

Minutes of the 90th meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority held on. 22.04.2016 under the Chairmanship Sh. Bharat Bhushan IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA held in the meeting room of office of SEIAA Haryana, Sector-2 Panchkula, regarding Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.9.2006.

The full Authority is present. At the outset the Authority discussed the issue regarding provision for adequate drinking water and sanitary facilities for construction workers at site. The Authority observed that as per the reports/complaints being received by SEIAA the project proponents are not providing sanitary facilities and not maintaining hygienic conditions for construction workers at site. It was found that most of the project proponents are not submitting the details regarding quantum of requirement of drinking water along with its source; waste water generation and its treatment at site in the labour camp area. In certain cases the project proponents are giving exaggerated values of drinking water requirement during construction phase; not matching with the labour employed, which can lead to misuse of potable water i.e for use in construction activities despite the imposition of blanket ban on the use of potable water/ground water for construction purposes. It was felt by the Authority that to examine the impact of project activity during construction phase on water regime, this information is of vital importance as project proponents are required to provide sufficient drinking water and also the provision for treatment of waste water. Accordingly it was decided that the project proponents may be advised to furnish the additional information as given under:

1. The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculation of drinking water requirement and its source for construction workers.
2. The project proponent shall submit the detail calculation of waste water generation during construction phase in the labour camp area and also the treatment of waste water. The project proponent shall provide STP for treatment of waste water if the waste water generation is 10 KLD and above.

It was also decided to incorporate the above requirement in the check list and upload the modified check list on Haryana Portal. Thereafter the Cases recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise as listed in the Agenda item circulated vide letter No. 252-254 dated 12.04.2016 were discussed. Following decisions were taken:-

Item No.[1] Environmental Clearance for proposed commercial colony INXT CITY CENTER at village Shikopur, Sector-83, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Trishul Industries.

This case was lastly considered in the 83rd Meeting of SEIAA held on 28.09.2015 and the case was referred back to SEAC for reconsideration with the following observations.

- (i) The project proponent has not submitted assurance of water supply from competent authority and shall obtain the same and submit the same to SEAC.

- (ii) The project proponent has not submitted assurance of power supply from competent authority and shall obtain the same and submit the same to SEAC.
- (iii) The project proponent shall obtain copy of valid accreditation certificate issued by NABET/QCI in respect of EIA consultant engaged by them and submit the same to the SEAC.
- (iv) The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.
- (v) The project proponent shall submit latest undertaking declaring that no construction has been started at the site along with photograph to the SEAC.
- (vi) The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.

The SEAC in its 129th meeting held on 14.03.2016 considered the reply dated 22.12.2015 and the same was found in order. The SEAC has again recommended this case for Environmental Clearance. The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. It was found that the project proponent has not given detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. It was also observed that in the solid waste management plan the project proponent has proposed to use empty cement bags for making of road; the project proponent is required to explain the same with proper justification. It was noticed that in the water balance diagram the project proponent has proposed to use 133 KLD of treated water for HVAC make-up; the project proponent is required to justify the same.

After detailed deliberation, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC to accord Environment Clearance to this project by imposing the conditions subject to the satisfactory submission of the following information within 15 days.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. Provision for STP/Mobile STP in case waste water generation is 10 KLD and above.
- [2] The project proponent shall explain with proper justification about the use of empty cement bag for making of road.
- [3] The project proponent shall justify with authenticated calculation the use of 133 KLD for HVAC make-up.

Item No.[2] Environmental Clearance for the Project “Vatika Express City” Residential plotted Colony on total land measuring 100.875 acres located in the revenue estate of village Harsaru, Hayatpur, Sector-88A and 88B, Distt-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Vatika Ltd.

This case was lastly considered in the 83rd Meeting of SEIAA held on 28.09.2015 and the case was referred back to SEAC for reconsideration with the following observations.

- (i) The project proponent shall submit self contained note with authenticated proof about the shifting of HT lines and showing that the vacant unplanned pockets in the licensed area are actually affected by the existence of HT lines. The proposed plan of the said un planned pocket areas, once HT lines are shifted.
- (ii) The project proponent shall submit revised green belt development plan earmarking space of make provision for green-park for the residents as per assurance given in the meeting.
- (iii) The project proponent shall provide Old age home on 0.25 acre of licensed area and shall submit a plan.
- (iv) The project proponent shall submit dual plumbing plan showing layout of infrastructure facilities without crossing revenue rasta.

The SEAC in its 129th meeting held on 14.03.2016 considered the reply dated 24.11.2015 and the same was found in order. The SEAC has again recommended this case for Environmental Clearance. The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today’s meeting. It was found that the project proponent has not given detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. It was also observed that in the solid waste management plan the project proponent has proposed to use empty cement bags for making of road; the project proponent is required to explain the same with proper justification. It was noticed that in the water balance diagram the project proponent has proposed to use 03 KLD of treated water for DG set cooling; the project proponent is required to justify the same.

After detailed deliberation, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC to accord Environment Clearance to this project by imposing the conditions subject to satisfactory submission of the following information within 15 days.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase.
- [2] The project proponent shall explain with proper justification about the use of empty cement bag for making of road.

- [3] The project proponent shall justify with authenticated calculation the use of 03 KLD of treated water for DG set cooling.

Item No.[3] Environmental Clearance for construction of Affordable Group Housing Colony “Happy Homes” located in the revenue estate of Village Budena, Sector-86, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Auric Homes Pvt. Ltd.

This case was lastly considered in the 84th meeting of SEIAA held on 29.10.2015 and the case was referred back to SEAC for reconsideration with the following observations.

- (i) The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste. The project proponent shall submit the plan showing area ear marked for composting.
- (ii) The project proponent shall submit latest undertaking on non judicial stamp paper stating that no construction has been raised at site duly signed by the director. The project proponent shall also submit latest photograph of site.
- (iii) The project proponent shall submit solar path analysis.

The SEAC in its 129th meeting held on 15.03.2016 considered the reply dated 24.02.2016 wherein the project proponent claimed deemed clearance under para 8 of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 and decided that the subject matter regarding deemed clearance does not fall under the preview of SEAC and referred the case to SEIAA for taking decision.

In the today's meeting the letter dated 15.03.2016 claiming deemed clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 was taken up for consideration along with the copy of reply to the above observations. It was noticed that the project proponent informed that their project proposal was recommended for environmental clearance by SEAC in its 118th meeting held on 03.03.2015 and no decision was conveyed to them within 45 days after the recommendation of SEAC and as such their project proposal becomes deemed cleared. It was also noticed that the project proponent has submitted an undertaking stating that the construction activity at the site was started in June 2015 which shows that project proponent started the construction after the expiry of 45 days from the date of recommendation of SEAC but failed to submit any authenticated proof in support of his contention. It was also observed that in the solid waste management plan the project proponent has proposed to use empty cement bags for making of road; the project proponent is required to explain the same with proper justification.

In view of the above the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting with the decision that the project proponent shall submit the following information within 15 days.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit copy of authenticated document establishing that the construction activity was undertaken after the expiry of 45 days of recommendation of SEAC.
- [2] The project proponent shall explain with proper justification about the use of empty cement bag for making of road.

Item No.[4] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Project at Village Khanpur and Kawarsika, Sector-17, Sohna, Tehsil Nuh, Mewat, Haryana by M/s Goldsouk Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The SEAC in its 118th meeting held on 03 & 04 March 2015 has recommended the project for environmental clearance. The recommendation of SEAC could not be taken up by SEIAA due to expiry of its term in March 2015. The case file was transferred to MoEF, GoI for further action. The recommendation of SEAC was considered by MoEF & CC, GoI and it was observed that:

- [1] There is no clarity on source of water supply during operational phase of the project, and its sustainability. In case of ground water withdrawal, the project needs to be examined by CGWA vis-à-vis cumulative water withdrawal and the recharging/replenishment in the area.
- [2] For surface water availability, the PP needs to provide firm commitment from HUDA, considering overall water balance of the area.
- [3] Impact on ambient air quality due to increased traffic volume needs to be evaluated.
- [4] The project site has to be in conformity with the land use as per the regional plan approved by NCR Planning Board the PP needs to provide necessary confirmation in this regard.
- [5] It is not clear from the documents submitted by the Project Proponent whether the project is in sewerage area or unsewered area. If it is in unsewered area then how the disposal of treated effluent especially in rainy season is proposed.

The project proponent vide letter dated 30.10.2015 submitted the reply which was taken up by SEAC in its 123rd meeting held on 10.12.2015. The SEAC observed that the project proponent has failed to submit the reply in respect of Sr. No. 2 above and advised the project proponent to submit the complete reply. The project proponent vide letter dated 04.01.2016 submitted the reply which was again considered by SEAC in its 129th meeting held on 15.03.2016 and the same was found in order. The SEAC has again recommended this case for Environmental Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration along with copy of the reply submitted by the project proponent in the today's meeting. The Authority after discussions observed that:

- [1] The project proponent was asked to submit reply with respect to observation "Impact on ambient air quality due to increased traffic volume needs to be evaluated" whereas the project proponent has given a vague reply. The project proponent is required to give the values of existing AAQ of the project area, predicted values of AAQ due to increased traffic volume and detailed mitigation measures to be adopted for management of environment.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. Provision for STP in case the waste water generation is 10 KLD or above.
- [3] The project proponent has proposed to supply 182 KLD to water tankers for construction purpose. The project proponent is required to justify the same specifically mentioning the requirement of number of tankers per day and the impact of the same on AAQ due to movement of tankers carrying vehicles and mitigation measures.

In view of the above it was decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-examine the project proposal on the observations as raised above by the Authority and send its recommendations on merit within stipulated period as prescribed in the notification.

Item No.[5] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Colony measuring 13.2118 Acres at Village Badshahpur, Sector-68, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Hans Propcon Pvt. Ltd.

This case was lastly considered in the 84th meeting of SEIAA held on 29.10.2015 and the case was referred back to SEAC for reconsideration with the following observations.

1. Since the height of building is 94.20 meter hence clearance from the recognize institution like ITES/PEC, Regional Engineering College/National Institute of Technology etc regarding structural stability and for fire safety clearance from institute of fire engineers, Nagpur is required. The project proponent shall obtain the same and submit to the SEAC.
2. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.

3. As per point no. 31(vi) of checklist, the project proponent is required to submit an undertaking stating that:

“Provision for Helipad shall be made as the height of building is more than 60 meter. Provision of at least one Hydraulic ladder for high rise building shall also be made”.

4. The project proponent shall submit latest undertaking on non judicial stamp paper stating that no construction has been raised at site duly signed by the director. The project proponent shall also submit latest photograph of site.

The SEAC in its 129th meeting held on 15.03.2016 considered the reply dated 03.12.2015 and the same was found in order. The SEAC has again recommended this case for Environmental Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration along with copy of the reply submitted by the project proponent in the today's meeting. The Authority after discussions observed that:

- [1] The proposed built up area of the project 149449.28 sqm. The SEAC is required to calculate the built up area as clarified in the MoEF OM F. No, 19-127/2011-IA-III dated 02.04.2012 and thereafter re-appraise the project proposal as per procedure prescribed in the Notification if the proposed built up area found to be 15,0000 sqm or above.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. Provision for STP in case the waste water generation is 10 KLD or above.
- [3] The project proponent has proposed to supply 230 KLD to water tankers for construction purpose. The project proponent is required to justify the same specifically mentioning the requirement of number of tankers per day and the impact of the same on AAQ due to movement of tankers carrying vehicles and mitigation measures.

In view of the above it was decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-examine the project proposal on the observations as raised above by the Authority and send its recommendations on merit within stipulated period as prescribed in the notification.

Item No.[6] Environmental Clearance for construction of 12.5 MLD CETP (in two modules of 6.25 MLD each) in HSIIDC Industrial Area, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar, Haryana by HSIIDC Ltd.

The SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the construction of 12.5 MLD CETP (in two modules of 6.25 MLD each) in HSIIDC Industrial Area,

Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar, Haryana on a the total developed area of Industrial Estate is 732 Acres set up prior to 14.09.2006 and project proponent has earmarked 6.73 acres of land for setting up of CETP of 12.5 MLD capacity in two modules of 6.25 MLD capacities each. The project proponent has also proposed green belt on 8908 sqm (32.7% Acre) i.e. 6060 sqm green belt plantation + 2250 sqm Avenue plantation + 598 sqm Lawn area). The units in this Industrial Estate are mostly green and orange category and are less water intensive. The major types of industries are General Engineering, Automobile related assembling, workshops, Footwear Industries, Readymade garments and Corrugated Paper boxes etc.

The technology for proposed CETP is CMAS (Continuous Mixed Aeration System) with following treatment units i.e. Screen Chamber, Oil cum grease removal traps, Grit removal system / Pre- settlers, Equalization tank. Flash mixing Tanks, Primary Clarifier, Aeration Tank, Secondary clarifier, ludge handing system- Filter press, Tertiary Treatment Pump House, Dual Media Pressure Filers and other necessary attached auxiliary units.

The power requirement is 800 KVA sourced from UHBVN. The Source of water supply is through Bahadurgarh water supply channel. The present waste water generated is 3.5 MLD from the existing units of about 189 out of total 658 units. The chemical sludge generated would be of 2.5ton/day which will be disposed of to GEPIL TSDF, Pali at Faridabad with prior consent from HSPCB.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority observed that the project is eco-friendly and the establishment of CETP is for treatment of effluent from the industries will help to maintain the sustainability.

After detailed deliberation, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC to accord Environment Clearance to this project by imposing the conditions as recommended by SEAC except condition at serial no.(ii) of Specific Conditions.

Item No.[7] Environmental Clearance for our Educational Project “Amity University” at Village Panchgaon, Manesar, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Amity University.

The SEAC has examined the proposal in the light of amended Notification dated 22.12.2014 issued by MoEF&CC, GoI and recommended to exempt this project proposal from obtaining environmental clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. Subject to compliance of sustainable environment management as per guidelines issued by MoEF & CC, GoI F.No. 19-2/2013-IA.III dated 09.06.2015.

The above recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority agreed with the recommendation of SEAC and decided to

grant exemption to the project proposal from obtaining environmental clearance subject to compliance to guidelines issue by MoEF&CC, GoI dated 09.06.2015 by the project proponent.

Item No.[8] Environmental Clearance for proposed Affordable Group Housing Colony project at Village Dhamlaka, Sector-26 & 27, Rewari, Haryana by M/s B.M Gupta Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the construction of Affordable Group Housing Colony project at Village Dhamlaka, Sector-26 & 27, Rewari, Haryana on a total plot area of 24179.929 sqm (5.975 acre). The total built up area shall be 63177.86 sqm. The Group Housing project shall have 4 Towers, Tower P-01 (GF + 24 Floors), Tower P-02 (Commercial), Tower P-03 (GF + 23 Floors) and Tower P-04 GF + 11 Floors). The maximum height of the building shall be 75.45 meter.

The Authority observed that:

- [1] The project proponent has proposed to discharge 221.6 KLD of treated water in the Drain which is not acceptable. The project proponent is required to submit revised proposal ensuring that the treated waste water is recycled/reused and excess of treated waste water is discharged in public sewer system.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. Provision for STP in case the waste water generation is 10 KLD or above.
- [3] The height of the building is 75.45 meters the project proponent is required to obtained structural stability certificate from the competent authority.
- [4] The project proponent has given different figures of stack height 2.82 meter (in presentation copy) and 6.32 meter (in conceptual plan) above the building height. The project proponents clarify the same.
- [5] The project proponent has not discussed in detail the storm water management plan during construction phase. The project proponent is required to submit the same.
- [6] In the soil investigation report it has been mentioned that the ground water table was encountered at 9.5 to 9.9 meter depth, whereas as per Draft Revised Regional Plan-2021 the average ground water table of Rewari is 16.3 meter. The project proponent is required to clarify the same with authenticated proof/document.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No.[9] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Colony located at Sector-2, Sohna, Haryana by M/s Metro Techno Build Pvt. Ltd.

The SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the construction of Group Housing Colony located at Sector-2, Sohna, Haryana on a total plot area of 49068.13 sqm (12.125 acres). The total built up area shall be 110780.54 sqm. The proposed project shall have 8 Tower; Convenient Shopping, Nursery School, Community Building and EWS units (130 Nos.). The maximum height of the building shall be 65.1 meter. The total water requirement shall be 617 KLD. The fresh water requirement shall be 400 KLD. The waste water generation shall be 492 KLD which will be treated in the STP 600 KLD capacity.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority after discussions observed that

- [1] The project proponent shall submit the details regarding provisions made for preservation of Top soil in the project area in order to avoid its erosion.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. Provision for STP in case the waste water generation is 10 KLD or above.
- [3] The project proponent shall give the details of area required for storage of STP sludge.

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the above raised observation within 15 days.

Item No.[10] Environmental Clearance for construction of Commercial Colony Project located at Village Dhunela, Sector-34, Sohna, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s CHD Developers Ltd.

The SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the construction of Commercial Colony located at Village Dhunela, Sector-34, Sohna, District-Gurgaon, Haryana on a total plot area of 40569.671 sqm (10.025 acres). The total built up area shall be 97119.995 sqm. The proposed project shall have 12 Tower; GF + Maximum 15 Floors. The maximum height of the building shall be 49.95 meter. The total water requirement shall be 271.7 KLD. The fresh water requirement shall be 173.5 KLD. The waste water generation shall be 343 KLD which will be treated in the 3 STPs 395 KLD (320 + 65 + 10) capacities.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority after discussions observed that

- [1] The project proponent shall submit the details regarding provisions made for preservation of Top soil in the project area in order to avoid its erosion.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. Provision for STP in case the waste water generation is 10 KLD or above.
- [3] The project proponent has proposed to use drip irrigation for horticulture and landscaping and has proposed to use 6 lit/sqm of treated water. The project proponent is required to justify the use of 6 lit/sqm of treated water with authenticated proof.
- [4] The project proponent shall explain with proper justification about the use of empty cement bag for making of road.
- [5] The project proponent shall submit an undertaking on the non-judicial stamp paper declaring that:
 - (i) They will not discharge treated/untreated waste water in the nallah passing through the project area.
 - (ii) The natural flow of nallah shall not be obstructed.

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the above raised observation within 15 days.

Item No.[11] Environmental Clearance for Expansion of POL Terminal at Piyala, Near Faridabad, Haryana by Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd.

The SEAC in its 94th meeting held on 12.11.2013 approved the TOR and in its 104th meeting held on 12.05.2014 recommended this case for environmental clearance. The recommendation of SEAC was considered by SEIAA in its 72nd meeting and the same was referred back to SEAC with the advice to ask the project proponent to get the public hearing conducting as there is no exemption of public hearing stage in view of the provisions of Notification.

In the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2015 the project proponent informed the committee that their case was appraised by EAC of MoEF & CC, GoI in its 44th meeting held on 21.07.2015 and certain observations were raised. The project proponent on 19.08.2015 submitted the reply to the observations raised by EAC of MoEF. The reply submitted by the project proponent was discussed and it was observed by SEAC that the project proponent has failed to submit complete reply to the observations pointed out by EAC of MoEF, GoI.

The project proponent on 23.02.2016 submitted a letter which was considered in the 129th meeting of SEAC held on 14.03.2016. It was pointed out by the project proponent that their case was appeared in 3rd and 5th EAC meetings held on 18 & 19 Jan.

2016 and 25 & 26 Feb. 2016 respectively where in the MoEF has suggested to withdraw the case from SEAC, Haryana.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority decided to accept the recommendation of SEAC for withdrawal of the application as requested by project proponent subject to the submission of copy of environmental clearance letter in the office of SEIAA by the project proponent as and when the same is accorded by MOEF & CC, GOI. It was also decided to forward the copy of withdrawal letter to MOEF & CC, GOI for information.

Item No.[12] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Project “MICASA” at Sector 68 (HD), Sohna Road, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Pareena Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.

The SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the construction of Group Housing Project “MICASA” at Sector 68 (HD), Sohna Road, Gurgaon, Haryana on a total plot area of 54562.753 sqm (13.48275 acres). The total built up area shall be 149385.579 sqm. The proposed project shall have 08 Towers; Stilt + Maximum 35 Floors. The Group Housing project shall have 952 Dwelling Units. The maximum height of the building shall be 115.25 meter. The total water requirement shall be 925.035 KLD. The fresh water requirement shall be 520.28 KLD. The waste water generation shall be 610.036 KLD which will be treated in the STP 735 KLD capacity.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority after discussions observed that

- [1] The project proponent has proposed to use 60 KLD of treated water for DG set cooling of 3000 KVA capacity (power backup only). The project proponent is required to explain the same with proper justification and calculation.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit the detailed calculations of drinking water for construction workers along with source; waste water generation and its treatment scheme in the labour camp area during construction phase. Provision for STP in case the waste water generation is 10 KLD or above.

In view of the above discussions the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the above raised observation within 15 days.

Item No.[13] Environmental Clearance for construction of “Residential Plotted Colony” project located at Sector 6, Agroha, Dist Hisar, Haryana by Office of the Executive Engineer HUDA.

This case was lastly considered in the 88th meeting of SEIAA held on 29.02.2016 and the case was deferred with the following observations.

- [1] The project proponent has proposed to treat bio-degradable waste by adopting OWC but the details of OWC capacity and area on the plan was not earmarked.

- [2] The project proponent has proposed to treat waste water in the common STP but the details of common STP its location on the plan and the status of development of STP was not submitted. The project proponent is required to submit location of STP and its status of development.
- [3] The project proponent has proposed to recycle and re-use the treated water the details of which were not submitted. The project proponent is required to submit dual plumbing plan and re-use/recycle of treated water.
- [4] The project proponent is required to submit the name and designation of the officer who shall be responsible for implementation of the stipulated conditions and safeguards of environment clearance.

In the today's meeting the reply dated 01.04.2016 submitted by project proponent was taken for discussion and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC to accord Environment Clearance to this project by imposing the usual conditions in practice.

Item No.[14] Environmental Clearance for proposed project 15 Acres "Cyber Park" at Village-Fazilpur, Jharsa & Bhadshahpur, Sector-69, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Unitech Limited.

This case was lastly considered in the 89th meeting of SEIAA held on 28.03.2016 and the case was deferred with the following observations.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit the details regarding provisions made for preservation of Top soil in the project area in order to avoid its erosion.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit the details regarding disposal method of construction debris in the low lying area as reported in the EIA/EMP.
- [3] The project proponent shall justify the claim that the carrying capacity of NH-8 is much higher than the proposed traffic volume and traffic movement from the proposed project will not create any congestion on NH-8 with calculations and authenticated proof.

In the today's meeting the reply dated 12.04.2016 submitted by project proponent was taken for discussion. It was noticed that the project proponent has claimed that they don't have any analysis data of any Government agency for comparison with the analysis carried out by their consultant who is QCI/NABET approved consultant. The Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC to accord Environment Clearance to this project by imposing the usual conditions in practice subject to submission of an undertaking by the project proponent on non-judicial stamp paper declaring that:

- [1] That, no data is available on traffic survey for NH-8 at Gurgaon from any Govt. Agency, hence data collected for the proposed 15 Acre "Cyber Park" at sector-69. Gurgaon cannot be compared with any authentic data.

- [2] That the traffic analysis is done by QCI/NABET accredited consultant M/s Perfact Enviro Solutions Pvt. Ltd. as per MoEF & CC, GoI approved Construction Manual.

Item No.[15] Environmental Clearance for proposed Group Housing Project measuring (18.675 + 5.88472 = 24.55972 acres) at Vilalge Dhorka, Sector-95, Gurgaon, Haryana by Benchmark Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

This case was lastly considered in the 89th meeting of SEIAA held on 28.03.2016 and the case was deferred with the following observations.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit details regarding provision made for preservation of Top Soil in the project area.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit details of reuse of construction debris/demolition debris during construction phase.
- [3] The project proponent shall submit capacity and dimensions of OWC proposed to be installed for treatment of bio-degradable waste.

In the today's meeting the reply dated 14.04.2016 submitted by project proponent was taken for discussion and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC to accord Environment Clearance to this project by imposing the usual conditions in practice.

Item No.[16] Environmental Clearance for Revision/Modification of Group Housing Project (37.512 acres) at Sector-67A, Village Dhumsapur and Maidawas, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Precision Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

This case was lastly considered in the 89th meeting of SEIAA held on 28.03.2016 and the case was deferred with the following observations.

- [1] The project proponent has not proposed any change in the DG set configuration whereas water consumption for cooling has been reduced in the revised submission. The project proponent is required to clarify the same.
- [2] The project proponent has proposed to reduce Dwelling units by 5.4% in revision application whereas the water requirement has been proposed to be reduced by 24.43% .The project proponent is required to clarify the same.
- [3] The project proponent in the revised proposal has reduced the water demand for maintenance/Arboriculture by 60.54%. The project proponent is required to justify the same.
- [4] The project proponent in the revised proposal has reduced the water requirement by 24.77% . The project proponent is required to justify the same.
- [5] The project proponent in the revised proposal has proposed the reduction of waste water generation by 15% whereas the treated waste water has been shown reduced by 26%. The project proponent is required to justify the same.

- [6] On page 50 of presentation the diameter of recharge pit has been shown as 25 meter against the requirement of 2.5 meter. The project proponent is required to clarify the same.
- [7] The project proponent in the revision application has calculated water requirement for visitors @ 10% whereas the solid waste generation has been calculated @ 5%. The project proponent is required to clarify the same.
- [8] The project proponent has not submitted the details regarding treatment of bio-degradable waste. The project proponent is required to submit the details regarding treatment of bio-degradable waste within the project area and should submit a plan earmarking space for management of bio-degradable waste.

In the today's meeting the reply dated 11.04.2016 submitted by project proponent was taken for discussion and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations of SEAC to accord Environment Clearance to this project by imposing the usual conditions in practice.

Item No.[17] Show-cause notice for violation of imposed conditions of the Environmental Clearance letter granted by SEIAA under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006; for development of Residential Plotted Colony (281.577 Acre) Namely "Vatika India Next" at Sector- 81, 82, 82A, 83 and 85, Village-Simi, Shikhopur and Sikandarpur, Gurgaon by M/s Vatika Limited.

It was informed that M/s Vatika Ltd. has submitted reply to the show-cause notice issued by SEIAA. The Authority was further informed that the project proponent has been given opportunity to appear in person for personal hearing in the today's meeting. It was noticed that the project proponent vide letter 21.04.2016 has intimated that due to non-availability of their advocate; they are unable to participate and requested to grant 10 days period for appearance in person with the complete details of the case. The Authority acceded to the request of the applicant and deferred the case with the decision that the authorized person/advocate shall appear before the Authority in the next meeting of SEIAA for personal hearing.
