

Minutes of the 83rd meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority held on. 28.09.2015, under the Chairmanship Sh. Bharat Bhushan IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA held in the meeting room of office of SEIAA Haryana, Sector-2 Panchkula, regarding Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.9.2006.

The full Authority is present. The Cases recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise as listed in the Agenda item circulated vide letter No. 390-392 dated 17.09.2015 were discussed. Following decisions were taken:-

Item No. [1] Environmental Clearance for Extension & Expansion of “Residential Plotted Colony” located at Sector 36-39, Panipat, Haryana by M/s Taneja Developers & Infrastructure Ltd.

The project proposal along with observations of SEAC was lastly considered in the 79th meeting of SEIAA held on 09.01.2015 and it was noticed that the project proponent on 28.10.2014 submitted application for seeking Extension & Expansion of validity of Environment Clearance granted by MOEF, GOI vide letter dated 07.01.2008 for construction of “Residential Plotted Colony” located at Sector 36-39, Panipat, Haryana. The SEAC in its recommendation has reported that the compliance report issued by RO, MOEF, GOI dated 21.08.2014 shows that the work is quite incomplete and completion certificate for only a part of the project has been issued on 10.02.2014. The EC already granted was valid up to 06.01.2013 and any work executed afterwards tantamount to violation of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The SEAC has recommended that this case may be decided at the level of SEIAA.

It was noticed that the project site was monitored by RO, MOEF on 24.04.2014 and as per compliance report the status of construction of the already granted EC project is as under:

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Road network | Completed |
| 2. | Sewer line | 100% |
| 3. | Storm line | Completed |
| 4. | Electricity network | Completed |
| 5. | Underground tanks for water storage | Completed |
| 6. | Plantation | 80% |
| 7. | Parks development | 23 parks are completed and 9 parks are under development |
| 8. | Plots sold out | 85% |
| 9. | Villas | 16 are complete |

Status of other building in given below:-

| | | |
|----|------------------|--|
| 1. | Nursery School | Yet to be started |
| 2. | Primary School | Yet to be started |
| 3. | High School | Yet to be started |
| 4. | Creche | Yet to be started |
| 5. | Dispensary | Yet to be started |
| 6. | Religious places | Yet to be started |
| 7. | EWS | 163 plots have been handed over to Housing Board, Haryana which are under construction |
| 8. | Club | Under construction |
| 9. | Taxi Stand | Yet to be started |

It was also found that the project proponent has not forwarded the proper documents pertaining to this project to the member. The Authority decided that the matter regarding consideration of expansion of project can be considered only after the matter regarding grant of extension to the validity of EC granted is sorted out. Accordingly the Authority decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the below mentioned observations within 15 days or before next meeting.

- [1] The project proponent shall supply copy of updated Form I, Form IA, conceptual plan and certified copy of compliance report to the members of SEIAA for consideration of their case for extension of validity of environment clearance.
- [2] The project proponent shall give the justified reasons for delay in submission of application for extension of validity of EC.
- [3] The project proponent shall give the justified reasons for not completing the project within validity of EC.
- [4] The project proponent shall clarify why the development of public utility services were not taken up along with the development of residential plots.
- [5] The project proponent shall submit an undertaking with authenticated proof that no construction was taken up at the site after the expiry of validity of EC.

The project proponent on 16.02.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 16.02.2015 was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority was of the view that the recommendation made by SEAC are not clear and do not state that no construction has taken place after the expiry of validation of Environment Clearance letter. Accordingly, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the case and may communicate a clear opinion in this case.

Item No. [2] Environmental Clearance for Proposed 'Group Housing Scheme' at village- Rewazpur, Sector- 89, Faridabad, Haryana by M/S Ferrous Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 81st meeting of SEIAA held on 18.02.2015. In this meeting the case was deferred with the decision that the project proponent shall submit solar path analyses ensuring that the orientation of the building is proper and the sun light will be available to the proposed dwelling units.

The project proponent on 20.02.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken

by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 20.02.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority observed that:

1. The comments of SEAC should be taken on the information dated 20.02.2015 submitted by the project proponent.
2. The height of building is 63 meter which is more than 60 meter and clearance from the recognize institution like ITTES/PEC, Regional Engineering College/National Institute of Technology etc regarding structural stability and for fire safety clearance from institute of fire engineers, Nagpur is required. The project proponent shall obtain the same and submit to the SEAC.
3. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
4. As per point no. 31(vi) of checklist, the project proponent is required to submit an undertaking stating that:
 "Provision for Helipad shall be made as the height of building is more than 60 meter. Provision of at least one Hydraulic ladder for high rise building shall also be made".

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [3] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Colony (11.362 acres) in the revenue estate of Village Daula, Sector-49, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Pyramid Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 79th meeting of SEIAA held on 09.01.2015. In this meeting the case was deferred with the decision that the project proponent shall submit reply to the below mentioned observations within 15 days or before next meeting.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit revised traffic circulation plan showing separate Exit ramp from basement.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit soft copy and hard copy of the solar path analysis.

The project proponent on 27.02.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken

by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 27.02.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority observed that:

1. The comments of SEAC should be taken on the information dated 27.02.2015 submitted by the project proponent.
2. The height of building is 113.80 meter which is more than 60 meter and clearance from the recognize institution like ITTES/PEC, Regional Engineering College/National Institute of Technology etc regarding structural stability and for fire safety clearance from institute of fire engineers, Nagpur is required. The project proponent shall obtain the same and submit to the SEAC.
3. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
4. As per point no. 31(vi) of checklist, the project proponent is required to submit an undertaking stating that:

“Provision for Helipad shall be made as the height of building is more than 60 meter. Provision of at least one Hydraulic ladder for high rise building shall also be made”.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [4] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Colony (2.5625 acre) located in the Revenue Estate of Village Gurgaon, Sector-3, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Santur Realtor Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015. In this meeting the case was deferred with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the below mentioned observations within 15 days or before next meeting.

- [1] The project proponent shall seek clarification from competent Authority regarding no objection about the existence of entry and exit gate as proposed in the plan under the 66 KV HT line.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit revised traffic circulation plan showing 6 meter wide ramp instead of 4 meter.

The project proponent on 09.03.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken

by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 09.03.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. It was noticed that the project proponent has failed to submit clarification from the authorised competent authority of Electricity department. The project proponent should submit clarification from the competent authority of electricity department as was desired by the Authority and further observed that:

1. The SEAC should examine the revise traffic circulation plan submitted by project proponent vide letter dated 09.03.2015.
2. The project proponent shall obtain clarification from the competent authority of electricity department and shall submit the same to SEAC.
3. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
4. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [5] Revised EC Proposed Group Housing Project “The Ultima” (22.231 acres) at Sector-81, Village-Lakhnola, Gurgaon by M/s DLF Homes Developers Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015. In this meeting the case was deferred on the request of project proponent with the decision that the project proponent shall submit permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta for laying of services line.

The project proponent on 13.03.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 13.03.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. It was noticed that the project proponent has failed to submit permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta. The project proponent should submit permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta as was desired by the Authority and further observed that:

1. The project proponent shall obtain permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta and shall submit the same to SEAC.
2. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
3. The height of building is 96.85 meter which is more than 60 meter and clearance from the recognized institution like ITTES/PEC, Regional Engineering College/National Institute of Technology etc regarding structural stability and for fire safety clearance from institute of fire engineers, Nagpur is required. The project proponent shall obtain the same and submit to the SEAC.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [6] Environmental Clearance for Group Housing Complex namely “Ansal Heights” at Sector-92, Village- Wazirpur, Tehsil & Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana by M/S Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015. In this meeting the case was deferred with the decision that the project proponent shall submit permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta for laying of services line.

The project proponent on 13.03.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 13.03.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. It was noticed that the project proponent has failed to submit permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta. The project proponent should submit permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta as was desired by the Authority and further observed that:

1. The project proponent shall obtain permission of competent authority for use of revenue rasta and shall submit the same to SEAC.
2. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.

3. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [7] Environmental Clearance for the commercial complex project – Spaze Buziness Park, Sector-66, Village- badshahpur, District- Gurgaon by M/S KAY KAY Designer Towers Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015, wherein it was decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the below mentioned observations within 15 days or before next meeting.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit copy of re-validated license.

The project proposal could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The case was taken up in the today's meeting and it was observed that the project proponent has failed to submit copy of re-validated license and further observed that:

1. The project proponent shall submit copy of re-validated license to the SEAC.
2. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
3. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [8] Environmental Clearance for the proposed commercial colony INXT CITY CENTER at village Shikopur, Sector-83, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Trishul Industries.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015, wherein it was decided to defer this case with the decision that the

project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the below mentioned observations within 15 days or before next meeting.

[1] The project proponent shall submit copy of validated license.

The project proponent on 20.03.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 20.03.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. It was noticed that the project proponent has submitted copy of revalidated license. The Authority has further observed that:

1. The project proponent has not submitted assurance of water supply from competent authority and shall obtain the same and submit the same to SEAC.
2. The project proponent has not submitted assurance of power supply from competent authority and shall obtain the same and submit the same to SEAC.
3. The project proponent shall obtain copy of valid accreditation certificate issued by NABET/QCI in respect of EIA consultant engaged by them and submit the same to the SEAC.
4. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.
5. The project proponent shall submit latest undertaking declaring that no construction has been started at the site along with photograph to the SEAC.
6. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [9] Environmental Clearance for the Project "Vatika Express City" Residential plotted Colony on total land measuring 100.875 acres located in the revenue estate of village Harsaru, Hayatpur, Sector-88A and 88B, Distt-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Vatika Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015, wherein it was decided to defer this case with the decision that the

project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the below mentioned observations within 15 days or before next meeting.

- [1] The project proponent shall submit self contained note with authenticated proof about the shifting of HT lines and showing that the vacant unplanned pockets in the licensed area are actually affected by the existence of HT lines. The proposed plan of the said un planned pocket areas, once HT lines are shifted.
- [2] The project proponent shall submit revised green belt development plan earmarking space of make provision for green-park for the residents as per assurance given in the meeting.
- [3] The project proponent shall provide Old age home on 0.25 acre of licensed area and shall submit a plan.
- [4] The project proponent shall submit dual plumbing plan showing layout of infrastructure facilities without crossing revenue rasta.

The project proponent on 20.02.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 20.02.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The Authority observed that:

- 1. The comments of SEAC should be taken on the information dated 20.02.2015 submitted by the project proponent.
- 2. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
- 3. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.
- 4. The project proponent shall submit revised green belt development plan earmarking space of make provision for green-park for the residents as the same has not been submitted.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [10] Environmental Clearance for construction of IT/Cyber Park located at Industrial Sector 19, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Dominant Offset Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015, wherein it was decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit reply to the below mentioned observations within 15 days or before next meeting.

[1] The project proponent shall submit NOC from Airport Authority.

[2] The project proponent shall submit copy of validated license.

The project proponent on 20.02.2015 submitted the reply which could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The reply dated 20.02.2015 submitted by project proponent was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. It was noticed that the project proponent has submitted NOC from AAI regarding height clearance but failed to submit copy of revalidated license. The Authority and further observed that:

1. The project proponent shall obtain copy of revalidated license and shall submit the same to SEAC.
2. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
3. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [11] Environmental Clearance for the development of Industrial Estate and CETP at I.E. Barhi, Phase-III near Gannaur, District – Sonapat, Haryana by HSIIDC.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 80th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.01.2015, wherein it was decided to defer this case with the decision that the project proponent may be asked to submit permission for withdrawal of ground water from CGWA within 30 days.

The case could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking

needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The case was taken up in the today's meeting and it was observed that the project proponent has failed to submit the permission for withdrawal of Ground water.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [12] Environmental Clearance for expansion of existing Panipat Institute of Engineering and Technology 70 milestone, Pattikalayana, Smalkha, Panipat. (Developed by Vidyapeeth Educational Trust) by Panipat Institute of Engineering & Technology.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 79th meeting of SEIAA held on 09.01.2015. It was observed that the SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the expansion of existing Panipat Institute of Engineering and Technology 70 milestone, Pattikalayana, Smalkha, Panipat. (Developed by Vidyapeeth Educational Trust) with the proposal as given under:

| Particulars | Existing | Expansion | Total |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Total Plot Area | 21032.72 sqm | 33005 sqm | 54037.72 sqm |
| Built up area | 18962.16 sqm | 22177.84 sqm | 41139 sqm |
| Ground Coverage | 8060.04 sqm | 4519.25 sqm | 12579.29 sqm |
| Details of Blocks | Block 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 17 | Block A, A, B & C | Existing = 12 + Proposed = 4 |
| Height | 21 m | - | 21 m |
| Green Area | 11416 sqm | 11188.683 sqm | 22604.683 sqm |
| Total water requirement | 300 KLD | 251.25 KLD | 551.25 KLD |
| Fresh water requirement | 127.4 KLD | 152.6 KLD | 280 KLD |
| Waste water generation | 121.43 KLD | 148.53 KLD | 270 KLD |
| STP Capacity | 141.05KLD | 168.95 KLD | 310 KLD |
| Power requirement | 184.496 KW | 265.498 KW | 449.994 KW |
| RWH pits | 6 pits | 9 pits | 15 pits |
| Solid waste management | 1760 kg/day | 3944 kg/day | 5704 kg/day |
| Proposed parking | 3371.94 sqm | 2726.26 sqm | 6098.20 sqm |

The recommendation of SEAC could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The case was taken up for consideration in the today's meeting. The project proposal was examined in terms of MoEF &CC Govt. of India amended Notification S.O 3252(E) dated 22.12.2014, providing exemption to the project from obtaining environmental clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The members of Authority were having different opinion based on their interpretation of the amended notification. However Chairman SEIAA concluded the discussions with the remarks, the text of which is as under:

“I am of considered opinion that nobody should sit upon the matter, where it ceased to have jurisdiction. This application was received on 30.09.2014 and more than one year period has passed still we are reluctant to dispose of the matter. This is eloquent testimony of our thinking. It is crystal clear neither SEIAA nor SEAC has any jurisdiction, in view of MOEF & CC, GOI Notification S.O.3252(E) dated 22.12.2014 stating that the construction of Industrial Shed, School, College, Hostel for Educational Institutions and Universities are now not included in the notification but such buildings shall ensure sustainable environment management, solid and liquid waste management, rain water harvesting and use of re-cycle material. The MOEF & CC, GOI vide OM 19-2/2013-IA-III dated 09.06.2015 has also issued guidelines to be followed for building projects to ensure sustainable environment management by the project proponents before the start of construction. Abortive efforts were made to have consensus on the matter.

Taking in view the opinion of other two members of SEIAA, the case is remanded back to SEAC for deciding the case as per MOEF GOI Notification dated 22.12.2014”.

Item No. [13] Environmental Clearance for expansion of Hotel Project at Sector-60, Gurgaon by M/s Toucan Real Estates Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 82nd meeting of SEIAA held on 09.03.2015. It is observed that the SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed expansion of Hotel Project at Sector-60, Gurgaon.

Total Plot Area: 3.17 Acre

Total Built up area: 38177 sqm

Modification Details

| Particulars | Existing as per EC received | Requested Modification in Existing EC (with justification) |
|---|--|--|
| Built-up Area (m ²) | 35937 | 38177 (Additional Area is based on revised sanctioned plan and compoundable area permitted under HUDA bye-laws) |
| No. of Floors in Tower A (Commercial) | G+5 | G+6 (revised sanction has been received from HUDA & submitted to SEAC) |
| No. of Floors in Tower B (Red Fox Hotel) | G+11 (service floor was sanctioned by HUDA but not covered in EC) | G+S+11 (revised sanction has been received from HUDA & submitted to SEAC) |
| No. of Floors in Tower C (Lemon Tree Hotel) | G+10 (service floor was sanctioned) | G+S+9 (revised sanction has been received from HUDA & submitted to SEAC) |

| | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| | by HUDA but not covered in EC) | |
| No. of Rooms in Tower B (Red Fox Hotel) | 171 | 155 |
| No. of Rooms in Tower C (Lemon Tree Hotel) | 126 | 110 |
| Car Parking | 515 | 463 |
| Laundry | Not Proposed | Proposed in Lower Basement (reduced floor area for parking compensated by provision of double stack parking) |
| ETP | Not Proposed | Proposed (for laundry) |
| STP capacity | 263 KLD | 295 KLD |

The recommendation of SEAC could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

The case was taken up for the consideration in the today's meeting and the Authority observed that:

1. The project proponent has not obtained the assurance for water supply from competent authority. The project proponent shall obtain the same and submit to the SEAC.
2. The project proponent shall submit proof of having deposited up to date EDC/IDC paid to the concerned department to the SEAC.
3. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license.
4. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [14] Environmental Clearance for expansion of Group Housing Project (20.625 Acres) at Village Ullawas, Sector-60 & 63-A, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s High Responsible Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 82nd meeting of SEIAA held on 09.03.2015, wherein It is observed that the project proponent has submitted copy of letter of Intent dated 05.09.2014 issued by Town and Country Planning Department for grant of license for the additional area measuring 2.525 acre but the

Town and Country Planning Department has not granted license for the same. The representative of the project proponent submitted a letter requesting to defer their case till they submit copy of license.

The recommendation of SEAC could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

In the today's meeting the project proposal was taken up for consideration and it was observed that the project proponent has failed to submit the license for additional area measuring 2.525 acre. The Authority further observed that:

1. The project proponent shall obtained license for the additional area and shall submit the same to the SEAC.
2. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license
3. The project proponent shall obtain assurance of water supply from competent authority and shall submit the same to the SEAC.
4. The project proponent shall obtain assurance of power supply from competent authority and shall submit the same to the SEAC.
5. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
6. The height of building is 147.1 meter which is more than 60 meter and clearance from the recognize institution like ITTES/PEC, Regional Engineering College/National Institute of Technology etc regarding structural stability and for fire safety clearance from institute of fire engineers, Nagpur is required. The project proponent shall obtain the same and submit to the SEAC.
7. As per point no. 31(vi)of checklist, the project proponent is required to submit an undertaking stating that:

“Provision for Helipad shall be made as the height of building is more than 60 meter. Provision of at least one Hydraulic ladder for high rise building shall also be made”.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No. [15] Environmental Clearance for construction of Group Housing Colony in the revenue estate of Village Kadarpur & Maidawas, District-Gurgaon, Sector-63 A, Gurgaon Manesar Urban Complex by M/s Mahamaya Exports Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 82nd meeting of SEIAA held on 09.03.2015. It was noticed that the SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the construction of Group Housing Colony in the revenue estate of Village Kadarpur & Maidawas, District- Gurgaon, Sector-63 A, Gurgaon Manesar Urban Complex on a total plot area of 56757.071 sqm. The total built up area shall be 143428.761 sqm. The Group Housing project shall have 3 Residential towers with basement, 3 towers of Tower A (S + 19), 4 towers of Tower B (S + 22), 4 towers of Tower C (G+19), EWS (G + 7), Convenient Shopping (G), Community building (G +1) & and 2 nursery schools (G + 1). The Group Housing project shall have 686 Dwelling Units, 124 EWS Units and 84 Domestic servant Units. The maximum height of the building shall be 71.20 meter. The total water requirement shall be 724 KLD. The fresh water requirement shall be 419 KLD. The waste water generation shall be 508 KLD, which will be treated in the STP of 600 KLD capacity. The total power requirement shall be 7985.699 KVA which will be supplied by DHBVN. The Project Proponent has proposed to develop green belt on 44.69% of project area (30% tree plantation + 14.69% landscaping). The Project Proponent proposed to construct 14 rain water harvesting pits. The solid waste generation will be 2256 kg/day. The bio-degradable waste will be treated in the project area by adopting appropriate technology. The total parking spaces proposed are 1345 ECS.

The recommendation of SEAC could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

In the today's meeting the recommendation of SEAC and project details were taken up for consideration and following observation were made by the Authority:

1. The project proponent shall obtain assurance of water supply from competent authority and shall submit the same to the SEAC.
2. The project proponent shall obtain assurance of power supply from competent authority and shall submit the same to the SEAC
3. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license

4. The project proponent shall obtain copy of valid accreditation certificate issued by NABET/QCI in respect of EIA consultant engaged by them and submit the same to the SEAC.
5. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for treatment of bio-degradable waste.
6. The height of building is 71.20 meter which is more than 60 meter and clearance from the recognize institution like ITTES/PEC, Regional Engineering College/National Institute of Technology etc regarding structural stability and for fire safety clearance from institute of fire engineers, Nagpur is required. The project proponent shall obtain the same and submit to the SEAC.
7. As per point no. 31(vi) of checklist, the project proponent is required to submit an undertaking stating that:

“Provision for Helipad shall be made as the height of building is more than 60 meter. Provision of at least one Hydraulic ladder for high rise building shall also be made”.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.

Item No.[16] Environmental Clearance for Affordable group housing colony (10 acres) in the revenue estate of village Hayatpur, Sector -90, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Aster Infrahome Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was lastly considered in the 82nd meeting of SEIAA held on 09.03.2015. It was noticed that the SEAC has recommended this project for Environmental Clearance subject to stipulation stated therein. The Project Proponent has proposed the construction of Affordable group housing colony (10 acres) in the revenue estate of village Hayatpur, Sector -90, Gurgaon, Haryana on a total plot area of 40519.149 sqm (10 acre). The total built up area shall be 94525.98 sqm. The Group Housing project shall have 13 residential towers consisting of Tower 1 (Ground+14 Floors), Tower 2 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 3 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 4 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 5 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 6 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 7 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 8 (Ground +9 Floors), Tower 9 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 10 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 11 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 12 (Ground +14 Floors), Tower 13 (Ground +14 Floors), Commercial, community, crèche, etc. The maximum height of the building shall be 50.35 meter. The total water requirement shall be 1195 KLD. The fresh water requirement shall be 799 KLD. The waste water generation shall be 982 KLD, which will be treated in the STP of 1180 KLD capacity. The total power

requirement shall be 3510 KW which will be supplied by DHBVN. The Project Proponent has proposed to develop green belt on 21.54% of project area (15.54% tree plantation + 6% landscaping). The Project Proponent proposed to construct 11 rain water harvesting pits. The solid waste generation will be 4323 kg/day. The bio-degradable waste will be treated in the project area by adopting appropriate technology. The total parking spaces proposed are 916 ECS.

The recommendation of SEAC could not be taken up in the meeting of SEIAA due to lapse of its term on 20.03.2015 and the case was transferred to MoEF&CC Govt. of India for taking needful action. No action was taken by MoEF&CC Govt. of India and the case was transferred to SEIAA, Haryana on 31.08.2015 after its reconstitution.

In the today's meeting the recommendation of SEAC and project details were taken up for consideration and following observation were made by the Authority:

1. The SEAC shall ensure that Khasra no. indicated in the NOC regarding non applicability of Aravalli Notification issued by DC conform that of Khasra no. indicated the license
2. The SEAC shall ensure that the solid waste generation calculation and parking calculation is as per latest prescribed norms and shall also ensure that the project proponent has furnished details of appropriate technology to be adopted for collection of solid waste and treatment of bio-degradable waste.
3. The project proponent shall ensure the applicability of standards and codes for fire and safety.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the advice to re-appraise the project proposal in terms of deficiencies pointed out above and send its recommendations within stipulated period as prescribed in the Notification.
