

**Minutes of the 131<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee, constituted for considering Environmental Clearance of Projects (B category) under Government of India Notification dated 14.09.2006, held on 06<sup>th</sup> and 07<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 under the Chairmanship of Sh. G.R. Goyat, Chairman, SEAC at Panchkula.**

\*\*\*\*\*

List of participants is annexed as **Annexure-A**.

At the outset, the Chairman SEAC welcomed the Members and Secretary of the SEAC and advised the Secretary to give brief background of this meeting. The minutes of the 130<sup>th</sup> Meeting were discussed and approved without any further modification.

It was further informed that in this meeting 15 number projects are to be taken up for scoping, appraisal and grading as per the agenda circulated and 03 cases are taken up as additional agenda item.

After preliminary discussion, the following projects were taken up on case-to-case basis:-

**131.01      Environmental Clearance for Commercial Colony in the Revenue Estate of Village Shikohpur, Sector-82A, Gurgaon Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Burman GSC Estate Pvt. Ltd. (Dr. Fresh Real Estate Venture Pvt. Ltd.)**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Avdesh Goel, Authorised Representative  
Consultant : KADAM Enviro**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 03.05.2013. The papers submitted were examined by the Secretary, SEAC and certain shortcomings were noticed which were conveyed to project proponent vide letter No. 154 dated 08.05.2013. Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the Project Proponent vide letter No. 1494 dated 17.07.2014. In response to the Final Show Cause Notice, the PP submitted the reply to the shortcomings on 28.07.2014.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 112<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 18.09.2014. The Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee agreed to consider the request of the Project Proponent by issuing notice of 30 days.

The observations of 112<sup>th</sup> meeting were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 1695 dated 26.09.2014. The project proponent submitted the reply to the observations vide letter dated 11.12.2015.

The case could not be taken up in the SEAC as the term of SEIAA/SEAC was elapsed on 21.03.2015. Therefore, the case was transferred to Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India in the month of March, 2015. This case could not be taken up by the MoEF and was again transferred to SEIAA on 31.08.2015 after the reconstitution of SEIAA/SEAC on 21.08.2015.

Thereafter, the case was taken up for appraisal in the 125<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 11.01.2016.

After detailed discussions, the following shortcomings were concluded:

1. The PP should submit a detailed clarification from HUDA regarding availability of water in the area and commitments made so far to be obtained by the PP from HUDA in the concerned area.
2. The PP should submit contour sheet from Survey of India.
3. The PP should submit revised water balance diagram.
4. The PP should submit an undertaking/plan for CSR
5. The PP should submit detailed design calculations of STP alongwith dimension of each component.
6. The PP should submit disposal plan of MSW Biodegradable waste.
7. The PP should submit details of incremental pollution load alongwith mitigation measures.
8. The PP should submit layout plan of buildings with respect to sun path & optimized solar access & wind pattern.
9. PP should provide detail of total paved area of site under parking, roads, paths or any other use.
10. The PP should submit site specific hydrological details alongwith infiltration rate of recharge pit and Rain Water Harvesting pit maintenance plan..
11. The PP should submit revised design of recharge pit and de-siltation tank. The earmarked space for STP should be away from the location of the rain water harvesting and the same should also be earmarked on the plan.
12. The PP should submit detailed green belt plan viz:
  - (a) Width, length and area to be covered under the green belt;
  - (b) Number of rows of trees to be planted; and
  - (c) Tree species required to be planted and spacing to be maintained between them depending on the local climate and site conditions.

The observations of 125<sup>th</sup> meeting were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 538 dated 27.01.2016. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 01.03.2016. Thereafter the case was taken up in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

After detailed discussions, the following shortcomings were concluded:

1. The PP should submit contour sheet from Survey of India.
2. The PP should submit an undertaking/plan for CSR

3. The PP should submit detailed design calculations of STP alongwith dimension of each component.
4. The PP should submit disposal plan of MSW Biodegradable waste.
5. PP should provide detail of total paved area of site under parking, roads, paths or any other use.
6. The PP should submit site specific hydrological details alongwith infiltration rate of recharge pit and Rain Water Harvesting structure maintenance plan..
7. The PP should submit revised design of recharge pit and de-siltation tank location of Rain Water Harvesting pits on the site plan. The earmarked space for STP should be away from the location of the rain water harvesting and the same should also be earmarked on the plan.

The PP is advised to submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was made clear to the PP that his project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.02      Environmental Clearance for Industrial Shed at Plot No. 1, Sector-3A, Manaser, Gurgaon by M/s Maruti Suzuki India Limited:**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Deepak Jain, Deputy General Manager**  
**Consultant : SENES Consultants India Pvt. Ltd.**

This project was received by the SEIAA, Haryana on 27.02.2013. The papers submitted were examined by the Secretary, SEAC and certain shortcomings were noticed and conveyed to PP, vide letter No. 1303 dated 06.03.2013. The PP submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 05.04.2013.

Thereafter this case was taken up for appraisal in the 82<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 28.05.2013.

The area consists of one ownership for a large piece of land and is being developed and constructed in many stages for industry development. In order to have a clear picture of the whole development area relating to the project, the PP was asked to clarify the following:

1. The PP should submit the layout plan indicating the whole area and showing therein the area constructed before 2006, area constructed between 2006-2013 and the remaining vacant area. The layout and the extent of the present project should be indicated in different colors.
2. The PP should submit the copy of approved building plans of the present project from Competent Authority.
3. The PP should submit the copy of Environmental Clearance if any for the projects in which construction was started or completed during the period 2006-2013.
4. The PP should submit an affidavit about the status of the construction of proposed built-up area under question for which the Environmental Clearance is sought.

The observations of 82<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 342 dated 10.06.2013. Final notice was also issued to the PP for non submission of reply vide letter No. 1481 dated 16.7.2014. The project proponent submitted the reply of the observations vide letter dated 19.08.2014. Thereafter this case was taken up for appraisal in the 113<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 10.10.2014.

The project was discussed with the PP and Consultant. The PP accepted that it is an expansion project as they have already built 368611 Sq. Meters till date in various phases without obtaining prior Environmental Clearance. The PP represented that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has allowed them relief for obtaining ex-post-facto Environmental Clearance for the area already built. PP was directed to provide the copy of their appeal, replies of Respondent and the Copy of Judgment of Hon'ble High Court and present status of litigation if any in Hon'ble Apex Court. The project will be considered only after complete submission of documents. The details for expansion project will be prepared accordingly and the proposed expansion for constructing 94810 Sq. Metres will be reflected in the same. The details submitted by the PP are quite sketchy and totally incomplete. Details of built-up area prior to Notification dated 14.09.2006; between 14.09.2006 till the date of present application have not been quantified with supporting details of Occupation Certificate sequence-wise.

The observations of 113<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 1731 dated 17.10.2014. The project proponent submitted the reply of the observations vide letter dated 20.10.2014. The Hon'ble Delhi High Court judgment dated 26<sup>th</sup> May, 2014 and 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2014 have been placed on record by the PP. As per the Court orders, PP would get Post EC for the area already built till date without attracting any prosecution for the alleged violation of Notification dated 14.09.2006. The Terms of Reference were approved in the 114<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the SEAC held on 27.10.2014 and conveyed to the PP vide letter No. 1807 dated 07.11.2015. The PP submitted the reply vide letter dated 19.11.2016. Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 126<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 27.01.2016.

During discussions the PP was asked to submit the following documents:

1. Event wise detail i.e. sequence of dates alongwith documents i.e. layout plan indicting the whole area and showing therein the area constructed before 2006, area constructed between 2006-2013 and the remaining vacant area. The layout and the extent of the present project should be indicated in different colors.
2. Present status alongwith the details of court case if any.

The observations of 126<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 616 dated 10.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the reply vide letter dated 08.03.2016.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 131<sup>st</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

During discussions, the project proponent requested that he will submit detail reply during the currency of the meeting which he submitted on 07th April, 2016 which is placed on record.

The Committee observed that project proponent submitted an application for Environmental Clearance for Industrial Shed at Plot No. 1, Sector-3A, Manaser, District Gurgaon Haryana. The project proponent vide their letter dated 08.03.2016 have requested for exemption for Environmental Clearance in light of provisions made under Notification No. S.O. 3252(E) dated 22.12.2014 of EIA Notification 2006 and Office Memorandum dated 09.06.2015. The Committee went through the said amendment of EIA Notification wherein following provisions have been mentioned:

**"The project or activities shall not include Industrial shed, school, college, hostel for education institution but such building shall ensure sustainable environmental management, solid and liquid waste management, rain water harvesting and may use recycled materials such as fly ash bricks"**

The Committee observed that the project proposal relates to construction of Industrial Shed. Hence, provisions of exemption given under EIA Notification dated 22.12.2014, Office Memorandum dated 05.03.2015 and 09.06.2015 shall be applicable in the matter and exemption from requirement of Environmental Clearance is recommended in view of above said Notification and Office Memorandum subject to condition that the PP shall ensure sustainable environmental management, solid and liquid waste management, rain water harvesting and use of recycled material such as fly ash bricks and other conditions as mentioned in the OM dated 15.06.2015.

**131.03              Environmental Clearance for the proposed expansion of Panipat Institute of Engineering and Technology, Village Pattikalayana, Smalkha, District Panipat, Haryana by M/s Panipat Institute of Engineering & Technology**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 30.09.2014 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC. The case was appraised in the 115<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the SEAC held on 10.11.2014 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance.

The case was referred back by the SEIAA on 19.10.2015 with the advise that SEAC should decide the case as per MoEF, GOI Notification dated 22.12.2014.

Thereafter the case was taken up in the 126<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 27.01.2016.

The Project Proponent neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Members. The Committee decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP. The observations of 126<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 617 dated 10.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the reply vide letter dated 08.03.2016.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 131<sup>st</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

The Project Proponent neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Members.

It was unanimously decided, that PP should submit the following documents:

1. Event wise detail i.e. sequence of dates alongwith documents i.e. layout plan indicating the whole area and showing therein the area constructed before 22.12.2014, and the remaining vacant area. The layout and the extent of the present project should be indicated in different colors.
2. Present status alongwith the details of court case if any.

The Committee decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP. Accordingly the notice will be issued by the Secretary, SEAC to the Project Proponent. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.04      Environment Clearance of “Affordable Group Housing” project at village Basai, Sector-37-C Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s RMG Developers Pvt. Ltd..**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 30.12.2015. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

The Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee agreed to consider the request of the Project Proponent by issuing notice of 30 days. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.05 Extension of validity of environment clearance for proposed Group Housing Project "Regency Park" at Sector-11A & 17, Hisar, Haryana by M/s Hisar Real Estate Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 30.12.2015. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

The Project Proponent neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Members. The Committee decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP. Accordingly the notice will be issued by the Secretary, SEAC to the Project Proponent. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.06 Environment Clearance for Proposed Construction of Residential Plotted Colony with Group Housing Colony at Village Fatehpur, Admapur, Tikri & Jharsa at Sector-47 & 50, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Malibu Estate Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 15.01.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

The Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee agreed to consider the request of the Project Proponent by issuing notice of 30 days. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.07 Environmental Clearance for commercial complex "Orris Market City" at Village- Hayatpur & Badla, Sector-89 & 90, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Orris Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Ishwar Singh, Authorised Signatory**

**Consultant : Perfect Enviro Solutions Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

After detailed discussions, the following shortcomings were concluded:

1. The PP should submit an affidavit by a Director of the Company giving latest status of construction and also submit an undertaking that they will use ultra low sulphur fuel in DG Sets.

2. The PP should submit contour sheet of the area alongwith demarcation of the project on the contour sheet.
3. The PP should submit copy of approved building plans.
4. Environmental Clearance already granted to the project proponent for an area of 101.81 Acres which includes 15.0285 Acres for Commercial purposes and this project of 3.86 Acres is a part of 15.0285 Acres. PP should clearly demarcate the position of 3.86 Acres on the total site plan of 101.81 Acres alongwith details such as Green Area, Paved Area, Parking Area etc.
5. The PP to submit the certified copy of report from Regional Director, MoEF regarding status of compliance of the conditions stipulated in the Environmental Clearance as contained in the MoEF circular dated 30.05.2012.
6. The PP should submit details of 531070 Sq. Meters buildup area alongwith proposed area of 45452 Sq. Meters on elevation as well as on site plan.
7. The PP should submit approval of the competent authority for structural safety of the building on account of earthquake, adequacy of fire fighting equipments, etc. as per National Building Code including protection measures from lightening etc. If any forest land is involved in the proposed site, clearance under Forest Conservation Act shall be obtained from the Competent Authority.
8. The PP should submit an undertaking that the plinth level of the building blocks sufficiently above the level of the approach road to the Project. Levels of the other areas in the Projects shall also be kept suitably so as to avoid flooding and submit storm water drainage and services line plan.
9. The PP should submit detailed design calculations of STP alongwith dimension of each component and also submit unit wise reduction of BOD for STP and position of STP be provided.
10. The PP should submit disposal plan of MSW Biodegradable waste.
11. The PP should submit details of incremental pollution load from DG Sets alongwith mitigation measures for controlling air pollution in view of exceeding baseline data.
12. The PP should submit the detail Rain Water Harvesting proposal as per site condition for zero runoff discharge and Rain Water Harvesting Pit maintenance plan and should also submit revised fresh water requirement and water balance diagram.
13. The PP should submit detailed site plan showing surface parking and area breakup of green area, paved area, roads and ground coverage.
14. The PP should submit 500 meter radius google image.
15. The PP should submit detailed green belt plan viz:
  - (a) Width, length and area to be covered under the green belt;
  - (b) Number of rows of trees to be planted; and
  - (c) Tree species required to be planted and spacing to be maintained between them depending on the local climate and site conditions.

The PP is advised to submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was made clear to the PP that his project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.08      Environmental Clearance for Proposed Construction of "Group Housing Colony" at Sector-7A, Village-Dharuhera, Rewari, Haryana by M/s Dream Merchant Promoters Pvt. Ltd..**

**Project Proponent : Sh. A.K. Singh, Authorised Signatory**

**Consultant : Perfect Enviro Solutions Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

After detailed discussions, the following shortcomings were concluded:

1. The PP should submit a detailed clarification from HUDA regarding availability of water in the area and corresponding summation of commitments made so far to be obtained by the PP from HUDA in the concerned area.
2. The PP should submit contour sheet of the area alongwith demarcation of the project on the contour sheet.
3. The PP should submit permission from Competent Authority for using Revenue Rasta for laying of services.
4. The PP should submit detailed design calculations of STP alongwith dimension of each component and also submit unit wise reduction of BOD for STP.
5. The PP should submit disposal plan of MSW Biodegradable waste.
6. The PP should submit details of incremental pollution load from DG Sets alongwith mitigation measures for controlling air pollution in view of exceeding baseline data.
7. The PP should submit the detail Rain Water Harvesting proposal as per site condition for zero runoff discharge and Rain Water Harvesting Pit maintenance plan and should also submit revised fresh water requirement and water balance diagram.
8. The PP should submit detailed site plan showing surface parking and area breakup of green area, paved area, roads and ground coverage.
9. The PP should submit detailed green belt plan viz:
  - (a) Width, length and area to be covered under the green belt;
  - (b) Number of rows of trees to be planted; and
  - (c) Tree species required to be planted and spacing to be maintained between them depending on the local climate and site conditions.

The PP is advised to submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was made clear to the PP that his project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

- 131.09 Environment Clearance Regarding Terms of Reference (ToR) for carrying out EIA studies of the Common Bio Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at Khevat No. 128, Khatoni No. 150, Rakba – 69 Canal-19 Rale, Village – Kandela, Tehsil & District – Jind (Haryana) by M/s Divya Waste Management Company.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for approval of Terms of Reference in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

The Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee agreed to consider the request of the Project Proponent by issuing notice of 30 days. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

- 131.10 Environment Clearance Regarding Terms of Reference (ToR) for carrying out EIA studies of the Common Bio Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at 160/5410 part- B, Rakba 270, Canal 10 Marle, Khevat No. 741, Khata No. 886, saalam kite 46, Village – Sisana, Tehsil – Kharkhoda, District – Sonipat (Haryana) by M/s Haryana Waste Management Company.**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Vijender Singh, Authorised Signatory**

**Consultant : EN-Vision Enviro Technologies**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for approval of Terms of Reference in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016.

The project proponent presented the case for proposed ToRs. The PP is directed to prepare the EIA by incorporating the following ToR:

1. Executive summary of the project - giving a prima facie idea of the objectives of the proposal, use of resources, justification, etc. In addition, it should provide a Compilation of EC report including EMP and the post-project monitoring plan in brief.

**Project Description:**

2. Justification for selecting the proposed capacity of the incineration facility.
3. Land requirement for the project including its break up and greenbelt inside the project boundary.
4. Proposed project layout clearly demarcating the proposed capacities and activities like incinerator facility, Bio-Medical waste storage areas, admin building, maintenance areas, greenbelt, etc.
5. Details of the Bio-Medical waste inventory, segregation at source for compatibility with transportation system and subsequent treatment.
6. Details of the strategy being followed for transportation, features of the vehicles, frequency of Collection of Bio-Medical Waste and health and safety measures for the proposed project.

7. Details on proposed protocol for waste acceptance (verifying the waste quantity through weighing Machine, manifestation systems, etc.)
8. Details of the laboratory facilities and statement on adequacy including proposals for accreditation, etc.
9. Details for methods to store the Bio-Medical Waste as per protocol with statutory requirements and proposed safety precautions.
10. Details of waste type, characteristics, handling, storage, Treatment and Disposal at the proposed facility.
12. Design details of the complete incineration system - a statement on the compliance to the CPCB guidelines for common Bio-Medical waste Treatment Facility, operating parameters of combustion chambers, flue gas cleaning, ash handling, scrubber bleed management and emission monitoring systems, etc.
13. Details of the fuel requirement for incineration in the proposed project.
14. Details of the control and monitoring systems during combustion process.
15. Details of the flue gas emissions discharge through stack and proposed pollution control technologies.
16. Details of the residue/ash generation from incinerator, reuse and management.
17. Detailed plan for wastewater management for the proposed project.
18. Details of the proposed process and monitoring protocol as per the CPCB guidelines.
19. Proposed measures for occupational safety and health of the workers during project design, construction and operations.
20. Details on source of water and electricity source to the proposed facility.
21. Details of the existing access road(s)/walkways to the designed operations in the site and its layout.
22. Traffic management plan including parking and loading/unloading areas may be described.
23. Proposed financial model, creation of fund for future liabilities.
24. In case of expansion of projects, compliance to the issued EIA clearance conditions and consent for operation conditions for existing facility.
25. Any legal cases pending against the existing facility related to the environmental pollution and impacts in the last three years, if so, details thereof.
26. Public hearing to be conducted for the project as per provisions of Environmental Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 and the issues raised by the public should be addressed in the Environmental Management Plan.

#### **Description of the Environment**

27. The project study area shall be up to a distance of 5 km from the boundary of the proposed project site.
28. Location of the incineration facility and nearest habitats with distances from the facility to be demarcated on a topo sheet (1: 50000 scale).
29. Land use of study area should include data about the residential/ institutional/nearest village/ township/ locality/ housing society, etc., based on the satellite imagery.
30. Demography details of all the villages falling within the study area.
31. Topography of the area clearly indicating the presence of pits deeper than one meter, if any. If these pits require to be filled in, details of filling material to be used, quantity required, its source, mode of transport, etc., shall be provided.

32. Baseline data of the project area and the area within a 10 km radius with respect to different components of environment viz. air, noise, water, land, and biology and socio-economic may be collected as per the guidance provided in the Manual.
33. Detailed Study of the hydrological and geo-hydrological conditions of the project area including a contour plan indicating slopes and showing drainage pattern and outfall.
34. Water quality of nearby River, if any, Source of water supply and nearby water ponds shall be analyzed.
35. Details of groundwater monitoring wells, locations, frequency of monitoring, parameters, etc shall be analyzed.
36. Proposed baseline monitoring network for the consideration and approval of the Competent Authority.
37. Site-specific meteorological data of one season excluding monsoon and secondary data for future predictions.
38. Ambient Air Quality (AAQ) data (except monsoon) of one complete season along with the monitoring dates. The parameters to be covered shall include SPM, RSPM, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> (ground level). The location of the monitoring stations should be decided in such a way that the pre-dominant downwind direction, population zone and sensitive receptors including reserved forests are considered. There should be at least one monitoring station in the upwind direction and one in down-wind direction where maximum GLC falls.
39. Ecological status (terrestrial and aquatic) of the study area such as habitat type and quality, species, diversity, rarity, fragmentation, ecological linkage, age, abundance, etc.
40. If any incompatible land use attributes fall within the study area, proponent shall describe the sensitivity (distance, area and significance) and propose the additional points based on significance for review and acceptance by the EAC/SEAC.

**Incompatible land use attributes include:**

- Public water supply areas from rivers/surface water bodies, from ground water Scenic areas/tourism areas/hill resorts.
- Religious places, pilgrim centres that attract over 10 lakh pilgrims a year.
- Protected tribal settlements (notified tribal areas where industrial activity is not permitted)
- Monuments of national significance, World Heritage Sites
- Cyclone, Tsunami prone areas (based on last 25years) Airport areas.
- Any other feature as specified by the State or local government and other features as locally applicable, including prime agricultural lands, pastures, migratory corridors, etc.
41. If ecologically sensitive attributes fall within the study area, proponent shall describe the sensitivity (distance, area and significance) and propose the additional points based on significance for review and acceptance by the EAC/SEAC. Ecological sensitive attributes include:
  - National parks
  - Wild life sanctuaries
  - Tiger reserve/elephant reserve/turtle nesting ground Mangrove area
  - Wetlands
  - Reserved and protected forests
  - Any other closed/protected area under the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972. Any other eco - sensitive areas etc.
42. If the location falls in Valley, specific issues connected to the natural resources are to be studied and documented in the report.

43. If the location falls in CRZ area: A CRZ map duly authenticated by one of the authorized agencies demarcating LTL, HTL, CRZ area, location of the project and associate facilities w.r.t. CRZ, coastal features such as mangroves, if any.

- Provide the CRZ map in 1:10000 scale in general cases and in 1:5000 scale for specific observation
- Fisheries study should be done w.r.t. Benthos and Marine organic material and coastal fisheries

#### **Anticipated environmental impacts and mitigation measures**

44. Anticipated generic environmental impacts due to incineration are indicated, evaluated for significance and based on corresponding likely impacts VECs may be identified. Baseline studies may be conducted for all the concerned VECs and likely impacts will have to be assessed for their magnitude in order to identify mitigation measures.
45. Mathematical modelling for calculating the dispersion of air pollutants and ground level concentration along with emissions from incinerators are to be submitted in draft ToR for consideration and approval by EAC/SEAC.
46. While identifying the likely impacts, also include the following for analysis of significance and required mitigation measures:
- ❖ Impacts due to hazardous waste carrying trucks movement impacts due to air pollution including flue gases impacts due to odour pollution
  - ❖ Impacts due to noise
  - ❖ Impacts due to fugitive emissions
  - ❖ Impact on health of workers due to proposed Bio-Medical waste Treatment and disposal activities
  - ❖ Impacts due to spills, leaks on soil and surface/ground water bodies Impacts due to fire/explosion
  - ❖ Impacts due to power shut downs
  - ❖ Impacts due to failure of each of the unit in an incineration system Impacts due to ash disposal, etc.
47. In case of likely impact from the proposed facility on the surrounding reserve forests, Plan for the conservation of wild fauna in consultation with the State Forest Department.
48. For identifying the mitigation measures, please refer Chapter III for source control and treatment. Besides typical mitigation measures which may also be considered.
49. Details of greenbelt including details of species, width of plantation, planning schedule, etc; within the project boundary in accordance to CPCB published guidelines.

#### **Analysis of alternative resources and technologies**

50. The Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility at this site shall have the equipment and infrastructure in confirmation with the CPCB Guidelines issued for installation of CBWTF.
51. Details on improved technologies, equipments and operating practices.

#### **Environmental monitoring program**

52. Details on monitoring of pollutants, performance of the incinerator including operating hours, fuel consumption, operating parameters (Combustion chamber - temperature, Stack - temperature, total particulate matter, HCl, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> etc), managing carbon monoxide in flue gases as a measure on combustion operations, operation of burners, stack emissions, calibration of instruments, air pollution control systems (such as quencher, Venturi scrubbers, stack, etc.) , wastewater management, etc.
53. At likely contaminated sites, monitoring of pollutants for all the notified parameters of ambient air quality and stack emissions in the ambient air, groundwater and surface water and soil samples should be done at receiving environment.
54. Stack and fugitive emissions may be monitored for SPM, HCl, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, etc

55. Specific programmes and monitoring on occupational health and safety to workers.
56. Appropriate monitoring network has to be designed and proposed for regulatory compliance and to assess the residual impacts, if any.
57. Details of in-house monitoring capabilities and the recognized agencies proposed for conducting the monitoring.

#### **Additional studies**

58. Details on risk assessment, damage control and socio-economic development activities such as commercial property values, generation of jobs, education, social conflicts, cultural status, accidents, etc. during different phases of the project.

#### **Environmental management plan**

59. Proposed post-project monitoring programme to ensure compliance to the approved Management Plan including administrative and technical organizational structure.
60. EMP devised to mitigate the adverse impacts of the project should be provided along with item-wise cost of its implementation (Capital and recurring costs).
61. Allocation of resources and responsibilities for plan implementation.
62. Details of the emergency preparedness plan and on-site & off-site disaster management plan.

### **8. Bio-Medical Waste**

Growth in population, industrialization and changing life styles and food habits have brought with it various health related issues. More and more people are suffering from ailments. Alongside this is the growing awareness towards utilizing proper medical facilities. To enable effective management and handling of the bio-medical wastes, the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) has issued regulations for the management and handling of these wastes. The rules are formulated and known as the **Bio-Medical Wastes (Management and Handling) Rules 1998**, enacted under the of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

In response to these rules, Government and major Private Hospitals initiated their arrangements for treatment and disposal of bio-medical wastes. However, the smaller nursing homes, clinics and other similar institutions which do not have or can afford such facilities need alternate modalities and arrangements to dispose their wastes, in accordance with the Rules.

#### **8.1 Waste Classification and Characterization**

Wastes generated by the hospitals can primarily be classified into 3 groups:

- ❖ Conventional Wastes/ Municipal Solid Wastes: General refuse similar to the domestic/ municipal solid wastes and includes artificial linens, paper, food, cans, diapers, and plastic cups. This waste is non-infectious if it is not brought in contact with the infectious wastes and properly managed.
- ❖ Hazardous Wastes: Laboratory and Pharmaceutical Chemicals and containers including off-specification and other chemicals, alcohols, disinfectants, anti-neo plastic agents, heavy metals (e.g. Mercury), etc. These wastes are hazardous in nature and if properly segregated and managed can be transported to hazardous waste management facility for treatment/ storage/ disposal.
- ❖ Infectious Wastes: Commonly referred to as Clinical and pathological Wastes and include: isolation wastes (refuse associated with infectious patients), cultures and stocks of infectious agents and associated biological, human blood and blood products, pathological wastes, contaminated sharps, amputated body parts, placenta and others.
- ❖ Typical wastes falling under each of the above groups of infectious wastes is presented in the following Table

**Table 4: Categorization of Infectious Wastes**

<b>Waste Group</b>	<b>Typical Wastes</b>
1. General Wastes	General refuse similar to the domestic/ municipal solid wastes and includes artificial linens, paper, food, cans, diapers, and plastic cups.
2. Hazardous Wastes	Laboratory and Pharmaceutical Chemicals and containers including off-specification and other chemicals, alcohols, disinfectants, anti-neo plastic agents, heavy metals (e.g. Mercury), etc.
3. Infectious Wastes	Isolation wastes (refuse associated with infectious patients), cultures and stocks of infectious agents and associated biological, human blood and blood products, pathological wastes, contaminated sharps, amputated body parts, placenta and others.

### **Isolation Wastes**

- ☒ Wastes from patients with diseases considered communicable and requiring isolation Cultures and Stocks of Infectious agents and associated biological
- ☒ Specimens from medical and pathological laboratories
- ☒ Cultures and stocks of infectious agents from clinical, research and industrial laboratories, disposable culture dishes, and devices used to transfer, inoculate and mix cultures
- ☒ Wastes from the production of biologicals
- ☒ Discarded live and attenuated vaccines Human blood and Blood Products ☒Waste blood, serum, plasma and blood products Pathological Wastes
- ☒ Tissue organs, body parts, placenta, blood and body fluids removed during surgery, autopsy and biopsy. Contaminated Sharps ☒Contaminated hypodermic needles, syringes, scalpel blades, Pasteur pipettes and broken glass.

### **8.2 Collection and Transportation**

Developer shall collect the waste from each health care establishment on a regular basis. Wastes shall be segregated as per the colour coding, properly packed and placed at a secure designated point by the health care establishment from where developer shall collect the waste.

Upon collection wastes shall be placed into closed containers enclosed in a containerized vehicle. Transportation of the wastes shall also be the responsibility of developer. The proposed vehicles shall be dedicated for the purpose and shall adopt the conditions specified in the BMW (Management & Handling) Rules-1998.

### **8.3 Disinfection and Destruction**

Upon receipt at the facility, wastes containers shall be unloaded. Wastes based on their colour codes shall be separated and properly treated and disposed off. Categories 1, 2, 3 and 6 (as per MoEF rules) shall be directly loaded into the incinerator while categories 4 and 7 shall be loaded into the autoclave for dis-infection. Residue from these units shall be disposed into a landfill. Detailed process description of the treatment technologies is presented in the subsequent sections.

### **8.4 Disposal**

Ash, residue from high temperature incineration and other material residues from the process shall be collected into containers and shall be disposed into a secure landfill.

### **8.5 Treatment Technologies**

#### **8.5.1 Incineration**

Incineration incorporates the right technology featuring for a complete destruction of the waste into completely safe end products. A process combination of pyrolysis and controlled air combustion, where heat and air for combustion is regulated in such a way as to first volatilise/ gasify the waste in conditions of inadequate air, i.e., below stoichiometric air conditions and heat, and then totally destroy it in adequate heat and excess air, thereby making the end products environmentally safe. The process is not only safe but is also today's answer to the rampant problem of hospital waste management and pollution.

The primary purpose of incineration is to burn the waste to ashes through a combustion process. Developer intends to set up incinerators of optimum capacity at each of the locations. The unit shall be a dual chambered incinerator. The primary chamber's main purpose would be combustion of the waste materials into safe end products (ash). The temperature of the primary chamber would be 850o C and above wherein wastes are completely destroyed. The primary chamber would have an attached burner with auxiliary fuel supply to augment the fuel requirements and ensure maintenance of temperatures.

The purpose of the secondary chamber would be to burn the off-gases and ensure safe end products (gaseous). The secondary chamber would operate at a temperature of 1050o C and above. The gases would be

completely burnt and safe gases then shall be let out of the incinerator unit. Both the primary and secondary burners proposed are imported Italian burners. The incinerator is completely automated with control panel and continuous recording of temperatures. The entire system is very simple and is easy to operate. The system is environmentally safe without any hazard to human health and environment at large.

**Duties of the Operator of a Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment and Disposal facility.-**

It shall be the duty of every operator to -

- (a) take all necessary steps to ensure that the bio-medical waste collected from the occupier is transported, handled, stored, treated and disposed of, without any adverse effect to the human health and the environment, in accordance with these rules and guidelines issued by the Central Government or, as the case may be, the central pollution control board from time to time;
- (b) ensure timely collection of bio-medical waste from the occupier as prescribed under these rules;
- (c) establish bar coding and global positioning system for handling of bio-medical waste within one year;
- (d) inform the prescribed authority immediately regarding the occupiers which are not handing over the segregated bio-medical waste in accordance with these rules;
- (e) provide training for all its workers involved in handling of bio-medical waste at the time of induction and at least once a year thereafter;
- (f) assist the occupier in training conducted by them for bio-medical waste management;
- (g) undertake appropriate medical examination at the time of induction and at least once in a year and immunise all its workers involved in handling of bio-medical waste for protection against diseases, including Hepatitis B and Tetanus, that are likely to be transmitted while handling bio-medical waste and maintain the records for the same;
- (h) ensure occupational safety of all its workers involved in handling of bio-medical waste by providing appropriate and adequate personal protective equipment;
- (i) report major accidents including accidents caused by fire hazards, blasts during handling of biomedical waste and the remedial action taken and the records relevant thereto, (including nil report) in Form I to the prescribed authority **and also** along with the annual report;
- (j) maintain a log book for each of its treatment equipment according to weight of batch; categories of waste treated; time, date and duration of treatment cycle and total hours of operation;
- (k) allow occupier , who are giving waste for treatment to the operator, to see whether the treatment is carried out as per the rules;
- (l) shall display details of authorisation, treatment, annual report etc on its web-site;
- (m) after ensuring treatment by autoclaving or microwaving followed by mutilation or shredding, whichever is applicable, the recyclables from the treated bio-medical wastes such as plastics and glass, shall be given to recyclers having valid consent or authorisation or registration from the respective State Pollution Control Board or Pollution Control Committee;
- (n) supply non-chlorinated plastic coloured bags to the occupier on chargeable basis, if required;
- (o) common bio-medical waste treatment facility shall ensure collection of biomedical waste on holidays also;
- (p) maintain all record for operation of incineration, hydroor autoclaving for a period of five years; and
- (q) upgrade existing incinerators to achieve the standards for retention time in secondary chamber and Dioxin and Furans within two years from the date of this notification.

PP further stated that they are already generating data from March, 2016 and requested to utilize the baseline data. The Committee after detailed deliberations directed to

project proponent to incorporate the baseline data in the EIA Report generated from March, 2016 onwards.

The PP will submit Environment Impact Assessment Report by incorporating the Terms of References (ToR) as approved by the Committee within a time schedule in compliance of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. It was also decided that their project will be considered as received only after receipt of complete information.

**131.11 Extension from renewal of Environment Clearance for "Centra One" Commercial Project at Sector-61, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd..**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Rajiv Gupta, Senior Vice President**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 03.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the 133<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the SEAC to be held on 06<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

**131.12 Extension from renewal of Environment Clearance for "Princess Park" Group Housing at Sector-86, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd..**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Rajiv Gupta, Senior Vice President**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 03.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the 133<sup>rd</sup>

meeting of the SEAC to be held on 06<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

**131.13 Extension from renewal of Environment Clearance for “Freedom Park Life” Group Housing at Sector-57, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd..**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Rajiv Gupta, Senior Vice President**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 03.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the 133<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the SEAC to be held on 06<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

**131.14 Extension from renewal of Environment Clearance for “Cyber Park-Park Centra” at Sector-30, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Delhi Buildwell Private Limited C/o M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd..**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Rajiv Gupta, Senior Vice President**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 03.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the 133<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the SEAC to be held on 06<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

**131.15 Extension from renewal of Environment Clearance for “Parklands” Plotted Colony at Sector-82-88&89, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd..**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Rajiv Gupta, Senior Vice President**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 03.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the 133<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the SEAC to be held on 06<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

**131.15(S1) Environmental Clearance for proposed Affordable Group Housing Colony projects at Village Sohna, Sector-6, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Arete India Projects Pvt. Ltd.**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Rakesh Gupta**

**Consultant : Eco Pro Engineers Pvt. Ltd.**

The project proponent submitted the case for obtaining Environmental Clearance to the SEIAA, Haryana on 15.01.2015 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

The case could not be taken up in the SEAC as the term of SEIAA/SEAC was elapsed on 21.03.2015. Therefore, the case was transferred to Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India in the month of March, 2015. This case could not be taken up by the MoEF and was again transferred to SEIAA on 31.08.2015 after the reconstitution of SEIAA/SEAC on 21.08.2015. Thereafter the case was taken up for appraisal in the 120<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 05.11.2015.

The Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP. Accordingly the observations of 120<sup>th</sup> meeting were conveyed to the PP vide letter No. 193 dated 16.11.2015. The PP submitted the reply on 26.02.2016.

Thereafter the case was taken up in the 130<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 29.03.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the next meeting of the SEAC to be held on 07<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

The case was taken up in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

After detailed discussions, the following shortcomings were concluded:

1. The PP should submit contour sheet of the area alongwith demarcation of the project on the contour sheet.
2. Drain is passing through Sector-6, Sohna. Project proponent should submit clarification with reference to whether the drain is passing through the project area or not.
3. The PP should submit detailed design calculations of STP alongwith dimension of each component and also submit unit wise reduction of BOD for STP.
4. The PP should submit disposal plan of MSW Biodegradable waste.
5. The PP should submit details of incremental pollution load from DG Sets alongwith mitigation measures for controlling air pollution in view of exceeding baseline data.

The PP is advised to submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was made clear to the PP that his project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.15(S2) EC for construction of IT Park/Unit at Village Dundahera, Sector-19, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Pursarth Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Ishad Ahmed, Authorised Signatory.**

**Consultant : Vardan EnviroNet Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 26.11.2015. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up in the 130<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 29.03.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It

was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the next meeting of the SEAC to be held on 07<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

The case was taken up in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

After detailed discussions, the following shortcomings were concluded:

1. The PP should submit contour sheet of the area alongwith demarcation of the project on the contour sheet.
2. The PP should submit detailed design calculations of STP alongwith dimension of each component and also submit unit wise reduction of BOD for STP.

The PP is advised to submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was made clear to the PP that his project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**131.15(S3) EC for construction of IT Park/Unit at Village Dundahera, Sector-19, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Pursarth Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.**

**Project Proponent : Sh. Ishad Ahmed, Authorised Signatory.**

**Consultant : Vardan EnviroNet Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 26.11.2015. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter the case was taken up in the 130<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 29.03.2016.

The case was not heard as the project proponent failed to circulate the documents (Form 1, Form 1A and Conceptual Plan) to all the Members well in time. The project proponent had undertaken to circulate the documents to all the Members well in time and requested for considering their case for appraisal in the next meeting of the SEAC. It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the case will be considered in the next meeting of the SEAC to be held on 07<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

The case was taken up in the 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of the SEAC held on 07.04.2016.

After detailed discussions, the following shortcomings were concluded:

1. The PP should submit contour sheet of the area alongwith demarcation of the project on the contour sheet.
2. The PP should submit revised parking plan of basement taking into fire norms.

3. The PP should submit Aravali NOC from Deputy Commissioner, Gurgaon as the NOC submitted by the PP does not match the Khasra numbers of CLU.
4. The PP should submit detailed design calculations of STP alongwith dimension of each component and also submit unit wise reduction of BOD for STP.

The PP is advised to submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was made clear to the PP that his project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time, the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the Chair.**

**Annexure-'A'**

**List of Participants**

- |    |  |           |
|----|--|-----------|
| 1. | Shri Raj Kumar Sapra, IFS(Retd.)<br><br>House No. 601, Angel Apartment Society No. 12,<br>Sector-24, Panchkula | Member    |
| 2. | Shri S.C. Mann,<br>House No. 544, Sector-12-A,<br>Panchkula Haryana  | Member    |
| 3. | Shri A.K. Bhatia,<br>House No. 679,<br>Sector-8, Panchkula, Haryana  | Member    |
| 4. | Shri Hitender Singh, Architect,<br>Department of Architecture, Haryana   | Member    |
| 5. | Dr. S.N. Mishra,<br>House No. 220, First Floor,<br>Sector-14, Rohtak, Haryana                                  | Member    |
| 6. | Sh. S. Narayanan, IFS<br>Member Secretary,<br>Haryana State Pollution Control Board,<br>Panchkula              | Secretary |

