: Estimated cost of the pro- tent potential: 75,500. The project : Economic development ture development in the region. Egarding pending court cases:	oject is INF	R 5105 Crore.
Sr no	Case reference	Matter description	Present status	Remarks
1	Supreme Court of India SLP (c) No.1526 of 2014	Appeal has been filed by the Company and 12 units against the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court order in relation to 12 units in Mundra SEZ. Public Interest Litigation was filed by Mr. Gajubha Bhimaji Jadeja and others before the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court alleging that 12 units in Mundra SEZ have continued their operational and construction activities though Mundra SEZ has not been granted the environmental clearance. The Hon'ble Gujarat High Court disposed of the petition in January, 2014 and directed MoEF&CC to consider grant of environmental clearance to Mundra SEZ within a period of 30 days and also ordered that in the meantime, no activities can be carried out by the 12 units in SEZ.	Pending	MoEF&CC granted the Environmental and CRZ clearance to Multiproduct SEZ on 15.07.2014.
2	Supreme Court of India SLP (c) No. 28788 of 2016	Appeal against the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court order in relation to ship recycling project. Public Interest Litigation was filed before the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court by Mr. Pravinsingh Bhurubha Chauhan alleging that though no clearance is granted to ship recycling project, work has been undertaken in this regard. It also alleged that the site for ship recycling project falls in area having sand dunes which is not allotted to APSEZ. The Regional Officer of MoEF&CC visited the site and filed an affidavit stating that:	Pending	The Hon'ble Supreme Court in October, 2017 requested the Sunita Narain Committee to look into the matter once again and report at the earliest with regard to the levelling of sand dunes especially with regard to the Port facilities in

			 (a) No work has been undertaken for ship recycling project; (b) Ship recycling project is not part of area containing alleged sand dunes; (c) The area is sandy and is part of 1840 ha of forest land allotted to APSEZ. 		Mundra District. The Committee has filed its report to the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
			2015.		
3.1.2	The E	AC has not	ed the following:		
	(i)	the owner 114th mee on 8481.2 and Indus related to	et proposal was for 8481.2784 ha are ship. The project was considered ar eting for Environmental and CRZ C 784 ha area on 09.07.2012. Howe tries (MoCl) had denotified 1840 h contiguity. Hence, Environmental 4 – 1840) was issued on 15th July, 2	nd recomme learance fo ver, the Mir a of land o Clearance	ended by EAC in its or Multiproduct SEZ nistry of Commerce on account of issue
	(ii)		Commerce and Industries (MoCI) and dated 11.12.2015. Therefore, the rea.		
	(iii)	09.07.201	onent requested that the EAC had al 2 for the entire 8481.2784 ha are ts denotification, the proponent ha ea.	a and that	the MoClhas now
	(iv)	The EIA a	nd Public hearing were conducted for	or entire 848	81.2784 area.
	(v)	MoCI vide preparatio obtaining 2006, CR2	and proposed for the project is notified their Notification dated 11.12.2015 n of EIA report, Public Hearing, CRZ recommendation were carried Z notification 2011 and their subsequ 8481.2784 ha.	5. All the p preparation out as per	rocedures including on of CRZ maps, the EIA notification
	(vi)	Zones, Ro natural d Network, Collection	like Processing Zones, Non-proc oad Network (Trunk as well as Inte rain, Rail Network, IT-Telecomr Water Supply, Conservation & Network and Utilities & Supporting I proposed project area.	rnal), Bridg nunication Drainage	es or Culverts over Network, Electric Network, Effluent
	(∨ii)	does not	no change in the original project part require any additional resource of mponent and to expand the capa its.	consumptio	n, addition of new
	(viii)		ion Action Plans for Kotdi I and II cre vinal and Bocha creeks are in place		limata creek, Bocha

3.1.3	The EAC, after a detailed deliberation, during 191 st meeting held on 25 th June, 2018, <i>recommended for submission of Environmental Management Plan (EMP)</i> for further consideration. The EAC also recommended for exemption of the Public Hearing in view of the fact the PH has already been held for the entire project area. The Environmental Management Plan EMP may cover following issues:				
	(a)	Details of activities already comp and yet to be taken up with a layou	•		
	(b)	Activities proposed under expansion	on (1840 ha) w	ith layout plan	
	(c)	Green belt demarcation with laycareas.	out maps in ex	kisting as wel	as proposed
	(d)	Ambient air, water, Soil and noise well as in proposed area.	quality monito	oring data of e	exiting area as
	(e)	Effluent emission data (flow and co	oncentration) fr	om operationa	al units.
	(f)	Predicted values of air quality with in existing and proposed areas.	n respect to up	pcoming and p	proposed units
	(g)	Marine environment manageme mangroves.	nt plan with	focus on	mudflats and
	(h)	Fund allocation for Corporate Er made as per Ministry's O.M. No. various activities therein.			
3.1.4	•	project proponent presented followir ing on 30-31 August, 2018:	ng information	before EAC d	uring its 195 th
	(i)	1840 ha land (present proposal) is r of 6641.2784 ha.	notified & conti	guous to the a	pproved SEZ
	(ii)	1840 ha area is a forest land which clearance was obtained vide MoEF		• •	
	• •	There are no settlements in the proof R & R plan.	esent land her	nce there is no	o requirement
		Necessary permissions for STP/CE area of 8481.2784 are already obt Hence no new proposal for the wate	tained (as part	of EC dated	15.07.2014).
		Source and quantum of water & po of 8481.2784 will remain unchange additional resource consumption is	d as per the o		
	(vi)	Landuse of existing SEZ and propo	sed expansion	area:	
	SI.	Development Zone	6641.3 Ha	1840.0 Ha*	8481.3 Ha
	no		Area in Ha	Area in Ha	Area in Ha
			(%)	(%)	(%)
	1	Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring	645.7	202.4	848.1
		waterfront)	9.7%	11%	10%
	2	Industrial Zone and Power Generation Warehousing & CFS	3029 45.6%	1227.3 66.7%	4256.3 50.2%
		Zone	-0.070	00.770	00.270

3	Open/Green Spaces	390	97.5	487.5
				5.7%
4	Social Infrastructure	325	0	325
		4.9%	0	3.8%
5		2251.5	312.8	2564.3
	Iransportation	33.9%	17%	30.2%
(vii)	along with lay out plan of proposed Also provided the details of water to various operational units.	1840 ha for fu treatment facili	irther addition ties (STPs an	to SEZ area. d CETPs) for
(VIII)	•		•	well) and soll
(ix)				in respect of
(x)	Management (NCSCM), Chennai mangrove cover between 2011 a	showing an i nd 2016. Als	ncrease of 24 so provided t	46 ha in the he details of
(xi)	Proponent also provided details o area.	f green belt a	long with map	o in the SEZ
		uring 195 th mee	eting held on 3	30-31 August,
(i)	Details of industrial activities with an	ea of land be p	provided.	
(ii)	Details of storage facilities at port be	e provided.		
(iii)				and 24 hours
(iv)	• •	•	• ·	
(v)	increase of 246 ha. However, rece	ent assessmer	nt using latest	data has not
(vi)	Detailed planning of CER as per provided.	Ministry's O.M	1. dated 1 st N	lay, 2018 be
ماند مرا	w of above, the EAC, after a detail	ed deliberatior	during 195 th	meeting held
on 30	D-31 August, 2018, <i>deferred the pro</i> er consideration:		of following in	nformation for
	(vii) (viii) (viii) (ix) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (ii) (ii	 5 Facilities/ Amenities & Utilities Transportation (vii) Provided a list of 41 industrial unit along with lay out plan of proposed Also provided the details of water to various operational units. (viii) Provided data for monitoring of air during period between October, 207 (ix) Predicted air quality scenario for Pl all approved and proposed develop (x) Provided details of study byNa Management (NCSCM), Chennai mangrove cover between 2011 a various measures being undertake associated creeks. (xi) Proponent also provided details o area. The EAC, after a detailed deliberation du 2018, has observed the following: (i) Details of industrial activities with an (ii) Details of storage facilities at port bo (iii) Annual average data of air quality average data at existing monitoring (iv) The soil is highly contaminated di heavy metals and committee desire (v) Extent of Mangrove cover compara- increase of 246 ha. However, recu- been carried out to know the cu- mangrove cover post 2016. (vi) Detailed planning of CER as per provided. 	4 Social Infrastructure 5.9% 4 Social Infrastructure 325 4.9% 5 Facilities/ Amenities & Utilities 2251.5 Transportation 33.9% (vii) Provided a list of 41 industrial units presently rur along with lay out plan of proposed 1840 ha for fu Also provided the details of water treatment facilit various operational units. (viii) Provided data for monitoring of air quality, groun during period between October, 2017 and March, 3 (ix) Predicted air quality scenario for PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} , all approved and proposed development till year 2 (x) Provided details of study byNational Centre Management (NCSCM), Chennai showing an immangrove cover between 2011 and 2016. Als various measures being undertaken for the constassociated creeks. (xi) Proponent also provided details of green belt a area. The EAC, after a detailed deliberation during 195 th mee 2018, has observed the following: (i) Details of storage facilities at port be provided. (iii) Annual average data of air quality based on 104 average data at existing monitoring stations be provided. (iv) The soil is highly contaminated due to presence heavy metals and committee desired to know the set of increase of 246 ha. However, recent assessmer been carried out to know the current status ar mangrove cover post 2016. (vi) Detailed planning of CER as per Ministr	5.9% 5.3% 4 Social Infrastructure 325 0 5 Facilities/ Amenities & Utilities 2251.5 312.8 Transportation 33.9% 17% (vii) Provided a list of 41 industrial units presently running or underalong with lay out plan of proposed 1840 ha for further addition Also provided the details of water treatment facilities (STPs an various operational units. (viii) Provided data for monitoring of air quality, groundwater (bore during period between October, 2017 and March, 2018. (ix) Predicted air quality scenario for PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} , SO ₂ and NO ₂ all approved and proposed development till year 2030. (x) Provided details of study byNational Centre for Sustain Management (NCSCM), Chennai showing an increase of 2-mangrove cover between 2011 and 2016. Also provided t various measures being undertaken for the conservation of m associated creeks. (xi) Proponent also provided details of green belt along with magarea. The EAC, after a detailed deliberation during 195 th meeting held on 3 2018, has observed the following: (ii) Details of storage facilities at port be provided. (iii) Annual average data of air quality based on 104 observations average data at existing monitoring stations be provided. (iv) The soil is highly contaminated due to presence of high qua heavy metals and committee desired to

 (ii) The comparative statement of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) Revised green-belt development plan be submitted. Green buffer in the form of Green belt to a width 15 m should be provided all along the periphery of the proposed Industrial Area. The individual units should keep 33% of allotted area as green belt. (iv) Details of storage facilities at port and also mention the amount of coal, liquid etc. to be stored be provided. (v) The annual average data as well as 24 hours average data for air quality monitoring at existing monitoring stations be provided. (vi) Detailed study to understand the causes and potential mitigation measures to reduce the contamination from soil due to heavy metals needs be carried out. (vii) Study the mangrove cover after SEZ became operational (using pre-SEZ baseline data) andprovide evidence of impact (positive or negative) on mangroves. (viii) Detailed activity wise plan of CER as per Ministry's O.M. dated 1st May, 2018 be provided. 3.1.7 During the 201stmeeting held on 1st November, 2018, following information were presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: Si. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring 187.22 Maerhousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 1 TOTAL AREA 184.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose direments at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbet					
of Green belt to a width 15 m should be provided all along the periphery of the proposed Industrial Area. The individual units should keep 33% of allotted area as green belt. (iv) Details of storage facilities at port and also mention the amount of coal, liquid etc. to be stored be provided. (v) The annual average data as well as 24 hours average data for air quality monitoring at existing monitoring stations be provided. (vi) Detailed study to understand the causes and potential mitigation measures to reduce the contamination from soil due to heavy metals needs be carried out. (vii) Study the mangrove cover after SEZ became operational (using pre-SEZ baseline data) andprovide evidence of impact (positive or negative) on mangroves. (viii) Detailed activity wise plan of CER as per Ministry's O.M. dated 1 st May, 2018 be provided. 3.1.7 During the 201 st meeting held on 1 st November, 2018, following information were presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: SI. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring 187.22 waterfort) 24.15 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 38.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Oper/Green Spaces 607.00 6 <td< th=""><th></th><th>(ii)</th><th></th><th></th><th>C application of</th></td<>		(ii)			C application of
etc. to be stored be provided. (v) The annual average data as well as 24 hours average data for air quality monitoring at existing monitoring stations be provided. (vi) Detailed study to understand the causes and potential mitigation measures to reduce the contamination from soil due to heavy metals needs be carried out. (vii) Detailed study to understand the causes and potential mitigation measures to reduce the contamination from soil due to heavy metals needs be carried out. (viii) Study the mangrove cover after SEZ became operational (using pre-SEZ beaseline data) andprovide evidence of impact (positive or negative) on mangroves. (viii) Detailed activity wise plan of CER as per Ministry's O.M. dated 1 st May, 2018 be provided. 3.1.7 During the 201 st meeting held on 1 st November, 2018, following information were presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: SI. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring waterfront) 187.22 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 3 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 <tr< td=""><th></th><td>(iii)</td><td>of (the</td><td>Green belt to a width 15 m should be provided all along t proposed Industrial Area. The individual units should</td><td>he periphery of</td></tr<>		(iii)	of (the	Green belt to a width 15 m should be provided all along t proposed Industrial Area. The individual units should	he periphery of
monitoring at existing monitoring stations be provided. (vi) Detailed study to understand the causes and potential mitigation measures to reduce the contamination from soil due to heavy metals needs be carried out. (vii) Study the mangrove cover after SEZ became operational (using pre-SEZ baseline data) andprovide evidence of impact (positive or negative) on mangroves. (viii) Detailed activity wise plan of CER as per Ministry's O.M. dated 1 st May, 2018 be provided. 3.1.7 During the 201 st meeting held on 1 st November, 2018, following information were presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: SI. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring waterfront) 187.22 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 978.64 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of cararying out the impact assessment studies. Ac		(iv)		-	nt of coal, liquid
reduce the contamination from soil due to heavy metals needs be carried out. (vii) Study the mangrove cover after SEZ became operational (using pre-SEZ baseline data) andprovide evidence of impact (positive or negative) on mangroves. (viii) Detailed activity wise plan of CER as per Ministry's O.M. dated 1 st May, 2018 be provided. 3.1.7 During the 201 st meeting held on 1 st November, 2018, following information were presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: SI. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring waterfront) 187.22 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light gr8.64 Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 978.64 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 1 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha ((v)			a for air quality
baseline data) andprovide evidence of impact (positive or negative) on mangroves. (viii) Detailed activity wise plan of CER as per Ministry's O.M. dated 1 st May, 2018 be provided. 3.1.7 During the 201 st meeting held on 1 st November, 2018, following information were presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: SI. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring 187.22 waterfront) 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) There belt plan is revised as per the direction of the E		(vi)			
3.1.7 During the 201 st meeting held on 1 st November, 2018, following information were presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: St. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring 187.22 waterfront) 187.22 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light 978.64 Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 978.64 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		(vii)	bas	eline data) andprovide evidence of impact (positive o	
presented before the EAC: (i) The landuse breakup showing various Developmental zones within the proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under: SI. No. Development Zone Area (ha) 1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring user front) 187.22 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 978.64 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		(viii)			d 1 st May, 2018
proposed additional area (1840 ha) is as under:SI. No.Development ZoneArea (ha)1Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring waterfront)187.222Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement)978.643Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone88.334Green/Renewable Energy24.155Open/Green Spaces607.006Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation256.20TOTAL AREA1840.00Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the 	3.1.7		•		formation were
1 Port backup and Related Industrial Developments (Requiring waterfront) 187.22 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 978.64 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		(i)			nes within the
waterfront) 2 Industrial Zone (Chemical, Textile & Apparel, Heavy/Light Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 978.64 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		SI.	No.	Development Zone	Area (ha)
Engineering, Plastic, Cement) 3 Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone 88.33 4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		1			187.22
4 Green/Renewable Energy 24.15 5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		2	2		978.64
5 Open/Green Spaces 607.00 6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		3	8	Warehousing & Container Freight Station (CFS) Zone	88.33
6 Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation 256.20 TOTAL AREA 1840.00 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		4		Green/Renewable Energy	24.15
TOTAL AREA1840.00Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%)(ii)There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in 		5	5	Open/Green Spaces	607.00
 Note: (1) This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the purpose of carrying out the impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above). 		6	5	Facilities / Amenities & Utilities / Transportation	256.20
 impact assessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requirements at the time of detail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt by APSEZ = 305 ha (16.6%) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) (ii) There is no change in the proposal in respect of industries proposed in earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. (iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above). 				TOTAL AREA	1840.00
earlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application.(iii) The green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC (mentioned in table given above).		Note	. (1)	This is an illustrative and tentative planning for the number of	
table given above).		impa time	ct as of de	sessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the req tail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt	uirements at the
(iv) Details of storage capacity at port is given in the following table:		impa time ha (1	ct as of de 6.6% Th	sessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the requestail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt is and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) there is no change in the proposal in respect of industries	uirements at the by APSEZ = 305
		impa time ha (1 (ii)	ct as of de 6.6% Th ea Th	sessment studies. Actual planning may differ based on the req stail engineering and detailed planning. (2) Proposed greenbelt b) and by industrial units = 302 ha (16.4%) here is no change in the proposal in respect of industrie arlier EC application of 1840 ha and in present application. he green belt plan is revised as per the direction of the EAC	uirements at the by APSEZ = 305 es proposed in

(Cargo	Storage area(ha)	Storage capacity (Mill. Tonnes)	Handling capacity (MMTPA)
(Coal	93.6	2.62	28.08
I	Liquid	74.9	0.97	11.98
I	Dry bulk	18.7	0.52	5.61
-	Total	187.2	4.11	45.67

(v) The EAC was also informed that impact assessment and related mitigation measures for cargo handling and storage activities were already covered as part of EIA study. A copy of Cumulative Impact Assessment study, covering the development activities at Mundra, has already been provided to all committee members vide their e-mail dated 17.10.2018. A hard copy of the report was also submitted to the Ministry.

(vi) In respect of high quantity of heavy metals in soil, it was informed that dredged material naturally contains certain concentration of heavy metals. The said dredged material was used for level raising within SEZ areas hence soil samples show higher levels of heavy metals. However, the soil quality from surrounding villages is intact and does not show any contamination of heavy metals. As per TCLP analysis, all the parameters of leachate are well within the prescribed limits as per Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management & Transboundary Movement) Rules – 2016.

(vii) Mangrove cover has been increased by 281 ha from year 2011 to year 2018. The status of Mangrove cover, as provided by the project proponent, is as under:

Category	2011	2018
Dense	382	643
Sparse	590	906
Scattered	1122	826
Total	2094	2375

 (viii) Detailed activity wise CER planning as per Ministry's O.M. dated 1st May, 2018 as provided by the proponent is as under:

SI. No.	Core Area	Fund provision (Rs. In Lakh)
1	Biodiversity	152
2	Water Conservation and Water Recharge Projects	380
3	Fisherman alternate livelihood	125
4	Women Empowerment Project to promote entrepreneurship	84
5	Agriculture Promotion	233
6	Community Resource Center/ Training Center	275
TOTAL		1249

(ix) No CETP is proposed.

- **3.1.8** The EAC, after a detailed deliberation during 201st meeting held on 1st November, 2018, has observed the following:
 - Details of industrial activities with area of land indicated in layout plan was provided as desired by the EAC during its 195th meeting held on 30-31 August, 2018.
 - (ii) Generic Risk Assessment was carried out for Natural gas and Light Diesel Oil as part of the EIA report prepared earlier for the entire area. But the proponent has not indicated the liquid storage other than Light Diesel Oil (LDO). Risk Assessment for liquid storage other than LDO has not been carried out.
 - (iii) Provided information about change in the mangrove cover with geocoordinates using satellite data of 2016-17 and 2018:

	Point	Co-or	dinates	Area in Ha	Status
	1	22°47'48.97"N	69°44'11.95"E	1.45	Growth
	2	22°47'44.36"N	69°43'50.74"E	6.6	Growth
	3	22°46'38.19"N	69°43'46.11"E	2.9	Reduction
	4	22°46'7.5"N	69°41'58.44"E	3.75	Growth
	5	22°44'55.56"N	69°42'53.38"E	0.36	Reduction
	6	22°45'52.43"N	69°40'4.02"E	7.6	Growth
	7	22°45'30.15"N	69°39'30.19"E	4.8	Growth
	8	22°45'1.59"N	69°39'49.92"E	2.84	Reduction
	9	22°45'18.62"N	69°38'54.16"E	1.8	Reduction
	10	22°45'26.74"N	69°38'37.14"E	9.1	Growth
	11	22°46'6.48"N	69°39'18.27"E	1.2	Growth
	12	22°46'5.45"N	69°39'33.18"E	1.6	Reduction
	13	22°46'10.15"N	69°39'45.96"E	2.5	Reduction
	14	22°45'49.75"N	69°39'21.36"E	1	Growth
	15	22°46'1.10"N	69°38'52.67"E	4.7	Growth
	16	22°46'32.56"N	69°39'16.91"E	6.7	Reduction
	17	22°47'3.79"N	69°38'44.13"E	4.8	Growth
	18	22°46'29.82"N	69°38'15.99"E	3	Growth
	19	22°46'19.73"N	69°37'38.90"E	6	Growth
(i∨) (∨)	industrial z The propo	nere is no buffer bo one. nent has submitteo ent Plan is lacking.	d the CER plan as	-	

3.1.9

notification S.O. 2501 (E) dated 12th October, 2012. In viewof this, MoEFCC granted Environmental Clearance to the said project for an area of 6641.2784 haonly (8481.2784 ha - 1840 ha) vide MoEFCC letter no. 10-138/2008-IA.III dated 15thJuly, 2014. The Ministry of Commerce and Industries (MoCI)had re-notified the de-notified portion of SEZ vide its notification S.O. 3029(E) dated 11th December, 2015.Upon request of the proponent, vide their online application no. IA/GJ/MIS/75351/2018 dated 8th June, 2018, the Ministry considered the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance for expansion of SEZ from 6681.2874 ha to 8481.2874 ha by including the earlier de-notified area of 1840 ha. The proposal was appraised by EAC in its 191st meeting held on 25th June, 2018, 195th Meeting on 30-31 August, 2018 and 201st meeting on 1st November, 2018. After detailed deliberation during 201st meeting on 1st November, 2018, the **EAC recommended** the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance for the proposed expansion of Multi-product SEZ Mundra by M/s APSEZ Ltd, with following specific conditions in addition to all conditions stipulated in earlier EC letter no. 10-138/2008-IA.III dated 15th July, 2014:

- (i) Total area of Multi-product SEZ run by APSEZ Limited will be 8481.2784 ha after this expansion. The geo-coordinates of the additionalpiece of land (1840 ha) are 22°47'35.41" 22°47'57.67"N and 69°40'6.15" 69°32'46.58"E.
- (ii) The proponent shall obtain, wherever applicable, separate Environmental Clearance including Risk Assessment for the Isolated Storage and Handling of Hazardous Chemicals under schedule 6(b) of the EIA Notification, 2006 and subsequent amendments thereto.
- (iii) The proponent shall prepare and implement Mangrove Conservation and Management Plan in consultation with the State Forest Department. This Plan shall be subject to monitoring by the third party. The implementation report and third party audit report be submitted to the Regional Office, MoEF&CC and the State Forest Department.
- (iv) All the recommendations and mitigation measures as proposed in the Cumulative Impact Assessment report of Waterfront, SEZ and ancillary Developments along Mundra, Kutch District, Gujarat shall be complied in letter and spirit. Proper record of monitoring should be placed along with six monthly compliance report.
- (v) This environmental clearance is only for the Multi-product SEZ. Any other activity within the Multi-product SEZ would require separate environmental clearance, as applicable under EIA Notification, 2006 and subsequent amendments. For all individual units, environmental clearances, as applicable, shall be obtained from the respective regulatory authorities.
- (vi) An Emergency Response Centre to be established to take care for prevention of and management of accidents, chemical spills etc. including that during transportation of chemicals with the arrangement of antidotes and necessary equipment.
- (vii) The project proponent shall ensure that the project is in consonance with the new Coastal Zone Management Plan prepared by the State Government

		under the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.
	(viii)	All the provisions of the CRZ Notification, 2011 and subsequent
	(VIII)	amendments shall be strictly complied with, and in case of any change in scope of work, necessary recommendations from the concerned CZMA shall be obtained for further consideration by the concerned regulatory authority.
	(ix)	The project proponent shall ensure that the project is in consonance with the new Coastal Zone Management Plan prepared by the State Government under the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.
	(x)	On the project site physical HTL demarcation has to be compulsorily made with the help of Government of India organizations/Institutions.
	(xi)	There shall be no disturbance to the sand dunes (Size and location latitude and longitude to be given).
	(xii)	No construction works other than those permitted in CRZ Notification shall be carried out in CRZ area.
	(xiii)	Non vegetated mudflats must be clearly demarcated on the map and no artificial plantation to be undertaken on non-vegetated mudflats.
	(xiv)	The temperature at the discharge point has to be monitored regularly and also the physico-chemical and biological parameters including benthic fauna and flora, primary and secondary productions as well as fishery populations has to be monitored regularly during the construction and operation phase by employing qualified persons.
	(xv)	The project proponent shall report to the State Pollution Control Board about the compliance of the prescribed standards for all discharges from the Industrial Area into the sea.
	(xvi)	As mentioned by the Proponent, no CETP is proposed, therefore not permitted.
	(xvii)	Periodic monitoring of coastal water shall be carried out at outfall location by the project proponent by establishing minimum 3 monitoring stations. Proper record of monitoring should be placed along with six monthly compliance report.
	(xviii)	Fund allocation of Rs. 12.50 Crore for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be madeas per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May, 2018 for variousactivities therein. The report having activity wise detail along with the time frame shall be submitted to this Ministry and itsconcerned regional office within 3 months.
	(xix)	The project proponent shall obtain all necessary clearance/permission from all relevant agencies including town planning authority before commencement of work. All the construction shall be done in accordance with the local building byelaws.
3.2	km) to km), נ	opment of 8-lane (Greenfield Highway) from of Banda Hera (Ch. 392.800 o Moondiya (Ch. 452.625 km) Section of NH-148N (Total length 59.625 under Bharatmala Pariyojana Lot-4/Pkg-4 in District Kota, Rajasthan by ational Highways Authority of India – Terms of Reference.
	[Prop	osal No. IA/RJ/MIS/75490/2018] [F. No. 10-76/2018-IA.III]

3.2.1		roject proponent along with the EIA consultant Feedback Infra Pvt. Ltd. made sentation and provided the following information to the Committee:
	(i)	The proposed project is a development of 8/6 lane access control expressway to improve the efficiency of freight movement under Bharatmala Pariyojana Section- 4 of Lot-4/Pkg-4. The proposed ROW is 100 m.
	(ii)	Location: The proposed Section- 4 traverses through Kota district in State of Rajasthan.
	(iii)	Land Acquisition & Proposed RoW: Approx. 596.25 ha land for proposed highway and about 101.00 ha land for rest area & other facilities.
	(iv)	Land use of the site and around the site up to 10 km radius: The land use pattern on 500 m either side of the project road is predominantly agriculture, barren land and followed by Forest Area.
	(v)	Rehabilitation involved, if any: the details of structures to be rehabilitated or resettled shall be provided in EIA report.
	(vi)	Justification for selection of the site: Three alignment options (3 Greenfield routes) were analyzed for the project and comparison has been drawn based on Techno-commercial characteristics (details provided in analysis of alternatives). Major technical aspects for comparative analysis were environment, social and design concepts. Efforts were made to avoid the forest, wildlife and settlement areas to the maximum extent possibilities. The proposed alignment has been selected due to the following reasons: -
	•	Minimum disturbance to the habitation areas Route selection in such a way, so that, forest area can be avoided to the maximum extent. Better connectivity to Kota district in the state of Rajasthan and major towns and connectivity with existing roads SH-9A, SH-51 and NH-12 The new access controlled green field national highway will pave the way for economic development of the region. Lesser the fuel consumption results in reduction of pollution level
	(vii)	Tree cutting, types, numbers, girth size etc.: Tree counting under progress, Trees are likely to be affected due to proposed development. Efforts will be made to minimize the trees loss by restricting tree cutting with formation width
	(viii)	Total water requirement and its source : Total requirement of water for construction will be estimated during the detail EIA study.
	(ix)	Waste water generation, treatment and disposal: 120.0 Kg/day (approximately) during construction phase and 32.0 kg/day (approximately) during operation phase.
	(x)	Water bodies, diversion if any: The project passes through 8 water bodies. 4 out of which are ponds and rest are irrigation canals.
	(xi)	Whether the project is in Critically Polluted area: No
	(xii)	Terrain, level with respect to MSL, requirement of filling if any: the proposed project traverses entirely through plain/rolling and mountainous terrain in Kota district of Rajasthan.
	(xiii)	Municipal solid waste generated disposal facility: Municipal solid waste shall be generated from the labour camps. The solid waste will be collected & disposed suitably in compost pit and / or transported in covered trucks at

approved municipal disposal sites through contractors.

- (xiv) Hazardous wastes (as per Hazardous Waste Management Rules): Spent oil from construction equipment / vehicles and DG sets generated during construction phase will be appropriately stored, handled and properly disposed of through approved vendors.
- (xv) National Park/ Wild Life Sanctuary in 10 km radius area & Eco-Sensitive Zone in 10 km radius area: The proposed alignment is passing through the Darrah Wildlife Sanctuary, Mukundra Hill National Park and Mukundara Hills Tiger Reserve.
- (xvi) If the project involves diversion of forest land, extend of the forest land: Tentative length of affected forest area along the proposed alignment is about 10.559 km with about 105.0 ha of affected forest area considering the RoW of 100 m. Chainage wise distribution of the forest area is given in table below:

S. N		orox. ge (Km)	Approx. Length *	Divisio	Forest	Forest Block	Status
о.	From	То	(Km)	n Name	Range		
1	404.281	405.00 0	0.72	Kota	Mandana	Mawasa Arandkheda Binyani A	Protected
2	405.000	414.84 0	9.84	Kota	Mandana	Barkalaji	Reserved

The forest diversion proposal for the project was done on 17th October, 2018 vide proposal no. FP/RJ/ROAD/36597/2018. The proposal is under examination. The tree enumeration shall be done after acceptance of the proposal and joint inspection by Forest Department.

- (xvii) Investment/Cost of the project: INR 2323 Cr.
- i. **Benefits of the project:** The project will provide a better connectivity to Kota district in the state of Rajasthan. It will gear up the economic growth of the region by providing time optimised reach to the wide market of Capital City. The project will provide direct employment during construction phase; and also temporary indirect employment for Vendors, suppliers, electricians, plumbers and other allied industries and permanent indirect employment during the operations phase. The implementation will result in employment generation for cleaners, guards, local vendors, kiosk operators, drivers, doctors etc.
- (xviii) **Employment potential:** Approx. 480 during construction and 130 (approx.) during Operation phase
- (xix) If any court case pending for violation of the environmental laws: No.
- **3.2.2** After detailed deliberation during 201st meeting on 1st November, 2018, the EAC observed that the proposed stretch is highly sensitive from ecological point of view. Therefore, EAC **recommended for a site visit by a sub-committee** of the EAC before the proposal is considered further.

List of the Members attended 201th meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee for Projects related to Infrastructure Development, Industrial Estate and Miscellaneous projects held on 1st November 2018 and approved the above minutes.

SI. No.	Name of the EAC member	Role/Designation	Signature
1.	Dr. Deepak Arun Apte, Director, Bombay Natural History Society (BNHS), Mumbai	Chairman	0
2.	Dr. Manoranjan Hota Former Advisor/Scientist-G, MoEF&CC	Chairman	P
3.	Dr. N.K. Verma, Former AD, CPCB, New Delhi	Member	Alen
4.	Dr. Anil Kumar Singh, IFS (Retd), Ex PCCF Assam, Tower F, Float No. 103 Grand Ajnara Heritage, Sector 74, Noida, UP	Member	AR
5.	Shri Prabhakar Singh, Special DG, CPWD, Delhi.	Member	
6.	Dr. Mohan Singh Panwar, Associate Professor , H.N.B Garhwarl Central University, Srinagar,	Member	
7.	Shri N.K. Gupta, Member (EAC), Scientist E & In-charge (ESS), Central Pollution Control Board,	Member	Chul
8.	Dr. D. Chakraborty, Scientist MoWR, RD & GR, New Delhi	Member	Any Tiper
9.	Smt. Bindu Manghat,Director Survey of India New Delhi	Member	
10.	Shri Raghu Kumar Kodali, Director/Scientist-F, IA-III Division, MoEF&CC	Member Secretary (Infra-1 EAC)	Reen
11.	Dr. Ashish Kumar, Joint Director, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change,	Member	Ashts.